

Further Submission for C69 Plan Change

- The submission which referred to complaints from residents adjacent to land proposed for development about the present noise and disturbance from farm activities are irrelevant as the perceived problem will only be transferred to the new boundary. (Refer Greenslade submission)
- Further to our submission the world only has about 7% of its surface available for food production. Loss of such soils is unsustainable, hence any decision on development should be weighted towards retaining any soils which are classified as being highly productive. (Down to Earth - Greg Dixon, NZ Listener Sept 11 2021)
- That any development, should it be granted, comply with Climate Commission's Report and meet their requirements to meet international requirements for temperature reduction.
- There needs to be an overall plan to utilise all land not yet developed within the Greater Christchurch area, before any unplanned land changes are granted. (Christchurch City Council)

Submitted by Sue and John Prendergast

69 Jimmy Adams Tce

Lincoln

(Opposed to the development)