

Appendix 1: Updated Tables of Submission Points

Amendments to this table from that included in the s42a report and Officer Response to Questions are highlighted below.

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0072	Alastair Kermode	001	SASM-R2	Oppose	Either delete the rule or amend so that there is a new permitted activity condition that enables day-day farming operations.	Accept in Part	10.21
DPR-0078	Ian Laurensen	001	SASM	Oppose In Part	Request that all affected landowners must be informed of land change designations that have happened and that are desired in the future.	Reject	8.9
DPR-0078	Ian Laurensen	008	SASM	Oppose In Part	Request that proof of significance of the land be provided and that it be contestable.	Reject	8.9
DPR-0078	Ian Laurensen	013	SASM	Oppose In Part	Request that if land is of cultural significance, then the local Rūnanga has first right to buy the land (at independently agreed market rates) if offered for sale, otherwise the designation be removed. If the rights of the landowner(s) are impinged but the landowner(s) doesn't wish to sell the land then covenants can be negotiated between the landowner(s) and Rūnanga possibly involving suitable financial restitution.	Reject	8.9
DPR-0154	Ev Moorhead	003	SASM-R2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Requests that Council maintain the focus of these networks on drainage and not be diverted into other considerations which then negatively impact drainage.	Reject	10.18
DPR-0154	Ev Moorhead	004	SASM-SCHED3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Requests that Council maintain the focus of these networks on drainage and not be diverted into other considerations which then negatively impact drainage.	Reject	12.23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0196	Murray A Winn	001	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Amend SASM-R2 to allow for livestock burials as a permitted activity, on smaller properties/where livestock numbers are so minimal as to represent an insignificant need for such access, in comparison to the otherwise disproportionate cost of commercial removal/disposal.	Accept in Part	10.21
<i>DPR-0422</i>	<i>NCCF</i>	<i>FS133</i>	<i>SASM-R2</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>10.21</i>
DPR-0207	SDC	031	SASM-R1	Oppose In Part	Amend to include the following exemption within the rule: <u>any vehicle, trailer, tent, caravan, or boat which is movable and is not used as a place of storage, permanent accommodation, or business (other than the business of hiring the item for its intended use).</u>	Accept	10.4
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	026	SASM	Oppose In Part	Delete the SASM Chapter as notified until such time as all parties affected by the overlays and the associated provisions have worked together to promote an agreed and suitable outcome.	Reject in part	8.5
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	027	SASM Ngā Wai Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Ngā Wai Overlay	Reject	12.15
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	028	SASM Ngā Wai Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Ngā Wai Overlay. In the alternative, for those areas that may require recognition as determined through consultation between the relevant parties, provide dual naming on the overlay title.	Accept	12.16
<i>DPR-0422</i>	<i>NCCF</i>	<i>FS114</i>	<i>SASM Ngā Wai Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>12.16</i>
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	029	SASM Ngā Wai Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Ngā Wai Overlay. In the alternative, determine areas that may require recognition through consultation between the relevant parties.	Reject	12.15
<i>DPR-0422</i>	<i>NCCF</i>	<i>FS115</i>	<i>SASM Ngā Wai Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>12.15</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	030	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay as notified. As an alternative, and through consultation with all relevant parties' appropriate matters of discretion could be included in general earthworks, plantation forestry and mineral extraction provisions throughout the relevant sections of the Plan.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	031	SASM-R1	Oppose	Amend as follows: Activity Status: PER 1. Any new, or addition to an existing, <u>principal building</u> , building or structure. Where: The building or structure: a. is a non -habitable building or structure less than <u>250m²</u> 10m² in area and <u>5m²</u> 5m² in height; and	Reject	10.6
DPR-0422	NCF	FS116	SASM-R1	Support	Allow the submission point.	Reject	10.6
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	032	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Earthworks Where: The earthworks are: a. within land previously disturbed by previous earthworks, cultivation, plantings (trees, pasture, or crops), <u>rural production infrastructure</u> , or buildings; and b. to a depth no greater than <u>1500mm</u> 200mm ; or c. for the purposes of excavating a well or bore within the Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna overlay ; or <u>e. for the purposes of riparian restoration planting and site clearing.</u>	Accept in Part	10.21
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	033	SASM-R3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any Primary Industry activity (<u>excluding Rural Industry activity</u>)	Reject	10.34

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	034	SASM-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Delete as notified. Alternatively, amend SASM-MAT1.1 and SASM-MAT1.2 to contain specific wording as to what matters are to be assessed.	Reject in part	11.6
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	035	SASM-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Delete as notified. Alternatively, amend SASM-MAT2.1 and SASM-MAT2.2 to contain specific wording as to what matters are to be assessed.	Reject in part	11.10
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	036	SASM-MAT3	Oppose	Delete as notified. Alternatively, amend SASM-MAT3.1 and SASM-MAT3.2 to contain specific wording as to what matters are to be assessed.	Reject in part	11.15
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	037	SASM Wāhi Tapu Overlay	Oppose In Part	Retain SASM 1 but reduce the extent to the same that is specified for the same area (C66) in the Operative Selwyn District Plan.	Accept	12.5
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	038	SASM-SCHED2	Oppose	Delete SASM-SCHED2 Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna as notified. As an alternative, and through consultation with all relevant parties' appropriate matters of discretion could be included in general earthworks, plantation forestry and mineral extraction provisions throughout the relevant sections of the Plan.	Reject	12.11
<i>DPR-0422</i>	<i>NCFE</i>	<i>FS117</i>	<i>SASM-SCHED2</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>12.11</i>
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	039	SASM-SCHED3	Oppose	Delete SASM-SCHED3 Ngā Wai as notified.	Reject	12.15
<i>DPR-0422</i>	<i>NCFE</i>	<i>FS118</i>	<i>SASM-SCHED3</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>12.15</i>
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	040	SASM-SCHED3	Oppose	Delete SASM-SCHED3 Ngā Wai as notified. In the alternative, determine areas that may require recognition through consultation between the relevant parties.	Reject	12.15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	041	SASM-SCHED3	Oppose in part	Delete SASM-SCHED3 Ngā Wai as notified. In the alternative, for those areas that may require recognition as determined through consultation between the relevant parties, provide dual naming on the overlay title. Alternatively, review the SASM-SCHED3 Ngā Wai as notified to remove any water bodies that have not contained flowing water for an extended period of time.	Accept	12.17
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	075	SUB-R20	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	10.58
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	076	New	Oppose	Add an assessment matter under SUB-Matters for Control or Discretion that addresses the need to assess any impact the proposed subdivision of land may have on any cultural values within sites and areas of significance to Māori and heritage.	Reject	10.58
DPR-0236	Trevor Cundall	001	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0254	Sue Dillon	001	SASM	Oppose	Delete SASM.	Reject in part	8.6
DPR-0260	ECan	059	SASM-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9.13
DPR-0260	ECan	060	SASM-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9.13
DPR-0260	ECan	061	SASM-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9.13
DPR-0260	ECan	124	SUB-R20	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10.56
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS919	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Reject in part	10.56
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1067	SUB-R20	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	10.56
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS029	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject in part	10.56
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS412	SUB-R20	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	10.56
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS747	SUB-R20	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	10.56

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS045	SUB-R20	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	10.56
DPR-0269	Heritage NZ	022	SASM-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9.13
DPR-0269	Heritage NZ	023	SASM-MAT1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11.4
DPR-0269	Heritage NZ	029	MPZ	Support	Retain policies which recognise the significance and protect the values of Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori.	Accept	9.14
DPR-0292	Paul Christian	001	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Amend the depth limit to 1.2m which would be an acceptable solution.	Accept in Part	10.21
DPR-0292	Paul Christian	002	SASM Ngā Turanga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose	Request that Council accept that the ECan process covers cultural concerns and delete the Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna overlay, or at least delete on private properties of 10ha and larger.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0293	Patrick & Lisa Cooper	001	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay from the district plan.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0293	Patrick & Lisa Cooper	002	SASM-R6	Oppose In Part	Amend SASM-R6 to ensure the plan is not detrimental to farming operations.	Reject	10.52
DPR-0293	Patrick & Lisa Cooper	003	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Delete as notified.	Reject	10.23
DPR-0299	Steve & Jane West	001	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose	Delete the SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay in its entirety. Refer to original submission for full decision requested	Reject	12.11
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	FS035	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Support	Allow in full	Reject	12.11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0422	NCCF	FS113	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Support	Allow the submission point.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0299	Steve & Jane West	002	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Delete SUB-R20.4, SUB-R20.5 and SUB-R20.6 as notified. See original submission for full decision requested.	Reject	10.58
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	FS077	SUB-R20	Support	Allow in full	Reject	10.58
DPR-0299	Steve & Jane West	003	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Delete SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay from SASM-R2.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	FS036	SASM-R2	Support	Allow in full	Reject in part	12.11
DPR-0299	Steve & Jane West	004	SASM-R5	Oppose In Part	Delete SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay from SASM-R5. If considered necessary, an assessment matter could be added to the appropriate zone rules to ensure that any actual or potential effect on Ngāi Tahu values is assessed when a resource consent application is made for mineral extraction.	Reject	10.47
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	FS037	SASM-R5	Support	Allow in full	Reject	10.47
DPR-0299	Steve & Jane West	005	SASM-R6	Oppose In Part	Delete the SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay from SASM-R6.	Reject	10.52
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	FS038	SASM-R6	Support	Allow in full	Reject	10.52
DPR-0306	Roy Ewart	001	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Nga Turanga Tupuna Overlay from the Plan.	Reject	12.11
DPR-0306	Roy Ewart	002	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Not specified.	Reject	10.58

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	HortNZ	060	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>Ancillary rural earthworks means any earthworks associated with the maintenance and construction of facilities typically associated with farming activities, including, but not limited to, farm tracks/roads (up to 6m wide), landings, stock races, silage pits, farm drains, farm effluent ponds, feeding pads, fencing and erosion and sediment control measures, and burying of material infected by unwanted organisms (as declared by Ministry for Primary Industries Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993).</u>	Reject	7.4
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS024	New	Support	Allow in full	Reject	7.4
DPR-0212	Ellesmere SAI	FS013	New	Support In Part	Allow in part with "irrigation infrastructure works" added.	Reject in part	7.4
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	FS033	New	Support	Accept the submission.	Reject	7.4
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming	FS005	New	Support	Accept the submission.	Reject	7.4
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS475	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission	Accept in part	7.4
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS485	New	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	7.4
DPR-0353	HortNZ	120	SASM-P1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... d. limiting earthworks, <u>other than ancillary rural earthworks</u> , to those areas that have been previously disturbed by cultivation, building foundations or other earthworks, and controlling the depth of any excavation;	Reject	9.5
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	FS039	SASM-P1	Support	Accept the submission.	Reject	9.5
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS487	SASM-P1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	9.5
DPR-0422	NCCF	FS235	SASM-P1	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	9.5

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	HortNZ	121	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The earthworks are: <u>a. Ancillary rural earthworks; or</u> <u>ab.</u>	Accept in part	10.21
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS488	SASM-R2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject in part	10.21
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS039	SASM-R2	Support	Allow the submission point	Accept in part	10.21
DPR-0353	HortNZ	122	SASM-R2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	10.16
DPR-0353	HortNZ	123	SASM-R2	Support In Part	Retain as notified	Accept in part	10.16
DPR-0358	RWRL	189	SASM	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8.3
DPR-0358	RWRL	222	SUB-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10.56
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS424	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS511	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS468	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS515	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS826	SUB-R20	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS491	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0358	RWRL	404	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Accept in part	8.17 & 8.18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0032	CCC	FS190	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS921	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS042	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS115	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0453	LPC	FS042	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0456	Four Stars	FS011	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0363	IRHL	211	SUB-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10.58
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS756	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS682	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS635	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS675	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	10.58

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS290	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0363	IRHL	429	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Accept in part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0032	CCC	FS224	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected, and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS955	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS145	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS149	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0422	NCCF	FS202	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow the submission on controlled activity. Disallow the submission point that notification is not required for all restricted discretionary applications.	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0453	LPC	FS143	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0456	Four Stars	FS045	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0367	Orion	081	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Recognise that important infrastructure may have an operational and functional need to locate within Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori where there are no other reasonable alternatives.</u>	Reject	9.11
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS067	New	Support	Adopt recommended amendment	Reject	9.11
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS650	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	9.11
DPR-0446	Transpower	FS033	New	Support	Allow the submission.	Reject	9.11
DPR-0367	Orion	082	SASM-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	10.9
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS651	SASM-R1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject in part	10.9
DPR-0367	Orion	083	SASM-R2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	10.16
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS652	SASM-R2	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject in part	10.16
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	084	SASM-MAT1	Support	Retain SASM-MAT1.4 as notified.	Accept	11.4
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS653	SASM-MAT1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	11.4
DPR-0367	Orion	085	SASM-MAT2	Support	Retain SASM-MAT2.4 as notified.	Accept in part	11.10
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS654	SASM-MAT2	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	11.10
DPR-0367	Orion	088	SASM-MAT3	Support	Retain SASM-MAT3.4 as notified.	Accept in part	11.15
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS657	SASM-MAT3	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	11.15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0368	Beef + Lamb	004	SASM-R4	Support In Part	Include a definition of “new” and “expanded” intensive outdoor primary production, or delete SASM-R4 in its entirety.	Reject	10.39
<i>DPR-0407</i>	<i>Forest & Bird</i>	<i>FS427</i>	<i>SASM-R4</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject the submission</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>10.39</i>
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	036	SASM-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9.13
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	037	SASM-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and protect Ngāi Tahu cultural values in identified Sites and Areas of Significance to Ngāi Tahu by: a. d. limiting earthworks to those areas that have been previously disturbed by cultivation, building foundations or other earthworks, and controlling the depth of any excavation <u>managing earthworks involving disturbance of soils below a depth not previously disturbed;</u> e.	Reject	9.7
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	038	SASM-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9.13
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	039	SASM-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9.13
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	040	SASM-SCHED3	Support In Part	Retain, provided the recognition of the Rakaia River as a Ngā Wai site introduces no further restrictions relating to irrigation and existing farming activities on the Rakaia River.	Reject in Part	12.19
<i>DPR-0422</i>	<i>NCCF</i>	<i>FS100</i>	<i>SASM-SCHED3</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point</i>	<i>Reject in Part</i>	<i>12.19</i>
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	133	SASM Ngā Wai Overlay	Support In Part	Retain, provided the recognition of the Rakaia River as a Ngā Wai site introduces no further restrictions relating to irrigation and existing farming activities on the Rakaia River.	Reject in Part	12.19
DPR-0374	RIHL	217	SUB-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10.58
<i>DPR-0157</i>	<i>Kevin & Bonnie Williams</i>	<i>FS571</i>	<i>SUB-R20</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>10.58</i>
<i>DPR-0209</i>	<i>Manmeet Singh</i>	<i>FS938</i>	<i>SUB-R20</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>10.58</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS786	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS818	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS134	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS695	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	10.58
DPR-0374	RIHL	475	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Accept in part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0032	CCC	FS262	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected, and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS989	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS076	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS183	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0453	LPC	FS076	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0456	Four Stars	FS079	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	041	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Amend SASM R2.1.b by increasing the permitted depth of the excavation to at least 25 cm. Amend SASM R2.1 by adding 'or e. where the soil is the remains of previously excavated piles of soil.	Accept in Part	10.21
DPR-0384	RIDL	196	SASM	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	8.3
DPR-0384	RIDL	229	SUB-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10.58
DPR-0384	RIDL	508	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Accept in part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0032	CCC	FS297	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected, and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS1016	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS109	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS217	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0453	LPC	FS109	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0456	Four Stars	FS113	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Accept in Part	8.17 & 8.18
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming	018	SASM-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and protect Ngāi Tahu cultural values in identified Sites and Areas of Significance to Ngāi Tahu by: ... d. limiting earthworks to those areas that have been previously disturbed by cultivation, building foundations or other earthworks, and controlling the depth of any excavation <u>managing earthworks involving disturbance of soils below a depth not previously disturbed;</u> ...	Reject	9.7
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming	019	SASM-SCHED3	Support In Part	Retain as notified, provided the recognition of the Rakaia River as a Ngā Wai site introduces no further restrictions relating to irrigation and existing farming activities on the Rakaia River.	Reject in Part	12.19
DPR-0390	RIL	026	SASM-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9.13
DPR-0390	RIL	027	SASM-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and protect Ngāi Tahu cultural values in identified Sites and Areas of Significance to Ngāi Tahu by: ... d. limiting earthworks to those areas that have been previously disturbed by cultivation, building foundations or other earthworks, and controlling the depth of any excavation <u>managing earthworks involving disturbance of soils below a depth not previously disturbed;</u> ...	Reject	9.7
DPR-0390	RIL	028	SASM-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9.13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0390	RIL	029	SASM-SCHED3	Support In Part	Retain SASM SCHED3, SASM33 as notified, provided the recognition of the Rakaia River as a Ngā Wai site introduces no further restrictions relating to irrigation related activities on the Rakaia River.	Reject in Part	12.19
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	114	SUB-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10.56
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS180	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	10.56
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS370	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	10.56
DPR-0298	TRRG	FS140	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	10.56
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS167	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	10.56
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS536	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	10.56
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS160	SUB-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	10.56
DPR-0565	Shelley Street	FS051	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	10.56
DPR-0422	NCFE	124	SASM-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and protect Ngāi Tahu cultural values... (c) <u>Avoiding Limit buildings to small-scale, utility buildings associated with farming or outdoor recreation within wāhi tapu identified as a maunga...</u>	Reject	9.9
DPR-0422	NCFE	125	SASM-P2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	9.16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0422	NCFF	126	SASM-R1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... e. is not a major hazard facility.	Accept	10.7
DPR-0422	NCFF	127	SASM-R2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... <u>e. holes for fence posts or other structural support;</u> <u>f. laying of stock water or other utility pipes;</u> <u>g. removal of shingle or stone for use on the same property to maintain tracks.</u>	Accept in Part	10.21
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	FS061	SASM-R2	Support	Accept the submission.	Accept in Part	10.21
DPR-0422	NCFF	128	SASM-R3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	10.34
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings	FS062	SASM-R3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral unless the rule applies to the Nga Wai overlay, in which case accept the submission.	Reject	10.34
DPR-0422	NCFF	129	SASM-R4	Support In Part	Retain as notified provided the definition for 'intensive outdoor primary production' is amended as sought.	Accept	10.41
DPR-0422	NCFF	130	SASM-R5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of a new, or the expansion of an existing mine, quarrying activity, or farm quarry.	Reject	10.47
DPR-0422	NCFF	131	SASM-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend to contain specific wording as to what matters are to be assessed in each particular location and make any necessary consequential amendments.	Reject in part	11.5
DPR-0422	NCFF	132	SASM-MAT2	Support In Part	Amend to contain specific wording as to what matters are to be assessed in each particular location and make any necessary consequential amendments.	Reject in part	11.10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0422	NCFF	133	SASM-MAT3	Support In Part	Amend to contain specific wording as to what matters are to be assessed in each particular location and make any necessary consequential amendments.	Reject in part	11.15
DPR-0422	NCFF	209	SUB-R20	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Amend as follows: 1. Boundaries of every proposed lot must not divide a subdivision of a site containing any Site of Significance to Ngāi Tahu listed in SASM-SCHED1 – Wāhi Taonga and Wāhi Tapu Sites and Areas. This rule does not apply to any subdivision under <u>SUB-R12 or SUB-R15</u> 4. Boundaries of every proposed lot must not divide a subdivision of a site containing any Site of Significance to Ngāi Tahu listed in SASM-SCHED2 – Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna. This rule does not apply to any subdivision under <u>SUB-R12 or SUB-R15</u> . 7. Boundaries of every proposed lot must not divide a subdivision of a site containing any Site of Significance to Ngāi Tahu listed in SASM-SCHED3 – Ngā Wai. This rule does not apply to any subdivision under <u>SUB-R12 or SUB-R15</u> .	Reject	10.60
DPR-0427	DoC	042	SASM	Support	Retain all provisions in the Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori chapter as notified	Accept in part	8.3
DPR-0301	UWRG	FS184	SASM	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part	8.3
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS208	SASM	Support	Accept the submission	Accept in part	8.3
DPR-0439	Rayonier	009	SASM-R6	Oppose In Part	Amend to refer to Plantation Forestry Activity as defined in the NESPF.	Reject	10.52
DPR-0441	Trustpower	092	SASM-O1	Oppose	Amend as follows: The historic and contemporary relationship of Ngāi Tahu mana whenua with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and wāhi taonga within the Selwyn District is recognised and <u>provided for protected</u> .	Reject	9.4

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0441	Trustpower	093	SASM-MAT3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. In respect of utilities, <u>or regionally significant infrastructure</u> , the extent to which the proposed utility <u>activity</u> has technical <u>functional</u> or operational needs for its location. ...	Accept in part	11.17
DPR-0441	Trustpower	094	SASM-SCHED3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12.20
DPR-0441	Trustpower	095	SASM-SCHED3	Oppose	Amend the SASM 48 overlay boundaries in accordance with the proposed changes in Appendix Two of the original submission.	Reject	12.22
DPR-0446	Transpower	079	SASM-R1	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any new, or addition to an existing, building or structure. Where: The building or structure: <u>c. is for the operation, maintenance or upgrade of existing important infrastructure; and</u>	Reject	10.8
DPR-0474	Heather & Trevor Taege	002	SASM Ngā Wai Overlay	Oppose	Not specified	Reject	12.25