



FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTHED PROPOSAL FOR POLICY STATEMENT OR PLAN

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

	8.	6.	Jr.			4.	ω	2.	To 1.	
Telephone: 347 9587 Fax: Fax: Email: 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Signature of applicant (or person authorised to sign on their behalf) Address for service of applicant: 25 Loves (1), Loves 1414	If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing (delete if you would not consider presenting a joint case)	‡Give precise details. I WISH / DO NOT WISH to be heard in support of my submission (delete as applicable)	El seek the following decision from Selwyn District Council: Le 20 K 19 1-40 LAMA-14 HERET FERE TO PENSITY CONSISTENT LIGHT THE POLLESTON STRUCTURE TO TO 10 HA 140, — 11 LEGGO OTTENED:		†Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you SUPPORT or OPPOSE, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. The reasons for my SUPPORT / OPPOSITION are: LEFEL PARTY.	*State the name and address of the original submitter and the submission number of the original submission if available The particular parts of the submission I SUPPORT / OPPOSE are: LEZELIC, FILL PILL PILL PILL PILL PILL PILL PILL	This is a further submission in support of or in opposition to a submission on a proposed change to the following plan (The proposal): Selwyn District Pan *I support or oppose the submission of: KLAUS BETLEF PLUSS *Settle Plus Settle Plus Settl	To Sclwyn District Council, P Q Box 90, Rolleston 7643. 1. Full name of submitter SEAE CHMAD CHUSENSEN	Selwyn District Flati

Rezoning of L2 Land in Helpet Park

within Lowes Rd, Springston Rolleston Rd and Lincoln Rolleston Rd boundaries.

- 0 logical that this land should now be rezoned to that consistent with similar land the established residential developments on the north & west boundaries (Living Main Town Centre, both Primary Schools, Public Transport and other amenities, Dunns Crossing Rd, (which ranges from Living 1 to Living 1C) recently rezoned to the southwest on Lowes Rd between Springston Rolleston & 1) and proposed future development of land to the southwest & east its only Given the close proximity (short walking distance) of this land to, Foot Access, the
- 0 the Rolleston Structure Plan. This land has already been identified as Low Density Residential (10HH/Ha) in
- 0 under PC7 are significantly further from the centre of Rolleston than Helpet Park. the Town centre and other amenities, the larger areas identified for development The Rolleston Structure Plan clearly identifies land that is positioned closest to the Town Centre should be higher density, which allows greater pedestrian access to
- Rolleston over the last 15 years this zoning is now inappropriate This land is the closest L2 land to the Town Centre and given the development in
- size, therefore there is more than sufficient excess land available for infill min) now Living 2(L2), the majority of the sections sizes are more than twice this for infill development, directly opposite established Living 1 land. development. In my case I have approximately 10000m2 of excess land available The original zoning of this land in Helpet Park was Rural/Residential (5000m2
- 0 adding to the overall development & appearance of the Rolleston Township. developed, then further enhanced by prospective new land owners thereby area while kept tidy, would benefit from being significantly improved when (approx 2000m2 "Curtilage Area") is the main focus of my attention, the remaining block) to the point that the established area immediately surrounding my home work and effort required to establish & maintain a larger block (so called lifestyle Having lived on 12000m2 of land since 1996 I fully understand the amount of

In conclusion this land should be rezoned urgently to:

- Maintain consistency with the Rolleston Structure Plan and similar areas
- Utilise land closest to the Town Centre.
- restrictions under L2 zoning. appropriate density in-fill development than current



SCANNED

FORM 6

FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTHED PROPOSAL FOR POLICY STATEMENT OR PLAN

Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991

Selwyn District Plan

Rezoning of L2 Land in Helpet Park

within Lowes Rd, Springston Rolleston Rd and Lincoln Rolleston Rd boundaries.

- 0 recently rezoned to the southwest on Lowes Rd between Springston Rolleston & logical that this land should now be rezoned to that consistent with similar land 1) and proposed future development of land to the southwest & east its only the established residential developments on the north & west boundaries (Living Main Town Centre, both Primary Schools, Public Transport and other amenities, Dunns Crossing Rd, (which ranges from Living 1 to Living 1C) Given the close proximity (short walking distance) of this land to, Foot Access, the
- the Rolleston Structure Plan. This land has already been identified as Low Density Residential (10HH/Ha) in
- . under PC7 are significantly further from the centre of Rolleston than Helpet Park. the Town centre and other amenities, the larger areas identified for development Town Centre should be higher density, which allows greater pedestrian access to The Rolleston Structure Plan clearly identifies land that is positioned closest to the
- Rolleston over the last 15 years this zoning is now inappropriate. This land is the closest L2 land to the Town Centre and given the development in
- . for infill development, directly opposite established Living 1 land. development. In my case I have approximately 10000m2 of excess land available size, therefore there is more than sufficient excess land available for infill min) now Living 2(L2), the majority of the sections sizes are more than twice this The original zoning of this land in Helpet Park was Rural/Residential (5000m2
- 0 adding to the overall development & appearance of the Rolleston Township. developed, then further enhanced by prospective new land owners thereby area while kept tidy, would benefit from being significantly improved when (approx 2000m2 "Curtilage Area") is the main focus of my attention, the remaining block) to the point that the established area immediately surrounding my home work and effort required to establish & maintain a larger block (so called lifestyle Having lived on 12000m2 of land since 1996 I fully understand the amount of

In conclusion this land should be rezoned urgently to:

- Maintain consistency with the Rolleston Structure Plan and similar areas
- Utilise land closest to the Town Centre.
- 0 restrictions under L2 zoning. more appropriate density in-fill development than current

A