

From: [webmaster](#)
To: [Submissions](#)
Subject: 15 Pascoe
Date: Monday, 2 June 2014 11:35:39 a.m.

The following submission was received from:

Name: Judith Pascoe
Address: 40 Stott Drive
RD 1
Darfield
7571
Email: jcpascoe@xtra.co.nz
Phone: 0211522900

SUBMISSION:

I am a:
Resident, Employed in Selwyn District, Lifestyle property owner

Submitting on behalf? No
If so, on behalf of:

Wants to be heard at hearing? No

Q1
Agree with 5 Strategic Directions? Yes
Comments:

Q2 Priorities:
Section and lifestyle block sizes that consolidate development around townships and offer a variety of sizes to suit people's needs.

Sufficient land zoned for future business development

Good roading and walkway connections between and in subdivisions.

a sense of urgency - this is long overdue.

Q3 Growth in the remainder:
Growth needs to be managed in all townships, the impact of unplanned growth, even in a small township is major.
Smaller townships further away from Christchurch/Rolleston should not be neglected and their amenities sacrificed because of the growth near Christchurch. They will end up ghost towns with no vibrancy or 'heart'.

Q4 Rural subdivision:
A sympathetic interface is needed between township (urban), lifestyle and rural growth. The lifestyle block market is very strong in Selwyn and there is a need to protect our existing farming land. We need attractive lifestyle block subdivisions with a variety of section sizes but retaining the rural feel.

Q5a - Township network:
Yes, as long as it is not used as an excuse for neglecting our smallest townships and the amenities they offer their residents and the surrounding areas.

Q5b - Activity Centre network:
Small businesses in small townships keep them alive and provide local employment. It is important to keep them viable and provide suitably zoned land for expansion etc.

Q6 Self sufficiency:

Q7 Housing options:

Q8 Layout and pathways:

Yes, but rural townships should keep their rural feel. i.e. no infill housing and a limit on the number of very small sections in subdivisions.

Q9 Strong community:

Further comments:

Darfield needs to be prioritised, we have watched for years as all efforts were concentrated on towns in the UDS and no thought given to the steady growth that is occurring here. Indeed Council was less than supportive or helpful with a recent subdivision. Meanwhile many opportunities have been lost for business to relocate to Darfield.