

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON BUBERCE NOTIFIED PROPOSAL FOR POLICY STATEMENT OR PLAN

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

Selwyn District Plan

	Selwyn District Council, PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643.
1.	Full name of submitter Adam a Caroline Henderson - (55)
	This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to a submission on a proposed change to the following plan (The proposal): Selwyn District Pan
2.	*I support or oppose the submission of: See after head
	*State the name and address of the original submitter and the submission number of the original submission if available
3.	†The particular parts of the submission I SUPPORT / OPPOSE are:
	†Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you SUPPORT or OPPOSE, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal.
4.	The reasons for my STEPOKITA OPPOSITION are: The Reset has Darana Schodings on
	is not needed. This decade os there are numerous. Abox residential developments accuring in
	Selwyn District. Residentia! development would also destroy West. Melton's convent oural community fixed and
	appearance
	‡I seek the following decision from Selwyn District Council: The Plan Change is NOT adopted
	but deferred to be considered again out a later date of f Council is to
	adopt the Plan Change then the attached decisions relating to
	West meiter school land package or a tunnel under stt. 73 are included to the plan.
5.	I WISH / DC MOT WISH to be heard in support of my submission (delete as applicable)
6.	If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing (delete if you
v	would not consider presenting a joint case)
7.	Attledor Catternaleran 3:5:2010
	Signature of applicant (or person authorised to sign on their behalf) Date
8.	Address for service of applicant: 304 Holkela Red
	ROL WEST MEISON CHRUSCHURCH 7671
	Telephone: 03 34.7.72.7/ Fax:
	Email: Sandaleihag. Conz
	Contact person: Askers Herislers 2017. Designation:

2. I SUPPORT the submission of:

Submitter 1 Darci Lynn Westergard

Decision 1: Deny the Plan Change request. Have the current Preston Downs subdivision wait until the Gainsborough subdivision is completed and completely sold.

Submitter 2 Andrew James Trist

Decision 1: Deny the Plan Change request. Have the current Preston Downs subdivision wait until the Gainsborough subdivision is completed and completely sold.

Submitter 3 Greg Blair

Decision 2: Support connection to State Highway 73 as long as it does not interfere with community hall access.

Decision 3: There is safe passage made to cross State Highway 73 for children travelling to and from the community hall i.e. bridge or tunnel.

Decision 4: That the design slows traffic or allows for a lower speed limit passing through West Melton.

Decision 5: Support the mixed density but with the proviso that the large allotments are kept to external site of the development so as to not unduly change the outlook from the existing properties on the Westview Crescent.

Decision 6: Smaller allotments are to be kept to the central area.

Decision 7: Non standard lighting is used to minimize light pollution affecting the West Melton Observatory.

Decision 8: Four connections to Halkett Road are too many. Request two or less entrances and no access to Halkett Road facing allotments.

Submitter 5 Adam & Caroline Henderson

Decision 1: If the Council considers it necessary for existing neighbouring properties connect to the Council sewer and water scheme then the developers or the Council must fund this requirement.

Decision 2: Minimum lot size of 500m² to be changed to 800m².

Decision 3: There should be a limit on the number of allotments in this zone.

Decision 4: Developers must fund any subdivision related upgrading of existing neighbouring residents existing wells or water provision if needed within the next 25 years.

Decision 5: Developers provide a Land Package to West Melton School or fund a tunnel under SH73.

Decision 6: Halkett Road to be altered to meet the LTSA requirement of 7m width and the entry / exit points to the subdivision need to provide ample room and visibility for safety.

Submitter 6 Andrew & Diane Henderson

Decision 1: Support connection to State Highway 73 taking traffic away from Halkett Road and other intersections.

Submitter 7 RD Hughes Developments LTD

Decision 1: Plan Change should be declined unless it can be modified so as to conform to the existing densities provided for within the District Plan as anticipated by the Urban Development Strategy and Proposed Change 1 to the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement. and appropriate provision is made for any necessary upgrades to network infrastructure servicing West Melton.

Submitter 8 Selwyn Central Community Board

Decision 2: No vehicular access to/from allotments along Halkett Road and that a new rule or other amendment to the Plan Change gives effect to this.

Decision 3: The new intersection on SH73 should be located an appropriate distance west of the West Melton Community Centre to allow safe vehicular access and egress to/from the car parks associated with the centre.

Submitter 10 Edmund le Grelle

Decision 1: Not allow wooden fences along Halkett Road (Vegetation / hedges would be suitable). May affect private water supplies.

Submitter 14 CL & DJ Kerr

Decision 1: Oppose urban development of West Melton.

Submitter 17 Murray Rollison Greta

Decision 1: To arrive at a development design (that may not even need a Plan Change) that fits with current zoning densities while allowing for a proper interface with rural surroundings.

Decision 2: A Council controlled outcome with the biggest possible lot sizes. Town sized lot sizes have no place in rural surroundings.

Decision 3: An ODP should be specific and final.

I OPPOSE the submission of:

Submitter 12 Margaret Longdale-Hunt & Bruce Russell

Decision 1: Progress forward the Preston Downs development in a timely manner.

Submitter 15 Richard Reeves Nesbitt

Decision 1: No road connection to State Highway 73.

Submitter 16 Natalie Jayne Lombe

Decision 1: Generally support the plan change.

Decision 3: Support 500m² minimum lot size as this allows a range of lots and choice for residents.

Submitter 18 Rolleston Square LTD

Decision 1: Proposed Plan Change 3 is adopted.