




Figure 6: Panorama taken from highest point on Chapman property, looking south-west—south-east

This image, and Figure 7 below which it joins, shows a band of landscape modified by farming, residential development and forestry that cannot justifiably be regarded as ONL.

The prominent ridgeline (arrowed) is represented by the green line on Figure 3 and is a more defensible north-south landscape character demarcation line



Figure 7: Panorama taken from highest point on Chapman property, looking south-east—north east

Figure 7 joins Figure 6 at the left of Figure 6 to complete the panorama. Rural residential living, forestry and agricultural development have diminished naturalness below the threshold required for consideration for s6(b) purposes.



Figure 8: Chapman property, (arrowed) viewed from Gerkins Road, looking west

The property is an outlying raised landform west of the landscape illustrated in the panoramas of Figures 6 and 7.

I do not regard the property as natural enough or outstanding enough to be regarded as ONL More legitimate ONL includes the snow clad alps in the far west.