ADDERLEY HEAD





21 June 2010

Mr Cameron Wood Selwyn District Council PO Box 90 Rolleston 7643

Dear Mr Wood

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT PLAN

following persons in response to original submissions on Plan Change 7: Further to our email of 18 June 2010, please find enclosed further submissions from the

- Bruce & Michelle Coles;
- Murray & Lisa Alfeld; and
- Foster Holdings Limited.

We confirm that copies of the attached submissions have also been served on the original submitters

Yours faithfully ADDERLEY HEAD



David Pedley Senior Solicitor

DDI: +64 3 353 1344 E: david.pedley@adderleyhead.co.nz

Partner responsible: Paul Rogers Our ref: DOP-115441-4-10-V1



FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

Selwyn District Council PO Box 90

2 JUN 2010

SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL

16118

RECEIVED

<u>0</u>

Rolleston 7643

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON: Plan Change 7 to the Selwyn District Plan

BRUCE AND MICHELLE COLES ("the Coles"), C/- Adderley Head, PO Box 16, Christchurch 8140

Original submission to which this submission relates

Christensen (No. 93). This is a further submission that opposes the original submission by Jens Lauritz

Relevant part(s) of the original submissions

- 2 The particular parts of the submission that are opposed are:
- 2.1 seek that all subdivision consents should be publicly notified. That part of the submission that oppose the use of Outline Development Plans and which

Reasons for further submission

- ω The submission is opposed for the following reasons, among others:
- 3.1 Policy Statement. required for all Greenfield Development under Proposed Change No.1 to the Regional enables co-ordinated and sustainable development to occur. Furthermore, ODPs are The use of Outline Development Plans ("ODPs") is a well accepted planning technique that
- 3.2 Under Policy B4.3.7 and B4.3.68 (Rolleston), Plan Change 7 contains detailed requirements important issues and potential constraints associated with development. for ODPs that will provide a clear outline of the nature of the development and address all
- 3.3 opportunity for public input without the need for subsequent subdivision applications that through Plan Change 7 or a subsequent plan change. This provides an adequate are in accordance with the relevant ODP to be publicly notified. The inclusion of an ODP within the Selwyn District Plan is a publicly notified process, either
- 3.4 onerous requirement that is contrary to the purpose and principles of the Act. Requiring subdivision applications to be public notified is an unnecessary, inefficient and

Decision sought

4

relevant ODP are processed on a non-notified basis. Change 7 are maintained so that subdivision applications in general accordance with the That the relief sought in the submission is declined and that the current provisions of Plan

The Coles do wish to be heard in support of this further submission

joint case with them at a hearing If others are making a similar submission, the Coles would be prepared to consider presenting a

Signed:

David Pedley, Solicitor, being the duly appointed representative of Bruce and

Michelle Coles

Date: 18 June 2010

Address for service:

C/- Adderley Head PO Box 16 Christchurch 8140

Contact: David Pedley



FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT PLAN (2) 131

Clause 8 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1995

121

RECEIVED

Selwyn District Council PO Box 90

<u>ö</u>

Rolleston 7643

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON: Plan Change 7 to the Selwyn District Plan

161118

SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL

BRUCE AND MICHELLE COLES ("the Coles"), C/- Adderley Head, PO Box 16, Christchurch 8140

Original submission to which this submission relates

-Limited (No. 82), Rolleston Retail Limited (No. 83) and Roll Ten Investments Limited (No. This is a further submission that opposes the original submissions by Rolleston Square

Relevant part(s) of the original submissions

- 2 The particular parts of the submission that are opposed are:
- 2.1 That part of the submission that seeks that Plan Change 7 be declined.

Reasons for further submission

- ω The submission is opposed for the following reasons, among others:
- 3.1 Declining Plan Change 7 would frustrate the rezoning and ODP Area 3 for residential development, in which the Coles have an interest.
- 3.2 suited for residential development and should be rezoned accordingly. For the reasons outlined in the Coles' original submission (No. 44), ODP Area 3 is ideally
- 3.3 Furthermore, the concerns raised by the submitters primarily relate to the Rolleston Town Centre and Medium Density Housing, neither of which are part of ODP Area 3.
- 3.4 the most appropriate outcome for the Selwyn District that best achieves the purpose of the Overall, having regard to the relevant costs, benefits and risks, approving Plan Change 7 is

Decision sought

4 particularly as it relates to ODP Area 3. That the relief sought in the submission is declined and that Plan Change 7 is approved,

The Coles do wish to be heard in support of this further submission

joint case with them at a hearing If others are making a similar submission, the Coles would be prepared to consider presenting a

Signed:

David Pedley, Solicitor, being the duly appointed representative of Bruce and

Date: 18 June 2010

Michelle Coles

Address for service:

C/- Adderley Head PO Box 16 Christchurch 8140

Contact: David Pedley





FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT PLAN 3

Clause 8 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1995.

Selwyn District Council PO Box 90 Rolleston 7643

22 JUN 2010

9

RECENCED

<u>7</u>0

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON: Plan Change 7 to the Selwyn District Plan

101/161

BRUCE AND MICHELLE COLES ("the Coles"), C/- Adderley Head, PO Box 16, Christchurch 8140

Original submission to which this submission relates

Transport Agency (No. 31). This is a further submission that supports the original submission by New Zealand

Relevant part(s) of the original submissions

- 2 The particular parts of the submission that are supported are:
- 2.1 The proposed amendments to ODP Area 3 that seek to:
- (a) Prevent development within 40m of State Highway 1 ("SH1");
- **b** Prohibit access from ODP Area 3 to SH1; and
- (c) Require noise control and certification measures between 40-100m of SH1.

Reasons for further submission

- ω The submission is supported for the following reasons, among others:
- 3.1 are reasonable and appropriate on the basis of reverse sensitivity and traffic safety. of SH1 and does not provide access to SH1. The Coles consider that the proposed controls In relation to points 2.1(a) and (b) above, the Outline Development Plan (ODP) for ODP Area 3 is consistent with these submissions as it does not enable development within 40m
- 3.2 benefit the amenity of future residents and avoid reverse sensitivity effects. ODP Area 3. However the Coles consider that this is an appropriate restriction that will In respect of point 2.1(c) above, this is an additional control not currently provided for in

Decision sought

4 Plan Change 7. The Coles seek that the relief sought in the submission be accepted and incorporated into

The Coles do wish to be heard in support of this further submission

joint case with them at a hearing If others are making a similar submission, the Coles would be prepared to consider presenting a

Signed:

David Pedley, Solicitor, being the duly appointed representative of Bruce and

Michelle Coles

Date: 18 June 2010

Address for service:

C/- Adderley Head PO Box 16 Christchurch 8140

Contact: David Pedley



SCANNED

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 7 TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT PLAN

Clause 8 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

<u>5</u>

Selwyn District Council PO Box 90

121

SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL

161 18

RECEIVED

13

FURTHER SUBMISSION ON:

Plan Change 7 to the Selwyn District Plan

BRUCE AND MICHELLE COLES ("the Coles"), C/- Adderley Head, PO Box 16, Christchurch 8140

Original submission to which this submission relates

This is a further submission that opposes the original submission by Nimbus Group Limited (No. 38).

Relevant part(s) of the original submissions

2 The particular parts of the submission that are opposed are:

2.1 That part of the submission that seeks that Plan Change 7 be declined.

Reasons for further submission

- ω The submission is opposed for the following reasons, among others:
- 3.1 development, in which the Coles have an interest. Declining Plan Change 7 would frustrate the rezoning and ODP Area 3 for residential
- 3.2 suited for residential development and should be rezoned accordingly. For the reasons outlined in the Coles' original submission (No. 44), ODP Area 3 is ideally
- 3.3 Centre and Medium Density Housing, neither of which are part of ODP Area 3. Furthermore, the concerns raised by the submitters primarily relate to the Rolleston Town
- 3.4 the most appropriate outcome for the Selwyn District that best achieves the purpose of the Overall, having regard to the relevant costs, benefits and risks, approving Plan Change 7 is

Decision sought

4 particularly as it relates to ODP Area 3 That the relief sought in the submission is declined and that Plan Change 7 is approved,

The Coles do wish to be heard in support of this further submission

joint case with them at a hearing If others are making a similar submission, the Coles would be prepared to consider presenting a

Signed:

David Pedley, Solicitor, being the duly appointed representative of Bruce and

Michelle Coles

18 June 2010

Address for service:

C/- Adderley Head PO Box 16 Christchurch 8140

Contact: David Pedley