

FORM 6
FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY
NOTIFIED PROPOSAL FOR POLICY STATEMENT OR PLAN
Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991
Selwyn District Plan
To Selwyn District Council, P O Box 90, Rolleston 7643.

1. Full name of submitter ... Richard Reeves Nesbitt

- S15

.....
This is a further submission in support of a submission on a proposed change to the following plan
Plan Change 3 Preston Downs Weedons Ross Road West Melton: Selwyn District Plan

*I support the submission of:
Richard Reeves Nesbitt 1183 West Coast Rd (S15)
Grant Earl Baker 1185 West Coast Rd (S9)
R.D. Hughes Developments Ltd P.O.Box 1061 Christchurch (S7)

.....
.....
.....
*State the name and address of the original submitter and the submission number of the original submission if available

3. †The particular parts of the submission I SUPPORT are:

(S15) (S9) No road connection to SH 73 -- (Reasons for support A-F)
(S7) Reasons – Paragraphs- Character and Amenity, Traffic, (Reasons for support G)

.....
.....
†Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you SUPPORT or OPPOSE, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal.
4. The reasons for my SUPPORT of the original submission are:

Since my first submission I have been provided with the developers' plan of the proposed intersection on to SH 73. Therefore further to my first submission I have been able to establish the following additional adverse effects this proposed intersection will have on the surrounding area:

A/ We will lose some of our privacy by having cars directly facing our property. The increase in traffic volume will have an adverse effect on the rural character of the area and will further increase traffic noise.

B/ Light pollution will have many adverse effects on our property such as the glare of street and car lights, sleep disruption, plus the decreasing visibility of stars which is something rural dwellers value, health effects such as stress and anxiety caused by car lights flashing across our property. These adverse effects will undoubtedly have a negative effect on the value of my property.

C/ The open water race which runs along the outside of our property will become a traffic hazard and will make walking access to the Community Hall/Play centre on the southern side of SH73 very restrictive.

D/ Pedestrian access from the proposed Preston Downs to the Community Hall/Play centre is dangerous. Pedestrians leaving from the proposed SH73 exit will need to walk approx 110 metres on the opposite side of the road past the Play centre/Community Hall to get to the proposed Pedestrian Kerb Extension, and then walk back on the southern side of SH73 to get to the Play centre or the Community Hall. It is only natural for adults but especially for children to avoid walking this extra distance, and will cross the road at the nearest point causing a traffic hazard.

Designation: