

106412029


Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and
Procedure) Regulations 2003

Schedule 1

Form 5
Submission on publicly notified Plan Change

Selwyn District Plan

Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991



To Selwyn District Council
2 Norman Kirk Drive
PO Box 90
Rolleston
Christchurch 7614
FAX: 03-347-2799

1. Full name of submitter: MARIA JANE RUTHERFORD

PLAN


This is a submission on the following proposed Plan Change:

23 CHANGE - AIRPORT NOISE CONTOURS
(name and number of Plan Change)

2. The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are: TO REPLACE THE EXISTING 55 and 50 dBA AIRCRAFT NOISE BOUNDARIES WITH SOMETHING MORE APPROPRIATE TO REALITY ON THE GROUND. (give details).
3. *My submission in SUPPORT / ~~OPPOSITION~~ is: FIRSTLY, I SEE BOTH CCC AND CIAL AS BEING CONSUBSTANTIAL REGARDING THIS ISSUE FOR ALL PRACTICAL PURPOSES. OBVIOUSLY THE CITY COUNCIL HAS A VESTED INTEREST IN EASY ACCESS BY AIR TO THE CITY FOR BOTH GOODS AND PEOPLE; AND THEREFORE THERE WAS A MONETARY ASPECT TO THEIR ORIGINAL STANCE. THE NOISE STANDARD GENERALLY TO ME SEEM SUPERFLUOUS. I LIVED BESIDE THE RAILWAY LINE AT JONES ROAD PRIOR TO MY PRESENT RESIDENCE AND JUST DID NOT NOTICE THE NOISE EVEN THOUGH I WAS A SHIFT WORKER, SO I VIEW THE AIRCRAFT NOISE CONTOURS TO BE AS NECESSARY AS SAYING I COULD NOT HAVE A DOUBLE STORY HOUSE (AS MINE IS) BECAUSE IT MIGHT AFFECT AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS - BOTH PROPOSITIONS BEING NEGLIGENT AND EXCESSIVE. THE REVISED

*Include whether you SUPPORT or OPPOSE specific parts of the plan change or wish to have them amended; and the reasons for your views. Continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

NOISE CONTOURS SEEM MORE THAN ENOUGH TO ME AND ARE AT LEAST SOMEWHAT LESS RESTRICTIVE THAN PREVIOUS. REALISTICALLY, IN WHAT OTHER DIRECTION THAN SOUTH-EAST CAN ROUGHESTON DEVELOP? OUR TOWNS EXPANSION SHOULD NOT BE LIMITED ON THE DUBIOUS CONCERNS OF CIAL.



4. †I seek the following decision from Selwyn District Council:

PLEASE ADOPT THE PROPOSED CHANGES
THEY AT LEAST ARE LESS DRACONIAN
AND RIGOROUS THAN THE EXISTING
CONTIGUOUS BOUNDARIES.

†Give precise details, including the nature of any change sought. Continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

5. ~~I WISH~~ / DO NOT WISH to be heard in support of my submission (delete as applicable)
6. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing
(delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)

7. a. Dufford. 10.4.10
Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on their behalf) Date

8. Address for service of submitter: P.O. BOX 22
ROLLESTON 7643

Telephone: 03 3 479-734 Fax:

Email:

Contact person: MARIA Title(if appropriate)