

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
1.Sarah Vivienne Booth Oppose	1.1	Entire Plan Change	The submitter states that the proposal will have a negative impact on high quality residential housing through noise, lights and visual effects.	Further expansion on the SR1 and SR2 zones be declined.
2.Rolleston Square Limited Support	2.1	Entire Plan Change	Support proposal in entirety.	PC be accepted in full.
3. Callum Logan Oppose	3.1	Entire Plan Change	<p>No evidence exists in the supporting documentation to ensure Izone expansion is of long term benefit to the community. The submitter states that it seems SDC's "live and work" vision is not currently being realised with existing businesses struggling to employ adequate staff, and little evidence of local employment. The submitter suggests this is due to a mismatch of jobs on offer compared to the economic and demographic profile of the catchment of Rolleston. Statistics would indicate that Rolleston and surrounding residents are least deprived, implying they are well paid, well educated and skilled. They are unlikely to be attracted to industrial employment. Further evidence that contradicts the vision of "live and work" is the daily movement of Designline workers from Ashburton. This indicates IZone is not sustainable.</p> <p>The submitter states that the quest for short term development profits and an increased rate takes is at a cost of long term sustainability of business in Izone and local employment options. There is a long term governance role to ensure the right businesses that benefit our community are selected for IZone. An</p>	Consider submission and respond to issues raised.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
			IZone governance group could be a mixture of council and local residents and their purpose would be to approve or decline businesses wanting to set up in IZone. Multi criteria used which considered, how may local jobs, skilled or unskilled work, heavy road users etc.	
	3.2	Transport	<p>The submitter states that traffic volumes will increase, which is an added costs to road maintenance, create congestion, increase in accidents and noise.</p> <p>The submitter states that the entry/exit onto Hoskyns Road should not be created as it will make it easier for heavy vehicles to travel down secondary country roads (e.g. Maddisons Road).</p>	
	3.3	Visual	The submitter states that the subject site is a naturally open space with rural vistas and low skylines. Softening business zones with a landscaping strip will do little to reduce the visual pollution created by 15m high buildings and 25m high structures. Consideration needs to be given to lighter businesses being located on the periphery.	
	3.4	Consultation	The neighbour consultation conducted to date and their support for SR1 and SR2 is not objective given negotiations with SDC and the pecuniary interest they have. SDC's negotiations with these parties have left them in a difficult position given that ECAN are not supportive of rezoning their lands.	
<b>4. Voyna Crofts</b> Oppose	4.1	Entire Plan Change	<p>Submitter poses numerous questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The submitter seeks evidence to support the Council's claims that the Industrial area needs</li> </ol>	Reject the proposal to expand IZone.

### SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston

Name & Position on Plan Change	Submission Point	Aspect of P. C to which submission relates	Summary	Decision Requested
			<p>to be expanded; how much existing Izone has been sold, how many sites have been built on, how many businesses are actually up and running, has the Council had to buy back any of the land sold, is the land being sold to actual businesses or speculators, how many sites have been unsold?</p> <p>2. Has the Council taken every step to ensure every ratepayer knows about the proposed expansion? The submitter states that there has been insufficient and unclear notification of the Council's intention in all aspects of their proposal.</p> <p>3. Has Council had any regard for what the actual ratepayers want in their District? If so how?</p> <p>4. The Council says one of the main reasons of expanding the Industrial Zone is to create more jobs for locals. How many businesses are actually employing local people? How does the Council know if the local people want to or have any intention to work in IZone? Have the people of Rolleston and surrounding areas been asked?</p> <p>5. The Council have bans in place to stop people lighting open fires, yet high chimneys may be built. This will create uncontrolled pollution.</p> <p>6. What Plans are in place to stop IZone from expanding further?</p> <p>7. After the expanded rezoning, the Council will have no control of the type of industrial activities there. What will Council do to soften</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
Name & Position on Plan Change	Submission Point	Aspect of P. C to which submission relates	Summary	Decision Requested
			<p>the blow for the rural lifestyle properties? Is compensation proposed?</p> <p>8. Water: How will the expansion impact on the water table?</p>	
5. ECan Supports intentions	5.1	Transport	<p>The submitter states that the TIA submitted with the Plan Change identifies road and intersection improvements necessary to Hoskyns Road and Jones Road to mitigate and remedy the effects of the proposed business activity that will arise as a result of the Plan Change. These include providing a separate pedestrian and cycle route from the Hoskyns Road/Jones Road intersection to the application site. The implementation of these measures, however, have not been included in the ODP nor in the additions to the District Plan. The ODP also does not include specifications for the internal roading network through which walking and cycling will be provided for.</p> <p>The Plan Change and ODP therefore fails to provide adequately and with certainty, for connectivity with surrounding areas by a variety of transport modes. They also fail to provide, with certainty, for the off site roading improvements necessary for the safe and efficient operation of the District transportation network. Plan Change inconsistent with Objectives and Policies of the District Plan and Canterbury Regional Policy Statement.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- That the ODP and/or District Plan be amended to explicitly provide for:</li> <li>- i)The Hoskyns Road upgrade as set out in theTIA.</li> <li>- ii) The Hoskyns Road/Jones Road intersection Upgrade as set out in the TIA.</li> <li>- iii) Roading specifications for the internal roads as set out in the ODP.</li> <li>- iv)Details of how the off road shared pedestrian/cycle path along Hoskyns Road will be integrated with the pedestrian and cycling network.</li> <li>- v)Details of measures to be provided to reduce pedestrian/motor vehicle conflict within the development and in the surrounding transport network.</li> </ul>
		Water Quality	Options are identified in the Stormwater Management Assessment submitted with the Plan Change for the	Details of stormwater disposal including land required for stormwater treatment, retention and drainage paths, and which

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
			management of stormwater but implementation of these measures has not been included in the ODP. The environmental effects of the stormwater management measures have also not been fully considered. The failure to provide for the implementation of stormwater management measures and to consider their environmental effects fails to provide certainty to developers and the community on the delivery and development of the extended IZone business area. Also fails to adequately have regard to Part II of the RMA. Plan Change therefore inconsistent with District Plan and fails to give effect to the CRPS and inconsistent with the NRRP.	shall include an assessment of the environmental effects of the disposal system be included in the ODP or included in the District Plan.
<p><b>6 Selwyn Central Community Board</b></p> <p>Generally supports Plan Change 5 and proposed amendments 1 – 18 of the District Plan.</p>	6.1	Amendments 1-18 of PC and Transport	<p>The submitter states that the AEE does not adequately address the effects of increased heavy vehicular movements on the surrounding rural and rural residential community. The TIA and ODP assumes that the heavy vehicle movements generated by the IZone Development will use S.H.1 to access Christchurch City. Anecdotal evidence from residents in the Weedons area indicates that the existing IZone development has produced an increase in number of vehicles using Maddisons Road to access Christchurch City. AEE &amp; TIA does not address this.</p> <p>The submitter also states that the ODP suggests that there may be future development of the Izone B2 zone across Hoskyns Road and that Hoskyns Road may be integrated as a key road within Izone. The submitter states that these statements are outside the</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Access to Hoskyns Road be restricted to one new intersection, with that intersection being located somewhere between Jones Road and Maddisons Road.</li> <li>- Applicant be required to upgrade the section of Hoskyns Road between Jones Road and Maddisons Road to District Arterial standards.</li> <li>- Upgrade intersection of Hoskyns Road and Maddisons Road due to increased vehicle movements generated by development.</li> <li>- Number of lots with automatic access to Hoskyns Road be restricted and/or the number of access points to Hoskyns Road be restricted to the number of access points that would be generated if this length of road frontage was subdivided to the rural residential standard.</li> </ul>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
			<p>scope of the proposed Plan Change. The Izone development should occur within the area bounded by Jones Rod, Railway Road, West Melton Road and Hoskyns Road until such time as the land in this area is fully developed.</p> <p>The submitter opposes the assumption made in the ODP that "further roads in addition to those shown may be required....it would be highly desirable to provide at least one further connection to Hoskyns Road from the proposed boulevard, somewhere along its length. This should be by way of a road with a secondary road cross section as first preference".</p> <p>The submitter is concerned that there is no restriction on the number of lots or size of lot that will have access to Hoskyns Road and requests that an appropriate restriction be added to limit the number of access points to Hoskyns Road.</p>	
<b>7 MB Watson, NW Watson, M P Watson and A C V Brown</b> Oppose	7.1	Entire Plan Change	<p>The submitter states that the proposed Plan Change does not give effect to the RPS and that the proposed Plan Change places significant weight on proposed Change 1 to the RPS which is in its infancy, and that the land subject to the PC is the subject of a number of submissions in opposition to its inclusion within the Urban Limits of Rolleston as set out in Plan Change 1 to the RPS.</p> <p>The submitter also states that the PC is inconsistent with the objectives and policies in the SDP and that the PC will result in adverse effects on the</p>	That the plan change be rejected in its entirety.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
Name & Position on Plan Change	Submission Point	Aspect of P. C to which submission relates	Summary	Decision Requested
			<p>environment which are not capable of being satisfactorily avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>PC contrary to the purpose and principles of the RMA</p>	
<p><b>8 National Investment Trust</b> Opposes in part</p>	8.1	Large Scale Retail activity and provision for business /commercial activities (other than industrial)	<p>The submitter states that large scale retail activities are only indirectly addressed in Rule 22.12 of the SDP. The submitter states that this classification is too permissive. Rule 22.12.1 should provide that retailing of the type referred to in the rule is non-complying and any retailing not complying with that rule be prohibited.</p> <p>The submitter states that PC1 to the RPS identified that land subject to the Plan Change as being potentially suitable for business land. However there is no proposed S32 analysis for PC1 which provides any justification for its inclusion in PC1.</p> <p>The submitter states that PC1 incorrectly estimates the future demand for business, commercial and bulk retail activity in greater Christchurch and that there is in fact greater demand for such activities than predicted in PC1. The submitter states that the Plan Change site is inappropriate for these activities and they should be provided for elsewhere.</p> <p>For a range of planning and traffic reasons use of IZone for bulk retail, business and commercial activities is contrary to sound resource management practice and will result in unacceptable adverse effects.</p> <p>PC is contrary to the intent of PC1 and Part II of the Act.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Restrict the proposed zoning to industrial activities</li> <li>- Provide plan provisions which either prohibit or make non-complying bulk retail business or commercial activities</li> <li>- Such other or alternative relief as may be required to meet the concern of the submitter.</li> </ul>

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
9 Kevin Mallon Oppose	9.1	Change from rural to Business 2 and impacts on character of area.	<p>The submitter states that the PC will take away almost all their pleasures associated with the rural lifestyle and will have adverse impacts in terms of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Increase vehicular traffic. S.H is becoming clogged, traffic travelling to and from the extended IZone will be forced to use alternative routes to their destinations. TIA suggests that the majority of the traffic will use S.H.1. Little work done on traffic travelling directly to the Main West Coast Road or attempting to head more north and bypass Christchurch. It is impossible to believe that this traffic will not proceed directly down Hoskyns Road if heading west or down Maddisons, Jones, Knights or Newtons Road if heading north. This will adversely impact on residents.</li> <li>- Traffic flows pass Weedons school will increase and children will be put at risk. Speed also appears to have increased.</li> <li>- Industrial park will seek to employ low waged staff who cannot afford to live in Rolleston. Neither the existing IZone nor the PC will have a major effect on the employment prospects of the people in Rolleston and its environs.</li> <li>- New industrial area will depress property values.</li> <li>- The Plan Change will allow for structures up to 15 – 25m. Landscaping will not hide such structures. The potential for visual pollution over an already stunning rural landscape is</li> </ul>	Strike out proposal



<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
Name & Position on Plan Change	Submission Point	Aspect of P. C to which submission relates	Summary	Decision Requested
			<p>significant, the ability to mitigate this is negligible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Ambient light will escape and create light pollution. This will be detrimental to nocturnal animals, birds etc. The regulations in the Plan Change do not go far enough on limiting lighting pollution.</li> <li>- PC site will promote criminal activity. The Council proposal does not discuss this in any way.</li> <li>- Proposal will increase the level of noise as a result of factories, additional traffic and railway activity.</li> <li>- By zoning the site as B2 the residents effectively have to live with whatever activity wants to use the space, we have given away our right to understand the consequences of the activity. Council has already brought the land that is affected by the PC, this implies that they expect the PC to proceed and that the rights of the residents will not be taken into account.</li> </ul>	
10 M L Boughan & M A Rodgers Oppose	10.1	Izone expansion and rezoning to Business 2.	<p>The submitter states that insufficient notice about the Plan Change was given. Submitter concerned that Council has already purchased the properties up to Maddisons Road before objections have been heard. Submitter has concerns over</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Noise pollution</li> <li>- Light Pollution</li> </ul>	Izone area not be expanded at all.

### SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston

Name & Position on Plan Change	Submission Point	Aspect of P. C to which submission relates	Summary	Decision Requested
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Air Pollution</li> <li>- Visual Pollution – buildings, chimneys etc. Landscaping not sufficient to screen from the road.</li> <li>- Devaluation of properties</li> <li>- Dangers associated with Heavy traffic, such as threat to wildlife, vibrations, dust, will inhibit children from cycling and walking to school with added traffic, trucks will use rural roads. Problems areas identified as being Maddisons corner, Hoskyns and West Melton corner.</li> <li>- Loss of good quality soils</li> <li>- Job Opportunities – minimum wage jobs provided</li> <li>- Adverse impacts on Weedons School - traffic</li> </ul>	
11. Dr Simon Causer Oppose	11.1	Rezoning of land package adjacent to Hoskyns Road to Business 2 and associated changes.	Oppose the Plan Change in current form. Wishes to compile submission at later date.	Withdrawal/major revision of PC.
12. S E Harris Oppose	12.1	Rezoning to Business 2	Oppose the Plan Change. Wishes to compile submission at later date.	Reversal or major review of PC.
13. R & Y Lomond Oppose	13.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated.
14. M A Newton	14.1	Extension of business areas	The Submitter states that the PC will lower the value	Not to extend ECans proposed SR1 and SR2, including

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Oppose			of their property and adversely impact on the peace and quiet of the rural area. Increase in pollution and odours.	Cockburn's farm and some of the land owned by the Withams.
15. K Emson Oppose	15.1	Entire Plan Change	The submitter states that the proposal will change to the detriment the area in which they have chosen to live. Will adversely impact on quiet county roads and adverse pollution in every sense. Plan Change out of character with area.	Reject Plan Change.
16. T Emson Oppose	16.1	Entire Plan Change	The submitter states that the proposal will change to the detriment the area in which they have chosen to live. Will adversely impact on quiet county roads and adverse pollution in every sense. Plan Change out of character with area.	Reject Plan Change.
17. R J & R F Blackmore on behalf of Alloway Alpacas Ltd	17.1	Change from rural land to Business 2.	Submitter concerned over traffic on Hoskyns Road, pollution, extreme change in environment. Will detrimentally impact on rural environment.	To stop further changes to zoning in Hoskyns Road.
18. A M Smart Oppose	18.1	Traffic impact and amenity	The submitter is concerned about the lack of TIA for Maddisons Road which allows direct access from Izone to the airport and west to Christchurch City.  No assessment of impact of development on the Weedons Community.	Withdraw PC. SDC to investigate Traffic Impact on the existing rural character and lifestyle of Weedons District.
19. D M Harris Oppose	19.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
20. Weedons School	20.1	Traffic	The submitter states that they have concerns over the	Not stipulated

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Oppose			impact of increased traffic and the effect on Weedons School.	
21. R & K Young Oppose	21.1	Entire Plan Change	Proposal will alter their lifestyle. Oppose Plan Change	Not stipulated
22. A W & N M Taylor Oppose	22.1	Not stated	The submitter states that there would seem to be a high amount of industrial land already zoned that is not utilised.	Not stipulated
23. D & A McDonald	23.1	Not stated	<p>The submitter is concerned about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- road safety – type and amount of traffic generated and potential harmful traffic through small roads</li> <li>- Increase in noise/dirt pollution</li> <li>- Destruction of rural aspect</li> <li>- Degradation of property values.</li> </ul>	Not stipulated
24. Weedons Residents Association Inc Oppose	24.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
25. D & M Powell Oppose	25.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
26. F P Dowle Oppose	26.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
27. A J & E Wilson Oppose	27.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change. Will comment at hearing.	Reject proposal
28. S S Lowe Oppose	28.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
29. B & A Jackson Oppose	29.1	Encroaching of industry land in a rural area	The submitter states that this is a country area where people live to enjoy a country lifestyle and carry out farming type activities. The PC will vastly increase visual pollution, air pollution, light pollution, increase noise and traffic. No amount of landscaping can hide the 15-25m structures or block industrial noise. The submitter goes on to state that the argument for increased employment is flawed and there is already vacant industrial land in town where the work force live. The PC does not bring any benefits to the residents affected by it.	Not stipulated
30. A J McCord Oppose	30.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	PC be rejected in entirety
31. A M MCCord	31.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change on the following grounds: -Dangerous large traffic; - Speed; - School traffic, volume going by.	PC be rejected
32 H Deverson, Peter Tyson and Wendy Kennard	32.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Oppose				
33. R Greenwood Oppose	33.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
34. Solid Energy New Zealand Ltd Support	34.1	Entire Plan Change	<p>SENZ support the change of this area from Rural to B2 as it is consistent with the surrounding land uses and there is a definite need for additional land to be zoned B2 in the Rolleston Area. The Plan Change will ensure the efficient use of existing infrastructure supporting the existing business and the appropriate expansion of that infrastructure to support additional business in the adjacent area.</p> <p>SENZ also notes that in respect of infrastructure (Hoskyns Road in particular), there is likely to be a increase in use of Hoskyns Road. SENZ would support the upgrade of Hoskyns road.</p>	<p>- Approve the PPC</p> <p>- Ensure due consideration is given to upgrading Hoskyns Road when demand requires it, and that the corresponding roading provisions in the Plan enable such an upgrade.</p>
35. R S Paton Not stated	35.1	Roading	The submitter seeks that the rezoning of the Inner Plains Land that IZone Plan Change relates to is deferred until the roading network is upgraded to take the increased volume of light and heavy traffic from IZone Park.	Delay the Plan Change until the roading network is upgraded.
36. C A Melvin & D C Auld Oppose	36.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
37. L M Tolhoek Oppose	37.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
38. P W Tolhoek Oppose	38.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Decline the proposed Plan Change

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
39 A H & W A Jones Oppose	39.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
40. W & A Walker Oppose	40.1	Not stated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The submitter states that the PC will result in a creep of industrial zoning heading up Hoskyns Road.</li> <li>- The submitter states that the "reasons for request 1. 7 – 1.9" (page 2 of Proposed Plan Page) are flawed. The Council may say the land has been sold but a lot of what has been sold is back on the market without buildings.</li> <li>- Submitter objects to another road joining Hoskyns Road as this will change traffic flows – increasing trucks and cars on both Hoskyns and Maddisons Road.</li> <li>- Loss of enjoyment of country lifestyle.</li> <li>- Increase in light, visual, noise and air pollution.</li> <li>- Concerned about 24hr operations.</li> <li>- Loss in property value.</li> </ul>	Not stipulated
41. G & S Titmuss Oppose	41.1	Rezoning of rural land to Business 2	<p>The submitter states that they do not wish to see Izone expand to Hoskyns Road because of potential visual, noise and smell pollution intruding into the rural area of Weedons. The submitter goes on to raise concerns about an increase in truck traffic along Maddisons Road and Weedons Ross Road and then to SH1 and CHCH. The truck traffic will create the need and cost of upgrading Hoskyns Road and will be dangerous at times of drop off and pick up at Weedons School.</p> <p>The submitter does not feel that the principle of Izone creating extra employment is valid. Jobs will be</p>	PC be rejected.

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
			minimal and temporary. Further investigation should be carried out to create an additional business area near Burnham.	
42 N J Boshier Oppose	42.1	Inadequate planning and guarantees the following: i) Noise, visual and air pollution ii) Traffic management and impact iii) Impact on Weedons area property and amenity values	The submitter states that there has been a lack of consultation with local affected residents, lack of transparency and honesty on behalf of SDC regarding the Plan. The submitter goes onto state that there will be an adverse impact on Weedons area property and amenity values and an increase in noise, visual and air pollution and traffic impacts.	PC be rejected
43. P A Boshier	43.1	Inadequate planning and guarantees the following: i) Noise, visual and air pollution ii) Traffic management and impact iii) Impact on Weedons area property and amenity values	The submitter states that there has been a lack of consultation with local affected residents, lack of transparency and honesty on behalf of SDC regarding the Plan. The submitter goes onto state that there will be an adverse impact on Weedons area property and amenity values and an increase in noise, visual and air pollution and traffic impacts.	PC be rejected
44. M Veitch Oppose	44.1	Not stated	The submitter states that the land noted for rezoning is significantly larger than the land area required for B2 zoning. There is a significant land area between Templeton and Hornby that is seemly wasteland. The quality of soil is very poor, it is adjacent to the main road and railway line. In contrast the southern end of Cockburn's property is highly fertile and should not be used for B2 purposes.	Not stipulated
45. A Brown & H Hanna	45.1	Extension of business/industrial zone	The submitter opposes the Plan Change on the following grounds:	Reject Plan Change so far as it seeks to rezone land on Hoskyns Road, beyond Maddisons Road, for business and or



<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Oppose		down Hoskyns Road beyond the intersection with Maddisons Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Maddisons Road is a natural break between a business and/or industrial zone and the surrounding rural and rural residential properties.</li> <li>- Extension to Izone have been promulgated very late in the process and without adequate consultation with residents that will be affected by the changes.</li> <li>- It is more logical and consistent with roading patterns and current land usage (and likely usage given the impacts of locating adjacent to a S.H) to have business and industrial zoning running alongside and parallel to the S.H and in a north/south direction rather than encroaching to the west.</li> <li>- Potential for adverse impacts on the quality of life of rural residents if the business/industrial zone is allowed to move further down Hoskyns Road beyond Maddisons Road and the amenity values of the rural residential area should not be impacted on in this way.</li> <li>- Council has a duty to protect and enhance amenity values. Proposal contrary to this.</li> </ul>	industrial uses.
46. K. R. J & T. L Inns Oppose	46.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	Not stipulated
47. M J & G A Rothwell Oppose NB/ late submission. Received by Council	47.1	Not stated	Oppose the Plan Change	No road for industrial estate

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
on 17 <sup>th</sup> September 2008.				
48. K A Godfrey & R L Thomas Oppose	48.1	Not stated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The submitter states that they believe the Council has chosen the boundaries for development unwisely. There appears to be land along the railway line and heading south towards Burnham more appropriate for commercial development as it would not impinge upon a residential/farming neighbourhood.</li> <li>- The submitter also raises concerns over the increase in traffic along Hoskyns Road and Madisons Road owing to Hoskyns and Weedons Rodd Road being direct routes to the West Coast highway. By expanding the industrial zone along Hoskyns Road the Council is effectively condoning the increase in noise and exhaust pollution.</li> <li>- Value of residential land will drop</li> <li>- Manufacturing and other business will create noise, effluent and smoke/fumes which will detrimentally impacts on quality and health of environment.</li> <li>- Recently renovated home. Council never advised of zoning changes. Will Council reimburse us?</li> <li>- Also concerned about longer term strategy to rezone Cockburn and Witham land.</li> </ul>	Council not proceed with rezoning
49. J McKim Oppose	49.1	Not stated	Wish to make an oral submission against PC.	Not stipulated
50. M A Luxton	50.1	Not stated	Oppose Plan Change.	Not stipulated

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS</b> Plan Change 05 – Rezoning Rural Land to Business 2 at IZone, Rolleston</p>				
<b>Name &amp; Position on Plan Change</b>	<b>Submission Point</b>	<b>Aspect of P. C to which submission relates</b>	<b>Summary</b>	<b>Decision Requested</b>
Oppose				

**Key:**

**PC:** Plan Change  
**PC1:** Proposed Change No.1  
**SDC:** Selwyn District Council  
**ODP:** Outline Development Plan  
**TIA:** Traffic Impact Assessment  
**RPS:** Regional Policy Statement