

Appendix 13: Section 32 Assessment

Introduction and RMA requirements Rupert and Catherine Wright (the applicants) are requesting a change to the operative Selwyn District Plan (SDP) to change the zoning of the application site from Rural Outer Plains to a mix of Business 2 and Living 1 zoned land. This application has outlined the background to and reasons for the requested Plan Change. The amendments to the SDP are outlined in Section 7 of this application. No environmental effects are anticipated by the change of zoning, however the potential environmental effects of implementation of the proposed plan change have been described in Section 8 of this application. Any change to a plan needs to be evaluated in accordance with section 32 of the Resource Management Act. Section 32 states: 32 Requirements for preparing and publishing evaluation reports (1) An evaluation report required under this Act must— (a) examine the extent to which the objectives of the proposal being evaluated are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of this Act; and (b) examine whether the provisions in the proposal are the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives by— (i) identifying other reasonably practicable options for achieving the objectives; and = = = (ii) assessing the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions in achieving the objectives; and = (iii) summarising the reasons for deciding on the provisions; and (c) contain a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the environmental, economic, social, and cultural effects that are anticipated from the implementation of the proposal. (2) An assessment under subsection (1)(b)(ii) must— (a) identify and assess the benefits and costs of the environmental, economic, social, and cultural effects that are anticipated from the implementation of the provisions, including the opportunities for— (i) economic growth that are anticipated to be provided or reduced; and (ii) employment that are anticipated to be provided or reduced; and (b) if practicable, quantify the benefits and costs referred to in paragraph (a); and (c) assess the risk of acting or not acting if there is uncertain or insufficient information about the subject matter of the provisions. (3) If the proposal (an amending proposal) will amend a standard, statement, national planning standard, regulation, plan, or change that is already proposed or that already exists (an existing proposal), the examination under subsection (1)(b) must relate to-(a) the provisions and objectives of the amending proposal; and (b) the objectives of the existing proposal to the extent that those objectives—

Objectives of the Proposed Plan Change

The objective of the proposed plan change is to change the zoning of the application site from Rural Outer Plains to Business 2 and Living 1.

Implementation of the proposed plan change will enable;

(i) are relevant to the objectives of the amending proposal; and (ii) would remain if the amending proposal were to take effect.



			=			=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=			=	=
		=				=	=	=	=	=						=	=
-	Provide for additional housing and business land chimmediately surrounding land without compromising the							=	=			con	nple	me	nt t	:he	=
-	Provide for future subdivision and development that detracting from existing central Darfield businesses.	will	cor	ntrik _	oute _	to	the	= e gr -	owt	h_o	f _Da	= arfie	el <mark>d</mark> ,	wh	ile r	n <u>o</u> t	=
_	Provide for concentrated development around an existi	ing t	owr	nshi	p in	a n	nanr	ner:	that	ena	able	s ef	fici	ent	use	of	
	existing and future infrastructure and current land reso	ourc	es.	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=		=
							=										=
Idoptifi	ination of antions	-	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=		=		=	=	=	=
Identification of options			-	_	_ 			=	- Fa		_ 	_	- اسانت	- 		_	_
conside	rmining the most appropriate means to achieve the oberation the findings of the investigations and key issues in able management purpose of the Act. These options we	iden							•								= =
Option 1	1: Status Quo/do nothing							=	=						=	=	
Do not r	rezone the application site from Rural Outer Plains to Liv	/ing	= 1 an	d Bı	= usin	ess	<u> </u>	=		=	=	=	=	=	=	=	
Option 2	2: Rezone the whole site for residential use							=	=					=	=		
Seek to	rezone the whole site for residential use, either Living 1	or 2	zor	ne.				=	=					=	=	=	=
Option	3: Rezone the site partially for residential use and partial	llv fo	= or bu	ısin	ess	= use	= e (pr	= efe	= rrec	= ao b	= tion	= 1)	=			=	=
•	the site to both Business 2 and Living 1 Zones.			_	_	_	=	_	_	=	_		_	=		=	=
	•					=	=	=	=								=
•	4: Subdivision Consent	=	=			=	=	=	=	=						=	=
Subdivi	sion of the application site through a non-complying sub	odivi	sior	1 CO	nse	nt f	or r	esic	dent	i <u>a</u> i i	u <u>s</u> e.	=	=	=	=	=	=
								=	=					=			=
								=	-	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=
								_	_			_	_	_	_	_	=
				=	=	=	=	=				=	=	=	=	=	=
				=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=	=		=
														=		=	
			=														=
			=														
		_		=											=	=	=
			=	=							=	=	=				
			=		=	=	=	=		=	=	=	=	=	=	=	



	Option 1: Status quo/do nothing	Option 2: Rezone the whole site for residential use	Option 3: Rezone the site partially for residential use and partially for business use (preferred option)	Option 4: Subdivision Consent			
Cost	None	Time and money cost to applicant for plan change. Increased hearings costs due to resistance from existing intensive farming activity.	Time and money cost-to-applicant for plan change = = = Costs _to _upgrade _the _intersection between SH73 and Creyke Road.	Time and money cost to applicant to seek a non-complying subdivision consent application			
Benefit	Ongoing rural production on the application site.	Additional house stock contributing to the growth of Darfield. = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Improved road safety at the intersection of Creyke Road and SH73. Additional business zone provides work opportunities to provide for township self-sufficiency. Additional housing stock contributing to the growth of Darfield.	No plan change required.			
Efficiency/Effectiveness	No additional business land is provided for Darfield and the application site remains an ineffective piece of rural land surrounded by urban activities. Development occurs elsewhere around Darfield in a manner that does not achieve consolidated development.	Site specific constrains such as proximity to intensive farming activity are not considered resulting in reverse sensitivity effects for future landowners – low effectiveness as it may put pressure on intensive farming activity to cease. —— Increased servicing for_wastewater and water supply is required as part of future subdivision. Does not provide for additional business land in Darfield. ——	High efficiency as it enables site specific constrains to be considered and avoids potential for reverse sensitive effects. Facilitates both residential and business activities in Darfield. Effective as it utilises rural land currently surrounded on three sides by urban activities to also be used for urban activities and completes the natural physical extent of township.	Low efficiency as subdivision of the site into allotments less than the zone minimum would be contrary to the Plan and set a precedence.			
Risk	Site is used for ongoing rural activities that conflict with adjoining residential activities.	Allowing higher residential use could result in reverse sensitivity effects with business zone land to the north and may encourage access to State Highway 73 creating an unsafe road environment.	Increased pressure on surrounding road network requiring the upgrade of roads and intersections.	Subdivision consent is not granted and the application site remains as rural land.			