

SCANNED

100923001

SUBMISSION ON PLAN CHANGE 9 TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT PLAN – VOLUME 2, RURAL SECTION

UNDER CLAUSE 8 OF THE FIRST SCHEDULE TO THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

To: The General Manager
Selwyn District Council
PO Box 90
Rolleston 7643

Name: Bob Paton
Postal Address: Ilam Nationwide Real Estate
34 Yaldhurst Road Upper Riccarton
Christchurch
Telephone: 03 3417901
Email: nationwide-ilam@xtra.co.nz



My response:-

I oppose Plan Change 9 in its entirety.

The reasons for my response are outlined below.

PC8 & 9 are contrary to sound resource management practice and the Resource Management Act 1991, including Part 2 (Purpose and Principles), s31 (Functions of territorial authorities, in particular with regard to integrated management) and the requirements of s32 (Alternatives, costs and benefits).

Without limiting the generality of the above, I have particular concerns in relation to the following:-

1. Ownership of land the subject of PCs 8 & 9 is 30% owned by SDC, 70% owned by CCC therefore the PC proposals are pernicious
2. SDC will be acting as a planning authority and developer in its consideration of PC8 & 9 and cannot be unbiased. It will have a pecuniary interest in the matter.
3. The plan changes will result in a major and fundamental change to integrity of Rolleston township plan to 2045 as set in the Rolleston Structure Plan and LTCCP
4. Land zoned Rural Outer Plains (the current zoning of the PC8 & 9 sites) is most suited to dairying or dairy support or forestry
5. The PC 8 & 9 sites have existing rights for forestry – why not replant for carbon credits?
6. If approved the sites would take up 225 of the 600 allocated sites available for the whole of persons wishing to subdivide for rural residential purposes in SD for the next 35 years. This is not equitable. It will also pre-empt and preclude consideration of the most sustainable locations

for rural residential development in the SD, and the opportunity to provide for rural residential in a range of locations around different townships, rather than just in one location around one township.

7. If approved the sites would also take up 225 of the 1500 sewerage connections currently being applied for from Ecan. This is not equitable.

8. Approval of Plan Change 8 & 9 will make a mockery of the integrity of planning especially when approximately 850 hectares has already been rezoned around Rolleston to take up projected growth of 50,000 persons by 2045, let alone the rezoned land around other townships such as Lincoln and Prebbleton further increasing land available for urban development.

9. The pecuniary interest could advantage Selwyn District Council by as much as \$5,000,000 to \$7,000,000.

10. The Inner Plains Zone would also be more suited to rural residential development. After all the 4 hectare sites are recognized as lifestyle blocks where owners enjoy rural living but are not dependent on the land to earn a living. These persons often consider 4 hectares to be too large and would much prefer smaller Lots which still retain a rural ambience. The significance of this is to cater for those families in the Inner Plains zone close to Christchurch while the Outer Plains zone caters for commercial farming. Plan Change 8 & 9 will blur this significance of zoning.


11. I have clients who have made submissions for zoning changes in Prebbleton, Lincoln and Rolleston only to be rejected on technicalities. It is not equitable for these earlier requests to be rejected, and these plan changes allowed.

Relief Sought

I seek that Plan Change 9 is declined in its entirety.

I do desire to be heard in support of its submission.

If others are making a submission, I would consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signed  Date: 22/9/15





Figure 5.2: Rolleston Structure Plan



Craig Friedel

From: Fiona Aston [fiona.aston@xtra.co.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 23 September 2010 1:43 p.m.
To: Craig Friedel
Cc: 'Ilam Real Estate Limited'; 'Paton, Scott'
Subject: submission on PC 8 & 9 by Robert Paton

Hi Craig

Further to your query today, the submission by Robert Paton received by you today is on both PC 8 & 9. When Robert returns from overseas he will send you a revised submission clarifying that it relates to both PC 8 & 9.
Regards Fiona

Fiona Aston Consultancy Ltd
Resource Management & Planning
PO Box 1435
Christchurch 8140
P 03 3828898
F 03 3828858
M 0275 332213

_____ Information from ESET NOD32 Antivirus, version of virus signature database 5471
(20100922) _____

The message was checked by ESET NOD32 Antivirus.

<http://www.eset.com>