

Plan Change 9 'Skellerup Block' - Proposed Residential Living 3 Zone

Summary of Decisions Sought

Introduction

The period for making submissions to Plan Change 9 to the District Plan closed on 24 September 2010. This is the second stage of the public submission process where people have the opportunity to Further submissions give the opportunity for the public to either support or oppose the submissions received and summarised or aspects of these submissions. Please note it is not another opportunity to The further submission Form 6 is available at all Council offices and online at:

THE SUMMARY

| Submitter | Submission No. | Decision No. | Request | Decision Sought | Wishes to be heard? |
|--|----------------|--------------|---------|---|---------------------|
| Robert Barker | S1 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Mark Larson | S2 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Not Stated |
| D Booth | S3 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Malvin Griebel | S4 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Janice Griebel | S5 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| PM Kennedy Family Trust | S6 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Kenneth Abrams | S7 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Poultry Industry Association of NZ Inc. & Tegal Foods Ltd. | S8 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| | S8 | D2 | Amend | That should the Plan Change be approved, the scale should be reduced to be more consistent with Plan Change 1 (i.e. a lower number of lots). | Yes |
| | S8 | D3 | Amend | That proposed amendment 28 be altered to provide for a 300m setback, provide for sensitive activities, and provide for an intensive farming activity on the site through proposed Rule 4.9.27 beign amended to read as follows: "Any dwelling, family flat, and any rooms within accessory buildings used for sleeping or living purposes sensitive activity in the living 3 Zone at Rolleston (as shown on the Outline Development in Appendix 38) shall be setback at least 450m 300m from the northern boundary shared with lot 3 DP20007 containing a poultry breeder farm, an intensive farm, provided that this rule shall cease to have effect upon the cessation of the intensive farming operations on Lot 3 DP20007". | yes |
| L & K Ponsonby | S9 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| L & L Field & Lanlee Ltd | S10 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |

| Submitter | Submission No. | Decision No. | Request | Decision Sought | Wishes to be heard? |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|--|---------------------|
| New Zealand Transport Agency | S11 | D1 | Amend | That the minimum allotment size be increased to 1 hectare to be in alignment with the definition of 'rural residential' in PC1. | Yes |
| | S11 | D2 | Amend | The maximum number of allotments permitted within a staging period in new rule 12.1.3.39 is reduced to properly reflect the average density requirement in PC1 of 1 household per hectare. | Yes |
| | S11 | D3 | Amend | Any other consequential changes associated with the matters raised in the submission | Yes |
| R & B Salthouse | S12 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Bob Paton | S13 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Debra Hasson | S14 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Alastair King | S15 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | No |
| Alison Burrowes | S16 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| | | | | That should the Plan Change be approved, an advice note is included in the decision notice as follows: "Work affecting archaeological sites is subject to a consent process under the Historic Places Act 1993. If any work associated with the development of these areas under Plan Change 8 around Rolleston, such as earthworks, fencing or landscaping, may modify, damage or destroy any archaeological site(s), an authority (consent) from the New Zealand Historic Places Trust must be obtained for the work prior to commencement. It is an offence to damage or destroy a site for any purpose without an authority. The Historic Places Act 1993 contains penalties for unauthorised site damage." | |
| New Zealand Historic Places Trust | S17 | D1 | Amend | | No |
| Canterbury Regional Council | S18 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Sam Carrick | S19 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change, but only if it adversely affects the equitable allocation of rural residential land around the other townships of the District. | No |
| B&A George and S&S Cunningham | S20 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Ernest Smith | S21 | D1 | Amend | Significantly reduce (by at least 50%) the number of lots allocated to SPBL. This could be achieved by deleting either one of Plan Changes 8 or 9 | Yes |
| Denwood Trustees | S22 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| The West Melton/ Newtons Road Gr | S23 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| K McIntosh, Wha Jung & Se Kyung L | S24 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| BC & MA Coles Family Trust | S25 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |

| Submitter | Submission No. | Decision No. | Request | Decision Sought | Wishes to be heard? |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|---|---------------------|
| Ivan & Dorothy Robertson | S26 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Susan Chaney | S27 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Joe & Glennis Burdis* | S28 | D1 | Oppose | To postpone PC9 until Plan Change 7 is ratified | Yes |
| Dryden Trust* | S29 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| Nadia Sole* | S30 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | Yes |
| John & Lisa Barclay | S31 | D1 | Oppose | Decline the Plan Change | No |

* = late submission