

Proposed Selwyn District Plan



Section 42A Report

Report on submissions and further submissions

PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions

(General Submissions, Cross Plan Issues, Introduction, How the Plan Works, Mapping, Interpretation, National Direction Instruments, Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua and Appendix 3)

Jessica Tuilaepa

23 July 2021

Contents

List of submitters and further submitters addressed in this report	5
Abbreviations.....	7
1. Purpose of report.....	9
2. Qualifications and experience.....	9
3. Scope of report and topic overview.....	10
Table 1: Defined Terms included in the PART 1 – Introduction and General Provision s42A report	11
Table 2: Defined Terms NOT included in PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions s42A Report	12
4. Statutory requirements	16
National Planning Standards.....	16
Application of the ‘Structure, Format and Content’ Standards.....	17
Application of the Zone Framework, Spatial Layers and Mapping Standards.....	17
Application of Definition Standard	17
5. Procedural matters	17
6. Consideration of submissions	17
Overview of submissions	17
Structure of this report	18
7. General submissions on the DPR	18
Introduction	18
General submissions on the whole PDP	19
Plan Structure and Format.....	21
Fossil Fuels and Climate Change	23
Highly Productive Land	25
Non-notification clauses	26
8. INTRO - Introduction.....	27
9. HPW- How the Plan Works	30
Statutory Context.....	30
General Approach (excluding cross boundary matters)	33
Cross Boundary Matters	37
Relationship between Spatial Layers	40
10. Interpretation – Definitions, Abbreviations and Glossary	47
11. Abbreviations	51
12. Resource Management Act Definitions	57

13.	National Planning Standards and National Environmental Standards Definitions	59
	Analysis	92
14.	Selwyn District Council Definitions	92
	‘Activity Centre Network’	92
	‘Addition’	96
	‘Adjoining’	97
	‘Ancillary Structure’	98
	‘Automotive Activity’	99
	‘Building Square’	101
	‘Corrections Activity’	102
	‘Development Plan’	103
	‘Emergency’	105
	‘Future Development Strategy’	105
	‘Health Care Facility’	107
	‘Keeping of Animals’	108
	‘Key Activity Centre’	108
	‘Maintenance or Repair’	110
	‘Outline Development Plan’	112
	‘Principal Building’	114
	‘Public Floor Area’	115
	‘Relocated Building’	117
	‘Research Activity’	118
	‘Reverse Sensitivity’	119
	‘Sensitive Activity’	123
	‘Setback’	127
	‘Township Network’	128
	‘Waste’	131
15.	New definitions proposed by Submitters	132
16.	NDI - National Direction Instruments	134
17.	MANA - Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua	135
18.	PART 4 – APP3 Height in relation to boundary	139
19.	S32AA Evaluation for all Recommended Amendments.....	140
20.	Conclusion.....	141

List of submitters and further submitters addressed in this report

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Abbreviation
DPR-0006	Colin Eady	-
DPR-0008	Mike Davis	-
DPR-0042	John Collyns	-
DPR-0043	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand & Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	-
DPR-0046	Chris & Carleen Morrish	-
DPR-0049	Ivan & Barbara Court	-
DPR-0066	N Konijn	-
DPR-0077	Claire Wratten	-
DPR-0100	Annette Shankie	-
DPR-0101	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited	-
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	-
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.	Forest & Bird
DPR-0126	Foster Commercial	-
DPR-0127	Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd	-
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	NZ Pork
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	CIAL
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	-
DPR-0342	AgResearch Limited	-
DPR-0464	New Zealand Motor Caravan Association Inc.	-
DPR-0159	Lincoln Envirotown Trust	-
DPR-0167	Bevin Fitzsimons	-
DPR-0168	Paula Godfrey	-
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	-
DPR-0423	PHC Terrace Downs Resort Limited	-
DPR-0211	William Trolove	-
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	-
DPR-0213	New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited & Landcare Research	Plant and Food/Landcare
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	-
DPR-0033	Davina Louise Penny	-
DPR-0217	Summerset Villages (Prebbleton) Limited	-
DPR-0447	Barton Fields Lifestyle Villas Ltd	-
DPR-0258	Coal Action Network Aotearoa	-
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	-
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	CRC
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	-
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	-
DPR-0269	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	-
DPR-0279	Rex Verity	-
DPR-0280	Peter William Ireland	-
DPR-0283	David Evans	-
DPR-0296	House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	-
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	-
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	-
DPR-0434	Lincoln University	-
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	CDHB
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	-
DPR-0156	Peter Stafford	-

DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	-
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	-
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	-
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	-
DPR-0357	Siana Fitzjohn	-
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited	RWRL
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	-
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	-
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	-
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	-
DPR-0378	The Ministry of Education	-
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	-
DPR-0362	John Ferguson	-
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited	IRHL
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	-
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	-
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	-
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	-
DPR-0368	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd & Deer Industry New Zealand	-
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	-
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	-
DPR-0373	Foodstuffs South Island Limited & Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited	-
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited	RIHL
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	-
DPR-0383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil New Zealand Limited & Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited	-
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited	RIDL
DPR-0386	Rolleston Square Limited	-
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming Services Limited	-
DPR-0390	Rakaia Irrigation Limited (RIL)	-
DPR-0409	Hughes Developments Limited	-
DPR-0468	North Canterbury Fish and Game	-
DPR-0424	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated	RVA
DPR-0425	Ryman Healthcare Limited	-
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	-
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rakaia Group	UWRG
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	-
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	-
DPR-0454	Central Plains Water Limited	-
DPR-0456	Four Stars Development & Gould Developments Ltd	-
DPR-0457	Flynn Washington	-
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	KiwiRail
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	-
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	-
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	-
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	-
DPR-0469	David Zwartz	-
DPR-0470	James Barber, Frances Mountier, Alfie Mountier & Florrie Mountier	-
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	-

Please refer to **Appendix 1** to see where each submission point is addressed within this report.

Abbreviations

Abbreviations used throughout this report are:

Abbreviation	Full text
ABB	Abbreviation
APP	Appendix
ASW	Activities on the Surface of Water
CE	Coastal Environment
CL	Contaminated Land
CMUZ	Commercial and Mixed Use Zone
CRPS	Canterbury Regional Policy Statement 2013
DEV	Development Area
DPZ	Dairy Processing Zone
EI	Energy and Infrastructure
EIB	Ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity
EW	Earthworks
FDS	Future Development Strategy
GFA	Ground Floor Area
GIZ	General Industrial Zone
GRAZ	Grasmere Zone
GRUZ	General Rural Zone
GRZ	General Residential Zone
HAZS	Hazardous Substances
HDCA	Housing Development Capacity Assessment
HH	Historic Heritage
HNZ	Heritage New Zealand, Pouhere Taonga
HPW	How the Plan Works
IMP	Mahaanui Iwi Management Plan
INTRO	Introduction
KNOZ	Knowledge Zone
LCZ	Local Centre Zone
LFRZ	Large Format Retail Zone
LLRZ	Large Lot Residential Zone
LRZ	Low Density Residential Zone
MPZ	Maori Purpose Zone
NATC	Natural Character
NCZ	Neighbourhood Centre Zone
NDI	National Direction Instruments
NESETA	National Environmental Standard for Electricity Transmissions Activities
NFL	Natural Features and Landscapes
NH	Natural Hazard
NTS	Not to scale
ODP	Outline Development Plan
PA	Public Access
PDP	Proposed Selwyn District Plan
planning standards	National Planning Standards
PORTZ	Port Zone
RESZ	Residential Zone
RMA	Resource Management Act 1991
SASM	Sites and Areas of Significance to Maori
SD	Strategic Directions
SETZ	Settlement Zone
SIGN	Signs

SKIZ	Porters Ski Zone
SUB	Subdivision
TCZ	Town Centre Zone
TEMP	Temporary Activities
TEZ	Terrace Downs Zone
Council	Selwyn District Council
TRAN	Transport
TREE	Notable Trees
UG	Urban Growth
UGO	Urban Growth Overlay

1. Purpose of report

- 1.1 This report is prepared under s42A of the RMA in relation to the Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions of the PDP. The purpose of this report is to provide the Hearing Panel with a summary and analysis of the submissions received on the PDP in general, as well as the Introduction, How the Plan Works, Interpretation, National Direction Instruments, Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua sections in PART 1 and APP 3 – Height in Relation to Boundary in PART 4, and to make recommendations on either retaining the PDP provisions without amendment or making amendments to the PDP in response to those submissions.
- 1.2 The recommendations are informed by the evaluation undertaken by myself as the planning author. In preparing this report I have had regard to the s42A report on Strategic Directions prepared by Mr Robert Love and the Overview s42A report, also prepared by Mr Love, that addresses the higher order statutory planning and legal context.
- 1.3 The conclusions reached and recommendations made in this report are not binding on the Hearing Panel. It should not be assumed that the Hearing Panel will reach the same conclusions having considered all the information in the submissions and the evidence to be brought before them by the submitters.

2. Qualifications and experience

- 2.1 My full name is Jessica Barbara Tuilaepa. I have been employed by the Council within the planning team for the past eleven years, being a Senior Strategy and Policy Planner for the past three years. My qualifications include a Bachelor of Commerce from Otago University and Master of Environmental Policy from Lincoln University. I am a graduate member of the New Zealand Planning Institute.
- 2.2 I have 12 years' experience as a resource management planner, with this work including having been employed in various resource management positions in local government and private companies since 2008. My predominant experience has been in statutory policy and resource consent planning in the Selwyn District. My experience includes processing and reporting on resource consent applications, district plan formulation and policy advice for the Council, preparation of Assessment of Environmental Effects, monitoring and compliance of consent conditions. My role as part of the District Plan Review Team includes consultation, research and reporting. I am Topic Lead for PART1, as well as the CMUZ, GIZ, KNOZ and PORTZ chapters of the PDP.
- 2.3 I confirm that I have read the Code of Conduct for Expert Witnesses in the Environment Court Practice Note 2014 and that I have complied with it when preparing this report. I have also read and am familiar with the Resource Management Law Association / New Zealand Planning Institute "Role of Expert Planning Witnesses" paper. Having reviewed the submitters and further submitters relevant to this topic I advise there are no conflicts of interest that would impede me from providing independent advice to the Hearings Panel. The opinions expressed in this evidence are based on my qualifications and experience, and are within my area of expertise. If I rely on the evidence or opinions of another, my evidence will acknowledge that position.

3. Scope of report and topic overview

- 3.1 This report considers the submissions and further submissions that were received in relation to Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions of the proposed Selwyn District Plan. Recommendations are made to either retain provisions without amendment, or delete, add to or amend the provisions. All recommended amendments are shown by way of strikeout and underlining in **Appendix 2** to this Report. Footnoted references to a submitter number, submission point and the abbreviation for their title provide the scope for each recommended change. Where it is considered that an amendment may be appropriate but it would be beneficial to hear further evidence before making a final recommendation, this is made clear within the report. Where no amendments are recommended to a provision, submissions points that sought the retention of the provision without amendment are not footnoted. Appendix 2 also contains a table setting out any recommended spatial amendments to the PDP Planning Maps.
- 3.2 Clause 16(2) of the RMA allows a local authority to make an amendment to a proposed plan without using a Schedule 1 process, where such an alteration is of minor effect, or may correct any minor errors. A number of alterations have already been made to the PDP using cl.16(2) and these are documented in reports available on the Council’s website. Where a submitter has requested the same or similar changes to the PDP that fall within the ambit of cl.16(2), then such amendments will continue to be made and documented as cl.16(2) amendments in this s42A report.
- 3.3 The assessment of submissions generally follows the following format:
- ***Submission Information***
 - ***Analysis***
 - ***Recommendation and Amendments***
- 3.4 Regarding the Interpretation Section, not all the submissions on definitions that have been received are assessed in this s42A report. Some definitions will be considered in the topic or zone-specific s42A reports, especially where they are unique to, or closely tied to, the interpretation of provisions in that topic / zone.
- 3.5 The PDP includes definitions from a variety of sources, the RMA, planning standards, National Policy Statements, and definitions that have been developed by SDC.

Below, two tables have been provided to clearly lay out which definitions in the PDP are subject to submission, their source and which ‘report’ will consider that definition.

- Table 1: Defined Terms included in the PART 1 – Introduction and General Provision s42A report
- Table 2: Defined Terms NOT included in the PART 1 – Introduction and General Provision s42A report

Table 1: Defined Terms included in the PART 1 – Introduction and General Provision s42A report

- 3.6 The following table shows the origin of defined terms subject to submission. Where a definition in the table below is underlined this indicates the definition has been proposed as a new definition by a submitter.

Defined Term subject to Submission	Source/Section of this report to reference
Abrasive Blasting	National Planning Standards definition
Access Strip	Resource Management Act definition
Accessory Building	National Planning Standards definition
Activity Centre Network	Council definition
Council definition	Council definition
Adjoining	Council definition
Allotment	Resource Management Act definition
Ancillary Activity	National Planning Standards definition
Ancillary Structure	Council definition
Antenna	NESTA
Automotive Activities	Council definition
Biological Diversity	Resource Management Act definition
Bore	National Planning Standards definition
Building	National Planning Standards definition
Building Square	Council definition
Cleanfill Area	National Planning Standards definition
Cleanfill Material	National Planning Standards definition
Coastal Marine Area	Resource Management Act definition
Commercial Activity	National Planning Standards definition
Community Corrections Activity	National Planning Standards definition
Community Facility	National Planning Standards definition
Consent Notice	Resource Management Act definition
Corrections Activity	Council definition
Development Plan	Council definition
Drain	National Planning Standards definition
Dust	National Planning Standards definition
Earthworks	National Planning Standards definition
Educational Facility	National Planning Standards definition
Effect	National Planning Standards definition
Emergency	Council definition
Fertiliser	National Planning Standards definition
Freshwater	Resource Management Act definition
Functional Need	National Planning Standards definition
Future Development Strategy	Council definition
Gross Floor Area	National Planning Standards definition
Habitable Room	National Planning Standards definition
Hazardous Substance	Resource Management Act definition
Healthcare Facility	Council definition
Industrial Activity	National Planning Standards definition
Infrastructure	Resource Management Act definition
Intensive Indoor Primary Production	National Planning Standards definition
Keeping of Animals	Council definition
Key Activity Centres	Council definition
Landfill	National Planning Standards definition

Ldn	National Planning Standards definition
Maintenance or Repair	Council definition
<u>Minimise</u>	NEW definition
Minor Residential Unit	National Planning Standards definition
Natural Hazard	Resource Management Act definition
Net Floor Area	National Planning Standards definition
Net Site Area	National Planning Standards definition
Network Utility Operator	National Planning Standards definition
Notional Boundary	National Planning Standards definition
Official Sign	National Planning Standards definition
Operational Need	National Planning Standards definition
Outline Development Plan	Council definition
Peak Particle Velocity	National Planning Standards definition
Primary Production	National Planning Standards definition
Principal Building	Council definition
Public Floor Area	Council definition
Quarry	National Planning Standards definition
Quarrying Activities	National Planning Standards definition
Relocated Building	Council definition
<u>Removal</u>	NEW definition
Research Activity	Council definition
Residential Activity	National Planning Standards definition
Residential Unit	National Planning Standards definition
<u>Re-Siting</u>	NEW definition
Retirement Village	National Planning Standards definition
Reverse Sensitivity	Council definition
Rural Industry	National Planning Standards definition
Sensitive Activity	Council definition
<u>Setback</u>	NEW definition
Sign	National Planning Standards definition
Site	National Planning Standards definition
Subdivision	Resource Management Act definition
Temporary Military Training Activity	National Planning Standards definition
Township Network	Council definition
Transmission Line	National Environmental Standards definition
Visitor Accommodation	National Planning Standards definition
Waste	Council definition
Wastewater	National Planning Standards definition
Wetland	National Planning Standards definition

Table 2: Defined Terms NOT included in PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions s42A Report

- 3.7 The following definitions are also subject to submissions, but will be considered in the topic specific hearing streams. Where the term is proposed by a submitter, it has been underlined, where there is no underline, the definition has been proposed by Council SDC as part of the PDP. The second column identifies in which s42A Report that the term and definition and all associated submissions will be considered. Please note not all of these reports have been prepared at the time this report is published.

Defined Term	Report to reference
Active Frontage	CMUZ s42A Report
<u>Additional Infrastructure</u>	EI s42A Report
Airfield	EI s42A Report
Alterations	HH/TREE s42A Report
Amenity Planting	GRUZ s42A Report
<u>Ancillary Rural Earthworks</u>	EIB s42A Report
<u>Ancillary Utility Equipment</u>	NFL s42A Report
Area of a Sign	SIGN s42A Report
Arterial Road	TRAN s42A Report
Artificial Crop Protection Structures	GRUZ s42A Report
Artificial Outdoor Lighting	LIGHT s42A Report
Artificial Watercourse	EI s42A Report
<u>Artificial Waterway</u>	EI s42A Report
Audible Bird Scaring Device	NOISE s42A Report
Balance Land	SUB s42A Report
Banks of a Surface Water Body	NATC s42A Report
<u>Biodiversity Compensation</u>	EIB s42A Report
Biodiversity Management Plan	EIB s42A Report
Biodiversity Offset	EIB s42A Report
Bird Strike	EI s42A Report
<u>Bird Strike Risk Activity</u>	EI s42A Report
Boundary Adjustment	SUB 42A Report
Building Node	NFL s42A Report
<u>Business Activity</u>	GRUZ s42A Report
Care Home	TRAN s42A Report
Cluster	SUB s42A Report
Coastal Environment	CE s42A Report
Coastal Hazard Mitigation Works	NH s42A Report
Coleridge Hydro Electric Power Scheme	EI s42A Report
Collector Road	TRAN s42A Report
Commercial Filming	TEMPs42A Report
<u>Community Based Youth Home</u>	RESZ s42A Report
Comprehensive Development	RESZ s42A Report
Conservation Activity	GRUZ s42A Report
<u>Conservation Values</u>	EIB s42A Report
Crop Support Structure	GRUZ s42A Report
Department Store	CMUZ s42A Report
Development Capacity	UG s42A Report
Drive Through Facilities	CMUZ s42A Report
<u>Edge Effect</u>	EIB s42A Report
Electricity Distribution	EI s42A Report
Electricity Distribution Line	EI s42A Report
Emergency Services	EI s42A Report
Emergency Services Facility	EI s42A Report
Equivalent Vehicle Movement	TRAN s42A Report
Exotic Pasture Species	EIB s42A Report
Façade	RESZ s42A Report
Farm Quarry	GRUZ s42A Report
Feasible	UG s42A Report
Firearms Range	GRUZ s42A Report
Fixed Noise Sources	NOISE s42A Report

Food and Beverage Activity	CMUZ s42A Report
Free Range Poultry Farm	GRUZ s42A Report
Freestanding Sign	SIGN s42A Report
Garage	RESZ s42A Report
Greater Christchurch	UG s42A Report
Greenfield Development	UG s42A Report
<u>Greenhouse</u>	GRUZ s42A Report
Hapua	NATC s42A Report
Hard Protection Structure	NH s42A Report
<u>Hard Stand Areas</u>	GRUZ s42A Report
Heavy Vehicle	TRAN s42A Report
Helicopter Landing Area	GRUZ s42A Report
Heritage Setting	HH/TREE s42A Report
High Hazard Area	NH s42A Report
High Technology Industrial Activity	KNOZ s42A Report
High Trip Generating Activity	TRAN s42A Report
Horticultural Planting	NATC s42A Report
Housing Bottom Lines	UG s42A Report
Important Infrastructure	EI s42A Report
Improved Pasture	EIB s42A Report
Indigenous Biodiversity	EIB s42A Report
Indigenous Fauna	EIB s42A Report
Indigenous Vegetation	EIB s42A Report
Indigenous Vegetation Clearance	EIB s42A Report
Integrated Transport Assessment	TRAN s42A Report
Intensification	UG s42A Report
Intensive Outdoor Primary Production	GRUZ s42A Report
Intensive Primary Production	GRUZ s42A Report
Land Transport Corridor	TRAN s42A Report
Land Transport Infrastructure	TRAN s42A Report
Large Format and Bulk Goods Retail	TRAN s42A Report
Lifeline Utility	EI s42A Report
Local Road	TRAN s42A Report
Major Hazard Facility	CL/HAZS s42A Report
<u>Material Infected by Unwanted Organisms</u>	EIB s42A Report
Mineral Extraction	GRUZ s42A Report
Mineral Prospecting	GRUZ s42A Report
Minor Utility Structure	EI s42A Report
Mobile Noise Sources	NOISE s42A Report
Monument	HH/TREE s42A Report
National Grid	EI s42A Report
National Grid Subdivision Corridor	EI s42A Report
National Grid Yard	EI s42A Report
<u>Native Grasslands</u>	EIB s42A Report
Net Density	UG s42A Report
Network Utility	EI s42A Report
<u>No Net Loss</u>	EIB s42A Report
<u>Non Custodial Rehabilitation Activity</u>	Rezoning Requests
Noise Sensitive Activity	NOISE s42A Report
Off-site Sign	SIGN s42A Report
On-site Public Space	CMUZ s42A Report
Outdoor Display Area	TRAN s42A Report

<u>Oversowing or Top Dressing of Native Grasslands</u>	EIB s42A Report
Parking Areas	TRAN s42A Report
Place of Assembly	TRAN s42A Report
<u>Plantation Forestry Activity</u>	GRUZ s42A Report
Pole	EI s42A Report
Port Activities	PORTZ s42A Report
Posted Speed Limit	TRAN s42A Report
Potentially Contaminated Land	CL/HAZS s42A Report
Primary Building Frontage	SIGN s42A Report
Primary Frontage	CMUZ s42A Report
Primary Industry	GRUZ s42A Report
Public Transport Facilities	UG s42A Report
<u>Qualified Arborist</u>	HH/TREE s42A Report
<u>Queuing Space</u>	TRAN s42A Report
<u>Recreational Facilities</u>	KNOZ s42A Report
<u>Regionally Significant Infrastructure</u>	EI s42A Report
<u>Regular Cycle</u>	EIB s42A Report
Relocation	HH/TREE s42A Report
Renewable Electricity Generation	EI s42A Report
Renewable Electricity Generation Activities	EI s42A Report
Renewable Electricity Generation Investigations	EI s42A Report
Residential Unit Type	RESZ s42A Report
Residual Risk	CL/HAZS s42A Report
Retail Activity	CMUZ s42A Report
<u>Ridgeline</u>	NFL s42A Report
Rural Home Business	GRUZ s42A Report
Rural Production	GRUZ s42A Report
Rural Residential Activities	UG s42A Report
Rural Sector Commercial Activity	KNOZ s42A Report
Rural Selling Place	GRUZ s42A Report
Rural Service Activity	GRUZ s42A Report
Rural Tourism	GRAZ/TEZ s42A Report
<u>Seasonal Worker Accommodation</u>	GRUZ s42A Report
Service Business	TRAN s42A Report
Shelterbelt	GRUZ s42A Report
Significant Electricity Distribution Line	EI s42A Report
Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston)	EI s42A Report
Significant Natural Area	EIB s42A Report
<u>Ski Management and Operations</u>	SKIZ s42A Report
Small and Community Scale Distributed Electricity Generation	EI s42A Report
Small and Community Scale Distributed Electricity Generation Activities	EI s42A Report
Small Site Development	RESZ s42A Report
<u>Social Infrastructure</u>	EI s42A Report
Sports and Recreation Facilities	TRAN s42A Report
State Highway	TRAN s42A Report
Strategic Transport Network	TRAN s42A Report
Structure with Special Post Disaster Function	NH s42A Report
Supermarket	CMUZ s42A Report
Supported Residential Accommodation	RESZ s42A Report

Surface Water Body	NATC s42A Report
Temporary Accommodation	TEMPs42A Report
Temporary Activity	TEMP s42A Report
Tertiary Education	KNOZ s42A Report
Tertiary Education Provider	KNOZ s42A Report
Tower	EI s42A Report
Trade Retail and Trade Supply	CMUZ s42A Report
Training of Horses	GRUZ s42A Report
Versatile Soils	UG s42A Report
Warehousing and Distribution	TRAN s42A Report
Woodlot	GRUZ s42A Report

4. Statutory requirements

Resource Management Act 1991

- 4.1 The PDP must be prepared in accordance with the Council's functions under section 31 of the RMA; Part 2 of the RMA; the requirements of sections 74 and 75, and its obligation to prepare, and have particular regard to, an evaluation report under section 32 of the RMA, any further evaluation required by section 32AA of the RMA; any national policy statement, the New Zealand coastal policy statement, national planning standards; and any regulations¹. Regard is also to be given to the CRPS, any regional plan, district plans of adjacent territorial authorities, and the IMP.
- 4.2 As set out in the [‘Overview’ Section 42A Report](#), there are a number of higher order planning documents and strategic plans that provide direction and guidance for the preparation and content of the PDP. These documents are discussed in more detail within this report where relevant to the assessment of submission points.
- 4.3 All recommended amendments to provisions since an initial s32 evaluation was undertaken must be documented in a subsequent s32AA evaluation and this has been undertaken and is located in Section 19 of this report.

National Planning Standards

- 4.4 The planning standards were introduced to improve the consistency of plans and policy statements. The planning standards were gazetted and came into effect on 5 April 2019. There are 17 standards in total, of which nine standards are relevant to this report:

Standard 1: Foundation Standard

Standard 4: District Plan Structure Standard

Standard 6: Introduction and General Provisions Standard

Standard 7: District-Wide Matters Standard

Standard 8: Zone Framework Standard

Standard 10: Format Standard

¹ Section 74 RMA

Standard 12: District Plan Spatial Layer Standard

Standard 13: Mapping Standard

Standard 14: Definitions Standard

Application of the 'Structure, Format and Content' Standards

- 4.5 Standards 1, 4, 6, 7 and 10 sets out the purpose of the Planning Standards, which is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the planning system by providing nationally consistent structure, format and content in plans under the RMA. These standards provide mandatory directions that Council must follow relating to the Structure, Format and Content of a District Plan. For example all District Plans must be divided into four sections: PART 1 – Introduction and General Provisions, PART 2 – District- Wide Matters, PART 3 – Area Specific Matters and PART 4 – Appendices and Maps. With those 4 Parts to be further divided into Chapters and Sections. Councils must choose from a select list of options and if incorporating specific chapters, they must be set out in the order set out in the Standard.

Application of the Zone Framework, Spatial Layers and Mapping Standards

- 4.6 In addition to the Structure, Format and Content being managed by the planning standards, Standards 8, 12 and 13 provide Council with a suite of Zones and other Spatial Layers to choose from. The Planning Standards have introduced a 'short code' system, providing Council with a list of acronyms for each Zone (e.g. Town Centre Zone is shortened to TCZ). The standards also provide a colour palette and some icons which must be used by Council's when preparing District Plan Maps.

Application of Definition Standard

- 4.7 Standard 14 provides a Definitions List. This list includes defined terms. The Mandatory Directions in Standard 14 (Clause 1) require that, where terms defined in the Definitions List are used in a policy statement or plan, and they are used in the same context as the definition, local authorities must use the definition in the Definitions List.

5. Procedural matters

- 5.1 At the time of writing this s42A report there have not been any pre-hearing conferences, clause 8AA meetings or expert witness conferencing in relation to submissions on this topic.

6. Consideration of submissions

Overview of submissions

- 6.1 1059 submissions points including further submission points are addressed in the s42A report on PART 1 and APP3. The issues raised by submitters are summarise below.
- 6.2 The common themes raised by the submitters are:
- The PDP as a whole;
 - structure and format of the PDP;
 - fossil fuels and climate change;

- highly productive land;
- use of notification clauses across the PDP;
- cross boundary issues;
- transparency and symbology of spatial layers;
- support for definitions as notified;
- requests for amendments or deletion of proposed definitions;
- requests for new terms to be defined; and
- support for the explicit recognition of Hapū and iwi, their values and relationship with the area.

Structure of this report

- 6.3 Given the number, nature and extent of the submissions and further submissions received, this Section 42A Report has been structured based on section as they appear in the PDP. For the Interpretation Section, the order of appearance is broadly based on the origin of the definition.
- 6.4 The submissions will be assessed in the order set out below:
- General submissions and cross plan issues
 - Introduction Section
 - Statutory Context
 - General Approach
 - Cross Boundary Issues
 - Spatial Layers and Mapping
 - Abbreviations
 - General submission on the definitions chapter
 - RMA definitions
 - National Planning Standards Definitions
 - Definitions developed by SDC
 - Submissions seeking new definitions be included in the plan
 - National Direction Instruments
 - Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua Chapter
 - APP3
- 6.6 Where an amendment is recommended the applicable s32AA assessment for that issue is located in Section 19 of this report.

7. General submissions on the DPR

Introduction

- 7.1 In addition to submissions on specific provisions in the PDP, a number of submissions were received related to the plan in general, the structure and format of the plan or relating to cross plan issues.

General submissions on the whole PDP

Submissions

7.2 Twelve submission points, including two further submissions, were received in relation to the PDP generally.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0008	Mike Davis	001	DPR-GEN	Oppose	Requests that the Council put the plan on hold until new legislation replacing the RMA has been passed by the Government.
DPR-0046	Chris & Carleen Morrish	001	DPR-GEN	Support	Retain the Proposed District Plan.
DPR-0049	Ivan & Barbara Court	001	DPR-GEN	Support In Part	Not specified.
DPR-0077	Claire Wratten	001	DPR-GEN	Support	Not specified.
DPR-0279	Rex Verity	008	DPR-GEN	Support in Part	Insert an Objective that supports and actively promotes the planting and protection of many more trees, including food-bearing (especially) and amenity trees as well as indigenous varieties. Insert supporting Policies and Rules.
DPR-0373	Foodstuffs South Island Limited & Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited	001	DPR-GEN	Oppose	Reject the PSDP in its current form
DPR-0457	Flynn Washington	004	DPR-GEN	Oppose In Part	Not specified
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	001	Intro2	Oppose In Part	Review the needs of the plan against the current knowledge that the RMA will most likely not be in place within the next 3 years. Review the purpose and make this align with what you are looking to achieve (removal of food producing land for housing) or reconsider subdivision of irrigated food production land.
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS001	Intro2	Support	Allow all points.
DPR-0482	Jayne Grace Philp	002	DPR-GEN	Oppose In Part	Amend the section to include RMA changes and how these will be incorporated.
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS002	DPR-GEN	Support	Allow all points.

Analysis

- 7.3 Chris and Carleen Morrish (DPR-0046.001) provide general support for the PDP as notified. Ivan & Barbara Court (DPR-0049.001) and Claire Wratten (DPR-0077.001) whilst supportive, both make comments related to the speed and robustness of the District Plan Review. The Hearing process follows the requirements set out in the RMA (s32, s33, s34, s24A, s39, s39B, s40, s41A-D and s42A) this includes timeframes for the review and development of provisions, the length of submission periods (which Council has opted to extend to the maximum allowed to enable more opportunities for community comment) and the appointment of the Hearings Panel. Ivan and Barbara Court (DPR-0049.001) and Claire Wratten (DPR-0077.001) have not requested any specific relief, but it is noted that their concerns appear to be more related to the Review Process than the content of the PDP as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted in part.
- 7.4 Rex Verity (DPR-0279.008) considers that land throughout Selwyn, both urban and rural would benefit from the planting of more trees, including indigenous, amenity and food-bearing and that the PDP should be amended to include provisions that support and actively promote the planting and protection of trees. There are no provisions preventing amenity or food-bearing trees from being planted. Provisions enable the planting and protection of indigenous vegetation. I consider that the provisions in the PDP as notified aligns with the relief sought by the submitter and recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 7.5 Flynn Washington (DPR-0457.004) opposes the PDP in part, their submission stating that ‘the District Plan should reflect a connection to nature that works with nature rather than exploiting it’. The activities based plan focuses on sustainable land use and development. The PDP contains specific Strategic Directions which are intended to demonstrate:
- alignment with Council’s aspirations for the development and environmental quality of the District;
 - integrated management of environmental considerations to achieve strategic outcomes;
 - achievement of particular aspects of the use, development, or protection of natural and physical resources that have been elevated to matters of national importance by the Resource Management Act and those matters of national and regional significance by National and Regional Policy Statements.
- Whilst there was no specific relief sought in the submission, I consider the provisions as notified do aim to work with nature, rather than exploiting it. I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 7.6 Mike Davis (DPR-0008.001) and Jayne Grace Philip (DPR-0482.001 and DPR-0482.002) in their collective submissions referenced the upcoming changes to RMA, with it being repealed and replaced by three new pieces of legislation. The relief sought requests that the DPR process is halted until the new legislation comes into effect or that the PDP is amended to alert users to the implications of this new legislation replacing the RMA. The Natural and Built Environments Act, Strategic Planning Act and Climate Change Adaption Act are in the infancy stages of development and there is not enough information known to enable Council to update the PDP therefore the relief sought in (DPR-0482.002) is not achievable. In response to the request for the Review

process to be placed on hold (DPR-0008.001), the Council is legislatively bound to review the District Plan every 10 years under the RMA. For these reasons, I recommend this submission point be rejected.

- 7.7 Foodstuffs South Island Limited & Foodstuffs (South Island) Properties Limited (DPR-0373.001) considers the PDP should be rejected in its current form, with their concern primarily being that they consider the provisions in all zones within and surrounding Rolleston do not provide sufficient flexibility and development capacity for commercial use beyond the confines of the Rolleston KAC and TCZ. I note that the submitter has subsequent submissions points (DPR-0373-002 - DPR-0373-008) relating to this exact issue assigned to each relevant zone hearing, therefore I consider that their concerns will have ample opportunity to be addressed during the zone hearings. I therefore recommend that this submission point be rejected.

Recommendations

- 7.8 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel make no change to the PDP as a result of the above-mentioned submission points.
- 7.9 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Plan Structure and Format

Submissions

- 7.10 Thirteen submission points, including four further submissions, were received in relation to the structure and format of the PDP.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0006	Colin Eady	001	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Request that Council put out a summary document that explains things clearly so people can understand.
DPR-0168	Paula Godfrey	017	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	004	Support In Part	Amend all rules to ensure that the rule requirements are separately numbered or are otherwise identified and clearly distinguished from the compliance requirements within rules.
DPR-0362	John Ferguson	003	Oppose	Delete Z from all acronyms.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	003	Support In Part	Amend all rules to ensure that the rule requirements are separately numbered or are otherwise identified and clearly distinguished from the compliance requirements within rules.

DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	009	Support In Part	Amend all rules to ensure that the rule requirements are separately numbered or are otherwise identified and clearly distinguished from the compliance requirements within rules.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	011	Support In Part	Amend all rules to ensure that the rule requirements are separately numbered or are otherwise identified and clearly distinguished from the compliance requirements within rules.
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	001	Oppose In Part	Amend the proposed E Plan to ensure hyperlinks are correct
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rakaia Group (UWRG)	FS143	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS168	Support	Accept the submission
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	002	Oppose In Part	Amend the District Plan to ensure cross referencing is comprehensive and there are no holes for interpretation.
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rakaia Group (UWRG)	FS144	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS169	Support	Accept the submission

Analysis

- 7.11 Colin Eady (DPR-0006.001) and Paula Godfrey (DPR-0168.017) both consider that the PDP is written in a way that is hard for people to understand. The PDP has been prepared in accordance with the format and structure requirements of the planning standards, which seek to create more uniformity in plans across New Zealand. As a statutory document, the PDP cannot avoid using terminology and phrasing that may not be as easily understood by all plan users. Understanding this, Council has provided guidance for plan users in Part 1 to explain how the plan works and separate documents have been prepared outside of the ePlan to provide guidance to users as how to navigate and interpret the Plan. I therefore recommend that these submission points be rejected.
- 7.12 John Ferguson (DPR-0362.003) considers that the 'Z' should be removed from all zone acronyms as it is unnecessary repetition. The zone acronyms are mandatory from planning standards and are therefore unable to be amended. Given this, I recommend that this submission point be rejected.

- 7.13 RWRL (DPR-0358.004), IRHL (DPR-0363.003), RIHL (DPR-0374.009) and RIDL (DPR-0384.011) consider that there is potential for confusion or uncertainty when distinguishing the compliance standards and rule requirements within rules and seeks that the rule requirements be separately numbered or otherwise identified to clearly distinguish these from the compliance requirements within rules. Given that all rule requirements have their own unique ID e.g. TCZ-REQ1, I consider the rule requirements are clearly distinguishable from the compliance requirements within the rules and I recommend that these submissions be rejected.
- 7.14 Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation (DPR-0427.001 and DPR-0427.002) raise concerns in their submission over cross referencing and the misuse of underlines beneath defined terms. On review of the examples provided by the submitter I accept that errors have been made in the drafting of the PDP, and these will be addressed through a cl16(2) amendment. Therefore, I recommend that these submissions points be accepted.

Recommendation

- 7.15 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel make no change to the PDP as a result of the above-mentioned submission points, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 7.16 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Fossil Fuels and Climate Change

Submissions

- 7.17 Eleven submission points, including one further submission, were received in relation to Fossil Fuels, Mining, Climate Change and the Environment.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0066	N Konijn	001	DPR-GEN	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.
DPR-0159	Lincoln Envirotown Trust	003	GRUZ-R21	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Requests that no new consents to extract additional fossil fuels be granted and that the issue of climate change determine some of the district council's policies.
DPR-0167	Bevin Fitzsimons	001	GRUZ-R21	Oppose	Requests that coal mining be prevented in Selwyn District starting now or very soon.
DPR-0258	Coal Action Network Aotearoa	001	DPR-GEN	Oppose In Part	Requests that the mining and extraction of fossil fuels, including but not limited to coal, be made a prohibited activity in Selwyn District.
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS023	DPR-GEN	Oppose	Disallow the submission.

DPR-0280	Peter William Ireland	001	DPR-GEN	Oppose	Requests that the Plan be amended to contain provisions to immediately phase out the use of coal within the District. The plan must explicitly prevent any expansion of coal use.
DPR-0283	David Evans	002	GRUZ-R21	Oppose	Request that: - Council reject any consent applications that will lead to further coal mining and that all possible options open for the prevention of coal mining in the district should be taken. - retain the provision and uphold vigorously.
DPR-0357	Siana Fitzjohn	001	DPR-GEN	Oppose	Considers that there is a need to plan for better community resilience, adaptation and mitigation. Refer to original submission for full decision requested.
DPR-0457	Flynn Washington	001	DPR-GEN	Oppose In Part	Not specified
DPR-0469	David Zwartz	001	GRUZ-R21	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Request Council prohibit further coal mining.
DPR-0470	James Barber, Frances Mountier, Alfie Mountier & Florrie Mountier	002	DPR-GEN	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the proposed plan to recognise the ecological crisis and disallow consents for any new farms/agricultural industries that do not operate within a reg.

Analysis

7.18 N Konijn (DPR-0066.001), Lincoln Envirotown Trust (DPR-0159.003), Bevan Fitzsimons (DPR-0167.001), Coal Action Network Aotearoa (DPR-0258.001), Peter William Ireland (DPR-0280.001), David Evans (DPR-0283.002), Siana Fitzjohn (DPR-0357.001), Flynn Washington (DPR-0457.001), David Zwartz (DPR-0469.001) and James Barber, Frances Mountier, Alfie Mountier & Florrie Mountier (DPR-0470.002) all lodged submissions relating to the common themes of Mining, Fossil Fuels and Climate Change.

7.19 The submitters collectively raise concerns about the continued mining of coal in Selwyn and that the PDP should be in line with the declaration of a climate emergency.

7.20 In short, the relief sought by the submitters is:

- Making mining and extraction of fossil fuels a prohibited activity;
- Ensuring that no new consents to mine or extract additional fossil fuels be granted; and
- Amending the PDP to recognise the ecological crisis and climate change.

7.21 I agree with Mr Love's assessment of similar issues raised by submission points in the SD s42A Report. I note here for ease of reference - "It is not the place of the PDP to address climate change,

only the effects of climate change. This is to say that it is not the PDP's role to reduce anthropogenic climate change, which is dealt with by the climate Change Response Act 2002"². Therefore, I recommend that these submission points be rejected.

Recommendation

- 7.22 That there is no change to the PDP as a result of the above-mentioned submission points.
- 7.23 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Highly Productive Land

Submissions

- 7.24 Six submission points, including four further submissions, were received in relation to the issue of Highly Productive Land.
- 7.25 Those submissions were as follows:

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0279	Rex Verity	003	Oppose In Part	Insert an Objective and supporting Policies and Rules concerning soil resources.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	301	Support	Insert a district wide chapter – Highly Productive Land.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS901	Oppose	Reject submission
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS410	Oppose	Reject Submission
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	FS028	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS907	Oppose	Reject submission

Analysis

- 7.26 Rex Verity (DPR-0279.003) seeks an amendment to include an objective, policies, and rules concerning soil resources. Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.301) also seek provisions relating to highly productive land being included in the PDP but that these should be located in their own chapter.
- 7.27 The Ministry for the Environment is currently in the process of developing a National Policy Statement for highly productive land (NPS-HPL). The PDP complies with the current higher level planning requirements related to highly productive land (currently described as 'versatile soils' in the RPS) through the GRUZ and UG chapters. Until the NPS-HPL is released, I do not consider it appropriate to make changes to the PDP that seek to pre-empt this NPS. I therefore recommend that these submission points be rejected.

² Strategic Directions s42A Report pg.24, paragraph 9.4.2.

Recommendation

- 7.28 That there is no change to the PDP as a result of the above-mentioned submission points.
- 7.29 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Non-notification clauses

Submissions

- 7.30 Seven submission points, including three further submissions, were received in relation to the use of non-notification clauses across the Plan.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	003	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS036	Support In Part	Accept in part
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS093	Support	Not specified
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	FS021	Support In Part	Accept in part
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	002	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	008	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	010	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>

Analysis

- 7.31 RWRL (DPR-0358.003), IRHL (DPR-0363.002), RIHL (DPR-0374.008) and RIDL (DPR-0384.010) consider that inadequate use is made of non-notification clauses and that all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules should be provided with such a direction and clear wording as to the effect of the non-notification clause for applications. It became apparent after considering the submission points, that these are unable to be dealt with in bulk through the PART 1 hearing and instead should be considered at the chapter level, therefore, the decision was made to re-notify these submission points across the relevant chapters. RWRL DPR-0358.003 is now superseded by DPR-0358.339 - DPR-0358.432, for IRHL DPR-0363.002 is now superseded by DPR-0363.424 - DPR-0363.457, RIHL DPR-0374.008 is now superseded by DPR-0374.470 - DPR-0374.503, and RIDL DPR-0384.010 is now superseded by DPR-0384.503 - DPR-0384.536. On the basis that the merit of these submission points is to be considered in subsequent s42A reports, I recommend that those submission points assigned to this hearing stream be rejected.

Recommendation

- 7.32 That there is no change to the PDP as a result of the above-mentioned submission points.
- 7.33 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

8. INTRO - Introduction

- 8.1 The Introduction chapter of the PDP is broken into three sections, a Mihi (INTRO1), a purpose statement (INTRO2) and a description of the district (INTRO3). The planning standards require that these headings are used in this order in the Introduction chapter, but there are no stated requirements relating to the content of these sections.

Submissions

- 8.2 Seven submission points, including one further submission, were received in relation to the INTRO – Introduction section.

Submitter ID	Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Summary of decision sought
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	001	INTRO3	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	001	INTRO2	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	001	INTRO2	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	002	INTRO3	Support in Part	Amend to acknowledge that farming is referred to thorough the plan as primary production

DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	001	INTRO2	Oppose	<p>Seeks withdrawal of the proposed district plan to enable the Council to rewrite it to more accurately reflect the purpose of the RMA.</p> <p>Alternatively, amend first sentence of the first paragraph as follows: This District Plan is a community document which reflect the views and values of our community as identified through Selwyn 2031 – District Development Strategy <u>the plan-making process under the RMA including through the public's input"</u> .</p> <p>Amend the second sentence of the first paragraph as follows: "The Plan provides a framework to guide sustainable development <u>management</u> in our District</p> <p>Replacing the third sentence of the first paragraph with: <u>'This is a document which focuses on managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources while sustaining the potential of these resources to meet the needs of future generations, and the life- supporting capacity of the environment, by avoiding, remedying, and mitigating adverse effects on the environment.'</u></p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the third paragraph as follows: Council regularly monitors development trends in the District to ensure that directions set in the Plan are appropriate to achieve sustainable land-use, and development <u>and protection</u> in the Selwyn context.</p>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand – North Canterbury	001	INTRO3	Support in Part	Amend to acknowledge that farming is referred to through the plan as primary production
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS067	INTRO3	Oppose In Part	<i>Decline the relief sought unless changes are made to address Forest & Bird's concerns</i>

Analysis

- 8.3 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.001) seeks that the entire PDP is withdrawn and rewritten by Council to enable it to more accurately reflect the purpose of the RMA. The District Plan Review process began in 2015, since that time multiple technical reports, issues and options papers have been prepared, iterations of the draft provisions have been subject to review, not only by experts, iwi, government agencies and stakeholders and the public have had opportunity to be involved via the public consultation process. The section 32a reports that sit alongside the proposed provisions, detail how the provisions achieve the purpose of the RMA. I therefore recommend that this submission point be rejected.
- 8.4 In the alternative, Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.001), seeks amendments to INTRO2 to remove reference to Selwyn 2031, the District's Development Strategy. The relief sought by the submitter is to instead reference that this District Plan is a community document which reflect the views and values of our community as identified through "the plan-making process under the RMA including through the public's input". Selwyn 2031, the current District Development Strategy, has been integral in informing the Strategic Directions, and guiding other strategic planning processes across the District. Selwyn 2031, was developed using a robust process, involving both public, expert and stakeholder input under the Local Government Act (LGA). Amending the text of INTRO2 to explicitly reference RMA plan-making processes would subsequently exclude any reference to other methods, for example LGA processes. If the relief sought was to be accepted this would not be an accurate description as to how the views and values were originally sourced to inform the plan.
- 8.5 In addition to their relief requested above, the submitter also seeks to further amend INTRO2. The second sentence reads *"The Plan provides a framework to guide sustainable development in our District"*. Development is usually considered to be a process that creates growth or progress, whereas 'management' could be considered to be the efficient and effective use of resources. I consider, based on the common meanings of the words above, in this instance the replacement of the word 'development' with the word 'management' is the more suitable term as 'sustainable management' is a term used in Part 2 of the RMA.
- 8.6 The third sentence states the PDP: *"is a forward-looking document, managing use and development of natural and physical resources today while protecting the interests and opportunities of future generations to also utilise those resources in a sustainable way"*. I consider the intent of the relief sought by the submitter is achieved through the wording of the third sentence of the first paragraph as notified.
- 8.7 In regards to the final aspect of relief sought by the submitter in relation to INTRO2, I consider that the amendment sought to the first sentence of the third paragraph, seeking to incorporate the terms mentioned in s5 of the Act being: 'use', 'development', and 'protection'. I agree protection is a core element of sustainable management and that amendments to INTRO2 be undertaken to ensure better alignment with the purpose of the RMA. Overall, I recommend that this submission point be accepted in part.
- 8.8 Canterbury District Health Board (DPR-0343.001) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.001) support INTRO2. On the basis that I am recommending an amendment to INTRO2, I recommend these submission points be accepted in part.

- 8.9 New Zealand Pork Industry Board (DPR-0142.001) support INTRO3 as notified. Federated Farmers of New Zealand – North Canterbury (DPR-0422.001) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.002) are generally supportive of INTRO3 but seek an amendment to acknowledge that farming is referred to as ‘primary production’ throughout the PDP. Given this section is intended to give a broad description of the District, I consider the generic description of ‘farming’ is appropriate as the definition of ‘primary production’ references a wider range of activities, including mining, quarrying, forestry activities and the initial processing of commodities and associated buildings. Whilst those activities do occur within the District, so do others such as commercial and industrial activities. The term ‘farming’ indicates that Selwyn is a largely rural District, with this being the dominant land use, without elevating any particular industry above any other. I recommend these submission points be rejected.

Recommendations

- 8.10 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:
- a) Amend INTRO2 as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide better alignment with the purpose of RMA.
- 8.11 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

9. HPW- How the Plan Works

Introduction

- 9.1 How the Plan Works is a section which enables plan users to better understand the structure and context of the plan. The Chapter contains three sections: Statutory Context, General Approach and Relationship of Spatial Layers. These headings have been provided by the planning standards.
- 9.2 Sixty two submission points were received in relation to the How the Plan Works section. These have been analysed below in the groupings set out above.

Statutory Context

Submissions

- 9.3 There were ten submission points relating to HPW1-HPW3.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	003	HPW1	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	004	HPW2	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	005	HPW3	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	002	HPW1	Support In Part	Amend 'Obligations to comply with the Act': No person may use land in a manner that contravenes a rule in the District Plan, unless they have existing use rights or a resource consent granted by the Council

					(Sections 9, 10, and 10A <u>and 10B</u>). In the context of the RMA such use includes the use of the surface of lakes and rivers (Section 10A <u>and 10B</u>).
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	003	HPW1	Support In Part	Amend 'Enforcement': The Council will use its powers under Part 12 of the Act Under the Act, the Council has recourse to several enforcement tools, including enforcement orders, abatement notices, prosecutions for offences, and the power to enter and inspect land in respect of ascertaining compliance, seizing and obtaining evidence <u>with a warrant</u> , and carrying out emergency works.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	004	HPW3	Support In Part	Amend HPW3 Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 to include other affected parties and public interest.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	005	HPW3	Support In Part	Add areas of the District that are covered by the LURP
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	002	HPW1	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	003	HPW2	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	004	HPW3	Support	Retain as notified.

Analysis

- 9.4 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.002) sought amendments to HPW1, specifically the 'Obligations to comply with the Act' section. The reference to Section 10B has been omitted in error and will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend this submission point be accepted.
- 9.5 In relation to the 'Enforcement' section of HPW1, Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.003) also seeks amendment to include reference to the fact that a warrant is required before Council officers are able to 'enter and inspect land' and 'seize or obtain evidence'. It is my opinion that this paragraph already references that Council has recourse under the RMA, thus indicating that the process to do so would be provided as per the authorisation and responsibilities of enforcement officers under the Act, which details the warranting requirement of enforcement officers. I therefore recommend this submission point be rejected.

- 9.6 Federated Farmers of New Zealand – North Canterbury (DPR-0422.002) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.003) supported HPW1 as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted in part as the proposed clause 16(2) amendment will vary the result in a variation in the provision as notified.
- 9.7 Federated Farmers of New Zealand– North Canterbury (DPR-0422.003) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.004) supported HPW2 as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted.
- 9.8 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.005) sought amendments to HPW3, specifically the section describing the Land Use Recovery Plan (LURP). The proposed amendments seek to include reference as to what area of the District was subject to the LURP. I note that a hyperlink is missing, which would link the LURP to a website where an interested party could view the document in full, including maps that show the extent. The hyperlink error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. As such, I do not consider it is necessary to amend the description to indicate which areas of the District are subject to the LURP. I therefore recommend that this submission point be rejected.
- 9.9 Federated Farmers of New Zealand – North Canterbury (DPR-0422.004) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.005) supported HPW3 as notified. I recommend this submission point be accepted.
- 9.10 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.004) considers that the explanation for the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 in the HPW3 is misleading in that a local authority may give public notification of a resource consent application that adversely affects protected customary rights groups or customary marine title groups where there are other adverse effects not related to protected customary rights or customary marine title, and alternatively to others if limited notification. The explanation in the proposed district plan implies that only those groups will receive limited notification if a resource consent application would adversely affect those groups' interests, however, there may be other affected parties. I agree that the wording as notified could lead to confusion and recommend that this submission point be accepted in part, with HPW3 be amended to clarify that the notification is not limited only to protected customary rights groups or customary marine title groups.

Recommendations and amendments

- 9.11 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:
- a) retain HPW1 and HPW2 as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above; and
 - b) amend HPW3 as shown in **Appendix 2** to to clarify that the notification is not limited only to protected customary rights groups or customary marine title groups..
- 9.12 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

General Approach (excluding cross boundary matters)

Submissions

9.13 There were 22 submissions points, including one further submission, on HPW4-HPW11.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	006	HPW4	Support In Part	Amend to remove hyperlink to permitted activity status in paragraph 4.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	007	HPW5	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	008	HPW6	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	009	HPW7	Oppose In Part	Amend to make it clear to plan readers that there is no unnecessary duplication for landowners to meet consent requirements at both regional and district levels, if their requirements are effectively the same.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	010	HPW8	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	011	HPW9	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	012	HPW10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: - more info about how to consult with mana whenua would be useful for both the runanga and the applicants - more information in the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section about expected protocols and the appropriate contact channels applicants should use; and - a hyperlink in HPW 10 to the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section of the Plan.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	013	HPW11	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	006	HPW4	Oppose	Reject the Proposed Plan and rewrite as an effects based plan
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	007	HPW6	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: No person is allowed to undertake any activity in a manner that contravenes a rule in the District Plan or a national environmental standard unless the activity is expressly allowed by a resource consent or is an existing use allowed by section 10, or 10A or 10B of the Act.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	008	HPW6	Oppose In Part	Amend second paragraph of HPW6 as follows: The District Plan classifies activities into six categories, known as activity statuses , which determine whether a resource consent is required or not. If resource consent is required, the <u>class of</u>

					<p>activity status sets out the matters that can be considered when processing and determining the resource consent application.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Amend the Table in HPW6 to replace "activity status" to "classes of activity"</p> <p>Amend all references throughout the Plan from "activity status" to "classes of activity"</p>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	011	HPW7	Support In Part	<p>Amend paragraph 1 of HPW7 as follows</p> <p>The District Plan provides for two types of resource consent: land use (<u>including the surface of lakes and rivers</u>) and subdivision. And Include examples of how the most restrictive activity applies.</p>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	012	HPW10	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend sentence 3 of HPW10 as follows:</p> <p>In some cases such as where cultural issues are involved, consultation with tangata whenua may be the only way in practice for the applicant to properly assess the potential adverse effects of a proposal and an applicant risks prejudicing the outcome of their application if they do not undertake consultation and to address these effects sufficiently in their application.</p>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	013	HPW11	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend first sentence of HPW11 as follows:</p> <p>"The Council must decide whether to notify an application for resource consent for an activity <u>using the assessment criteria set out in the notification provisions of the RMA</u>"</p> <p>and</p> <p>Include more of the criteria for assessing whether an application will be publicly notified.</p> <p>Include information regarding limited notification.</p> <p>Include an explanation of the difference in time scales and costs when an application is non-notified.</p>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	005	HPW4	Support In Part	<p>Amend to remove hyperlink to permitted activity status in paragraph 4.</p>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	006	HPW5	Support	<p>Retain as notified</p>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	007	HPW7	Support In Part	<p>Retain, but ensure there is no overlap and unnecessary duplication for landowners to meet consent requirements at both regional and</p>

					district levels where the requirements are effectively the same.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS068	HPW7	Oppose In Part	Decline the relief sought unless changes are made to address Forest & Bird's concerns
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	008	HPW8	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	009	HPW9	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	010	HPW10	Support In Part	Amend to add; more information about how to consult with mana whenua; add more information in the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section about expected protocols and the appropriate contact channels applicants should use; and add a hyperlink in HPW 10 to the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section of the Plan.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	011	HPW11	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 9.14 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.005) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.006) support HPW4 in part, requesting only a minor amendment. Both submitters noted that the word 'per' in paragraph 4 has been incorrectly hyperlinked to the definition of a 'permitted activity status'. I recommend these submission points are accepted and note that this error will be corrected through a clause 16(2) amendment.
- 9.15 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.006) opposes HPW4 and requests that the PDP is rewritten as an effects based plan. I recommend this submission point be rejected as the Planning Standards mandate the structure of the PDP and the conscious decision was made early in the DPR process to move away from an effects based plan towards an activities based plan to increase plan usability and increase certainty around the status of specific activities.
- 9.16 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.006, DPR-0422.008, DPR-0422.009) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.007, DPR-0353.010, DPR-0353.011) support HPW5, HPW8 and HPW9. I recommend these submission points be accepted.
- 9.17 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.008) support HPW6. I recommend this submission points be accepted.
- 9.18 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.007) opposes in part HPW6 as the submitter considers that s10B should be recognised in the paragraph. I concur that HPW6 should include a reference to s10B and this

reference has been omitted in error. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

- 9.19 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.008) seeks an amendment to HPW6 as the submitter considers that reference to 'activity statuses' is not in accordance with s77A and 87A of the RMA. Whilst the RMA does use the phrasing 'activity class', I note that the planning standards use the terminology 'activity status', stating this as a mandatory direction in the Format Standard. The activity status terminology has been used in the PDP to align the planning standards. I therefore recommend the submission point be rejected.
- 9.20 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.006) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.007) seek to amend HPW7 to make it clearer to plan readers that there is no unnecessary duplication for landowners to meet consent requirements at both regional and district levels, if their requirements are effectively the same. I consider the PDP provides enough clarity in this regard. Regional plans and district plans manage different aspects of the environment and plan users are alerted to this fact in the 'note' at the bottom on the diagram in HPW6. I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 9.21 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.011) considers that clarification of the first sentence of HPW7 is required to include the surface of lakes and rivers. I consider that the submitters' requests would assist plan users and that the desired amendments should be made. In the same submission point it is requested that the second sentence is clarified through the inclusion of examples of how the most restrictive class of activity applies. I consider that HPW6 provides plan users with a guide to activity status' and as such it is not necessary to include this reference in HPW7. Overall, I recommend that this submission point be accepted in part.
- 9.22 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.010) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.012) seek to amend HPW10 to include additional information about how to consult with mana whenua and in addition to this amendment, the submitters also seek the PDP include additional information in the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section about expected protocols and the appropriate contact channels applicants should use, which they then seek is linked back to HPW10 by hyperlink. Whilst I agree that this information would be useful for plan users, I note that the intention of the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section is to outline the partnership between Iwi and Council and I do not consider HPW10 is the most appropriate place for this information to be located. As these processes and respective contact details are continually evolving and I believe such documentation should live outside of the PDP. I therefore recommend that these submissions points be rejected.
- 9.23 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.012) opposes HPW10 in part and seeks to amend sentence three. The submitter refers to s36A of the RMA, which states that applicants do not need to consult anyone prior to lodging their application and considers that the statement that 'an applicant risks prejudicing the outcome of their application if they do not undertake consultation' could imply a threat and that an alternative way of expressing this should be found. In their relief sought the submitter suggests the reference is replaced with 'and to address these effects sufficiently in their application'. I concur with the submitter that the wording should be amended. Therefore, I recommend that the submission point be accepted in part.

- 9.24 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.011) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.013) support HPW11. I recommend these submission points be accepted in part due to the proposed change to HPW11
- 9.25 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.013) seeks to amend the first sentence of HPW11 to provide more detail around the notification process. The submitter also considers the information provided on the criteria for assessing public or limited notification is not sufficient and that having so few of the criteria listed misrepresents the decision-making process and possibly the applicants' expectations relating to time and cost. I consider the submitter's request to add reference to the notification requirements in the RMA is appropriate as this clarifies for plan users what the process is and whilst I agree that including more information regarding both public and limited notification and an explanation of the difference in time scales and costs when an application is non-notified, I do not consider this section of the plan is an appropriate location, as this information could be subject to change and could be pitched at a level to suit a wider audience if located outside of the PDP. I believe such documentation should live outside of the PDP, so if processes change a plan change is not necessary to make any required updates. I recommend this submission point is accepted in part.

Recommendations

- 9.26 I recommend for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:
- Amend HPW7 as shown in **Appendix 2** to reference the surfaces of rivers and lakes are subject to provisions in the PDP.
 - Amend HPW10 as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity for users regarding the consultation process.
 - Amend HPW11 as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity for users regarding the notification process.
- 9.27 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Cross Boundary Matters

Submissions

- 9.28 Fourteen submission points, including three further submissions, were received in relation to HPW12-HPW18.:

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	014	HPW13	Oppose In Part	Amend to address additional resource management issue of managing soils.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	015	HPW14	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Encourage a consistent approach between adjoining district plans, <u>but taking into account the inability to appeal the operative Christchurch City</u>

					<u>Plan.</u>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	016	HPW16	Oppose In Part	Insert as follows: <u>That there is no unnecessary duplication for landowners to meet consent requirements at both regional and district levels, e.g., where a regional consent requires a Farm Environment Plan to address natural values.</u>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	017	HPW18	Support In Part	Amend to clearly state a commitment by Council to communicating monitoring findings to the Community in a form that will be easily understood.
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	008	HPW14	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The safe and efficient functioning of the District's telecommunication, and electricity transmission, <u>and electricity distribution</u> networks, including protecting important infrastructure from reverse sensitivity effects.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS577	HPW14	Oppose	<i>Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.</i>
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	001	HPW14	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS038	HPW14	Oppose In Part	<i>Reject in part</i>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	012	HPW14	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Encourage a consistent approach between adjoining district plans but take into account the fact that there were no appeal rights on the operative Christchurch City Plan except on points of law.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS069	HPW14	Support In Part	<i>Accept the submission with modification as follows: "Encourage a consistent approach between adjoining district plans while recognising that a different approach may be appropriate to achieve the objectives and policy direction in this plan."</i>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	013	HPW18	Support In Part	Amend HPW18 to clearly state a commitment by Council to report its monitoring findings to the community in a form that will be easily understood.
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	001	HPW14	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	001	HPW14	Support	Retain as notified
----------	--	-----	-------	---------	--------------------

Analysis

- 9.29 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.014) oppose in part HPW13 and seek an amendment to address an additional resource management issue of managing soils and enabling their productive use, with appropriate methods identified in the process column. I consider this is an appropriate request, as this issue is a cross boundary matter. I recommend this submission point be accepted.
- 9.30 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.015), and Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.012) seek amendments be made to HPW14 with regard to the process for addressing the issue of 'Cross boundary implications resulting from major land transport links traversing territorial authority boundaries'. These parties seek to amend the first bullet point to take into account the fact that there were no appeal rights on the operative Christchurch City Plan except on points of law. I do not consider it necessary to include that specific detail in the PDP as it does not increase the efficiency or effectiveness of the plan for users. I recommend these submission points be rejected.
- 9.31 Orion New Zealand Limited (DPR-0367.008) oppose in part HPW14 and seek that the listed issues include the 'electricity distribution' network. Orion's electricity distribution network is defined as 'important infrastructure' within the PDP and Orion is defined as a Lifeline Utility in Schedule 1, Part B of the Civil Defence Emergency Management Act 2002. This means Orion has specific duties under the Act, including to ensure it is able to function to the fullest possible extent. Therefore, the electricity distribution network ought to be specifically included as part of the relevant issue. I recommend that this submission point be accepted.
- 9.32 Christchurch International Airport Limited (DPR-0371.001), Transpower New Zealand Limited (DPR-0446.001) and Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited (DPR-0453.001) seek HPW14 be retained as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted in part on the basis that I have recommended that HPW14 be amended.
- 9.33 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.016) opposes HPW16. The submitter considers that where Farm Environment Plans required under the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, meet rule requirements under the PDP, there should be a clear exemption from meeting the PDP rule requirement. Rule requirements in the PDP are intended to address a different environmental effect than what is otherwise covered by a Farm Environment Plan, which is prepared under the LWRP. The chapters where there is the greatest potential for overlap are NATC, EIB & SASM, however care has been taken to avoid duplication with the LWRP in drafting these provisions. I therefore recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 9.34 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.013) and Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.017) support HPW18 in general, however, the submitters seek a statement be included in HPW18 that demonstrates a commitment from Council to publishing and communicating key findings of the Monitoring Strategy. I recommend this submission point is accepted as this would

also support Council's obligations under s35 of the RMA to monitor the efficiency and effectiveness of its plan and make these results available to the public.

Recommendations and amendments

9.35 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- a) Amend HPW13 as shown in **Appendix 2** to recognise the resource management issue of managing soils and enabling their productive use.
- b) Amend HPW14 as shown in **Appendix 2** to recognise the electricity distribution network as a cross boundary infrastructure issue.
- c) Amend HPW18 as shown in **Appendix 2** to demonstrate a commitment from Council to publishing and communicating key findings of the Monitoring Strategy.

9.36 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Relationship between Spatial Layers

Submissions

9.37 Sixty six submission points, including 9 further submissions, were received in relation to subsections HPW19-HPW29.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	054	Coastal Erosion Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0181	Coastal Inundation Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0182	Tsunami Policy Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0183	Plains Flood Management Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0184	Waimakariri Flood Management Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.

DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0185	Greendale Fault Avoidance Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0186	Fault Investigation Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0187	Fault Awareness Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	0188	Liquefaction Damage Unlikely Overlay	Support in Part	That the symbology for the different hazard overlays is more clearly differentiated.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	018	HPW19	Oppose In Part	Amend so that the description of 'zone' is consistent with the New Zealand Planning Standards.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	019	HPW19	Oppose In Part	Amend so that the description of 'overlay' is consistent with the New Zealand Planning Standards.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	020	HPW19	Oppose In Part	Amend so that the description of 'specific control' is consistent with the New Zealand Planning Standards.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	021	HPW19	Oppose In Part	Amend so that the description of 'development areas' is consistent with the New Zealand Planning Standards.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	022	HPW20	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	023	HPW21	Support In Part	Amend Rural Zone Description to include Rural Lifestyle if determined to be the most appropriate option.
<i>DPR-0156</i>	<i>Peter Stafford</i>	<i>FS001</i>	<i>HPW21</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission</i>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	024	HPW22	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	025	HPW23	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	026	HPW24	Oppose In Part	That Council consider potential Plan implementation issues that may arise due to variation in wording of zone descriptions.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	027	HPW27	Oppose In Part	Should the land be rezoned Rural lifestyle zone, amend to remove SCA-RD8 - SCA-RD17.
<i>DPR-0156</i>	<i>Peter Stafford</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>HPW27</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission</i>
<i>DPR-0481</i>	<i>Graeme and Virginia Adams</i>	<i>FS013</i>	<i>HPW27</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Allow in part.</i>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	028	HPW25	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	029	HPW26	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	030	HPW27	Oppose In Part	Clarify or replace the term 'vibe' with more suitable and understood

					resource management terms such as 'amenity and character'.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	031	HPW27	Oppose In Part	If SCA-RD8 - SCA-RD17 are to be retained, amend to include SCA-RD18 Yorktown.
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	002	HPW25	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Noise Control Overlay A spatial boundary line within which the subdivision of land and/or the location of activities sensitive to noise near identified important infrastructure is managed so that the continued operation of identified important infrastructure is not compromised and reverse sensitivity issues are addressed. <u>This excludes the 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour and 55 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour, which are shown as separate overlays.</u> 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour: The 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour defines an area around Christchurch International Airport which represents the outer control boundary in which the future daily aircraft noise exposure from aircraft operations is sufficiently high as to require avoidance of noise sensitive activities to avoid adverse noise effects and reverse sensitivity issues. 55 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour: The 55 dB Ldn Air Noise Contour defines an area around Christchurch International Airport in which the future daily aircraft noise exposure from aircraft operations is sufficiently high as to require avoidance of noise sensitive activities to avoid adverse noise effects and reverse sensitivity issues, and noise mitigation for any new building or extension to an existing building.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS158	HPW25	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	009	Coastal Erosion Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	014	HPW19	Support In Part	Amend Heritage Order as follows: Spatially identifies heritage orders enabled under section 189 <u>and 189A</u> of the RMA
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	052	Coastal Inundation Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	053	Tsunami Policy Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible

DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	054	Plains Flood Management Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	055	Waimakari ri Flood Management Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	056	Fault Investigation Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	057	Greendale Fault Avoidance Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	058	Liquefaction Damage Unlikely Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	059	Heritage Item Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	060	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	061	Notable Trees Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	062	SASM Wāhi Taonga Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	063	SASM Wāhi Tapu Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	064	SASM Ngā Wai Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	065	SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0422	<i>Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury</i>	<i>FS124</i>	<i>SASM Ngā Tūranga Tūpuna Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	066	SASM Statutory Acknowledgement Areas Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0422	<i>Federated Farmers of New</i>	<i>FS126</i>	<i>SASM Statutory</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>

	<i>Zealand - North Canterbury</i>		<i>Acknowledgement Areas Overlay</i>		
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	067	SASM Nohoanga Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0422	<i>Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury</i>	<i>FS125</i>	<i>SASM Nohoanga Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	068	EIB Mudfish Habitat Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	069	EIB Crested Grebe Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	070	EIB Management Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	071	EIB Significant Natural Areas Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	072	Outstanding Natural Landscapes	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	073	Visual Amenity Landscape	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	074	Coastal Environment Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0422	<i>Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury</i>	<i>FS131</i>	<i>Coastal Environment Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Not specified</i>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	075	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	076	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend overlays to be more transparent and ensure all layers that apply to a property are visible
DPR-0422	<i>Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury</i>	<i>FS132</i>	<i>Noise Control Overlay</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow the submission point.</i>
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	002	HPW24	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	003	HPW25	Support In Part	Amend 45dBA and 55dBA LAeq Noise Control Overlay on the planning maps to improve clarity to differentiate between the 45dBA and 55dBA contours.
DPR-0456	Four Star Developments & Gould Developments Ltd	018	DPR-GEN	Oppose	Review the colour palette used in the planning maps to confirm that the dominant yellow/green colour palette is the most visually effective.
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	004	Noise Control Overlay	Support In Part	Use alternative hatching and/or labelling to improve clarity and legibility of the 45dBA and 55dBA LAeq Noise Control Overlay

Analysis

- 9.38 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-356.0218 - DPR-356.021) are concerned that the Zone, Overlay, Specific Control and Development Area descriptions in HPW19 are not consistent with the functions for these spatial layers in the planning standards. It is not a mandatory direction for Council to copy the descriptions of the spatial layers as provided in the planning standards verbatim, and I do not consider the slight variation in wording makes these descriptions inconsistent. For example, one of the variations results from Council's choice to provide a specific example as to the type of layer that is used to identify distinctive values or environmental risks, e.g. a hazard overlay, this is intended to assist plan users to easily determine the differences between spatial layers. I therefore recommend that these submissions points be rejected.
- 9.39 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-356.026) is concerned that the zone descriptions as notified may lead to implementation issues. Council are unable to amend the zone descriptions as they are prescribed in the planning standards. In their submission ((DPR-356.023), the submitter also requests an amendment to the GRUZ description to include Rural Lifestyle. If determined to be the most appropriate option, this requires consideration of the inclusion of a Rural Lifestyle Zone through the 'Rezoning Requests' hearing stream, but presently it is not considered to be the most appropriate option. Therefore, I recommend that these submission points be rejected.
- 9.40 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.014) seeks to amend HPW19 to reference the relevant sections of the RMA in relation to Heritage Orders. I consider the reference to s189A was omitted in error and should be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend this submission point is accepted.
- 9.41 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.022, DPR-0353.024, DPR-0353.025, DPR-0353.028 and DPR-0353.029) support HPW20, HPW22, HPW23, HPW25 and HPW26 as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted.
- 9.42 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.022) supports HPW24 as notified. I recommend this submission point be accepted.
- 9.43 CIAL (DPR-0371.002) seek an amendment to the description of the Noise Control Overlay in HPW25 to include specific reference to Christchurch International Airport. The intention of the description is to give plan users a generic description of the types of spatial layers incorporated into the PDP and

why they may be used. There are a number of Noise Control Overlays and this generic description does not emphasise any one above the rest. This approach ensures that if future Noise Control Overlays area added this part of the plan does not require an update to reflect their existence, only the planning maps would need to be amended at the time. Therefore, I recommend that this submission point be rejected.

- 9.44 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.030) also seek to replace the term 'vibe' in the descriptions of SCA-AD1 and SCA-AD2 in HPW27 with more suitable and better understood resource management term such as 'amenity' or 'character'. The RMA does not define the term 'character' but does provide a definition for 'amenity values'. The intention with the use of the term 'vibe' was to describe both the 'look' and 'feel' or 'atmosphere' of being in Arthur's Pass. The definition of 'amenity values' in the RMA means: "those natural or physical qualities and characteristics of an area that contribute to people's appreciation of its pleasantness, aesthetic coherence, and cultural and recreational attributes". I consider that based on the definition provided in the RMA that replacing the term 'vibe' with 'amenity values' would be a suitable replacement term and recommend the submission point be accepted in part.
- 9.45 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.027 and DPR-0353.031) are seeking for the land subject to SCA-RD8-RD17 to be rezoned from GRUZ to General Lifestyle Zone through the future rezoning hearings and seek that HPW27 is amended to remove references to SCA-RD8 - SCA-RD17, should the land be rezoned Rural lifestyle zone (DPR-0353.027). Without that process taking place, I am unable to make an assessment on these submission points and recommend that these points be rejected. However, I note that if the zoning is amended as a result of the rezoning hearings, consequential amendments would result in the content of HPW27 being updated accordingly. Alternatively, if SCA-RD8 - SCA-RD17 are to be retained, the submitter (DPR-0353.031) seeks amendment to include reference SCA-RD18 Yorktown in HPW27. I accept this submission point, noting that the reference to SCA-RD18 Yorktown has been omitted in error and will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment.
- 9.46 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.052-009, DPR-0379.052-052 - DPR-0379.052-076) seeks the planning maps are amended for all overlays to ensure that the property is visible after all the layers are applied. I recommend that this submission point be rejected as the ePlan does provide the option for the transparency of layers to be manually adjusted.
- 9.47 Environment Canterbury (DPR-0260.054, DPR-0260.0181 - DPR-0260.188) seek that the symbology for the different hazard overlays are more clearly differentiated. Whilst I agree that hazard layers should be clearly distinguishable from each other and that aerial photographs and property boundaries should be visible when all relevant layers are applied to a property, the submission does not include specific guidance on how this relief could be achieved and therefore I recommend these submission points be rejected. I note that all spatial layers will be reassessed before decisions are notified to ensure that colours and symbology are appropriate.
- 9.48 Four Star Developments & Gould Developments Ltd (DPR-0456.018) seeks the colour palette is reviewed to determine if yellow/green is the best scheme. The PDP's colour palette is adopted from a mandatory direction in the planning standards, with each zone having been given a specific colour. Therefore I recommend that this submission point be rejected.

- 9.49 LPC (DPR-0453.003 and DPR-0453.004) seek amendments to the type of hatching or labelling used to reflect the Noise Control Overlays so the 45dBA and 55dBA are more clearly distinguishable. The orange hatching is prescribed by the planning standards, therefore there is no flexibility to make a change. I consider that it is appropriate to ensure that planning maps are appropriately labelled so that plan users are able to clearly distinguish between spatial layers. It appears the labelling of the 45dBA and 55dBA Noise Control Overlays for the Midland Port site were turned off in error. This error is in the process of being corrected as a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendations and amendments

- 9.50 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

a) Amend HPW27 as shown in **Appendix 2** to use terminology that better aligns with the RMA.

- 9.51 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

10. Interpretation – Definitions, Abbreviations and Glossary

Introduction

- 10.1 Definitions are important to the interpretation of objectives, policies, rules and rule requirements in the PDP. Definitions are particularly important in the context of an activities-based plan. Any recommended amendments to a defined term are likely to have consequences for how that term is applied elsewhere in the PDP. Conversely, there will be amendments recommended to other parts of the PDP that will have a bearing on the interpretation of definitions.
- 10.2 The definitions in the PDP are generated from a variety of sources. In addition to relying on definitions prescribed in the RMA, NESETA and the planning standards, Council have developed their own definitions. Depending on the source of the definition, there may be limited scope for Council to make amendments as per the relief sought by submitters.

Submissions

- 10.3 Forty seven submission points, including thirty six further submissions, were received in relation to the Definitions chapter in general.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	007	Definitions	Support In Part	Insert an introductory/overview section for the Definitions, so as to explain how 'nested' definitions are intended to work, the distinction between underlined and non-underlined terms, and other directions as to the interpretation and application of terms in the Plan.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS345	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS419	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS376	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS424	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS354	Definitions	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS400	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	008	Definitions	Support In Part	Amend the plan to ensure that all defined terms are hyperlinked to the relevant definition.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS346	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS420	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS377	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS425	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS355	Definitions	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS401	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	006	Definitions	Support In Part	Insert an introductory/overview section for the Definitions, so as to explain how 'nested' definitions are intended to work, the distinction between underlined and non-underlined terms, and other directions as to the interpretation and application of terms in the Plan.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS665	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS590	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS543	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS583	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO

DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS198	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS849	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	007	Definitions	Support In Part	Amend the plan to ensure that all defined terms are hyperlinked to the relevant definition.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS666	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS591	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS544	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS584	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS199	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS848	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	012	Definitions	Support In Part	Insert an introductory/overview section for the Definitions, so as to explain how 'nested' definitions are intended to work, the distinction between underlined and non-underlined terms, and other directions as to the interpretation and application of terms in the Plan.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS479	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS847	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS694	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS726	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS042	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS603	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	013	Definitions	Support In Part	Amend the plan to ensure that all defined terms are hyperlinked to the relevant definition.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS480	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS848	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS695	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS727	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS043	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS604	Definitions	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	018	Definitions	Oppose In Part	Amend the Definitions section to remove incorrect grammar, spellings and inconsistent presentation of definitions and to correct incorrect definitions. Remove shading of some definitions or clarify what the shading signifies.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	019	Definitions	Oppose In Part	Use the legal definitions as set out in the RMA
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	014	Definitions	Support In Part	Insert an introductory/overview section for the Definitions, so as to explain how 'nested' definitions are intended to work, the distinction between underlined and non-underlined terms, and other directions as to the interpretation and application of terms in the Plan.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	015	Definitions	Support In Part	Amend the plan to ensure that all defined terms are hyperlinked to the relevant definition.
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	023	Definitions	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend definitions as appropriate

Analysis

10.4 RWRL (DPR-0358.007), IRHL (DPR-0363.006), RIHL (DPR-0374.012) and RIDL (DPR-0384.014) consider that an introductory/overview section is required to the Definitions section to explain how 'nested' definitions are intended to work, the distinction between underlined and non-underlined terms, and other directions as to the interpretation and application of terms in the PDP. I agree that such an overview should be included at the beginning of the chapter. I recommend these submission points are accepted.

- 10.5 RWRL (DPR-0358.008), IRHL (DPR-0363.007), RIHL (DPR-0374.013) and RIDL (DPR-0384.015) have sought that any necessary amendments are made to ensure that all defined terms are hyperlinked to the relevant definition. I recommend these submission points be accepted, especially given the importance of the definitions section of an activities based plan, that it is imperative that where a term is intended to be defined, it is underlined and where it is not, that it isn't.
- 10.6 Similarly, Fulton Hogan (DPR-0415.023) considers that the plan should be thoroughly reviewed and amended to ensure that terms are used consistently and defined where necessary. I agree that this step should be taken, however, I consider these submissions are too vague as the definitions which require amendment have not been specifically listed by the submitters, therefore I recommend these submission points be rejected. I note that as errors in definitions arise these have been and will continue to be corrected via the clause 16(2) amendment process.
- 10.7 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.018) requests that the grey shading of some definitions is removed or that the significance of the shading is clarified. The grey shaded terms are intended to provide a visual distinction for plan users between those definitions provided by the planning standards and those developed by Council. Ms Thomson seeks amendment to the Definitions section to remove incorrect grammar, spellings and inconsistent presentation of definitions and to correct incorrect definitions. I agree that grammar, spelling and punctuation and definitions themselves should be correct and amendments should be made to ensure that this is the case. Any errors identified will be fixed as Clause 16(2) amendments. I recommend that this submission point be accepted in part.
- 10.8 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.019) also considers that the PDP should repeat the definitions (including punctuation) as set out in the RMA at the time that the PDP was drafted. This is an appropriate request and any errors will be fixed via a Clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendations

- 10.9 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:
- a) Amend the Definitions Chapter as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide an overview of the definitions chapter and the origin and application of definitions.
- 10.10 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

11. Abbreviations

'Future Development Strategy - FDS'

Submissions

- 11.1 Four submission points were received seeking to include a new abbreviation 'Future Development Strategy - FDS'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	006	NEW	Neither Support Nor Oppose	In sert <u>FDS</u> in the list of abbreviations.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	005	NEW	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert <u>FDS</u> in the list of abbreviations.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	011	NEW	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert <u>FDS</u> in the list of abbreviations.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	013	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert <u>FDS</u> in the list of abbreviations.

Analysis

- 11.2 RWRL (DPR-0358.006), IRHL (DPR-0363.005), RIHL (DPR-0374.011) and RIDL (DPR-0384.013) sought that a new abbreviation is added for FDS, which is an abbreviation of the term Future Development Strategy, a term commonly used through the UG chapter. This abbreviation was omitted from the PDP, but should have been included in the Abbreviations Chapter. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. It is recommended that these submissions points be accepted.

Recommendation

- 11.3 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.4 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Housing Development Capacity Assessment - HDCA'

Submissions

- 11.5 Four submission points were received seeking to include a new abbreviation for 'Housing Development Capacity Assessment - HDCA'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential	005	NEW	Neither Support nor Oppose	Insert <u>HDCA</u> in the list of abbreviations.

	Limited (RWRL)				
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	004	NEW	Neither Support nor Oppose	Insert <u>HDCA</u> in the list of abbreviations.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	010	NEW	Neither Support nor Oppose	Insert <u>HDCA</u> in the list of abbreviations.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	012	NEW	Neither Support nor Oppose	Insert <u>HDCA</u> in the list of abbreviations.

Analysis

- 11.6 RWRL (DPR-0358.005), IRHL (DPR-0363.004), RIHL (DPR-0374.010) and RIDL (DPR-0384.012) sought that a new abbreviation is added for HDCA, which is an abbreviation for the term 'Housing Development Capacity Assessment', a term that is used throughout the UG chapter. This abbreviation was omitted from the plan as notified, but should have been included in the abbreviations chapter. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. Therefore, it is recommended to accept these submission points.

Recommendation

- 11.7 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.8 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Pouhere Taonga/Heritage New Zealand - HNZ'

Submissions

- 11.9 One submission point was received in relation to the abbreviation for 'Pouhere Taonga/Heritage New Zealand - HNZ'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	016	HNZ	Oppose in Part	Amend abbreviation for Pouhere Taonga Heritage New Zealand

Analysis

- 11.10 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.016) opposes the abbreviation for 'Pouhere Taonga/Heritage New Zealand - HNZ'. The submitter has suggested 'PT/HNZ' as a more appropriate abbreviation to align with the description provided in the abbreviations section being 'Pouhere Taonga/Heritage New Zealand'.

On review of the use of this abbreviation throughout the PDP, it was found that it was not used. Given the inclusion of an abbreviation which has not been used is an error and in order to avoid confusion this abbreviation will be deleted via a clause 16(2) amendment. Therefore, it is recommended to reject this submission point.

Recommendation

- 11.11 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.12 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Integrated Transport Assessment - ITA'

Submissions

- 11.13 One submission point was received seeking to include a new abbreviation for 'Integrated Transport Assessment - ITA'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0409	Hughes Developments Limited	037	NEW	Oppose	Insert <u>ITA</u> in the list of abbreviations.

Analysis

- 11.14 Hughes Developments Limited (DPR-0409.037) sought that a new abbreviation is added for ITA, which is an abbreviation of the term Integrated Transport Assessment, a term commonly used through the PDP. This abbreviation was omitted from the PDP, but should have been included in the Abbreviations Chapter. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. Therefore, I recommend that this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 11.15 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.16 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 - NESTA'

Submissions

- 11.17 One submission point was received seeking to correct the abbreviation for 'Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 - NESTA'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	002	NESTA	Oppose	Amend as follows: NETSA <u>NESETA</u>

Analysis

- 11.18 Transpower New Zealand Limited (DPR-0446.002) identifies that the abbreviation for Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 NESETA has been incorrectly spelt 'NESTA' in the PDP when it should have been spelt 'NESETA'. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. Therefore, I recommend that this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 11.19 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.20 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Not to Scale - NTS'

Submissions

- 11.21 One submission point was received in relation to the abbreviation of 'Not to Scale - NTS'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	017	NTS	Oppose in Part	Amend abbreviation for <u>NTS</u> so that only the words Not to Scale are in this section and move the explanation to the Definitions section.

Analysis

- 11.22 Jill Thomson (DPR-0279.017) opposes the abbreviation of 'NTS'. The abbreviation included the word 'means' which makes it appear as a definition instead of an abbreviation. The word 'means' was included in error. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. Therefore, I recommend that this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 11.23 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.24 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Resource Management Act 1991 – RMA'

Submissions

- 11.25 One submission point was received seeking to include a new abbreviation for 'Resource Management Act 1991 – RMA'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	015	NEW	Oppose in part	Insert new abbreviation as follows: <u>RMA - Resource Management Act 1991</u>

Analysis

- 11.26 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.015) sought that a new abbreviation is added for RMA, which is an abbreviation for the Resource Management Act 1991, a term commonly used through the Plan. This abbreviation was omitted from the plan as notified, but should have been included in the Abbreviations Chapter. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. Therefore, I recommend that this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 11.27 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 11.28 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

Abbreviations in General

- 11.29 The PDP includes an Abbreviations section, which is a list of all the abbreviated terms used across the plan and their associated meaning.
- 11.30 One submission point was received relating to the Abbreviations section in general.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	032	Abbreviations	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 11.31 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.032) supports the inclusion of Abbreviations as notified stating they believe they assist plan readers. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 11.32 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.

- 11.33 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

12. Resource Management Act Definitions

- 12.1 The interpretation section of the RMA sets out defined terms which are recognised nationally. This is to ensure consistency in interpretation and application.

Submissions

- 12.2 There are 24 submission points, including five further submissions, relating to definitions that have been adopted from the RMA.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	005	Hazardous Substance	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	007	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	008	Natural Hazard	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	015	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS584	Infrastructure	Oppose	<i>Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.</i>
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	007	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS072	Infrastructure	Oppose	<i>Reject</i>
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	046	Biological Diversity	Oppose In Part	Amend to read: means the variability among living organisms, and the ecological complexes of which they are a part, including diversity within species, between species, and of ecosystems.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	047	Coastal Marine Area	Oppose In Part	Amend to read: means the foreshore, seabed, and coastal water, and the air space above the water— (a) of which the seaward boundary is the outer limits of the territorial sea: (b) of which the landward boundary is the line of mean high water springs, except that where that line crosses a river, the landward boundary at that point shall be

					whichever is the lesser of— (i) 1 kilometre upstream from the mouth of the river; or (ii) the point upstream that is calculated by multiplying the width of the river mouth by 5
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	048	Consent Notice	Oppose In Part	Amend to read: means a notice issued under section 221.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	051	Subdivision	Oppose In Part	Amend to reflect the RMA definition.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	016	Access Strip	Support In Part	Retain but improve hyperlinks to other definitions in the Plan including River, Lake, Land and Esplanade.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	021	Allotment	Support In Part	Retain, but amend to correctly quote the RMA definition in subsection (3).
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	031	Biological Diversity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA means the variability <u>among</u> of living organisms and the ecological complexes of which they are a part, including diversity within species, between species and of ecosystems
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	036	Consent Notice	Support In Part	Adopt the RMA definition
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	042	Freshwater	Support In Part	Amend as follows: has the same meaning as fresh water in section 2 of the RMA (as set out in the box below) means all water except coastal water and geothermal water.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	057	Infrastructure	Support In Part	Amend as follows: has the same meaning as in section 2 of the RMA means: a. pipelines that distribute....
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	006	DPR-GEN	Support	Retain RMA definitions as notified
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rakaia Group (UWRG)	FS148	DPR-GEN	Support	Allow in full

DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS173	DPR-GEN	Support	Accept the submission
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	006	Infrastructure	Support In Part	Amend to include the New Zealand Defence Force
DPR-0183	Adrian McFedries (Rein in the Range group)	FS001	Infrastructure	Oppose	Disallow submission
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	005	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	004	Infrastructure	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 12.3 Submission points seeking an amendment to RMA definitions are recommended to be rejected given these cannot be amended.
- 12.4 Submission points seeking an amendment to RMA definitions where an error in drafting has occurred are recommended to be accepted, and will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment.
- 12.5 Submission points seeking the retention of the provisions as notified are recommended to be accepted in part due to the errors found.

Recommendation

- 12.6 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 12.7 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

13. National Planning Standards and National Environmental Standards Definitions

- 13.1 The planning standards were introduced to improve the consistency of plans and policy statements. As discussed earlier, the '14. Definitions Standard' includes a list of mandatory definitions which Council must use in the PDP. The Definitions List includes 99 planning standards definitions which may be used by Council, and 46 of those 99 mandatory definitions have received submissions.
- 13.2 Several National Environmental Standards have been gazetted by the Government to deal with a variety of matters. These documents include definitions, some of which have been used in the PDP and have been subject to submissions.

Submissions

- 13.3 There are 446 submissions points, including 299 further submission points, relating to mandatory definitions that have been provided by the planning standards.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0042	John Collyns	001	Retirement Village	Support In Part	Delete as notified and replace with the definition of retirement village as is in the Christchurch District Plan: <u>Retirement Village:</u> <u>means any land, building or site that:</u> <u>1 Is used for accommodation predominantly for persons in their retirement, or persons in their retirement and their spouses or partners; and</u> <u>2. Satisfies either of the following:</u> <u>- it is registered as a retirement village under the Retirement Villages Act 2003 or will be so registered prior to it being occupied by any resident; or</u> <u>- it is a rest home within the meaning of s58(4) of the Health and Disability Services (Safety) Act 2001; and</u> <u>3. Includes not less than two residential units; and</u> <u>4. May include any or all of the following facilities or services for residents on the site:</u> <u>- a care home within a retirement village;</u> <u>- a hospital within a retirement village;</u> <u>- nursing, medical care, welfare, accessory non-residential and/or recreation facilities and/or services.</u>
DPR-0043	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand & Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	002	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0043	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand & Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	005	Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0100	Annette Shankie	007	Minor Residential Unit	Support	Retain as notified.

DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	001	Quarry	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS376	Quarry	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	002	Quarrying Activities	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS377	Quarrying Activities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	006	Earthworks	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS381	Earthworks	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	007	Industrial Activity	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS382	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	009	Primary Production	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS384	Primary Production	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	010	Rural Industry	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS385	Rural Industry	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	012	Cleanfill Area	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS387	Cleanfill Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	013	Cleanfill Material	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS388	Cleanfill Material	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	014	Landfill	Oppose In Part	Delete most of the definitions that could apply to a quarry operation and replace with a comprehensive definition for 'Quarrying Activity' similar to the Christchurch District Plan definition.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS389	Landfill	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0127	Birchfield Coal Mines Ltd	001	Industrial Activity	Oppose In Part	Not stated
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	002	Bore	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	005	Earthworks	Oppose In Part	Amend to include provisions for biosecurity related activity as a permitted activity.
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry	006	Intensive Indoor	Support	Retain as notified.

	Board (NZ Pork)		Primary Production		
DPR-0043	Poultry Industry Association of New Zealand & Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	FS002	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	010	Minor Residential Unit	Oppose In Part	Insert a definition of workers accommodation.
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	FS011	Minor Residential Unit	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	FS004	Minor Residential Unit	Support	Accept the submission.
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	064	Educational Facility	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0211	William Trolove	009	Community Facility	Support	Retain the definition of Community Facility as notified
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS415	Community Facility	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.
DPR-0213	New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited (Plant and Food) & Landcare Research (Landcare)	026	Educational Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	006	Cleanfill Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	007	Cleanfill Material	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	008	Landfill	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	009	Dust	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	010	Earthworks	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	016	Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0033	Davina Louise Penny	FS011	Primary Production	Oppose	Ensure the definition of primary production does not lead to competition between different uses of the land.

DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	017	Quarry	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	018	Quarrying Activities	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0217	Summerset Villages (Prebbleton) Limited	004	Retirement Village	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0447	Barton Fields Lifestyle Villas Ltd	FS001	Retirement Village	Support	Allow
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	002	Community Corrections Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0342	AgResearch Limited	001	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support In Part	Amend the definition of “Intensive Indoor Primary Production” as follows: means primary production activities that principally occur within buildings and involve growing fungi, or keeping or rearing livestock (excluding calf-rearing for a specified time period <u>and the housing of livestock associated with a research activity</u>) or poultry.
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	FS001	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support	Allow the submission point Lincoln University supports the amendment to the definition to make it clear that research activities are excluded
DPR-0434	Lincoln University	FS001	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support	Allow the submission point Lincoln University supports the amendment to the definition to make it clear that research activities are excluded
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	040	Earthworks	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	078	Transmission Line	Oppose in Part	That Council revises the PDP so that infrastructure is separated into regionally significant infrastructure as per the CRPS and other infrastructure that is important to Selwyn district
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	001	Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	002	Quarry	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	003	Quarrying Activities	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	004	Cleanfill Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0356	Aggregate and Quarry Association	005	Cleanfill Material	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	011	Ancillary Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS349	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS423	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS380	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS428	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS358	Ancillary Activity	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS404	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	013	Commercial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS351	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS425	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS382	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS430	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS360	Commercial Activity	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS406	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	014	Community Facility	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS352	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS434	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS426	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS383	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS431	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS361	Community Facility	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS407	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	019	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Amend the Plan to ensure the term 'education facility' is replaced with the defined term 'educational facility'.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS357	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS431	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS388	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0378	The Ministry of Education	FS012	Educational Facility	Support	Accept- Amend the Plan to ensure the term 'education facility' is replaced with the defined term 'educational facility' as per the National Planning Standards.
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS436	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS366	Educational Facility	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS412	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	022	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS360	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS434	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS391	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS439	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS369	Functional Need	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS415	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	025	Gross Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS363	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS437	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS394	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS442	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS372	Gross Floor Area	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS418	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	029	Industrial Activity	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS367	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS441	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS398	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS446	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS376	Industrial Activity	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS422	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	033	Net Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS371	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS445	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS402	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS450	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS380	Net Floor Area	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS426	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	034	Net Site Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS372	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS446	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS403	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS451	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS381	Net Site Area	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS427	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	036	Notional Boundary	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS374	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS448	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS405	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS453	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS383	Notional Boundary	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS429	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	038	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS376	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS450	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS407	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS455	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS385	Operational Need	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS431	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	047	Residential Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS384	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS459	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS416	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS464	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS394	Residential Activity	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS440	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	048	Residential Unit	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS385	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS460	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS417	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept submission in part

DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS465	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS395	Residential Unit	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS441	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	053	Sign	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means any device, character, graphic or electronic display, whether temporary or permanent, <u>that is visible from a public place and which:</u> ...
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS390	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS465	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS422	Sign	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS470	Sign	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS400	Sign	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS446	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	054	Site	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means <u>an area of land or volume of space shown on a plan with defined boundaries, whether legally or otherwise defined boundaries. It includes:</u>
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS391	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS466	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS423	Site	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS094	Site	Oppose	Not specified

DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS471	Site	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS401	Site	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS447	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	001	Community Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	009	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	010	Ancillary Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS669	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS594	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS547	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS587	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS202	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS740	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	012	Commercial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS671	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS596	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS549	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part

DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS589	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS204	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS846	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	013	Community Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS672	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS597	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS550	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS590	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS205	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS845	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	018	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Amend the Plan to ensure the term 'education facility' is replaced with the defined term 'educational facility'.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS677	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS602	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS555	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS595	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS210	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.

DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS840	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	021	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS680	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS605	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS558	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS598	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS213	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS837	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	024	Gross Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS683	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS608	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS561	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS601	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS216	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS834	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	028	Industrial Activity	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS687	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS612	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS565	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS605	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS220	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS830	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	032	Net Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS691	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS616	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS569	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS609	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS224	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS853	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	033	Net Site Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS692	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS617	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS570	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS610	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS225	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS852	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	035	Notional Boundary	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS694	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS619	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS572	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS612	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS227	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS806	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	037	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS696	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS621	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS574	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS614	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO

DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS229	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS828	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	046	Residential Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS705	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS630	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS583	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS623	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS238	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS817	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	047	Residential Unit	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS706	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS631	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS584	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS624	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS239	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS818	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	052	Sign	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means any device, character, graphic or electronic display, whether temporary or permanent, <u>that is visible from a public place and which:</u> ...
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS711	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS636	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS589	Sign	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS629	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS244	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS823	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	053	Site	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means <u>an area of land or volume of space shown on a plan with defined boundaries, whether legally or otherwise defined boundaries. It includes:</u>
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS712	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS637	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS590	Site	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS630	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS245	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS824	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	043	Industrial Activity	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	005	Official Sign	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means all signs required or provided for under any statute or regulation or are otherwise related to aspects of public health and safety and for providing direction.
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS738	Official Sign	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	008	Industrial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS741	Industrial Activity	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	011	Rural Industry	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS744	Rural Industry	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	015	Wastewater	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS748	Wastewater	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	008	Ldn	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS073	Ldn	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	010	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	013	Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	016	Ancillary Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS483	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS851	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS698	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS730	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.

DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS046	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS607	Ancillary Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	018	Commercial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS485	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS853	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS700	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS732	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS048	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS609	Commercial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	019	Community Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS486	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS854	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS701	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS733	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS049	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-	FS610	Community Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

	<i>Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>				
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	024	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Amend the Plan to ensure the term 'education facility' is replaced with the defined term 'educational facility'.
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS491	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS859	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS706	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS738	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS054	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS615	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	027	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS494	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS862	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS709	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS741	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS057	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS618	Functional Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	030	Gross Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS497	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS865	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS712	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS744	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS060	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS621	Gross Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	034	Industrial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS501	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS869	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS716	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS748	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS064	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS625	Industrial Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	038	Net Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS505	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS873	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS720	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS752	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS068	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS629	Net Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	039	Net Site Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS506	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS874	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS721	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS753	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS069	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS630	Net Site Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	041	Notional Boundary	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS508	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS876	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS723	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS755	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.

DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS071	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS632	Notional Boundary	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	043	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS510	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS878	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS725	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS757	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS073	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS634	Operational Need	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	052	Residential Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS519	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS887	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS734	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS766	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS082	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-	FS643	Residential Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

	<i>Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>				
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	053	Residential Unit	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS520	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS888	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS735	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS767	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS083	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS644	Residential Unit	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	058	Sign	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means any device, character, graphic or electronic display, whether temporary or permanent, <u>that is visible from a public place and</u> which: ...
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS525	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS893	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS740	Sign	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS772	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS088	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS649	Sign	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	059	Site	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>means an area of land or volume of space shown on a plan with defined boundaries, whether legally or otherwise defined boundaries. It includes:</u>
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS526	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS894	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS741	Site	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS773	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS089	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS650	Site	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0378	The Ministry of Education	001	Educational Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0378	The Ministry of Education	002	Habitable Room	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	049	Effect	Oppose In Part	Amend to read: In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires, the term effect includes— (a) any positive or adverse effect; and (b) any temporary or permanent effect; and (c) any past, present, or future effect; and (d) any cumulative effect which arises over time or in combination with other effects— regardless of the scale, intensity, duration, or frequency of the effect, and also includes— (e) any potential effect of high probability; and (f) any potential effect of low probability which has a high potential impact.

DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	050	Network Utility Operator	Oppose In Part	Amend to read: means a person who— (a) undertakes or proposes to undertake the distribution or transmission by pipeline of natural or manufactured gas, petroleum, biofuel, or geothermal energy; or (b) operates or proposes to operate a network for the purpose of— (i) telecommunication as defined in section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001; or (ii) radiocommunication as defined in section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989; or (c) is an electricity operator or electricity distributor as defined in section 2 of the Electricity Act 1992 for the purpose of line function services as defined in that section; or (d) undertakes or proposes to undertake the distribution of water for supply (including irrigation); or (e) undertakes or proposes to undertake a drainage or sewerage system; or (f) constructs, operates, or proposes to construct or operate, a road or railway line; or (g) is an airport authority as defined by the Airport Authorities Act 1966 for the purposes of operating an airport as defined by that Act; or (h) is a provider of any approach control service within the meaning of the Civil Aviation Act 1990; or (ha) is a responsible SPV that is constructing or proposing to construct eligible infrastructure; or (i) undertakes or proposes to undertake a project or work prescribed as a network utility operation for the purposes of this definition by regulations made under this Act,— and the words network utility operation have a corresponding meaning
DPR-0383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil New Zealand Limited & Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited	020	Earthworks	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial	018	Ancillary Activity	Support	Retain as notified

	Developments Limited (RIDL)				
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	020	Commercial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	021	Community Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	026	Educational Facility	Support In Part	Amend the Plan to ensure the term 'education facility' is replaced with the defined term 'educational facility'.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	029	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	032	Gross Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	036	Industrial Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	040	Net Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	041	Net Site Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	043	Notional Boundary	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	045	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	054	Residential Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	055	Residential Unit	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	060	Sign	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means any device, character, graphic or electronic display, whether temporary or permanent, <u>that is visible from a public place and which:</u> ...

DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	061	Site	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means an area of land or volume of space shown on a plan with defined boundaries, whether legally or otherwise defined boundaries. It includes:
DPR-0386	Rolleston Square Limited	004	Building	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0390	Rakaia Irrigation Limited (RIL)	006	Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	001	Rural Industry	Support In Part	Clarify that the definition includes the storage of vehicles and machinery associated with quarrying activities
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	002	Quarrying Activities	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	004	Cleanfill Material	Support In Part	Retain the definition but amend the rules surrounding quarrying so as to create a more integrated and efficient rule framework.
DPR-0033	Davina Louise Penny	FS001	Cleanfill Material	Oppose	To retain the clause where only virgin cleanfill can be used. No provision where any other material can be considered.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	015	Abrasive Blasting	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	024	Antenna	Support in Part	Amend as follows: <u>Has the same meaning as Regulation 4 of the NESTF</u> <u>Means a</u> A device that receives or transmits radiocommunication or telecommunication signals, but not excludes small cell unit.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	032	Bore	Support In Part	Adopt the National Planning Standards verbatim: a) means any hole drilled or constructed into the ground that is used to- i) investigate or monitor conditions below the ground surface; or ii) abstract gaseous or liquid substances from the ground; or iii) discharge gaseous or liquid substances into the ground; but b) it does not include it excludes test pits, trenches, and soak holes and soakage pits.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	033	Building	Support In Part	Adopt the National Planning Standards definition verbatim.

DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	038	Earthworks	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	043	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	059	Intensive Indoor Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	070	Network Utility Operator	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... <u>ha. is a responsible SPV that is constructing or proposing to construct eligible infrastructure; or...</u>
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	073	Primary Production	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	091	Wetland	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0424	Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA)	001	Retirement Village	Support In Part	Amend to clarify the definition and avoid any overlap or interpretation issues with the definition of 'supported residential accommodation'.
DPR-0425	Ryman Healthcare Limited	001	Retirement Village	Support In Part	Amend to clarify the definition and avoid any overlap or interpretation issues with the definition of 'supported residential accommodation'.
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	008	Drain	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rakaia Group (UWRG)	FS150	Drain	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS175	Drain	Support	Accept the submission
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	001	Abrasive Blasting	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	002	Accessory Building	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	003	Community facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	004	Educational Facility	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	011	Network Utility Operator	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	012	Residential activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	017	Visitor Accommodation	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	009	Peak Particle Velocity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	011	Temporary Military Training Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	011	Building	Support	Amend to avoid unintended consequences as this definition relates to natural hazard risk where inappropriately applied to containers (TEUs). Refer submission point on NH-R1 and NH-R2.
DPR-0454	Central Plains Water Limited	003	Network Utility Operator	Support In Part	Retain as notified
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	002	Functional Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	010	Network Utility Operator	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	011	Operational Need	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0464	New Zealand Motor Caravan Association Inc.	001	Building	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means a temporary or permanent movable or immovable physical construction that is: a. partially or fully roofed; and b. fixed or located on or in land; but excludes i. any motorised vehicle or other mode of transport that could be moved under its own power; <u>and</u> ii. <u>non-motorised caravans</u>

					See original submission for full decision requested.
--	--	--	--	--	--

Analysis

- 13.4 Of the 147 original submission points in relation to the planning standards definitions, 102 sought to retain the definition as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted.
- 13.5 Forty two submitters sought changes to the planning standards definitions. The planning standards state that district plans must use the definitions set out in the planning standards - Standard 14 'Definitions List'. Any terms incorporated into the PDP must have the meaning as set out in the Standard 14. There is no discretion for Council to choose whether to apply the definition, nor is there discretion for Council to alter the meaning of any term set out in the Definitions List. Similarly, synonyms of the terms defined in the Definitions List cannot be used, if the term is used in the same context, then the definition applies. Council is unable to consider requests to alter these definitions and therefore I recommend that all submission points requesting changes to a planning standard's definitions be rejected.
- 13.6 Where a submitter has alerted Council to the incorrect display of a planning standards or National Environmental Standards definition, these errors will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment.

Recommendation

- 13.7 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 13.8 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

14. Selwyn District Council Definitions

- 14.1 In addition to the more generalised definitions provided by the RMA and the planning standards, Council has defined additional terms to assist with the interpretation of provisions.

'Activity Centre Network'

Submissions

- 14.2 Twenty seven submission points, including 18 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of 'Activity Centre Network'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
--------------	----------------	------------------	----------------	----------	--------------------

DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	005	Activity Centre Network	Oppose In Part	Amend the definition of Service Activity Centres as follows: Provide goods and services to residents of the town as well as the wider rural area. However there will still be a reliance on the Key Activity Centres for larger scale businesses and more variety in retail and commercial activities. The Selwyn District has two Service Activity Centres being Prebbleton and West Melton. <u>Prebbleton whilst a service activity centre is strategically located close to, and well connected to, Rolleston and Lincoln Key Activity Centres and the large and growing South West Christchurch industrial and business areas. Given this strategic location it can support a wide range of commercial, industrial and residential activities, and a larger population (10 000 +) than anticipated for the secondary west Selwyn Key Activity Centres (i.e. Darfield and Leeston).</u>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	033	Activity Centre Network	Oppose In Part	Amend to include a description of activity centres in the text of the Plan rather than definitions.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	034	Activity Centre Network	Oppose In Part	Amend such that paragraph c.ii. is a separate paragraph rather than part of the description of Rural Activity Centres.
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	010	Activity Centre Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS348	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS422	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS379	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS427	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS357	Activity Centre Network	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS403	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.

DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	009	Activity Centre Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS668	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS593	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS546	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS586	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS201	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS564	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	015	Activity Centre Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS482	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS850	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS697	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS729	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS045	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS606	Activity Centre Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	020	Activity Centre Network	Oppose	Amend Activity Centre Network as follows: The Activity Centre Network consists of "Key Activity Centres", "Service Activity Centres", "Rural Activity Centres", and "Rural Townships". Include new separate definitions for "Key Activity Centres", "Service Activity

					centres", "Rural Activity Centres" and "Rural Townships".
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	017	Activity Centre Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	018	Activity Centre Network	Oppose In Part	Amend to state that the network comprises of the Key Activity Centres, the Service Activity Centres and Rural Activity Centres.

Analysis

- 14.3 Trices Road Re-zoning Group (DPR-0298.055) sought to amend the definition by including more detail surrounding the role of Prebbleton as a 'Service Activity Centre'. The definition for 'Activity Centre Network' has been adopted from the description provided in the District's Development Strategy – Selwyn 2031 (pg 47). Selwyn 2031 has assigned an Activity Centre category to each Township and the purpose of the Activity Centre Network is to identify the scale and level of service of each 'commercial' or 'activity' centre. The justification for elaborating in the definition in relation to the Key Activity Centres is that they have different role within the network at that level, however, there are no such distinctions for Service Activity Centres. As such, I do not consider it necessary to elaborate on the role of Prebbleton as part of the Activity Centre Network and I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 14.4 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.033) sought to keep the description of what a 'Key Activity Centre' is but to move the text to elsewhere in the PDP so it is no longer a defined term. The term is used across the Strategic Directions, Urban Growth and Commercial and Mixed Use Zone Chapters. The approach of this PDP is to define terms to provide clarity for plan users. I do not consider relocating the 'description' of 'Activity Centre Network' and removing the 'term' from the Definitions Chapter will increase clarity and efficiency for plan users. I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 14.5 Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.020) and Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.018) sought to simplify the definition, instead listing only a bullet point list of the key terms without the additional explanations. Jill Thomson (DPR-0379.020) and Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.018) also suggest defining each key term: 'Key Activity Centres', 'Service Activity Centres', 'Rural Activity Centres' and 'Rural Townships' in more detail as their own individual definitions. This approach would result in three new definitions, as 'Key Activity Centres' is already defined in the PDP. I consider that the approach to refine the definition is appropriate and given that the explanation of each type of activity centre will remain in the refined version of the definition, there is no need to further define those listed terms as this would not increase efficiency for plan users. I recommend these submission points be accepted in part.
- 14.6 Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.034) has also alerted Council to the incorrect formatting of the 'Activity Centre Network' definition. Clause 'c.ii.' should be a separate paragraph as it applies to all Activity Centres, not specifically to Rural Activity Centres as is showing in the Proposed Plan as

notified. I recommend that this submission point be rejected as based on the proposed amendments outline in **Appendix 2** this issue has been resolved by the proposed refinement of the definition.

- 14.7 RWRL (DPR-0358.009), IRHL (DPR-0363.015), RIHL (DPR-0374.017) and RIDL (DPR-0384.018) sought the definition of ‘Activity Centre Network’ be retained as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted in part.

Recommendation

- 14.8 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- a) Amend ‘Activity Centre Network’ as shown in **Appendix 2** to read as a definition not a description.

- 14.9 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Addition’

Submissions

- 14.10 Two submission points were received in relation to the definition for ‘Addition’.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	019	Addition	Support in Part	Amend as follows: ...In relation to an historic heritage item it means an extension or increase in floor area, number of stories, or height of a building or structure. It <u>also</u> includes the construction of new floors, walls, ceilings, and roofs.
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	003	Addition	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 14.11 Trustpower Limited (DPR-0441.003) supports the definition as notified. This support is noted. I recommend this submission point is accepted in part on the basis that I am recommending an amendment to the provision as notified.

- 14.12 Federated Farmers of New Zealand (DPR-0422.019) consider that amendments are necessary to provide clarity to plan users as to which parts of the definition ‘Addition’ apply in relation to historic heritage. After reviewing the definition, I concur there is some confusion as to how the 2nd and 3rd sentences of the definition should be read. It is unclear if the 3rd sentence applies only in a historic heritage context, or to all additions in general.

- 14.13 To confirm the context in which the definition is intended to apply, a review of all provisions referencing the defined term ‘Addition’ was undertaken. The more common use of the term ‘Addition’ across the plan relates to the extension/expansion of buildings in general, rather than in

a historic heritage context. It is noted that the relevant rules in the HH chapter reference ‘Additions’ and ‘Alterations’ collectively. Other chapters rely solely on the individual definition of ‘Addition’.

- 14.14 The reference to historic heritage in the definitions of ‘Addition’ and ‘Alteration’ appears to be a double up, with aspects of a historic heritage additions being also subject to the definition of ‘Alteration’ in a historic heritage context, resulting in confusion for plan users. Currently any changes to any walls, floors, ceilings or roofs are considered both an ‘Alteration’ and an ‘Addition’.
- 14.15 I therefore consider that the definition of ‘Addition’ should be amended, however, I do not agree that the relief sought proposed by the submitter will achieve further clarity. Instead I consider that 2nd and 3rd sentences of the definition are not necessary and should be removed. I believe such a change is within the scope of the original submission point, which sought to amend the definition to provide clarity as to which aspects of the definition apply specifically to historic heritage. This means that a generic definition of ‘Addition’ will apply to all additions, including those relating to historic heritage items. This will result in an increased reliance on the definition of ‘Alteration’ to cover the specifics of the heritage items e.g. walls and roofs, with the amended definition of ‘Addition’ still protecting historic heritage items from increasing their GFA without resource consent. It is noted that a submission has been received in relation to the definition of ‘Alteration’ which is to be considered as part of the Historic Heritage hearing stream. I recommend accepting this submission point in part.

Recommendation and amendments

- 14.16 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- a) Amend ‘Addition’ as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity to plan users as to the circumstances in which the definition applies.

- 14.17 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Adjoining’

Submissions

- 14.18 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of ‘Adjoining’.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	001	Adjoining	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 14.19 KiwiRail (DPR-0458.001) supports the definition of “Adjoining” as notified. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

14.20 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.21 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Ancillary Structure’

Submissions

14.22 Six submission points, including two further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of ‘Ancillary Structure’.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	037	Ancillary Structure	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... j. stock water trough; or k. <u>structures for rural production activities such as artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures.</u>
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS009	Ancillary Structure	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS470	Ancillary Structure	Oppose In Part	Reject or accept with appropriate restrictions in the Coastal environment, Outstanding natural feature and landscape areas.
DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	001	Ancillary Structure	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: means any: a. non-habitable structure which is: i. less than 10m ² in area; and ii. less than 2m in height; and iii. not located within any road setback requirement; or ... f. water tank which is: ... iii. part of a roof drainage system; and iv. not located within any road setback requirement; or ... j. stock water trough.
DPR-0388	Craigmore Farming Services Limited	001	Ancillary Structure	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: a. non-habitable structure which is: i. less than 10m ² in area; and ii. less than 2m in height; and iii. not located within any road setback requirement; or ... f. water tank which is: i. less than 15m ² in area; and

					ii. less than 4m in height; and iii. part of a roof drainage system;and iv. not located within any road setback requirement; or ...
DPR-0390	Rakaia Irrigation Limited (RIL)	001	Ancillary Structure	Oppose In Part	Amend 'ancillary structure' as follows: means any: 1. non-habitable structure which is: i. less than 10m ² in area; and ii. less than 2m in height;and iii. not located within any road setback requirement; or ...

Analysis

- 14.23 Four submission points were received in relation to the proposed definition for 'Ancillary Structure', all sought amendment. The term 'Ancillary Structure' has been defined in the plan to avoid smaller buildings and/or structures being subject to the same bulk and location requirements as larger buildings and structures.
- 14.24 Horticultural New Zealand (0353.037) seeks an additional clause to be added to the definition to specifically include artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures. Crop protection and support structures are not always as limited in size and scale as the other items currently being classified as Ancillary Structures and the submitter has not provided any proposed limits on their scale. I do not consider it appropriate nor necessary to include artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures in the list of 'ancillary structures' as their size and scale is so varying. I note the submitter is also seeking to have these terms defined separately in the PDP and this is to be considered at the time of the GRUZ hearing. I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 14.25 Dairy Holdings Limited (DPR0372.001), Craigmere Farming Services Ltd (DPR0388.001) and RIL (DPR0390.001) sought to remove the requirement for ancillary structures to comply with road boundary setbacks. In order for a smaller ancillary structure to be considered to not require a resource consent, it was decided these should still be subject to road boundary setbacks to ensure amenity and character of the road is protected. As such, I do not consider it appropriate to remove the reference to the road boundary setback in the definition of 'Ancillary Structure', I recommend these submission points be rejected.

Recommendation

- 14.26 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.
- 14.27 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Automotive Activity'

Submissions

14.28 Twenty two submission points, including 18 further submissions were received in relation to the definition of 'Automotive Activity'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	012	Automotive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS350	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS424	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS381	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS429	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS359	Automotive Activity	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS405	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	011	Automotive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS670	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS595	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS548	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS588	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS203	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS807	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	017	Automotive Activity	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS484	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS852	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS699	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS731	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS047	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS608	Automotive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	019	Automotive Activity	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

14.29 RWRL (DPR-0358.012), IRHL (DPR-0363.011), RIHL (DPR-0374.017) and RIDL (DPR-0384.019) support for the definition of “Automotive Activity” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

14.30 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.31 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Building Square’

Submissions

14.32 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of “Building Square”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	004	Building Square	Support	Retain as notified.

Analysis

- 14.33 NZ Pork (DPR-0142.004) supports the definition of “Building Square” as notified. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 14.34 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.
- 14.35 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Corrections Activity’

Submissions

- 14.36 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of “Corrections Activity”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa the Department of Corrections	003	Corrections Activity	Oppose	Delete as notified.

Analysis

- 14.37 Ara Poutama Aotearoa (Department of Corrections) (DPR-0300.003) oppose the definition of ‘Corrections Activity’. The intention behind the inclusion of the definition for ‘Correction Activity’ was to differentiate between ‘Community Corrections Activities’, as defined by the planning standards, and other Corrections Activities e.g. prisons. The rules associated with ‘Corrections Activities’ have been drafted to ensure that ‘Community Corrections Activities’ are enabled where appropriate, whilst other types of corrections activities are discouraged from establishing in inappropriate locations.
- 14.38 The relief sought by the submitter is to delete the definition as notified. The submitter considers the definition of “Corrections Activity” is used in the same context in the PDP as the separate definition of “Community Corrections Activity” and they are unsure as to the reasoning behind the reason for the separate definition. Furthermore, they consider the proposed definition uses outdated terminology inconsistent with the Corrections Act 2004, and refers to the Penal Institutions Act 1952 and Criminal Justice Act 1985, which have both been repealed. The submitter therefore seeks the proposed definition is deleted and consequential amendments are made across the PDP as required. I do not consider it appropriate to delete this definition as I consider it is not used in the same context as “Community Corrections Activity”. A “Community Corrections Activity” is a non-custodial corrections activity and these are largely permitted across the district (this does vary between zones) and the intention behind the proposed definition and its associated rules was to permit “Community Corrections Activities” whilst restricting locations where custodial corrections activities e.g. Prisons, could establish without resource consent. While the reasoning behind the submission point is accepted, the plan rules would still require an activity

definition of this nature in order to create NC rules, I therefore recommend that the definition is amended to remove references to outdated terminology and repealed legislation and the submission point be accepted in part.

Recommendation

14.39 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- b) Amend ‘Corrections Activity’ as shown in **Appendix 2** to remove outdated terminology and references to repealed legislation.

14.40 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Development Plan’

Submissions

14.41 Twenty two submission points, including 18 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of “Development Plan”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	017	Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS355	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS429	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS386	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS434	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS364	Development Plan	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS410	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	016	Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS675	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS600	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS553	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS593	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS208	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS842	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	022	Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS489	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS857	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS704	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS736	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS052	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS613	Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	024	Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

14.42 RWRL (DPR-0358.017), IRHL (DPR-0363.016), RIHL (DPR-0374.022) and RIDL (DPR-0384.024) support for the definition of “Development Plan” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

14.43 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.44 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Emergency’

Submissions

14.45 Three submission points, including one further submission, were received in relation to the definition of “Emergency”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	002	Emergency	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	012	Emergency	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS581	Emergency	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.

Analysis

14.46 Fire and Emergency New Zealand (DPR-359.002) and Orion (DPR-0367.012) support the definition of “Emergency” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

14.47 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.48 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Future Development Strategy’

Submissions

14.49 Twenty two submission points, including 18 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of “Future Development Strategy”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	023	Future Development Strategy	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS361	Future Development Strategy	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS435	Future Development Strategy	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0298	<i>Trices Road Re-zoning Group</i>	<i>FS392</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
DPR-0461	<i>Dunweavin 2020 Ltd</i>	<i>FS440</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
DPR-0492	<i>Kevler Development Ltd</i>	<i>FS370</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
DPR-0493	<i>Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>	<i>FS416</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part.</i>
DPR-0363	<i>Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)</i>	<i>022</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Retain as notified</i>
DPR-0157	<i>Kevin & Bonnie Williams</i>	<i>FS681</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>
DPR-0209	<i>Manmeet Singh</i>	<i>FS606</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>
DPR-0298	<i>Trices Road Re-zoning Group</i>	<i>FS559</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
DPR-0461	<i>Dunweavin 2020 Ltd</i>	<i>FS599</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO</i>
DPR-0492	<i>Kevler Development Ltd</i>	<i>FS214</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.</i>
DPR-0493	<i>Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>	<i>FS836</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part.</i>
DPR-0374	<i>Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)</i>	<i>028</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Retain as notified</i>
DPR-0157	<i>Kevin & Bonnie Williams</i>	<i>FS495</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>
DPR-0209	<i>Manmeet Singh</i>	<i>FS863</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>
DPR-0298	<i>Trices Road Re-zoning Group</i>	<i>FS710</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
DPR-0461	<i>Dunweavin 2020 Ltd</i>	<i>FS742</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.</i>
DPR-0492	<i>Kevler Development Ltd</i>	<i>FS058</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.</i>

DPR-0493	<i>Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>	<i>FS619</i>	<i>Future Development Strategy</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part.</i>
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	030	Future Development Strategy	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

14.50 RWRL (DPR-0358.023), IRHL (DPR-0363.022), RIHL (DPR-0374.028) and RIDL (DPR-0384.030) support the definition of “Future Development Strategy” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

14.51 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.52 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Health Care Facility’

Submissions

14.53 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of “Health Care Facility”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	045	Health Care Facility	Neither Support nor Oppose	Amend as follows: is a community facility <u>facility</u> that uses....

Analysis

14.54 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.045) seek a minor amendment to the definition of “Health Care Facility” as notified. The word ‘facility’ is spelt incorrectly. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

14.55 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.

14.56 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Keeping of Animals’**Submissions**

14.57 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of “Keeping of Animals”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	009	Keeping of Animals	Support	Retain as notified.

Analysis

14.58 NZ Pork (DPR-0142.009) supports the definition of “Keeping of Animals” as notified. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

14.59 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.60 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Key Activity Centre’**Submissions**

14.61 Twenty two submission points, including 18 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of “Key Activity Centre”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	031	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ...within the Greater Christchurch are <u>area</u> of the district...
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS369	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS443	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS400	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS448	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS378	Key Activity Centres	Support	Accept submission in part

DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS424	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	030	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ...within the Greater Christchurch are <u>area</u> of the district...
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS689	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS614	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS567	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS607	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS222	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS855	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	036	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ...within the Greater Christchurch are <u>area</u> of the district...
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS503	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS871	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS718	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS750	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS066	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS627	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	038	Key Activity Centres	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ...within the Greater Christchurch are <u>area</u> of the district...

Analysis

- 14.62 RWRL (DPR-0358.031), IRHL (DPR-0363.030), RIHL (DPR-0374.036) and RIDL (DPR-0384.038) supports the definition of 'Key Activity Centre' as notified but observe that it contains a spelling error. This error will be fixed as a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

- 14.63 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 14.64 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Maintenance or Repair'

Submissions

- 14.65 Six submission points were received in relation to the definition of "Maintenance or Repair".

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0269	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	001	Maintenance or Repair	Support in Part	Amend as follows: c. in relation to historic heritage, to.....as closely as possible. <u>Where materials are not clearly identifiable as new, they should be date stamped.</u> It includes: i. temporary
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	017	Maintenance or Repair	Support In Part	Amend Definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' as follows: d. In relation to important infrastructure outside areas a, b and c above, means: <u>maintaining and repairing a structure or land in good and safe condition, emergency works and testing of equipment. It includes upgrading and minor alterations, provided that any upgrading or minor alteration does not materially increase the footprint, height or external envelope of the structure.</u>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS022	Maintenance or Repair	Oppose In Part	Reject
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS012	Maintenance or Repair	Support In Part	Retain and amend the descriptions and definitions where appropriate.

DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS040	Maintenance or Repair	Oppose	Reject the submission
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	062	Maintenance or Repair	Oppose	Delete as notified.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS092	Maintenance or Repair	Support	Accept
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	011	Maintenance or Repair	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	FS008	Maintenance or Repair	Support	Decision not specified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	006	Maintenance or Repair	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>....d. In relation to network utilities, important infrastructure and ancillary activities in all locations, any work or activity necessary to continue the operation and/or functioning of the existing line, building, structure, facility or utility, and shall also provide for the replacement of an existing line, building, structure or other facility with another of the same or similar height, size or scale, within the same or similar position and for the same or similar purpose. It does not include any expansion of the existing line, building, structure, facility or utility.</u>
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS058	Maintenance or Repair	Oppose In Part	Reject
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS013	Maintenance or Repair	Support In Part	Retain and amend the descriptions and definitions where appropriate.
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail)	008	Maintenance or Repair	Support	Amend to include specific reference to rail.

Analysis

14.66 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.062) sought the definition be deleted in full. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (DPR-0269.001) supports the definition in

part, but seeks an amendment to ensure that in relation to historic heritage, the definition better aligns with the ICOMOS NZ Charter, which advises that where it is necessary to employ new materials, they should be distinguishable from the original. The submitter therefore seeks an amendment to clause c. to state that where repair or maintenance work is not distinguishable, then the new materials should be date stamped. Whilst I agree that date stamping could be helpful to distinguish the age of repairs and maintenance in the future, I am unsure how practical this requirement would be, in terms of how easily types of materials could be 'date stamped', how well such marking would perform depending on the receiving environment and how this would be enforced, given that 'maintenance and repairs' is largely permitted under HH-R1. I recommend that this submission point is rejected.

14.67 Orion New Zealand Limited (DPR-0367.017) supports the definition of 'Maintenance and Repair' in part, however they consider the wording of the definition is somewhat unclear and question what happens if an activity sits outside areas described in clauses a, b, and c. To provide clarity Orion propose a new clause be added to the definition to specifically provide for repair and maintenance works associated with important infrastructure. Transpower New Zealand Limited (DPR-0446.006) supports the inclusion of a definition of 'maintenance and repair', but have similar concerns and request similar amendment to Orion. KiwiRail Holdings Limited (DPR-0458.008) seek an amendment to include reference to rail as the Midland Line passes through ONL overlay areas. I consider these to be an appropriate requests as the amendments provide clarity for both infrastructure providers and other plan users, however, I do not consider it appropriate to include reference to upgrading as requested by Orion New Zealand Limited (DPR-0367.017), as upgrades can vary in size and scale and should be fall within the definition of maintenance or repair. I recommend these submission points are accepted in part.

14.68 Trustpower Limited (DPR-0441.011) supports the definition as notified, I accept this submission in part.

Recommendation and Amendments

14.69 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- a) Amend 'Maintenance or Repair' as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity to plan users as to the circumstances in which the definition applies.

14.70 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Outline Development Plan'

Submissions

14.71 Four submission points, including 18 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of "Outline Development Plan".

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential	040	Outline Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified

	Limited (RWRL)				
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS378	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS453	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS409	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS457	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS387	Outline Development Plan	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS433	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	039	Outline Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS698	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS623	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS576	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS616	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS231	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS810	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	045	Outline Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS512	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS880	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS727	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS759	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS075	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS636	Outline Development Plan	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	047	Outline Development Plan	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

14.72 RWRL (DPR-0358.039), IRHL (DPR-0363.039), RIHL (DPR-0347.045) and RIDL (DPR-0384.047) support the definition of “Outline Development Plan” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

14.73 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.74 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Principal Building’

Submissions

14.75 Four submission points, including two further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of ‘Principal Building’.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0260	Canterbury Regional Council (Environment Canterbury)	017	Principal Building	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Any building or buildings which is/are used as part of the primary activity or activities on the site. Principal buildings include residential units but do not include accessory buildings or minor residential units.
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS011	Principal Building	Support In Part	Not specified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	FS027	Principal Building	Oppose	Disallow the submission point.

	- North Canterbury				
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	012	Principal Building	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any building or buildings which is/are used as part of the primary activity or activities on the site, <u>excluding the movement, transfer, storage or placement of containers within the PORTZ</u> . Principal buildings include residential units but do not include accessory buildings or minor residential units.

Analysis

- 14.76 Environment Canterbury (DPR-0260.017) support the definition of ‘Principal Building’ in part, however, their relief sought seeks that the reference to ‘minor residential units’ be deleted. The submitter raises a valid point that the specific exclusion of ‘Minor Residential Unit’ is contradictory as a ‘Minor Residential Unit’ is a ‘Residential Unit’, and is therefore already considered a ‘Principal Building’. I therefore consider this amendment is appropriate and I recommend that the submission point be accepted.
- 14.77 Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited (DPR-0453.012) oppose the definition in part, and seek an amendment. Shipping containers are considered to be a ‘Building’ as per the planning standards definition for ‘Building’. In most zones, where the container is an accessory to the activities on the site, it is subsequently considered an ‘Accessory Building’. However, in the proposed PORTZ containers are an integral part of the port activities on the site and consequently the definition of ‘Principal Building’ would regard containers in the PORTZ as ‘Principal Buildings’ instead of ‘Accessory Buildings’ requiring them to be subject to the provisions relating to ‘Principal Buildings’ in the PORTZ. Under the proposed rules relating to ‘Principal Buildings’ in the PORTZ, all shipping containers would otherwise be required to connect to reticulated services, which is inappropriate in this context. I therefore consider that the intent of LPC’s relief sought is appropriate to ensure that any container is excluded from being deemed a ‘Principal Building’ when situated within the PORTZ. I recommend this submission point is accepted in part.

Recommendation

- 14.78 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:
- Amend ‘Principal Building’ as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity as to when it should be applied.
- 14.79 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Public Floor Area’

Submissions

- 14.80 Four submission points, including 18 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of “Public Floor Area”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	045	Public Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS382	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS457	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS414	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS462	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS392	Public Floor Area	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS438	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	044	Public Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS703	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS628	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS581	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS621	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS236	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS815	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	050	Public Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS517	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS885	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part

DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS732	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS764	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS080	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS641	Public Floor Area	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	052	Public Floor Area	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

14.81 RWRL (DPR-0358.045), IRHL (DPR-0363.044), RIHL (DPR-0347.050) and RIDL (DPR-0384.052) support the definition of “Public Floor Area” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

14.82 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.83 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Relocated Building’

Submissions

14.84 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of “Relocated Building”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	074	Relocated Building	Support in Part	Amend as follows: Includes any <u>existing</u> building that is removed from one site...

Analysis

14.85 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.074) request that the definition of “Relocated Building” be amended to explicitly reference that it applies to ‘existing’ buildings. Following a review of the provisions to which the proposed definition relates, I consider that the definition is intended to apply to all buildings, except where they are ‘designed for, or intended to

be used on, a site, but which is constructed or prefabricated off-site, in whole or in parts, and transported to the site'. Therefore the definition does not need to be amended to reference existing buildings, as the definition as notified already applies to existing buildings by default. I recommend this submission point be rejected.

Recommendation

14.86 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.87 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Research Activity'

Submissions

14.88 Three submission points were received in relation to the definition of 'Research Activity'.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	060	Research Activity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The use of land and buildings for the purpose of scientific research, inquiry or investigation, product development and testing, and consultancy and marketing of research information; and includes laboratories, quarantines, pilot plant facilities, workshops and ancillary administrative, commercial, <u>educational facility</u> , and conference facility.
DPR-0213	Plant and Food & Landcare	023	Research Activity	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The use of land and buildings for the purpose of scientific research, inquiry or investigation, product development and testing, and consultancy and marketing of research information; and includes laboratories, quarantines, pilot plant facilities, workshops and ancillary administrative, commercial, <u>education facility</u> , and conference facility.
DPR-0342	AgResearch Limited	002	Research Activity	Support In Part	Amend the definition of "research activity" as follows: The use of land and buildings for the purpose of scientific research, inquiry or investigation, product development and testing, and consultancy and marketing of research information; and includes laboratories, quarantines, pilot plant facilities, workshops and ancillary administrative, commercial, <u>educational facility</u> , and conference facility.

Analysis

14.89 Lincoln University (DPR-0205.060), Plant and Food and Landcare (DPR-0213.023) and AgResearch Limited seek amendment to the definition of ‘Research Activity’ by inserting specific reference to educational facilities in the definition. The reasoning for this is that research activities can include ancillary on-site education and training, in the form of seminars, lectures, conferencing, field trips etc. I consider this is an appropriate change as it better reflects what type of ancillary activities can be anticipated in association with Research Activities occurring on a site. I recommend these submission points be accepted in part.

Recommendation

14.90 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- a) Amend ‘Research Activity’ as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity to plan users as to which ancillary activities are anticipated alongside Research Activities.

14.91 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Reverse Sensitivity’

Submissions

14.92 Forty five points, including 28 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of ‘Reverse Sensitivity’.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	011	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	068	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	051	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified
<i>DPR-0157</i>	<i>Kevin & Bonnie Williams</i>	<i>FS388</i>	<i>Reverse Sensitivity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>
<i>DPR-0209</i>	<i>Manmeet Singh</i>	<i>FS463</i>	<i>Reverse Sensitivity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part</i>
<i>DPR-0298</i>	<i>Trices Road Re-zoning Group</i>	<i>FS420</i>	<i>Reverse Sensitivity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
<i>DPR-0461</i>	<i>Dunweavin 2020 Ltd</i>	<i>FS468</i>	<i>Reverse Sensitivity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
<i>DPR-0492</i>	<i>Kevler Development Ltd</i>	<i>FS398</i>	<i>Reverse Sensitivity</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept submission in part</i>
<i>DPR-0493</i>	<i>Gallina Nominees Ltd</i>	<i>FS444</i>	<i>Reverse Sensitivity</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Accept the submission in part.</i>

	<i>& Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan</i>				
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	050	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS709	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS634	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS587	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS627	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS242	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS821	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	022	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows The potential for an approved, existing or permitted activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment, <u>intensification</u> or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by an approved, existing or permitted activity.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS023	Reverse Sensitivity	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS019	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Accept amendment.
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS591	Reverse Sensitivity	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS024	Reverse Sensitivity	Oppose	Not specified
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	FS032	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Accept submitters relief sought
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	009	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows The potential for an approved, existing or permitted activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more

					recent establishment, <u>intensification</u> or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by an approved, existing or permitted activity.
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS742	Reverse Sensitivity	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	011	Reverse sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows The potential for an approved, existing or permitted activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment, <u>intensification</u> or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by an approved, existing or permitted activity.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS076	Reverse sensitivity	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS069	Reverse sensitivity	Oppose	Not specified
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	056	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS523	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS891	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS738	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS770	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS086	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS647	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	009	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	058	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand -	077	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified

	North Canterbury				
DPR-0441	Trustpower Limited	012	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	013	Reverse sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The potential for an approved (whether by consent or designation), existing or permitted activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment or alteration of another activity <u>that</u> which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by <u>the</u> an approved, existing or permitted activity.
DPR-0448	New Zealand Defence Force	010	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The potential for an approved (whether by consent or designation) existing lawful or permitted activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by the an approved, existing or permitted <u>lawfully established existing</u> activity.
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS082	Reverse Sensitivity	Oppose In Part	Not specified
DPR-0453	Midland Port, Lyttelton Port Company Limited	013	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows The potential for an approved, existing or permitted activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment, <u>intensification or</u> alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by an approved, existing or permitted activity.
DPR-0454	Central Plains Water Limited	004	Reverse Sensitivity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The potential for an approved (whether by consent or designation), existing or permitted activity <u>or structure</u> to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by an approved, existing or permitted activity <u>or structure</u> .
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS085	Reverse Sensitivity	Oppose	Not specified
DPR-0458	KiwiRail Holdings	012	Reverse Sensitivity	Support	Retain as notified

	Limited (KiwiRail)				
--	-----------------------	--	--	--	--

Analysis

- 14.93 CIAL (DPR-0371.011), Fonterra (DPR-0370.009), Orion (DPR-0367.022), and LPC (DPR-0453.013) sought to include the word ‘intensification’ in the definition, to reflect that intensification of an activity can lead to reverse sensitivity effects. I agree with these submitters that the inclusion of the word ‘intensification’ in the definition would improve clarity. I therefore recommend that these submission points be accepted.
- 14.94 Transpower (DPR-0446.013) do not consider the wording of the definition to be grammatically correct and propose alternative wording. I agree and therefore recommend this submission point be accepted.
- 14.95 NZDF (DPR-0448.010) seeks amendments be made to the definition. The relief sought would see the reference to an ‘approved’ or ‘permitted’ activity, being replaced with the phrase ‘lawfully established existing’ activity. I consider this change could result in confusion for plan users as permitted activities could be activities that do not exist yet, as they may never have occurred on a site, but could. Council has opted to use the word ‘permitted’ as this is what is meant - an activity that is currently permitted by the Plan. I recommend this submission point be accepted in part, the phrase lawfully established should be incorporated into the definition in relation to existing activities.
- 14.96 CPW (DPR-0454.004) seek to amend the definition by including a reference to ‘structures’ in addition to activities. Both an existing structure (e.g. a cell tower) or the establishment of a new structure (e.g. a new residential unit) would be considered ‘activities’ for the purposes of this definition. I therefore do not consider it necessary to amend the definition to include an explicit reference to ‘structure’ within the definition. I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 14.97 NZ Pork (DPR-0142.011), Horticulture New Zealand (DPR-0353.068), RWRL (DPR-0358.051), IRHL (DPR-0363.050), RIHL (DPR-0374.056), Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (DPR-0375.009), RIDL (DPR-0384.058), Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.077), Trustpower Limited (DPR-0441.012) and KiwiRail (DPR-0458.012) Ten submissions were in full support of the definition ‘Reverse Sensitivity’. I accept these submission points in part.

Recommendation and amendments

- 14.98 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:
- a) Amend ‘Reverse Sensitivity’ as shown in **Appendix 2** to provide clarity to plan users as to the circumstances in which the definition applies.
- 14.99 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Sensitive Activity’

Submissions

14.100 Nineteen submission points, including 25 further submissions, were received in relation to the definition of “Sensitive Activity”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0142	New Zealand Pork Industry Board (NZ Pork)	014	Sensitive Activity	Oppose In Part	Amend the definition of sensitive activity to cover other activities that are equally sensitive to the effects of rural production, e.g: - Camping grounds - Community facilities - Conference facilities - Healthcare facilities
DPR-0342	AgResearch Limited	FS003	Sensitive Activity	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0464	New Zealand Motor Caravan Association Inc.	FS003	Sensitive Activity	Oppose	Not specified
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	015	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	072	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	052	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS389	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS464	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS421	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS469	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS399	Sensitive Activity	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS445	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0359	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	010	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	051	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS710	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS635	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS588	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS628	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS243	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS822	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0367	Orion New Zealand Limited	023	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS592	Sensitive Activity	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.
DPR-0368	Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd & Deer Industry New Zealand	003	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Means any: a. residential activity b. visitor accommodation c. community facility d. educational facility
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS426	Sensitive Activity	Oppose	Reject the submission
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS034	Sensitive Activity	Oppose	Disallow the submission.
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	012	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Means any: ... e. <u>health care facility.</u>
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS745	Sensitive Activity	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part
DPR-0371	Christchurch International Airport Limited	014	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Not specified.
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	FS079	Sensitive Activity	Oppose	Reject

DPR-0372	Dairy Holdings Limited	017	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	057	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS524	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS892	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS739	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS771	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS087	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS648	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	010	Sensitive Activity	Support In Part	Amend to also include the following: - Major healthcare facility - Retirement Village - Sleep-Out - Habitable Room - Supported Residential Accommodation - Marae - Places of Worship - Community facility - Educational facility
DPR-0378	The Ministry of Education	004	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	059	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0390	Rakaia Irrigation Limited (RIL)	009	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	005	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	082	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	014	Sensitive Activity	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 14.101 Fifteen submissions were made in full support of the definition as notified. I recommend these submission points are accepted.
- 14.102 NZ Pork (DPR-0142.014), Fonterra Limited (DPR-0370.012) and Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency (DPR-00375.010) seek the types of activities that are considered sensitive be extended. I consider that the types of activities being requested to be inserted into the definition are already subsets of the activities in the definition e.g. Healthcare Facilities, Marae and places of worship are defined as 'Community Facilities' and sleepouts, retirement villages, habitable rooms and supported residential accommodation are covered by the proposed 'Residential Activity' definition. I also note that both the 'Community Facility' and 'Residential Activity' definitions are sourced from the National Planning Standards and their meanings will not be altered through the DPR process. I recommend these submission points be rejected.
- 14.103 CIAL (DPR-0371.014) considers the definition of 'Sensitive Activity' is a duplication of the definition of 'Noise Sensitive Activity'. Whilst the definitions do appear to be similar, this is not a duplication and is necessary because a 'Sensitive Activity' has a wider meaning that just those that may be sensitive to noise e.g. the term is used to manage amenity and reverse sensitivity effects associated with intensive livestock production in GRUZ. The submitter has not sought any specific relief in relation to this submission point. I recommend this submission point be rejected.
- 14.104 Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd & Deer Industry New Zealand (DPR-0368.003) sought to amend the definition as notified by removing the clause a. referring to 'Residential Activity'. Residential activities are considered to be sensitive to the effects of other activities in the surrounding environment given that people have an expectation of a reasonable level of amenity within their place of residence, particularly as it can be occupied on a permanent basis. Amenity expectations also vary depending on the quality of the receiving environment and their perception of the types of the effects that should be anticipated. I recommend this submission point be rejected.

Recommendation

- 14.105 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.
- 14.106 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

'Setback'

Submissions

- 14.107 Two submission points, including one further submission, were received in relation to the definition of "Setback".

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0362	John Ferguson	001	Setback	Oppose	Review verb and noun usage of the term 'setback' and amend the plan accordingly.
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	013	Setback	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS746	Setback	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part

Analysis

14.108 Fonterra Limited (DPR-0370.013) supports the definition of “Setback” as notified. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

14.109 John Ferguson (DPR-0362.001) does not technically oppose the definition of “Setback” but the way the term is used across the PDP. The submitter has requested that the verb and noun usage of the term is reviewed and amended accordingly. The PDP currently uses the term ‘setback’ where the definition applies and states that something should be ‘set back’ a certain distance when advising plan users how far from a boundary something should be located. I consider this approach to be appropriate and following a review of the Plan provisions, is a consistent approach across the PDP. I recommend this submission point be rejected.

Recommendation

14.110 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.111 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Township Network’

Submissions

14.112 Twenty seven submission points, including 21 further submission points, were received in relation to the definition of “Township Network”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	004	Township Network	Oppose In Part	Amend the definition of Township Network as follows: 3. Service Townships - West Melton, Prebbleton, Darfield and Leeston Function is based on providing a high amenity residential environment and primary services to Rural Townships and surrounding rural area. Darfield and Leeston act as Key Activity Centres for the wider district. <u>Prebbleton whilst a service activity centre is strategically located close to, and well connected to, Rolleston and Lincoln Key Activity Centres and the large and growing South West Christchurch industrial and business areas. Given this strategic location it can support a wide range of commercial, industrial and residential activities, and a larger population (10 000 +) than anticipated for the secondary west Selwyn Key Activity Centres (i.e. Darfield and Leeston).</u>
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential	057	Township Network	Support	Retain as notified

	Limited (RWRL)				
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS394	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS469	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS426	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS474	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS404	Township Network	Support	Accept submission in part
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS450	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	056	Township Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS715	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS640	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS593	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS633	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO
DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS248	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS827	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	062	Township Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0157	Kevin & Bonnie Williams	FS529	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS897	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part
DPR-0298	Trices Road Re-zoning Group	FS744	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept submission in part
DPR-0461	Dunweavin 2020 Ltd	FS776	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.

DPR-0492	Kevler Development Ltd	FS092	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.
DPR-0493	Gallina Nominees Ltd & Heinz-Wattie Ltd Pension Plan	FS653	Township Network	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.
DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	064	Township Network	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	007	Township Network	Oppose	Amend the definition of Township Network to read: Service Townships - West Melton, Prebbleton, Darfield and Leeston Function is based on providing a high amenity residential environment and primary services to Rural Townships and surrounding rural area. Darfield and Leeston act as Key Activity Centres for the wider district. <u>West Melton and Prebbleton whilst service centres are strategically located close to, and well connected to the District Centre (Rolleston) and Subdistrict Centre (Lincoln) respectively and major employment hubs in west Christchurch. They support a wide range of commercial, industrial and residential activities, and a larger population than anticipated for the smaller west Selwyn service centres (i.e. Darfield and Leeston).</u>
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS007	Township Network	Oppose	That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties.
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS006	Township Network	Oppose	Disallow in full
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS025	Township Network	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.

Analysis

14.113 RWRL (DPR-0358.057), IRHL (DPR-0363.056), RIHL (DPR-0347.062) and RIDL (DPR-0384.064) support the definition of “Township Network” as notified. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

14.114 Marama Te Wai Ltd (DPR-0460.007) and Trices Road Re-zoning Group (DPR-0298.004) seek amendment the definition of “Township Network. Both submitters sought to amend the definition by including more detail surrounding the roles of Prebbleton and West Melton as ‘Service Townships’. The definition for “Township Network” has been adopted from the description provided in the District’s Development Strategy – Selwyn 2031 (pgs 33 and 34). Selwyn 2031 categorised each Township to reflect its projected population at 2031 and its anticipated role in relation to the surrounding township and the district as a whole. The definition is intended to provide a brief description of the scale, function and character of township. As such, I do not consider it necessary to elaborate on the role of Prebbleton and West Melton as part of the Township Network given that the text indicates the placement of each township within the hierarchy of Townships. I recommend these submission points be rejected.

Recommendation

14.115 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

14.116 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Waste’

Submissions

14.117 One submission point was received in relation to the definition of “Waste”.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	090	Waste	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Has the meaning given in the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 and includes: a. means any thing disposed of or discarded; and b. includes a type of waste that is defined by its composition or source (for example, organic waste, electronic waste, or construction and demolition waste); and c. to avoid doubt, <u>includes</u> any component or element of diverted material, if the component or element is disposed of or discarded.

Analysis

14.118 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.045) seek a minor amendment to the definition of “Waste”. The definition, which is intended to replicate the definition provided of the Waste Minimisation Act is missing the word ‘includes’ in clause c. This omission is in error and has been fixed via a clause 16(2) amendment. I recommend this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

14.119 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.

14.120 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

15. New definitions proposed by Submitters

15.1 In addition to those definitions provided by National Direction Instruments (RMA, Planning standards etc.) and those developed by Council, 27 ‘new’ definitions are sought via submission. These ‘new’ definitions will be considered at the same time as the associated provisions are being considered through other hearing streams, except for the three ‘new’ definitions that are requested to be applied across the PDP and do not have specific provisions associated with them, being:

- Minimise
- Removal
- Re-siting

15.2 The merits of including these new definitions is discussed below.

‘Minimise’

Submissions

15.3 Eleven submission points, including 10 further submissions, were received seeking to include a new definition of “Minimise”.

Submitter ID	Submitter	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Summary of decision sought
DPR-0427	Lou Sanson, Director-General of Conservation	019	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert a new definition for ‘minimise’ as follows: <u>Reduce to the smallest amount reasonably practicable. Minimised and minimising have the corresponding meaning</u>
DPR-0301	Upper Waimakariri/Rakaia Group (UWRG)	FS161	New	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0358	Rolleston West Residential Limited (RWRL)	FS014	New	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0363	Iport Rolleston Holdings Limited (IRHL)	FS014	New	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	FS003	New	Oppose	Reject the submission.
DPR-0374	Rolleston Industrial Holdings Limited (RIHL)	FS014	New	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency	FS016	New	Oppose In Part	Reject proposed amendment

DPR-0384	Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL)	FS014	New	Oppose	Reject
DPR-0407	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc. (Forest & Bird)	FS185	New	Support	Accept the submission
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	FS012	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission point.
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	FS052	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	If the submission is allowed, give carefully consideration to the consequences of applying such a definition where the term is used throughout the proposed District Plan.

Analysis

- 15.4 The Director-General of Conservation (DPR-0427.019) seeks the inclusion of a new definition of “Minimise”. The term ‘Minimise’ is used across the PDP. The Oxford Dictionary defines “Minimise” as ‘to reduce (something, especially something undesirable) to the smallest possible amount or degree’ (New Zealand, Oxford Dictionary, p716). Given the submitter is seeking a new definition which strongly aligns with the dictionary definition it is considered unnecessary to have an additional term defined in the Plan, especially as it is a commonly used term in the RMA context. I therefore recommend that this submission point be rejected.

Recommendation

- 15.5 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.
- 15.6 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

‘Removal’ and ‘Re-siting’

Submissions

- 15.7 Two submission points were received seeking to include new definitions of “Removal” and “Re-siting”.

Submitter Name	Submitter ID	Submission Point #	Plan Reference	Position	Relief Sought by Submitter
DPR-0296	House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	008	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert new definition: <u>Removal: means the shifting of a building off a site and excludes demolition of a building</u></p> <p>Alternatively amend "residential activity to include the following: <u>"... and includes the construction,</u></p>

					<u>alteration, demolition, relocation, removal and re-siting of a dwelling"</u>
DPR-0296	House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc	009	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert new definition: <u>Re-siting: means shifting a building within a site</u></p> <p>Alternatively amend "residential activity to include the following: <u>"... and includes the construction, alteration, demolition, relocation, removal and re-siting of a dwelling"</u></p>

Analysis

- 15.8 House Movers Section of the New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Inc. (DPR-0296.008 and DPR-0296.009) seeks to add new definitions of 'Removal' and 'Re-siting' or to amend the National Planning Standards definition of 'Residential Activity'. As detailed in Section 13 of this report, amendments to any definition provided by the National Planning Standards is not possible through the District Plan Review Process, therefore that aspect of the submitter's relief sought is not able to be considered as part of this analysis.
- 15.9 The term 'Removal' is currently used across the PDP, referring to activities beyond just removing a building from a site. This term is also used in relation to removal of notable trees, indigenous vegetation and waste. The term "Re-siting" is also not currently used in the PDP. The common meaning of the terms 'Removal' and 'Re-siting' are both self-explanatory. I do not consider it necessary to include additional definitions in the PDP where the common accepted meaning of the term is clear. I recommend these submission points be rejected.

Recommendation

- 15.10 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.
- 15.11 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

16. NDI - National Direction Instruments

Introduction

- 16.1 The inclusion of the National Direction Instruments section of the Plan is required by the planning standards. Updates will be made as required to recognise changes to National Direction Instruments, for example when new National Environmental Standards are gazetted, or existing ones are updated.

Submissions

16.2 Four submission points were received in relation to the “National Directions Instruments section” of the PDP.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	080	NDI1	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	081	NDI2	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	082	NDI3	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0353	Horticulture New Zealand	083	NDI4	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

16.3 Horticulture New Zealand supports the “National Directions Instruments section”. I recommend these submission points be accepted.

Recommendation

16.4 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified.

16.5 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

17. MANA - Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua

Introduction

17.1 The planning standards, set out District Plan requirements relating to the structure of this section. The provisions in the Tangata whenua/Mana whenua section must only include context and process-related provisions. Other tangata whenua/mana whenua provisions must be integrated throughout the plan where the local authority determines it is appropriate.

Submissions

17.2 Twenty one submission points, including four further submissions, were received in relation to this chapter.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
--------------	----------------	------------------	----------------	----------	--------------------

DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	005	MANA3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Land uses especially increasing development have affected water quality and mahinga kai (food gathering) sites and have increased the threat to areas of cultural value in Te Waihora, Muriwai and surrounding areas. These effects have <u>impact</u> the relationship local iwi have with the land, waahi tapu and other waahi toanga and <u>may affect</u> limit the ability of Maori to engage in the traditional practice of kaitiakitanga. Te Waihora is the centre of the takiwā of Te Taumutu Runanga and the adjoining lands are shared in common interest with Te Ngai Tūāhuriri Runanga and Te Runanga o Arowhenua.
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	006	MANA3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: As a whole the Selwyn District is rich in places of cultural significance, mahinga kai and ancestral values. All of these places are held in high esteem and with close cultural relationships by the iwi and with local bodies <u>entities and individuals</u> working to facilitate the kaitiakitanga of these sites.
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	007	MANA7	Oppose In Part	Delete first paragraph and replace with: <u>At the time of this plan becoming operative the Selwyn District Council held formal relationship agreements with local iwi. Current agreements relevant to the district plan can be viewed on the Selwyn District Council website.</u>
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	008	MANA8	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The following iwi planning documents are relevant and will be taken into account in decision-making processes under the District Plan. Selwyn District Council and Ngai Tahu will continue to work together in all ongoing policy and Mahaanui Iwi Management Plan work <u>alongside other interested and/or affected parties</u> and updating the District Plan <u>where necessary. in response to this work.</u>
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	002	MANA1	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	003	MANA2	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	004	MANA3	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	005	MANA4	Support	Retain as notified

DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	006	MANA5	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	007	MANA6	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	008	MANA7	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	009	MANA8	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0343	Canterbury District Health Board	010	MANA9	Support	Retain as notified
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	093	MANA3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Land uses especially increasing development have affected water quality and mahinga kai (food gathering) sites and have increased the threat to areas of cultural value in Te Waihora, Muriwai and surrounding areas. These effects have <u>impact</u> the relationship local iwi have with the land, waahi tapu and other waahi toanga and <u>may affect</u> limit the ability of Maori to engage in the traditional practice of kaitiakitanga. Te Waihora is the centre of the takiwā of Te Taumutu Runanga and the adjoining lands are shared in common interest with Te Ngai Tūāhuriri Runanga and Te Runanga o Arowhenua..
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS022	MANA3	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	094	MANA3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: As a whole the Selwyn District is rich in places of cultural significance, mahinga kai and ancestral values. All of these places are held in high esteem and with close cultural relationships by the iwi and with local bodies <u>entities and individuals</u> working to facilitate the kaitiakitanga of these sites.
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS023	MANA3	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	095	MANA7	Oppose In Part	Delete first paragraph and replace with: <u>At the time of this plan becoming operative the Selwyn District Council held formal relationship agreements with local iwi. Current</u>

					<u>agreements relevant to the district plan can be viewed on the Selwyn District Council website.</u>
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS024	MANA7	Support	Allow in full
DPR-0422	Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury	096	MANA8	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The following iwi planning documents are relevant and will be taken into account in decision-making processes under the District Plan. Selwyn District Council and Ngai Tahu will continue to work together in all ongoing policy and Mahaanui Iwi Management Plan work <u>alongside other interested and/or affected parties</u> and updating the District Plan <u>where necessary</u> . in response to this work.
DPR-0212	Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated	FS025	MANA8	Support	Allow in full

Analysis

- 17.3 Canterbury District Health Board (DPR-0343.002 – DPR-0343.009) supports the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua chapter. I recommend the submission points related to MANA1- MANA2 and MANA4 - MANA9 are accepted and that the submission point related to MANA3 (DPR-0343.0041) is accepted in part.
- 17.4 Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated (DPR.0212.005) and Federated Farmers of New Zealand – North Canterbury (DPR.0422.093) seek amendments to the tone and terminology. I consider that the tone and terminology used in this chapter, which was developed through a co-operative process with input from Mahaanui Kurataiao Ltd, is generally appropriate to accurately depict the relationship of Tangata Whenua and the Council. I do however, consider the relief sought by Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR.0422.093) and Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated (DPR-0212.005) to replace the word 'harm' with 'impact', and 'limit' with 'may affect' in paragraph 3 of MANA3 would allow for recognition of positive impacts and/or affects alongside negative ones. I recommend these submission points be accepted.
- 17.5 In addition to the relief detailed above, Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated (DPR-0212.006/DPR-0212.008) and Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR-0422.094/DPR-0422.096) also seek further amendments be made to the MANA3, MANA7, and MANA8. The submitters believe that the term 'local bodies' in paragraph 5 of MANA3 can be interpreted as meaning 'councils' only and that this does not adequately recognise the work being done by other parties to facilitate the kaitiakitanga of sites across the District. As detailed in sections 17.4 and 17.5 of this report, the purpose of this chapter is to outline the relationship specifically between Tangata Whenua and Council. I recommend these submission points be rejected.

17.6 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR.0422.095) and Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated (DPR-0212.007) both consider Local Authority agreements with local iwi are important, but that over time these may change in content and title, and additional agreements of relevance may subsequently be created. Therefore, they are seeking MANA7 be deleted and replaced to recognise the flexibility of these arrangements, as they consider it would be more appropriate that any further detail about them be provided via the Council website rather than the District Plan. I disagree with the submitter's position, as if a change is made, or a new document is created, Council should be taking the time to review and/or change the existing provisions to better align with the documents, as is the case with any of the National Direction Instruments provided by the Ministry for the Environment. In addition, the planning standards also direct that links to these documents should be included in the District Plan. I recommend these submission points be rejected.

17.7 Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR.0422.095) and Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated (DPR-0212.007) also consider that other parties who are directly and significantly impacted by changes in planning provisions should also be referenced, to ensure better environmental and partnership outcomes. The submitters therefore seek paragraph 1 of MANA8 is amended to reference interested and/or affected parties. As discussed above, in reference to MANA3, I consider that amending paragraph 1 of MANA8 as requested by the submitter is inappropriate as it does not align with the content requirements for the Tangata Whenua/Mana Whenua section as outlined in the planning standards. I recommend these submission points be rejected.

Recommendation

17.8 I recommend for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel:

- a) Amend paragraph 3 of MANA3³ as shown in **Appendix 2** to allow for recognition of positive impacts and/or affects alongside negative ones

17.9 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

18. PART 4 – APP3 Height in relation to boundary

Submissions

18.1 Three submission points were received in relation to Appendix 3 – Height in Relation to Boundary.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested
DPR-0101	Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand	032	APP3	Support	Retain the height in relation to boundary control exemptions as notified.

³ Federated Farmers of New Zealand - North Canterbury (DPR.0422.093) and Ellesmere Sustainable Agriculture Incorporated (DPR-0212.005)

	Trading Limited & Vodafone New Zealand Limited				
DPR-0126	Foster Commercial	013	APP3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend APP3 Height in Relation to Boundary to remove confusion between A, B and C reference in the diagram and in the subsequent clauses.
DPR-0446	Transpower New Zealand Limited	062	APP3	Support	Retain as notified

Analysis

- 18.2 Chorus (DPR-0101.032) and Transpower (DPR-0446.062) support APP 3. I recommend these submission points be accepted.
- 18.3 Foster Commercial (DPR-0126.013) sought amendment to APP 3 to reduce confusion. The submitter considered that the use of 'A', 'B' and 'C' in both the diagram and the clauses creates uncertainty in terms of which height in relation to boundary measure should be applied where. The intention is not for the reference in the diagram to align with a clause and has subsequently been fixed by referencing the diagram as '1', '2' and '3' via clause 16(2) amendment. I therefore recommend that this submission point be accepted.

Recommendation

- 18.4 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel retain the provision as notified, subject to clause 16(2) amendments being undertaken as identified above.
- 18.5 It is recommended that submissions and further submissions are either accepted, accepted in part or rejected as shown in **Appendix 1**.

19. S32AA Evaluation for all Recommended Amendments

- 19.1 Section 32AA of the RMA requires a further evaluation to be undertaken in accordance with s32(1)-(4) if any amendment has been made to the proposal (in this case the PDP) since the original s32 evaluation report was completed. Section 32AA requires that the evaluation is undertaken in a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the changes. Minor changes to correct errors or improve the readability of the PDP have not been individually evaluated. In terms of s32AA, these minor amendments are efficient and effective in improving the administration of the PDP provisions, being primarily matters of clarification rather than substance.
- 19.2 I consider the recommended changes are of a minor nature and are intended to improve the workability of the PDP, and therefore further evaluation under s32AA is not required.

20. Conclusion

- 20.1 For the reasons included throughout this report, I consider that the amended provisions will be efficient and effective in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of this plan and other relevant statutory documents.

