

Appendix 4: Table of Submission Points

Amendments to this table from that included in the s42a report are highlighted below.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0027	Nigel & Penny Thomson	001	NOISE-P7	Oppose	Amend provision to add the word 'additional' so it reads ...by avoiding additional noise sensitive activities...	Reject	15 ¹
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS001	NOISE-P7	Oppose	Reject submitter's relief sought	Accept	15
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS012	NOISE-P7	Support In Part	Change R7 so that existing noise sensitive activities/existing use rights are not affected by Noise R7	Accept in part	15
DPR-0027	Nigel & Penny Thomson	002	NOISE-P7	Oppose	Requests that a new policy be included that is specific to the West Melton Rifle Range, or that the existing policy is amended to remove the reference to strategic infrastructure.	Accept	15 ²
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS002	NOISE-P7	Oppose	Reject submitter's relief sought	Reject	15
DPR-0027	Nigel & Penny Thomson	003	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Amend activity status within the NZDF West Melton Rifle Range 5dM Ldn Noise Control Overlay from Non-Complying to Discretionary, with discretion exercised in relation to noise attenuation.	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS003	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitter's relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0027	Nigel & Penny Thomson	004	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Amend provision to delete the word 'alteration'	Reject	27

¹ This submission point relates to NOISE-P4 and not NOISE-P7 as explained in the section 42(a) report at section 5, paragraph 15.5 and section 18

² This submission point relates to NOISE-P4 and not NOISE-P7 as explained in the section 42(a) report at section 5, paragraph 15.5 and section 18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS004	NOISE-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject submitter's relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0050	Adam Kirner	001	NOISE-REQ1	Oppose	Amend residential noise limits and measure/account for special audible characteristics.	Reject	37
DPR-0063	Alan & Neroli Roberts	001	NOISE-P7	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS005	NOISE-P7	Oppose	Reject submitter's relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS014	NOISE-P7	Support	A no-complaint covenant is not included in the district plan	Accept	27
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	003	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	018	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	019	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	020	NOISE-R5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	25
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	021	NOISE-REQ1	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: The cumulative <u>noise (excluding any construction noise)</u> arising as a result of all activities within the Port Zone shall not exceed: 0700 to 2200: 55 dB LAeq	Accept	37
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	022	NOISE-REQ2	Oppose in Part	Amend to add in PORTZ below KNOZ.	Accept	38
DPR-0068	MetroPort Christchurch (MetroPort)	038	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Amend the noise contour overlay for the MetroPort site as shown in the Appendix (refer to full submission for detail).	Accept	41
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	006	NOISE-O1	Support	Not Specified.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	007	NOISE-P1	Support	Not Specified.	Accept	12
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	008	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Not Specified.	Reject	41
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	032	NOISE-O2	Support	Not Specified.	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	033	NOISE-P2	Support	Not Specified.	Accept	13
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	034	NOISE-P3	Support	Not Specified.	Accept in part	14
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	035	NOISE-P4	Support	Not Specified.	Accept in part	15
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	036	NOISE-P4	Support	Not Specified.	Accept in part	15
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	037	NOISE-P5	Support	Not Specified.	Accept in part	16
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	038	NOISE-P6	Support	Not Specified.	Accept	17
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	039	NOISE-P7	Support	Not Specified.	Accept	18
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	040	NOISE-P8	Support	Not Specified.	Accept	19
DPR-0131	Sue & Darryl Griffin	001	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Requests that Council decline the NZDF request for a 'no complaints covenant' provision in the District Plan and instead rely on acoustic attenuation to better address the effects that the West Melton Rifle Range creates.	Accept	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS006	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitter's relief sought	Reject	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS015	NOISE-R7	Support In Part	A no-complaint covenant is not included in the district plan and acoustic attenuation does not apply to existing activities/uses.	Accept in part	27
DPR-0139	Darci & Andrew Trist	001	NOISE-R7	Support	Retain noise control overlay and provisions. Request that any proposals to have a 'no complaints covenant' in favour of the NZ Defence Force not be allowed.	Accept	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS007	NOISE-R7	Support In Part	Accept submitters relief sought in regard to the overlay provisions and reject the submitters relief sought in relation to no complaints covenants	Reject	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS018	NOISE-R7	Support In Part	A no-complaint covenant is not included in the district plan and acoustic attenuation does not apply to existing activities/uses. .	Accept in part	27
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	035	New	Oppose in Part	Add new objectives as follows: <u>Activities generate noise effects that are compatible with the role, function, and predominant character of each zone.</u>	Accept	11
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	FS006	New	Support	Accept the submission.	Accept	11
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	036	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	037	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	077	New	Oppose in Part	Insert as follows: <u>New activities that are sensitive to noise are designed and/or located to minimise conflict and reverse sensitivity effects.</u>	Reject	11
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS002	New	Support	Accept	Reject	11
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS158	New	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	11
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	001	NOISE-O1	Support	Retained as notified.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	002	NOISE-O2	Support	Retained as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	003	NOISE-P4	Support in Part	Amend by replacing the words “strategic infrastructure” with “important infrastructure”.	Accept	15
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS008	NOISE-P4	Support	Accept submitters relief sought	Accept	15
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	004	NOISE-R7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS009	NOISE-R7	Support	Accept submitters relief sought	Accept in part	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	005	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS010	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Reject	27
DPR-0188	Carolyn Diane Dreaver	001	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS011	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS021	NOISE-R7	Support In Part	Delete Noise R7 until NZDF has implement mitigating factors for their operations on noise and environmental impact on waterways etc. Then reassess if Noise R7 is required and the effect on the Noise Control boundaries. Also considers that Council needs to consider responsibility under the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management and National Environmental Standards.	Reject	27
DPR-0199	Terry & Barbara Heiler	001	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain NOISE-O1 as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0199	Terry & Barbara Heiler	002	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain NOISE-O2 as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0199	Terry & Barbara Heiler	003	NOISE-P4	Oppose	Amend NOISE-P4 to shift the responsibility of avoiding reverse sensitivity issues back to the source of the noise and vibration – activities of the NZDF.	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS012	NOISE-P4	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	15
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS022	NOISE-P4	Support	Amend NOISE-P4 to shift the responsibility of avoiding reverse sensitivity issues back to the source of the noise and vibration – activities of the NZDF.	Reject	15
DPR-0199	Terry & Barbara Heiler	004	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Requests that Council determine acceptable noise and vibration limits to the operation of the West Melton Rifle Range, for NZDF to operate within. Apply appropriate soundproofing requirements on dwellings if needed after the operating conditions are established.	Accept in part	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS013	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Reject	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS005	NOISE-R7	Support In Part	The NZDF implements noise mitigation factors. Apply appropriate Noise attenuation (i.e., Noise R7) if required after NZDF mitigating factors have been taken into account and operating conditions established.	Reject	27
DPR-0199	Terry & Barbara Heiler	005	NOISE-R9	Oppose	Requests that Council determine acceptable noise and vibration limits for NZDF to operate within.	Reject	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS014	NOISE-R9	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	29
DPR-0204	JP Singh	005	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	042	NOISE-R3	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity	Reject	23
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS016	NOISE-R3	Support	Accept submitters relief sought	Reject	23
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	043	NOISE-R4	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity	Reject	24
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS017	NOISE-R4	Support	Accept submitters relief sought	Reject	24
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	044	NOISE-R5	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity	Reject	25
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	045	NOISE-R6	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity	Reject	26
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	FS029	NOISE-R6	Support In Part	Noise should be expressed in intensity and not fixed set backs. Technology allows for management of noise and AEE mitigation should be considered ahead of absolutes such as a 50m set back	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	046	NOISE-R7	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity	Reject	27
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	FS030	NOISE-R7	Oppose In Part	Allow set backs to be waived on neighbour consent or non notified discretionary basis	Reject	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS011	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Change R7.1 so that existing noise sensitive activities/existing use rights are exempt from complying with Noise R7.1	Reject	27
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	047	NOISE-R8	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity	Reject	28
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	048	NOISE-R1	Oppose in Part	Amend NOISE-R1 to include an exemption for noise created from aircraft and helicopters.	Accept	21
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS006	NOISE-R1	Support	Accept	Accept	21
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS017	NOISE-R1	Support	Accept	Accept	21
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS146	NOISE-R1	Support	Allow the submission point	Accept	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0423	PHC Terrace Downs Resort Limited	FS001	NOISE-R1	Support	Allow Submission in full	Accept	21
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	049	NOISE-R3	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity. Where: <u>a. To manage noise in the outdoor environment, either:</u> a i. ... ; or b ii. ...	Accept in part	23
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS175	NOISE-R3	Oppose In Part	Retain the rule as notified.	Reject	23
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	050	NOISE-R3	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 3. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration <u>modification</u> to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity. Where: a. To manage noise in the indoor environment, the building is: ... ii. Either: ii1. ... ; or iii2.The building is designed, constructed and maintained to achieve indoor noise levels not exceeding the maximum values in NOISE-TABLE1 – Road and Railway Indoor Design Noise Levels. ... d. Any building that is closer than 40m to any state highway boundary or closer than 60m to any railway network, shall be	Accept in part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					designed, constructed and maintained to achieve road and rail vibration limits not exceeding 0.3mm/s (Class C criterion Maximum Weighted Velocity, Vw,95). e. Compliance with the relevant provisions of NOISE-R3.5.a.ii NOISE-R3.3.a.ii.2., NOISE-R3.5.b. NOISE-R3.3.b., NOISE-R3.5.c NOISE-R3.3.c. and NOISE-R3.5.d. NOISE-R3.3.d. shall be demonstrated by way of a design report...		
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS176	NOISE-R3	Oppose In Part	Retain the rule as notified.	Accept in part	23
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	111	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose in Part	Amend the alignment of the State Highway Noise Control Overlay to more accurately follow the physical location of all state highways, including over the full length of the Christchurch Southern Motorway and the deletion of the State Highway Noise Control Overlay over those parts of Shands Road and Marsh's Road that are not State Highway.	Accept	41
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS156	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Adopt	Accept	41
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS156	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Adopt	Accept	41
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS156	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Adopt	Accept	41
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS178	Noise Control Overlay	Support In Part	Retain the inclusion of the State Highway Noise Control Overlay with the updated overlay that will be provided by Waka Kotahi.	Accept	41

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS156	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Adopt	Accept	41
DPR-0207	Selwyn District Council	112	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose in Part	Amend the alignment of the Railway Network Noise Control Overlay to more accurately follow the physical location of the railway network, including the deletion of the Railway Network Noise Control Overlay over land to the north of Prebbleton Township that is no longer designated for railway purposes.	Accept	41
DPR-0208	Ngāi Tahu Property	005	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Retain as notified	Accept in part	37
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	014	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	043	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	044	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	045	NOISE-R1	Support in Part	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	046	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: NOISE-TABLE5 - Zone Noise Limits. At the notional boundary of any noise sensitive activity within any site receiving noise: 0700 to 2200: 55 dB LAeq/85 L _{Amax} 2200 to 0700: 45 dB LAeq / 70 L _{Amax}	Reject	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0033	Davina Louise Penny	FS012	NOISE-REQ1	Oppose	Retain the day time noise limits and levels. Do not allow a higher maximum as that could become a default accepted level. 'Occasional increases' is not defined.	Accept	37
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	047	NOISE-MAT1	Support in Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The level, duration, and character of the noise, including the ambient noise levels. The nature and location of nearby activities and the adverse effects they may experience from <u>as a result of the level, duration, and character of the proposed noise.</u> Whether the noise is likely to detract from <u>adversely impact on</u> the amenity values or general environmental quality of the area in which they are received. ... <u>The effectiveness of</u> any mitigation or noise attenuation measures proposed, such as: reduction of noise at the source, alternative techniques or machinery available, insulation or enclosure of the noise source, mounding or screen fencing/walls, hours of operation. The extent to which alternative locations and methods have been considered to avoid, remedy, or mitigate any adverse effects recognising <u>function need, operational need, and</u> any technical, operational, and practical constraints. 	Accept in part	40
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS195	NOISE-MAT1	Support	Allow this submission.	Accept in part	40
DPR-0220	K Ramsay	001	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	<p>Delete WMRR 65dB noise control boundary as notified, and associated rules.</p> <p>That the Council open up further dialogue to find common ground between Council, NZDF and the community, and agreed provisions.</p>	Reject	41

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS018	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	41
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS006	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Delete as notified. Open conversations between all parties need to take place before there is an amendment to the district plan.	Reject	41
DPR-0220	K Ramsay	002	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Delete WMRR 55dB outer noise control boundary as notified, and associated rules. That the Council open up further dialogue to find common ground between Council, NZDF and the community, and agreed provisions.	Reject	41
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS019	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	41
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS007	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Delete as notified. Open conversations between all parties need to take place before there is an amendment to the district plan.	Reject	41
DPR-0220	K Ramsay	003	NOISE-P4	Oppose	Delete NOISE-P4 as notified. That the Council open up further dialogue to find common ground between Council, NZDF and the community, and agreed provisions.	Reject	15
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS020	NOISE-P4	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	15
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS012	NOISE-P4	Oppose In Part	Accept in part	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS008	NOISE-P4	Support	Delete as notified. Open conversations between all parties need to take place before there is an amendment to the district plan.		
DPR-0220	K Ramsay	004	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Delete NOISE-R7 as notified. That the Council open up further dialogue to find common ground between Council, NZDF and the community, and agreed provisions.	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS021	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS009	NOISE-R7	Support	Delete as notified. Open conversations between all parties need to take place before there is an amendment to the district plan.	Reject	27
DPR-0261	Alastair & Jenny Nicol	002	NOISE-R7	Oppose in Part	Change Activity status from NC (Non Complying Activity) to Restricted Discretionary Activity	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS023	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0264	Sally Gardner	002	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Amend activity status from NC to RDIS	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS025	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0278	Katrina M Finch	001	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Request that Council leave the noise lines and current activities allowed as temporary military training as they are and that, if New Zealand Defence Force ask to increase loud activities	Reject	41

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					associated with training, they take that activity to a remote, less populated area.		
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS027	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	41
DPR-0295	Jet Boating New Zealand	004	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0295	Jet Boating New Zealand	005	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	13
DPR-0295	Jet Boating New Zealand	006	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	21
DPR-0303	Rob & Janette Frier	001	NOISE-R7	Support in Part	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS028	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept in part	27
DPR-0304	Michael & Linda Stevens	001	NOISE-R7	Support in Part	Retain as notified	Accept in part	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS029	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept in part	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0319	Kevin Chaney	001	NOISE-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Amend to keep levels at 35db and hours limited to 7pm-7am in all living areas.	Reject	37
DPR-0335	Ken & Pru Bowman	002	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Ensure that future residents are not expected or required to effectively sign away their rights of complaint/redress regarding any future unreasonable activity by NZDF at West Melton Rifle Range.	Accept	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS030	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Reject	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS016	NOISE-R7	Support	A no-compliant covenant is not included in the district plan Ensure that future residents are not expected or required to effectively sign away their rights of complaint/redress regarding any future unreasonable activity by NZDF at West Melton Rifle Range. The NZDF to further mitigate their disturbance to the neighbours.	Accept	27
DPR-0335	Ken & Pru Bowman	003	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Ensure that future residents are not expected or required to effectively sign away their rights of complaint/redress regarding any future unreasonable activity by NZDF at West Melton Rifle Range.	Accept	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS017	NOISE-R7	Support	A no-compliant covenant is not included in the district plan Ensure that future residents are not expected or required to effectively sign away their rights of complaint/redress regarding any future unreasonable activity by NZDF at West Melton Rifle Range. The NZDF to further mitigate their disturbance to the neighbours.	Accept	27
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	064	NOISE-R1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: 6. Rural production activities using equipment which is mobile or portable during its normal use, <u>unless NOISE-R11 or NOISE-R12 apply.</u>	Accept	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS015	NOISE-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject	Reject	21
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS160	NOISE-R1	Support In Part	Partially allow the submission point	Reject	21
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	065	NOISE-R4	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: NOISE-R4.1.b ... <u>which satisfies clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code for habitable rooms:</u> <u>i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and</u> <u>ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and</u> <u>iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; and</u> <u>iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and</u> <u>v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser.</u>	Accept	24
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS020	NOISE-R4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	24
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	066	NOISE-R5	Oppose in Part	NOISE-R5.1.b ... <u>which satisfies clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code for habitable rooms:</u>	Accept	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					<u>i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and</u> <u>ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and</u> <u>iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; and</u> <u>iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and</u> <u>v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser.</u>		
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS016		Oppose	Reject	Reject	25
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	067	NOISE-R6	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: NOISE-R6.1.c ... which satisfies clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code for habitable rooms: <u>i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and</u> <u>ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and</u> <u>iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; and</u> <u>iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and</u> <u>v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser.</u>	Accept	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	068	NOISE-R7	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: NOISE-R7.1. b ... which satisfies clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code for habitable rooms: <u>i. provides mechanical ventilation to satisfy clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code; and</u> <u>ii. is adjustable by the occupant to control the ventilation rate in increments up to a high air flow setting that provides at least 6 air changes per hour; and</u> <u>iii. provides relief for equivalent volumes of spill air; and</u> <u>iv. provides cooling and heating that is controllable by the occupant and can maintain the inside temperature between 18°C and 25°C; and</u> <u>v. does not generate more than 35 dB LAeq(30s) when measured 1m away from any grille or diffuser..</u>	Accept	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS010	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Submission is disallowed and Noise-R7.1.b is deleted.	Reject	27
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	069	NOISE-R5	Support in Part	Amend as follows: all instances of LAeq to LAeq(15min)	Accept	25
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS021	NOISE-R5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	25
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS017	NOISE-R5	Neither Support	Neutral	Accept in part	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
				<i>Nor Oppose</i>			
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	070	NOISE-R6	Support in Part	Insert 'inner noise zone' on Maps or amend the rule to remove reference to the inner noise zone.	Accept in part	26
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	071	NOISE-R8	Support in Part	Amend as follows: b. Located between the <u>50 55</u> dB LAFmax ... c. Located between the <u>50 55</u> dB LAFmax...	Accept	28
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	072	NOISE-R10	Support in Part	Amend as follows: ... ii. Results in a sound level not exceeding 65 dB LAeq (<u>15min</u>) when...	Accept	30
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS022	NOISE-R10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	30
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS018	NOISE-R10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	30
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	073	NOISE-R12	Support in Part	Amend as follows: ... ii. Results in a sound level not exceeding 55 dB LAeq(<u>15min</u>) when...	Accept	32

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS016	NOISE-R12	Support In Part	Accept	Accept	32
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS023	NOISE-R12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	32
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS019	NOISE-R12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	32
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	074	NOISE-TABLE5	Support in Part	Amend all instances of LAeq to LAeq(15min) Amend all instances of L _A max to L _A Fmax	Accept	37
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	FS020	NOISE-TABLE5	Oppose	Reject the submission.	Reject	37
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS024	NOISE-TABLE5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in part	37
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS020	NOISE-TABLE5	Neither Support	Neutral	Accept in part	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
				<i>Nor Oppose</i>			
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	075	NOISE-TABLE5	Support in Part	Amend Line 2 as follows: 2. All zones, excluding PORTZ and <u>DPZ</u>	Accept	37
<i>DPR-0370</i>	<i>Fonterra Limited</i>	<i>FS021</i>	NOISE-TABLE5	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept the submission.</i>	Accept	37
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	076	NOISE-TABLE5	Support in Part	Amend KNOZ as a receiving zone from line 3 to line 2 in NOISE-TABLE5.	Reject	37
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	077	NOISE-TABLE6	Support in Part	Amend to remove KNOZ from the second group of zonings in NOISE-REQ2 - TABLE6 - Construction Noise Limits to the first group of zonings; RESZ, and residential units and minor residential units in GRUZ GRAZ MPZ SKIZ TEZ.	Accept	37
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	003	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose in Part	Include relevant PDP provisions whereby the deferred/future zone requested by the submitter and Noise Control Overlay: Christchurch Airport - 50 dBA Contour, is automatically removed as it applies to the following land as soon as the CIAL airport noise contour is updated in the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement (or other document) and no longer applies to this land: Lot 2 DP 322710 Lot 1 DP 67190 Lot 2 DP 67190	Reject	35
<i>DPR-0371</i>	<i>Christchurch International Airport Limited</i>	<i>FS003</i>	<i>Noise Control Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	35

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	007	NOISE-P3	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: Protect Christchurch International Airport from reverse sensitivity effects by avoiding residential activities on sites that do not meet a density of one residential unit per four hectares within the Christchurch International Airport Noise Control Overlays, <u>unless the basis for the Noise Control Overlay no longer applies e.g. due to changes in flight paths,</u> and requiring noise insulation for noise sensitive activities within the Christchurch International Airport 55dB Ldn Noise Control Overlay.	Reject	14
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS004	NOISE-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	038	Audible Bird Scaring	Oppose in Part	Delete as notified and replace with: <u>Gas guns and avian distress alarms used for the purposes of disturbing or scaring birds, and excludes firearms and vehicles used for that purpose.</u>	Accept in part	7
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS010	Audible Bird Scaring Device	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part	7
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	064	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	212	NOISE-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	213	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>Noise effects generated are compatible with the character and activities undertaken in the zone in which it occurs, which will vary across the district.</u>	Accept in part	11
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	FS029	New	Support	Allow in full	Accept in part	11
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS047	New	Support	Allow the submission point	Accept in part	11
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	214	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>Rural production activities are not constrained by reverse sensitivity effects arising from noise sensitive activities located in the General Rural Zone.</u>	Reject	20
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	FS030	New	Support	Allow in full	Reject	20
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	FS046	New	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	20
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS048	New	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	20
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	215	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	216	NOISE-R11	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 1. Noise emissions from any audible bird scaring device d. Operation of any audible bird scaring device does not exceed 12 times in any one hour <u>or a cluster of 3 shots no more than 4 times per hour</u>	Accept	31
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	217	NOISE-R12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	32
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	218	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	37
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	007	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	008	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	035	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
<i>DPR-0157</i>	<i>Kevin & Bonnie Williams</i>	<i>FS373</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS447	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS404	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS452	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS382	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS428	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in part	7
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	227	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS or NC.	Reject	36
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS429	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS516	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS473	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS520	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS763	SUB-R26	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS496	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	36
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	277	NOISE-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	278	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	279	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	280	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	281	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	282	NOISE-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	14
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	283	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	15
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	284	NOISE-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	16
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	285	NOISE-P6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	17
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	286	NOISE-P7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	287	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	19
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	288	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	289	NOISE-R2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	22
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	290	NOISE-R3	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	23
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	291	NOISE-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	24
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	292	NOISE-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	25
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	293	NOISE-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	30

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	294	NOISE-R14	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	34
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	295	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified.	Accept	37
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	296	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Advisory note: 1. ... 2. Where the noise limits are assessed at any point within any site receiving noise, if the site boundary is a boundary with a road or railway network the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the road or railway network. <u>And, for the GIZ and LFRZ located north of Jones Road and east of Hoskyns Road, the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the State Highway 1 road network, rather than at the furthest boundary of the Jones Road boundary.</u> 3. ...	Reject	37
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	297	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE 5 such that the noise limits specified for the GIZ and LFRZ at Iport are no more restrictive than the noise limits in the Operative Plan.	Reject	37
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	298	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified	Accept	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	299	NOISE-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	38
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	300	NOISE-MAT1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	40
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	418	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	43
DPR-0032	Christchurch City Council	FS204	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	<i>Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.</i>	Accept	43
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS935	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	43
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS056	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS349	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	43
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS129	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not specified	Reject	43
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS056	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS025	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	43
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	059	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	060	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	034	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS693	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS618	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS571	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS611	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS226	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS851	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in part	7
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	216	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS or NC.	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS761	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS687	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS640	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS680	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject	36
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS295	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject	36
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	266	NOISE-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	267	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	268	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	269	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	270	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	271	NOISE-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	14
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	272	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	15
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	273	NOISE-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	16
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	274	NOISE-P6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	17
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	275	NOISE-P7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	18
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	276	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	19
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	277	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	278	NOISE-R2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	279	NOISE-R3	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	23
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	280	NOISE-R4	Support in Part	Retain as notified	Accept in part	24
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	281	NOISE-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	25
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	282	NOISE-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	30
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	283	NOISE-R14	Support	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	34
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	284	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified.	Accept	37
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	285	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Advisory note:</p> <p>1. ...</p> <p>2. Where the noise limits are assessed at any point within any site receiving noise, if the site boundary is a boundary with a road or railway network the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the road or railway network. <u>And, for the GIZ and LFRZ located north of Jones Road and east of Hoskyns Road, the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the State Highway 1 road network, rather than at the furthest boundary of the Jones Road boundary.</u></p> <p>3. ...</p>	Reject	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	286	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE 5 such that the noise limits specified for the GIZ and LFRZ at Iport are no more restrictive than the noise limits in the Operative Plan.	Reject	37
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	287	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified.	Accept	37
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	288	NOISE-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	38
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	289	NOISE-MAT1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	40
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	438	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	43
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS152	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS054	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	43
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	033	NOISE-P1	Oppose	Amend to better recognise Industrial Activities and that such activities need permissive noise standards and to be protected from reverse sensitivity effects.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	034	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain the absence of noise limits for noise received within the GIZ.	Accept	37
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	035	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain the noise limits applied to sites receiving noise in the GRUZ or make these more permissive.	Accept	37
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	020	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Amend to ensure that there is clear rationale and clear distinction in the Plan for when each definition applies.	Reject	7
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS589	Noise Sensitive Activity	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept in part	7
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	075	NOISE-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: The Amenity values, health and wellbeing of people and communities and their amenity values are protected from significant levels of noise adverse noise effects, consistent with the anticipated outcomes for the receiving environment.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS024	NOISE-O1	Support	Accept	Accept in part	9
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	FS019	NOISE-O1	Support	Accept the submission.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS644	NOISE-O1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS086	NOISE-O1	Oppose	Disallow the submission point.	Reject	9
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	076	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS645	NOISE-O2	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	10
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	077	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Protect the electricity distribution network from reverse sensitivity effects by avoiding noise sensitive activities locating near zone substations.</u>	Reject	20
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS646	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	20
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS025	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	20
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	078	NOISE-R1	Oppose	Amend as follows: ... <u>6. Refer to EI-R16 for noise associated with electricity generators and mobile equipment to supply important infrastructure.</u>	Accept in part	21
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	FS007	NOISE-R1	Support	Accept the proposed amendment.	Accept in part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS647	NOISE-R1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	21
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	079	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>Noise Sensitive Activities near Substations</u></p> <p><u>All zones</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity.</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. There is a 100m setback from the boundary of an existing zone substation or an existing but undeveloped designated zone substation.</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status when compliance not achieved: NC</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>Any application arising from EI-R3 shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</u></p> <p><u>The network utility operator with responsibility for the infrastructure unless their written approval is provided.</u></p>	Reject	35
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS648	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	35
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS026	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	35
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS087	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the notified provision.	Accept	35

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand limited	080	NOISE-MAT1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: <u>7. The life supporting function of electricity supply, especially when electricity supply is interrupted.</u>	Reject	40
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS649	NOISE-MAT1	Oppose	<i>Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.</i>	Accept	40
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS088	NOISE-MAT1	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission point.</i>	Accept	40
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	058	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Port Zone 55 dB LAeq Noise Control Overlay West Melton 65 dB Ldn Noise Control Overlay DPZ ... <u>9.Subdivision within the Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay</u> <u>Fonterra will be considered an affected party when subdivision occurs within the Fonterra Noise Control Overlay.</u>	Reject	36
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS790	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	<i>Reject submission in part</i>	Accept in part	36
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	FS013	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part to: Amend the first column of the table to reference: <u>GRUZ that is subject to a Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay</u> in the second row and remove Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay from the first row. and	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					<p><i>Amend the proposed text as follows:</i></p> <p><i>9. Subdivision <u>in the General Rural Zone</u> within the Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay. Fonterra will be considered an affected party when subdivision occurs within the Fonterra Noise Control Overlay.</i></p>		
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	FS004	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Amend the proposed new clause	Reject	36
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	063	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS795	NOISE-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	10
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	064	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS796	NOISE-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	12
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	065	NOISE-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	16
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS797	NOISE-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	066	NOISE-R6	Support in Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activity status:PER <u>RDIS</u></p> <p>1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity <u>subject to compliance with NOISE-REQX.</u></p> <p>....</p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p><u>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to NOISE-R6.1 restricted the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a. NOISE-MATX</u></p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>2.3. When compliance with any of NOISE R6.1.a-c. is not achieved:<u>DIS-NC</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>4. Any application arising from NOISE-R6.3 shall not be subject to public notification. Absent their written approval, the application shall be limited notified only to the relevant dairy company.</u></p>	Accept in part	26
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS798	NOISE-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in part	26
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	FS003	NOISE-R6	Support	Adopt the wording as submitted by Fonterra	Accept in part	26
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	067	New	Oppose in Part	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>NOISE-REQX</u></p> <p><u>Preparation of a design report (including calculations) prepared by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer demonstrating compliance with the following requirements:</u></p> <p><u>a. Within the Dairy Processing Zone Noise Control Overlay but outside the Inner Noise Zone is designed to achieve an outside to inside noise level difference of not less than 20 dB Dtr, 2m, nTw</u></p>	Reject	39

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					<u>to any bedroom.</u> <u>b. Within the Inner Noise Zone is designed to achieve an outside to inside noise level difference of not less than 25dBDtr,2m,nTw to any bedroom.</u> <u>Where windows need to be closed to achieve the internal noise levels specified in NOISE-R6.1.a. and NOISE-R6.1.b., an alternative ventilation system shall be provided which satisfies clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code.</u>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS799	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in part	39
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	068	New	Support in Part	Insert as follows: <u>NOISE-MATX</u> <u>The ability to provide appropriate insulation to avoid impacting on the adjoining dairy manufacturing operations.</u>	Reject	40
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS800	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in part	40
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	069	New	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE5.5 as follows: The cumulative noise (<u>excluding construction noise</u>) arising as a result of all activities within the Dairy Processing Zone shall not exceed:....	Accept	37
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS801	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	37
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	044	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Christchurch International Airport 550 dB L _{dn} <u>L_{dn} Air Noise Contour</u> Noise Control Overlay Activity Status: DIS 1. Subdivision within the Christchurch International Airport 550	Accept in part	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					dB Ldn Noise Control Overlay <u>which creates a site with a net site area not less than that specified in GRUZ-SCHED2 Residential Density.</u> This rule does not apply to any subdivision under any of SUB-R13 or SUB-R15.		
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS107	SUB-R26	Oppose	Reject	Accept in part	36
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS005	SUB-R26	Oppose	Disallow the submission point.	Accept in part	36
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	045	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Christchurch International Airport 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour Activity Status: NC</u> <u>1. Subdivision in the General Rural Zone where the size of any site does not comply with GRUZ-SCHED2.</u> <u>Activity status when compliance not achieved: N/A</u> <u>Any application arising from this rule will be limited notified to Christchurch International Airport Limited.</u>	Reject	35
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS108	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	35
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS071	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	35
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS006	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission point.	Accept	35

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	048	NOISE-Overview	Support in Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>The objectives and policies for noise seek to control the levels of noise created by activities to limit the adverse effects of noise on character, amenity values, and human health, and to protect existing important infrastructure activities which generate elevated levels of noise from reverse sensitivity effects.</p> <p>...</p> <p>There are some noise generating activities that are not controlled by the RMA, such as aircraft in flight, or are controlled by the application of relevant New Zealand Noise Standards or sections 16 and 17 of the RMA and therefore are not managed by the District Plan.</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>Residential density Land use controls for noise sensitive activities within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour (which is the outer control boundary for aircraft noise in Greater Christchurch), including residential density within the Christchurch International Airport Noise Control Overlays 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and acoustic mitigation requirements within the 55 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour</u> is managed by the General Rural Zone chapter provisions.</p>	Accept in part	8
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS111	NOISE-Overview	Oppose	Reject	Reject	8
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS007	NOISE-Overview	Oppose In Part	Partially allow the submission point. Retain the reference to other mechanisms, for example the New Zealand Noise Standards.	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	049	NOISE-O1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: The Amenity values, health and wellbeing of people and communities and their amenity values are protected from significant levels of noise adverse noise effects, consistent with the anticipated outcomes for the receiving environment.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS112	NOISE-O1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	9
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	050	NOISE-O2	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Important infrastructure which generates noise is protected from reverse sensitivity effects. <u>by:</u> <u>a. Avoiding noise sensitive activities within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and requiring noise mitigation for new sensitive activities within the 55 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour.</u> ---	Reject	10
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS113	NOISE-O2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	10
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	051	NOISE-P3	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Protect Christchurch International Airport from reverse sensitivity effects by avoiding: <u>a. avoiding Noise Sensitive Activities within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and ensuring the density of residential units is kept to a maximum of 1 residential unit per 4 hectares within the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour; and</u> <u>b. requiring noise insulation mitigation for new buildings and additions to existing buildings noise sensitive activities within the Christchurch International Airport 55dB Ldn Air Noise Contour Control Overlay.</u>	Accept in part	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS114	NOISE-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept in part	14
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS008	NOISE-P3	Oppose In Part	Allow the submission point: new P3.a Disallow the submission point: new P3.b	Reject	14
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	052	NOISE-R4	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Noise Sensitive activity within the <u>55 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour</u> Christchurch International Airport Noise Control Overlays Activity status: PER 1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity Where: a. The building is insulated from aircraft noise and designed to comply with the indoor design sound limits specified in NOISE-TABLE2 Indoor Design Noise Levels, Christchurch International Airport 55 dB Ldn dB Ldn <u>dB Ldn Air Noise Contour</u> Noise Control Overlay ; and b. Where windows need to be closed to achieve the internal noise levels specified in NOISE-R4.1.a., an alternative ventilation system shall be provided which satisfies clause G4 of the New Zealand Building Code <u>and provides satisfactory internal thermal conditions</u>	Accept in part	24
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS115	NOISE-R4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	053	NOISE-R4	Support in Part	Amend as follows: NOISE-TABLE2 Indoor Design Noise Levels, Christchurch International Airport 55_dB <u>Ldn Air Noise Contour</u> Ldn Noise Control Overlay <u>Retail activities, commercial services and offices</u> <u>Conference rooms 65 dB LAE / 40 dB Ldn</u> <u>Private offices 70 dB LAE / 45 dB Ldn</u> <u>Drafting, open offices, exhibition spaces 75 dB LAE / 50 dB Ldn</u> <u>Typing, data processing 80 dB LAE / 55 dB Ldn</u> <u>Shops, supermarkets, showrooms 85 dB LAE / 55 dB Ldn</u> <u>Commercial filming</u> <u>Sound stages, studios for filming and/or sound production for Commercial film or video production activities 47 dB LAE</u>	<i>Reject</i>	24
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS116	NOISE-R4	Oppose	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	24
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	089	Noise Control overlay	Support in Part	Amend Noise Control Overlay to show the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise separately in the planning maps.	Accept	41
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS146	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Reject</i>	41
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	090	Noise Control overlay	Support	Amend Noise Control Overlay to show the 55 dB Ldn Air Noise separately in the planning maps.	Accept	41

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS147	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Reject	Reject	41
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	092	NOISE-R4	Support in Part	That this rule is moved to the General Rural Zone chapter where it will be more accessible and visible to plan users. If that relief is rejected, the submitter seeks that thorough and explicit cross references are made in the General Rural Zone Chapter to ensure plan users are directed to this provision.	Reject	24
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS048	NOISE-R4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	24
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	093	NOISE-P3	Support in Part	Amend to relocate the policy to the General Rural Zone chapter or ensure that thorough and explicit cross references are made in the General Rural Zone Chapter to ensure plan users are directed to this provision.	Reject	14
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS049	NOISE-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	096	New	Support in Part	Requests that rules and planning maps are amended to clarify that any property lying within the 55dB Ldn Air Noise Contour is also subject to the rules applicable to the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour.	Reject	35
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS149	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	35

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	040	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
<i>DPR-0157</i>	<i>Kevin & Bonnie Williams</i>	<i>FS507</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0209</i>	<i>Manmeet Singh</i>	<i>FS875</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0298</i>	<i>Trices Road Re-zoning Group</i>	<i>FS722</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0461</i>	<i>Dunweavin 2020 Ltd</i>	<i>FS754</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0492</i>	<i>Kevler Development Ltd</i>	<i>FS070</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0493</i>	<i>Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>	<i>FS631</i>	<i>Noise Sensitive Activity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>7</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	222	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS or NC.	Reject	36
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS576	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS943	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS791	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	36
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS823	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject	36
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS139	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject	36
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS700	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	36
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	272	NOISE-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	273	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	274	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	275	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	276	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	277	NOISE-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	14
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	278	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	15
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	279	NOISE-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	16
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	280	NOISE-P6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	17
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	281	NOISE-P7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	18
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	282	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	19

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	283	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	284	NOISE-R2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	22
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	285	NOISE-R3	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	23
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	286	NOISE-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	24
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	287	NOISE-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	25
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	288	NOISE-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	30
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	289	NOISE-R14	Support in Part	Amend the activity status to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	34
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	290	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Retain as notified	Accept	37
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	291	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Advisory note: 1. ... 2. Where the noise limits are assessed at any point within any site receiving noise, if the site boundary is a boundary with a	Reject	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					road or railway network the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the road or railway network. <u>And, for the GIZ and LFRZ located north of Jones Road and east of Hoskyns Road, the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the State Highway 1 road network, rather than at the furthest boundary of the Jones Road boundary.</u> 3. ...		
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	292	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE 5 such that the noise limits specified for the GIZ and LFRZ at Iport are no more restrictive than the noise limits in the Operative Plan.	<i>Reject</i>	37
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	293	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified.	<i>Accept</i>	37
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	294	NOISE-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	<i>Accept in part</i>	38
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	295	NOISE-MAT1	Support	Retain as notified	<i>Accept in part</i>	40
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	484	Non-notification clause	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	<i>Reject</i>	43
DPR-0032	Christchurch City Council	FS271	Non-notification clause	Oppose In Part	<i>Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	43

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS998	Non-notification clause	Support	Accept submission	Reject	43
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS154	Non-notification clause	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS350	Non-notification clause	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	43
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS158	Non-notification clause	Support	Not Specified	Reject	43
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS211	Non-notification clause	Support In Part	Allow the submission on controlled activity. Disallow the submission point that notification is not required for all restricted discretionary applications.	Reject	43
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS085	Non-notification clause	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS351	Non-notification clause	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	43

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS192	Non-notification clause	Support	Not Specified	Reject	43
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS085	Non-notification clause	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS088	Non-notification clause	Support	Accept submission	Reject	43
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	005	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support in Part	Amend definition for noise sensitive activities to also include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Major healthcare facility - Retirement Village - Sleep-Out - Habitable Room - Supported Residential Accommodation - Marae - Places of Worship - Community facility - Educational facility 	Reject	7
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	FS004	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Accept the submission.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS096	Noise Sensitive Activity	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	7
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	107	SUB-R26	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	36
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS099	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	36
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	130	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	131	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	132	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	133	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	134	NOISE-R3	Support in Part	Amend Rule to better clarify the application of relevant rules and an activity status of restricted discretionary is applied for those activities not complying with relevant requirements including the insertion of suitable matters of control and discretion.	Accept in part	22
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS101	NOISE-R3	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Reject	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	135	Noise Control Overlay	Support in Part	Amend the noise control overlay maps in accordance with the overlay maps that Waka Kotahi will provide.	Accept	41
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS102	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Reject	41
DPR-0378	The Ministry of Education	003	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
DPR-0382	Ellesmere Motor Racing Club (EMRC)	005	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert new "Noise Sensitive Activity within the Ellesmere Speedway Noise Control Overlay" map. Refer original submission for full decision requested, including attachments.	To be considered at the GRUZ Hearing	41
DPR-0382	Ellesmere Motor Racing Club (EMRC)	006	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<u>Insert as follows:</u> <u>Noise-RXXX Ellesmere Speedway</u> <u>Ellesmere Speedway 55dB Noise Control Overlay</u> <u>Activity status: PER</u> <u>1. The establishment of any building for a noise sensitive activity, or any addition or alteration to an existing building which creates a new habitable room or will be occupied by a noise sensitive activity</u> <u>Where:</u> <u>a. Located between the 55 dB and 65 dB noise contours:</u> <u>i. All habitable rooms shall be designed, constructed and maintained to achieve an indoor design noise level of 40 dB LAeq from noise generated by the Ellesmere Speedway; and</u>	To be considered at the GRUZ Hearing	35

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					<p><u>ii. Outdoor living areas shall be screened from the Ellesmere Speedway to achieve an indoor design noise level not exceeding 50 dB LAeq.</u></p> <p><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</u></p> <p><u>2. When compliance with any of NOISE-RXXX.1.a. I and ii is not achieved: RDIS</u></p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p><u>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to NOISE- RXXX.2. Is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a. The extent to which the site is predicted to be affected by noise from motorised speedway activities carried out at the Ellesmere Speedway.</u></p> <p><u>b. The extent to which any noise from outdoor motor racing activities carried out at the Ellesmere Speedway Club will have on all habitable rooms and outdoor living space.</u></p> <p><u>c. The extent to which noise sensitive activities will give rise to reverse sensitivity in relation to the activities undertaken at the Ellesmere Speedway</u></p> <p><u>d. The extent of environmental effects as a result of any noise mitigation measures required in order to meet the standards.</u></p> <p><u>Advisory note:</u></p> <p><u>1. To demonstrate compliance, a design report (including calculations) prepared by a suitably qualified acoustic engineer shall be submitted to the Council with the application for Building Consent.</u></p> <p><u>Ellesmere Speedway 65dB Noise Control Overlay</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status: NC</u></p> <p><u>3. Any new building for a noise sensitive activity, and any addition or alteration of a habitable room to an existing building containing a noise sensitive activity located within the 65dB noise contour</u></p> <p><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved: N/A</u></p>		

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0382	Ellesmere Motor Racing Club (EMRC)	007	SUB-R26	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: Port Zone 55dB LAeq Noise Control Overlay <u>Ellesmere Speedway 65 dB Noise Control Overlay</u>	To be considered at the GRUZ Hearing	36
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	042	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	234	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend the activity status of this provision to RDIS rather than DIS or NC.	Reject	36
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	284	NOISE-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	285	NOISE-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	286	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	287	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	288	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	289	NOISE-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	14
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	290	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	15
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	291	NOISE-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	16
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	292	NOISE-P6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	17
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	293	NOISE-P7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	18
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	294	NOISE-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	19
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	295	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	21
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	296	NOISE-R2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	22
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	297	NOISE-R3	Support in Part	Amend the activity status of provision to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	298	NOISE-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	24
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	299	NOISE-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	25
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	300	NOISE-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	30
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	301	NOISE-R14	Support in Part	Amend the activity status of this provision to RDIS rather than DIS.	Reject	34
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	302	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified.	Accept	37
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	303	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Advisory note: 1. ... 2. Where the noise limits are assessed at any point within any site receiving noise, if the site boundary is a boundary with a road or railway network the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the road or railway network. <u>And, for the GIZ and LFRZ located north of Jones Road and east of Hoskyns Road, the noise standards shall apply at the furthest boundary of the State Highway 1 road network, rather than at the furthest boundary of the Jones Road boundary.</u> 3. ...	Reject	37
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	304	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE 5 such that the noise limits specified for the GIZ and LFRZ at Iport are no more restrictive than the noise limits in the Operative Plan.	Reject	37

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	305	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Not specified.	Accept	37
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	306	NOISE-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	38
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	307	NOISE-MAT1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	40
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	517	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	<p>Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules:</p> <p><u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u></p>	Reject	43
DPR-0032	Christchurch City Council	FS306	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	43
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS1025	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	43
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS118	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS352	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	43
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS226	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	43
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS118	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	43
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	FS122	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	43
DPR-0401	Coolpak Coolstores Ltd.	001	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	37
DPR-0401	Coolpak Coolstores Ltd.	002	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	37
DPR-0401	Coolpak Coolstores Ltd.	003	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	37
DPR-0401	Coolpak Coolstores Ltd.	004	New	Support in Part	Extend policy in relation to noise insulation to include all sites neighbouring the iZone development.	Reject	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0401	Coolpak Coolstores Ltd.	005	New	Support in Part	Extend rules in relation to noise insulation to include all sites neighbouring the iZone development.	Reject	35
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS155	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	35
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS155	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	35
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS155	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	35
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS155	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	35
DPR-0401	Coolpak Coolstores Ltd.	006	NOISE-REQ1	Oppose	Amend as follows for GRUZ zone of the site receiving the noise: NOISE-TABLE5 - Zone Noise Limits 0700 to 2200: 55dB LAeq <u>60dB LA10</u>	Reject	37
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	072	NOISE-Overview	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: The generation of noise is often an intrinsic part of the operation and function of the diverse range of activities that operate in the District, but it may cause adverse effects on character, amenity, <u>planned urban built form</u> and the health and wellbeing of people and communities, such as causing sleep disturbance. ... The objectives and policies for noise seek to control the levels of noise created by activities to limit the adverse effects of noise on character, amenity values, <u>planned urban built form</u> , and human health, and to protect <u>some</u> existing important infrastructure activities which generate elevated levels of noise from reverse	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					sensitivity effects. ...		
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	FS018	NOISE-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	073	NOISE-O1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS172	NOISE-O1	Oppose	Retain the objective as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	074	NOISE-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	13
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	075	NOISE-R3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	23
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS177	NOISE-R3	Oppose	The rule should be retained but clarification is sought on R3.1 and R3.3. Consideration should also be given to the activity status of this rule where an activity does not comply.	Accept in part	23
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	076	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Delete State Highway Noise Control Overlay as notified	Reject	41

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS179	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Retain the inclusion of the State Highway Noise Control Overlay with the updated overlay that will be provided by Waka Kotahi.	Accept	41
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	077	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Delete State Highway Noise Control Overlay as notified	Reject	41
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	120	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Activity Status: DIS 1. ... 4. Subdivision within the Rail Network Noise Sensitivity Overlay. This rule does not apply to any subdivision under any of SUB-R13 or SUB-R15. 5. Subdivision within the State Highway Noise Sensitivity Overlay. This rule does not apply to any subdivision under any of SUB-R13 or SUB-R15. 6. ...	Reject	36
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS186	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	36
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS376	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	36
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS146	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	36
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS136	SUB-R26	Oppose	Retain as notified	Accept in part	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS173	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	36
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS542	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in part	36
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS166	SUB-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in par	Accept in part	36
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS057	SUB-R26	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	36
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	011	NOISE-R6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	26
DPR-0080	Philip J Hindin	FS018	NOISE-R6	Oppose	Disallow the noise control boundary alteration. Require the owners of the property generating noise (Synlait) to take full financial responsibility for the costs of compliance and noise reduction within any noise control boundary.	Reject	26 and 42
DPR-0420	Synlait Milk Limited	027	DPZ-SCHED1	Oppose in Part	Replace the Noise Control Overlay with a new Overlay. Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachment.	Accept	42
DPR-0080	Philip J Hindin	FS016	DPZ-SCHED1	Oppose	Disallow the noise control boundary alteration. Require the owners of the property generating noise (Synlait) to take full financial responsibility for the costs of compliance and noise reduction within any noise control boundary.	Reject	42

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	027	Audible Bird Scaring Device	Support in Part	Delete as notified and replace with: <u>Gas guns and avian distress alarms used for the purposes of disturbing or scaring birds. It excludes firearms and vehicles used for that purpose.</u>	Accept	7
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS019	Audible Bird Scaring Device	Support	Allow in full	Accept	7
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS073	Audible Bird Scaring Device	Support	Accept with amendment	Accept in part	7
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	071	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	241	NOISE-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	21
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	242	NOISE-R11	Support in Part	Amend as follows: d.in any one hour, <u>or a cluster of 3 shots no more than 4 times per hour</u>	Accept	31
DPR-0433	Lindsay & Averil Halliday	001	NOISE-R7	Oppose in Part	Delete NOISE-R7 until further mitigation and the proposed change in noise levels is understood.	Reject	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS033	NOISE-R7	Oppose	Reject submitters relief sought	Accept	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS001	NOISE-R7	Support	Delete NOISE-R7 until further noise mitigation has been completed and the proposed change in noise levels is understood.	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0433	Lindsay & Averil Halliday	002	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose in Part	Delete the West Melton Rifle Range 55 dB Ldn and 65 dB Ldn Noise Control Overlays until further mitigation and the proposed change in noise levels is understood.	Reject	41
<i>DPR-0448</i>	<i>New Zealand Defence Force</i>	<i>FS034</i>	<i>Noise Control Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject submitters relief sought</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>DPR-0570</i>	<i>Letesha and Bryan Dempster</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>Noise Control Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Delete the West Melton Rifle Range 55 dB Ldn and 65 dB Ldn Noise Control Overlays until further mitigation and the proposed change in noise levels is understood.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>41</i>
DPR-0433	Lindsay & Averil Halliday	003	NOISE-R7	Oppose in Part	Review the current noise mitigation facilities at the West Melton Rifle Range and consider options for improvement.	Reject	27
<i>DPR-0448</i>	<i>New Zealand Defence Force</i>	<i>FS035</i>	<i>NOISE-R7</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject submitters relief sought</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>27</i>
<i>DPR-0570</i>	<i>Letesha and Bryan Dempster</i>	<i>FS003</i>	<i>NOISE-R7</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Review the current noise mitigation facilities at the West Melton Rifle Range and consider options for improvement.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>27</i>
DPR-0433	Lindsay & Averil Halliday	004	NOISE-R7	Oppose in Part	Consider the effects of ambient conditions on noise and vibration from the Range, and the implementation of operational parameters dependent on ambient conditions.	Reject	27
<i>DPR-0448</i>	<i>New Zealand Defence Force</i>	<i>FS036</i>	<i>NOISE-R7</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject submitters relief sought</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>27</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS004	NOISE-R7	Support	Consider the effects of ambient conditions on noise and vibration from the Range, and the implementation of operational parameters dependent on ambient conditions.	Reject	27
DPR-0439	Rayonier Matariki Forests	004	NOISE-REQ1	Oppose	Amend to clearly identify the application of the NESPF where there are rules that affect Plantation Forestry Activities.	Reject	37
DPR-0439	Rayonier Matariki Forests	005	NOISE-R14	Oppose	Amend to clearly identify the application of the NESPF where there are rules that affect Plantation Forestry Activities.	Reject	34
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	137	NOISE-O2	Support	Amend as follows: Important Protect regionally significant infrastructure which generates noise is protected from reverse sensitivity effects.	Reject	10
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS161	NOISE-O2	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	10
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	138	NOISE-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	139	NOISE-P2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Protect <u>regionally significant infrastructure</u> , including the State Highway and the designated railway network, from reverse sensitivity effects by avoiding noise sensitive activities locating near to <u>regionally significant infrastructure</u> the State Highway or designated railway network unless specified noise and vibration limits are met or physical noise mitigation or insulation is incorporated.	Reject	13
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS162	NOISE-P2	Support	Allow the submission point.	Reject	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	140	NOISE-MAT1	Support in Part	Retain as notified provided that EI-REQ10 is excluded from consideration in EI-R29.	Accept in part	40
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	003	Fixed Noise Sources	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	007	Mobile Noise Sources	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	008	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	040	New	Support in Part	Insert new policy as follows: <u>To avoid reverse sensitivity effects and ensure existing lawful uses and important infrastructure are not constrained by managing the establishment of noise sensitive activities, including within the West Melton Rifle Range Noise Overlay.</u>	Reject	20
<i>DPR-0414</i>	<i>Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities</i>	<i>FS084</i>	<i>New</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Not specified</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>20</i>
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	041	NOISE-O1	Support in Part	Amend to refer to the emission of noise not exceeding a reasonable level.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	042	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	043	NOISE-P4	Support in Part	Amend to include reference to strategic and important infrastructure	Accept in part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	044	NOISE-P7	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Enable temporary military training activities <u>where the effects are appropriately managed including through provided that specified separation distances, vibration, and/or noise limits are met</u> in relation to noise sensitive activities or <u>where</u> the occurrence of such activity is limited.	Reject	18
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	053	SUB-R26	Support	Retain SUB-R26.6 as notified	Accept in part	36
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	054	SUB-R26	Support	Retain SUB-R26.8 as notified	Accept in part	36
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	055	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend SUB-R26 or insert a new rule (SUB-R28) to require a no complaints covenant to be included on each title to protect the West Melton Rifle Range from complaints and associated reverse sensitivity effects, and where a covenant is not entered into apply a non-complying activity status.	Reject	36
<i>DPR-0183</i>	<i>Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)</i>	<i>FS003</i>	<i>SUB-R26</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Disallow submission</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>36</i>
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	059	NOISE-R7	Support	Retain NOISE-R7.1 as notified	Accept in part	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	060	NOISE-R7	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-R7.2 as follows: Notification: <u>X. Any application arising from NOISE-R7.2 shall not be subject to</u>	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					<u>public notification and shall be limited notified to the New Zealand Defence Force, unless their written approval is provided.</u>		
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS019	NOISE-R7	Oppose	<i>Amendment is not added.</i>	Accept	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	061	NOISE-R7	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-R7.3 as follows: Notification: <u>X. Any application arising from NOISE-R7.3 shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the New Zealand Defence Force, unless their written approval is provided.</u>	Reject	27
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS020	NOISE-R7	Oppose	<i>Amendment is not added.</i>	Accept	27
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	062	NOISE-R7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend NOISE-7.1 as follows: <u>c. A no complaints covenant shall be entered on the title where the noise sensitive activity occurs, with wording that protects the West Melton Rifle Range from complaints and associated reverse sensitivity effects.</u> Or insert a new rule to this effect. Where a covenant is not entered into, apply a non-complying activity status as per Noise-R7.3.	Reject	27 and 36
DPR-0570	Letesha and Bryan Dempster	FS013	NOISE-R7	Oppose	<i>Submission request be disallowed.</i>	Accept	27 and 36
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	063	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	064	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	065	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	066	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	067	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	068	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain matters for discretion under NOISE-MAT1 and listed under NOISE-R9 as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	069	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain matters for discretion under NOISE-MAT1 and listed under NOISE-R9 as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	070	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain matters for discretion under NOISE-MAT1 and listed under NOISE-R9 as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	071	NOISE-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	29
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	072	NOISE-R9	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-R9.7 as follows: a. The following minimum separation distances are met at the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity in the GRUZ, or the boundary of any site containing a noise sensitive activity in all other zones:....	Accept	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					b. The activity shall comply with the following peak sound pressure levels at the notional boundary of any building housing a noise sensitive activity in the GRUZ, or the boundary of any site containing a noise sensitive activity in all other zones:....		
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	073	NOISE-R10	Support	Retain NOISE-R10.1 as notified	Accept in part	30
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	074	NOISE-R13	Oppose	Amend NOISE-R13 to delete the references to 115 dB Lzpeak and measurement at the boundary of the site and replace with reference to a 120 dB Lzpeak limit and measurement at the facade of any building containing a habitable room.	Accept in part	33
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	075	NOISE-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	34
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	076	NOISE-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	37
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	077	NOISE-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	38
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	078	NOISE-MAT1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	40
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	098	Noise Control Overlay	Support in Part	Amend the planning maps to use different colours for the West Melton Rifle Range 55 dB Ldn and the 65 dB Ldn Overlays.	Reject	41

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	004	Noise Control Overlay	Support in Part	Use alternative hatching and / or labelling to improve clarity and legibility of the 45dBA and 55dBA LAeq Noise Control Overlay	Accept	
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	009	Noise Sensitive Activity	Support in Part	Amend as follows: The categories of: a. Residential activity, <u>other than those in conjunction with rural activities that comply with the rules in the relevant district plan as at 23 August 2008</u> b. c. Visitor accommodation, <u>except that which is designed, constructed and operated to a standard that mitigates the effects of noise on occupants.</u> d.	Reject	7
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	058	SUB-R26	Support in Part	Amend as follows: 3. Subdivision within the Port Zone 45 dB LAeq Noise Control Overlay, <u>which creates a site with a net site area not less than that specified in GRUZ-SCHED2 Residential Density.</u> This rule does not apply to any subdivision under any of SUB-R13 or SUB-R15.	Accept in part	36
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	069	NOISE-O2	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Important infrastructure which generates noise is protected from reverse sensitivity effects- <u>by:</u> <u>a. avoiding noise sensitive activities within the 55dB Ldn Port Noise Control Overlay</u>	Reject	10
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	070	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Enable Important Infrastructure to generate noise levels as appropriate and necessary to facilitate efficient operation and function, having regard to the contribution that important infrastructure makes to the district's economic, social and cultural wellbeing, whilst ensuring adverse effects of noise on</u>	Reject	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
					<u>the surrounding community are managed to levels consistent with the anticipated quality of that environment.</u>		
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	071	NOISE-R5	Support in Part	Amend to include provision within the GIZ and GRUZ chapters as appropriate, or ensure that there is cross referencing.	Reject	25
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	072	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE5 as follows: Zone of the site generating noise: PORTZ Hours and Limits: The cumulative noise arising as a result of all activities within the Port Zone shall not exceed: 0700 to 2200: 55 dB LAeq	Accept	37
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	073	NOISE-REQ1	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE5 to ensure that 'construction noise' is excluded from 'cumulative noise' for the purpose of maximum noise levels.	Accept	37
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	074	NOISE-REQ2	Support in Part	Amend NOISE-TABLE6 to include the PORTZ as subject to the same construction noise standards as the GIZ.	Accept	38
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttleton Port Company Limited	096	NOISE-P4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	15
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	047	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS173	NOISE-O2	Support	Retain the objective as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	048	NOISE-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS174		Support	Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	13
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	049	NOISE-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	23
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	061	Noise Control Overlay	Support in Part	Amend Maps to reflect the correct boundaries of the Noise Control Overlay in relation to the KRH-1 designation.	Accept	41
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	062	Noise Control Overlay	Support in Part	Amend the planning maps to remove the Noise Control Overlay across the central sections of long tunnels.	Reject	41