Proposed Selwyn District Plan



Right of Reply Report

Strategic Directions

Robert Love

27 August 2021



Contents

1.	Purpose of Report	3
2.	Hearing Panel's Questions to the s42a Reporting Officer and their Response	3
3.	Reporting Officer's Proposed Provision Amendments	10
4.	Conclusion	15
Арр	pendix 1: Updated Table of Submission Points	16
App	pendix 2: Recommended amendments	131

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to respond to the questions raised by the Hearings Panel during Hearing 1: Strategic Directions, and for the Officer to propose any further amendments to the notified version of the Proposed District Plan above those recommended in the Officers s42a evidence report.

2. Hearing Panel's Questions to the s42a Reporting Officer and their Response

2.1 The following questions were received from the Hearing Panel for the Strategic Directions topic which sat from 09 August 2021 to 13 August 2021.

[1] Develop a potential definition for 'minimise'?

- 2.2 A point was raised that the term 'minimise' could have two different meanings these being to:
 - reduce an effect to the greatest extent; or
 - reduce an effect by any extent.
- 2.3 Notwithstanding that this definition is addressed in the s42A report for Hearing 2: Part 1 -Introduction and General Provisions¹, it is considered that clear guidance as to the intended use of this term is needed within the Plan.
- 2.4 The intended degree of application of 'minimise' for the purpose of this Chapter is for the effects of an activity to be reduced to the lowest extent reasonably possible. Any expectation by a Plan to require the effects to be reduced to the greatest extent without consideration as to what this may mean to the viability of the development may not be appropriate in all cases, especially when considering the development of infrastructure. This approach therefore places more emphasis on the assessment of the activity through the resource consent process.
- 2.5 On review of several dictionary definitions for this term, a common understanding is in line with reducing something to the greatest extent, with definitions to a lesser degree including reducing an effect by any extent or similar phrase. Given that the more common understanding of the term is not the definition that this Plan has been drafted to use, more weight is lent towards having a Plan specific definition for the term.
- 2.6 With this in mind a potential definition of 'minimise' could be:

'means to reduce an effect to the smallest extent practicable'

[2] Is there scope for the amendments [paragraph 50] suggested by the Trices Road Re-zoning Group?

2.7 During the hearing this party has sought to change their relief as stated in their submissions to a suggested relief included in their planning evidence, which comprises the following amendments to SD-UFD-O2.

¹ Section 15 of s42A report for Hearing 2: Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions (pages 132-134)

"There is **at least** sufficient feasible development capacity **in each township** to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities, **having particular regard to the Township and Activity Centres Networks**".

- 2.8 This submitter considers they have scope within paragraph 20 Part f of their original submission for this relief. On review of the original submission the section indicated by the submitter did not exist. On a general search of the submission in regard to amendments to this Strategic Objective there is only a limited amount of scope to consider the proposed amendments suggested in the submitters evidence, this being to consider the following amendments:
 - 'at least'; and
 - 'in each township'
- 2.9 But the following amendment did not form part of the submission:

'having particular regard to the Township and Activity Centres Networks'.

[3] Identify where in the PDP that the five matters raised in the proposed Strategic Policy for infrastructure are dealt with?

- 2.10 This question stems from a proposed Strategic Policy as raised in the submitters evidence from the following parties:
 - Christchurch International Airport
 - Orion
 - Fonterra
 - Synlait
 - Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company

Aspect	Relevant PDP provisions
Avoiding noise sensitive activities within the	NOISE-O2
Christchurch International Airport contour	NOISE-P3
	NOISE-R4
	NOISE-TABLE2
	Christchurch International Airport Noise Control Overlay
	SUB-R11 (d)
	SUB-R26.1
Managing birdstrike risk to aircraft using	Specifically in GRUZ-R21.2.d, with certain activities of
Christchurch International Airport	interest to CIAL requiring consent at least at the
	discretionary level (i.e. where potential birdstrike effect
	can be considered), GRUZ-R19, and GRUZ-R37.
	NOISE-O2

Avoiding noise sensitive activities within the	NOISE-P4
Port Zone noise overlay	NOISE-R5
	Port Zone Noise Control Overlay
	SUB-R3
	SUB-R26.3
	SUB-R26.7
	PORTZ-R5
Avoiding sensitive land uses near the DPZ	NOISE-O2
	NOISE-P5
	NOISE-R6
	Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay
	SUB-R4
	SUB-R26.2
	DPZ-R4
Avoiding adverse effects on the electricity	EI-O3
network, significant electricity distribution lines.	EI-P6
	EI-R1
	EI-R2
	EI-R3
	EI-R4
	EI-R5
	EI-REQ1
	EI-REQ2
	Electricity Transmission and Distribution Overlay
	GRUZ-R21.2.d
	SUB-R16

[4] Is there scope to expand the list of elements already suggested in the proposed strategic infrastructure policy?

2.11 There is some scope to include a 'strategic policy' dealing with infrastructure contained in CIAL submission (DPR-0371) in paragraph 26:

'There are more specific policies and objectives located in the Energy, Infrastructure and Transport and Noise chapters. CIAL seeks that this detail is replicated or brought into the strategic directions provisions'.

2.12 When determining if there is scope to expand the list of those elements already proposed in the 'strategic policy' a presumption of what these are is required in order to review the relevant submissions. With this in mind, the submissions of the other infrastructure providers that have submitted on this chapter have been reviewed including Chorus, Spark and Vodafone (DPR-0101), Fire and Emergency New Zealand (DPR-0359), Waka Kotahi/ NZ Transport Agency (DPR-0375), New Zealand Defence Force (DPR-0448) and KiwiRail (DPR-0458). Of these submissions no scope was found to have specific reference to their particular aspect of infrastructure itemised in the Strategic Directions.

[5] Does the CRPS define what 'acceptable risk' is in terms of natural hazards?

2.13 The term 'acceptable risk' is not defined within any interpretation section of the CRPS, but it does provide some guidance as to what 'acceptable risk' may be, with reference to:

Policy 11.3.1 Avoidance of inappropriate development in high hazard areas

This policy does contain a range of criteria that may determine if certain development is acceptable within a high hazard area.

Policy 11.3.4 Critical infrastructure

This policy does provide leeway for this type of development within high hazard areas if certain conditions are met.

Policy 11.3.2 Avoid development in areas subject to inundation

Principle reasons and explanation

'...acknowledges that new land uses that are unlikely to suffer material damage to land or property ... and which do not result in increased risk to life, will probably be acceptable in areas subject to flowing in a 0.5% AEP flood event.' and 'In addition, ancillary buildings, including small additions to existing buildings, and development incidental to an existing use are acceptable where there is no increased risk to life.'.

Policy 11.3.3 Earthquake hazards

Principle reasons and explanation

"... in some cases, the level of activity of the fault is low enough that the risk to residential development is acceptable.".

Policy 11.3.5 General risk management approach

- "...When determining whether risk is unacceptable, the following matters will be considered:
- 1. The likelihood of the natural hazard event; and
- 2. The potential consequences of the natural hazard event for; people and communities, property and infrastructure and the environment, and the emergency response organisations...'
- 2.14 The full text of the above provisions should be read in order to accurately gauge the CRPS understanding of 'acceptable risk'.
 - [6] Do the detailed natural hazard provisions provide guidance to a decision maker as to what 'acceptable risk' would be?
- 2.15 There is no definition of 'acceptable risk' but guidance can be found within the provisions of the Plan and what type of development in particular circumstances they permit, manage, and avoid.
- 2.16 At the base level, rules and rule requirements determine what is acceptable through the setting of permitted activity standards. If a permitted standard is breached then acceptability is determined by the relevant activity status and supporting policy framework, particularly with regard to the wording used. For instance NH-P1 seeks to avoid development in high hazard areas, unless the development can meet any of the criteria in clauses 1 4, with this same approach being taken in El-P2. For further policy direction the entire suite of Natural Hazard provisions should be reviewed.
 - [7] Do any of the submissions on the Strategic Directions chapter provide scope for a wider understanding of 'wellbeing' to be used rather than a specific reference to economic wellbeing?
- 2.17 When considering if there is scope to amend certain provisions within the Strategic Direction chapter from referring to economic well-being to a general wellbeing reference it is relevant to note that 'wellbeing' in any form is not used within any of the Strategic Directions, other than a heading for 'District Wellbeing and Prosperity' which is a non-statutory part of the Plan.
- 2.18 On review of the submissions for this topic, scope can be found within DoC's submission (DPR-0427-022) which seeks the relief to replace SD-DI-O2 with 'Selwyn's residents and communities are able to provide for their social, cultural and economic wellbeing and their health and safety'.
 - [8] Can the 'Map A' area contained within the CRPS be overlaid on a map with the Urban Growth Overlay as notified in the PDP?
- 2.19 Due to the recent COVID related lockdown a map showing these two spatial overlays could not be produced in time for the publishing of this Right of Reply report.
- 2.20 Given this information is only factual rather than opinion based, these maps can provided to the Panel at a later date if it wishes.

- [9] When selecting a property on the ePlan, does the data output include all relevant provisions, or just the relevant overlays to the site?
- 2.21 When selecting a property in the ePlan only the relevant overlays are included within the left hand side data display. However, when clicking the overlay title within the left hand side display it directly links the user to the relevant chapter.
 - [10] In regards to a rural strategic objective, review the relevant suggested Strategic Objectives, and propose a potential Strategic Objective with a rural focus.
- 2.22 The following sources were reviewed in regard to the above question:
 - HortNZ planning evidence
 - Federated Farmers statement
 - Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc statement
 - Dairy Holdings Ltd, Rakaia Irrigation Ltd, and Craigmore Farming Services Ltd legal submissions
 - NZPork planning evidence
 - CCC District Plan Strategic Objective 3.3.16
- 2.23 In regards of the HortNZ, Federated Farmers, NZPork, and Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Inc, these parties caucused prior to the Hearing and developed a shared objective.

'Selwyn's productive land and versatile soils are retained for rural production, including food production, and rural production activities are enabled to ensure that rural communities can thrive, use resources efficiently, maintain rural character and contribute positively to the district and national identity and economy.'

- 2.24 General considerations of this objective:
 - Overall components of this objective are of a policy orientation such as the use of the terms 'enable' and 'maintain'. These types of words should generally be avoided within objectives given their method based nature. However, there are exceptions to this depending on the use of the word in context with the wider objective. If the term enabled is used, a question needs to be asked as to why that aspect should be enabled, what does enabling that particular aspect result in, with the answers to those questions potentially forming a more coherent outcome based objective.
 - The first part of this objective is largely dealt with in the recommended amendments to SD-UFD-O1 which seeks to have highly productive land recognised as a key consideration when assessing the merits of urban growth.
 - While versatile soils has a basis within the CRPS, productive land does not, and could be open to interpretation and could theoretically apply to any piece of land that any level of production can be derived from.
- 2.25 The legal submission provided by the consortium of DHL, RIL, and Craigmore Farming suggested a different objective:

'Protect the long-term viability of rural production in Selwyn through a framework that delivers economic benefit and sustains the environment.'

- 2.26 General considerations of this objective:
 - The objective only seeks there to be a framework in place that protects the long term viability of rural production, and for it to deliver economic benefits and the sustaining of the environment. The objective does not set the vision for the area of the rural community, which is the primary purpose of an objective especially a strategic one.
 - The proposed objective specifically only mentions the long term viability of rural production, whereas all timeframes of viability should be protected.
 - There could be a conflict within the objective through seeking a framework that both delivers economic benefit for the community, and sustaining the environment.
- 2.27 The Hearing Panel also suggested that the rural strategic objective used in the CCC District Plan also be reviewed.
 - 3.3.16 Objective A productive and diverse rural environment
 - 1. A range of opportunities is enabled in the rural environment, primarily for rural productive activities, and also for other activities which use the rural resource efficiently and contribute positively to the economy.
 - 2. The contribution of rural land to maintaining the values of the natural and cultural environment, including Ngai Tahu values, is recognised.
- 2.28 General considerations of this objective:
 - The objective is largely of a policy orientation, or a method of how the area is to be used, rather than the desired outcome an area.
 - Clause 2, does not provide any support or guidance for decision makers as there is only a requirement to recognise those identified values.
- 2.29 On review of the above objectives a potential rural based strategic objective could be the following (if considered by the Hearings Panel that it is appropriate include one):

Rural areas and their communities have their economic potential derived from primary production realised.

- [11] Is there a need for a specific strategic objective to deal with urban growth in the 'chapter 6' area as specified in the CRPS. With consideration of this question taking into account the submission by CCC which seeks to have SD-UFD-O1 spilt in two for each CRPS area for the reason of to ensure the PDP gives better effect to the CRPS?
- 2.30 It is acknowledged that the Plan needs to provide detailed direction that allows the Plan to give effect to the CRPS, rather than relying on assessments having to elevate up into a regional planning framework assessment.

- 2.31 The submitter's statement that this strategic objective does not give full effect to the CRPS by not setting a clear direction on where growth should and should not go is also accepted.
- 2.32 However, in reference to the question on if this strategic objective should be amended to deal with the two areas specified in the CRPS (Chapter 6 and Wider Region), it is not considered that it should be amended, as this is not the intended purpose of this provision.
- 2.33 The provision's purpose is to provide guidance as to ultimately what the anticipated form of urban growth should be, rather than where it should be within Selwyn. To get guidance as to the specific location of urban growth, the finer grained Urban Growth Chapter should be consulted, and in particular policies UG-P3, UG-P4, UG-P13, UG-P14, UG-P15, and UG-P16. This means an elevation up into the CRPS for an assessment if clear direction is not set would not occur in this case, as clear direction is set within the Plan, within the UG chapter.
- 2.34 The Strategic Objective does not use words like enable, allow, permit, encourage, or other synonym when speaking about urban growth, it makes no judgement on if growth should occur, just that if it does then it needs to be aligned with the aspects mentioned in the Strategic Objective.
- 2.35 While strategic objectives are a relevant consideration in an assessment, they are deliberately crafted to provide high level direction, with the underlying provisions of the Plan that give effect to the Strategic Objectives providing the necessary detail as to how these strategic outcomes are to be achieved in the context of the relevant proposal.
- 2.36 If this approach is accepted and adopted then the perceived ambiguity does not occur as the underlying provisions provide the detail for the assessment, and the Strategic Objectives the overarching direction or desired outcome for the District.
- 2.37 Therefore, it is my opinion that this Strategic Objective should not be amended in relation to the matter raised in the question from the Hearing's Panel.
 - [12] Is there a definition for 'urban growth' within the PDP, or the CRPS, if not is there a need for a definition, and if there is a need, is there a scope? If there is a need and there is scope then please propose a definition for 'urban growth'.
- 2.38 The term 'urban growth' is not defined within the Plan, or the CRPS. There is a definition within the CRPS of 'urban activities' which lists a range of activities considered to be urban in nature. I do not consider there to be a need for a defined term within the Plan as the common understanding of urban growth is sufficient, in conjunction with the definition for 'urban activities'

3. Reporting Officer's Proposed Provision Amendments

3.1 On review of the submitter's evidence and the matters raised within the Hearing the following amendments to the proposed provisions are recommended. Note this does not include any amendments as recommended in the s42a evidence. For a full summary of all proposed amendments to provisions see **Appendix 2**.

SD-DI-O1

Proposed amendment:

Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development:

- 1. takes into account the <u>anticipated</u> character of individual communities;
- 2. is well-connected, safe, accessible, and resilient; and
- enhances environmental, economic, cultural and social outcomes for the benefit of the entire District.

Submission scope:

3.2 Scope is provided for this proposed amendment through Kāinga Ora's submission point DPR-0414-002. While the wording proposed here is not the same as the relief sought in the submission point, its intent is the same.

Reasoning:

3.3 As explained within the s42a report, there is sufficient coverage within the notified wording. However, for the sake of clarity, usability and certainty this amendment is recommended to ensure that the character of an area is interpreted as being tied to that which is enabled or protected within the Plan, rather than necessarily the existing on the ground character. No s32aa assessment is deemed necessary given that the extent of the amendment is inherently already part of the Strategic Objective.

SD-DI-O2

Proposed amendment:

Selwyn's prosperous economy is support through the efficient use of land, resources, and infrastructure, while ensuring existing activities are protected from incompatible activities, and reverse sensitivity.

Submission scope:

3.4 Scope is provided for this proposed amendment through Orion DPR-0367-001, and CIAL DPR-0371-016.

Reasoning:

3.5 A common theme arising from submitters evidence and in particular the planning evidence for CIAL presented by Mr Bonis, and the planning evidence for Fonterra by Mr Chrystal, it was deemed that that use of the term' incompatible activities' could potentially not be all encompassing to the extent intended by the notified version of the provision. In order to avoid any potential confusion or gaps within the provision, it is recommended to insert the proposed amendment. No s32aa assessment is deemed necessary given that the extent of the amendment is inherently already part of the Strategic Objective.

SD-DI-O4

Proposed amendment:

Places, landscapes, and features which are significant to Selwyn's character environment, cultural heritage, or are of a spiritual importance to Ngāi Tahu, are identified, recognised for their values, and protected for future generations.

Submission scope:

3.6 There is scope for the proposed amendment through DoC's submission point DPR-0427-023, and DPR-0427-130.

Reasoning:

- 3.7 In conjunction to the amendment suggested in the s42a report, this amendment will ensure that the intrinsic natural values such as significant biodiversity will be given the protection they require under the Act, with this Strategic Objective setting the benchmark for the underlying provisions to align to. The main reason this has triggered this proposed amendment is from potential uncertainty as to the range of the term 'character' and if the term included natural intrinsic values, rather than those aspects which hold significance as defined by humans.
- 3.8 While the term 'intrinsic' was considered as a potential option for insertion, the supplementary planning evidence provided by Amelia Ching suggested the word 'environment' which as defined by the RMA includes:
 - a) ecosystems and their constituent parts, including people and communities; and
 - b) all natural and physical resources; and
 - c) amenity values; and
 - d) the social, economic, aesthetic, and cultural conditions which affect the matters stated in paragraphs (a) to (c) or which are affected by those matters.
- 3.9 The term includes those intrinsic values, and is a defined term by the Act, which assists in providing certainty of what the desired outcome for the Strategic Objective is. No s32aa assessment is deemed necessary given that the extent of the amendment is inherently already part of the Strategic Objective.

New Objective

SD-DI-06 The productive potential of rural land

Rural areas and their communities have their economic potential derived from primary production realised.

Submission scope:

3.10 There is scope for the proposed amendment through the following submission points NZPork DPR-0142-017, DPR-0142-076, ESAI DPR-0212-010, DPR-0212-012, DPR-0212-013, HortNZ DPR-0353-084, Dairy Holdings Ltd DPR-0372-018, Craigmore Farming Services Ltd DPR-0388-008, Rakaia Irrigation Ltd DPR-0390-010, and Federated Farmers DPR-0422-097, DPR-0422-102, & DPR-0422-296.

Reasoning:

3.11 While coverage for the rural area does exist across multiple proposed Strategic Objectives, in order to be clear about the Plan's desired outcome for rural areas, a specific rurally based Strategic Objective could be warranted. For further analysis of potential rural based Strategic Objectives see the section in the previous chapter addressing question 10 from the Hearings Panel. In short, the proposed Strategic Objective succulently captures the main point from the suggested objectives

from the various stakeholders, this being that rural communities should be about to carry out rural activities and be able to provide for themselves, ultimately that the rural area is a working environment.

3.12 While having a zone based specific Strategic Objective could set a precedent that would give justification for all zones to have their own Strategic Objective, no scope is provided in any submission to allow for this circumstance. Ultimately the introduction of new provisions is a balancing act between providing certainty and clarity and providing a streamlined plan that contains strategic direction without unnecessarily duplicating the provisions of underlying chapters. Given that this is a one off situation of a zone receiving its own strategic objective I do not consider it would result in making the Plan too unwieldy.

Section 32AA evaluation

3.13 The following points evaluate the recommended change under Section 32AA of the RMA.

Effectiveness and efficiency

3.14 See reasoning section as to why the recommended amendment could increase the effectiveness of the PDP and lead to a more efficient use of the rural area.

Costs and benefits

3.15 There is no cost to the proposed amendment to the provisions notified, and will provide a benefit to both plan users due to increased certainty as to the desired outcome for the rural area, and potential economic benefit to rural communities due to the directness that the potential economic value as a result of primary production of the rural area should be realised.

Risk of acting or not acting

3.16 As it can be argued that the aspects included the proposed amendment are already present but at a district focus throughout the Strategic Objective, this means that there is no increased risk of acting or not acting in this regard.

Conclusion as to the most appropriate option

3.17 The recommended amended Strategic Objective is considered to be the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act as it will assist the community to provide for their wellbeing.

SD-UFD-O2

Proposed amendment

There is <u>at least</u> sufficient feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.

Submission scope:

3.18 There is scope for the proposed amendment through Kāinga Ora's submission point DPR-0414-012.

Reasoning:

3.19 The proposed amendment means that the strategic objective would better align with NPS-UD Policy 2 that includes the term 'at least'. The amendment would avoid any potential confusion around Council's responsibility to ensure sufficient amounts of development capacity, with sufficient only being the starting point, and that ideally Council should be providing more than that.

Section 32AA evaluation

3.20 The following points evaluate the recommended change under Section 32AA of the RMA.

Effectiveness and efficiency

3.21 See reasoning section as to why the recommended amendment could increase the effectiveness of the PDP and lead to a more affordable and different housing options.

Costs and benefits

3.22 There are no associated costs to the proposed amendment, and the main benefit from this amendment is that additional housing supply may be provided, which can improve affordability, and it may also increase the range of housing typologies available to the market, as well as ensuring that the needs of the business community are catered for.

Risk of acting or not acting

3.23 The potential risk from not making this amendment is that if there was a sudden spike in demand for housing, then the supply could be exhausted if only the minimum amount was provided as directed by the NPS-UD 2020. By having the aspirational goal of providing more than the minimum amount allows an additional margin to be built into capacity.

Conclusion as to the most appropriate option

3.24 The recommended amended Strategic Objective is considered to be the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act as it will assist the community to provide for their wellbeing most notably through providing for places for people to live.

SD-IR-O1

Proposed amendment:

The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected.

Proposed amendment:

New Strategic Objective

SD-IR-O4

The operation of important infrastructure is protected.

Submission scope:

3.25 There is scope for the proposed amendment through the various submission points by HortNZ DPR-0353-086, Orion DPR-0367-002, Federated Farmers DPR-0422-103, Transpower DPR-0446-019, Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company DPR-0453-15.

Reasoning:

3.26 This proposed amendment is recommended in order to provide clarity as to the intention of the Strategic Objective. As the notified version covered two aspects, this has caused some confusion across two parties as to what was the desired intent of the provision. By splitting the two components into their own provisions, clarity of intent is achieved.

4. Conclusion

4.1 For the reasons set out in the Section 32AA evaluations and included throughout this report, I consider that the amended provisions will be efficient and effective in achieving the purpose of the RMA.

Appendix 1: Updated Table of Submission Points

Amendments to this table from that included in the s42a report are highlighted below.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0032	ccc	001	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Amend as follows:	Reject
				In Part	Urban growth and development: 1. is of a form and density that supports the viable provision of public	
					transport services and provides for well-integrated public transport	
					infrastructure.	
					1 <u>2</u>	
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS024	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport) and any other matters not consistent with or with implications for the our submission (157)	Accept
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS002	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS001	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS035	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Reject
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS001	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS013	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS811	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject submission points with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS027	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS002	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept
DPR-0587	Lloyd Bathurst	FS001	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Submission points be disallowed in full as does not support higher density living in Rolleston or the requirement to provide for public transport in all new developments.	Accept
DPR-0032	CCC	005	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is located only in or around adjoining existing townships	Accept
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS004	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS081	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS006	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS912	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS016	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS032	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS032	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS032	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS032	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	FS001	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Disallow	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS001	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Reject
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS005	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS001	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS006	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS005	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS801	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS036	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS006	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	006	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend plan to incorporate relevant recommendations from the Social and Affordable Housing Action Plan of the Greater Christchurch Partnership.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS029	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport) and any other matters not consistent with or with implications for the our submission (157)	Accept
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS008	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS006	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS026	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS026	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS007	SD-UFD-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS026	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS026	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS002	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS006	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS014	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS812	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission points with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS028	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS007	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept
DPR-0032	CCC	007	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS030	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject submission points	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS007	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS007	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject submission points	Reject
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS007	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS007	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS802	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS021	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS008	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	005	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	006	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0101	Chorus, Spark and Vodafone	001	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0101	Chorus, Spark and Vodafone	002	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0101	Chorus, Spark and Vodafone	033	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0101	Chorus, Spark and Vodafone	034	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0101	Chorus, Spark and Vodafone	035	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0126	Foster Commercial	001	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Selwyn's hierarchy of activity centres are the preferred location for shopping leisure, culture, entertainment and social interaction in accordance with their anticipated role within in the Activity Centre Network.	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	FS001	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Retain the objective as currently worded.	Accept
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS001	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS307	SD-DI-O5	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend SF-UFD-O2 as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS002	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	<mark>Accept</mark>
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS033	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS033	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS033	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS036	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS033	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0137	Pinedale Enterprises Ltd & Kintyre Pacific Holdings Ltd	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS003	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	<u>Accept</u>
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS034	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS034	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS034	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS037	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS034	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	017	New	Oppose	Add new Strategic Direction - Rural Areas - Objectives as follows: 1. Primary production and rural industry activities are able to operate efficiently and effectively and the contribution they make to the economic and social well-being and prosperity of the district is recognised.	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0212	ESAI	FS026	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	018	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	019	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	020	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	076	New	Oppose	Insert new Strategic Direction - Rural Areas - Objectives as follows: 2. Productive versatile land and natural, physical and cultural resources located in rural areas that are of significance to the district are protected and maintained.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS008	New	Support	Insert a new objective that productive and versatile rural land is protected for primary production.	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS078	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS071	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0212	ESAI	FS027	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS908	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	002	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS004	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	Accept
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS035	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS035	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS035	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS038	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS035	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS022	SD-UFD-02	Support	The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted	Accept in part
DPR-0176	Brent Macaulay & Becky Reid	002	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend Strategic Directions SD-UFD-O2 to read: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS005	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	<u>Accept</u>
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS003	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS036	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS036	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS036	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS039	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS036	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0178	Carey Manson	002	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS006	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	Accept
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS037	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS037	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS037	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS040	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS037	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0180	Peter & Christine Bond	003	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend SD-UFD-O2 to read: There is sufficient ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	ccc	FS007	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	<mark>Accept</mark>
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS038	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS038	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS038	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS041	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS038	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	003	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend Strategic Directions SD-UFD-02 to read: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS184	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	Accept
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS415	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is enabled.	Reject
DPR-0212	ESAI	009	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Land and water resources are managed through an integrated approach, which recognises both the importance of Ki uta ki tai to Ngai Tahu and communities, and the inter-relationship between ecosystems and natural processes.	Accept
DPR-0212	ESAI	010	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: SD-DI-OX Thriving Rural Area Selwyn's rural productive activities are recognised and provided for so they continue to thrive, use resources efficiently and contribute positively to the district and national economy.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS009	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS152	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0212	ESAI	011	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS025	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Retain and amend the provision where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS013	SD-IR-O3	Oppose	Reject the submission.	Accept
DPR-0212	ESAI	012	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: SD-RU O1 Productive Rural Opportunities A range of opportunities is enabled in the rural environment, primarily for rural productive activities, and also for other activities which use the rural resource efficiently and contribute positively to the economy.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS010	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS153	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0212	ESAI	013	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: SD-RU-O2 Contribution of Rural Land The contribution of rural land to maintaining the values of the natural, physical, social, economic and cultural environment is recognised.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS011	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS154	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0260	CRC	001	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0260	CRC	002	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0260	CRC	003	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0212	ESAI	FS028	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Disallow in part	Reject
DPR-0260	CRC	004	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0260	CRC	005	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0260	CRC	006	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0260	CRC	007	SD-MWV-01	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0260	CRC	008	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS091	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS084	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS027	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS900	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS745	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS043	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject
DPR-0260	CRC	009	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS092	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS085	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS028	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS901	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS746	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS044	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0269	HNZ	002	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS057	SD-DI-O4	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0279	Rex Verity	001	SD Chapter	Oppose in Part	Amend to make addressing accelerating climate change the paramount strategic direction beyond mere adaptation and lip service to mitigation to effective minimisation and prevention measures.	Reject
DPR-0279	Rex Verity	006	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Retain and amend, strengthening the Objective to include addressing climate change matters and soil protection and carbon sequestration.	Reject
DPR-0283	David Evans	001	SD-IR-O3	Support	Request that: - Council reject any consent applications that will lead to further coal mining and that all possible options open for the prevention of coal mining in the district should be taken. - retain the provision and uphold vigorously.	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	006	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend SD-UFD-O2 as follows: There is <u>as a minimum</u> sufficient feasible development capacity <u>in</u> <u>each township</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS047	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS047	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS047	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS047	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient-plentiful feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS041	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS041	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS041	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS042	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS041	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS002	SD-UFD-O2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Reject
DPR-0305	April Fitzjohn	002	SD-IR-O3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Reject
DPR-0318	Susan Chaney	003	SD-DI-O1	Support	Requests that objectives are realised for Sensational Selwyn.	Neutral
DPR-0343	СДНВ	011	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 3. enhances environmental, economic, cultural, social and health outcomes for the benefit of the entire District.	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0032	CCC	FS010	SD-DI-O1	Support	Include the enhancement of health outcomes in the objective.	Accept
DPR-0343	CDHB	012	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0343	СДНВ	013	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows; 3. creates environments that protect or improve prospects for longterm health and wellbeing.	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	FS011	SD-UFD-03	Support	Add to the objective that it seek the creation of environments that improve prospects for longterm health and wellbeing.	Reject
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	006	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is <u>as a minimum sufficient</u> feasible development capacity <u>in</u> <u>each township</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS048	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS048	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS048	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS048	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0353	HortNZ	084	New	Support In Part	Primary production and rural industry activities are able to operate efficiently and effectively and the contribution that they make to the economic and social wellbeing of the district is recognised. Productive and versatile land is retained for primary production to enable production of food. Development is located and designed which enables primary production activities to occur in rural areas and not be constrained by location of incompatible activities adjacent to rural production activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS009	New	Support	Insert a new objective that productive and versatile rural land is protected for primary production.	Accept in part
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS007	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS025	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS902	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS196	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0212	ESAI	FS029	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0260	CRC	FS001	New	Support	Accept the relief sought by HORT NZ on this submission point.	Accept in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS915	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS109	New	Oppose In Part	Not Specified	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS021	New	Support In Part	Allow the submission subject to the following clarifying amendment: "Primary production, important infrastructureand rural industry are able to operate efficiently and effectively and the contribution that they make to the economic and social wellbeing of the district is recognised. Productive and versatile land is retained for primary production to enable production of food. Development is located and designed which enables primary production activities to occur inrural areas and not be constrained by location of incompatible activities adjacent to rural production activities."	Accept in part
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS005	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS014	New	Support	Allow all points	Accept in part
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS008	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<u>Reject</u>
DPR-0353	HortNZ	085	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS958	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS015	SD-DI-O2	Support	Allow all points	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	086	SD-IR-O1	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with: Infrastructure is able to provide for the needs of the community and their operation is recognised and provided for.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS945	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS138	SD-IR-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS026	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Retain and amend the provision where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS022	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Disallow the submission.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0353	HortNZ	087	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Not specified.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS956	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	088	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features, and avoiding versatile soils and creating incompatible activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS026	SD-UFD-01	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS894	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS200	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS891	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS027	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS027	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS027	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS027	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0357	Siana Fitzjohn	002	SD	Oppose	Include provisions that will increase social cohesion and resilience over the next decade.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	059	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: For the purposes of these Strategic Directions. For the avoidance of doubt, this means that for resource consent applications, the Strategic Objectives may require specific consideration and application to proposals, as a relevant consideration under section 104(1)(b)(vi).	Accept
DPR-0032	ccc	FS014	SD-Overview	Support	Make it clear that, where relevant, SD objectives be considered in assessing resource consent applications. There are other methods for achieving the same outcome. For example, by stating in each relevant subsequent chapter which SD objectives are also objectives for that chapter, although this may make the Plan very repetitive.	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS944	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS471	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS428	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS476	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS406	SD-Overview	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS452	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	060	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS957	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS472	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS429	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS477	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS407	SD-DI-O1	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS453	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	061	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS946	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS473	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS430	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS478	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS408	SD-DI-O2	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS454	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	062	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS947	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS474	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS431	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS479	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS409	SD-DI-O3	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS455	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	063	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS948	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS475	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS432	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS480	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS410	SD-DI-O4	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS456	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	064	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS949	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS476	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS433	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS481	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS411	SD-DI-O5	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS457	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	065	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS950	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS477	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS434	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS482	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS412	SD-IR-O1	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS458	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	066	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises significant adverse effects and manages other adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS951	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS478	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS435	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS027	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Retain and amend the provision where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS483	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS413	SD-IR-O2	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS459	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	067	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative, or the risk is able to managed to an acceptable level.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS952	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS479	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS436	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS484	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS414	SD-IR-O3	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS460	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	068	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is <u>primarily</u> located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features.	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	FS012	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Retain the objective as currently worded.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS953	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS480	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS437	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS485	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS415	SD-UFD-01	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS461	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	069	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS954	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS481	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS438	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS486	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS416	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS462	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	070	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS955	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS482	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS439	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS487	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS417	SD-UFD-03	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS463	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0359	FENZ	013	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0359	FENZ	014	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0359	FENZ	015	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	058	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: For the purposes of these Strategic Directions. For the avoidance of doubt, this means that for resource consent applications, the Strategic Objectives may require specific consideration and application to proposals, as a relevant consideration under section 104(1)(b)(vi).	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0032	ССС	FS015	SD-Overview	Support	Make it clear that, where relevant, SD objectives be considered in assessing resource consent applications. There are other methods for achieving the same outcome. For example, by stating in each relevant subsequent chapter which SD objectives are also objectives for that chapter, although this may make the Plan very repetitive.	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS717	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS642	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS595	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS035	SD-Overview	Support	Accept	Accept
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS023	SD-Overview	Support	Accept	Accept
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS635	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS250	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS565	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	059	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS718	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS643	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS596	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS636	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS251	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS566	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	060	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS719	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS644	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS597	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS637	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS252	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS567	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	061	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS720	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS645	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS598	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS638	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS253	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS568	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	062	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS721	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS646	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS599	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS639	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS254	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS569	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	063	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS722	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS647	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS600	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS640	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS255	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS570	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	064	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS723	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS648	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS601	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS641	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS256	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS571	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	065	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises significant adverse effects and manages other adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS724	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS649	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS602	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS642	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS257	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS572	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	066	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative, or the risk is able to managed to an acceptable level.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS725	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS650	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS603	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS643	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS258	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS573	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	067	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is <u>primarily</u> located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features.	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	FS013	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Retain the objective as currently worded.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS726	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS651	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS604	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS644	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS259	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS574	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	068	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS727	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS652	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS605	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS645	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS260	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS575	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	069	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS728	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS653	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS606	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS646	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS261	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS576	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	001	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend to recognise that the economy and employment base of Selwyn would be supported by attracting new activities to locate in the district and by ensuring that existing activities can operate effectively and efficiently and particular recognition should be made for Industrial Activities.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS020	SD-DI-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS020	SD-DI-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS020	SD-DI-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS020	SD-DI-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	001	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Selwyn's prosperous economy is supported through the efficient use of land, resources, and infrastructure, while ensuring existing activities are protected from incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.	Accept in part
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS042	SD-DI-O2	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS017	SD-DI-O2	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS570	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	002	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected while ensuring the operation and security of important infrastructure is not compromised by other activities.	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS018	SD-IR-O1	Support	Accept	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS028	SD-IR-O1	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS571	SD-IR-O1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	003	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: SD-IR-O2 Effects of Enablement and protection of Important Infrastructure The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure. a. The social, economic, environmental and cultural benefits of important infrastructure are recognised and provided for, and its safe, efficient and effective development, upgrade, maintenance and operation is enabled; and b. Important Infrastructure is protected by avoiding adverse effects from incompatible development and activities, including reverse sensitivity effects. This includes: i. avoiding adverse effects on the electricity distribution network and significant electricity distribution lines, including by identifying a buffer corridor within which buildings, excavations and sensitive activities will generally not be provided for; c. The adverse effects of important infrastructure on the surrounding environment are managed, having regard to the economic benefits and technical and operational needs of that important infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS019	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Reject	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS039	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission with amendments as follows: Remove the word "enabling" from the heading and remove clause (c).	Reject
DPR-0439	Rayonier Matariki Forests	FS020	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Decline	Accept
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	004	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS573	SD-IR-O3	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	005	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS574	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	006	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth and development: 1. is well-integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure, and early engagement with infrastructure providers; and 2	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS575	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	016	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS749	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	017	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS750	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	018	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with: Effects of-Important Infrastructure a. The social, economic, environmental and cultural benefits of important infrastructure are recognised and provided for, and its safe, efficient and effective development, upgrade, maintenance and operation is enabled; and b. Important infrastructure is protected by avoiding adverse effects from incompatible development and activities, including reverse sensitivity effects. This includes: i. Avoiding sensitive land uses establishing in proximity to Dairy Processing Zone. c. The adverse effects of important infrastructure on the surrounding environment are managed, having regard to the economic benefits and practical, technical and operational needs of that important infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS751	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	FS001	SD-IR-O2	Support	Adopt the wording as submitted by Fonterra.	Reject
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	019	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS752	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	020	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on approved, existing and permitted activities, responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features and avoiding.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS080	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS733	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS910	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS028	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS028	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS028	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS028	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	021	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth and development: 1 2. does not affect the efficient operation, use, development, appropriate upgrading and safety of important infrastructure; and 3	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS753	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS043	SD-UFD-03	Support	Adopt recommended amendment	Reject
DPR-0371	CIAL	015	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS080	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0371	CIAL	016	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Selwyn's prosperous economy is supported through the efficient use of land, resources, and infrastructure, while ensuring existing activities are protected from incompatible activities and reverse sensitivity effects.	Reject <mark>Accept in part</mark>
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS081	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS023	SD-DI-O2	Support	Accept amendment.	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0371	CIAL	017	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Delete as notified and replace with: SD-IR-O2 Effects of Important Infrastructure a. The social, economic, environmental and cultural benefits of important infrastructure are recognised and provided for, and its safe, efficient and effective development, upgrade, maintenance and operation is enabled; and b. Important Infrastructure is protected by avoiding adverse effects from incompatible development and activities, including reverse sensitivity effects. This includes: i. avoiding noise sensitive activities within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour for Christchurch International Airport, except within a Residential Greenfield Priority Area identified in the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement Chapter 6, Map A; and ii. avoiding land use activities that increase the risk of bird strike to aircraft using Christchurch International Airport c. The adverse effects of important infrastructure on the surrounding environment are managed, having regard to the economic benefits and practical, technical and operational needs of that important infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS002	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS039	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject	Accept
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS029	SD-IR-O2	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Reject
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS010	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Disallow the submission.	Accept
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	FS001	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Disallow the submission point in full.	Accept
DPR-0371	CIAL	018	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS082	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS022	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Accept	Reject
DPR-0371	CIAL	019	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity in appropriate locations to: 1. meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities and 2. promote well-functioning urban environments.	Reject
DPR-0032	ccc	FS016	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Amend the objective to include that the provision for development capacity also promotes wellfunctioning urban environments.	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS083	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0371	CIAL	020	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth and development: 1. is well-integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure 2. does not affect the efficient operation, use, development, appropriate upgrading and safety of important infrastructure; and 3. has the ability to manage or respond to the effects of climate change.	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS084	SD-UFD-03	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS044	SD-UFD-03	Support	Adopt recommended amendment	Reject
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	018	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	019	New	Support	Insert as follows: Protect the long-term viability of rural production in Selwyn through a framework that delivers economic benefit and sustains the environment.	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	064	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: For the purposes of these Strategic Directions. For the avoidance of doubt, this means that for resource consent applications, the Strategic Objectives may require specific consideration and application to proposals, as a relevant consideration under section 104(1)(b)(vi).	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS531	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS899	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS746	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS778	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS094	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS655	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	065	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS532	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS900	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS747	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS779	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS095	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS656	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	066	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS533	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS901	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS748	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS780	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS096	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS657	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	067	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS534	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS902	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS749	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS781	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS097	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS658	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	068	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS535	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS903	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS750	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS782	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS098	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS659	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	069	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS536	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS904	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS751	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS783	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS099	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS660	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	070	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS537	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS905	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS752	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS784	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS100	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS661	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	071	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises significant adverse effects and manages other adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS538	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS906	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS753	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS785	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS101	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS662	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	072	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative, or the risk is able to managed to an acceptable level.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS539	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS907	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS754	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS786	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS102	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS663	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	073	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is <u>primarily</u> located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS540	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS908	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS755	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS787	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS103	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS664	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	074	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS541	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS909	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS756	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS788	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS104	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS665	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	075	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS542	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS910	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS757	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS789	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS105	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS666	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	012	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	013	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	014	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0375	NZTA	015	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	016	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Retain as notified with further provision to encourage a higher density of residential developments in appropriate locations and the encouragement of integrated urban development.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS029	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS029	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS029	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS029	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	017	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend Strategic Directions SD-UFD-02 to read: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS291	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	<u>Accept</u>
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS414	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is enabled.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	033	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Amend SD-DI-O1 to include protection; or Change all the activities classed as non-complying to discretionary class in the Proposed District Plan.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS018	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS018	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS018	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS018	SD-DI-01	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	034	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Amend SD-DI-O2 to include protection; or Change all the activities classed as non-complying to discretionary class in the Proposed District Plan.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS021	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS021	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS021	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS024	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Retain as notified.	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS021	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	035	SD-DI-O3	Oppose	Amend SD-DI-O3 to include protection; or Change all the activities classed as non-complying to discretionary class in the Proposed District Plan.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS022	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS022	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS022	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS022	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	036	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Amend SD-DI-O5 to include protection; or Change all the activities classed as non-complying to discretionary class in the Proposed District Plan.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS023	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS023	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS023	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS023	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	066	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: For the purposes of these Strategic Directions. For the avoidance of doubt, this means that for resource consent applications, the Strategic Objectives may require specific consideration and application to proposals, as a relevant consideration under section 104(1)(b)(vi).	Accept
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	067	SD-DI-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	068	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	069	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	070	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	071	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	072	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	073	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises significant adverse effects and manages other adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	074	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative, or the risk is able to managed to an acceptable level.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	075	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is <u>primarily</u> located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	076	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	077	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming Services Limited	007	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming Services Limited	008	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: Protect the long-term viability of rural production in Selwyn through a framework that delivers economic benefit and sustains the environment.	Accept in part
DPR-0390	Rakaia Irrigation Limited (RIL)	010	New	Oppose	Insert a strategic direction as follows: Protect the long-term viability of rural production in Selwyn through a framework that delivers economic benefit and sustains the environment.	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS159	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0396	Woolworths New Zealand Limited	002	SD-DI-O5	Support	Not specified.	Accept
DPR-0396	Woolworths New Zealand Limited	003	SD-DI-O2	Support	Not specified.	Accept
DPR-0397	Survus Consultants Ltd	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS039	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS039	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS039	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS039	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0399	Gulf Central Properties Ltd & Apton Developments Ltd	003	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS040	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS040	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS040	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS307	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS040	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS015	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS003	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Reject
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS003	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Reject
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS003	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Reject
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS003	SD-UFD-02	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Reject
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	001	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, infrastructure requirements and physical features.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS030	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS030	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS030	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS030	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demand for housing and business activities.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS044	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS044	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS044	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS044	SD-UFD-02	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	001	SD-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS093	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS195	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS053	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS079	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS822	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS073	SD-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS001	SD-Overview	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	002	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development: 1. takes into account the character of individual communities planned urban form; 2	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS094	SD-DI-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS199	SD-DI-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS054	SD-DI-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS019	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS019	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	<u>Reject</u>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS019	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS019	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject	<u>Reject</u>
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS080	SD-DI-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS476	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS074	SD-DI-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS002	SD-DI-O1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	003	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS095	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS274	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS055	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS081	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS477	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS075	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS003	SD-DI-O2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	004	SD-DI-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS096	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS275	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS056	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS082	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS478	SD-DI-O3	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS076	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS004	SD-DI-O3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	005	SD-DI-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS097	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS276	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS057	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS083	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS479	SD-DI-O4	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS077	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS005	SD-DI-O4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	006	SD-DI-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS098	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS277	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS058	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS084	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS480	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS078	SD-DI-O5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS006	SD-DI-O5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	007	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS099	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS278	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS059	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS085	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS481	SD-IR-O1	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS079	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS007	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	008	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS100	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS279	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS060	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS086	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS482	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS080	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS008	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	009	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS101	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS280	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS061	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS087	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS483	SD-IR-O3	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS081	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS009	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	010	SD-MWV-01	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS102	SD-MWV-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS281	SD-MWV-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS364	SD-MWV-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS062	SD-MWV-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS088	SD-MWV-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS484	SD-MWV-01	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS082	SD-MWV-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS010	SD-MWV-01	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	011	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS103	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS282	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS063	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS089	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS485	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS083	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS011	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	012	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is at all times at least sufficient feasible development capacity to meet anticipated expected demands for housing and business activities land over the short term, medium term and long term.	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS104	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS283	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS064	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS045	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS045	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS045	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS045	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS090	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS486	SD-UFD-02	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS084	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS012	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS109	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	013	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS105	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS284	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS065	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS091	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS487	SD-UFD-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS085	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS013	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	014	UG	Support	Shift the strategic objectives and policies for Urban Growth into the Strategic Directions chapter of the Plan.	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS106	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS285	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS066	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS092	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS488	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS086	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS014	UG	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	439	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is provided for in a strategic manner that: 1 2. Maintains and enhances the amenity values and Achieves the character built form anticipated within each residential, kāinga nohoanga, or business area; 3	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS274	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS324	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS174	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS308	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS018	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS148	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS386	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS273	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS696	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS776	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS200	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	440	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS275	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS325	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS175	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS309	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS019	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS149	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS387	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS274	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS697	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS363	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS201	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	441	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS276	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS326	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS176	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS310	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS020	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS150	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS388	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS275	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS698	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS364	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS202	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	442	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Spatially identify new greenfield urban growth areas through application of the Future Urban Zone supported by a Development Plan.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS277	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS327	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS177	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS311	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS021	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS098	New	Oppose In Part	Disallow in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS151	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS389	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS098	New	Oppose In Part	Disallow in part	Reject
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS276	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS699	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS365	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS203	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	443	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Provide for the rezoning of land to establish new urban areas within the Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone when supported by a Development Plan.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS278	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS328	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS178	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS312	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS022	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS099	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS152	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS390	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS099	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS277	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS700	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS366	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS204	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	444	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Avoid the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary in the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS279	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS329	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS179	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS313	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS023	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS193	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS391	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS278	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS701	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS367	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS205	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	445	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone, where it to maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS280	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS330	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS180	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS314	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS024	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS194	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS392	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS279	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS702	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS368	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS206	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	446	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Enable land to be rezoned Maori Purpose Zone outside an Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone, where it is consistent with the outcomes identified in the Māori Purpose Zone.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS281	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS331	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS181	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS315	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS025	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS195	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS393	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS280	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS703	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS369	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS207	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	447	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Enable rural production to continue on land that is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone, while avoiding the establishment of those activities that may unreasonably hinder any future urban zoning required to assist in meeting the District's urban growth needs.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS034	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS332	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS182	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS316	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS121	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS196	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS394	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS104	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS704	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS370	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS208	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	448	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS282	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS333	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS183	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS317	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS026	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS197	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS395	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS282	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS705	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS371	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS209	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	449	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS283	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS334	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS184	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS318	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS027	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS198	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS396	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS283	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS706	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS372	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS210	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	450	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS284	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS335	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS185	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS319	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS028	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS199	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS397	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS284	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS707	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS373	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS211	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	451	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: 1. Maintain the amenity values and Achieve the character built form anticipated within each township and the outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; 2. Recognise and protect identified Heritage Sites, Heritage Settings, and Notable Trees; and 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS285	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS336	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS186	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS320	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS261	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS200	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS398	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS285	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS708	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS374	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS212	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	452	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS286	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS337	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS187	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS321	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS262	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS201	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS399	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS286	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS709	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS375	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS213	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	453	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Ensure the zoning of land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas demonstrates how it will integrate with existing urban environments, optimise the efficient and cost-effective provision of infrastructure, and protect natural and physical resources, by: 1. Aligning the zoning, subdivision and development with network capacity and availability of existing or new planned infrastructure, including through the staging of development; 2 3. Ensuring the land is located where solid waste collection and disposal services are available or planned; 4. Prioritising accessibility and connectivity between the through zoning land and adjoining neighbourhoods, commercial centres, open space reserves, and community facilities, including education providers, public reserves, and health services; and	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS287	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS338	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS188	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS322	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS263	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS202	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS400	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS287	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS710	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS376	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS214	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	454	New	Support In Part	Amend policy as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028. 2; 3. The land is within the Future Urban Zone subject to an Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either: 4. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met;	Reject
DPR-0032	ccc	FS326	New	Oppose	Retain the existing provisions in the proposed District Plan, except to the extent that an increase in the minimum net densities has been sought in the City Council submissions.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS288	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS339	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS189	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS323	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS264	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS203	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS401	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS288	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS711	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS377	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS215	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	455	New	Support In Part	Amend policy as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1; 2. The land is within the Future Urban Zone subject to an Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; and 3. The minimum net densities support a range of housing types that respond to demographic change, social needs and outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and 4	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	FS327	New	Oppose	Retain the existing provisions in the proposed District Plan.	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS289	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS340	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS190	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS324	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS159	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS204	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS402	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS289	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS712	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS378	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS216	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	456	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Any new areas to support commercial activities, industrial activities, or activities provided for in the Port Zone or Knowledge Zone in the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1; 2. The land is within the Future Urban Zone subject to an Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either:	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS290	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS341	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS191	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS325	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS265	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS205	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS403	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS290	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS713	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS379	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS217	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	457	New	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Any new areas to support commercial or industrial activities outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1; 2. The land is within the Future Urban Zone subject to an Urban Growth Overlay, or is consolidated with an existing Town Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone or General Industrial Zone;	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS291	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS342	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS192	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS326	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS266	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS206	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS404	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS291	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS714	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS380	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS218	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	458	New	Support In Part	Amend follows and move to Strategic Directions: Encourage the intensification of urban activities or redevelopment of existing land within urban zones to assist in supporting the district's urban growth needs, including through the implementation of an adopted Urban Intensification Plan or any relevant Development Plan, to:	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS292	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS343	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS193	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS327	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS017	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS207	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS405	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS292	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS715	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS381	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS219	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	459	New	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS293	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS344	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS194	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS328	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS158	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS208	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission to the extent it is inconsistent with or has implications for the relief by Four Star and Gould	Reject
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS406	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS293	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS716	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS382	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS220	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	002	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	003	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	097	New	Oppose	Insert a new Objective as follows: SD-DI-OX - Thriving Rural Community Selwyn's rural productive activities are recognised and provided for to ensure the rural communities can continue to thrive, use resources efficiently and contribute positively to the district and national economy.	Accept in part
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS008	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS016	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS916	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS272	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS488	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS160	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS100	New	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS144	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS009	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	098	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with: People and communities in Selwyn District are enabled to provide for their economic, social, and cultural well-being through: a) Ensuring consolidated residential development; b) Integrated management between the development of land and the provision of infrastructure; c) Avoiding reverse-sensitivity effects between established and new activities; and d) Enabling change in land use to meet demand for new residential or business development and changing markets for primary produce.	Reject
DPR-0032	CCC	FS328	SD-DI-O2	Oppose In Part	Sub-clause d) be amended to be; d) Providing for the needs of residential, business and primary production activities.	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS009	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS917	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0209 DPR-0298	Manmeet Singh Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS273 FS478	SD-DI-O2 SD-DI-O2	Oppose Oppose	Reject submission Reject submission	Accept Accept
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS161	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS101	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	F\$145	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS010	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	099	SD-DI-O3	Oppose	Delete as notified as replace with: The effects of land use on ecosystems and freshwater are recognised and managed in an integrated way across the District recognising the importance of ki uta ki tai, to the extent possible given the district council functions under the Resource Management Act 1991.	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS162	SD-DI-O3	Oppose In Part	Accept in Part	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	F\$102	SD-DI-O3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	100	SD-DI-O4	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with: While enabling land use change in accordance with SD-D1-02, Selwyn's unique heritage and character is recognised and maintained, including: a) Sites of cultural and spiritual significance to Ngāi Tahu whānui; b) Indigenous biodiversity; c) Outstanding natural features; and d) Rural landscapes.	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS163	SD-DI-O4	Support	Accept	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS103	SD-DI-O4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	101	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The risk from natural hazards, including the effects of climate change, to people, property, and important infrastructure is not increased, other than where necessary to provide for important infrastructure that has no reasonable alternative.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS030	SD-IR-O3	Oppose In Part	Retain and amend the provision where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS104	SD-IR-O3	Support In Part	Accept	Reject
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS035	SD-IR-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	102	New	Oppose	Insert new Strategic Rural Objective as follows: SD-RU O1 Enable sustainable Rural Production A range of opportunities is enabled for rural production activities, where these activities and services contribute positively and sustainably to the District's economy.	Accept in part
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS164	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS105	New	Oppose	Reject the submission	<mark>Reject</mark>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	103	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The important infrastructure Recognise and provide for the needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected. by enabling the operation of National Grid, Regionally Significant and Critical infrastructure, where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS031	SD-IR-O1	Oppose In Part	Retain and amend the provision where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS106	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Accept so long as Forest and Bird's concerns are addressed through consequential amendments.	Reject
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	104	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important the National Grid, Regionally Significant and Critical infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important this infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS032	SD-IR-O2	Oppose In Part	Retain and amend the provision where appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS107	SD-IR-O2	Support	Accept	Reject
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	105	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is located only in or around existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while considering responding to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, and physical features, and the potential loss of highly productive soils and the creation of incompatible activities.	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0032	CCC	FS329	SD-UFD-01	Support In Part	Amend as sought by the submitter, except for the change from "responding" to "considering".	Reject
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS010	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS017	SD-UFD-01	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS918	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS133	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0260	CRC	FS004	SD-UFD-01	Support	Accept the relief sought by Federated Farmers on this submission point.	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS842	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS165	SD-UFD-01	Oppose In Part	Accept	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS031	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS031	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS031	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS031	SD-UFD-01	Support	Adopt	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS108	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Accept with the inclusion of "indigenous biodiversity"	Reject
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS146	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS011	SD-UFD-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	296	New	Oppose	Insert new Strategic Rural Objective as follows: SD-RU-O2 Contribution of Responsible Rural Land Practices The contribution of rural land practices that maintain or promote the values of the natural, physical, social, economic and cultural values of the District is recognised.	Accept in part
DPR-0136	Lynn & Malcolm Stewart, Lynn & Carol Townsend & Rick Fraser	FS011	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS453	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<u>Reject</u>
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS132	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re- zoning Group	FS843	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS166	New	Support	Accept	Accept in part
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS147	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	FS012	New	Oppose	Reject submission	<mark>Reject</mark>
DPR-0427	DoC	021	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS163	SD-DI-O1	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS187	SD-DI-O1	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	022	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with the following: Selwyn's residents and communities are able to provide for their social, cultural and economic wellbeing and their health and safety.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS164	SD-DI-O2	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS188	SD-DI-O2	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	023	SD-DI-O4	Oppose In Part	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS165	SD-DI-O4	Support	Allow in full	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS189	SD-DI-O4	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	128	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS270	SD-DI-O2	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS293	SD-DI-O2	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	129	SD-DI-O3	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS271	SD-DI-O3	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS294	SD-DI-O3	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	130	SD-DI-O4	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Accept in part
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS272	SD-DI-O4	Support	Allow in full	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS295	SD-DI-O4	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	131	SD-DI-O5	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS273	SD-DI-O5	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS296	SD-DI-O5	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	132	SD-IR-O1	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS274	SD-IR-O1	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS297	SD-IR-O1	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	133	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS275	SD-IR-O2	Support	Allow in full	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS298	SD-IR-O2	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	134	SD-IR-O3	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS276	SD-IR-O3	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS299	SD-IR-O3	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	135	SD-MWV-01	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS277	SD-MWV-01	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS300	SD-MWV-01	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers	FS009	SD-MWV-01	Oppose	Disallow on the basis of lack of clarity.	Accept
DPR-0427	DoC	136	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS278	SD-UFD-01	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS301	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	137	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS279	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS302	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0427	DoC	138	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Amend the strategic directions objectives to give effect to the RMA, NZCPS and CRPS.	Reject
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS280	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS303	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Accept the submission	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0427	DoC	144	New	Support In Part	Insert new objective as follows: Selwyn's significant indigenous biodiversity is protected or enhanced, and restored; and other indigenous biodiversity is maintained or enhanced, and restored; with all indigenous biodiversity having improved connections and improved resilience.	Accept in part
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rak aia Group (UWRG)	FS286	New	Support	Allow in full	Reject
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS097	New	Oppose In Part	Disallow	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS783	New	Support	Accept the submission	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS246	New	Oppose In Part	Not Specified	Reject
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS097	New	Oppose In Part	Disallow	Reject
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	027	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	018	SD-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	019	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of important infrastructure is protected.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS033	SD-IR-O1	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	020	SD-IR-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises-avoids, remedies or mitigates adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.	Reject
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS034	SD-IR-O2	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Reject
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	021	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0448	NZDF	012	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0448	NZDF	013	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	014	SD-DI-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	015	SD-IR-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected from incompatible development , activities and reverse sensitive effects.	Accept in part
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS024	SD-IR-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS024	SD-IR-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS024	SD-IR-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS024	SD-IR-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0453	Midland Port,	016	SD-IR-O2	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with:	Reject
	Lyttelton Port				SD-IR-O2 Effects of Important Infrastructure	
	Company Limited				1. The social, economic, environmental and cultural benefits of	
					important infrastructure are recognised and provided for, and its safe,	
					efficient and effective development, upgrade, maintenance and	
					operation is enabled; and	
					2. Important Infrastructure is protected by avoiding adverse effects	
					from incompatible development and activities, including reverse	
					sensitivity effects. This includes:	
					<u></u>	
				a. avoiding noise sensitive activities within Port Zone 55dB LAeq Noise		
				Control Overlay; and		
				The adverse effects of important infrastructure on the surrounding		
					environment are managed, having regard to the economic benefits and technical and operational needs of that important infrastructure.	
DPR-0358	Rolleston West	FS025	SD-IR-O2	Support	Adopt subject to wording.	Reject
DI N-0550	Residential	73023	3D-111-02	In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	heject
	Limited (RWRL)					
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston	FS025	SD-IR-O2	Support	Adopt subject to wording.	Reject
	Holdings Limited			In Part		
	(IRHL)					
DPR-0374	Rolleston	FS025	SD-IR-O2	Support	Adopt subject to wording.	Reject
	Industrial Holdings Limited			In Part		
	(RIHL)					
DPR-0384	Rolleston	FS025	SD-IR-O2	Support	Adopt subject to wording.	Reject
	Industrial			In Part		
	Developments					
	Limited (RIDL)					

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	017	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity in appropriate locations to: 1. meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities and 2. promote well-functioning urban environments.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS046	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS046	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS046	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS046	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Reject
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	018	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth and development: 1. is well-integrated with the efficient provision, including the timing and funding, of infrastructure; and 2. has the ability to manage or respond to the effects of climate change; 3. manages reverse sensitivity effects and conflict between incompatible activities, including avoiding development which would limit the operation or development of existing and consented Important Infrastructure.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS049	SD-UFD-03	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS049	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS049	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS049	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	014	SD-IR-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	015	SD-IR-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	016	SD-IR-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	008	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend SD-UFD-O2 to read: There is <u>as a minimum ample sufficient</u> feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS008	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties.	Reject
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS007	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Disallow in full	Reject .
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS026	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Reject
DPR-0594	Andrew and Amanda Diehl	FS007	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Reject submission point and maintain zoning and policy as drafted in PDP.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	003	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is <u>plentiful sufficient</u> as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	003	SD-DI-O1	Oppose	Amend the statement to reflect that these matters haven't been considered or alter the ability for subdivisions in SCA-RD11. Consider changing the approval to already developed land and returning the undeveloped parts of SCA-RD11 to rural land with restrictions on subdivision	Reject
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS003	SD-DI-O1	Support	Allow all points.	Reject
DPR-0524	Nelson Early	FS004	SD-DI-O1	Support	Amend SCA RD 11 provisions to SCA RD2	Reject
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	004	SD-DI-O2	Oppose	Amend the statement to reflect that these matters haven't been considered or alter the ability for subdivisions in SCA-RD11. Consider changing the approval to already developed land and returning the undeveloped parts of SCA-RD11 to rural land with restrictions on subdivision.	Reject
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS004	SD-DI-O2	Support	Allow all points.	Reject
DPR-0524	Nelson Early	FS005	SD-DI-O2	Support	Amend SCA RD 11 provisions to SCA RD	Reject
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	005	SD-DI-O3	Oppose	Amend the statement to reflect that these matters haven't been considered or alter the ability for subdivisions in SCA-RD11. Consider changing the approval to already developed land and returning the undeveloped parts of SCA-RD11 to rural land with restrictions on subdivision.	Reject
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS005	SD-DI-O3	Support	Allow all points.	Reject
DPR-0524	Nelson Early	FS006	SD-DI-O3	Support	Amend SCA RD 11 provisions to SCA RD	Reject
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	006	SD-DI-O4	Oppose	Amend the statement to reflect that these matters haven't been considered or alter the ability for subdivisions in SCA-RD11. Consider changing the approval to already developed land and returning the undeveloped parts of SCA-RD11 to rural land with restrictions on subdivision.	Reject

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS006	SD-DI-O4	Support	Allow all points.	Reject
DPR-0524	Nelson Early	FS007	SD-DI-O4	Support	Amend SCA RD 11 provisions to SCA RD	Reject
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	012	SD-IR-O3	Oppose	Amend the statement to reflect that these matters haven't been considered or alter the ability for subdivisions in SCA-RD11. Consider changing the approval to already developed land and returning the undeveloped parts of SCA-RD11 to rural land with restrictions on subdivision.	Reject
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS012	SD-IR-O3	Support	Allow all points.	Reject
DPR-0524	Nelson Early	FS013	SD-IR-O3	Support	Amend SCA RD 11 provisions to SCA RD	Reject
DPR-0488	Dally Family Trust and Julia McIlraith	005	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is <u>at least</u> sufficient feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS332	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Amend the objective as follows: There is at least sufficient feasible development capacity	<u>Accept</u>
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS416	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is enabled.	Reject
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS002	SD-UFD-02	Support	The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted	Accept in part
DPR-0491	Paul and Sue Robinson	003	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend as follows: There is sufficient as a minimum, ample feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0032	CCC	FS333	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose In Part	Amend the objective as follows: There isat least sufficient feasible development capacity	<u>Accept</u>
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS045	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend as follows: There is sufficient plentiful feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS042	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS042	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS042	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS046	SD-UFD-02	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS042	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz- Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	002	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Amend as follows: There is sufficient-plentiful feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept in part
DPR-0302	Alison Smith, David Boyd & John Blanchard	FS005	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS043	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS043	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS043	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation
DPR-0375	NZTA	FS047	SD-UFD-O2	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Reject
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS043	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part

Appendix 2: Recommended amendments

Legend:

- Proposed amendments recommended by the s42a report are highlighted in yellow.
- Proposed amendments recommended by the right of reply report are highlighted in blue.

SD-Overview

This chapter sets out the overarching direction for the District Plan as expressed through Strategic Directions.

These directions reflect those factors which are considered to be key to achieving the overall vision for the pattern and integration of land use within Selwyn District.

The Strategic Directions are intended to demonstrate:

- 1. commitment to, and articulation of Council's partnership with Ngāi Tahu mana whenua;
- 2. alignment with Council's aspirations for the development and environmental quality of the District as expressed through its District Development Strategy;
- 3. integrated management through the grouping of environmental considerations which combine to achieve strategic outcomes; and avoiding strategic objectives becoming isolated within various chapters of the District Plan;
- 4. achievement of particular aspects of the use, development, or protection of natural and physical resources that have been elevated to matters of national importance by the Resource Management Act and those matters of national and regional significance by National and Regional Policy Statements;
- 5. a prosperous economy through enabling a wide range of business activities;
- 6. the management of urban growth integrating existing and future infrastructure, providing sufficient land, or opportunity to meet growth demands for housing and business.

For the purposes of preparing, changing, interpreting, and implementing the District Plan, all other objectives and policies in all other chapters of this District Plan are to be read and achieved in a manner consistent with these Strategic Directions. For the avoidance of doubt, this means that for resource consent

applications, the Strategic Objectives may require specific consideration and application to proposals, as a relevant consideration under section 104(1)(b)(vi). 2345

There is no hierarchy between the stated Objectives i.e., no one Strategic Objective has primacy over another Strategic Objective and the Strategic Objectives should be read as a whole.

Activity and location specific objectives and policies are located in the relevant chapter of the District Plan. The planning standards require that 'like' matters are grouped together in a chapter with the relevant objectives.

Sensational Selwyn

SD-DI-O1

Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development:

- 1. takes into account the anticipated character of individual communities;
- 2. is well-connected, safe, accessible, and resilient; and
- 3. enhances environmental, economic, cultural, and social, and health⁷ outcomes for the benefit of the entire District

District Wellbeing and Prosperity

SD-DI-O2

Selwyn's prosperous economy is supported through the efficient use of land, resources, and infrastructure, while ensuring existing activities are protected from incompatible activities, and reverse sensitivity⁸⁹.

Integration and Land Use, Ecosystems, and Water – Ki Uta Ki Tai

² 358-59 Rolleston West Residential Ltd

³ 363-58 Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited

⁴ 374-64 Rolleston Industrial Holdings Ltd

⁵ 384-66 Rolleston Industrial Developments Ltd

⁶ 414-2 Kāinga Ora

^{7 343-11} CDHB

^{8 367-1} Orion

^{9 371-16} CIAL

SD-DI-O3	Land and water resources are managed through an integrated approach, which recognises both the importance of ki uta ki tai to Ngāi Tahu and communities, and 10 the inter-relationship between ecosystems and natural processes.
Our Enviror	nment
SD-DI-O4	Places, landscapes, and features, and indigenous biodiversity which are significant to Selwyn's character environment, cultural heritage, or are of spiritual importance to Ngāi Tahu, are identified, recognised for their values, and protected for future generations.
Compact ar	nd Sustainable Township Network
SD-UFD- O1	Urban growth is located only in or around adjoining 13 existing townships and in a compact and sustainable form that aligns with its anticipated role in the Township Network, while responding considering 14 to the community's needs, natural landforms, cultural values, highly productive land, 15 and physical features.
Urban Grov	wth and Development
SD-UFD- O2	There is at least 12 sufficient feasible development capacity to meet anticipated expected expected demands for housing and business activities.
Community	Needs

¹⁰ 212-9 ESAI

¹¹ 427-144 DoC

¹² 427-23 & 427-130 DoC

¹³ 32-5 CCC

¹⁴ 422-105 Federated Farmers

¹⁵ 353-88 HortNZ

¹⁶ 422-105 Federated Farmers

¹⁷ 414-12 Kāinga Ora

¹⁸ 414-12 Kāinga Ora

SD-IR -O1	The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected. 1920212223							
The produc	tive potential of rural land							
SD-DI-O6	Rural areas and their communities have their economic potential derived from primary production realised. 24252627282930							
Operation of	of important infrastructure							
SD-IR-O4	The operation of important infrastructure is protected 3132333435							

¹⁹ 353-86 Hort NZ

²⁰ 367-2 Orion

²¹ 422-103 Federated Farmers

²² 446-19 Transpower

²³ 453-15 Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company

 ⁴⁵³⁻¹⁵ Midland Port,
 142-17 & 76 NZPork

²⁵ 212-10, 12, & 13 ESAI

²⁶ 353-84 HortNZ

²⁷ 372-18 Dairy Holdings Ltd

²⁸ 388-8 Craigmore Farming Services Ltd

²⁹ 390-10 Rakaia Irrigation Ltd

³⁰ 422-97, 102, & 296 Federated Farmers

³¹ 353-86 Hort NZ

^{32 367-2} Orion

³³ 422-103 Federated Farmers

^{34 446-19} Transpower

³⁵ 453-15 Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company