Malvern Area Plan – Summary of Submissions

Ellesmere & Malvern 2031 Draft Area Plans











Have your say on plans for local townships

Submitter #	Submitter	Township Submitting On	Submission Points	Relief Sought	Wishes to Be Heard?
	anterbury District ealth Board (CDHB)	Malvern	 1.1 We welcome the opportunity to comment on the Malvern & Ellesmere Area Plans. The future health of our populations is not just reliant on hospitals, but on a responsive environment where all sectors work collaboratively. 1.2 While health care services are an important determinant of health, health is also influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector. Health care services manage disease and trauma and are an important determinant of health outcomes. However health creation and wellbeing (overall quality of life) is influenced by a wide range of factors beyond the health sector. 1.3 These influences can be described as the conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work and age, and are impacted by environmental, social and behavioural factors. They are often referred to as the 'social determinants of health. 1.4 The most effective way to maximise people's wellbeing is to take these factors into account as early as possible during decision making and strategy development. 5 Waters 1.5 The CDHB notes that the Selwyn District Council's 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy shows there is little proportional spending proposed for water supply and sewerage schemes in comparison to roads and community facilities. Drinking water is of great importance to the health and wellbeing of communities. There are a number of townships within the Selwyn District that are not currently compliant with the Drinking Water Standards (DWS). It is noted that all water supplies have a current water safety plan with timelines in place to upgrade water supplies to meet the Drinking Water Standards. Statements regarding compliance with the Health Act, DWS, or water being managed through water safety plans, should be included for all supplies. 1.6 Overall households in the small townships in the Selwyn district, particularly in the Malvern area, have on-site septic tanks for their waste water system. To date, these have provided households with a suitable me	 Waters Statements regarding compliance with the Health Act, DWS, or water being managed through water safety plans, should be included for all supplies Suitable and adequate water supplies be guaranteed, and safe waste water disposal achieved prior to higher density housing being considered for any of these communities. That drinking water supplies and waste water disposal systems are prioritised for the Selwyn District Council in accordance with maintaining or improving public health as requirement by Section 101B of the Local Government Act 2002. Local Facilities & Community Development That the Selwyn District Council considers a consent pathway for IFHCs as part of its District Plan review. Christchurch City Council has provisions for IFHCs in its Replacement District Plan. T That the Council considers whether existing community facilities meet needs and whether their current location gives people easy access to services needed for daily living. That any upgraded public toilet facilities comply with NZS4121 to ensure that they are fully accessible Transportation That the Council and Environment Canterbury continue to monitor demand for public transport to ensure that transport needs are met and people are able to access essential services. Integrated public transport and options for Park and Rides between towns should be considered so that people have access to alternative transport modes e.g. Kirwee and Darfield. The CDHB recommends that Council investigate the potential for using the rail corridor for public transport in the medium to long term. Rolleston - The CDHB recommends that Council Consider a park-and-ride facility at a centralised location 	Yes

- 1.8 Provision of reticulated wastewater systems is required to allow for managed growth and to facilitate high density living. The capacity of an existing waste water system, e.g. Leeston, needs to be considered in relation to any community growth for those communities using the system.
- 1.9 It is positive to note the Darfield and Kirwee Wastewater working party will be consulting with the community on the issue of reticulated sewerage systems. It is noted on site waste water disposal cannot be sustained should higher density housing typologies be considered.
- 1.10 Any growth for communities which would see human waste discharged within a drinking water protection zone will not be supported by CDHB. Drinking water suppliers have a statutory obligation under the Health Act 1956, to take "reasonable steps to contribute to protection of source of drinking water"

Local Facilities & Community Development

- 1.11 The CDHB encourages the Council to consider accessibility and universal design when it is constructing community facilities and infrastructure. It is important that the built environment be as accessible as possible to people of all ages and abilities. Consideration for universal design needs to be incorporated at all levels of local government planning to ensure that facilities and infrastructure are fit for purpose.
- 1.12 The delivery of health care facilities has changed in recent years with more emphasis on ensuring that health services are delivered more efficiently in local neighbourhoods. Integrated Family Health Centres (IFHCs) are one way of delivering more cohesive healthcare. These are health care facilities where multiple services are located within one building. This is especially important with an aging population.
- 1.13 In the long term, health services may be further integrated within the Selwyn district and this may result in IFHCs.
- 1.14 The CDHB is pleased to see that our earlier recommendation of including potential options for green waste and recycling opportunities have been included in the Area Plans.
- 1.15 Community facilities such as halls, playgrounds and sports fields are important assets for people for both physical and mental wellbeing.
- 1.16 Arthurs Pass The CDHB supports mechanisms to generate funds for the community centre improvements
- 1.17 Castle Hill The CDHB supports playground renewal, upgrading of the community centre and development of a new reserve areas with green linkages.
- 1.18 Coalgate The CDHB supports upgrading the sports facilities at the recreation reserve and redeveloping the reserve for passive recreation.
- 1.19 Darfield The CDHB supports investigation of accessibility of community facilities, upgrading swimming pool and neighbourhood playground.
- 1.20 Hororata The CDHB supports a review of community facilities with the local community, as well as the development of a walking track, and the upgrading of the playground.
- 1.21 Lake Coleridge The CDHB supports the upgrading of the playground.
- 1.22 Sheffield The CDHB supports an upgrade of the swimming pool.
- 1.23 Springfield The CDHB supports the walking and cycling track.

Population, Growth & Urban Form

1.24 The CDHB commends the Council on its consideration of the appropriateness of consolidated urban forms for specific towns. As recognised in the Plans, there are positive social outcomes for providing infill housing as it provides opportunities for elderly persons' housing, facilitates more affordable homes and provides smaller homes and sections that better meet the needs of the wider community. The CDHB acknowledges that there are constraints to providing more intensive housing due to natural hazards and levels of infrastructure.

1.25 The CDHB would like to reiterate the need to provide for housing for the elderly. More people wish to age in place, this allows people to remain wherever they currently reside as long as possible. There are many benefits of enabling people to age in place, including independence, positive mental health, comfort and familiarity. Ageing in place also reduces demand on the health services.		
 It is important the Council considers the following points for new housing: The availability of smaller section sizes, these would be more manageable for people to maintain. The availability of smaller houses of one or two bedrooms which may be more manageable and cheaper to heat. Standards for Lifemark (or similar) and energy efficient houses could be adopted as part of the District Plan Review so new builds are warmer and drier therefore healthlier for people. Opportunities in the zoning rules for granny flats for those wishing to have an extended family living situation. Older people living in one person homes may feel isolated and there is the potential for safety/health/welfare issues. Proximity and connections to community services and public transport options Transportation The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. The CDHB has an interest in the provision of healthy environments, this includes people having the opportunity to cycle, walk and use public transport. Investment in active transport infrastructure will provide people with more transport choices, leading to less reliance on car travel. Safer crossing points across key transport routes are important to ensure that fewer accidents occur. The CDHB supports corridor management plans that would mitigate town severance and supports the Council's ongoing work with Kiwi Rail to ensure that accidents at railway crossing points are reduced. Darfield - The CDHB is pleased to see the Council plans to liaise with public transport planners at Environment Canterbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport into Rolleston and Christchurch.		
 Population, Growth 1.1 Think about families living in cars – How do we address this? 1.2 Covenants are bad news for the poor Should allow simple homes on smaller sections What's wrong with legalising garages and making them seriously liveable? Allowing more cabin/sleep-out options for families Allow re-location of homes – must be of a certain standard for sure. But don't ban them! 1.3 Rent to buy options – does that need to be legislated? Need more of them. People more likely to care for the property if they own it, than if just renting. 1.4 Compliance re multiple units on one title. Currently maximum of 2. Why not 3? 5 Waters 1.5 Seption tank poots are a killer to development for the poor \$\frac{\pi}{2}\$20,000.00 is just for the poor \$\frac{\pi}{2}\$20.000.00 is	 Population, Growth 1.1 Should allow simple homes on smaller sections 1.2 Legalising garages and making them seriously liveable 1.3 Allowing more cabin/sleep-out options for families 1.4 Allow re-location of homes – must be of a certain standard 1.5 Need more rent to buy options 1.6 Council to become a developer so they can control an area of land that becomes totally zoned for affordable housing 1.7 Rent to buy options 5 Waters 1.8 Cost of composting toilets to be subsidised by Council 	Yes
	 The availability of smaller houses of one or two bedrooms which may be more manageable and cheaper to heat. Standards for Lifemark (or similar) and energy efficient houses could be adopted as part of the District Plan Review so new builds are warmer and drier therefore healthler for people. Opportunities in the zoning rules for granny flats for those wishing to have an extended family living situation. Older people living in one person homes may feel isolated and there is the potential for safety/health/welfare issues. Proximity and connections to community services and public transport options Transportation 1.27 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.28 The CDHB has an interest in the provision of healthy environments, this includes people having the opportunity to cycle, walk and use public transport. Investment in active transport infrastructure will provide people with more transport choices, leading to less reliance on car travel. 1.29 Safer crossing points across key transport routes are important to ensure that fewer accidents occur. 1.30 The CDHB supports corridor management plans that would mitigate town severance and supports the Council's ongoing work with Kiwi Rail to ensure that accidents at railway crossing points are reduced. 1.31 Darfield - The CDHB is pleased to see the Council plans to liaise with public transport planners at Environment Canterbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport into Rolleston and Christchurch. 1.32 Darfield - The CDHB supports the Town Centre Study that would look closely at the provision of parking on the Main Street. It is important to ensure that accidents with large vehicles are minimized and pedestrians are able to use the Town Centre safely. Population, Growth <l< td=""><td>Transportation Transportation Transportation 1.27 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all forms and investment and inplementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.28 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.28 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.29 Safer crossing points a meres in the provision of healthy environments, this includes people having the opportunity to cycle walk and use public transport towless people having the opportunity to cycle walk and use public transport choices, leading to less reliance on car travel. 1.29 Safer crossing points across key transport routes are important to ensure that accidents across key transport routes are important to ensure that accidents are trailway crossing points are reduced. 1.31 Darlield - The CDHB is pleased to see the Council plans to liaise with public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath in the public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath and the provide public transport in Footpath and the public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath and the provide public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath and the public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate on the public transport plansers at Environment</td></l<>	Transportation Transportation Transportation 1.27 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all forms and investment and inplementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.28 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.28 The CDHB supports investment into Footpath Extension Forward Works and investment and implementation of Walking and Cycling Strategies for all towns. 1.29 Safer crossing points a meres in the provision of healthy environments, this includes people having the opportunity to cycle walk and use public transport towless people having the opportunity to cycle walk and use public transport choices, leading to less reliance on car travel. 1.29 Safer crossing points across key transport routes are important to ensure that accidents across key transport routes are important to ensure that accidents are trailway crossing points are reduced. 1.31 Darlield - The CDHB is pleased to see the Council plans to liaise with public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath in the public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath and the provide public transport in Footpath and the public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath and the provide public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate ongoing opportunities to provide public transport in Footpath and the public transport plansers at Environment Claretbury to investigate on the public transport plansers at Environment

			1.6 Composting toilets?? Subsidised by Council??Rules for housing development need to be relaxed 1.7 Cost of introducing reticulated sewage too expensive		
4	Marj White	Darfield	Local Facilities & Community Development 1.1 Restoration of the historic Malvern country council chambers	Local Facilities & Community Development 1.1 For Council to purchase the building and land back and to use as a Museum and display house and protect as heritage building for use as a 'Mens Shed'.	No
5	Neale Todd	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.1 Support Darfield Area 7 development plan		No
6	Jennifer Todd	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.1 Support Darfield Area 7 development plan		No
7	Jessica Glossey	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.1 Support Darfield Area 7 development plan		No
8	Rebecca Todd	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.1 Support Darfield Area 7 development plan		No
9	Brian Knopp	Darfield	5 Waters1.1 Opposes the need for reticulated wastewater systemWants more affordable housing options, including elderly housing	5 Waters 1.1 Suggests shared septic tanks or alternative technology.	Not Stated
10	Carolyne Jones	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Wants more affordable housing options, including elderly housing 5 Waters 1.2 Cost of septic tanks	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Suggests SDC purchases land in Darfield suitable for elderly and close to town amenities. 5 Waters 1.0 Suggests Inharity FCAN to amend rules.	No
11	Denise Reynolds	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Wants more affordable housing options, including elderly housing, add more social housing Transportation 1.2 Not enough parking on main street	1.2 Suggests lobbying ECAN to amend rules Population, Growth & Urban Form. 1.1 Council should be proactive in buying social housing Transportation 1.2 Angled parking near bakery and on adjacent streets.	Yes
15	Mahaanui Kurataiao Ltd (Lizzie Thomson)	Springfield	Natural Environment and Cultural Heritage 1.1 Consultation between SDC and Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga and Te Taumutu Runanga	Natural Environment and Cultural Heritage 1.1 Wants a "Natural Environment and Cultural Heritage" section in the Springfield implementation table in the Malvern Area Plan	No
16	Judith Pascoe	Darfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Strongly support a town centre study to identify areas suitable for intensification. 1.2 While I agree that elderly persons housing is important it is better positioned in 'greenfield' developments by encouraging developers to include a mix of section sizes in their development and allowing the construction of elderly persons villages as a controlled activity. 1.3 Darfield is a country town and large areas of houses on very small sections will destroy the character of the town. 1.4 Infill housing is intrusive in areas of older housing and should be discouraged. Palmerston North is an example of how indiscriminate infill housing can destroy the amenity value of an area. 1.5 Agreed that there is adequate zoned business land in the medium term. However to preserve this area of Business zoned land any requests to rezone to residential should be discouraged. 1.6 The zoning of land in the Bangor Road, Cridges Road and SH 73 area around Mitchells Sawmill should be considered for rezoning as Business 1 or 2 to both ensure a supply of business zoned land and to avoid any reverse sensitivity issues. 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Areas of existing housing should not be allowed to subdivide into smaller lots unless the existing house is removed and the area becomes 'greenfield' or the new lot created is not under a certain size e.g. 600m2. 1.2 Any requests to rezone Business 1 land to residential should be discouraged. 1.3 Consider land in the Bangor Road, Cridges Road and SH 73 area around Mitchells Sawmill(DAR A3) for rezoning as Business 1 or 2 1.4 Consider Area DAR A6 for a future business park development 1.5 Intensification of some of the undeveloped L2A and L2A1 zone should be considered. 1.6 A Living 1B zone with an average Lot size of 1,500m2 should be considered 1.7 Intensify development in Area DAR A4 1.8 Rezone the land zoned L2A Def north of Creyke Road and Wards Road and west of Telegraph Road to a mixture of L2 zoning closer to the LX Deferred boundary and L2A closer to Creyke Road. The LX zoned land could be a mixture of L1 and L1A and L1B (as in Rolleston) to give a transition zone to the larger L2 and L2A lots. Transportation 	Yes

		 1.7 DAR A6 should be considered for a future business park development. 1.8 The loss of productive rural land needs to be avoided through defining the boundaries of the township as shown in the Malvern 2031 Draft Area Plan. Intensification of some of the undeveloped L2A and L2A1 zone should be considered. 1.9 I agree that a Living 1B zone with an average Lot size of 1,500m2 should be considered and this should be done as soon as possible. This concentrates development around the town centre and allows the township to keep a spacious 'country' feel. 1.10 Does not consider Area DAR 1 land suitable for rezoning to L2. This would result in the loss of some productive farmland and there is difficulty in supplying water. There is a large area of land between McLaughlins Road, Greendale Road and SH73 that is just as close to Darfield, if not closer and has no difficulties with water supply that is suitable for intensification. 1.11 Support the suggestion that some of this land (DAR A3), particularly around Mitchell's Sawmill would be suitable for Business zoning. 1.12 Agree that this area (DAR A4) would be suitable for further intensification in terms of location. 1.13 In Area DAR A5, more intensive housing would need to be carefully managed. Infill housing can be intrusive and ugly in areas of older housing. A large area of small sections (<500 m2) would destroy the country feel/look of the township – the very reason so many people like to live in Darfield. 1.14 Area DAR A6 is a logical area for a business park for commercial and industrial activities. While uptake of this may be some years away it is important to plan for 10-20 years in the future. Strongly recommend that this be zoned appropriately for this. 1.15 Agree that Area DAR A7 could be suitable for Business 1 & 2 zoning. 1.16 Agree that Area DAR A8 could be suitable for Business 1 & 2 zoning. 1.17 Land at the corner	 1.9 Support an off-road cycling and pedestrian network between townships as well as both within townships and in McHughs Plantation 1.10 Ensure provision of adequate car parking is addressed in the town centre study. 5 Waters 1.11 Consultation should be done on a wastewater system for Darfield. 	
		5 Waters1.21 Agree that consultation should be done on a wastewater system for Darfield.		
Alistair Cameron	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Supports mixed use living zone and smaller lot sizes in Area DAR A3	1.1 <i>Population, Growth & Urban Form</i> Proposed subdivision Outline Plan enclosed for perusal	Yes
Rupert and Catherine Wright (John Ferguson)	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Support Council's identification of Possible Future Development Options, and in particular, support the inclusion of DAR A6.	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Zoning for DAR A6 should include Living 1B and business 1, and that this should be subject to an Outline Development Plan and should be considered further by Council for inclusion in the District plan.	Yes

		 1.2 Council have identified that a significant oversupply of undeveloped low-density Living 2 zoned land exists, which gives rise to an under-utilisation of greenfield land. 1.3 DAR A6 has been identified as an area for possible low-density residential development and possibly also Business 2 zoning. 1.4 Council also identifies that there is an undersupply of Living 1B style of zoning and a 1-2 hectare shortfall of Business 1 zoning. 1.5 It is considered that a combination of zoning is appropriate for DAR A6, and that this should be subject to an Outline Development Plan. The zoning should include Living 1B and business 1. 1.6 Overall it is considered that DAR A6 represents a sustainable development option for the township and this area should be considered further by Council for inclusion in the District plan. 		
19	Jane Mulholland Darfield	 Transportation 1.1 Two significant issues under transportation have not been addressed in the implementation steps and that pose a major safety and wellbeing risk to Darfield residents and must therefore be resolved in the short term: Near misses between vehicles and trains on railway level crossings; Concerns that heavy vehicles are placing pressure on the local road network 1.2 Development of both DAR A8 & DAR A7 would require a fix to the dangerous railway level crossing because traffic here would increase. 1.3 Given that residents have raised concerns about the impact of large trucks on the town, then why will the Darfield Town Centre Study address "the viability of providing truck stops in the town centre along the SH73"??? Trucks pose a significant risk in the town. They cause noise and air pollution - this will only increase through braking and accelerating if you encourage them to stop. They are also a major hazard to other road users and pedestrians. You should be looking at discouraging their presence in town by providing other arterial routes that bypass the centre. 1.4 The Corridor Management Plan must be addressed in the SHORT, not medium, term. Traffic into Christchurch is growing fast and will only get worse as Darfield develops further. There are no passing sections en route so rush-hour traffic is becoming quite dangerous; this is exacerbated by the huge new sub-divisions in West Melton. I drive a school bus into town every day so I have witnessed the increase in traffic and the risks some drivers take in order to get past me. 1.5 It is irresponsible of the Council to intensify residential opportunities in Darfield without a solid plan in place to deal with the commuter traffic into Christchurch that will inevitably also intensify as a result. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.6 Housing intensification should be confirm	 Transportation 1.1 Transport issues resolved in the short term e.g. vehicle and train crossing and heavy vehicle movement 1.2 Fix the dangerous railway level crossing as Areas DAR A7 and A8 develop 1.3 Discourage the presence of heavy vehicles in town by providing other arterial routes that bypass the centre 1.4 The Corridor Management Plan must be addressed in the SHORT, not medium, term. 1.5 Develop a plan to manage commuter traffic between Darfield and Christchurch Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.6 Keep housing intensification to areas DAR A3, A5 and A6 1.7 Amend the Darfield Area Plan by showing DAR A8 as being "located west of Creyke Road and north of Horndon Street 1.8 Council to consider setting aside some land for any new school building work that might come out of the MOE study 1.9 For Area DAR A7, list additional disadvantages as follows: Adverse impact on existing residents who have become accustomed to the character of the area It would require significant screening from any Business Areas. It would require roading onto main route into and out of Darfield - ie Kimberley Road 5 Waters 1.17 Defer any investigation of residential infill/intensification until water issues have been resolved. 1.18 The Council and new residents should pay for any reticulated waste water system!! Local Facilities and Community Development 1.19 There is no need for "a feasibility study to provide a walking/cycling link between the town and McHugh's Forest Park along the rail corridor 1.20 Advocacy for ultrafast broadband should be given a Short timeframe in the implementation steps for Darfield 	No

1.1.1 Make as the Countrie plants or evalue that his provision is Databolish population of membry even report to make here provide in Databolish population of the provision of				
The is a symitism issue but the impormentation steps appacet to ficusive on building the designation of our inschedinal such as the man princip. 1.1. DAR A has additional disablentations are currently listed: Adverse insured or existing resistant with name become accustomed to Adverse transport or existing resistant or share the accustomed to the accustomed to the accustomed to the accustomed and the accustomed accustomed to the accustomed accustomed to the accustomed accustome				
Dudding the capacity of our residential concess at the main priority. 1.12 DARA 7 New additional disordational process and the service of the area. Advence regard on existing residential short part process. Advence regard on existing residential with a service of the area. It would exquice rounding ento main could into and out of Darfolds in Kinnberg Flora. It would exquice rounding ento main could into and out of Darfolds in Kinnberg Flora. It would exquice rounding ento main could into and out of Darfolds in Kinnberg Flora. It would exquice rounding ento main could into and out of Darfolds in Kinnberg Flora. It would exquite rounding ento main could into and out of Darfolds in Kinnberg Flora. It would exquite could be a service and the service of the				
1.12 LIA AT The unbelieved diseasements with the between consistence to the character of the ears. Advisors propries an invaliding residents with three between consistence to the character of the ears. It would requise coding onto main route into and out of Darfield - is Remotiley field is sold to the coding of the ears. It would requise coding onto main route into and out of Darfield - is Remotiley field. Stream 1.1 Value of providing coding onto main route into and out of Darfield - is Remotiley field. Stream 1.1 Value of the comply opposed to current residents having to pay for a reconsistent of the ears. It would be comply opposed to current residents having to pay for a reconsistent of the ears. It was not received the control process works for its - but and received and the ears. It was not received the control process works for its - but - but and received and re				
Adverse impact on existing resolents with nave economic social contents of the air as the not character of the air as a content of the air as a conten		building the capacity of our residential zones as the main priority.		
Adverse impact on existing resolents with nave economic social contents of the air as the not character of the air as a content of the air as a conten		1.12 DAR A7 has additional disadvantages not currently listed:		
the obstracter of the sets a - It would require significant to executing from any Business Areas. - It would require significant to executing from any Business Areas. - It would not be accounted and the set of the set				
- It would require principant extremeling from any Business Aneas It would require production that moute into and out of Darielo - le Kimpeling Medical (Minimensi Carolina) and out of Darielo - le Kimpeling Medical (Minimensi Carolina) and out of Darielo - le Kimpeling Medical (Minimensi Carolina) and out of Darielo - le Kimpeling Medical (Minimensi Carolina) and out of the Carolina (Minimensi Carolina) and out of the C				
B. Would require require routing and out of Darfield - is Knimbury Roud S. Waters				
S Waters 1:3 Any investigation of residential individual individual individual issues have been resolved. 1:4 I would be attorgly opposed to current residents having to pay for a refusible water scheme to be current process with or us, to borg and refusible water scheme to current process with or us, to borg and refusible water scheme to current process with some or and residents water and residents water and residents water and residents and r				
S Waters 1.13 and primocological on of coological millifinational cacitor must wait until water 1.14 I want to be beart necessed. 1.14 I want to be strongly reported to current residents having to pay for a reticulated waste waiter scheme - the current process works for us, there are no members for us to uppage and we would not not grey any benefits from a new schemic waysers. If the Country without and we would not not grey any benefits from a new schemic waysers. If the Country wasters to develop Darrield then the Country of the country o				
1.13 Any investigation of residential millifedirestification must wall until vator issues have been resided. 1.14 I vocate be strongly opposed to surrent residents having to ago it is a contract to the process with the process with the process of the process with the process of the process of the process of the process with the process of the proces		Talling Troub		
issues lavo boon recolocd. 1.14. I would be strongly opposed to current recidents having to pay for a refoculated waste water achieves. In current process works for us, there are refoculated waste water achieves. In current process works for us, there are refoculated waste water achieves. In the Council water to develop Darkield thin the Council water to develop Darkield within the Council water to develop Darkield within the Council water to develop Darkield within the Council water to develop Darkield waster to develop Darkield water to develop the develop of the develop of the development to develop the development to develop the development to develop the development to develop the development to development t		5 Waters		
1.14 I would be strongly opposed to current residents having to pay for a refinidated waste water scheme — the current process works for the series in no incentives for us to upgrade and we would not employ any benefits from a non-incentives for us to upgrade and we would not employ any benefits from a non-incentives for us to upgrade and we would not employ any benefits from a non-incentives for us to upgrade and the series of the		1.13 Any investigation of residential infill/intensification must wait until water		
reliculated waste valer schame – the current process works for us, there are no incorribus for us to upgrade and we work and not enjoy any browfils from a new. expersive system. If the Council washes to develop Dartield them the Council and new residents should pay! Local Facilities and Community Development 1.15 There is no need for it easibility study to provide a walking/cycling link between the town and McHugh's Forest Park along the neil corridor. A well-used, well-enatirated track dready veits and its quite quite beautiful. It supports a net and vibrant ecosystem: it is easily successible for pedestrians, pathway with lung grasses other side is on the 10 the total frame, pathway with lung grasses other side is on in of the total frame; but on it is not pathway with lung grasses other side is on in of the total frame; but on it is not a support a callular to the side pathway with the grasses other side is on in of the total frame; but on it is not a support a callular to the side pathway with the grasses of the side is one of the total frame. 1.1.6 with ye is the schoosety of thirdset treatment in a Shorth design and the support of the side treatment in the side of th				
no incontives for us to upgrade and we would not enjoy any benefits from a new. expensive system. In the Council which to develop Darfield then the Council and new residents and under payl I. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.15 There is no need for a leasability study to provide a walking-cycling link botivewen the town and Mechagins Foreits Park along the real cornidor." A well-used, well-maintrained track already exists and is quite guite beautiful. It is considered to the control of the control				
new. expensive system. If the Council wishes to develop Darfield then the Council and now redeficies should pay! Losef Facilities and Community Development 1.15 There is no need for it actability study to provide a walking/cycling link bottwom fibro from and McHughs Forcet Park along the real corridor." A well- used, well-maritained retack already exists and its jude-quite beautiful. It supports a rich and vibrant occosystem; it is losally accessable for pedestrians, cycliets, push-chars and runners. The expensives of walking drop a mown borridor of the part of				
Council and new residents should pay! Local Facilities and Community Development 1.15 There is no need to "1s feasibility study to rovido a vasiking/cycling link used, well-maintained track inready exists and is quite quite beauthul. It supports a rich and vivrant eaposysiem; it is easily accessible for pedestrians, cyclists, push-chairs and rumons. The exponence of valking along a mown pathway with long grasses either sets is one of the best limited for pedestrians, cyclists, push-chairs and rumons. The exponence of valking along a mown pathway with long grasses either sets is one of the best limiting to do in Darriedd Priesse do not run this by developing it any further. P.S. does agree who does "we all sicks to be "real" Inseed 1.16 Why is the advocacy of utrafast breadband given a Shorti-Medium timeframe in his migmenration steps for all shownings? This brould be urgraded to SHORT for Darriedd in its role as a service township and to oncourage local business growth. 1 No to rediculated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already oxists. 7 Transportation 1.3 No to setta care parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside whore they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darlield. 1.4 No to ruck stops in town contro on SH73. Population, covert & Link Fahr Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth. Therefore has Council should shede the further residential sub- divisions until the town grow list business and commercial gloom. 1.5 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town control. How down the development must first address the nature and character of the town further town on the color of the town further and the production of the production of the production of the council should sheeled business and commercial gloom. 1.6 Any tow				
Local Facilities and Community Development 1.15 There is no nood for 'ta foasbilly study to provide a walking/cycling link between the town and Michigh's Forest Park along the rail condor.' A well-used, well-				
1.15 There is no need for "a feasibility study to provide a walking/cycling link botwoen the town and McHugh's Forset Park along the corridor." A woll-used, well-writed the state of the control of the provided in the state of the control of the provided in the state of the control of the provided in the control of the control of the provided in the control of the provided in the control of the c		Council and new residents should pay!!		
1.15 There is no need for "a feasibility study to protest Park along thor all corridor". A woll-used, which mintained trans and McHughis Forest Park along thor all corridor. A woll-used, with mintained track aready exists and is quite quite beautiful. It supports a rich and vibrant accessivation; it is easily accessible for productins, on the production of the post things to do in Darfold Please do not ruin this by "dwoloping" it any leave and to in Darfold Please do not ruin this by "dwoloping" it any leave in the production of the post things to do in Darfold Please do not ruin this by "dwoloping" it any leave in the production of the post things to do in Darfold Please do not ruin this by "dwoloping" and shearn found anyone who does "we all stick to the real" tracks! 1.16 Why is the advocacy of ultratest broadband given a Short/Medium timoframa in the implementation stope for all towerings? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfold in its role as a service township and to encourage lead business growth. 1.2 No to reliable and the production of the pr		Local Facilities and Community Development		
between the town and McHugh's Forest Park along the rail corridor". A well- used, well-maintender tack already exists and is quite quite beautiful. It supports a rich and vibrant ecosystem; it is easily accessable for pedestrians, occlists, sush-chairs and runners. The experience of wing along a mown pathway with long grasses either side is one of the best things to do in anyone actually use the shingle path through the Plantan I haven't found anyone who dose - we all side to the real tracks! 1.16 Why is the advocacy of ultratast broadband given a Short/Medium timeframe in the implementation steps for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darlield in it is role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. 1 No to relocated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already oxists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just 4.1 A No to truck stops in town centre on SHTS. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable oppulation growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential sub- dovisors until the two grows its business and commendate platform. 1.6 Any town development must their discless the nature and character in the the face of the increase and evaluate and character. 1.8 We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppore, eldenty residents, young familios, young on advanced and the states of the town the face of the increased neavy well-decision to the SHTP with cutter right through it. We want to encourage to foot traffic (e.g. shoppore, eldenty residents, young				
used, well-maintained track aiready exists and is quite quite bearings. supports a rich and withorst ecosystem; tis easily accessible for pedestrians, cyclists, push-chairs and runners. The experience of walking along a mown pathway with long grasses either aside is one of the hitings to do in Darfield Please do not ruin this by developing it any further. P.S. does anyone actually use the shirple path through the Plantation? I havent found anyone who does - we all stok to the real facks! Interfame in the implementation steps for all townships? Pits should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. Service of the property of th				
supports a rich and vibrant ecosystem; it is easily accessible for pedestrians, cyclists, push-chairs and runners. The experience of which go long a mown pathway with long grasses either side is one of the best things to do in Darlield Pleases do not run in the by developing it any further, P.S. does anyone actually use the shingle path through the Plantaion? I haven't found anyone who does - we all stok to the 'real' tracket! 1.16 Why is the advocacy of ultrafast broadband given a Short-Medium time/area in the implementation stoke sey and stoke to the 'real' tracket! 1.18 Why is the advocacy of ultrafast broadband given a Short-Medium time/area in the implementation stoke sey for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darlield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. 1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already oxists. 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darlield. 1.4 No to truck stope in town centre one SH73. Population, Growth 8. Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth 8. more local compliance of the population of the proper of the town centre of the lown centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-if-rend plantom. 1.6 Any town development must list address the nature of the lown centre as a vibrant people-if-rend yarea in the face of the increased heavy workless and sketbedorally is simply cannot be				
cyclists, push-chairs and runners. The experience of walking aloring a mown pathway with long grasses either side is one of the best things to do in Darfield Please do not ruin this by 'developing' it any further. P.S. does anyona actually use the shingle path through the hartanto?' I havan't found anyone who does - we all stok to the 'real' trackel! 1.16				
pathway with long grasses either side is one of the best things to do in Darfield I Pease do not ruin this by developing if any further, P.S. does anyone actually use the shingle path through the Plantation? I haven't found anyone who does - we all stick to the 'real' racket! 1.16 Why is the advocacy of ultrafast broadband given a Short/Medium timeframe in the implementation steps for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. 1 Kevin Mulholland Darfield 5 Waters 1.1 No to reliculated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. 7 Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in twom center on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment topportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable and propuration and populations until the lown grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the mideral and control and the control of the lown centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-finendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the print prough it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, eldenly readents, young families, yound no scooters, bless and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
Darfield Please do not ruin this by 'developing' it any further, P.S. does anyone actually use the shingle path through the Plantation? I haven't found anyone who does - we all stick to the 'real' tracks! 1.16 Why is the advocacy of utdrasts broadband given a Short/Medium timeframe in the implementation steps for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. S Waters 1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHught's Forest Park - a good link already exists. Transportation 1.3 No to exit a car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change altitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck along his more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment apportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial pallorium. 1.5 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased headports. His simply cannot be				
anyone actually use the shingle path through the Platiation? I haven't found anyone who does - we all sick to the 'real' tarkes! 1.16 Why is the advocacy of ultrafast broadband given a Short/Medium timeframe in the implementation steps for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. Sevin Mulholland Darfield 5 Waters 1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already oxists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council shall shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address that antale and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the girth prough it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elder) residents, young families, youth on socoters, blikes and sketeboards) - this simply cannot be				
1.16 Why is the advocacy of lutratas broadband given a Short/Medium timetrame in the implementation steps for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. 1.1 Kevin Mulholland Darfield Swaters 1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to now link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right volutide where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Count business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy whiches she hature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles, elderly residents, young families, young families, youth on scootores, bless and skateboards) - this imply cannot be				
timeframe in the implementation steps for all townships? This should be upgraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. Kevin Mulholland				
uggraded to SHORT for Darfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. 1.1 Kevin Mulholland Darfield Jarfield Jarfield in its role as a service township and to encourage local business growth. Local Facilities and Community Development Jarfield Local Facilities and Community Development Jarfield Jarfie				
encourage local business growth. Kevin Mulholland				
S Waters 1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy whicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g., shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scoolers, bilds and skateboards). this simply cannot be				
1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be		encourage local business growth.		
1.1 No to reticulated waste water system - no benefit. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be	21 Kevin Mulholland Darfield	5 Waters	No.	
Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grost is business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young famillies, youth on scoolers, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be	Z1 Kevin Muinolland Danleid		INO INO	
1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. **Transportation** 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. **Population, Growth & Urban Form** 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, young families, youth on socoters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be		1.1 No to reticulated waste water system. No benefit.		
1.2 No to new link between town and McHugh's Forest Park - a good link already exists. **Transportation** 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. **Population, Growth & Urban Form** 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, young families, youth on socoters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be		Local Facilities and Community Development		
Transportation 1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. **Population, Growth & Urban Form** 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
1.3 No to extra car parking - there are several parking areas already, people are just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. **Population, Growth & Urban Form** 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
just accustomed to stopping right outside where they want to go. You just need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. **Population, Growth & Urban Form** 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
need to change attitudes by making it more pleasant to walk around Darfield. 1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH73. **Population, Growth & Urban Form** 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be 		1.4 No to truck stops in town centre on SH/3.		
 1.5 Any town development must be predicated on business growth & more local employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be 		Population Growth & Urban Form		
employment opportunities. Without these, there can be no sustainable population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
population growth. Therefore the Council should shelve further residential subdivisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
divisions until the town grows its business and commercial platform. 1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
1.6 Any town development must first address the nature and character of the town centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
centre. How do we keep the town centre as a vibrant people-friendly area in the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
the face of the increased heavy vehicles on the SH73 which cuts right through it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be				
achieved while so many heavy trucks continue to pass through the town		it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young		
active of that y fleavy flacto continue to pass through the town.		it. We want to encourage foot traffic (e.g. shoppers, elderly residents, young families, youth on scooters, bikes and skateboards) - this simply cannot be		

			1.7 Is it possible to promote Darfield as a tourist destination unless we can offer a pleasant town centre that can be walked around?		
22	Arthurs Pass Association (Richard Holyoake)	Arthurs Pass	 1.1 The submitters note that SDC intend to actively promote Arthur's Pass Village as a tourist destination through SDC's tourism advisor. We note that tourism is already increasing in the Village and along SH73, and this is applying pressure to the natural environment and to businesses. Clearly, tourism requires appropriate infrastructure, and our view is that there are some deficiencies in this area now, as set out below 5 Waters 1.2 The submitters are supportive of a review of the infrastructure and natural hazards constraints, and agree that this review should inform development restrictions and rules. 1.3 The submitters are supportive of SDC reviewing the stormwater disposal systems in the Village and implementing appropriate stormwater management plans. 		Not stated
			 Transportation 1.4 Footpaths should extend from the commercial centre to the village walks to increase safety for pedestrians, particularly tourists who are not familiar with New Zealand traffic conditions. Local Facilities and Community Development 1.5 The submitters are supportive of the inclusion of significant heritage and cultural sites when preparing township brochures or information pamphlets 1.6 Appropriate signage is required in the Village and surrounds to inform people of the location of toilets and rubbish bins – the submitters are very concerned at a significant increase in evidence of people toileting in public places, and an increase in litter alongside the road and in other public places Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.7 The submitters note the intention to review the Alpine Village rules and whether additional heritage buildings qualify for inclusion – we do not understand the potential implications of this review. Please provide more clarity. 1.8 The submitters note that Bealey Spur and Cass are not mentioned in the Draft Plan, and query whether SDC have put any thought into the future look and feel of these settlements. 		
25	Robert Logan	Springfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 5 waters Transportation 1.1 This submission is in respect of the property Lot 2 DP 400509 (having an area of 30.32 ha) that is partly subject to being identified as a potential Low-density Residential Development Area being 'SPR A2'. 1.2 Whereas only the more south eastern portion of this property is identified as being subject to this potential development notation, this submission is for the entire property to be subject to this notation, for the following reasons Annavale Road and the unnamed legal road provides a continuous road frontage to the subject property along its southern and western boundaries. These two roads in combination will allow for this entire portion of the subject property to be adequately provided with vehicular access and the provision of other urban-related services. It will allow for the most optimum use of the subject property in terms of being developed in an effective and efficient way; The remaining rural zoned portion of the subject property is considered too small in order for it to be utilised in any effective or efficient productive 	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 The entire area of Lot 2 DP 400509 be located within the Low-density Residential Development Area 'SPR A2'.	Yes

			 way. This is also on the basis that Ballymena Holdings Ltd does not own any other nearby rural land holdings in the Springfield area that could otherwise supplement this balance land. While the north-west portion of the subject property is further removed from the existing Springfield urban area than the balance of it, it is however no further removed than the westernmost portion of 'SPR A3', or the south easternmost portion of 'SPR A4'. Accordingly, the overall potential extent, shape and form of the potential urban area of Springfield will not be unduly compromised with the entire area of the subject property being included within 'SPR A2'. 		
27	Christine Anderson	Malvern – All areas	 1.1 The Draft Plan talks about "preferred approach to develop and apply intensification criteria To facilitate elderly persons housing and/or medium density development options". This is very admirable. Population, Growth & Urban Form; 5 Waters 1.2 I feel the Council should be identifying sections close to local amenities with the intention of either purchasing them for on-selling or encouraging developers to build smaller and affordable houses. 1.3 The main drawback is the size of the section required – under ECan rules, in order to accommodate a septic tank. 1.4 It is imperative that the Council and ECan become closely involved in rezoning some areas for infill housing with collective use of septic tanks. Some areas could be totally rezoned for that use so that if developers/landowners wish to build smaller units they can proceed without lengthy and costly negotiations. 1.5 If Council does not take immediate steps to work closer with ECan on these problems then the Council is hamstrung and many talk of infill housing and providing for the older generation is only lip service and very misleading to the local population. 1.6 I fully agree that the outer limits as per the Malvern Plan for Darfield should not be extended and that all efforts should be made to re-zone some areas to make building for young and old a much more enjoyable experience. 	Population, Growth & Urban Form; 5 Waters 1.1 The Council should be identifying sections close to local amenities with the intention of either purchasing them for on-selling or encouraging developers to build smaller and affordable houses. 1.2 The Council needs to take immediate steps to work closer with ECan on wastewater and intensification of housing problems.	
28	Rural Women New Zealand (Darfield Branch)	Darfield	 1.1 Darfield is a pleasant place to live in 2016. We all want to see it develop and be affordable for all age groups to enjoy as it is today. Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.2 The submitters would like to see more provision for affordable housing for retirees from both Darfield and surrounding townships and farmland in the Malvern area. 1.3 Developers could be encouraged to have some land set aside for smaller sections or a number of smaller houses or units at a more affordable price. This type of housing needs to be close to the commercial area of the township. 1.4 Is there provision in the Area Plan for areas of existing housing in the older part of Darfield to be converted easily to having units or two smaller houses replace the older house? These units would then be within walking distance of the commercial area 5 Waters 1.5 Restrictions that septic tanks are placing on development for denser types of development 1.6 Council and ECan need to work together to make septic tank/sewerage requirement easier to get consent for. 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.1 More provision for affordable housing for retirees from both Darfield and surrounding townships and farmland in the Malvern area. 1.2 Developers to be encouraged to have some land set aside for smaller sections or a number of smaller houses or units at a more affordable price. This type of housing needs to be close to the commercial area of the township. 5 Waters 1.3 Council and ECan need to work together to make septic tank/sewerage requirement easier to get consent for. 	Yes
29	Sally Brown	Darfield	1.1 I hope Darfield continues to develop and retain the pleasant feel that it has today. Population, Growth & Urban Form;	Population, Growth & Urban Form; 5 Waters 1.1 More encouragement given to the developers of a new subdivision to have a variety of section sizes.	Yes

			 5 Waters 1.2 I would like to see more encouragement given to the developers of a new subdivision to have a variety of section sizes. 1.3 As houses age in the existing township section owners are going to look at replacing these. Some may wish to add another home to the section – maybe a granny flat for an ageing parent or a younger member of the family to live in. It would be ideal for these developers/home owners to easily be able to get their consent through for all aspects including waste water. 1.4 Smaller sections/units may be able to share a wastewater/septic tank facility. Transportation 1.5 Is there any thought for the provision of a reliable regular transport system to link up with Christchurch and Rolleston? 1.6 Are there any plans to upgrade the roading link from the Malvern Area to Rolleston? Traffic build up can occur at the Hoskyns Road/Jones-Ward Road intersection for traffic from the Malvern area trying to turn right towards the traffic lights on SH1 to reach Rolleston. 	 1.2 Make it easier for developers/homeowners to get consent for all aspects of any granny flat type proposals, including waste water. 1.3 Make provision for smaller sections/units to be able to share a wastewater/septic tank facility. Transportation 1.4 Make provision for a reliable regular transport system to link up with Christchurch and Rolleston. 1.5 Upgrade the roading link from the Malvern Area to Rolleston. 	
31	Frederick Bull	Darfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Affordable housing for elderly was addressed a year ago, and councillors agreed it was needed, but no actual positive proposal is in the draft plan. 1.2 The outlying areas are rated for new amenities in Rolleston which the majority of rural ratepayers will never use, it must be time to consider new facilities in Darfield. 	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 The council should be 'proactive' and see that the land on North Terrace would be deal for elderly housing. 1.2 SDC do not need to put money into a housing program, but they should encourage and regulate and take action now.	No
32	Reg Anderson	Glentunnel	Local Facilities & Community Development 1.1 Consider laying powerlines underground (only half have been done) 1.2 Town Hall needs extending (wedding, funeral, school venue) 1.3 Pole Light is needed, and has been asked for a number of years, it effects 5 residents on Phillips St (all rate payers) 1.4 Millennium Walkway and the keepers referred to as 'the last of the summer wine' Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.5 Railway Terrace has room for extending, to allow for more sections on its North side	 Local Facilities & Community Development 1.1 Make underground laying of power lines a priority in Glentunnel 1.2 Extend town hall 1.3 Install pole light on Phillips Street 1.4 Help protect the walkway (Darfield has the Historic Plantation, Glentunnel has the historic Millennium walkway). Help the keepers, and save the walkway, a little advise and help goes a long way Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.5 Allow for more sections on the North Side of Railway Terrace, but only one row deep 	No
33	Lorraine Sheen	Darfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form; 1.1 Supports the urgent investigation of infill/intensification and the study of issues in suggested town centre study. 1.2 Smaller house provisions for the elderly 5 Waters 1.3 The current expansion boom is requiring waste water management to be double-charged on households who now have to provide their own solutions and will later be required to join a collective system. Transport 1.4 Pedestrian accessibility into the town should be mandatory for all new subdivisions 	 5 Waters 1.1 Would like to see this study linked to the development of the reticulated waste water management system to facilitate intensification (the longer this is left the more expensive it will become). Local Facilities & Community Development 1.2 Provisions for smaller houses on smaller sections, this will be aided by the reticulated waste water system (relief sought for above). These smaller sections would be located within walking distance to shops, community activities, medical centre and library. Transport 1.3 More off-road, direct pedestrian routes into the town, and this made mandatory in all new subdivisions. 	No
34	Catherine Barnett	Springfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Should be zones for future business, could have a commercial zone along both sides of SH73 from backpackers to drovers café. This is a strategic growth plan 1.2 Lack of light industry zones available in Springfield, need for contractors yards, roading, yards, workshops etc. truck stops. 1.3 Agrees that; the bulk of maroon area is low density residential (SPR A2) 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Zone commercial on both sides of SH73 from Shirley's backpacker accommodation to the far eastern town, Drovers Café, to the far west of the town 1.2 Land that could be rezoned for light industrial include; the bound by the rail line such as Blue Area on figure 27, white city or maroon area on figure 27 – a section of it adjacent to the rail line 	Yes

		 1.4 Agrees that; the green area fig 27 (SPR A3) is returned back to low density. Living 2 development to reflect the true character of this sector of land bounded by SH73, Pocock Road and Annavale Road 1.5 Agrees that the orange area fig 27 (SPR A1) is zoned residential Living 1 that compliments the surrounding township 1.6 Springfield has exceeded its predicted 1-2 year growth in houses a year. Transport 1.7 Need for a footpath/cycle lane along Tramway Road, the school is currently having to teach children how to walk along a road, which is against health and safety. It would also connect future development from western fringes of township to the township itself. 1.8 There are no requirements for recent residential subdivision in the township to have footpaths – this was a surprise to the community 1.9 During winter and summer peak seasons the road speed of '50' is not followed. Local Facilities & Community Development 1.10 The Springfield public toilets are the most commonly used in the whole district because it is the last stop before the mountains. 	 1.3 Implement marron area to low density, return green area to low density, zone orange to Living 1 (DAP's Malvern Figure 27 – Springfield) 1.4 The township does not have to get larger, just allowed to service its visitors more adequately and a few more jobs in the process. SDC should have a clearly though out planning approach with planning tools, to make it easier for future business owners and residents to build what is required to service visitors. Stop being short sighted and look to the future and develop a plan that allows strategic growth. Transport 1.5 Put in a walkway/cycle way along Tramway Road between Pocock Road and the Springfield School. 1.6 Install a flashing speed sign as they enter the township, installed on the eastern side of approach to the township. This should be a permanent fixture.
36	Castle Hill Adventure Castle Hill	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Population, Growth & Urban Form Yes
	Tours Ltd	Local Facilities and Community Development	1.1 To make the necessary suggested amendments to the Castle Hill Village MAP
		1.1 Inclusion of the Castle Hill Adventure Tours Ltd consented concept plan to supplement the notation 'Castle Hill Camping Ground and Golf Course' on the MAP Castle Hill Village Context Map (Figure 12 - P40).	section to better recognise the consented camping ground and golf course
		1.2 Amend the first paragraph in the section entitled 'Castle Hill: Business Land Capacity (P42) by adding the following sentence after the words 'State Highway 73':	
		"Both the golf course and camping ground proposals, together with their ancillary services, will when established provide for potential future employment opportunities for Castle Hill residents. This is in terms of the range of accommodation types able to be provided, the provision of associated hospitality facilities, and the hire of recreation equipment".	
		1.3 Add the following at the end of the last paragraph after the words 'business greenfield land':	
		", other than comprising the approved golf club and camping ground site".	
		1.4 Inclusion of the Castle Hill Adventure Tours Ltd consented activity on the MAP Castle Hill Village: Opportunities and Issues Plan (Figure 13 - P43) and for the following text to be inserted in the Key of this Plan:	
		"CH A2: Potential for further recreation, tourist/visitor accommodation and ancillary activities to supplement that already approved in the granted resource consent".	
		1.5 Add the following additional bullet point to the section entitled 'Opportunity: Natural Environment and Cultural Heritage' (P44):	
		"- Encourage the opportunity for a range of differing recreation, accommodation and ancillary facilities to be established within the approved golf course and camping ground area that will nestle into, and complement, the surrounding natural environment".	
		1.6 Amend the 4 th bullet point in the section entitled 'Issue: Population, Growth Capacity and Urban Form' to make reference to the golf course and delete the second sentence so that this bullet point reads as follows:	
		" – The consenting of the golf course and camping ground in the High Country zone has effectively doubled the size of the township area	

		which now (sic) separated from Castle Hill Village by State Highway		
		73."		
		1.7 Greater clarity around the context of the 5 th bullet point, which references mana whenua concerns relating to further development east of the State Highway may encroach on culturally significant sites, is required as it is currently ambiguous and provides no meaningful guidance.		
		1.8 Amend the first bullet point in the section entitled 'Issue: Transport to replace the word 'poor' in the second sentence with 'limited' in reference to the connectivity between the proposed camping ground and golf course and the village.		
		1.9 Add the following to the section entitled 'Possible Future Development Options (P46):		
		"Castle Hill Area 2 – CH A2 (being the site of the approved camping ground and golf course)		
		A potential future area for further development that could be undertaken in conjunction with the already approved camping ground and golf course proposal. This would be contingent on future demands for such facilities and ensuring that environmental standards associated with this high country setting can be maintained.		
		Advantages		
		- Only a very small portion of the site (being adjacent to the SH73) is located within an Outstanding natural Landscape Area while the balance is within a Forestry Exclusion Area as identified in the District Plan.		
		- Is adjacent to the existing Castle Hill Village.		
		 Has good visibility in both directions along SH73 to ensure traffic safety. 		
		 Offers panoramic views and receives extensive amounts of sunshine. 		
		- Gentle terrain.		
		- Readily available servicing connections.		
		Disadvantages		
		 Ongoing requirements to ensure traffic safety associated with SH73. 		
		1.10 Amend the following paragraph within the 'Conclusion' section to give effect to this submission:		
		"The retention of the current township boundary through to 2031, together with the inclusion of the site for the approved golf course and camping ground development, is consistent with the principles contained in Chapter 5 of the CRPS, the District Growth Strategy Directions and Area Plan Principles, which reinforce the need to manage growth in an integrated and consolidated manner while avoiding the social, economic and environmental impacts associated with dispersed settlement patterns".		
New Zealand Defence Force (Rebecca Davies)	Malvern Area	Local Facilities & Community Development 1.1 NZDF owns and operates the Glentunnel Ammunitions Depot (the depot), located near Glentunnel. The NZDF purchased the 301 ha lot back in 1991,	Other 1.1 NZDF would like to identify itself as a stakeholder in the area and wishes to be part of the Area Plan Process	Yes
35,		and the location is used as a site for storage of ammunition and as a demolition range for purpose of detonating expired ammunition, for NZDF and public.	1.2 The area plan should recognise and provide for the Depot, it is defined as 'strategic infrastructure' and 'regionally significant infrastructure' CRPS, and recognised as nationally significant infrastructure.	
		1.2 Reverse sensitivity is a major consideration for all Defence facilities across NZ, due to the activity status, is a concern for future residential growth of Glentunnel	Include the Depot and necessary infrastructure for its ongoing and efficient operation in both the introduction section and opportunities and issues section	

				of the Glentunnel Chapter. NZDF has provided the following suggested wording; - Introduction: the Glentunnel ammunition Depot is 301 hectare site on Turnbulls Road. It is designated in the Selwyn District Plan. The site is used by the New Zealand Defence Force (NZDF) for both the storage of ammunition and as a demolitions range for detonating expired ammunition for NZDF and the public. - Opportunities: The Glentunnel Ammunitions Depot is an important asset for NZDF and the wider community. - Issues: The current and future operation of the site should therefore be enabled through provision of appropriate transport networks and by managing future development in the surrounding area so as to avoid reverse sensitivity issues. Local Facilities & Community Development 1.4 Appropriate recognition is needed for the activities undertaken on site, to ensure they can continue without vulnerability of complaint from new land users (reverse sensitivity). Any plans for Glentunnel should have appropriate consideration of the Depot	
38	Two Rivers Community Trust (Paul Cossey, chairsperson)	Glentunnel	 Local Facilities & Community Development Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Social housing goal, provide affordable housing appropriate to the people's needs. The housing development looks like it shuts out lower incomes, or low equity people out of the home ownership market due to costs of sections and restrictive covenants from developers. 1.2 There is a lack of providing/managing social housing in Darfield, for people who need to be supported and resourced to get back on their feet. Due to this there are a lot of people who live in lower standard housing rentals sharing with others. The cost has shifted above what is affordable due to influx of people moving to Selwyn post-earthquakes. 1.3 A number of Two Rivers Community Trust volunteers and trustees have experience and relations with Vision West in Auckland, Habitat for humanity, Haunui Trust and Archer Memorial Rest Home (other trusts and retirement village). These types of services are best in cities, but Darfield has good social structures, schools and employment opportunities to maintain and develop community. Residents feel they have to leave small rural communities to access services they need, instead of providing Darfield with amenities needed. 1.4 Elderly people cannot access appropriate housing or care needed and find they have to relocate to Christchurch or where there family are. Darfield is an excellent sized town with easy access to groceries, Postshop, Library and a host of recreational activities. It seems prudent to us, to ensure people who have spent considerable years working, living and serving in the District have some choice about accessing housing or care in the District appropriate to their needs in their senior years. 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 SDC should ensure developers provide a range of section sizes, house size and material costs to reflect a broad range of affordable housing options. Local Facilities & Community Development 1.2 Approach central government for the provision of social housing in the service centres across Selwyn. We would support the council in making a submission to Central Government about providing social housing not only for Darfield, but also other service centres across Selwyn. We have a broad range of volunteers who are willing to engage and support others in crisis or who are putting their hand up for help. 1.3 A moratorium on selling Council owned housing stock in order to properly assess the social housing needs in the Malvern District 1.4 To actively support or encourage the development of a residential senior care facility to meet the demand of the Malvern District 	Yes

39	Coalgate Properties (Peter Bond)	Coalgate	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 This is in relation to one property, 133 Bridge Street, it was purchased between 4 people when it was zoned Urban. It is now zoned Rural. This has caused financial loss to the submitter and associated group. The Draft area plan is suggesting to change the land to business zone. 1.2 The Council has expressed development constraints at this site, with the main issue being reverse sensitivity from neighbouring Bentonite Plant. Speaking with a neighbour of the Bentonite Plant he said he did not have noise, odour or truck movement issues. 1.3 The site can be readily serviced by power, water, and telephone communication and has sealed road access. This site is serviced by nearby reserves and sporting facilities. The site is fronted with the SH but access to the subdivision would be made off Briggs Street onto a small cul-de-sac. 1.4 There is no demand for additional business zoned land in Coalgate, as the property has been on the market for 7 months and no interest. 1.5 By zoning the land back to Living zone, they will landscape and enhance the entrance to the proud and vibrant township. 	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Rezone the land at 133 Bridge Street back to Living Zone 1.2 Address the inconsistency between the zoning of the two adjacent property sites, where construction of new building have been approved. Particularly because the approved property is closer to the plant.	?
44	Rob Lawerance	Darfield	 Other 1.1 I urge the council planning process to keep Objective B1 for both township and rural (retain natural flora and vexation to assure wildlife corridors can be preserved). 5 Waters 1.2 Seek to improve water quality standards through a balanced approach to economic activities. 1.3 Dairy issues must be addressed. Transport 1.4 Encourage policy B2.1.10 is a primary focus continued support for road safety initiatives i.e. Dan Harker stop signs alerts 1.5 Issues 3 and 6 I urge consideration of railway transport options for the public. Selwyn has use features with existing rail infrastructure that could enable effective transport options (it is never cheaper than now) 1.6 The District needs to prepare for increased tourism and the future trends of electric cars (self-driving charging stations) 	Other 1.1 Maintain objectives in B1 (Natural Environment Chapter in the District Plan) for both township and rural 1.2 All planners should consider attending November 2016 Singularity University Event please check http://www.singularityunz.com 1.3 Do not consolidate CCC Transport 1.4 Look into railway for public transport 1.5 Prepare for increases in tourism by looking at electric cars, and charging stations. 1.6 Encourage Policy B2.1.10 ("ensure vehicle crossings, intersections, pathways, roadside signs and noticeboards are designed and positioned to ensure good visibility for all road users, and to allow safe passage, access and egress")	No
45	Helen Loe	Darfield	 5 Waters 1.1 Darfield currently serviced by septic tanks, and the town lacks sewer and waste water system. Until the development of a sewerage scheme takes place development of smaller lot sections will be limited, there is already currently a shortage of smaller homes Local Facilities and Community Development 1.2 With the popularity of Darfield as a retirement destination, would ask Council to consider funding assistance and allocation of land towards the establishment of a Mens Shed. Population, Growth & Urban Form 3 Smaller Sections 1.4 NZ's population is ageing, People are living longer. With Darfield a popular retirement destination for people from the Malvern area and beyond along with a number from overseas this expansion is very evident in the community especially the portion of population aged 65 and over, and likely to continue. This will further pressure demand of available smaller affordable homes well above current levels where there is a severe shortage of 1 & 2 bed room dwellings on smaller sections. Adjustment to District Plan is necessary to serve this need. 	 5 Waters 1.1 With the shortage of smaller homes, there should be alarm bells ringing at the importance of developing the sewerage scheme. 1.2 Council should publish information on the progress of plans for sewerage scheme for fairness of future development/developers Local Facilities and Community Development 1.3 Consider funding assistance and allocation of land towards the establishment of a Mens Shed Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.4 Adjustment to District Plan is necessary to provide for smaller affordable homes on smaller sections 	No

46	Rob Lawerance	Darfield	 Local Facilities & Community Development 1.1 Support the expansion and maintenance of native vegetation in this area to assure corridors for wildlife so they can move freely between areas of Canterbury 5 Waters 1.2 Darfield has unique geological features so septic tanks would be good in long term development Other 1.3 Council should consider attendance in the November 2016 Singularity University Summit 	 5 Waters 1.1 Use of septic tanks or small consolidated septic or biological treatment systems should be considered for long term developments in Darfield. Transport 1.2 Consider the use of rail and electric vehicle infrastructure as an important priority Other 1.3 Council should consider attendance in the November 2016 Singularity University Summit to inform staff on the changes coming with exponential growth in technology and commerce 	No
49	Joanne Frecker	Springfield	5 Waters 1.1 constraints on Springfield's growth are local water supply, lack of town sewerage system 1.2 The recent development of 124 Annavale Road, an acceptable solution to the sewerage problem was an on-site effluent irrigation system, however this takes up a significant percentage for land and better solutions needed 1.3 Residential development within the existing town boundaries will be constrained by sewerage problems. Transport 1.4 Shortage of car parking off highway in the centre of town, for commercial development 1.5 Further subdivisions and more sections with entrances on Annavale Road may be considered undesirable, as it would create more traffic (currently unsealed), and the intersection is with SH73, dangerously situated on the curve of SH Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.6 Strip development along SH73 for commercial or residential is undesirable 1.7 Shortage of car parking off highway in the centre of town, for commercial development 1.8 Residential growth could occur in Pocock Road-Greenings road precinct through rezoning for smaller lots. 1.9 Further subdivisions and more sections with entrances on Annavale Road may be considered undesirable, as it would create more traffic (currently unsealed), and the intersection is with SH73, dangerously situated on the curve of SH 1.10 Support commercial development within the town centre, and residential development on the selected areas of the fringe	 1.5 Rezoning to allow for smaller section sizes and further subdivisions is necessary to promote development in the Pocock Road – Greenings Road precinct (SPR A3 Fig 27) 1.6 Support growth commercial in town and residential development in selected areas along the fringe 	No
50	Don Gillanders	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Satisfied with the status quo regarding our (yet to be developed) land holding in the Telegraph Road/Creyke road and Greendale Road area (currently zoned Living 1, Living X Deferred, L2A Deferred) 1.2 We may look favourably on a zoning change to business for land we own on Cridges Road (Area DAR A3) and to allow smaller sections 1.3 While some areas of Darfield are undeveloped there seems to be adequate supply of zoned land for the near future, with the exception of section sizes between 600m2 and 5000m2 1.4 No need for more 4 hectare subdivisions as it is wasted land 1.5 Encourage infill of existing large sections Transportation 1.6 Township parking is a concern 1.7 Heavy traffic through the town centre is a concern	Not clear what relief is sought.	No

51	Operation Homer	Springfield	Background	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Yes
	(Submitted by Aston		1.1 The submitter has an interest in Springfield Area 2 (west of Pocock Road and	1.1 Amend overall Area plan approach to identify preferred development areas	
	Consultants)		north of Annavale Road). Operation Homer applied for consent in 2006 to	rather than possible future development options, with urban zoning to be	
			subdivide the site adjoining land to the west into 21 lots. An appeal by the	implemented through the District Plan Review	
			applicant against SDC was rejected by Environment Court in 2007. The	1.2 Consistent with the above, identify SPR A2 and balance of block to west (i.e.	
			principal reason being that the proposal would not maintain the rural character	the 39ha site shown on the 2006 concept plan attached in Appendix A and	
			of the site and locality, due to Outer Plains Rural Zoning anticipating a	legally described as RS 39367 and Pt RS 9817 & 14416 for a mixed density	
			minimum dwelling density of 1 per 20ha. Therefore under the current plan the	residential area, with potential for higher densities closer to Pocock Road and	
			application calls for a plan change, not a resource consent. Therefore the DPR	lower density on the Rural Outer Plains boundaries. Suggest zone L1 with	
			will indicate and implement the most relevant zoning for the site.	minimum average lot size of 800m2and requirement for minimum average lot	
				size of 1 ha for sites sharing a boundary with a rural zone	
			Other	1.3 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of this	
			1.2 In accordance with Clause 25 4(b) of Schedule 2 of the RMA, a local authority	submission	
			may reject a private plan change request if within the last 2 years, the	1.4 The district plan review should rezone the areas for urban development	
			substance of the request or part of the request has been considered and given	identified in MAP.	
			effect to, or rejected by, the local authority or the Environment Court; or the	1.5 If applicants want to progress rezoning more quickly than the DPR process,	
			District Plan has been operative for less than 2 years. This means private plan	then it should be possible through a private plan change (Both options are	
			change rezoning requests relating to any land within the Malvern and	available under the Christchurch Replacement District Plan Review Process)	
			Ellesmere area may be rejected by the Council for the period from notification	1.6 The submitters land is the most logical extension of urban boundary as it is	
			of the DPR up to 2 years after the Selwyn District Plan is made operative. This	located North of the SH, adjoining the existing township, and opposite existing	
			will in effect, 'preclude' rezoning and prevent further urban development for	residential development on the east side of Pocock Road, and existing rural	
			several years (up to 2020 and beyond, depending on the length of the DP	residential development on opposite side of Annavale Road, proposed as low	
			Review process). It creates a high degree of uncertainty Aston Consultants	density residential SPR A3.	
			Resource Management & Planning for applicants who are simply unlikely to	1.7 The higher density L1 zoning could just extend as far North of Regent Street	
			proceed with the costs of preparation of a private plan change in such an	on the opposite side of Pocock Rd.	
			uncertain planning framework.	1.8 Have appropriate minimum setbacks from midland rail line so stop reverse sensitivity issues	
			Population, Growth & Urban Form		
			1.3 It is considered that the Malvern Area Plan and District Plan Review are the	5 Waters	
			appropriate methods for indicating and implementing the most appropriate	1.9 Other potential methods which require further investigation is the reallocation	
			zoning for the site.	of water supply to the township to accommodate growing demands until 2031	
			1.4 The MAP is providing anticipated urban development, it is not appropriate and		
			is contrary to the RMA (Part 2, s31, s32 and s72-76) to rely on private plan changes to implement zoning of development areas identified in MAP. The		
			district plan review should rezone these.		
			1.5 The area plan justification for relying on private plan changes is that		
			Springfield Township has sufficient capacity to accommodate growth until		
			2031. This fails to recognise;		
			- Reliance on intensification of existing low density zoned L1 is not		
			adequate to address future growth needs. There are multiple		
			landowners with different aspirations and timelines for redevelopment. The		
			fact that this land has been zoned L1 since the Operative DP was notified		
			in 1995 and intensification has not occur red indicates that landowners		
			over a substantial time period have not wished to intensify. In any case,		
			this should not be encouraged given the location on the vast majority of		
			existing L1 land with further development potential is on the southern side		
			of the SH-growth is to be focussed on the north side to avoid potential SH		
			severance effects.		
			- An analysis of Quick map information indicated land potential to intensify		
			is limited. The scope for intensification is located south of SH1, where an		
			additional 47 lots has been calculated as being able to be developed. The		
			location adjoining agricultural land means further intensification could lead		
			to reverse sensitivity with adjoining farm land, and servicing with transport		
			issues. The location of the submitters land is considered to be a logical		
			extension of the urban boundary.		
			- Development opportunities should be provided in different landownership		
			to avoid potential ownership 'monopolies' and owners potentially		
			developing and 'drip feeding' sections to the market to create a scarcity		
			and maintain high section prices, SPR A3 possible low density residential		
	1	1	area in draft MAP was zoned rural residential in former plan and is largely		1

			developed for Rural residential purposes i.e. there is limited capacity here		
			for further RR development.		
			 The site offers excellent north and west facing views to the mountains and would provide very attractive outlook for residential sections 		
			- The site is in the general area of future growth paths in the current district		
			plan growth of township policies and will provide a variation in section		
			sizes and housing typologies to meet the wider community needs. - The site provides clear defined boundaries to further urban development		
			being contained by Annavale Road, Tramway Road and the Midland		
			Railway line Disadvantages noted in MAP SPR 2, development in this area would need		
			to overcome infrastructure servicing capacity issues (i.e. water and		
			integration into the community network); adverse reverse sensitivity effects		
			with midland line. And the site is comprised of Class III versatile soils. So should include;		
			 The site is contiguous to the existing township and represents a logical form of development. MAP 		
			acknowledges graduated density could be appropriate. It		
			is logical reflecting the subdivision pattern of existing		
			developed L1 zone opposite. The higher density L1 zoning could just extend as far North of Regent Street on		
			the opposite side of Pocock Rd.		
			 Reverse sensitivity with railway can be overcome with imposition of appropriate setbacks. 		
			The proposed mixed density development would result in		
			appropriate pattern of development in terms of visual integration with adjoining land uses and provide		
			appropriate visual 'transition' at rural/urban boundary.		
			Springfield Township historically had capacity issues with respect to water allegation, which have now been		
			respect to water allocation, which have now been resolved. Further development of Springfield could result		
			in additional water capacity issues, it is agreed that		
			integration into wider community with respect to water capacity is an issue to potentially address.		
			The site is not prime agricultural land, it is 'landlocked'		
			block bounded by exisiting roads and railway line. Mixed		
			density is a more efficient use of land, given the ideal location on the existing boundary of Springfield.		
52	Charlie Buttle	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Yes
			1.1 Support in principle the Draft MAP and in particular identification of Darfield Area 2 (DAR A2), as an 'area for residential intensification, subject to the amendments referenced in the relief sought, including	1.1 Amend the overall MAP approach to identify preferred development areas rather than possible future development options, with urban zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review.	
			 Referencing DAR A2 as a 'preferred development area' 	1.2 Removal of the Deferred status of the current L2A zone for Area 2 as a	
			 Removal the SDP L2A Deferred status that applies to the land 	potable water supply is now available that is capable of serving the subdivision and there is an existing ODP in the District Plan covering the land (ODP Area	
			 The MAP to identify the land as an intensification area provision for densities of 5,000m² 	4 – Darfield). 1.3 Identify the land in the MAP as an area for intensification to 5,000m² densities	
			1.2 The identification of development areas on the Darfield Area Plan map provides direction and certainty to the community, development sector, service providers and land owners in respect to urban development is anticipated within the MAP.	1.4 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of the submission.	
			1.3 There are no physical constraints to densities of 5,000m², which are compatible with the character of the surrounding area.		
			1.4 There is an anticipated demand for sections in Darfield resulting from economic activity in the area, including the Dairy factory and Central Plains Irrigation Scheme.		

		 The 5,000m² section size provides for a greater variety of lot sizes, is more efficient land use than the current 1ha densities and better satisfies market demand. The staged development and consequential reduction of available farm land on site will not diminish the long term viability of existing farming practices or versatile soils. The current L2A zoning, single ownership and proximity to the town centre presents the site as the most likely block to be developed first on the southern side of Darfield. The subject land is a logical area for intensification that can be comprehensively planned to achieve a high level of connectivity and integration with the existing township and its northwards growth direction. Standard density development in Area 7 is also strongly supported on this basis. 		
54	Cliff and Beryl Hatton (Submitted by Aston Consultants)	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 It is not appropriate and contrary to the RMA to rely on private plan change requests to implement zoning of the development areas shown in the	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Amend the overall Area Plan approach to identify preferred development areas rather than possible future development options, with the zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Poving.	
		Ellesmere Area Plan. The DPR should rezone these areas. The MAP does not preclude any additional greenfield land from being considered for zoning through privately –initiated plan change requests under the RMA 1.2 The quantum assessment of land supply and needs does not take into account the many factors which determine whether existing zoned land is actually 'development ready'. The MAP and subsequent DPR should identify methods for addressing potential development constraints, particularly where they relate to Council planning e.g. infrastructure.	 implemented through the District Plan Review 1.2 Consistent with the above, include Darfield Area 1 in the MAP as a preferred Residential 2A zone (minimum average lot size not less than 1 ha) 1.3 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of this submission 1.4 Provide for some 1 ha minimum average lots for development of mixed character and mixed aged neighbourhoods with a more 'mature' character at the outset than Greenfield areas. 	
		1.3 Private plan change is contrary to the purpose of the RMA in enabling people and communities to provide for their economic and social welfare to rely solely on private plan changes to facilitate future urban growth when there is a DPR underway. This is not the most appropriate method and is inefficient and ineffective compared to DPR rezoning land (especially where the landowner actively supports zoning and assists in providing required technical supporting information).	1.5 To manage the rural/urban boundary, appropriate 'transitional' lower density residential zoning. Lots adjoining Fonterra have minimum 2ha lots, and zone L2 for Area 1 with minimum 1ha lots.	
		1.4 Private plan change requests will effectively be precluded for two years following the DPR being made operative under Clause 25 of the First Schedule, which creates a high degree of uncertainty for applicants who are unlikely to proceed with the costs of preparing a private plan change.		
		1.5 The MAP justification for relying on private plan change requests to implement rezoning is on the basis that there is sufficient capacity within Darfield to accommodate growth through to 2031 without the need to actively zone additional land through the DPR, which fails to recognise the matters listed below:		
		 There needs to be a range of greenfield development areas in different ownership to provide choice to the market 		
		 Area 1 is an area consisting of 4ha blocks zoned rural A under the former Malvern District plan (allowed 0.5ha to 4ha as a condition when there was an existing dwelling). Allow for 1ha lot minimums for mixed use. Horse Grazing is the current predominant existing activity on these lots. 		
		 R2A zoning with minimum average 1 ha lots will complement the zoning of land adjoining to the east. Alternative access is available from Clintons Road, and a more organic form of intensification. 		
		 Intensification of Area 1 will enhance a concentric growth pattern around Darfield. It is more efficient use of land, as it is essentially used for rural lifestyle purposes as the blocks are too small for economic farming, other 		

		than small-scale part time basis. Located next to Darfield School. And close to the township centre. It is a logical area for rezoning. • There is anticipated growing demand for sections at Darfield resulting from economic activity on the area, including the new dairy factory and CPW, and retiring farmers. • The only issue could be management of the boundary, with rural land to the north (Fonterra). The land is class III versatile soils. • L2 (minimum 1ha lot size) would provide the appropriate transitional residential zoning to the rural. Urban. As with PC46 areas, lots adjoining Fonterra can be subject to minimum lot size of 2ha. • Area 1 has multiple ownership, so the respective size is not economic for intensive farming. Irrespective of Class III soils, it is not considered feasible for Area 1 to be utilised for more intensive farming purposes than currently. There are a number community facilities are walking/cycling distance. • Servicing and infrastructure issues can be managed through the DPR. Given the depth to ground water, reticulated waste water services are not needed.		
55	H Faulker Darfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.5 I support in principal. Identification of Springfield Area 4 (SPR A4) for low density residential development subject to relief sought including Identify preferred development areas, with urban zoning to be implemented throughout the District Plan Review Identify SPR A4 as preferred low density residential development 1.6 The identification of development areas on the MAP Map provides direction and certainty to the community, development sector, service providers and land owners in respect to urban development is anticipated within the MAP. 1.7 It is not appropriate and contrary to the RMA to rely on private plan change requests to implement zoning of the development areas shown in the Ellesmere Area Plan. The DPR should rezone these areas. 1.8 It is contrary to the purpose of the RMA in enabling people and communities to provide for their economic and social welfare to rely solely on private plan changes to facilitate future urban growth when there is a DPR underway. This is not the most appropriate method and is inefficient and ineffective compared to DPR rezoning land (especially where the landowner actively supports zoning and assists in providing required technical supporting information). 1.9 Private plan change requests will effectively be precluded for two years following the DPR being made operative under Clause 25 of the First Schedule, which creates a high degree of uncertainty for applicants who are unlikely to proceed with the costs of preparing a private plan change. 1.10 The MAP justification for relying on private plan change requests to implement rezoning is on the basis that there is sufficient capacity within Darfield to accommodate growth through to 2031 without the need to actively zone additional land through the DPR, which fails to recognise the matters listed below: Reliance on intensification of existing lower density lots zoned L1 is not adequate to	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Amend the overall MAP approach to identify preferred development areas rather than possible future development options, with urban zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review. 1.2 Identify land SPR A4 as a preferred location for low density residential development 1.3 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of the submission.	

	 1.11 Disadvantages noted in MAP for low density in SPR A4 would give rise to ribbon effect and reverse sensitivity associated to SH73 and midland railway. In response to this the following matters are considered; Location is contiguous to the existing town ship. The site could be accessed from Tawera Lane or Domain/Springfield and Tramway road, avoiding potentially reverse sensitivity effects with SH73 Connectivity with existing community facilities and services, close to primary school, furthermore the submitter has gifted a 3m strip along SH73 for pedestrian cycle way. Residential development would not result in a dispersed settlement pattern, there is existing residential development opposite SH73 and the Springfield cemetery. Overcome reverse sensitivity from midland rail and SH through the imposition of a 40m building setback and noise abatement. Site has limited productive capacity due to severance created by railway and elongated triangular shape boundary with SH. Low density residential development is considered to be the only practical use of site. 		
Masnutten Trust Sheffield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Support in principle the Draft MAP and in particular but not limited to Sheffield, subject to the amendments referenced in the relief sought, including: Referencing SHF A2 as a 'preferred development area' Facilitating a range of minimum average lot sizes ranging from 800m² to 2,000m² in size 1.2 The identification of development areas on the MAP Map provides direction and certainty to the community, development sector, service providers and land owners in respect to urban development is anticipated within the MAP. 1.3 It is not appropriate and contrary to the RMA to rely on private plan change requests to implement zoning of the development areas shown in the Ellesmere Area Plan. The DPR should rezone these areas. 1.4 The stated overall MAP approach is that there is sufficient developable available to accommodate projected household and business growth and/ or that there are constraints which currently preclude additional development – But that this does not preclude any additional greenfield land from being considered for zoning through privately-initiated plan change requests under the RMA. 1.5 The quantum assessment of land supply and needs does not take into account the many factors which determine whether existing zoned land is actually 'development ready'. The MAP and subsequent DPR should identify methods for addressing potential development constraints, particularly where they relate to Council planning e.g. infrastructure. 1.6 It is contrary to the purpose of the RMA in enabling people and communities to provide for their economic and social welfare to rely solely on private plan changes to facilitate future urban growth when there is a DPR underway. This is not the most appropriate method and is inefficient and ineffective compared to DPR rezoning land (especially where the landowner actively supports zoning and assists in providing required technical supporting information	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Amend the overall MAP approach to identify preferred development areas rather than possible future development options, with urban zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review – Specifically Sheffield Area 2 (SHF A2). 1.2 Identify the Sheffield Area 2 (SHF A2) in the MAP as a preferred standard residential area with minimum average lot sizes ranging from 800m² to 2,000m² on the lots sharing a boundary with the adjoining Rural zoned land. 1.3 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of the submission.	Yes

			actively zone additional land through the DPR, which fails to recognise the matters listed below: • There is currently only one section for sale in Sheffield township	
			 The L1A zone is in single ownership and is used by an engineering contractor, which is unlikely to be developed to deliver sections to the market in the short/medium terms 	
			 There is an ongoing demand for sections in Sheffield as a consequence of affordability, access to the high country and proximity to Darfield and Christchurch City 	
			1.9 The following responses are provided to the disadvantages identified in the MAP:	
			 Intensification would further elongate the form of the township and contribute to a more dispersed settlement pattern and ribbon development The existing dwellings create a residential character at the northern end of SHF A2 so development is infill in nature 	
			 There is less water pressure north of Sheffield – There are engineering solutions available that can be funded through development contributions 	
			 Some areas are identified as being potentially contaminated – The land owner is not aware of any contamination issues, with Preliminary Site Investigation potentially required at the time of rezoning under the DPR and Detailed Sited Investigations to be undertaken at subdivision stage 	
			 <u>Transpower's National Grid dissects the land and may give rise to adverse reverse sensitivity effects</u> – Transpower will be required to be consulted as an affected party when application for development are received by Council. Any effects can be addressed through minimum setbacks and District Plan subdivision assessment matters 	
			 The land is comprised of Class II versatile soils that are valued for their productive capacity – The land is too small to be farmed economically and is used for low intensity grazing purposes 	
			1.10 SHF A2 is suitable for residential purposes because:	
			 The land was zoned Rural Residential in the former Malvern Transitional District Plan and clearly has been identified as suitable for residential use over a long period of time 	
			 It is a small land holding that is not economic to farm 	
			It adjoins the current township boundary and is a logical area for growth	
			 The land owner wishes to develop the land in the short to medium term and they have consulted with the only other land owner in the block who support the rezoning 	
			 The land is a greenfield block with on key owner so can be developed in a comprehensive manner without the complication of multiple ownership 	
57	Phil Thomas	Kirwee	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Population, Growth & Urban Form
			1.1 The submitters partly support the Malvern Area Plan, and in particular but not limited to the Kirwee township	1.1 Amend the overall Area Plan approach to identify preferred development areas and future residential/business growth paths rather than possible future
			1.2 The identification of preferred development areas in the MAP provides direction and certainty to the community, development sector, service providers and land owners with respect to urban development anticipated and planned for within Area Plan area.	development options, with the zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review. 1.2 Include Kirwee Area 2 (extended to include land adjoining to the north) as a Preferred Standard Residential Development Area
			1.3 It is not appropriate and contrary to the RMA to rely on private plan change requests to implement zoning of the development areas shown in the Ellesmere Area Plan. The DPR should rezone these areas.	1.3 Identify the existing zoned area north of Hoskyns Rd and west of Courtenay Road as a Standard Residential Development area, and re-zone that part of this area currently Living 2 to Living 1 in the DPR. The Living 1 zoning should extend north as far as an existing east-west shelter belt, which provides a
			1.4 It is contrary to the purpose of the RMA in enabling people and communities to provide for their economic and social welfare to rely solely on private plan changes to facilitate future urban growth when there is a DPR underway. This is not the most appropriate method and is inefficient and ineffective compared	

	to DPR rezoning land (especially where the landowner actively supports zoning and assists in providing required technical supporting information). 1.5 Private plan change requests will effectively be precluded for two years following the DPR being made operative under Clause 25 of the First Schedule, which creates a high degree of uncertainty for applicants who are unlikely to proceed with the costs of preparing a private plan change. 1.6 The MAP justification for relying on private plan change requests to implement rezoning is on the basis that there is sufficient capacity within Kirwee to accommodate growth through to 2031 without the need to actively zone additional land through the DPR, which fails to recognise the matters listed below: • The need to recognise the short, medium and longer term growth needs of the township and to identify and zone land accordingly, including replacing existing low density residential zoning with standard residential zoning for my property because this would preclude/complicate future residential growth given the position within the township's residential growth path. • The only remaining area of undeveloped zoned residential land, apart from my land, is all in single ownership. It is important to provide zoned land in a mix of ownerships to avoid a monopoly situation where developers/land owners' drip feed' sections to the market and create scarcity and maintain high section prices; and for market choice. 1.7 In response to the disadvantages detailed in the Kirwee documentation for the KIR A2 land we note the following: • Intensive farming activities; the adjoining farmland is farmed by myself, and therefore I can control how any reverse sensitivity effects are managed. In any case, I do not undertake any potentially noxious intensive farming activities; the adjoining farmland. The land is used for grazing and cropping. The intensive farming activity shown on the MAP adjoining SH is separated from my land by Kirwee Area 1, proposed for standard residential development.		
58 Alan Rhodes Hororata	For farm planning. Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Support in principle the Draft MAP but not the provisions relating to Hororata, including:	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Amend the overall MAP approach to identify preferred development areas rather than possible future development options, with urban zoning to be	Yes

- The Issues and Options: Possible Future Development Options that do not identify the land on the western boundary of the township bounded by Hawkins Road, Bealy Road and Cotons Road
- 1.2 The identification of development areas on the MAP Map provides direction and certainty to the community, development sector, service providers and land owners in respect to urban development is anticipated within the MAP.
- 1.3 It is not appropriate and contrary to the RMA to rely on private plan change requests to implement zoning of the development areas shown in the Ellesmere Area Plan. The DPR should rezone these areas.
- 1.4 It is contrary to the purpose of the RMA in enabling people and communities to provide for their economic and social welfare to rely solely on private plan changes to facilitate future urban growth when there is a DPR underway. This is not the most appropriate method and is inefficient and ineffective compared to DPR rezoning land (especially where the landowner actively supports zoning and assists in providing required technical supporting information).
- 1.5 Private plan change requests will effectively be precluded for two years following the DPR being made operative under Clause 25 of the First Schedule, which creates a high degree of uncertainty for applicants who are unlikely to proceed with the costs of preparing a private plan change.
- 1.6 The MAP justification for relying on private plan change requests to implement rezoning is on the basis that there is sufficient capacity within Hororata to accommodate growth through to 2031 without the need to actively zone additional land through the DPR, which fails to recognise the matters listed below:
 - There is no existing low density residential zoning at Hororata, the provision of which would widen housing choice and meet a gap in current demand
 - The possible future low-density development area identified as HOR A2 is owned by the Council. It is generally preferable to provide development opportunities beyond single owned blocks to provide market choice and avoid monopoly situations. This land is not necessarily 'development ready', which necessitates the need for alternative sites to respond to land owner aspirations and market needs.
- 1.7 The identified area is suitable for residential purposes because:
 - The site is ideally located adjoining the township boundary, in proximity to Hororata School and within a block that already contains L1 zoned land
 - The submitter as a proven track record in developing land for residential purposes
 - The MAP recognises that this site is well contained. Cotons Road is a strong, logical and definitive township containment boundary to the east that is currently not well defined
 - The site is generally suitable for development from an infrastructure servicing perspective
 - The site does not have the potential flooding/inundation constraints identified for land closer to the Hawkins River, including HOR A2.
- 1.8 The following responses are provided to the disadvantages identified in the MAP, which are that development may give rise to ribbon development, dilute the rural/urban contrast, contribute to a loss of rural productive land and is partially affected by an Intensive Farming Activity:
 - The site is not prime agricultural land and is too small for economic farming purposes
 - There are no Intensive farming Activity in the vicinity that could result in adverse reverse sensitivity effects

- the land on the western boundary of the township bounded by Hawkins Road, Bealy Road and Cotons Road as a Preferred Development Area
- 1.2 Identify this area in the MAP as a preferred low-density residential area with minimum average lot size of 3,000m².
- 1.3 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of the submission.

			 Lower density residential development will provide an appropriate transition at the urban/rural interface, which will be retained through the 3,000m² densities The site is well contained by roads, which avoids the need for larger sections along these boundaries Development is consistent with the existing development pattern and form of the township 		
59 Me	erv Todd E	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 The submitters support the Draft Malvern Area Plan and in particular the identification of Area DAR A7 as a potential future growth path for residential purposes, subject to various amendments.	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Amend the overall Area plan approach to identify preferred development areas, with zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review 1.2 Include Area DAR A7 as a preferred standard residential development area in the MAP and identify land adjoining to the north as the preferred standard residential growth path for Darfield 1.3 Remove Area DAR A8 'potential future growth path for Business 2 purposes; or retain as a possible future B2 area given that there is not understood to be any landowner interest in such zoning and development (other suitable Business 2 areas are available (Areas DAR A 3 and DAR A6) 1.4 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of the submission. 	Yes
60 Mar	tthew Reed C	Darfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.6 Support in principle the Draft MAP and in particular but not limited to Darfield, subject to the amendments referenced in the relief sought, including: Identify preferred development areas, with urban zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review Identify Darfield Area 7 (DAR A7) and the area identified in Appendix D as a 'Preferred Standard Residential Density Growth Path'. Remove DAR A8 'Potential Future Growth Path'. Remove DAR A8 'Potential Future Growth Path' 1.7 The identification of development areas on the MAP Map provides direction and certainty to the community, development sector, service providers and land owners in respect to urban development is anticipated within the MAP. 1.8 It is not appropriate and contrary to the RMA to rely on private plan change requests to implement zoning of the development areas shown in the Ellesmere Area Plan. The DPR should rezone these areas. 1.9 It is contrary to the purpose of the RMA in enabling people and communities to provide for their economic and social welfare to rely solely on private plan changes to facilitate future urban growth when there is a DPR underway. This is not the most appropriate method and is inefficient and ineffective compared to DPR rezoning land (especially where the landowner actively supports zoning and assists in providing required technical supporting information). 1.10 Private plan change requests will effectively be precluded for two years following the DPR being made operative under Clause 25 of the First Schedule, which creates a high degree of uncertainty for applicants who are unlikely to proceed with the costs of preparing a private plan change. 1.11 The MAP justification for relying on private plan change requests to implement rezoning is on the basis that there is sufficient capacity within Darfield to accommodate growth through to 2031 without the need to actively zone	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Amend the overall MAP approach to identify preferred development areas rather than possible future development options, with urban zoning to be implemented through the District Plan Review 1.2 Identify Darfield Area 7 (DAR A7) and the area identified in Appendix D of the submission as a 'Preferred Standard Residential Density Growth Path'. 1.3 Remove DAR A8 'Potential Future Growth Path' or retain it as a 'Possible Future B2 Area' on the basis that it is understood that there is no land owner interest in such zoning or development and because there are more appropriate alternative B2 locations. 1.4 Any consequential amendments to the MAP to give effect to the intent of the submission.	Yes

(Darfield	d)	1.1 The MAP be amended to provide for some further residential intensification of the existing L2 areas in Darfield where the minimum average allotment size is	1.1 The MAP be amended to provide for some further residential intensification of the existing L2 areas in Darfield where the minimum average allotment size is	
	Consultants Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Yes
		 and allow for some low density 'infill', which is consistent with MAP HOR A2 and recognises the previous Rural Residential zoning pattern under the previous Malvern District Plan. 1.4 This pattern is consistent with the current form of Hororata, which is essentially ribbon development along the mains roads including Hororata Road as far north as Downs Road 		
62 Survus ((Hororati	Consultants Hororata ta)	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 The MAP be amended to recognise the existing low-density residential areas west of Hororata Road and north of Duncan's Road as a 'preferred low density area'. 1.2 That this land is rezoned to L2 densities with a minimum average lot size of 5,000m² through the District Plan Review. 1.3 This would recognise and be consistent with the existing development pattern 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 The MAP be amended to recognise the existing low-density residential areas west of Hororata Road and north of Duncan's Road as a 'preferred low density area'. 1.2 That this land is rezoned to L2 densities with a minimum average lot size of 5,000m² through the District Plan Review. 	Yes
61 Brian Re	edfern Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 The Draft Malvern Area Plan needs to be amended to recognise existing low density residential areas (generally existing lot sizes in the 2hectare – 6 hectare range) around Darfield as a preferred development area for low density residential intensification, with minimum average lot sizes of 2 hectares, including on the west side of Clintons Road, and including Lot 1 DP 50891	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Recognise existing low density residential areas (generally existing lot sizes in the 2hectare – 6 hectare range) around Darfield as a preferred development area for low density residential intensification, with minimum average lot sizes of 2 hectares. 1.2 Recognise Lot 1 DP 50891 as a preferred development area for low density residential intensification, with minimum average lot sizes of 2 hectares 1.3 Alternatively, amend the Area Plan so as to recognise only Lot 1 DP 50891 as a preferred development area for low density residential intensification, with minimum average lot sizes of 2 hectares 	Yes
		 1.12 The inclusion of DAR A7 will enable the Reed family to reduce debt as a result of irrigation investment. 1.13 The adjoining Broadgate subdivision was designed for future road connections to the adjoining land to the north, which establishes the Reed and adjoining Todd land as the logical and preferred growth direction of the township to realise a more concentric urban form. 1.14 Darfield is identified as a Key Activity Centre in S2031, making it essential that the MAP focuses on the strategic growth needs of the township. 1.15 The site is in close proximity to the existing Darfield town centre that will enable a high level of connectivity and integration to be realised. 1.16 There is an anticipated growing demand for sections in Darfield resulting from economic activity in the area, including the Dairy factory and Central Plains Water Scheme. 1.17 The land owners are committed to working collaboratively and the Reed family have a proven track record of developing their surplus farmland for residential purposes. 1.18 Any disadvantages through proximity to the existing B2 zone and loss of productive soils can be addressed through appropriate densities and because the development of a portion of the farm will enable capital to be reinvested in Central plains Water, which in turn will enable the balance of the farm to be more productive. 		

		1.2 Intensification to 1ha minimum average allotment sizes would be a more efficient use of the land and allow for 'organic' gradual infill over time.	1.2 Intensification to 1ha minimum average allotment sizes would be a more efficient use of the land and allow for 'organic' gradual infill over time.	
65	Dr David Askin	Population, Growth & Urban Form 5 Waters 1.1 Very considerable work and thought by planners and others. We thank them and note with appreciation their willingness to discuss concerns and suggestions in public meetings, including this submission process. 1.2 I want to live in a community that values justice for all, and acknowledges basic human rights around shelter and homes that are owned by the occupiers 1.3 Looking at our townships shows • Those with money – e.g. retiring farmers – have been well catered for. Developers have chosen to provide large sections with restrictive covenants. • Large sections and restrictive covenants harm those on wages and salaries. I refer to workers in factories, farms and service industries etc. Their ability to live in their own homes is seriously compromised. Actually for many, ownership of a home is impossible. It is an injustice that we should take practical steps to overcome. 1.4 Allow existing, approx 1000 sq m sections, to be subdivided by owners to allow for a one or two bedroom cottage to be placed on their back section. Those new units could share a new generation septic tank with the existing home or have a totally new septic – maybe hidden under a deck that allows all the access required for regular maintenance. 1.5 The nonsense of only allowing family to live in additional dwellings on	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Provide for infill housing. Allow existing, approx 1000 sq m sections, to be subdivided by owners to allow for a one or two bedroom cottage to be placed on their back section. 1.2 Provide for approximately 700 sq m sections having 3-4 units housing single bedroom units, each owned by the occupier. (Our present model in new sub-	Yes
		properties fails to address the reality described above – those on lower wages being deprived of housing options. This is an injustice that a generous community does not tolerate. 1.6 Another practical step forward would be 700 sq m sections having 3-4 units housing single bedroom units, each owned by the occupier. (Our present model in new sub-divisions across Selwyn fails the tests of sustainability, of justice and of common sense). 1.7 I'm told that Haunui Trust sought to develop further units, but it seems were stymied by rules around sewerage. If true I believe that technically there is no reason to allow sewerage issues to stop their provision of housing for the elderly. 1.8 There seems to be a belief that we can't allow infill in existing sections because of a lack of town supplied, fully reticulated sewerage.		
66	Bev Elder Darfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 5 Waters 1.1 There is a need for infill in the Living 1 Zone to enable a more coherent central core for the township 1.2 There is sufficient zoned land to accommodate projected growth out to 2031 1.3 No further low density land is required 1.4 SDC report 'Housing needs in Selwyn District' identifies the need to assist elderly and first home buyers. Folks need small units, small sections and well designed but less expensive housing to meet their situation 1.5 The issue of reticulated sewage is in the too hard basket – alternative plans need to be explored and activated using the best of new technologies so progress can be made now – Council needs to firmly and clearly counter the perception that no progress can be made because of septic tank systems 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 5 Waters 1.1 Can we get together around a table to agree and act on a plan that will in 5 years' time give us a range of suitable housing, using a range of toilet technologies on the 87 sections the Area Plan identifies as available for development, and also those sections where a second dwelling could be added, within walking distance of the town centre. 1.2 Can we plan together for the development of a more cohesive town centre – infilling between the recreation centre and the Library/Service Centre 1.3 Could businesses needing drive in capacity be kept to side streets, so the main street is an attractive destination for pedestrians 1.4 Can we enter into a dialogue with all parties interested in a staged development and expansion of the Haunui Trust, using land offered by the Catholic Church as one element in the solution. This could include several mixed model units and partnerships catering for both first time buyer families and elderly. 1.5 Can we plan for duplex units for secure rent to be administered by a Trust where wrap around social services are an element of successful living. Habitat for Humanity offers a well tested model 	Yes

68	Castle Hill Community Association	Castle Hill	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Castle Hill Area 1 is suggested as a potential area for expansion of the village. Apart from the flat area in the vicinity of the current homestead the balance has a number of challenges. A large part of the area is native beech forest which forms the backdrop to the village and should be retained. In addition, there is a pond and a significant gully running down from it that would be unsuitable for development. 1.2 The retention of the current township boundary is inconsistent with the consented extension to the village for the camping ground and golf course. The increase in size of the township area is acknowledged elsewhere in the review. The township boundary denoted by a blue dotted line should be extended to include the consented area and the wording altered accordingly. 1.3 The Opportunities and Issues Map should also be amended to include the consented camping ground and golf course area as shown on the amended Context Map and should then recognise that there are green areas offering opportunities for future expansion of the consented	 1.6 We need a clear message from Council that they are ready to engage with people who are not developers, but who hold an asset in the form of a large section, a spare section, or as creative different way of using resources to maximum effect. This could be in the form of a round table discussion from which a 5 year plan can emerge and we are sure we have a clear, shared commitment to act Population, Growth & Urban Form Transportation 1.1 Amend the Context Map extending the village boundaries to include the consented camping ground and golf course area. 1.2 The Opportunities and Issues Map should be amended to include the consented camping ground and golf course area to recognise that there are green areas offering opportunities for future expansion of the consented activities. Natural Environment & Cultural Heritage Local Facilities and Community Development 1.3 Include the Castle Hill Community Association in discussions relating to the incorporation of mana whenua history into building design, information boards and displays, plantings and other matters relating to the cultural landscape. 	
			Transportation 1.4 Cross highway severance issues. Now that the NZTA have approved a new highway entrance to cater for the traffic movements between the village and the consented camping ground which will provide visitor accommodation, a store and licensed restaurant facilities, the Association believes this is no longer a significant issue. Cross traffic issues are further referenced as a problem under transport. The NZ Transport Agency did not make a submission at the time of the hearing of the Resource Consent application for the Camping Ground as the plans incorporate their entrance design and road widening. Good connectivity will result.		
			Natural Environment & Cultural Heritage		
			Local Facilities and Community Development		
			 1.5 The Association is interested to understand how the cultural significance of the area can be reflected in the building design standards. We note that there is to be consultation as the Plan is developed and so we note that we would welcome discussion on this and other matters relating to the cultural landscape and planting to support mahinga kai referred to in the Plan. 1.6 Mana whenua history to be incorporated into the design of public and commercial facilities. Is this suggestion to take the form of information boards and/or displays? Again we record that we would welcome discussion at the appropriate time with interested parties. 1.7 We note that the mana whenua have an interest in culturally significant areas that exist in the wider area and welcome advice from interested parties on their location and areas of interest. 		
70	Angela Cossey	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 We wish to highlight the closed thinking reflected by council about not allowing us to add a second dwelling on our 10 acre property from which we could gain an extra income by tenanting the second dwelling or operating a bed and breakfast/farm-stay. On a number of occasions we have been told "NO" and	Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Council should encourage small business opportunities that will enable more people to visit and stay longer in the area.	Yes

			even if we applied for resource consent the answer would still be "No, don't waste your money". 1.2 We believe that providing separate self-contained tourist or visitor accommodation is of real benefit to the district and there is evidence of a shortage of this nature. 1.3 We believe the council should encourage small business opportunities that will enable more people to visit and stay longer in the area.		
72	Trevor Chapman	Darfield	Population, Growth & Urban Form	Population, Growth & Urban Form	No
	·		1.1 I support Darfield Possible Future Development Options - Area 1 in orange. I suggest 1 hectare or less to utilize the finite land resource more efficiently.	1.1 I suggest 1 hectare or less to utilize the finite land resource more efficiently.	
74	Lloyd Minchington	Springfield	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Change of zoning of land situated at 5681 main west coast rd (next door to the Springfield hotel). 1.2 Change of zoning of land from residential to business, to allow for further development consisting of one and two bedroom units and some retail shops at the front of the property. The property has an area that lends itself to setting up some of the units as over 60 retirement villas - a recognised need in the future. 1.3 A recognised need for short term housing, to allow people to move into the area as their houses are being build. 1.4 With the projected increase in tourist numbers and the lack of infrastructure to support them, it must be recognised that future accommodation needs must be met, Growth must be anticipated 	 Population, Growth & Urban Form 1.1 Change of zoning of land situated at 5681 main west coast rd (next door to the Springfield hotel). 1.2 Change of zoning of land from residential to business, to allow for further development consisting of one and two bedroom units and some retail shops, at the front of the property. 	Yes