

REPORT

TO: Robert Love – Acting Executive Director – Development and Growth
FROM: Jessica Tuilaepa – Senior Policy Planner
DATE: 17 August 2023
SUBJECT: **CLAUSE 16(2) AMENDMENTS TO THE PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN**

RECOMMENDATION

‘That the recommended clause 16(2) amendments to the Proposed District Plan are approved.’

1. PURPOSE

To seek amendments to the Proposed District Plan (PDP) through the use of cl.16(2) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) to correct minor errors in the PDP.

2. SIGNIFICANCE ASSESSMENT/COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

This is a procedural matter under the RMA.

3. HISTORY/BACKGROUND

As the PDP has been developed, further integrated, and moved through the submission and s42A report evidence phase, errors in the Plan have been found. Council has erred on the side of caution and has included some of these errors as submission points where there may be a perceived or actual material effect as a result of the proposed change. Other submission points have also been received seeking amendments to the PDP, which can instead be made using cl.16(2).

Clause 16(2) allows a local authority to make an amendment to a proposed plan without using a schedule 1 process, where such an alteration is of minor effect, or may correct any minor errors.

Note this is the seventh tranche of amendments.

4. PROPOSAL

See proposed amendments in Appendix 1.

5. OPTIONS

- a) To accept the recommended cl.16(2) amendments; or
- b) To not accept the recommended cl.16(2) amendments.

6. VIEWS OF THOSE AFFECTED / CONSULTATION

(a) Views of those affected and Consultation

No party is considered to be affected; however, a number of submitters have sought to correct minor errors or make an alteration to the PDP of minor effect, which can be more effectively dealt with via cl.16(2) of the RMA. The s42A reports addressing submissions on the PDP identify which submission points contain relief that can be addressed in this manner.

(b) Māori and Treaty implications

There are no implications on Māori.

(c) Climate Change considerations

There are no climate change considerations.

7. FUNDING IMPLICATIONS

There are no funding implications other than staff time.

Jessica Tuilaepa
SENIOR POLICY PLANNER

The Executive Director – Development and Growth exercises his delegation to approve the minor changes set out in Appendix 1 to the Proposed Plan in accordance with clause 16(2) of the First schedule of the Resource Management Act 1991.



Robert Love
ACTING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR – DEVELOPMENT AND GROWTH

Appendix 1: Proposed cl.16(2) amendments to the Proposed District Plan

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
Activity Centre Network	With removal of text, remaining definition is clunky	<p>amend to read as follows: The Activity Centre Network consists of:</p> <p>a. Key Activity Centres i. Key Activity Centres (KACs) are key existing commercial/business centres identified as focal points for employment, community activities, and the transport network: and which are suitable for mixed-use development. The Selwyn District has four KACs being Rolleston, Lincoln, Darfield and Leeston.</p> <p>b. Service Activity Centres i. Provide provide goods and services to residents of the town as well as the wider rural area. However there will still be a reliance on the Key Activity Centres for larger scale businesses and more variety in retail and commercial activities. The Selwyn District has two Service Activity Centres being Prebbleton and West Melton.</p> <p>c. Rural Activity Centres</p> <p>i. are the smallest activity centres and are primarily focused on convenience of local residents with some services offered to the surrounding rural area; and</p> <p>d. Rural Townships</p>
Airfield Precincts	Precinct name unclear	Amend by combining West Melton Runway and West Melton Aerodrome Height and renaming. Precinct: PREC9 Name: West Melton Aerodrome Approach and Take-Off Height Restriction Precinct
Airfield Precincts	Precinct name unclear	Amend by combining Springfield Runway and Springfield Airfield Height and renaming. Precinct: PREC10 Name: Springfield Airfield Approach and Take-Off Height Restriction Precinct
All Chapters	Inconsistent use of 'except' and 'excluding' in Column 1, together with use of brackets to identify areas where the exclusion applies	In all instances, where an area isn't subject to a provision that would otherwise apply, amend to read: <u>(excluding CODE)</u>
All Chapters	Incomplete non-compliance statement for rule requirements - does not comply with drafting protocol	Amend so that each statement reads: When compliance with any rule requirement <u>listed in this rule</u> is not achieved:
All Chapters	Inconsistent use of outstanding natural landscape and visual amenity landscape names	Amend to read where all outstanding natural landscape areas are referred to: ONL Overlay

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
All Chapters	Inconsistent use of outstanding natural landscape and visual amenity landscape names	Amend to read where particular outstanding natural landscape areas are referred to: ONL Overlay: [Name]
All Chapters	Inconsistent use of outstanding natural landscape and visual amenity landscape names	Amend to read where all outstanding visual amenity landscape areas are referred to: VAL Overlay
All Chapters	Inconsistent use of outstanding natural landscape and visual amenity landscape names	Amend to read where particular visual amenity landscape areas are referred to: ONL Overlay: [Name]
All Chapters	For clarity, where a decision date is referenced in provisions, or date that the provision has effect from, this should be the actual date of decision	Amend to refer to 19 August 2003 in these circumstances
Artificial waterbodies	The defined term is the plural, but the definition is the singular	Amend to 'Artificial water body', with alternatives for the plural
BIOS-R1	Typo in BIOS-R1.1.b.i	Amend to read: the specific location and extent of any earthworks necessary of <u>for</u> the burying of material infected by unwanted organisms
BIOS-R1	BIOS-R1.1.a.iii refers to CE-R5 Earthworks, which has been deleted through Commissioner recommendations. Equivalent provision is CE-REQ5 Earthworks	Delete BIOS-R1.1.a.iii and BIOS-R1.4. Add CE-REQ5 Earthworks to the list of rule requirements applicable to BIOS-R1.1
CE-P2.1	superfluous word in policy	No need for word Appendix ahead of CE-SCHED2 in CE-P2.1
DEV-PR4 ODP	The Birchs Road Park has been formally named Kakaha Park	Amend 'Context' to read: This area covers an area of 28.7ha bounded by Trices Road to the north, Birchs Road to the west and Hamptons Road to the south (the Site). The Site is contained by residential land to the north, rural land to the east, large lot residential to the west, and the Birchs Road <u>Kakaha</u> Park to the south of Hamptons Road.
DPZ-R2	Rule links to the NH Wildfire setbacks REQ, but refers to it as NH-REQ4 rather than NH-REQ7	Amend to read: NH- REQ4 <u>REQ7</u> Wildfire setbacks
ECO Mudfish Habitat Overlay	Inconsistent to use the chapter code in the overlay name	Rename to Mudfish Habitat Overlay
ECO Significant Natural Areas Overlay	Inconsistent to use the chapter code in the overlay name	Rename to Significant Natural Areas Overlay
ECO-SCHED1	The Criteria for Determining Significant Indigenous Vegetation and Significant Habitat of Indigenous Fauna is in a schedule, not an appendix	Amend the first sentence in ECO-SCHED1 to read: These criteria shall be used to determine if an area is significant and significance shall be determined where areas or habitats meet one or more of the criteria in the Appendix <u>this schedule</u> .
EI-R25	Does not comply with drafting protocol, because zones are listed as 'Where's'	Amend so that the relevant zones are listed in Column 1 of the rule

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
EI-R27	Does not comply with drafting protocol, in that it does not make clear that REQs also apply to SCA-DC1 and SCA-DC2 (Arthur's Pass and Castle Hill, where design controls also apply)	Clarify Column 1 so that EI-R27.1 excludes SCA-DC1 & SCA-DC2. Amend EI-R27.3 (SCA-DC1 & SCA-DC2) to include the same REQs as are listed in EI-R27.1
EI-R27	EI-R27 includes EI-REQ5 Earthworks in special areas (which as notified includes ECO matters) in both EI-R27.1 and EI-R27.3. RR includes an instruction to amend to include ECO-REQG as a consequential amendment arising from a structural change, but this has been omitted from EI-R7.3	Amend EI-R27.3 to include ECO-REQG Earthworks and ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity
EI-R28	EI-R28 includes EI-REQ5 Earthworks in special areas (which as notified includes ECO matters) in both EI-R28.1 and EI-R28.5. RR includes an instruction to amend to include ECO-REQG as a consequential amendment arising from a structural change, but this has been omitted from EI-R28.5	Amend EI-R28.5 to include ECO-REQG Earthworks and ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity
EI-R32	Zones list in Column 1 is out of order	Reorder zones within each row to comply with drafting protocol
EI-R4B	RR is missing an 'or' between the two 'Where's associated with EI-R4B.1, such that earthworks can only comply if they are both for an existing road and are for a network utility - this is not what was intended	Amend EI-R4B.1.a to read: ...farm track; <u>or</u>
EI-R4B	RR as written means that earthworks can only be permitted under EI-R4B.4 if they are for fenceposts. EI-R4B.4 intends to allow for any earthworks, provided standards are met.	Amend EI-R4B.4.d to read: Earthwork are for fence posts <u>are</u> more than 5m from...
EI-R7	EI-R7 includes EI-REQ5 Earthworks in special areas (which as notified includes ECO matters) in both EI-R7.1 and EI-R7.3. RR includes an instruction to amend to include ECO-REQG as a consequential amendment arising from a structural change, but this has been omitted from EI-R7.3	Amend EI-R7.3 to include ECO-REQG Earthworks and ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity
EI-REQ19	Fencing of swimming pools is managed through the Building Act, not the Building (Pools) Amendment Act 2016	Amend EI-REQ19.7.b to read: fencing required under the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 or the Building (Pools) Amendment Act 2016 <u>2004</u> .
EI-REQ3	Missing words	Amend EI-REQ3.1 to read: Any pruning of a tree listed in TREE-SCHED2 ...or existing network utility <u>shall be</u> carried out by...

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
EI-REQ3	Wrong MAT in EI-REQ3.8 (fixing to a notable tree). Currently refers to TREE-MAT3 Activities in the Vicinity of a Notable Tree, should be TREE-MAT4 Fixing to Notable Trees, same as for activities that aren't EI-related (hyperlink is correct, text is wrong)	Amend EI-REQ3.8.a to read: TREE-MAT3 <u>TREE-MAT4</u>
EW-R5A	Poor grammar leading to lack of clarity	Amend EW-R5A.4.b to read: <u>The extent to which</u> any potential dust nuisance...
EW-R5A	Poor grammar leading to lack of clarity	Amend EW-R5A.4.c to read: <u>The extent to which</u> the amenity effects...
EW-R5A	Poor grammar leading to lack of clarity	Amend EW-R5A.4.d to read: <u>The extent to which</u> any changes to...
EW-R6	EW-R6.2 (test pits) refers users to the activity status of EW-R1 (earthworks and building consents), where compliance is not achieved. Should default to rules for general earthworks (which is EW-R2).	Amend EW-R6.2 to read: When compliance with EWR6.1.a. is not achieved: Refer to Activity Status EW-R1 <u>EW-R2</u>
EW-REQ1	Poor grammar leading to lack of clarity	Amend EW-REQ1.3.b to read: <u>The extent to which</u> any potential dust nuisance...
EW-REQ2	Poor grammar leading to lack of clarity	Amend EW-REQ1.3.c to read: <u>The extent to which</u> the amenity effects...
EW-REQ3	Poor grammar leading to lack of clarity	Amend EW-REQ1.3.d to read: <u>The extent to which</u> any changes to...
GRUZ-P2.4	Insufficient clarity in provision in relation to SCA-RD7	Amend to read: in SCA-RD7, the development is within a building node in SCA-RD7 , is necessary for the operation and maintenance of a rural production activity, and it can be demonstrated that no balance land is available; and
GRUZ-R15	Word missing from GRUZ-R15.1.a in order for it to make sense	Amend to read: a. Accommodation <u>is</u> offered to not more than five guests
GRUZ-R21	Typo in GRUZ-R21.1.b	Amend to read: The activity is set back from the boundary of <u>any</u> residential zone by 500m
GRUZ-R21	As written, 'Where' b. only requires a setback from one RESZ. Where there is more than one RESZ, no setback applies to the second or subsequent zone	Amend to read: b. The activity is setback from the boundary of <u>any</u> residential zone by 500m
GRUZ-R3	Structural issue - no statement of what happens if you don't meet the criteria for assessment in Column 2	Amend GRUZ-R3 to include a non-compliance statement directing plan users to GRUZ-R4 or GRUZ-R5, as appropriate to the site
GRUZ-R4	Structural issue - no statement of what happens if you don't meet the criteria for assessment in Column 2	Amend GRUZ-R4 to include a non-compliance statement directing plan users to GRUZ-R5
GRUZ-R8	For clarity and to avoid conflict with GRUZ-R8.9, PREC12 needs to be added to GRUZ-R8.1	Amend GRUZ-R8.1 to add PREC to column 1
GRUZ-REQ11	Activities are set back 'from' other activities, not 'to' them	Amend to read: 1. The sensitive activity shall be set back to <u>from</u> any lawfully established...

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
GRUZ-REQ11.1	Insufficiently clear	amend as follows: 1. Any sensitive activity ... shall be set back.... by <u>at least:</u>
GRUZ-TABLE1	3rd column makes reference to strategic roads, which is not a term used on the PDP roading hierarchy	Amend to read: Road boundary with <u>State Highway</u> or arterial/ strategic road
H118	Typo in Date of construction - missing "0"	192 <u>0</u> ?
H179-181	Width of text boxes inconsistent	Change text box widths to be consistent
H318	Link goes to SDC website instead of heritage info	Replace link to the correct destination
H319	Incorrect legal description, based on the mapped area and description of the setting	<u>Part Rural Section 7268 & RS 39004</u>
H402	Typo in item name - needs 2 'r's	Former Parris Cottage
Heritage Overlay	Need to be able to separately identify Memorial Hall from Ivey Hall	Update the item names for the 2 H30 points. The western point is 'Memorial Hall, part of Ivey Hall, former Homestead building, and Memorial Hall', and the eastern point is 'Ivey Hall, part of Ivey Hall, former Homestead building, and Memorial Hall'
HH-SCHED2	Heritage New Zealand have advised that the legal description for H404 has been updated. Also, the legal description in the PDP uses all caps, which is inconsistent with other entries in HH-SCHED2	Amend to read 'Pt RS 37020 Lot 1 DP 37020'
HIRF for H159	Error in the 'History' section of the HIRF	Amend to read: "From the early 20th century Arthur's Pass began to attract attention as a mountain recreation destination, at the same time as it was a hive of activity associated with the construction of the Midland Railway Line and the Otira Tunnel (1908-23). Christchurch school teacher WA Kennedy (William Alexander, 1865-1950) built his own mountain hut at the Pass in 1911. It was located to the south of Rough Creek, on land leased from the Crown, and preceded the arrival of the railway in Arthur's Pass by three years. Kennedy taught at Woolston Primary School from 1890 until his retirement in 1923. He hosted former pupils and teaching colleagues at his hut, female visitors sleeping in the hut while male guests 'dossed down' in the shed, in which Kennedy also built a photographic studio. After the completion of the Otira Rail Tunnel Arthur's Pass made the transition from tunnel construction camp to holiday village. <u>WA Kennedy, who married Kathleen O'Brien in 1932, relinquished the hut in 1939 and died after several years of ill health in Christchurch in 1950. He is buried in Linwood</u>

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
		<u>Cemetery, Christchurch. Since 1939 the hut has been owned by the White family and then two generations of the Gabara/West family. The hut has been owned by three owners, Kennedy and two generations of the White/West family. WA Kennedy, who married Kathleen O'Brien in 1932, relinquished the hut in 1939 and died after several years of ill health in Christchurch in 1950. He is buried in Linwood Cemetery, Christchurch.</u> The hut was damaged slightly by an earthquake in 1995 but continues to be used as a holiday cottage.
HIRF for H319	Incorrect legal description, based on the mapped area and description of the setting	<u>Part Rural Section 7268 &</u> RS 39003
HIRF for H414	Wrongly identifies 'Brucecoe Lodge' as being listed on the NZ Heritage List. The list number (5194) relates to H415 only.	Delete reference to 'HNZPT List No. 5194 Category 2'
HPW-19 to HPW29	amend Relationship between spatial layers sections to ensure all zone, precinct, SCA and overlay descriptions and references are included and accurate	amend Relationship between spatial layers sections to ensure all zone, precinct, SCA and overlay descriptions and references are included and accurate
HPW24	The list of special purpose zone descriptions does not follow the order in which they appear in the Plan	Reorder table rows to match the order in which chapters appear
KNOZ-R12	consequential amendments to Corrections Activities rules consistent with approach identified in 02 report	amend to reference 'corrections prisons' not 'corrections activities'
LCZ-R9	reformat reference to when activities does not comply with Rule Requirements in column 3	Removed matters of discretion to LCZ-R9.11.
LIGHT-TABLE1	Use of the word 'adjoining' is redundant - it's already in the REQ anyway	Amend heading row to read: Zone of the adjoining site receiving light spill
Maintenance or repair	New part added in relation to network utilities	make additional text a part d
MANA6	Sentence does not make sense.	The Ngāi Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998 also vests the following areas within the Selwyn District vested in Ngāi Tahu ownership in recognition of their:
National Environmental Standards	Typo	amend to read <u>en</u> vironmental in heading
National Environmental Standards	NES MA now in force	amend to move NES MA to list of NESs currently in force and remove redundant text
National Environmental Standards	Inclusion of Storing Tyres Outdoor NES into list	Amended to add NES for Storing Tyres Outdoors 2021 to list

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
NATC-R4	Rule layout does not comply with drafting protocol	Amend NATC-R4.2 to read: When compliance with NATC-R4.1 is not achieved: Refer to NATC-REQ4 <u>NATC-R4.3</u> . Insert new NATC-R4.3 for any sign not subject to NATC-R4.1, where such signs are permitted if they comply with NATC-REQ4
NFL-REQ4	incorrect notification statement	amended to read: Any application arising from NFL-REQ4.3. shall not be subject to public notification.
NFL-REQ9	incomplete activity status text	Amended to read: when compliance with any of NFL-REQ9.1. is not achieved: NC
NH-R5	An error was made in the process of finalising the drafting of the provision, so the final version makes reference to a provision that wasn't included in that position in that version	Amend NH-R5.3 to read: The establishment of any new defence against water not subject to NH-R5.3A <u>NH-R5.2B</u>
Noise Control Overlay	Overlay name unclear	Relabel the 'State Highway Corridor' to 'State Highway Noise Control Overlay'
Noise Control Overlay	Overlay name unclear	Relabel the 'Rail Corridor' to 'Railway Network Noise Control Overlay'
Noise Control Overlay	Overlay name unclear	Amend 'Noise Control Boundary - Synlait' to 'Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay'
Noise Control Overlay	Overlay name unclear	Relabel the 'Noise Control Boundary - Fonterra' to 'Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay'
Noise Control Overlay	Overlay name unclear	Relabel the 'WMRR 65dB Ldn Noise Control boundary' as 'West Melton Rifle Range 65dB Ldn Noise Control Overlay'
Noise Control Overlay	Overlay name unclear	Relabel the 'WMRR 55dB Ldn Noise Control boundary' as 'West Melton Rifle Range 55dB Ldn Noise Control Overlay'
NOISE-R3	NOISE-R3.2 is unnecessarily complicated - non-compliance with NOISE-R3.1 is DIS in both circumstances, so don't need to differentiate.	Amend to read: 2. When compliance with any of NOISE-R3.1.i. or NOISE-R3.1.ii. is not achieved: DIS
NOISE-R3	NOISE-R3.4 is unnecessarily complicated - non-compliance with NOISE-R3.3 is DIS in all circumstances, so don't need to differentiate.	Amend to read: 4. When compliance with any of NOISE-R3.3.a-e. is not achieved: DIS
NOISE-R4	NOISE-R4.2 is unnecessarily complicated - non-compliance with NOISE-R4.1 is NC in all circumstances, so don't need to differentiate.	Amend to read: 2. When compliance with any of NOISE-R4.1.a-b. is not achieved: NC
NPS	Add NPS-HPL to list and update review dates to 2023	NPS-HPL has been added to table in NDI1 along with the following text: The National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land 2023 has been partially reviewed as of 19 August 2023

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
PORTZ-R8	Consequential amendments to Corrections Activities rules consistent with approach identified in 02 report	amend to reference 'corrections prisons' not 'corrections activities'
PRZ-R14	The note is in the middle of the provisions, which inaccurately implies that PRZ-R14.2 is a note, rather than a rule	Move the note under PRZ-R14.1 to below PRZ-R14.2
PRZ-REQ2	As currently laid out, PRZ-REQ2 is both confusing and inconsistent with the drafting protocol	Add an additional row to PRZ-REQ2 to separate out the provisions applying to the Crystal Chalets Area from the provisions applying to other areas within the Village Base Sub Area
R3 in all CMUZ	Rule structure improper	combine clauses in residential unit provisions across all CMUZ where activity is not otherwise PER
SASM Nga Turanga Tupuna Overlay	Only SASM Overlays have the chapter code in the name of the overlay.	Rename to SASM <u>SASM</u> -Nga Turanga Tupuna Overlay (with macron) and update throughout the Plan
SASM Nga Wai Overlay	Only SASM Overlays have the chapter code in the name of the overlay.	Rename to SASM <u>SASM</u> -Nga Wai Overlay (with macron) and update throughout the Plan
SASM Nohoanga Overlay	Only SASM Overlays have the chapter code in the name of the overlay.	Rename to SASM <u>SASM</u> -Nohoanga Overlay and update throughout the Plan
SASM Schedule s	Space between SASM and the numerical identifier needs to be removed	SASM 1 should be SASM1
SASM Wahi Taonga Overlay	Only SASM Overlays have the chapter code in the name of the overlay.	Rename to SASM <u>SASM</u> -Wahi Taonga Overlay (with macron) and update throughout the Plan
SASM Wahi Tapu Overlay	Only SASM Overlays have the chapter code in the name of the overlay.	Rename to SASM <u>SASM</u> -Wahi Tapu Overlay (with macron) and update throughout the Plan
SASM-MAT1	Change clause 4 to align with language in SASM-MAT3	Amend SASM-MAT1.4 to read: 4. In respect of utilities, <u>or important infrastructure</u> , the extent to which the proposed <u>utility activity</u> has technical <u>functional</u> or operational needs for its location.;
SASM-MAT2	Change clause 4 to align with language in SASM-MAT3	Amend SASM-MAT2.4 to read: 4. In respect of <u>utilities, or important infrastructure</u> , the extent to which the proposed infrastructure <u>activity</u> has technical <u>functional</u> or operational needs for its location.;
SASM-R1	Typo in SASM-R1.1.b	Amend to read: is not located <u>within</u> any maunga identified in SASM-SCHED1
SASM-R5	There's a note at the end of SASM-R5, cross referencing to GRUZ-R21. This is the only place in the PDP where a district-wide rule references an area-specific one as a note. This has the potential to create confusion about whether SASM-R5 applies in the GRUZ	Delete the note under SASM-R5.2
SD-MWV-O1	Unnecessary capitalisation in provision	Strengthen the partnership between the Council and Ngāi Tahu by recognising the cultural significance of Selwyn to Ngāi Tahu and Te Taumutu and Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga by:

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ppromoting ... ; 2. Rrecognising ... ; 3. Eenabling ... ; 4. Providing ... ; and 5. Continuing
SD-UFD-O2	Amendment for punctuation	in 2. Inside of Greater Christchurch - change to include colons, semi-colons to improve clarity
SIGN-R6.5.6	Leading words for matter of control not complete	have amended to follow drafting protocol
SIGN-REQ1.29	Incorrect numbering in subsequent sections referring to SIGN-REQ1.27 when it should be 29 & 30	have amended such that all numbering consecutive
SUB-MAT1	Recommendation report incorrectly shows the phrase to be deleted, leaving a phrase that has poor grammar	Amend SUB-MAT1.4 to read: ... recognised planned urban form of the area.
SUB-R11	Noise Overlay names have evolved since SUB-R11 'Where' d was last updated	Update Noise Overlay names to reflect those in the NOISE chapter
SUB-R11	Recommendation report incorrectly lists those REQs listed in GRUZ-R2 as applying. Correct reference is SUB-R2	Amend to read: And this activity complies with the following rule requirements: In the General Rural Zone, as set out in GRUZ-R2 <u>SUB-R2</u>
Surface Water Bodies	The defined term is the plural, but the definition is the singular	Amend 'Surface Water Body', to include alternatives for the plural
TCZ-R3 and LCZ-R3 and NCZ-R3	Remove superfluous references to clause b that has been merged into clause a via commissioners recommendations	remove references to 'b' where 'b' no longer exists
Tertiary Education provider	Definition not used in plan therefore obsolete	Delete definition 'Tertiary Education Provider'
TEZ-R1	Notification statement is in the wrong column and doesn't specify which part of TEZ-R1 it applies to. Amend to be consistent with operative plan notification statement (as per s32) and move to appropriate location in Column 2.	Amend to read: <u>2A. Any application arising from TEZ-R1.1 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.</u> 5. Any application arising from TEZ-R1 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.
TEZ-R17	Grammatical error in TEZ-R17.1.b	Amend to read: b. There is <u>are</u> no more than...
TEZ-R2	Notification statement is in the wrong column and doesn't specify which part of TEZ-R2 it applies to. Amend to be consistent with operative plan notification statement (as per s32) and move to appropriate location in Column 2.	Amend to read: <u>2A. Any application arising from TEZ-R2.1 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.</u> 5. Any application arising from TEZ-R2 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.
TEZ-R3	Notification statement is in the wrong column and doesn't specify which part of TEZ-R3 it applies to. Amend to be consistent with operative plan notification statement (as per s32) and move to appropriate location in Column 2.	Amend to read: <u>2A. Any application arising from TEZ-R3.1 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.</u> 5. Any application arising from TEZ-R3 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
TEZ-R4	Notification statement is in the wrong column and doesn't specify which part of TEZ-R4 it applies to. Amend to be consistent with operative plan notification statement (as per s32) and move to appropriate location in Column 2.	Amend to read: <u>2A. Any application arising from TEZ-R4.1 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.</u> 5. Any application arising from TEZ-R4 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.
TEZ-R5	Notification statement is in the wrong column and doesn't specify which part of TEZ-R5 it applies to. Amend to be consistent with operative plan notification statement (as per s32) and move to appropriate location in Column 2.	Amend to read: <u>2A. Any application arising from TEZ-R5.1 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.</u> 5. Any application arising from TEZ-R5 shall not be subject to public notification. Notice shall not be served on any person.
TRAN-MAT8	Duplication of numbering within MAT leading to confusion	Renumber 1. 2. 3. under heading of Full ITA to continue consecutive numbering in MAT 8
TRAN-MAT8	Confusion between basic and Full matters	Split into matters - MAT8 being Basic and MAT 8A being Full
TRAN-P10	Poor grammar to start a sentence with "Supporting"	Supporting <u>Support</u> the economic...
TRAN-P13	Where its's to the whole of a provision, references to another provision should have the provision name, not just the code	5. Considering biodiversity off-setting in accordance with E1B-SCHED 5 <u>ECO-SCHED5 - Framework for Biodiversity Offsetting...</u>
TRAN-R2	Advisory note following TRAN-R2 should sit within TRAN-R2	Move advisory note to end of column 2 in TRAN-R2
TRAN-R8	Confusion re matters for discretion that a relevant to breach of rule	Having regard to splitting of TRAN-MAT8 into two new matters, need for TRAN-R8 to point to appropriate matters
TRAN-REQ10	TRAN-REQ9 On-site parking requires compliance with both TRAN-TABLE10 - Minimum parking area dimensions and TRAN-DIAGRAM13 - Parking area formation dimensions, but TRAN-REQ10 Mobility parking only requires compliance with TRAN-TABLE10, without reference to the diagram that shows how to implement the table	Amend TRAN-REQ10.2: All mobility parks shall comply with the design requirements listed in TRAN-TABLE10 <u>and illustrated in TRAN-DIAGRAM13</u>
TRAN-REQ19	TRAN-REQ19.6 applies in the CMUZ, don't need to confuse matters by referring to CMUZ and GIZ in the provision	Amend to read: 6. local road (in CMUZ, GIZ) standards
TRAN-REQ19	The first part of TRAN-REQ19.6 duplicates TRAN-REQ19.1 (which in turn requires compliance with TRAN-TABLE7), and so should be deleted	Amend to read: 6. Where a cul de sac is formed it shall comply with the local road standards listed in (Link,12539,TRAN TABLE7) <u>include a turning head with a minimum diameter of 30m, measured from the kerb to the kerb.</u>
TRAN-REQ19	TRAN-REQ19.10 (cul de sacs) applies to all RESZ zones, but it makes reference to a line in TRAN-TABLE7 that doesn't apply to all RESZ zones. TRAN-REQ19.1 requires	Amend to read: 10. Where a cul de sac is formed it shall comply with the Local Road (LRZ, GRZ, SETZ) standards listed in TRAN TABLE7 <u>include: ...</u>

Provision	Issue	Amendment (in bold or underline)
	compliance with the relevant row of TRAN-TABLE7 anyway, so this part of TRAN-REQ19.10 should be removed to avoid confusion where it conflicts with TRAN-REQ19.1	
TRAN-REQ19	TRAN-REQ19.13 (roads in LLRZ) requires compliance with TRAN-TABLE7 and TRAN-DIAGRAM8, with RDIS status if compliance not achieved. Non-compliance with TRAN-TABLE7 is already DIS via TRAN-REQ19.1, so reference to TRAN-TABLE7 needs to be removed from TRAN-REQ19.13, to avoid confusion	Amend to read: 13. Land transport infrastructure shall be formed to the standards contained in TRAN-TABLE7 and as illustrated in TRAN-DIAG8.
TRAN-REQ2	Vehicle crossings are not formed 'on' a road - they are formed within the legal road. Once it leaves the legal road, it's an access or accessway	Various locations: A vehicle crossing shall not be formed onto [OR] <u>on</u> <u>within</u> ...
TRAN-REQ22	TRAN-REQ22 does not apply in all zones. It applies in identified overlays. As such, the overlays should be listed in Column 1.	All Zones <u>ONL Overlay VAL Overlay</u>
TRAN-REQ23	TRAN-REQ23 does not apply in all zones. It applies in identified overlays. As such, the overlays should be listed in Column 1.	All Zones <u>Wāhi Tapu Overlay Wāhi Taonga Overlay Ngā Tūrangā Tūpuna Overlay</u>
TRAN-TABLE1	A section of road cannot have two statuses, and 'local' needs to link to the definition	Any activity accessing a local <u>road</u> and or collector <u>Roads-road</u>
TRAN-TABLE7	Column 1 lists zones where provisions do not apply, which hinders readability and the search function	Amend so that each row lists the zones where the provisions apply, rather than the zones where they do not.
TREE-R2	TREE-R2.3 is a notification statement relating to non-compliance with TREE-REQ2 - the statement should be in TREE-REQ2, not TREE-R2	Delete TREE-R2.3, insert an equivalent notification statement as TREE-REQ2.5
TREE-R3	TREE-R.2a and TREE-R3.5 are each a notification statement relating to non-compliance with TREE-REQ2 - the statement should be in TREE-REQ2, not TREE-R3	Delete each of TREE-R3.2a and TREE-R3.5, insert an equivalent notification statement as TREE-REQ2.5
TREE-R5	Provisions in the main body of an Act are sections, not clauses	Amend TREE-R5.1 to read: Any work to a tree ... in accordance with, clause 14 of the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003, or <u>section</u> clause 128 of the Telecommunications Act 2001.
UD-SCHED1.3.f	Sub number incorrectly identified in the Panel report as F	Retained numbering as e in the eplan
UG-P15.2.a	unnecessary numbering	subsection a. is unnecessary. Amend 2. to read as one line without a.