

## Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Amended as of 18 November 2022, in response to questions from Hearing Panel

### Notes to readers:

- Errors identified in the summary of submissions, as addressed in the s42A report, have been corrected, and shown in blue highlight in the table below.
- Amendment recommendations proposed in response to the Hearing Panels questions are shown in green highlight.

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0005	Jessica Graham	001	GRZ-R6	Oppose	Either retain the fencing rules in the operative district plan, where a boundary fence on a corner section along a secondary road may be up to 1.8m in height, or requests that if the rules change, only enforce the rule for new fences only, not existing ones.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0005	Jessica Graham	002	LLRZ-R6	Oppose	Either retain the fencing rules in the operative district plan, where a boundary fence on a corner section along a secondary road may be up to 1.8m in height, or requests that if the rules change, only enforce the rule for new fences only, not existing ones.	Reject	9
DPR-0005	Jessica Graham	003	LRZ-R6	Oppose	Either retain the fencing rules in the operative district plan, where a boundary fence on a corner section along a secondary road may be up to 1.8m in height, or requests that if the rules change, only enforce the rule for new fences only, not existing ones.	Accept in part	10
DPR-0005	Jessica Graham	004	SETZ-R6	Oppose	Either retain the fencing rules in the operative district plan, where a boundary fence on a corner section along a secondary road may be up to 1.8m in height, or requests that if the rules change, only enforce the rule for new fences only, not existing ones.	Accept in part	12
DPR-0030	Elizabeth Owen	001	LLRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0030	Elizabeth Owen	002	LRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0030	Elizabeth Owen	003	GRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0030	Elizabeth Owen	004	SETZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0037	Ross Liddicoat	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone	Reject	11
DPR-0039	Jennifer Hardy	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0040	Lucy Liu	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0051	Prateek Sharma	001	GRZ-R3	Support	Retain rules that allow minor residential units (family flats) without the requirement that only family members can live in them.	Accept	11
DPR-0051	Prateek Sharma	002	LLRZ-R3	Support	Retain rules that allow minor residential units (family flats) without the requirement that only family members can live in them.	Accept	9
DPR-0051	Prateek Sharma	003	LRZ-R3	Support	Retain rules that allow minor residential units (family flats) without the requirement that only family members can live in them.	Accept	10
DPR-0051	Prateek Sharma	004	SETZ-R3	Support	Retain rules that allow minor residential units (family flats) without the requirement that only family members can live in them.	Accept	12
<i>DPR-0498</i>	<i>Gordon Hamilton</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>SETZ-R3</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Supports flats on larger rural sections but opposes non-family flats in smaller sections in residential areas.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>12</i>
DPR-0051	Prateek Sharma	005	GRUZ-R6	Support	Retain rules that allow minor residential units (family flats) without the requirement that only family members can live in them.	Accept	5
DPR-0054	Julie Westland	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0059	Dothery Hunter	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0064	James Richard Kendall	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0065	Linda Kathryn Kendall	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0069	Paul McStay Ltd	001	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Delete GRZ-REQ8.1.b and replace as follows: <u>b. each habitable room having a window glass area of at least 2sqm facing the road or public space.</u>	Accept in part	11
DPR-0069	Paul McStay Ltd	002	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Amend 15m to 16m minimum road frontage in Table SUB-5 in respect to the General Residential Zone.	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS129	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS129	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS129	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS129	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0073	Vicki Bool	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0075	Laura Rich	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0076	Stephen Rich	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0078	Ian Laurenson	004	LLRZ-R3	Support	Requests that rule has immediate legal effect.	Reject	9
DPR-0078	Ian Laurenson	007	LRZ-R3	Support	Requests that rule has immediate legal effect.	Reject	10
DPR-0078	Ian Laurenson	009	GRZ-R3	Support	Requests that rule has immediate legal effect.	Reject	11
DPR-0078	Ian Laurenson	010	SETZ-R3	Support	Requests that rule has immediate legal effect.	Reject	12
DPR-0081	Trevor McIvor	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0084	Jason Hardy	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0086	Bevan Duke	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0087	Nico Van Der Zwet	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0089	Eddie Louis Wipere	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0090	Terina Keelan	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0091	Daniel Mladek	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0092	Blanka Mladek	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0094	John James	001	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend SUB-REQ1 by replacing the minimum standard of 3000sqm for LLRZ with the existing standard in the operative district plan set under the Living 2A zone for the Trices Road area, Prebbleton which is a minimum of 5000sqm.	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS347	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS119	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS119	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS119	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS119	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0095	John Jones	001	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend the proposed plan to prevent any subdivision of land in Manor Drive and Sheralea subdivision below the present minimum site area (that exists in the operative district plan).	Reject	13
DPR-0100	Annette Shankie	001	LLRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
DPR-0100	Annette Shankie	002	LRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0100	Annette Shankie	003	GRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0100	Annette Shankie	004	SETZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0498	Gordon Hamilton	FS001	SETZ-R3	Oppose In Part	The amendment should only be allowed for larger sections where road access is not an issue. If off street parking is not available there must be on street parking on the section frontage.	Reject	12
DPR-0101	Chorus, Spark & Vodafone	044	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Insert matters of control or discretion to each zone requiring consideration of any reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure where the zone height standard is exceeded by more than 2m and do not include any rules on notification in the Proposed Plan that preclude consideration of important infrastructure	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					as affected parties under s95E of the RMA where resource consent to exceed height limits is required.		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS103	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Not Specified	Reject	8
DPR-0102	Rowan Trauē	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0103	Joe Taipari	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0108	Jaclyn Phillott	002	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Amend so that the requirements are based on the size of the dwelling and number of people intending to use the space.	Reject	11
DPR-0108	Jaclyn Phillott	003	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Amend by either deleting the requirement for a specimen tree (shrubbery should be sufficient to create an attractive road frontage) or, if the specimen tree requirement is to remain, the final height should be restricted to below the height of overhead powerlines.	Reject	11
DPR-0108	Jaclyn Phillott	004	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose	Delete GRZ-REQ11.1.e. as notified.	Reject	11
DPR-0108	Jaclyn Phillott	005	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose	Delete all requirements on ground floor glazing in GRZ-REQ12.1	Reject	11
DPR-0108	Jaclyn Phillott	006	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Amend to a maximum height of 1.8m with minimum spacings of 20mm between fence palings to allow for slight visibility, but also maintain privacy especially for houses on busy main roads or with heavy pedestrian use.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0108	Jaclyn Phillott	007	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Amend rule requirement so that total site coverage for general residential zones is increased to 50%, or should be increased further when in close proximity to a local park.	Reject	11
DPR-0109	Linda Mclvor	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0110	Paula Michelle Rich	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0112	Nathan Bool	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0113	Gerrad Frater	001	GRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend to maintain an internal setback of 5 metres minimum and a setback of 10m from road boundaries in	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					order to maintain the character of larger residential properties. Any encroachment on this should be mitigated by suitable landscaping to reduce the impact.		
DPR-0113	Gerrad Frater	002	GRZ-R14	Support In Part	Amend to include additional rules to clarify the difference between home sharing and commercial accommodation in residential areas. Refer to original submission for full decision requested.	Reject	11
DPR-0114	Li Lihua	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0115	Ni Ping	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0119	Karen Meares	001	GRZ-R14	Support In Part	Amend the limit of five paying guests to increase to six paying guests.	Reject	11
DPR-0120	Ron Clark	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0121	Kenneth Wayne Scott	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	034	LLRZ-R23	Oppose	Amend plan objectives, policies and methods, including rules, to recognise the landfill classification system in WasteMINZ Guidelines, and establish appropriate policy and rules that reflect the classification of the landfill.	Reject	9
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	035	LRZ-R29	Oppose	Amend plan objectives, policies and methods, including rules, to recognise the landfill classification system in WasteMINZ Guidelines, and establish appropriate policy and rules that reflect the classification of the landfill.	Reject	10
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	036	GRZ-R27	Oppose	Amend plan objectives, policies and methods, including rules, to recognise the landfill classification system in WasteMINZ Guidelines, and establish appropriate policy and rules that reflect the classification of the landfill.	Reject	11
DPR-0122	Frews Quarries Ltd	037	SETZ-R29	Oppose	Amend plan objectives, policies and methods, including rules, to recognise the landfill classification system in WasteMINZ Guidelines, and establish appropriate policy and rules that reflect the classification of the landfill.	Reject	12
DPR-0123	Sharon Scott	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	010	SETZ-O1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	12
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	011	SETZ-P1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	12
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	012	LLRZ-O1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	9
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	013	LLRZ-P1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	9
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	041	SETZ-P2	Support	Not specified.	Accept	12
DPR-0129	Michelle Leath	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	012	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Amend as follows: Provide for a <del>very</del> low density and spacious residential character by:	Reject	9
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS274</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS274</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
DPR-0138	Helen Adrienne Hayes	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0146	Gregory Kenneth Frear	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3.	Reject	11
DPR-0147	Sandy de Vries	001	Comprehensive Development	Support In Part	Amend the definition of 'comprehensive development' from 4 to 3 or more residential units to allow for 900sqm sections to develop in this way.	Reject	7
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS004</i>	<i>Comprehensive Development</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0363</i>	<i>IRHL</i>	<i>FS004</i>	<i>Comprehensive Development</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0374</i>	<i>RIHL</i>	<i>FS004</i>	<i>Comprehensive Development</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS004</i>	<i>Comprehensive Development</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>7</i>
DPR-0147	Sandy de Vries	002	RESZ-O4	Support	Not specified.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0148	Jenny McLean	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0149	Arneka de Vries	001	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0149	Arneka de Vries	002	RESZ-P13	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
DPR-0151	Leslie Adamson	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0152	Maureen Dobbin	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	009	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Provide for a <del>very</del> low density and spacious residential character by: .....	Reject	9
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS275</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS275</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0588</i>	<i>Michael House</i>	<i>FS029</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
DPR-0159	Lincoln Envirotown Trust	001	RESZ	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Request that Council make changing these current trends in housing development a priority.	Reject	14
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>RESZ</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>RESZ</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>14</i>
DPR-0170	Allison & Paul Rosanowski	001	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend the provisions for LLRZ to enable lots to be divided into 1ha lots where services, like water and roading, are already available.	Reject	13
DPR-0172	Stephen Bensberg, Sharon Bensberg & Ryan Bensberg	002	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend Sub REQ1.7 Table 2. by adding a classification to an average lot size of 2000sqm and a minimum lot size of 1000sqm. Consider possible zone name suggestion of Low Residential Zone -Waterbridge.	Reject	13
DPR-0173	S & S Bensberg	001	GRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0175	Philip Clement Dickie	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0177	Andrew O'Donoghue	001	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: .... b. <del>at least 20% glazing in the facade facing the road or</del>	Accept in part	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<del>public space</del> each habitable room having a window glass area of at least 2m <sup>2</sup> facing the road or public space; and ....		
DPR-0177	Andrew O'Donoghue	002	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Amend Table SUB-5 as follows: General Residential Zone15m16m	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS130	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS130	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS130	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS130	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0177	Andrew O'Donoghue	003	New	Support	Insert a definition of 'facade'	Reject	7
DPR-0187	Graeme Stott	001	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend the minimum site size for LLRZ from 3000sqm to 1400sqm.	Reject	13
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS003	SUB-REQ1	Support	Accept the submission (minimum LLR lot size 1400m <sup>2</sup> ) in the event that the submission 136 request for minimum LLR size of 1000m <sup>2</sup> is not accepted.	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS120	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS120	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS120	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS120	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0453	LPC	FS008	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS004	SUB-REQ1	Support	Accept the submission (minimum LLR lot size 1400m <sup>2</sup> ) in the event that the submission 488 request for minimum LLR size of 1000m <sup>2</sup> is not accepted.	Reject	13
DPR-0491	Paul and Sue Robinson	FS003	SUB-REQ1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Accept the submission, but specify minimum lot size or minimum average lot size as minimums only, to retain the ability to subdivide at lower densities within the LLR Zone.	Reject	13
DPR-0561	The Small Billing Home Trust	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Support	Support the relief sought	Reject	13
DPR-0568	Neil Milmine	FS002	SUB-REQ1	Support	Amend the LLRZ minimum net site area to 1400m <sup>2</sup> , or 1,000 m <sup>2</sup> if within scope.	Reject	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0189	Holly Johnstone & Luke Feast	001	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend the minimum average site area for subdivision within LLRZ to between 4000-4500sqm as opposed to 5000sqm.	Reject	13
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Accept in Part	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS348	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS121	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS121	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS121	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS121	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0453	LPC	FS009	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part: The minimum and average lot sizes in the LLR should be reduced but to greater extent than sought by submitter 189 ie to 1000m <sup>2</sup> and 2000m <sup>2</sup> respectively as sought in our submission (488)	Reject	13
DPR-0491	Paul and Sue Robinson	FS002	SUB-REQ1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Accept the submission, but specify minimum lot size or minimum average lot size as minimums only, to retain the ability to subdivide at lower densities within the LLR Zone.	Reject	13
DPR-0192	Merf Ag Services & Reed	005	GRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend GRZ-R14 to remove GRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance	Reject	11
DPR-0192	Merf Ag Services & Reed	006	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose	Amend RESZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development, and Retirement Village to read: <del>1. Effects on character and amenity values of nearby residential areas and public spaces from building intensity, scale, location, form and appearance.</del> <u>1. Residential amenity for neighbours, in respect of outlook, scale, privacy, light spill, and access to sunlight, through site design, building, outdoor living space and service/storage space location and orientation, internal</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>layouts, landscaping and use of screening;</u> 2. ....		
DPR-0193	Sonia Mooyman	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0194	Janice Norton	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0195	Allan Ogilvy	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0197	Pam Hoskins & Ron Koole	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0201	Melanie Hoskins	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	010	Commercial Precincts	Support In Part	Retain PREC3 as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	012	RESZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	013	RESZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	014	RESZ-P8	Oppose	Amend as follows: <del>Avoid</del> <u>Manage</u> the creation of minor residential units that: ...	Accept in part	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	015	RESZ-P12	Oppose	Amend so that policy: - provides for retirement villages to enable Selwyn residents to age in place; - requires the scale and appearance of built form to be compatible with a residential context. - acknowledges that a greater scale of activity and built form is acceptable, subject to impacts on the surrounding environment being appropriately managed; - requires a high level of on-site amenity for residents.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	016	RESZ-P14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	017	RESZ-P15	Support In Part	Amend policy to include a clause recognising that a larger scale of commercial activity is anticipated in specific locations adjacent to the Town Centre zone.	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0204	JP Singh	018	RESZ-P15	Support In Part	Amend to provide direction to support the non-complying activity status for general commercial activities.	Reject	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	019	RESZ-P15	Support In Part	Insert a clause recognising that a larger scale of commercial activity is anticipated in specific locations adjacent to the TCZ.	Reject	8
DPR-0204	JP Singh	020	GRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	021	GRZ-R11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	022	GRZ-REQ11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	023	GRZ-R12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	024	GRZ-REQ12	Support In Part	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	025	GRZ-REQ12	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. When compliance with any of GRZ-REQ12.1. is not achieved: <del>DISRDIS</del>	Accept	11
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS296</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ12</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS296</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ12</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0204	JP Singh	026	GRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <del>GRZ-REQ3 Height</del> GRZ-REQ4 Height in Relation to Boundary <del>GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> GRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village <del>GRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del>	Reject	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	027	GRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: <del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> ...	Reject	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	028	GRZ-REQ13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village shall:	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>...</p> <p>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</p> <p>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</p> <p>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</p> <p>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</p> <p>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</p> <p>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</p>		
DPR-0204	JP Singh	029	GRZ-REQ13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. When compliance with any of GRZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DIS</del> <u>SRDIS</u>	Accept in part	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	030	GRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0204	JP Singh	031	GRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0207	SDC	051	LRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any accessory building, <u>excluding any ancillary structure or fence</u>, shall, where the wall length is greater than 7m, be setback:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Any accessory building, <u>excluding any ancillary structure or fence</u>, shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback:</p>	Reject	10
DPR-0207	SDC	052	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any accessory building, <u>excluding any ancillary structure or fence</u>, shall, where the wall length is greater than 7m, be setback:</p>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					... 2. Any accessory building, <u>excluding any ancillary structure or fence</u> , shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback:		
DPR-0207	SDC	053	SETZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any accessory building, <u>excluding any ancillary structure or fence</u> , shall, where the wall length is greater than 7m, be setback: ... 2. Any accessory building, <u>excluding any ancillary structure or fence</u> , shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback:	Reject	12
DPR-0207	SDC	055	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any small site development shall: ... be setback a minimum of: i. 3m from any road boundary or shared accessway; and ii. 2m from any internal boundary; except that iii. no internal boundary setback is required <del>for any</del> <u>where a building shares a common wall with another building; where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of 5.5m from that boundary;</u> <del>iv. no internal boundary setback is required for any garage, provided that the total length of the garage adjacent to the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m;</del>	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS294	GRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt.	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS294	GRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt.	Accept	11
DPR-0207	SDC	056	LRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any small site development shall: ... be setback a minimum of: i. 3m from any road boundary or shared accessway; and ii. 2m from any internal boundary; except that	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>iii. no internal boundary setback is required <del>for any</del> where a building shares a common wall with another building; where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of 5.5m from that boundary;</p> <p>iv. no internal boundary setback is required for any garage, provided that the total length of the garage adjacent to the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m;</p>		
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	FS031	LRZ-REQ11	Support	As per the submission	Accept	10
DPR-0207	SDC	057	SETZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any small site development shall:</p> <p>...</p> <p>be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>i. 3m from any road boundary or shared accessway; and</p> <p>ii. 2m from any internal boundary; except that</p> <p>iii. no internal boundary setback is required <del>for any</del> where a building shares a common wall with another building; where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of 5.5m from that boundary;</p> <p>iv. no internal boundary setback is required for any garage, provided that the total length of the garage adjacent to the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m;</p>	Accept	12
DPR-0207	SDC	058	GRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>GRZ-REQ6 Setback of Garages</u></p> <p>...</p>	Accept	11
DPR-0207	SDC	059	LRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p>	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					... <u>LRZ-REQ6 Setback of Garages</u> ...		
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	FS032	LRZ-R11	Support	As per the submission	Accept	10
DPR-0207	SDC	060	SETZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>SETZ-REQ6 Setback of Garages</u> ...	Accept	12
DPR-0207	SDC	061	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any comprehensive development shall: ... b. be setback a minimum of: ... iv. no internal <u>boundary</u> setback is required where a building shares a common wall with another building within the comprehensive development; <del>v. where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of 5m from that boundary;</del> <del>vi. no internal boundary setback is required for any garage, provided that the total length of the garage adjacent to the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m;</del>	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS297	GRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt.	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS297	GRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt.	Accept	11
DPR-0207	SDC	062	LRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any comprehensive development shall: ... b. be setback a minimum of: ... iv. no internal <u>boundary</u> setback is required where a building shares a common wall with another building	Accept	10



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>within the comprehensive development;</p> <p><del>v. where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of 5m from that boundary;</del></p> <p>vi. no internal boundary setback is required for any garage, provided that the total length of the garage adjacent to the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m;</p>		
DPR-0207	SDC	063	SETZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any comprehensive development shall:</p> <p>...</p> <p>b. be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>...</p> <p>iv. no internal <u>boundary</u> setback is required where a building shares a common wall with another building within the comprehensive development;</p> <p><del>v. where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of 5m from that boundary;</del></p> <p>vi. no internal boundary setback is required for any garage, provided that the total length of the garage adjacent to the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m;</p>	Accept	12
DPR-0207	SDC	064	GRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>GRZ-REQ6 Setback of Garages</u></p> <p>...</p>	Accept	11
DPR-0207	SDC	065	LRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>LRZ-REQ6 Setback of Garages</u></p> <p>...</p>	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0207	SDC	066	SETZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>SETZ-REQ6 Setback of Garages</u> ...	Accept	12
DPR-0207	SDC	067	GRZ-REQ16	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. The exterior of any building or structure shall: ... <del>iv. coloured corrugated metal sheeting;</del>	Accept	11
DPR-0391	CHAT	FS007	GRZ-REQ16	Support	Delete iv. coloured corrugated metal sheeting from GRZ-REQ16.	Accept	11
DPR-0207	SDC	077	New	Oppose In Part	Insert a new rule permitting the establishment of, or addition to, an "ancillary structure", subject to compliance with the rule requirements for the relevant zone relating to Building Coverage, Height and Height in Relation to Boundary, where these rule requirements currently exist within the zone chapter. Except that the rule shall include an exemption for fencing in the Low Density Residential Zone, General Residential Zone and Settlement Zone. When compliance with the rule is not achieved, the activity status shall be Discretionary, and where compliance with any rule requirements is not achieved, reference is to be made to the relevant rule requirement.	Reject	14
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS045	New	Support In Part	Allow in part	Reject	14
DPR-0423	PHC Terrace Downs Resort Limited	FS003	New	Support	Allow Submission in Full	Reject	14
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	012	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Amend LLRZ-P1 to read: Provide for a <del>very</del> low density and spacious residential character by: 1. managing the density of development; and 2. managing the height, bulk and form of development.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS276	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS276	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0211	William Trolove	004	SETZ-R14	Oppose	Delete SETZ-R14 in its entirety.	Reject	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS410	SETZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Reject	12
DPR-0211	William Trolove	005	SETZ-R15	Oppose	Amend SETZ-R15 to allow camping grounds as a permitted activity subject only to the Camping-Grounds Regulations 1985.	Reject	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS411	SETZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Reject	12
DPR-0211	William Trolove	006	SETZ-R15	Oppose	Amend SETZ-R15 to include a statement that the campground operator can apply for a Section 14 Certificate of Exemption for camping activities not associated with a vehicle.	Reject	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS412	SETZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Reject	12
DPR-0211	William Trolove	007	SETZ-R16	Support	Retain SETZ-R16 as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS413	SETZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Accept	12
DPR-0211	William Trolove	008	SETZ-R19	Support	Retain SETZ-R19 as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS414	SETZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Accept	12
DPR-0211	William Trolove	010	SETZ-R30	Oppose	Delete SETZ-R30 as notified.	Reject	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS416	SETZ-R30	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Reject	12
DPR-0211	William Trolove	011	SETZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Amend SETZ-REQ1 to acknowledge that reticulated sewer connections are not available at the north sector of Arthur's Pass Village.	Reject	12
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS417	SETZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Accept the submission so long as the values of ONLF are protected.	Reject	12
DPR-0217	Summerset	022	RESZ-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0217	Summerset	023	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0217	Summerset	024	GRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0217	Summerset	025	RESZ-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0217	Summerset	026	RESZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0217	Summerset	027	GRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0217	Summerset	028	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable supported residential accommodation and retirement villages that are: <del>1. located, where possible, within walking distance of essential facilities such as convenience shops, health and community facilities, public transport, and open space;</del> 2. sited and designed to promote interaction <u>with the surrounding other sections of the</u> community, without compromising privacy and security; 3. of a scale and appearance that <del>reflects</del> <u>is compatible with</u> the residential style and character of the locality; 4. provided with <u>appropriate outdoor areas living space</u> and landscaping; and ...	Accept in part	8
DPR-0217	Summerset	029	GRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: GRZ-REQ1 Servicing <del>GRZ-REQ3 Height</del> GRZ-REQ4 Height in Relation to Boundary <del>GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> GRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village <del>GRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del> Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: <del>a. RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> b. ...	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	FS002	GRZ-R13	Support	Allow	Reject	11
DPR-0217	Summerset	030	GRZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village shall: ... <del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del> <del>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</del> <del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del> <del>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del> <del>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</del> <del>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</del> <del>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</del> <del>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</del> <del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</del> <del>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</del> <u>d. provide communal rubbish/recycling space/s for use of residents within the site, sized and located to meet the needs of all residents.</u>	Accept in part	11
DPR-0217	Summerset	031	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose	Seeks that design and appearance controls are more appropriately considers as a matter of discretion.	Reject	11
DPR-0217	Summerset	032	RESZ-P4	Support In Part	Not specified	Accept	8
DPR-0217	Summerset	033	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose	Amend as follows: RESZ-MAT 13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village	Reject	8
DPR-0217	Summerset	034	RESZ-MAT14	Support In Part	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>1. the ability of the proposal to provide engagement with, and contribution to, adjacent streets and public open spaces, with regard to:</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>a. fencing and boundary treatments;</u> <u>b. connectivity, including the configuration of pedestrian accesses from the village.</u> <u>2. the mitigation measures proposed, including landscape planting, to mitigate any adverse effects of loss of trees from the site or openness of the site, and assist the integration of the proposed development within the site and neighbourhood.</u> <u>3. the location and design of vehicle and pedestrian access and on-site manoeuvring to cater for the safety of elderly, disabled or mobility-impaired persons.</u> <u>4. integration of internal accessways, parking areas and garages in a way that is safe for pedestrians and cyclists, and that does not visually dominate when viewed from the street or other public spaces.</u> <u>5. the degree to which the village design demonstrates that the design has had particular regard to personal safety of the occupants, both in the sense of injury prevention and crime prevention.</u> <u>6. creation of visual quality and variety through the separation of buildings, building orientation and setbacks, and in the use of architectural design, detailing, glazing, materials, colour and landscaping.</u>		
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	FS003	RESZ-MAT14	Support	Allow	Accept in part	8
DPR-0218	Shane Wootton	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0222	Ron de Vries	001	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain RESZ-O4 as notified.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0222	Ron de Vries	002	RESZ-P13	Support	Retain RESZ-P13 as notified.	Accept	8
DPR-0222	Ron de Vries	003	Comprehensive development	Support	Amend the definition of Comprehensive development to read: means a group of <del>four (4)</del> <u>three (3)</u> or more residential units that are designed, positioned and built in an integrated manner....	Reject	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS005	Comprehensive development	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS005	Comprehensive development	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS005	Comprehensive development	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS005	Comprehensive development	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
DPR-0225	Tonia Lowen	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Not specified	Reject	11
DPR-0227	Craig Oliver	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0228	Jacinda McCarthy	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0229	Tracey Liddicoat	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0230	Courtney Oliver	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0235	Leah Munro	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0237	Milan Kucera	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0240	Jan-Liselle Mann	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0244	Darryl Gallagher	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0247	R Barnes	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0257	Clayton Fairbairn	001	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Delete all rules restricting the setback to an internal boundary and amend setbacks from road boundaries to 4m (and the objective and policy framework be amended to recognise this change).	Reject	9
DPR-0257	Clayton Fairbairn	002	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Delete any rules relating to the building design (and the objective and policy framework be amended to recognise this change).	Reject	9
DPR-0257	Clayton Fairbairn	003	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Delete all rules restricting the landscape design (and the objective and policy framework be amended to recognise this change).	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0266	Richard Graham	005	SUB-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Amend the LLRZ minimum lot size to 1500sqm, particularly if Council is not willing to amend the zone extents for West Melton as requested in separate relief. Amend LLRZ average lot size to 3000sqm.	Reject	13
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS002	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Accept the submission (minimum LLR lot size 1500m <sup>2</sup> ) in the event that the submission 136 request for minimum LLR size of 1000m <sup>2</sup> is not accepted.	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS346	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Accept the submission (minimum LLR lot size 1500m <sup>2</sup> ) in the event that the TRRG request for minimum LLR size of 1000m <sup>2</sup> is not accepted.	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS122	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS122	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS122	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS122	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS003	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Accept the submission (minimum LLR lot size of 1500m <sup>2</sup> ) in the event that the submission 488 request for minimum LLR size of 1000m <sup>2</sup> is not accepted	Reject	13
DPR-0491	Paul and Sue Robinson	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Accept the submission, but specify minimum lot size or minimum average lot size as minimums only, to retain the ability to subdivide at lower densities within the LLR Zone.	Reject	13
DPR-0561	The Small Billing Home Trust	FS002	SUB-REQ1	Support	Support the relief sought	Reject	13
DPR-0268	E J Smith	002	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	9
DPR-0268	E J Smith	003	LRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	10
DPR-0268	E J Smith	004	LRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have	Reject	10



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.		
DPR-0268	E J Smith	005	LRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	10
DPR-0268	E J Smith	006	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	11
DPR-0268	E J Smith	007	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	11
DPR-0268	E J Smith	008	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	11
DPR-0268	E J Smith	009	SETZ-REQ5	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	12
DPR-0268	E J Smith	010	SETZ-REQ6	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	12
DPR-0268	E J Smith	011	SETZ-REQ7	Oppose	Requests that all new boundary setback changes are not made retrospectively as this will affect people who have brought sections, understanding they could not be built on by the fence line.	Reject	12
DPR-0271	Pete & Sonia Wakefield	001	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Amend as follows: 2. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 1.5m from all internal and road boundaries, <u>or</u> shared accessway <u>or reserves</u> ; and	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0271	Pete & Sonia Wakefield	002	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Amend GRZ REQ8.1.c. to exclude SCA-AD2.	Reject	11
DPR-0271	Pete & Sonia Wakefield	003	GRZ-REQ16	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. The exterior of any building or structure shall: a. have a minimum roof pitch of <del>40</del> <u>30</u> ° over at least 70% of the plan area of the building;	Reject	11
DPR-0391	CHAT	FS009	GRZ-REQ16	Support	Amend roof pitch to 30 degrees over 70% of roof area of buildings.		
DPR-0271	Pete & Sonia Wakefield	004	GRZ-REQ16	Support	Not specified.	Accept	11
DPR-0271	Pete & Sonia Wakefield	005	GRZ-REQ16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. The exterior of any building or structure shall: c. comprise of at least 80% wall cladding (by area excluding glazing) that consists of: i. timber; and/or ii. stone of the same type as that found in the local area; and/or iii. stone in a natural and unworked form; and/or iv. coloured <del>corrugated metal sheeting</del> <u>metal profile sheeting where the metal profile matches that of the selected roofing metal;</u>	Reject	11
DPR-0391	CHAT	FS008	GRZ-REQ16	Oppose	Delete iv. coloured corrugated metal sheeting from GRZ-REQ16.	Reject	11
DPR-0271	Pete & Sonia Wakefield	006	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Amend rule requirement : GRZ-REQ4 (excluding SCA-AD2) 1. Except where provided.... <u>SCA-AD2</u> 5. Except where provided in GRZ-REQ4.2., or in the sub-clauses below any building or structure shall comply with the Height in Relation to Boundary A requirement in APP3 - Height in Relation to Boundary. <u>a. The height in relation to boundary requirement shall not apply along shared driveway boundaries.</u> <u>b. The height in relation to boundary shall not apply along reserve boundaries.</u>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0274	Nicholas & Melody Johnson	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0285	AJ Bennett	001	GRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0553	Paul Rutherford	FS002	GRZ-R3	Support In Part	Allow Submission Point in Part	Accept	12
DPR-0285	AJ Bennett	002	LLRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0285	AJ Bennett	003	LRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0285	AJ Bennett	004	SETZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0285	AJ Bennett	006	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 as notified.	Reject	11
DPR-0286	Barbara McKeage	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0288	Caitlyn Hardy	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0296	NZHHA	001	LLRZ-R7	Oppose	Amend Rule LLRZ-R7 to provide for relocated, re-siting and removal of residential dwellings as a permitted activity and Insert new Permitted Activity Standards: <u>a. Any relocated dwelling complies with the relevant standards for permitted activities in the District Plan.</u> <u>b. Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</u> <u>c. A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building. The report shall include certification by the property owner that the reinstatement works shall be completed within the specified (12) month period.</u> <u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than (2) months of the building being moved to the site.</u> <u>e. All other reinstatement work required by the building</u>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within (12) months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting (c) (above) reinstatement works is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</u></p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert a pre-inspection report in schedule 2 and</p> <p>Insert Rule: <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity (on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion for the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p>		
DPR-0296	NZHHA	002	LRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend Rule LRZ-R7 to provide for relocated, re-siting and removal of residential dwellings as a permitted activity and</p> <p>Insert new <u>Permitted Activity Standards:</u></p> <p><u>a. Any relocated dwelling complies with the relevant standards for permitted activities in the District Plan.</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</u></p> <p><u>c. A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building. The report shall include certification by the property owner that the reinstatement works shall be completed</u></p>	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>within the specified (12) month period.</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than (2) months of the building being moved to the site.</u></p> <p><u>e. All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within (12) months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting (c) (above) reinstatement works is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</u></p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert a pre-inspection report in schedule 2</p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert Rule: <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity (on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion for the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p>		
DPR-0296	NZHHA	003	GRZ-R7	Oppose	<p>Amend Rule GRZ-R7 to provide for relocated, re-siting and removal of residential dwellings as a permitted activity</p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert new <u>Permitted Activity Standards:</u></p> <p><u>a. Any relocated dwelling complies with the relevant standards for permitted activities in the District Plan.</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</u></p> <p><u>c. A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the</u></p>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building. The report shall include certification by the property owner that the reinstatement works shall be completed within the specified (12) month period.</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than (2) months of the building being moved to the site.</u></p> <p><u>e. All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within (12) months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting (c) (above) reinstatement works is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</u></p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert a pre-inspection report in schedule 2</p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert Rule: <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity (on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion for the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p>		
DPR-0296	NZHHA	004	SETZ-R7	Oppose	<p>Amend Rule SETZ-R7 to provide for relocated, re-siting and removal of residential dwellings as a permitted activity</p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert new <u>Permitted Activity Standards:</u></p> <p><u>a. Any relocated dwelling complies with the relevant</u></p>	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>standards for permitted activities in the District Plan.</u></p> <p><u>b. Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</u></p> <p><u>c. A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building. The report shall include certification by the property owner that the reinstatement works shall be completed within the specified (12) month period.</u></p> <p><u>d. The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than (2) months of the building being moved to the site.</u></p> <p><u>e. All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within (12) months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting (c) (above) reinstatement works is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</u></p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert a pre-inspection report in schedule 2</p> <p>and</p> <p>Insert Rule: <u>Restricted Discretionary Activity (on a non-notified, non-service basis)</u></p> <p><u>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</u></p> <p><u>i) proposed landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>ii) the proposed timetable for completion for the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services.</u></p>		
DPR-0296	NZHHA	006	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose	Amend RESZ-MAT10 to remove bond requirement	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	004	Supported Residential Accommodation	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The use of a residential unit(s) by people who live together and receive <u>supervision, assistance, care and/or wellbeing respite</u> support on a 24 hour basis <u>or less</u> to assist with independent living. This definition does not include retirement villages (and ancillary nursing and medical facilities) <u>or regular and ongoing home-based care and assistance to a dependent person.</u>	Accept	7
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	005	LLRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	006	LRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	007	LRZ-R20	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	008	GRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	009	SETZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0300	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	010	SETZ-R20	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	010	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Provide for a <del>very</del> low density and spacious residential character by: 1. managing the density of development; and 2. managing the height, bulk and form of development.	Reject	9
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS277</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS277</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0493</i>	<i>Gallina &amp; Heinz-Wattie</i>	<i>FS010</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Neither Support Nor Oppose</i>	<i>Neutral</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
DPR-0309	GJ Mills	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0310	Brent Heron	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0311	Jens Christensen	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0320	Ryan Roche	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0321	Kathy Dore	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0322	Mike Patterson	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0324	Aaron Harper	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0325	Clayton McKnight	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0326	Sue Allan	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0327	Hayden McLean	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0328	Mary Pannett	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0329	Godfrey Stanley Pannett	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0330	Tina Washington	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0331	David Bainbridge	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0332	Leighton Crocker	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0333	Stephanie Crocker	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0334	Bob Humm	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0336	Simon Lamont	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11
DPR-0337	David Watson	001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities PREC3 and maintain General Residential Zone only.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0343	CDHB	053	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	054	RESZ-P5	Support	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	055	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Either amend RESZ-MAT1 or insert new matter as follows ... <u>That the development incorporates elements of healthy home standards, energy efficiency and universal design.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0553	Paul Rutherford	FS004	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Allow Submission Point in Full	Reject	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	056	RESZ-P8	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	057	RESZ-P9	Support	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	058	RESZ-P13	Support	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	059	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Amend as follows ... 4. The extent to which each residential unit is required to be provided with separate services, <u>particularly drinking water and wastewater system capacity.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	060	RESZ-MAT9	Support	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0553	Paul Rutherford	FS003	RESZ-MAT9	Support In Part	Allow Submission Point in Full	Accept	8
DPR-0598	Kate Milne	FS001	RESZ-MAT9	Support	<i>This submission point can be allowed in full. The council needs to retain discretion as to where MRUs may be built, and consider parking and access, safety, efficiency and impacts to on street parking and neighbours</i>	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	061	GRZ-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend as follow: 1. Any residential unit or other principal building shall be connected to a Council reticulated water supply. 2. Any residential unit or principal building in a township with a reticulated sewer network shall be connected to that network. <del>3. Any residential unit or principal building in a township without a reticulated sewer network shall be provided</del>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					with an on-site wastewater treatment and disposal system.		
DPR-0343	CDHB	078	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Request inclusion of health home building standards and universal design in RESZ-MAT8.	Reject	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	079	RESZ-P1	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	080	RESZ-P2	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	081	RESZ-P3	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	082	RESZ-P4	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	083	RESZ-P6	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	084	RESZ-P7	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	085	RESZ-P10	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	086	RESZ-P11	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Reject	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	087	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	088	RESZ-P14	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept	8
DPR-0343	CDHB	089	RESZ-P15	Support In Part	Not specifically stated.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	001	New (Def)	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert a new definition: <u>Community based youth home:</u> <u>means the use of land and buildings for the accommodation of children and young persons subject to order(s) detaining them in custody under the Oranga Tamariki Act 1989 (or any successor legislation)</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS015	New	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	002	New (LLRZ)	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Community Based Youth Homes: Permitted Where:</u> <u>The maximum occupancy does not exceed six residents (excluding staff)</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS016	New	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	003	New (LRZ)	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Community Based Youth Homes: Permitted Where:</u> <u>The maximum occupancy does not exceed six residents (excluding staff)</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS017	New	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	004	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Community Based Youth Homes: Permitted Where:</u> <u>The maximum occupancy does not exceed six residents (excluding staff)</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS018	New	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	005	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Community Based Youth Homes: Permitted Where:</u> <u>The maximum occupancy does not exceed six residents (excluding staff)</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS019	New	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	006	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert New Rule providing for restricted discretionary activity status where permitted standards are not met, with matters of discretion limited to: <u>Intensity and scale of the activity;</u> <u>Effects on amenity values and character of the residential area;</u>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Parking and access; safety, efficiency and impacts to on-street parking and neighbours</u>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS020	New	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	007	RESZ-O3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows A wide range of housing typologies and densities are provided for <u>to accommodate the needs of ensure choice for</u> the community and to cater for population growth and changing demographics.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS021	RESZ-O3	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	8
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	008	RESZ-P12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: Supported Residential Accommodation, <u>Community Based Youth Homes</u> , and Retirement Village Enable supported residential accommodation, <u>community based youth homes</u> , and retirement villages that are: ...	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS022	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Not specified	Reject	14
DPR-0352	NLD	002	GRZ-R18	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: Activity status: <u>DISPER</u> 1. Any community facility <u>Where</u> <u>a. the hours of operation are between 0700 and 2200.</u> <u>And the activity complies with the following rule requirements:</u> <u>GRZ-REQ10 Landscaping</u> <u>GRZ-REQ15 Outdoor storage</u> <u>Activity status when compliance not achieved/A</u> 2. When compliance with any of GRZ-R18.1a is not achieved: <u>DIS</u> 3. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in <u>this rule is not achieved: Refer to GRZ - Rule Requirements</u>	Reject	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS288	GRZ-R18	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS288	GRZ-R18	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0353	HortNZ	188	SUB-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend zone objectives to clearly identify the anticipated development outcomes of the zones.	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS106	SUB-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS106	SUB-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS106	SUB-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS106	SUB-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0353	HortNZ	232	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 5. Reverse sensitivity effects, <u>including where the site adjoins another zone.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0353	HortNZ	233	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Provide for a very low density and spacious residential character by: .... <u>3. managing the potential for reverse sensitivity with adjacent rural production activities.</u>	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS266	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS890	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS342	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS904	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS279	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS279	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS254	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0353	HortNZ	234	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: .... <u>3. Any residential unit shall be setback 30m from the GRUZ boundary.</u>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS267	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS891	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS343	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS905	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS255	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0353	HortNZ	235	LRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, shared accessway, or reserve or <u>zone boundary</u> ; and ....	Reject	10
DPR-0353	HortNZ	236	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, shared accessway, or reserve or <u>zone boundary</u> ; and ....	Reject	11
DPR-0353	HortNZ	237	SETZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, shared accessway, or reserve or <u>zone boundary</u> ; and ....	Reject	12
DPR-0381	CDL	FS067	SETZ-REQ5	Support	Allow	Reject	12
DPR-0486	CDL	FS067	SETZ-REQ5	Support	Allow	Reject	12
DPR-0353	HortNZ	285	LLRZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS268	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS889	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS394	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS906	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS253	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	049	Residential Unit Types	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS386	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS461	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS418	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS466	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS396	Residential Unit Types	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS442	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	347	RESZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS554	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS513	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS554	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS443	RESZ-O1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS536	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	348	RESZ-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS555	RESZ-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	8



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS514	RESZ-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS555	RESZ-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS444	RESZ-O2	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS537	RESZ-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	349	RESZ-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS556	RESZ-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS515	RESZ-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS556	RESZ-O3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS445	RESZ-O3	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS538	RESZ-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	350	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS557	RESZ-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS516	RESZ-O4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS557	RESZ-O4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS446	RESZ-O4	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS539	RESZ-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	351	RESZ-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS558	RESZ-O5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS517	RESZ-O5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS558	RESZ-O5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS447	RESZ-05	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS540	RESZ-05	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	352	RESZ-06	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS559	RESZ-06	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS518	RESZ-06	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS559	RESZ-06	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS448	RESZ-06	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS541	RESZ-06	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	353	RESZ-07	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Residents have access to a range of community, recreation, education, health, <u>commercial</u> and corrections activities and facilities that support, maintain, and enhance the surrounding residential amenity.	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS560	RESZ-07	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS519	RESZ-07	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0378	MoE	FS029	RESZ-07	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Allow	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS560	RESZ-07	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS449	RESZ-07	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS542	RESZ-07	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	354	RESZ	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS561	RESZ	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS520	RESZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS561	RESZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS450	RESZ	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS543	RESZ	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	355	RESZ-MAT	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS562	RESZ-MAT	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS521	RESZ-MAT	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS562	RESZ-MAT	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS451	RESZ-MAT	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS544	RESZ-MAT	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	356	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS563	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS522	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS563	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS452	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS545	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	357	LLRZ	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS168	LLRZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS073	LLRZ	Oppose In Part	Oppose to the extent that the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought by us	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS413	LLRZ	Oppose In Part	Oppose to the extent that the relief sought is inconsistent with that sought by my submission (209)	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS523	LLRZ	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS185	LLRZ	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS564	LLRZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS166	LLRZ	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in part	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	358	LRZ	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	10
DPR-0358	RWRL	359	GRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS564	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS524	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS565	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS453	GRZ-O1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS546	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	360	GRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS565	GRZ-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS525	GRZ-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS5656	GRZ-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS454	GRZ-P1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS547	GRZ-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	361	GRZ	Support In Part	Amend to insert non-notification clauses as far as practicable.	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS566	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS526	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS567	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS455	GRZ	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS548	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	362	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Amend activity status of GRZ-R15.4 to DIS rather than NC.	Reject	11
DPR-0084	Jason Hardy	FS001	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete Rule GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities, transitional precinct and maintain the status quo of General Residential Zone only for Markham Way, Peel Close, Wilbur Close and Landor Common properties.	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS567	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS528	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS568	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS456	GRZ-R15	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS549	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	363	GRZ	Oppose	Delete and/or significantly amend these provisions so as to reduce their prescriptiveness and otherwise ensure they are subject to non-notification clauses.	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS568	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS529	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS569	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS457	GRZ	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS550	GRZ	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	364	SETZ	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	421	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS207	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS169	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS938	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS186	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS059	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS132	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS059	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS028	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS582	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS167	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	422	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on</u>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS208	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS939	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS060	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS363	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS133	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS060	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS029	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	423	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS209	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS581	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS940	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS061	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS367	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS134	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS061	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS030	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS407	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS458	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS563	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Reject	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	424	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS210	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS941	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS062	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS371	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0381	CDL	FS107	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS135	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS062	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS031	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0486	CDL	FS107	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow	Reject	14
DPR-0362	John Ferguson	006	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend TABLE-SUB2 - Minimum net site area, Residential Zone as follows: Large Lot Residential Zones <del>3,000 m<sup>2</sup></del> <u>2,000 m<sup>2</sup></u> where it can be fully serviced by reticulated water and sewage connections.	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS124	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS124	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS124	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS124	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	048	Residential Unit Types	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS707	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS632	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS585	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS625	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept	7
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS240	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS819	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	441	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS236	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS196	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS967	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS212	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS157	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS161	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0422	NCCF	FS214	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow the submission on controlled activity. Disallow the submission point that notification is not required for all restricted discretionary applications.	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS155	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS057	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS725	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Reject	14
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS194	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0363	IRHL	442	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS237	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS968	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS158	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS364	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS162	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS215	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow the submission on controlled activity. Disallow the submission point that notification is not required for all restricted discretionary applications.	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS156	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS058	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0363	IRHL	443	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS238	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS969	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS159	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS368	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS163	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS216	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow the submission on controlled activity. Disallow the submission point that notification is not required for all restricted discretionary applications.	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS157	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS059	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0363	IRHL	444	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS239	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS970	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS160	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS372	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0381	CDL	FS101	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS164	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS217	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow the submission on controlled activity. Disallow the submission point that notification is not required for all restricted discretionary applications.	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS158	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS060	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0486	CDL	FS101	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow	Reject	14
DPR-0367	Orion	122	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Important Infrastructure Development of sensitive activities does not adversely affect the efficient operation, use, and development of important infrastructure.</u>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS691	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS031	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0439	Rayonier Matariki Forests	FS022	New	Oppose	Decline	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	123	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Avoidance of adverse effects on important infrastructure</u> <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure including significant electricity distribution lines.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS692	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS032	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0439	Rayonier Matariki Forests	FS023	New	Oppose	Decline	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	124	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert Rule EI-R4 4. into GRZ and reword as follows: Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line Activity Status: PER 4. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure <del>within greater than 10m from:</del> a. the centreline <del>and foundation of a support structure</del> of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps; or <u>b. the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps</u> 5. <del>The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure greater than 5m from:</del> <u>a. the centreline of other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps; or</u>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>b. the foundation of a support structure of other Significant Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps(Islington to Springston), or the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston).</u></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a.The structure is not used for:</p> <p>i.habitation;</p> <p>ii.produce packing;</p> <p>iii.a milking shed;</p> <p>iv.a wintering barn;</p> <p>v.intensive primary production; or</p> <p>vi.a commercial greenhouse.</p> <p>b.The expansion of the existing structure does not occur to a structure listed in EI R4.4.a.</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>5. When compliance with GRZ-XX is not achieved: NC Notification:</p> <p>6. Any application arising from GRZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</p> <p>the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS693	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS033	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0439	Rayonier Matariki Forests	FS024	New	Oppose	Decline	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	125	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert the following rule into the GRZ:</p> <p><u>GRZ-RXX Trees near Significant Electricity Distribution Lines</u></p> <p><u>All zones</u></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>1. Any tree located near a Significant Electricity Distribution Line</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. The tree will be set back a minimum of 5m from the centreline of any Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</u></p> <p><u>b. The species at full maturity, will be a maximum of 3m in height.</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status when Compliance not achieved with clauses a. and b. above: NC</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>Any application arising from GRZ-XX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line unless their written approval is provided.</u></p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS694	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS034	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	126	New	Support In Part	<p>Insert EI-R3 (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into GRZ and reword as follows:</p> <p><u>GRZ-RXX Sensitive Activities</u></p> <p>Activity Status: PER</p> <p>1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity.</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The activity is not within:</p> <p>i. the National Grid Yard; and</p> <p>ii. 10m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston); and</p> <p>iii. <del>10m from the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to</del></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>Springston)</u></p> <p>iv. 5m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</p> <p>v. 5m from the foundation of a support structure of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</p> <p>vi. 250m of any lawfully established noise generating infrastructure used for renewable electricity generation as set from the notional boundary of the sensitive activity. Except that this shall not apply to any small and community scale distributed electricity generation and small and community scale distributed electricity generation activity or any sensitive activity within Settlement Zone - Lake Coleridge Township.</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>I-REQ1 Access</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of XX-RXX.1 is not achieved: NC</p> <p><del>3. When compliance with EI-R3.a.iv is not achieved: DIS</del></p> <p><del>3.4.</del>When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to relevant Rule Requirement.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p><del>5.4.</del>Any application arising from GRZ-RXX shall not be subject to public <u>notification</u> and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</p> <p>the network utility operator with responsibility for the <del>infrastructure, infrastructure</del> unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS695	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS035	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0367	Orion	127	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert Rule EI-R4.1. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into GRZ and reword as follows:            GRZ-RXX Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line            Activity Status: PER            1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing fence.            Where:            a. The fence's primary material consists of conductive qualities, the fence shall be setback a minimum of:            i. <del>6.5m</del> from the foundation of a support structure for <del>both any the</del> Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) Line and all other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines <del>greater than 51kV</del>; or            ii. <del>2.2m</del> from the foundation of a support structure for <del>any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line between 1-50kV</del>.            Activity status when compliance not achieved:            2. When compliance with GRZ-RXX is not achieved: NC            Notification:            3. Any application arising from GRZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:            the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.</p>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS696	New	Oppose	<i>Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.</i>	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS036	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	144	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:  <u>Development of sensitive activities does not adversely affect the efficient operation, use, and development of important infrastructure.</u></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS713	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS038	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	146	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure including significant electricity distribution lines.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS715	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS039	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	147	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert Rule EI-R4.1. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into LLRZ and reword as follows: LLRX-RXX Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line All Zones Activity Status: PER 1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing fence. Where: a. The fence's primary material consists of conductive qualities, the fence shall be setback a minimum of: i. <del>65m</del> from the foundation of a support structure for <del>both any</del> the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) Line and all other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines <del>greater than 51kV</del> ; or ii. <del>2.2m from the foundation of a support structure for any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line between 1-50kV.</del> Activity status when compliance not achieved: 2. When compliance with LLRZ-RXX is not achieved: NC Notification: 3. Any application arising from LLRZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS716	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS040	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	148	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert Rule EI-R4 4. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into LLRZ and reword as follows: LFLZ-RXX Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line Activity Status: PER</p> <p>4. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure <del>within</del> <u>greater than</u> 10m from: a. the centreline and foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps; or <u>b. the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps</u></p> <p>5. <u>The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure greater than 5m from:</u> <u>a. the centreline of other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps; or</u> <u>b. the foundation of a support structure of other Significant Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps(Islington to Springston), or the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston).</u></p> <p><del>Where:</del> <del>a. The structure is not used for:</del> <del>i. habitation;</del> <del>ii. produce packing;</del> <del>iii. a milking shed;</del></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<del>iv.a wintering barn;</del> <del>v.intensive primary production; or</del> <del>vi.a commercial greenhouse.</del> <del>b.The expansion of the existing structure does not occur to a structure listed in LLRZ R4.4.a.</del> Activity status when compliance not achieved: 5. When compliance with LLRZ-RXX is not achieved: NC Notification: 6. Any application arising from LLRZ-XX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS717	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS041	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	149	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>LLRZ-RX Trees near Significant Electricity Distribution Lines</u> <u>All zones</u> <u>Activity Status: PER</u> <u>1. Any tree located near a Significant Electricity Distribution Line</u> <u>Where:</u> <u>a. The tree will be set back a minimum of 5m from the centreline of any Significant Electricity Distribution Line;</u> <u>and</u> <u>b. The species at full maturity, will be a maximum of 3m in height.</u> <u>Activity Status when compliance not achieved:</u> <u>2. When compliance with any of ZONE-RX.1 is not achieved: NC</u> <u>Notification:</u>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Any application arising from LLRZ-RX.2 shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line unless their written approval is provided.</u>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS718	New	Oppose	<i>Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.</i>	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	150	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert EI-R3 (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into LLRZ and reword as follows:</p> <p>LLRZ-RXX Sensitive Activities</p> <p>All Zones</p> <p>Activity Status: PER</p> <p>1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity.</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The activity is not within:</p> <p>i. the National Grid Yard; and</p> <p>ii. 10m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston); and</p> <p><u>iii. 10m from the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston)</u></p> <p>iv. 5m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</p> <p><u>v. 5m from the foundation of a support structure of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</u></p> <p>vi. 250m of any lawfully established noise generating infrastructure used for renewable electricity generation as set from the notional boundary of the sensitive activity. Except that this shall not apply to any small and community scale distributed electricity generation and</p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>small and community scale distributed electricity generation activity or any sensitive activity within Settlement Zone - Lake Coleridge Township.</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>EI-REQ1 Access</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of LLRZ-RXX.1 is not achieved: NC</p> <p><del>3. When compliance with LLRZ-R3.a.iv is not achieved: DIS</del></p> <p>3.4. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to relevant Rule Requirement.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p><del>5.4.</del> Any application arising from LLRZ-RXX.2 shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</p> <p>the network utility operator with responsibility for the <del>infrastructure</del>, <u>infrastructure</u> unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS719	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS042	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	161	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>Development of sensitive activities does not adversely affect the efficient operation, use, and development of important infrastructure.</u></p>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS730	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS052	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0367	Orion	162	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure including significant electricity distribution lines.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS731	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS053	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	163	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert Rule EI-R4.1. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into LRZ and reword as follows: All Zones Activity Status: PER 1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing fence. Where: a. The fence's primary material consists of conductive qualities, the fence shall be setback a minimum of: i. <del>6-5m</del> from the foundation of a support structure for <del>both any</del> the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) Line and all other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines <del>greater than 51kV</del> ; or ii. <del>2.2m from the foundation of a support structure for any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line between 1-50kV.</del> Activity status when compliance not achieved: 2. When compliance with LRZ-RXX is not achieved: NC Notification: 3. Any application arising from LRZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS732	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS054	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	164	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert Rule EI-R4 4. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into LRZ and reword as follows:            LRZ-RXX Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line            Activity Status: PER            4. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure <del>within greater than</del> 10m from:            a. the centreline and foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps; or            b. the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps            5. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure greater than 5m from:            a. the centreline of other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps; or            b. <u>the foundation of a support structure of other Significant Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps</u>(Islington to Springston), or the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston).            Where:            a. The structure is not used for:              i. habitation;              ii. produce packing;              iii. a milking shed;              iv. a wintering barn;              v. intensive primary production; or              vi. a commercial greenhouse.            b. The expansion of the existing structure does not occur</p>	Reject	14



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><del>to a structure listed in EI R4.4.a.</del></p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:            5. When compliance with LRZ-RXX is not achieved: NC            Notification:            6. Any application arising from LRZ-XX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:            the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS733	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS055	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	165	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>LRZ-RXX Trees near Significant Electricity Distribution Lines</u></p> <p><u>All zones</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>1. Any tree located near a Significant Electricity Distribution Line</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. The tree will be set back a minimum of 5m from the centreline of any Significant Electricity Distribution Line;</u></p> <p><u>and</u></p> <p><u>b. The species at full maturity, will be a maximum of 3m in height.</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status when Compliance not achieved with clauses a. and b. above: NC</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>Any application arising from LRZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity</u></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Distribution Line unless their written approval is provided.</u>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS734	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	166	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert EI-R3 (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into LRZ and reword as follows:</p> <p>LRZ-RXX Sensitive Activities</p> <p>All Zones</p> <p>Activity Status: PER</p> <p>1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity.</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The activity is not within:</p> <p>i. the National Grid Yard; and</p> <p>ii. 10m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston); and</p> <p>iii. <u>10m from the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston)</u></p> <p>iv. 5m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</p> <p>v. <u>5m from the foundation of a support structure of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</u></p> <p>vi. 250m of any lawfully established noise generating infrastructure used for renewable electricity generation as set from the notional boundary of the sensitive activity. Except that this shall not apply to any small and community scale distributed electricity generation and small and community scale distributed electricity generation activity or any sensitive activity within Settlement Zone - Lake Coleridge Township.</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule</p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>requirements:  EI-REQ1 Access  Activity status when compliance not achieved:  2. When compliance with any of LRZ-XX.1. is not achieved: NC  <del>3. When compliance with LRZ-R3.a.iv is not achieved: DIS</del>  3.4. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to relevant Rule Requirement.  Notification:  <del>5.4.</del> Any application arising from LRZ-RXX.2 shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:  the network utility operator with responsibility for the <del>infrastructure</del>, <u>infrastructure</u> unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS735	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS056	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	170	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert Rule EI-R4.1. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into SETZ and reword as follows:  SETZ-RXX Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line  Activity Status: PER  1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing fence.  Where:  a. The fence's primary material consists of conductive qualities, the fence shall be setback a minimum of:  i. <del>6 5m</del> from the foundation of a support structure for <del>both any</del> the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) Line and all other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines <del>greater than 51kV</del>; or</p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>ii. <del>2.2m from the foundation of a support structure for any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line between 1-50kV.</del></p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:            2. When compliance with SETZ-RXX is not achieved: NC Notification:            3. Any application arising from SETZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:            the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS739	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS060	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	172	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert Rule EI-R4 4. (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into SETZ and reword as follows:            SETZ-RXX Structures near Significant Electricity Distribution Line            Activity Status: PER</p> <p>4. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure <del>within greater than</del> 10m from:            a. the centreline and foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps; or  <u>b. the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston) as shown on the planning maps</u></p> <p>5. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing, structure greater than 5m from:  <u>a. the centreline of other Significant Electricity Distribution Lines as shown on the planning maps; or</u>  <u>b. the foundation of a support structure of other Significant Distribution Lines as shown on the planning</u></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><del>maps (Islington to Springston), or the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston).</del></p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The structure is not used for:</p> <p>i. habitation;</p> <p>ii. produce packing;</p> <p>iii. a milking shed;</p> <p>iv. a wintering barn;</p> <p>v. intensive primary production; or</p> <p>vi. a commercial greenhouse.</p> <p>b. The expansion of the existing structure does not occur to a structure listed in EI R4.4.a</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>5. When compliance with SETZ-RXX is not achieved: NC Notification:</p> <p>6. Any application arising from SETZ-XX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</p> <p>the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line, unless their written approval is provided.</p>		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS741	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS062	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	173	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>Development of sensitive activities does not adversely affect the efficient operation, use, and development of important infrastructure.</u></p>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS742	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS063	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0367	Orion	174	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>SETZ-RXX Trees near Significant Electricity Distribution Lines</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>1. Any tree located near a Significant Electricity Distribution Line</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. The tree will be set back a minimum of 5m from the centreline of any Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</u></p> <p><u>b. The species at full maturity, will be a maximum of 3m in height.</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status when Compliance not achieved with clauses a. and b. above: NC</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>Any application arising from SETZ-RXX shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</u></p> <p><u>the network utility operator with responsibility for the Significant Electricity Distribution Line unless their written approval is provided.</u></p>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS743	New	Oppose	<p><i>Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.</i></p>	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	175	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert EI-R3 (from the Energy and Infrastructure Chapter) into SETZ and reword as follows:</p> <p>SETZ-RXX Sensitive Activities</p> <p>Activity Status: PER</p> <p>1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity.</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. The activity is not within:</p> <p>i. the National Grid Yard; and</p> <p>ii. 10m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line</p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>(Islington to Springston); and</p> <p>iii. 10m from the foundation of a support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston)</p> <p>iv. 5m from the centreline <del>or foundation of a support structure</del> of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</p> <p>v. 5m from the foundation of a support structure of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line; and</p> <p>vi. 250m of any lawfully established noise generating infrastructure used for renewable electricity generation as set from the notional boundary of the sensitive activity. Except that this shall not apply to any small and community scale distributed electricity generation and small and community scale distributed electricity generation activity or any sensitive activity within Settlement Zone - Lake Coleridge Township.</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>EI-REQ1 Access</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of SETZ-RXX.1. is not achieved: NC</p> <p><del>3. When compliance with NCZ-R3.a.iv is not achieved: DIS</del></p> <p><del>3.4.</del> When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to relevant Rule Requirement.</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p><del>5.4.</del> Any application arising from SETZ-RXX.2 shall not be subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties:</p> <p>the network utility operator with responsibility for the <del>infrastructure, infrastructure</del> unless their written approval is provided.</p>		

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS744	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS064	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0367	Orion	176	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure including significant electricity distribution lines.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS745	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS065	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	14
DPR-0373	Foodstuffs	004	New	Oppose	Amend PSDP to accommodate supermarkets (including associated access, carparking and retail activities) by expressly providing for supermarkets in the objectives, policies and rules of the PSDP for a range of centres, and to provide for supermarkets outside of centres where there is a demonstrated need.	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS254	New	Oppose	Retain the existing proposed District Plan provision for supermarkets.	Accept	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS411	New	Oppose in Part	Waka Kotahi would want to ensure that if the provision was replaced or amended the opportunity is made for all parties to consider any proposed changes.	Reject	14
DPR-0392	CSI Property Limited	FS034	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	054	Residential Unit Types	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS521	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS889	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS736	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS768	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept	7



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS084	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS645	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	487	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS274	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS243	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS021	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS260	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS088	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS195	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS088	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS091	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS869	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Reject	14
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS242	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	488	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on</u>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS275	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS022	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS196	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS092	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	489	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS276	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS023	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS089	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS365	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS197	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS089	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS093	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	490	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules:	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS277	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS024	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS090	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS373	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS198	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS090	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS094	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	178	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	179	RESZ-P3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	180	RESZ-P12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	181	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	182	RESZ-MAT16	Support In Part	Amend RES-MAT16.3 as follows: 3. the effects generated by the buildings and activities on the safety and efficiency of the <del>local</del> <u>surrounding</u> transport network, including the extent to which the activities make efficient use of the transport network by minimising the need to travel.	Accept	8
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	183	LLRZ-REQ5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS140	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS157	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS139	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	184	GRZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	185	GRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0378	MoE	022	RESZ-O7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0378	MoE	023	New	Oppose	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>LLRZ-RXX Educational Facility</u> Activity status: PER 1. Any educational facility	Reject	9
DPR-0378	MoE	024	LRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0378	MoE	025	GRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0378	MoE	026	SETZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0384	RIDL	056	Residential Unit Types	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	354	RESZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	355	RESZ-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	356	RESZ-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	357	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	358	RESZ-O5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	359	RESZ-O6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	360	RESZ-O7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Residents have access to a range of community, recreation, education, health, <u>commercial</u> and corrections activities and facilities that support, maintain, and enhance the surrounding residential amenity.	Reject	8
DPR-0378	MoE	FS030	RESZ-O7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Allow	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	361	RESZ	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	362	RESZ-MAT	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	363	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	364	LLRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	365	LRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	366	GRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	367	GRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	368	GRZ	Support In Part	Amend to insert non-notification clauses as far as practicable.	Reject	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	369	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Amend activity status of GRZ-R15.4 to DIS rather than NC.	Reject	11
DPR-0084	Jason Hardy	FS002	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete Rule GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities, transitional precinct and maintain the status quo of General Residential Zone only for Markham Way, Peel Close, Wilbur Close and Landor Common properties	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	370	GRZ	Oppose	Delete and/or significantly amend these provisions so as to reduce their prescriptiveness and otherwise ensure they are subject to non-notification clauses.	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	371	SETZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	12
DPR-0384	RIDL	520	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS309	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1028	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS121	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS229	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS121	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS125	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	521	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules:	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS310	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1029	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS122	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS366	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS230	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS122	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS126	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	522	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS311	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1030	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS123	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS370	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS231	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS123	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS127	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	523	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Insert the following words, or words to the like effect, to all controlled and restricted discretionary activity rules: <u>Applications shall not be limited or publicly notified, on the basis of effects associated specifically with this rule and the associated matters of control or discretion.</u>	Reject	14
DPR-0032	CCC	FS312	Non-notification clauses	Oppose In Part	Do not limit notification where neighbouring properties, communities, or the wider district are potentially directly affected and the adverse effects are potentially more than minor or where the Act requires notification.	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1031	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept submission	Reject	14
DPR-0371	CIAL	FS124	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS374	Non-notification clauses	Oppose	Retain relevant provisions without a non-notification clause.	Accept	14
DPR-0381	CDL	FS104	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow	Reject	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS232	Non-notification clauses	Support	Not Specified	Reject	14
DPR-0453	LPC	FS124	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	14
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS128	Non-notification clauses	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	14
DPR-0486	CDL	FS104	Non-notification clauses	Support In Part	Allow	Reject	14
DPR-0386	Rolleston Square Limited	002	Commercial Precincts	Oppose In Part	Amend the Commercial Precincts Overlay to delete PREC3 as notified.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0398	Fletcher	002	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows:  1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary; <del>shared accessway, or reserve;</del> and b. ...	Accept in part	11
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS290</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ5</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS290</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ5</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0398	Fletcher	003	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Delete GRZ-REQ8.1.b as notified	Reject	11
<i>DPR-0298</i>	<i>Trices Road Group</i>	<i>FS542</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ8</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept submission</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>DPR-0456</i>	<i>Four Stars &amp; Gould</i>	<i>FS142</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ8</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept the submission</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0398	Fletcher	004	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose	Delete GRZ-REQ9.1.d as notified	Reject	11
<i>DPR-0298</i>	<i>Trices Road Group</i>	<i>FS541</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ9</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept submission</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>DPR-0456</i>	<i>Four Stars &amp; Gould</i>	<i>FS143</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ9</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept the submission</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0398	Fletcher	005	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows:  1. Any small site development shall: ... <del>d. not comprise garaging of more than 50% of the width of any ground floor front façade of a residential unit;</del> ...	Reject	11
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS295</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ11</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS295</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ11</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0398	Fletcher	006	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Amend the provision so as to provide for a fence on a: - secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m high fence; and - road boundary or reserve boundary to 1.2m high.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0409	Hughes	005	SUB-R9	Support in Part	Amend as follows: 1. Subdivision to facilitate small site development. Where:	Reject	13



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>...</p> <p>a. The net site area of each small site development site created shall be a minimum of <del>400</del> <u>351</u>m<sup>2</sup>; and</p> <p>b. ...</p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>2. The exercise of discretion ...</p> <p>c. Whether the small site development sites are located within walkable distance of any of:</p> <p>... <u>or</u></p> <p><u>vi. publicly-owned reserves and parks.</u></p>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS060	SUB-R9	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS865	SUB-R9	Support	Accept submission	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS113	SUB-R9	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS113	SUB-R9	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS113	SUB-R9	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS113	SUB-R9	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS053	SUB-R9	Support	Accept submission	Reject	13
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS004	SUB-R9	Support	Accept the Submission	Reject	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS764	SUB-R9	Support	Accept the submission.	Reject	13
DPR-0409	Hughes	006	Small Site Development	Support In Part	Amend as follows: means smaller residential units built on sites that are a minimum of <del>400</del> <u>351</u> m <sup>2</sup> and a maximum of 499m <sup>2</sup> .	Reject	7
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS061	Small Site Development	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS866	Small Site Development	Support	Accept submission	Reject	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS054	Small Site Development	Support	Accept submission	Reject	7
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS005	Small Site Development	Support	Accept the Submission	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS765	Small Site Development	Support	Accept the submission.	Reject	7
DPR-0409	Hughes	007	SUB-R10	Support in Part	Amend as follows: 1. Subdivision of comprehensive development. Where: a. The net site area of each site created shall not exceed <del>300</del> <u>350</u> m <sup>2</sup> ; and ...	Accept in part	13
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS066	SUB-R10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS867	SUB-R10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS116	SUB-R10	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS116	SUB-R10	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS116	SUB-R10	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS116	SUB-R10	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS063	SUB-R10	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Accept in part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS010	SUB-R10	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Accept in part	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS766	SUB-R10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Accept in part	13
DPR-0409	Hughes	010	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Amend Table SUB-5 as follows: Low Density Residential Zone <del>20m</del> <u>18m</u>	Reject	13
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS158	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS870	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS131	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS131	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS131	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS131	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS062	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS013	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS769	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	13
DPR-0409	Hughes	011	SUB-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Blocks shall achieve all the following maximum perimeter lengths, unless precluded by an existing pattern of development: a. <del>Average perimeter not more than 800m;</del> b. Maximum perimeter not more than 1000m; and c. Maximum length of any one side of a block not more than <del>250m</del> 350m.	Reject	13
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS159	SUB-REQ7	Support In Part	Accept submission	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS871	SUB-REQ7	Support	Accept submission	Reject	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS133	SUB-REQ7	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS133	SUB-REQ7	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS133	SUB-REQ7	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS133	SUB-REQ7	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS055	SUB-REQ7	Support	Accept submission	Reject	13
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS003	SUB-REQ7	Support	Accept the Submission	Reject	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS770	SUB-REQ7	Support	Accept submission	Reject	13
DPR-0409	Hughes	016	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure that outdoor living space of sufficient area and shape is provided, <del>and</del> appropriately located <u>to maximise access to sunlight and daylight, in relation to</u> <del>and</del> the residential unit to be functional for the likely occupant needs including entertaining, refuse storage, clothes drying, recreational pursuits, and landscaping.	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS164	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS876	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS272	RESZ-P5	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS272	RESZ-P5	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS068	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS018	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS775	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0409	Hughes	017	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Delete RESZ-MAT1.3 as notified.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS165	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS877	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS254	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS254	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS069	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Accept in part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS019	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Accept in part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS072	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0409	Hughes	018	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. ... <u>2. Providing variation along the streetscape</u> <del>2-3.</del> <u>Balancing the effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment with the benefits of maximising solar orientation and outdoor living space.</u> <del>3.</del> 4. ...	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS166	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS878	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS256	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS256	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS070	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS020	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS071	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0409	Hughes	019	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. ... 2. Balancing the effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>with efficient design outcomes.</u> 3. ...	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS167	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS879	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS258	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS258	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS071	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS021	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS064	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0409	Hughes	020	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. ... <del>b. within 5m of any internal boundary, it is:</del> <del>i. a maximum of 1.2m in height;</del> <del>ii. at least 50% visually permeable; and</del> <del>iii. of post and rail, post and wire, tennis court or swimming pool fencing.</del>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS214	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS168	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS880	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS230	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS072	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS212	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept in part	Reject	9
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS022	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS059	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0409	Hughes	021	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	<p>In addition to reinstating the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence, amend provision as follows:</p> <p>1. Any fence or freestanding wall</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. within 4m of any road boundary, is a maximum height of 1m if solid and 1.2m where more than 50% visually permeable;</p> <p>b. a site shares a boundary with a reserve:</p> <p>...</p> <p>ii. the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of:</p> <p>1. 1m in height if solid; or</p> <p>2. 1.8m in height where no more than 50% of the length of the reserve boundary of the site has fencing that is less than 50% visually permeable. <del>the site shares a boundary with a reserve:</del></p> <p>c. ...</p>	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>This rule does not apply to fences or freestanding walls which are perpendicular to a reserve or road boundary.</u>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS169	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS881	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in part	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS285	GRZ-R6	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS285	GRZ-R6	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS073	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Accept in part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS023	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Accept in part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS042	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0409	Hughes	022	LRZ-R6	Support In Part	<p>In addition to reinstating the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence, amend provision as follows:</p> <p>1. Any fence or freestanding wall</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. within 4m of any road boundary, is a maximum height of 1m <u>if solid and 1.2m where more than 50% visually permeable;</u></p> <p>b. a site shares a boundary with a reserve:</p> <p>...</p> <p>ii. the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of:</p> <p>1. 1m in height if solid; or</p> <p>2. 1.8m in height where no more than 50% of the length of the reserve boundary of the site has fencing that is less than 50% visually permeable. <del>the site shares a boundary with a reserve:</del></p> <p>c. ...</p> <p><u>This rule does not apply to fences or freestanding walls which are perpendicular to a reserve or road boundary.</u></p>	Accept in part	10
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS041	LRZ-R6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0409	Hughes	023	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS170	GRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS883	GRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS291	GRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS291	GRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS074	GRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS024	GRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS040	GRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0409	Hughes	024	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that: ... <del>d. is not located between the road boundary and the residential unit; and</del> ...	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS171	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS884	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS292	GRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS292	GRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS075	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS025	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS039	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0409	Hughes	025	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The area between the road boundary and the principal building, excluding those parts used for either vehicle or	Reject	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					pedestrian access, shall be: a. landscaped with a mix of lawn, garden beds, or shrubs; and <del>b. provided with one specimen tree for every 10m of frontage that is:</del> c. a minimum of 1.8m high at time of planting; and <del>d. capable of achieving a height at maturity of 8m.</del>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS172	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS885	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS293	GRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS293	GRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt.	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS076	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Accept submission to the extent that they are consistent with the relief sought and interests of Dunweavin (461)	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS026	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Accept Submission in Part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS038	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0409	Hughes	026	LRZ-REQ11	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any small site development shall: ... b. be setback a minimum of: iii. where a garage door faces a road or shared accessway, the garage shall be setback a minimum of <del>5.5m</del> <u>5.0m</u> from that boundary; ... <del>e. only locate windows at first floor level or above that:</del> <del>v. face a road boundary or an internal boundary shared with a reserve; or</del> <del>vi. are setback a minimum of 10m from an internal boundary; or</del> <del>vii. have a sill height of at least 1.6m above internal floor level; or</del> <del>viii. are obscure glazed, and either non-opening or top-</del>	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>hinged, and associated with a bathroom, toilet, or hallway;</p> <p><del>f. only locate any balcony at first floor level or above in a façade that faces a road boundary, or an internal boundary shared with a reserve.</del></p> <p><u>f. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</u></p> <p><u>i. is directly accessible from a main living space;</u></p> <p><u>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 4m;</u></p> <p><u>iii. has a minimum area of 40m<sup>2</sup>;</u></p> <p><u>iv. at least one contiguous area of 20m<sup>2</sup>; and</u></p> <p><u>v. is free of driveways, manoeuvring areas, parking spaces, accessory buildings, and service areas;</u></p> <p><u>vi. provide each residential unit with an additional area at ground level for the dedicated storage of waste and recycling bins that:</u></p> <p><u>vii. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit or screened in an unobtrusive location;</u></p> <p><u>viii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</u></p> <p><u>ix. has minimum area of 2.25m<sup>2</sup>;</u></p>		
DPR-0409	Hughes	027	LRZ-REQ12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0409	Hughes	028	LRZ-REQ14	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0410	Urban Estates	004	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>d. is not located between the road boundary and the residential unit; and</del></p> <p>...</p>		
DPR-0410	Urban Estates	005	LRZ-REQ9	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>d. is not located between the road boundary and the</del></p>	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					residential unit; and ...		
DPR-0410	Urban Estates	006	LLRZ-R6	Support In Part	Amend as follows:  1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. ... <del>b. within 5m of any internal boundary, it is:</del> <del>i. a maximum of 1.2m in height;</del> <del>ii. at least 50% visually permeable; and</del> <del>iii. of post and rail, post and wire, tennis court or swimming pool fencing.</del>	Reject	9
DPR-0410	Urban Estates	007	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	In addition to reinstating the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence, amend provision as follows:  1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. within 4m of any road boundary, is a maximum height of 1m <u>if solid and 1.2m where more than 50% visually permeable;</u> b. a site shares a boundary with a reserve: ... ii. the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of: 1. 1m in height if solid; or 2. 1.8m in height where no more than 50% of the length of the reserve boundary of the site has fencing that is less than 50% visually permeable. <del>the site shares a boundary with a reserve;</del> c. ... <u>This rule does not apply to fences or freestanding walls which are perpendicular to a reserve or road boundary.</u>	Accept in part	11
DPR-0410	Urban Estates	008	LRZ-R6	Support In Part	In addition to reinstating the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence, amend provision as follows:	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. within 4m of any road boundary, is a maximum height of 1m <u>if solid and 1.2m where more than 50% visually permeable</u>; b. a site shares a boundary with a reserve: ... ii. the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of: 1. 1m in height if solid; or 2. 1.8m in height where no more than 50% of the length of the reserve boundary of the site has fencing that is less than 50% visually permeable. <del>the site shares a boundary with a reserve</del>; c. ... <u>This rule does not apply to fences or freestanding walls which are perpendicular to a reserve or road boundary.</u></p>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	049	Comprehensive Development	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS141	Comprehensive Development	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS320	Comprehensive Development	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS101	Comprehensive Development	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS127	Comprehensive Development	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	7
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS726	Comprehensive Development	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS121	Comprehensive Development	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	7
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	052	Small Site Development	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS144	Small Site Development	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS323	Small Site Development	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS104	Small Site Development	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS130	Small Site Development	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	7
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS729	Small Site Development	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS124	Small Site Development	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	7
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	125	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS191	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	13
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS381	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	13
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS151	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS132	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS132	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS132	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS132	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt	Reject	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS177	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	13
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS547	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS171	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	13
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS062	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	13
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	170	RESZ-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The District's Residential Zones are those areas which	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>provide for the residential needs of the community. These include the Large Lot Residential Zone, Low Density Residential Zone, General Residential Zone, <u>Medium Density Residential Zone</u> and the Settlement Zone.</p> <p>The following objectives and policies apply to all of the Residential Zones, in addition to the zone-specific objectives and policies located in the relevant Large Lot Residential Zone, Low Density Residential Zone, General Residential Zone, <u>Medium Density Residential Zone</u> and the Settlement Zone chapters.</p> <p>The Residential Zone matters for control or discretion are also applicable to controlled and/or restricted discretionary status activities in the Large Lot Residential Zone, Low Density Residential Zone, General Residential Zone, <u>Medium Density Residential Zone</u> and the Settlement Zone.</p>		
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS236	RESZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1015	RESZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS196	RESZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS252	RESZ-Overview	Oppose	Reject	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS252	RESZ-Overview	Oppose	Reject	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS222	RESZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS592	RESZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS216	RESZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS107	RESZ-Overview	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	171	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Delete as notified and replace with: <u>Development is in keeping with the planned urban form of the residential zone.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS237	RESZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1016	RESZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS197	RESZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	FS001	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Retain provision with word changes as proposed by Oranga Tamariki.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS259	RESZ-O1	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS259	RESZ-O1	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS223	RESZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS593	RESZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS217	RESZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS108	RESZ-O1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	172	RESZ-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS238	RESZ-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1017	RESZ-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS198	RESZ-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS224	RESZ-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS594	RESZ-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS218	RESZ-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	173	RESZ-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS239	RESZ-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1018	RESZ-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS199	RESZ-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS225	RESZ-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS595	RESZ-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS219	RESZ-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS110	RESZ-O3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	174	RESZ-O4	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Increased residential densities <u>height</u> occur in close proximity to activity centres, <u>existing or planned active</u> public transport routes, <u>community services</u> and public open spaces.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS240	RESZ-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject in part	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1019	RESZ-O4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject in part	8



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS200	RESZ-04	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS260	RESZ-04	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS260	RESZ-04	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS226	RESZ-04	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject in part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS596	RESZ-04	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject in part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS220	RESZ-04	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject in part	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS111	RESZ-04	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	175	RESZ-05	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <del>Built form is of a high design standard and appearance</del> <u>provides quality on-site residential amenity for residents and adjoining sites, and achieves attractive and safe streets and public open spaces that responds to and reinforces positive aspects of the local environment.</u>	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS241	RESZ-05	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1020	RESZ-05	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS201	RESZ-05	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS261	RESZ-05	Support	Adopt	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS261	RESZ-05	Support	Adopt	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS227	RESZ-05	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS597	RESZ-05	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS221	RESZ-05	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS112	RESZ-05	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	176	RESZ-06	Support In Part	Delete as notified and replace with: <u>Non-residential activities provide for the community's social, economic and cultural well-being, while being compatible with the scale and intensity of development anticipated by the zone and which also maintain the amenity of the neighbourhood.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS242	RESZ-06	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1021	RESZ-06	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS202	RESZ-06	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS263	RESZ-06	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS263	RESZ-06	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS228	RESZ-06	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS598	RESZ-06	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS222	RESZ-06	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS113	RESZ-06	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	177	RESZ-07	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS243	RESZ-O7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1022	RESZ-O7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1041	RESZ-O7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0378	MoE	FS031	RESZ-O7	Oppose	Reject - Retain RESZ-O7	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS229	RESZ-O7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS599	RESZ-O7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS223	RESZ-O7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS114	RESZ-O7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	178	RESZ-P1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable a range of housing types and densities that achieve the residential character anticipated for each zone.	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS244	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1023	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS203	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS230	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS600	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS224	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS115	RESZ-P1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	179	RESZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS245	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1024	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS204	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS231	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS601	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS225	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS116	RESZ-P2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	180	RESZ-P3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <del>Maintain and enhance the character and amenity values</del> <u>Achieve the planned urban built form of the residential zones by ensuring that all new buildings are:</u> 1. of a scale , <u>height and form consistent with the planned urban form of the zone appropriate to the locality;</u> 2. sited in a location to enable privacy, <del>and retain open space and access to sunlight and daylight to adjoining sites;</del>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					3. designed to <u>create space between buildings through requiring sufficient setbacks, open space, manoeuvring and landscaping enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.</u>		
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS246	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1025	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS205	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS267	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS267	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS232	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS602	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS226	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS117	RESZ-P3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	181	RESZ-P4	Support In Part	Delete as notified and replace with: <u>Manage the design and appearance of development to ensure it contributes to attractive and safe streets.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS247	RESZ-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1026	RESZ-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS206	RESZ-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS270	RESZ-P4	Support	Adopt	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS270	RESZ-P4	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS233	RESZ-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS603	RESZ-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS227	RESZ-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS118	RESZ-P4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	182	RESZ-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS248	RESZ-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1027	RESZ-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS207	RESZ-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS234	RESZ-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS604	RESZ-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS228	RESZ-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS119	RESZ-P5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	183	RESZ-P6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Landscaping and fencing is provided that <u>contributes to attractive and safe streets and public open spaces</u>	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<del>maintains and enhances the amenity values and attractiveness of the locality.</del>		
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS249	RESZ-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1028	RESZ-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS208	RESZ-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS235	RESZ-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS605	RESZ-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS229	RESZ-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS120	RESZ-P6	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	184	New	Support	Insert new policy as follows: <u>Require accommodation to be designed to meet day to day needs of residents by:</u> <u>a. providing privacy and outlook; and</u> <u>b. providing access to daylight and sunlight and providing the amenities necessary for those residents.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS250	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1029	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS209	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS236	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS606	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS230	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS121	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	185	RESZ-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure that the use and placement of any accessory building does not adversely affect the privacy, <del>amenity,</del> <del>outlook of,</del> or access to sunlight of adjacent properties.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS251	RESZ-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1030	RESZ-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS210	RESZ-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS237	RESZ-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS607	RESZ-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS231	RESZ-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS122	RESZ-P7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	186	RESZ-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS252	RESZ-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1031	RESZ-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS211	RESZ-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS238	RESZ-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS608	RESZ-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS232	RESZ-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS123	RESZ-P8	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	187	RESZ-P9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS253	RESZ-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1032	RESZ-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS212	RESZ-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS239	RESZ-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS609	RESZ-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS233	RESZ-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS124	RESZ-P9	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	188	RESZ-P10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS254	RESZ-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1033	RESZ-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS213	RESZ-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS240	RESZ-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS610	RESZ-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS234	RESZ-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS125	RESZ-P10	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	189	RESZ-P11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS255	RESZ-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1034	RESZ-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS214	RESZ-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS241	RESZ-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS611	RESZ-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS235	RESZ-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS126	RESZ-P11	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>&amp; any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	190	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable supported residential accommodation and retirement villages that are: ... 3. of a scale and appearance <u>consistent with the planned urban form of the zone that reflects the residential style and character of the locality</u> ; ...	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS256	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1035	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS215	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0348	Oranga Tamariki	FS002	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Allow in part, in addition to explicitly adding 'Community Based Homes' as per our original submission.	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS242	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS612	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS236	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS127	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	191	RESZ-P13	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS257	RESZ-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1036	RESZ-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS216	RESZ-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS243	RESZ-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS613	RESZ-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS777	RESZ-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS128	RESZ-P13	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	192	RESZ-P14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS258	RESZ-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1037	RESZ-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS217	RESZ-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS244	RESZ-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS614	RESZ-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS778	RESZ-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS129	RESZ-P14	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	193	RESZ-P15	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Provide for non-residential activities and community facilities that: ... 2. are consistent with the <del>amenity values and character of the locality</del> <u>planned urban form</u> of the zone; ...	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS259	RESZ-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1038	RESZ-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS218	RESZ-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS245	RESZ-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS615	RESZ-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS779	RESZ-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS130	RESZ-P15	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	194	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Whether the design of the development is in keeping with, or complements, the <u>planned scale and character</u> built form of development anticipated <u>within the zone</u> for the surrounding area and relevant significant natural, heritage, and cultural features. 2. Whether the development engages with adjacent streets and any other adjacent public open spaces and contributes to them being lively, safe, and attractive by: i. <u>providing doors, windows and/or balconies facing the</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>street and public open spaces</u></p> <p><u>ii. designing large scale development to provide for variations in building form and/or façade design as viewed from streets and public open spaces.</u></p> <p><u>iii. optimising front yard landscaping</u></p> <p><u>iv. providing safe pedestrian access to buildings from the street.</u></p> <p><del>3. Whether the development is designed to minimise the visual bulk of the buildings and provide visual interest.</del></p> <p><u>4. The extent to which residential units:</u></p> <p><u>i. Orientate and locate windows to optimise privacy and encourage natural cross ventilation within the dwelling</u></p> <p><u>ii. Optimise sunlight and daylight access based on orientation, function, window design and location, and depth of the dwelling floor space</u></p> <p><u>iii. Provide secure and conveniently accessible storage for the number and type of occupants the dwelling is designed to accommodate.</u></p> <p><u>iv. Provide the necessary waste collection and recycling facilities in locations conveniently accessible and screens from streets and public open spaces.</u></p> <p><u>5. The extent to which outdoor living space:</u></p> <p><u>i. Provides for access to sunlight.</u></p> <p><u>ii. Provides privacy between the outdoor living space of adjacent dwellings on the same site and between outdoor living space and the street.</u></p> <p><u>iii. When provided at ground level, is located on generally flat land or otherwise functional.</u></p> <p><del>4. Whether the development provides a high level of internal and external residential amenity for occupants and neighbours.</del></p> <p><del>5. Whether the development provides for good access and integration of space for parking and servicing.</del></p> <p><del>6. Whether the development incorporates Crime</del></p>		

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Prevention Through Environment Design (CPTED) principles as required to achieve a safe, secure environment.		
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS260	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1039	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS219	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS246	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS616	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS780	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS131	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	195	RESZ-MAT2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>having regard to the planned built form of the zone.</u> 2. <del>Provision of</del> <u>The extent to which the proposal is able to provide</u> adequate outdoor living space on site.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS261	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1040	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS220	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS255	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS255	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS247	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS617	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS781	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS132	RESZ-MAT2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	196	RESZ-MAT3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Effects on privacy, outlook, or shading on the affected neighbouring sites property. 2. Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment having regard to the planned built form of the zone. 3. The extent to which topography, building location and orientation and planting can mitigate the effects of the additional height of the building or structure. 4. The extent to which the increase in height provides for the protection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1. 5. The extent to which the increase in height provides for the mitigation of the effects of natural hazards.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS262	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1041	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS221	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS248	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS618	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS782	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS133	RESZ-MAT3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	197	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose	Delete as notified and undertake a full review of the matters for discretion. Seeks the introduction of a flexible Height/Bulk in Relation to Boundary rule which would provide a range of options, specific to the zoning of adjacent land, that would provide design flexibility in the form and typology of residential development.	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS263	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1042	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS222	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS249	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS619	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS783	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS134	RESZ-MAT4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>&amp; any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	198	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. <del>The safety and efficiency of the land transport infrastructure.</del> 2. Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>streetscape having regard to the planned urban form of the zone.</u> 3. The extent to which the reduction in road boundary setback provides for the pProtection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS264	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1043	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS223	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS257	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS257	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS250	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS620	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS784	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS135	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	199	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <del>1. Effects on privacy, outlook, or shading on the affected property.</del> 2. Effects on visual amenity values <u>of adjoining residential properties</u> , including privacy, <u>outlook and dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment.</u> 3. <u>The extent to which the reduction in road boundary setback provides for the p</u> Protection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1. 4. <u>The extent to which the reduction in yard setback provides for the m</u> Mitigation of the effects of natural hazards. <del>5. Reverse sensitivity effects.</del>	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS265	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1044	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS224	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS251	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS621	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS785	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS136	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	200	RESZ-MAT7	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. The <del>degree extent</del> to which an <del>open street scene is maintained and views</del> <u>passive surveillance opportunities are provided</u> between the residential unit and the public space, <del>private right of way, or shared access are retained</del> <u>street</u>.</p> <p>2. The <u>effects on the planned urban form and streetscape of the zone</u> and whether adequate mitigation of adverse effects can be achieved through landscaping or alternative design <del>extent to which the visual appearance of the site from the street, or private right of way, or shared access over which the lot has legal use of any part, is dominated by garden planting and the residential unit, rather than front fencing.</del></p> <p>3. The <del>extent to which the proposed fence is constructed out of the same materials as the residential unit and incorporates articulation and modulation, landscaping, or visually permeable elements.</del></p> <p>4. <del>Where located in the Large Lot Residential Zone, in a way that is compatible with the open and spacious character anticipated within this zone.</del></p> <p>5. In the case of internal boundaries, to be of sufficient height to maintain privacy and/or security without adversely affecting the visual amenity or access to sunlight of adjoining land;</p> <p>6. Necessity as an integral part of a recreational facility such as a swimming pool or tennis court.</p>	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS266	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1045	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS225	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS252	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS622	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS237	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS137	RESZ-MAT7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	201	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS267	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1046	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS226	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS253	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS623	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS238	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS138	RESZ-MAT8	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	202	RESZ-MAT9	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Effects on amenity values of the residential area <u>the planned urban form of the zone</u> . 2. Location and adequacy of outdoor living space.	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS268	RESZ-MAT9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS1047	RESZ-MAT9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS227	RESZ-MAT9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS254	RESZ-MAT9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS624	RESZ-MAT9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS239	RESZ-MAT9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS139	RESZ-MAT9	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	203	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS269	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS113	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS228	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS255	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS625	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS240	RESZ-MAT10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS140	RESZ-MAT10	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	204	RESZ-MAT11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS270	RESZ-MAT11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS114	RESZ-MAT11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS229	RESZ-MAT11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS256	RESZ-MAT11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS626	RESZ-MAT11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS241	RESZ-MAT11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS141	RESZ-MAT11	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	205	RESZ-MAT12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS271	RESZ-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS115	RESZ-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS230	RESZ-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS257	RESZ-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS627	RESZ-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS242	RESZ-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS142	RESZ-MAT12	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>&amp; any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	206	RESZ-MAT13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village ...	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS272	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS116	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS231	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS258	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS628	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS243	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS143	RESZ-MAT13	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	207	RESZ-MAT14	Support In Part	Deletions to the heading and amendments to the matters are sought.	Reject	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS273	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS117	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS232	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS259	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	8



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS629	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS244	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS144	RESZ-MAT14	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	208	RESZ-MAT15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS274	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS118	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS233	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS260	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS630	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS245	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS145	RESZ-MAT15	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	209	RESZ-MAT16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS275	RESZ-MAT16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS119	RESZ-MAT16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS234	RESZ-MAT16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS261	RESZ-MAT16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS631	RESZ-MAT16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS246	RESZ-MAT16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS146	RESZ-MAT16	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	210	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS276	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS120	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	8
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS235	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS262	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	8
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS632	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS247	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	8
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS147	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	211	LLRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS059	LLRZ-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS277	LLRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS121	LLRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS236	LLRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS076	LLRZ-O1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS263	LLRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS060	LLRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS248	LLRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	212	LLRZ-P1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Provide for a very low density and spacious residential character planned built form by: <del>1. managing the density of development requiring</del> <u>sufficient setbacks; and</u> ...	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS060	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS278	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS122	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS237	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS077	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS280	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS280	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS264	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS061	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS249	LLRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	213	LLRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS061	LLRZ-R1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS279	LLRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS123	LLRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS238	LLRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS078	LLRZ-R1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS265	LLRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS062	LLRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS250	LLRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	214	LLRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of, or the addition/external alteration to, a residential unit or other principal building ... And this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <del>LLRZ-REQ4 Height in Relation to Boundary</del> ...	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>LLRZ-REQ6 Presentation to the Street</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>Any application for a new building pursuant to LLRZ-R2 that complies with LLRZ-REQ3 Height and LLRZ-REQ5 Setback of Buildings and Structures shall not require the written consent of affected persons and shall not be notified or limited-notified unless Council decides that special circumstances exist under section 95A(4) of the Resource Management Act 1991.</u></p>		
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS062	LLRZ-R2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS280	LLRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS124	LLRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS239	LLRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS079	LLRZ-R2	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS281	LLRZ-R2	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS281	LLRZ-R2	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS266	LLRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS063	LLRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS251	LLRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	215	LLRZ-R3	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>LLRZ-REQ4 Height in Relation to Boundary</del></p>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					... LLRZ-REQ6 Presentation to the Street		
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS063	LLRZ-R3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS281	LLRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS125	LLRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS240	LLRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS080	LLRZ-R3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS267	LLRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS064	LLRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS252	LLRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	216	LLRZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS065	LLRZ-R5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS282	LLRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS126	LLRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS241	LLRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS081	LLRZ-R5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS268	LLRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS065	LLRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS253	LLRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	217	LLRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS064	LLRZ-R4	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS283	LLRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS127	LLRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS242	LLRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS082	LLRZ-R4	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS269	LLRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS254	LLRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	218	LLRZ-R6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS066	LLRZ-R6	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS284	LLRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS128	LLRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS243	LLRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS083	LLRZ-R6	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS270	LLRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS066	LLRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS255	LLRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	219	LLRZ-R7	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS067	LLRZ-R7	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS285	LLRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS206	LLRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS244	LLRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS084	LLRZ-R7	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS271	LLRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS067	LLRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS256	LLRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	220	LLRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS068	LLRZ-R8	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS286	LLRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS130	LLRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS245	LLRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS085	LLRZ-R8	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS272	LLRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS068	LLRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS257	LLRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	221	LLRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS069	LLRZ-R9	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS287	LLRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS131	LLRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS246	LLRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS086	LLRZ-R9	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS273	LLRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS069	LLRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS258	LLRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	222	LLRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS070	LLRZ-R10	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS943	LLRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS235	LLRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS247	LLRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS087	LLRZ-R10	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS274	LLRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS070	LLRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS260	LLRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	223	LLRZ-R11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS071	LLRZ-R11	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS288	LLRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS246	LLRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS248	LLRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS088	LLRZ-R11	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS275	LLRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS071	LLRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS259	LLRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	224	LLRZ-R13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS072	LLRZ-R13	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS289	LLRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS134	LLRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS249	LLRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS089	LLRZ-R13	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS276	LLRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS072	LLRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS261	LLRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	225	LLRZ-R12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS073	LLRZ-R12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS290	LLRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS262	LLRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS250	LLRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS090	LLRZ-R12	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS277	LLRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS073	LLRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS262	LLRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	226	LLRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS074	LLRZ-R15	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS291	LLRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS136	LLRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS251	LLRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS091	LLRZ-R15	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS278	LLRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS074	LLRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS263	LLRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	227	LLRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS075	LLRZ-R16	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS292	LLRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS137	LLRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS252	LLRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS092	LLRZ-R16	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS279	LLRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS075	LLRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS264	LLRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	228	LLRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS076	LLRZ-R17	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS293	LLRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS138	LLRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS253	LLRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS093	LLRZ-R17	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS280	LLRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS076	LLRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS265	LLRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	229	LLRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS077	LLRZ-R18	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS294	LLRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS139	LLRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS254	LLRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS094	LLRZ-R18	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS281	LLRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS077	LLRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS266	LLRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	230	LLRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS078	LLRZ-R19	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS295	LLRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS140	LLRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS255	LLRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS095	LLRZ-R19	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS282	LLRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS078	LLRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS267	LLRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	231	LLRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS079	LLRZ-R20	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS296	LLRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS141	LLRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS256	LLRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS096	LLRZ-R20	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS283	LLRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS079	LLRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS268	LLRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	232	LLRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS080	LLRZ-R21	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS297	LLRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS142	LLRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS257	LLRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS097	LLRZ-R21	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS283	LLRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS080	LLRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS269	LLRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	233	LLRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS081	LLRZ-R22	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS298	LLRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS143	LLRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS258	LLRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS098	LLRZ-R22	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS285	LLRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS081	LLRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS270	LLRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	234	LLRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS082	LLRZ-R23	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS299	LLRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS144	LLRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS259	LLRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS099	LLRZ-R23	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS286	LLRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS082	LLRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS271	LLRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	235	LLRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS083	LLRZ-R14	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS300	LLRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS145	LLRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS260	LLRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS100	LLRZ-R14	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS287	LLRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS083	LLRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS272	LLRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	236	LLRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS084	LLRZ-R24	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS301	LLRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS146	LLRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS261	LLRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS101	LLRZ-R24	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS288	LLRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS084	LLRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS273	LLRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	237	LLRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS085	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS302	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS147	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS262	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS102	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS289	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS085	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS274	LLRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	238	LLRZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS086	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS303	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS148	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS263	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS10	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS290	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS086	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS275	LLRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	239	LLRZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The maximum height of any building or structure, when measured from ground level, shall not exceed 8m, <u>except that 50 per cent of a building's roof in elevation, measured vertically from the junction between wall and roof, may exceed this height by 1m, where the entire roof slopes 15 degrees or more, as shown in the Figure below.</u>	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS087	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS304	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS149	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS264	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS104	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS291	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS087	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS276	LLRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	240	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS088	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS305	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS201	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS265	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS105	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS292	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS088	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS277	LLRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	241	LLRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any building or structure, excluding any ancillary structure or fence, shall be setback a minimum of: a. 10m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway</del> , or	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					reserve; and b. 5m from any internal boundary. ...		
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS089	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS306	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS202	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS266	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS106	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS293	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS089	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS278	LLRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	242	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS090	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS307	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS203	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS267	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS107	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS294	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS090	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS279	LLRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	243	LLRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1.50% of the area between the road boundary and the principal building, excluding those parts used for either vehicle or pedestrian access, shall be: a. landscaped with a mix of lawn, garden beds, or shrubs; and <del>b. provided with one specimen tree for every 10m of frontage that is:</del> i. a minimum of 1.8m high at time of planting; and ii. capable of achieving a height at maturity of 8m.	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart, Townsend & Fraser	FS091	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS308	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS204	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	9
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS268	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS108	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS295	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally & McIlraith	FS091	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS280	LLRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	244	LRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	245	LRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	246	LRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	247	LRZ-R2	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. The establishment of, or the addition/external alteration to, a residential unit or other principal building</p> <p>...</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>LRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p>...</p> <p><u>Notification:</u>  <u>Any application for a new building pursuant to LRZ-R2 that complies with LRZ-REQ3 Height and LRZ-REQ5 Setback of Buildings and Structures shall not require the written consent of affected persons and shall not be notified or limited-notified unless Council decides that special circumstances exist under section 95A(4) of the Resource Management Act 1991.</u></p>	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	248	LRZ-R3	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>LRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p>	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	249	LRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	250	LRZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	251	LRZ-R6	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any fence or freestanding wall</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a. within 4m of any road boundary,:</p> <p><u>i. is a maximum height of ±1.4m.: or</u></p> <p><u>ii. the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of 1.8m in height if the fence or free-standing wall is at</u></p>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>least 50 per cent visually open as viewed perpendicular to the road boundary.</u>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	252	LRZ-R7	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	253	LRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	254	LRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	255	LRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	256	LRZ-R11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	257	LRZ-R12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	258	LRZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village  Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <del>LRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> ... <del>LRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del>	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	259	LRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	260	LRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	261	LRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	262	LRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	263	LRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	264	LRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	265	LRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	266	LRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	267	LRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	268	LRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	269	LRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	270	LRZ-R25	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	271	LRZ-R26	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	272	LRZ-R27	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	273	LRZ-R28	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	274	LRZ-R29	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	275	LRZ-R30	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	276	LRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	277	LRZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	278	LRZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The maximum height of any building or structure, when measured from ground level, shall not exceed 8m, <u>except that 50 per cent of a building's roof in elevation, measured vertically from the junction between wall and roof, may exceed this height by 1m, where the entire roof slopes 15 degrees or more, as shown in the Figure below.</u>	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	279	LRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified and undertake a full review of the provision and introduce a new series of rules in relation to: - a general height in relation to boundary control; - height in relation to boundary control adjoining Open Space zones and no height in relation to boundary control where the adjacent park exceeds 2,000m <sup>2</sup> . - exclusion relating to solar panels; and - how the vertical measurement is defined.	Accept in part	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	280	LRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway, or reserve</del> ; and b. <del>2</del> 1m from any internal boundary, unless the residential unit or other principal building has been designed to share a common wall along an internal boundary ...	Accept in part	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	281	LRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Amend as follows:  1. Any garage that has a vehicle door that <del>faces a road boundary or shared accessway</del> <u>is located within a front yard of front and corner sites shall be setback:</u> a. <u>Shall be setback</u> 5.5m from the road boundary or	Reject	10



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>shared accessway; and</p> <p><u>b. Must not project forward by more than 0.5m from the front façade of the residential unit</u></p> <p><u>c. 2m from the internal boundary if the wall length adjacent the internal boundary is greater than 7m; or</u></p> <p><u>d. 1m from the internal boundary if the wall length adjacent the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m.</u></p> <p><del>2. Any garage that has a vehicle door that faces an internal boundary and:</del></p> <p><del>a. the wall length adjacent any road boundary or shared accessway</del></p> <p><del>i. is greater than 7m, shall be setback 4m from the road boundary or shared accessway;</del></p> <p><del>ii. is less than or equal to 7m, shall be setback 2m from the road boundary or shared accessway;</del></p> <p><del>b. the wall length adjacent any internal boundary</del></p> <p><del>i. is greater than 7m, shall be setback 2m from the internal boundary;</del></p> <p><del>ii. is less than or equal to 7m, shall be setback 1m from the internal boundary.</del></p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>4. The exercise of discretion in relation to LRZ-REQ6.3. is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>RESZ-MAT5 Road Boundary Setback</p> <p><del>RESZ-MAT6 Internal Boundary Setback</del></p>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	282	LRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is greater than 7m, be setback:</p> <p>a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway or reserve</del>; and</p> <p>b. 2m from any internal boundary.</p> <p>2. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is</p>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					less than or equal to 7m, be setback: a. 2m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway or reserve</del> ; and b. 1m from any internal boundary. 3. Any structure shall be setback 2m from any road boundary <del>or reserve</del> . ...		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	283	LRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	284	LRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that: a. is directly accessible from a habitable room; b. has a minimum area of <del>50</del> 20m <sup>2</sup> ; c. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 4m; <del>d. is not located between the road boundary and the residential unit; and</del> e. is free of driveways, manoeuvring areas, parking spaces, accessory buildings, and service areas. <u>f. Where part of the required outdoor living space requires a deck, balcony or roof terrace located above ground floor level, the area shall be:</u> <u>i. directly accessible from any habitable room or kitchen;</u> <u>ii. have a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup>; and</u> <u>iii. have a minimum depth of 1.5m.</u>	Accepted in part	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	285	LRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. <del>50% of t</del> The area between the road boundary and the principal building, excluding those parts used for either vehicle or pedestrian access, shall be: a. landscaped with a mix of lawn, garden beds, or shrubs; and <del>b. provided with one specimen tree for every 10m of frontage that is:</del> <u>i. a minimum of 1.8m high at time of planting; and</u> <u>ii. capable of achieving a height at maturity of 8m.</u>	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	286	LRZ-REQ11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	287	LRZ-REQ12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	288	LRZ-REQ13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	289	LRZ-REQ14	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	290	LRZ-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	10
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	291	GRZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS205	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1057	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS296	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS633	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS281	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS148	GRZ-Overview	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	292	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>Development within the General Residential Zone is in keeping with the planned provides a quality, urban residential amenity and a range of residential unit typologies to meet the diverse needs of the community, at higher densities than anticipated in all other residential zones built form of predominantly two storey buildings, in a variety of housing typologies and sizes.</u>	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS207	GRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1058	GRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS282	GRZ-O1	Support	Adopt	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS282	GRZ-O1	Support	Adopt	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0424	RVA	FS001	GRZ-O1	Oppose	Retain GRZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0425	Ryman	FS001	GRZ-O1	Oppose	Retain GRZ-O1 as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS297	GRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS634	GRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS282	GRZ-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS149	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	293	GRZ-P1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable residential development which <u>is in keeping with the planned urban built form of predominantly two storey buildings, in a variety of housing typologies and sizes</u> provides a range of housing typologies that are consistent with a compact urban character by managing the density of development and the scale and on-site amenity of the built form.	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS208	GRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1059	GRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS283	GRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS283	GRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	11
DPR-0424	RVA	FS002	GRZ-P1	Oppose	Retain GRZ-P1 as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0425	Ryman	FS002	GRZ-P1	Oppose	Retain GRZ-P1 as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS298	GRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS635	GRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS283	GRZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS150	GRZ-P1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	294	GRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS209	GRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1060	GRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS299	GRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS636	GRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS284	GRZ-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS151	GRZ-R1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	295	GRZ-R2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Activity status: PER 1. The establishment of, or the addition/external alteration to, a residential unit or other principal building. Where: a. no more than <del>one</del> <u>two</u> residential units or other principal buildings, <del>is</del> <u>are</u> established on the site. And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>...</p> <p><del>GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p>...</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of GRZ-R2.1. is not achieved: refer GRZ-R2.4. <del>or GRZ-R2.8.</del></p> <p>3. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to GRZ-Rule Requirements</p> <p>Activity status: RDIS</p> <p>4. The establishment of, <del>or the addition/external alteration to, a second three or more</del> residential units, or other principal buildings, on the site</p> <p>Where:</p> <p><del>a. the site has a minimum net site area of 1000m<sup>2</sup>.</del></p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>5. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R2.5 is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>1. RESZ-MAT1 Residential Design</p> <p>2. RESZ-MAT8 Second Residential Unit</p> <p>3. NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p><del>6. When compliance with any of GRZ-R2.5. is not achieved: DIS</del></p> <p>7. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to GRZ-Rule Requirements.</p> <p>Activity Status: NC</p> <p><del>8. The establishment of a third or subsequent residential unit or other principal building on the site.</del></p>		

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Notification:</u> <u>Any application for a Residential unit or principal building pursuant to GRZ-R2 that complies with GRRZ-REQ3 Height and GRRZ-REQ5 Setback of Buildings and Structures shall not require the written consent of affected persons and shall not be notified or limited-notified unless Council decides that special circumstances exist under section 95A(4) of the Resource Management Act 1991.</u>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS210	GRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1061	GRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS284	GRZ-R2	Support	Adopt	Reject	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS284	GRZ-R2	Support	Adopt	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS300	GRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS637	GRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS285	GRZ-R2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS152	GRZ-R2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	296	GRZ-R3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. The establishment of, or addition/ external alterations to, a minor residential unit. ... And this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ...	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street ...		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS211	GRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1062	GRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS301	GRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS638	GRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS286	GRZ-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS153	GRZ-R3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	297	GRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS212	GRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1063	GRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS302	GRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS639	GRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS287	GRZ-R4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS154	GRZ-R4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	298	GRZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS213	GRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1064	GRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS303	GRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS640	GRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS288	GRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS155	GRZ-R5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	299	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: GRZ (excluding SCA-AD2) 1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. within 4m of any road boundary,; i. is a maximum height of <u>±1.4m. or</u> ii. the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of <u>1.8m in height if the fence or free-standing wall is at least 50 per cent visually open as viewed perpendicular to the road boundary.</u> ...	Accept in part	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS214	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1065	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS304	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS641	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS289	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS156	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	300	GRZ-R6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS215	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1066	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS305	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS642	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS290	GRZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS157	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	301	GRZ-R7	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS216	GRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1067	GRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS306	GRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS643	GRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS291	GRZ-R7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS158	GRZ-R7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	302	GRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS217	GRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1068	GRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS307	GRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS644	GRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS292	GRZ-R8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS159	GRZ-R8	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	303	GRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS218	GRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1069	GRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS308	GRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS645	GRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS293	GRZ-R9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS160	GRZ-R9	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	304	GRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS219	GRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1070	GRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS309	GRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS646	GRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS294	GRZ-R10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS161	GRZ-R10	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	305	GRZ-R11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS197	GRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1071	GRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS286	GRZ-R11	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS286	GRZ-R11	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS310	GRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS647	GRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS295	GRZ-R11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS162	GRZ-R11	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	306	GRZ-R12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS220	GRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1072	GRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS287	GRZ-R12	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS287	GRZ-R12	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS311	GRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS648	GRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS296	GRZ-R12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS163	GRZ-R12	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	307	GRZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>... GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street ... GRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: 1. RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</p>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS221	GRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1073	GRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS312	GRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS649	GRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS297	GRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS164	GRZ-R13	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	308	GRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS222	GRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1074	GRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS313	GRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS650	GRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS298	GRZ-R14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS165	GRZ-R14	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	309	GRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
DPR-0084	Jason Hardy	FS003	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete Rule GRZ-R15 Commercial Activities, transitional precinct and maintain the status quo of General Residential Zone only for Markham Way, Peel Close, Wilbur Close and Landor Common properties	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS223	GRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1075	GRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS314	GRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS651	GRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS299	GRZ-R15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS166	GRZ-R15	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	310	GRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS224	GRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1076	GRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS315	GRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS652	GRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS300	GRZ-R16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS167	GRZ-R16	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	311	GRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS225	GRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1077	GRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS316	GRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS653	GRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS301	GRZ-R17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS168	GRZ-R17	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	312	GRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS226	GRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1078	GRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS317	GRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS654	GRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS302	GRZ-R18	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS169	GRZ-R18	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	313	GRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS227	GRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1079	GRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS318	GRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS655	GRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS303	GRZ-R19	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS170	GRZ-R19	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	314	GRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS228	GRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1080	GRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS319	GRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS656	GRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS304	GRZ-R20	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS171	GRZ-R20	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	315	GRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS229	GRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1081	GRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS320	GRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS657	GRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS305	GRZ-R21	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS172	GRZ-R21	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	316	GRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS230	GRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1082	GRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS321	GRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS658	GRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS306	GRZ-R22	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS173	GRZ-R22	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	317	GRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS231	GRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1083	GRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS322	GRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS659	GRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS307	GRZ-R23	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS174	GRZ-R23	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	318	GRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS198	GRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1084	GRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS323	GRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS660	GRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS308	GRZ-R24	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS175	GRZ-R24	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	319	GRZ-R25	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS232	GRZ-R25	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1085	GRZ-R25	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS324	GRZ-R25	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS661	GRZ-R25	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS309	GRZ-R25	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS176	GRZ-R25	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	320	GRZ-R26	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS233	GRZ-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	1
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1086	GRZ-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS325	GRZ-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS662	GRZ-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS310	GRZ-R26	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS177	GRZ-R26	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	321	GRZ-R27	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS234	GRZ-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	1
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1087	GRZ-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS326	GRZ-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS663	GRZ-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS311	GRZ-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS178	GRZ-R27	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	322	GRZ-R28	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS236	GRZ-R28	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	1
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1088	GRZ-R28	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS327	GRZ-R28	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS664	GRZ-R28	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS312	GRZ-R28	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS179	GRZ-R28	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	323	GRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS237	GRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	1
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1089	GRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS328	GRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS665	GRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS313	GRZ-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS180	GRZ-REQ1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	324	GRZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS238	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	1
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1090	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS329	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS666	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS314	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS181	GRZ-REQ2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	325	GRZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The maximum height of any building or structure, when measured from ground level, shall not exceed 8m, <u>except that 50 per cent of a building's roof in elevation, measured vertically from the junction between wall and roof, may exceed this height by 1m, where the entire roof slopes 15 degrees or more, as shown in the Figure below.</u>	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS239	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1091	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS330	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS667	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS315	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS182	GRZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	326	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified and undertake a full review of the provision and introduce a new series of rules in relation to: - a general height in relation to boundary control; - an 'alternate' control for the front 20 metres of the site; - a height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones control; - height in relation to boundary control adjoining Open Space zones and no height in relation to boundary control where the adjacent park exceeds 2,000m <sup>2</sup> . - exclusion relating to solar panels; and - how the vertical measurement is defined.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS240	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1092	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS331	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS668	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS316	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS183	GRZ-REQ4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	327	GRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway, or</del>	Accept in part	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					reserve; and b.21m from any internal boundary, unless the residential unit or other principal building has been designed to share a common wall along an internal boundary ...		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS241	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1093	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS332	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS669	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS317	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS184	GRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0597	B and A Radburnd	FS001	GRZ-REQ5	Support	Support	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	328	GRZ-REQ5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS242	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1094	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS333	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS670	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS318	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS185	GRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	329	GRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any garage that has a vehicle door that <del>faces a road boundary or shared accessway</del> <u>is located within a front yard of front and corner sites</u> shall be setback:</p> <p>a. Must not project forward by more than 0.5m from the front façade of the residential unit 5.5m from the <del>road boundary or shared accessway</del>; and</p> <p>b. 2m from the internal boundary if the wall length adjacent the internal boundary is greater than 7m; or</p> <p>c. 1m from the internal boundary if the wall length adjacent the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m.</p> <p>2. Any garage that has a vehicle door that faces an internal boundary and:</p> <p>a. the wall length adjacent any road boundary or shared accessway</p> <p>i. is greater than 7m, shall be setback 4m from the road boundary or shared accessway;</p> <p>ii. is less than or equal to 7m, shall be setback 2m from the road boundary or shared accessway;</p> <p>b. the wall length adjacent any internal boundary</p> <p>i. is greater than 7m, shall be setback 2m from the internal boundary;</p> <p>ii. is less than or equal to 7m, shall be setback 1m from the internal boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>4. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-REQ6.3. is restricted to the following matters:</p>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					RESZ-MAT5 Road Boundary Setback <del>RESZ-MAT6 Internal Boundary Setback</del>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS243	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1095	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS334	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS671	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS319	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS186	GRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	330	GRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is greater than 7m, be setback: a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway or reserve</del> ; and b. 2m from any internal boundary. 2. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback: a. 2m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway or reserve</del> ; and b. 1m from any internal boundary. 3. Any structure shall be setback 2m from any road boundary <del>or reserve</del> .	Accept in part	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS244	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1096	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS335	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS672	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS320	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS187	GRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	331	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS245	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1097	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS336	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS673	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS320	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS188	GRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	332	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that: a. is directly accessible from a habitable room; b. has a minimum area of 5020m <sup>2</sup> ; c. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 4m;	Accepted in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>d. is not located between the road boundary and the residential unit; and</p> <p>e. is free of driveways, manoeuvring areas, parking spaces, accessory buildings, and service areas.</p> <p>f. <u>Where part of the required outdoor living space requires a deck, balcony or roof terrace located above ground floor level, the area shall be:</u></p> <p>i. <u>directly accessible from any habitable room or kitchen;</u></p> <p>ii. <u>have a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup>; and</u></p> <p>iii. <u>have a minimum depth of 1.5m.</u></p>		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS247	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1098	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS3367	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS674	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS322	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS189	GRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	333	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. <u>50% of t</u>The area between the road boundary and the principal building, excluding those parts used for either vehicle or pedestrian access, shall be:</p> <p>a. landscaped with a mix of lawn, garden beds, or shrubs; and</p> <p>b. <del>provided with one specimen tree for every 10m of frontage that is:</del></p>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					i. a minimum of 1.8m high at time of planting; and ii. capable of achieving a height at maturity of 8m.		
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS248	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1099	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS338	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS675	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS323	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS190	GRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	334	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS249	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1100	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS339	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS676	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS324	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS191	GRZ-REQ11	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	335	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS250	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1101	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS340	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS678	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS325	GRZ-REQ12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS192	GRZ-REQ12	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	336	GRZ-REQ13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS251	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1102	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS341	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS679	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS326	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS193	GRZ-REQ13	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	337	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS252	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1103	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS342	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS680	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS327	GRZ-REQ14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS194	GRZ-REQ14	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Reject	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	338	GRZ-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0209	Manmeet Singh	FS253	GRZ-REQ15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Reject	1
DPR-0298	Trices Road Group	FS1104	GRZ-REQ15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS343	GRZ-REQ15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	11
DPR-0492	Kevler	FS681	GRZ-REQ15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Reject	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS328	GRZ-REQ15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Reject	11
DPR-0565	SSHL	FS195	GRZ-REQ15	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	339	SETZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	340	SETZ-P1	Support In Part	Unspecified amendments sought.	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	341	SETZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	342	SETZ-R2	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. The establishment of, or the addition/external alteration to, a residential unit or other principal building</p> <p>...</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p>...</p> <p>Notification:</p> <p><del>Any application for a new building pursuant to SETZZ R2 that complies with SETZ-REQ3 Height and SETZ-REQ5 Setback of Buildings and Structures shall not require the written consent of affected persons and shall not be notified or limited notified unless Council decides that special circumstances exist under section 95A(4) of the Resource Management Act 1991.</del></p> <p>Activity status: RDIS</p> <p>4. The establishment of, or the addition/external alteration to, a second residential unit, or other principal building on the site</p> <p>...</p> <p>And this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p>...</p>	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	343	SETZ-R3	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. The establishment of, or addition/external alteration to, a minor residential unit</p> <p>...</p>	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					And this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <del>SETZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> ...		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	344	SETZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	345	SETZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	346	SETZ-R6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. within 4m of any road boundary,; i. is a maximum height of <del>1.4m.</del> <u>or</u> ii. <u>the fence or freestanding wall shall be a maximum of 1.8m in height if the fence or free-standing wall is at least 50 per cent visually open as viewed perpendicular to the road boundary.</u> ...	Accept in part	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	347	SETZ-R7	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	348	SETZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	349	SETZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	350	SETZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	351	SETZ-R11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	352	SETZ-R12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	353	SETZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:  ... <del>SETZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> <del>SETZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del> Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: a. RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Development and Retirement Village ...		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	354	SETZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	355	SETZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	356	SETZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	357	SETZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	358	SETZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	359	SETZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	360	SETZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	361	SETZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	362	SETZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	363	SETZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	364	SETZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	365	SETZ-R25	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	366	SETZ-R26	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	367	SETZ-R27	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	368	SETZ-R28	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	369	SETZ-R29	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	370	SETZ-R30	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	371	SETZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	372	SETZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	373	SETZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The maximum height of any building or structure, when measured from ground level, shall not exceed 8m, <u>except that 50 per cent of a building's roof in elevation, measured vertically from the junction between wall and roof, may exceed this height by 1m, where the entire roof slopes 15 degrees or more, as shown in the Figure below.</u>	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	374	SETZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified and undertake a full review of the provision and introduce a new series of rules in relation to: - a general height in relation to boundary control; - an 'alternate' control for the front 20 metres of the	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					site; - a height in relation to boundary adjoining lower intensity zones control; - height in relation to boundary control adjoining Open Space zones and no height in relation to boundary control where the adjacent park exceeds 2,000m <sup>2</sup> . - exclusion relating to solar panels; and - how the vertical measurement is defined.		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	375	SETZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway, or reserve;</del> and b. <del>2.1m</del> 2m from any internal boundary, unless the residential unit or other principal building has been designed to share a common wall along an internal boundary. ...	Accept in part	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	376	SETZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any garage that has a vehicle door that <del>faces a road boundary or shared accessway</del> <u>is located within a front yard of front and corner sites shall be setback:</u> a. <u>Must not project forward by more than 0.5m from the front façade of the residential unit</u> <del>5.5m from the road boundary or shared accessway;</del> and b. <del>2m from the internal boundary if the wall length adjacent the internal boundary is greater than 7m; or</del> c. <del>1m from the internal boundary if the wall length adjacent the internal boundary is less than or equal to 7m.</del> 2. Any garage that has a vehicle door that faces an internal boundary and: a. <del>the wall length adjacent any road boundary or shared accessway</del> i. <del>is greater than 7m, shall be setback 4m from the road</del>	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><del>boundary or shared accessway;</del></p> <p>ii. is less than or equal to 7m, shall be setback 2m from the road boundary or shared accessway;</p> <p>b. the wall length adjacent any internal boundary</p> <p>i. is greater than 7m, shall be setback 2m from the internal boundary;</p> <p>ii. is less than or equal to 7m, shall be setback 1m from the internal boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>4. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ -REQ6.3. is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>RESZ -MAT6 Internal Boundary Setback</del></p>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	377	SETZ-REQ7	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is greater than 7m, be setback:</p> <p>a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway or reserve</del>; and</p> <p>b. 2m from any internal boundary.</p> <p>2. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback:</p> <p>a. 2m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway or reserve</del>; and</p> <p>b. 1m from any internal boundary.</p> <p>3. Any structure shall be setback 2m from any road boundary <del>or reserve</del>.</p> <p>...</p>	Accept in part	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	378	SETZ-REQ8	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	379	SETZ-REQ9	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that:</p> <p>a. is directly accessible from a habitable room;</p> <p>b. has a minimum area of <del>50</del> <u>20</u>m<sup>2</sup>;</p> <p>...</p>	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>d. is not located between the road boundary and the residential unit; and</p> <p>...</p> <p>f. Where part of the required outdoor living space requires a deck, balcony or roof terrace located above ground floor level, the area shall be:</p> <p>i. directly accessible from any habitable room or kitchen;</p> <p>ii. have a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup>; and</p> <p>iii. have a minimum depth of 1.5m.</p> <p>...</p>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	380	SETZ-REQ10	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. 50% of the area between the road boundary and the principal building, excluding those parts used for either vehicle or pedestrian access, shall be:</p> <p>a. landscaped with a mix of lawn, garden beds, or shrubs; and</p> <p>b. provided with one specimen tree for every 10m of frontage that is:</p> <p>i. a minimum of 1.8m high at time of planting; and</p> <p>ii. capable of achieving a height at maturity of 8m.</p> <p>...</p>	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	381	SETZ-REQ11	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	382	SETZ-REQ12	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	383	SETZ-REQ13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	384	SETZ-REQ14	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	385	SETZ-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0422	NCFF	044	Garage	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>A building, or part of a building designed or used primarily for housing motor vehicles and other miscellaneous items. A garage includes any carport.</p>	Reject	7
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS073	Garage	Oppose	Kāinga Ora supports the submission point in part as it considers the notified wording to be ambiguous	Reject	7
DPR-0422	NCFF	075	Residential Unit Types	Support In Part	Amend to include residences that may come under the Unit Titles Act 2010.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS075	Residential Unit Types	Oppose	Kāinga supports the submission point in part in so far as it could be broadened to encompass other residential units. Kāinga Ora notes this may require review of where the definition is used in the plan to ensure this is appropriate.	Reject	7
DPR-0424	RVA	002	Supported Residential Accommodation	Support In Part	Amend to clarify the definition and avoid any overlap or interpretation issues with the definition of 'retirement village'.	Reject	7
DPR-0424	RVA	003	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	9
DPR-0424	RVA	004	LRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	10
DPR-0424	RVA	005	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	11
DPR-0424	RVA	006	SETZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	12
DPR-0424	RVA	007	RESZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0424	RVA	008	RESZ-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0424	RVA	009	RESZ-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0424	RVA	010	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0424	RVA	011	RESZ-O5	Oppose	Delete and replace as follows: <u>Well-functioning urban environments that:</u> <u>1. enable all people and communities to provide for</u>	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future; and 2. develop and change over time in response to the diverse and changing needs of people, communities and future generations.</u>		
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS262	RESZ-O5	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS262	RESZ-O5	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	012	RESZ-O6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0424	RVA	013	RESZ-O7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0424	RVA	014	New	Support	Insert new objective as follows: <u>Provide for retirement villages that increase the supply of, and diversify the range of, accommodation options and accessory services available to older people, including those older people requiring care or assisted living.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	015	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Enable a range of housing types and densities <del>that to</del> achieve the <del>residential character anticipated</del> <u>planned urban built form</u> for each zone.	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS264	RESZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS264	RESZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	016	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Vacant or underutilised land is developed in an efficient and co-ordinated manner to increase housing choice by providing opportunities for residential units at densities higher than but compatible with the <u>planned urban built form</u> <del>amenity and character</del> of the locality.	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS265	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS265	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	017	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: <del>Maintain and enhance the character and amenity values of residential zones by ensuring</del> <u>Ensure that all new buildings are consistent with the planned urban built form by:</u> <u>1.describing the planned urban built form for each zone</u>	Accept in part	8



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>of a scale appropriate to the locality;</p> <p>2. <u>providing standards for buildings that reflect the planned urban built form for each zone, and requiring an assessment of effects of any breaches of those standards sited in a location to enable privacy and retain open space and access to sunlight and daylight;</u></p> <p>3. <u>ensuring designed to enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.</u></p>		
DPR-0217	Summerset	FS001	RESZ-P3	Support	Accept the submission	Accept in part	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS268	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS268	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0424	RVA	018	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Enable supported residential accommodation and retirement villages that are:</p> <p>.....</p>	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	019	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<p>Insert new policy as follows:</p> <p><u>RESZ-PXX - Retirement Villages</u></p> <p><u>A Provide for a diverse range of housing options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons throughout residential areas.</u></p> <p><u>B Provide for comprehensively designed and managed, well-located, higher density accommodation options and accessory services for older persons and those requiring care or assisted living, throughout all residential zones.</u></p> <p><u>C Recognise that retirement villages can require higher densities than typical residential development, in order to be affordable and, to enable efficient provision of assisted living and care services and accessory services.</u></p> <p><u>D Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages.</u></p> <p><u>E Recognise that larger sites can accommodate higher density activities such as retirement villages without</u></p>	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>affecting planned amenity and character and provide for the more efficient use of larger sites.</u>		
DPR-0217	Summerset	FS002	New	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	020	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert new policy as follows: <u>Recognise that changes to amenity values are not of themselves an adverse effect.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	021	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose	Amend as follows: RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village	Reject	8
DPR-0424	RVA	022	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose	Amend as follows: RESZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development, and Retirement Village	Accept in part	8
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	FS004	RESZ-MAT14	Support	Allow	Accept in part	8
DPR-0424	RVA	023	New	Oppose	Insert new matter as follows: <u>1. Whether the retirement village buildings bring appropriate change to existing environments, taking into account:</u> <u>a. provision of density and built form that reflects the planned urban character of the zoning;</u> <u>b. creation of visual quality and interest when viewed from the street or other public places through the separation of buildings, variety in building form, and in the use of architectural detailing, glazing, materials, and colour;</u> <u>c. integration of vehicle access, parking areas and garages that do not visually dominate the development when viewed from the street or other public spaces;</u> <u>d. engagement with adjacent public streets and public open spaces, with regard to: fencing and boundary treatments, sightlines, building orientation and setback, distribution of windows and balconies, and landscaping;</u> <u>e. where relevant built form standards are breached, the effect of the specific breach on residential amenity for neighbours, in respect of visual dominance, privacy, and shading;</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>f. where relevant construction standards are breached, the effect of the specific breach on residential amenity for neighbours, in respect of noise and vibration;</u> <u>g. any response to scheduled heritage buildings or protected landscape features on the site, including protected trees;</u> <u>h. incorporation of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles;</u> <u>i. in relation to (a) to (h), the functional and operational needs of retirement villages and their residents.</u> <u>2. For the avoidance of doubt, (1) does not allow the consideration of on-site amenity.</u> <u>3. For the avoidance of doubt, this is the only matter of discretion that applies to retirement villages.</u>		
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	FS005	New	Support	Allow	Accept in part	8
DPR-0424	RVA	024	LRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Activity status: <b>REDISPER</b> 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... LRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street LRZ-REQ10 Landscaping LRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village LRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to LRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village RESZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village RESZ-MATXX - Retirement Villages NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0424	RVA	025	LRZ-REQ13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Any retirement village shall: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% of net site area;</li> <li>b. not exceed a height of 8m, except for 15% of the maximum building coverage, where buildings may be up to 11.5m in height;</li> <li>c. be setback a minimum of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway; and</li> <li>ii. 2m from any other boundary,</li> </ol> </li> <li><del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <del>is directly accessible from the main living space;</del></li> <li>ii. <del>has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del></li> <li>iii. <del>has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del></li> <li>iv. <del>has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</del></li> <li>v. <del>has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</del></li> </ol> </li> <li><del>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</del> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <del>is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</del></li> <li>ii. <del>has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</del></li> <li>iii. <del>has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</del></li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> <li>...</li> <li>2. When compliance with any of LRZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DISRDIS</del>  <u>Matters for discretion:</u>  <u>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to LRZ-REQ13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</u>  <u>RESZ-MATXX Retirement Village</u> </li> </ol>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0424	RVA	026	LRZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend to include a reference to non-notification where all rule requirements are met and no more than limited notification for a rule requirement breach.	Reject	10
DPR-0424	RVA	027	GRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Activity status: <del>RDISPER</del> 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <del>GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> <del>GRZ-REQ10 Landscaping</del> GRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village <del>GRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del> Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: <del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> <del>REZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> <u>RESZ-MATXX - Retirement Villages</u> NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations	Reject	11
<i>DPR-0217</i>	<i>Summerset</i>	<i>FS003</i>	<i>GRZ-R13</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Accept the Submission</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0424	RVA	028	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village shall: a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% of net site area; b. not exceed a height of 8m, except for 15% of the maximum building coverage, where buildings may be up to 11.5m in height; c. be setback a minimum of: i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway; and ii. 2m from any other boundary, <del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del>	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</p> <p>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</p> <p>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</p> <p>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</p> <p>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of GRZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DIS</del><u>SRDIS</u></p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p><u>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-REQ13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX Retirement Village</u></p>		
DPR-0217	Summerset	FS004	GRZ-REQ13	Support	Accept the submission	Accept in part	11
DPR-0424	RVA	029	SETZ-REQ13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any retirement village shall:</p> <p>a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% of net site area;</p> <p>b. not exceed a height of 8m, except for 15% of the maximum building coverage, where buildings may be up to 11.5m in height;</p> <p>c. be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway; and</p> <p>ii. 2m from any other boundary,</p> <p><del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del></p>	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</p> <p>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</p> <p>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</p> <p>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</p> <p>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of SETZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DIS</del>SRDIS</p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p><u>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ-REQ13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX Retirement Village</u></p>		
DPR-0424	RVA	030	SETZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend to include a reference to non-notification where all rule requirements are met and no more than limited notification for a rule requirement breach.	Reject	12
DPR-0424	RVA	031	SETZ-R13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activity status: <del>RD</del>ISPER</p> <p>1. Any retirement village</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ8</del> Presentation to the Street</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ10</del> Landscaping</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ13</del> Retirement Village</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ14</del> Variety in Appearance</p>	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del></p> <p><del>REZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del></p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX - Retirement Villages</u></p> <p>NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations</p>		
DPR-0424	RVA	032	GRZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend to include a reference to non-notification where all rule requirements are met and no more than limited notification for a rule requirement breach.	Reject	11
DPR-0425	Ryman	002	Supported Residential Accommodation	Support In Part	Amend to clarify the definition and avoid any overlap or interpretation issues with the definition of 'retirement village'.	Reject	7
DPR-0425	Ryman	003	LLRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	9
DPR-0425	Ryman	004	LRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	10
DPR-0425	Ryman	005	GRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	11
DPR-0425	Ryman	006	SETZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for an ageing population, and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other higher density residential activities.	Reject	12



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0425	Ryman	007	RESZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	008	RESZ-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	009	RESZ-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	010	RESZ-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	011	RESZ-O5	Oppose	Delete and replace as follows: <u>Well-functioning urban environments that:</u> <u>1. enable all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future; and</u> <u>2. develop and change over time in response to the diverse and changing needs of people, communities and future generations.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	012	RESZ-O6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	013	RESZ-O7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	014	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert new objective as follows: <u>Provide for retirement villages that increase the supply of, and diversify the range of, accommodation options and accessory services available to older people, including those older people requiring care or assisted living.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	015	RESZ-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Enable a range of housing types and densities <del>that to</del> <u>achieve the residential character anticipated planned urban built form</u> for each zone.	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	016	RESZ-P2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Vacant or underutilised land is developed in an efficient and co-ordinated manner to increase housing choice by providing opportunities for residential units at densities higher than but compatible with the <u>planned urban built form amenity and character</u> of the locality.	Reject	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS266	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS266	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	017	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: <del>Maintain and enhance the character and amenity values of residential zones by ensuring</del> <u>Ensure that all new</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					buildings are <u>consistent with the planned urban built form</u> by: 1. <u>describing the planned urban built form for each zone of a scale appropriate to the locality;</u> 2. <u>providing standards for buildings that reflect the planned urban built form for each zone, and requiring an assessment of effects of any breaches of those standards sited in a location to enable privacy and retain open space and access to sunlight and daylight;</u> 3. <u>ensuring designed to enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.</u>		
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS269	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS269	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt	Accept in part	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	018	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Enable supported residential accommodation <del>and retirement villages</del> that are: ...	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	019	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert new policy as follows: <u>RESZ-PXX - Retirement Villages</u> <u>A Provide for a diverse range of housing options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons throughout residential areas.</u> <u>B Provide for comprehensively designed and managed, well-located, higher density accommodation options and accessory services for older persons and those requiring care or assisted living, throughout all residential zones.</u> <u>C Recognise that retirement villages can require higher densities than typical residential development, in order to be affordable and, to enable efficient provision of assisted living and care services and accessory services.</u> <u>D Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages.</u>	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>E Recognise that larger sites can accommodate higher density activities such as retirement villages without affecting planned amenity and character and provide for the more efficient use of larger sites.</u>		
DPR-0425	Ryman	020	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert new policy as follows: <u>Recognise that changes to amenity values are not of themselves an adverse effect.</u>	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	021	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose	Amend as follows: RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village	Reject	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	022	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose	Amend as follows: RESZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development, and Retirement Village	Accept in part	8
DPR-0425	Ryman	023	New	Oppose	Insert new matter as follows: <u>1. Whether the retirement village buildings bring appropriate change to existing environments, taking into account:</u> <u>a. provision of density and built form that reflects the planned urban character of the zoning;</u> <u>b. creation of visual quality and interest when viewed from the street or other public places through the separation of buildings, variety in building form, and in the use of architectural detailing, glazing, materials, and colour;</u> <u>c. integration of vehicle access, parking areas and garages that do not visually dominate the development when viewed from the street or other public spaces;</u> <u>d. engagement with adjacent public streets and public open spaces, with regard to: fencing and boundary treatments, sightlines, building orientation and setback, distribution of windows and balconies, and landscaping;</u> <u>e. where relevant built form standards are breached, the effect of the specific breach on residential amenity for neighbours, in respect of visual dominance, privacy, and shading;</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>f. where relevant construction standards are breached, the effect of the specific breach on residential amenity for neighbours, in respect of noise and vibration;</u> <u>g. any response to scheduled heritage buildings or protected landscape features on the site, including protected trees;</u> <u>h. incorporation of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles;</u> <u>i. in relation to (a) to (h), the functional and operational needs of retirement villages and their residents.</u> <u>2. For the avoidance of doubt, (1) does not allow the consideration of on-site amenity.</u> <u>3. For the avoidance of doubt, this is the only matter of discretion that applies to retirement villages.</u>		
DPR-0425	Ryman	024	LRZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Activity status: <del>RD</del> <u>DISPER</u> 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... <del>LRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del> <del>LRZ-REQ10 Landscaping</del> LRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village LRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to LRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: <del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> <del>REZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> RESZ-MATXX - Retirement Villages NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations	Reject	10
DPR-0425	Ryman	025	LRZ-REQ13	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village shall:	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% of net site area;</p> <p>b. not exceed a height of 8m, except for 15% of the maximum building coverage, where buildings may be up to 11.5m in height;</p> <p>c. be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway; and</p> <p>ii. 2m from any other boundary,</p> <p><del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del></p> <p><del>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</del></p> <p><del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del></p> <p><del>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del></p> <p><del>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</del></p> <p><del>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</del></p> <p><del>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</del></p> <p><del>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</del></p> <p><del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</del></p> <p><del>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</del></p> <p>...</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of LRZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DIS</del><u>RD</u><u>IS</u></p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p><u>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to LRZ-REQ13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX Retirement Village</u></p>		
DPR-0425	Ryman	026	LRZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend to include a reference to non-notification where all rule requirements are met and no more than limited notification for a rule requirement breach.	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0425	Ryman	027	GRZ-R13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activity status: <del>RDISPER</del></p> <p>1. Any retirement village</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p><del>GRZ-REQ10 Landscaping</del></p> <p><del>GRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village</del></p> <p><del>GRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del></p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del></p> <p><del>RESZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del></p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX - Retirement Villages</u></p> <p>NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations</p>	Reject	11
DPR-0425	Ryman	028	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any retirement village shall:</p> <p>a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% of net site area;</p> <p>b. not exceed a height of 8m, except for 15% of the maximum building coverage, where buildings may be up to 11.5m in height;</p> <p>c. be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway; and</p> <p>ii. 2m from any other boundary,</p> <p><del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del></p> <p><del>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</del></p> <p><del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del></p> <p><del>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del></p>	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</p> <p>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</p> <p>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</p> <p>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of GRZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DIS</del><u>RD</u><u>IS</u></p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p>3. <u>The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-REQ13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX Retirement Village</u></p>		
DPR-0425	Ryman	029	SETZ-REQ13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>1. Any retirement village shall:</p> <p>a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% of net site area;</p> <p>b. not exceed a height of 8m, except for 15% of the maximum building coverage, where buildings may be up to 11.5m in height;</p> <p>c. be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway; and</p> <p>ii. 2m from any other boundary,</p> <p><del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del></p> <p><del>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</del></p> <p><del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del></p> <p><del>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del></p> <p><del>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom</del></p>	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>residential units; or</p> <p>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</p> <p>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</p> <p>i. is located behind the front façade of the residential unit;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 1.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 12.5m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. When compliance with any of SETZ-REQ13.1. is not achieved: <del>DIS</del>RDIS</p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ-REQ13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><u>RESZ-MATXX Retirement Village</u></p>		
DPR-0425	Ryman	030	SETZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend to include a reference to non-notification where all rule requirements are met and no more than limited notification for a rule requirement breach.	Reject	12
DPR-0425	Ryman	031	SETZ-R13	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activity status: <del>RDISPER</del></p> <p>1. Any retirement village</p> <p>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street</del></p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ10 Landscaping</del></p> <p>SETZ-REQ13 Retirement Village</p> <p><del>SETZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del></p> <p>Matters for discretion:</p> <p>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p><del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del></p>	Reject	12



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					REZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village RESZ-MATXX - Retirement Villages NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations		
DPR-0425	Ryman	033	GRZ-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend to include a reference to non-notification where all rule requirements are met and no more than limited notification for a rule requirement breach.	Reject	11
DPR-0441	Trustpower	154	SETZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0441	Trustpower	155	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects on lawfully established regionally significant infrastructure that the SETZ supports.</u>	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS078	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	12
DPR-0441	Trustpower	156	SETZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... SETZ-REQ16.... <u>SETZ-REQx Lake Coleridge...</u>	Reject	12
DPR-0381	CDL	FS089	SETZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
DPR-0486	CDL	FS089	SETZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
DPR-0441	Trustpower	157	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Add a new rule requirement as follows: <u>1. Any new sensitive activity shall be constructed to include acoustic treatment to achieve an internal noise level of 45dBA</u> <u>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</u> <u>2. When compliance with any of SETZ-REQx is not achieved: RDIS</u> <u>Matters for discretion:</u> <u>3. The exercise of discretion in relation to SETZ-REQx is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>SETZ-MATx</u>	Reject	12
DPR-0381	CDL	FS088	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS079	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	12
DPR-0486	CDL	FS088	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0441	Trustpower	158	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Add a new matter as follows: <u>SETZ- MATX</u> <u>The minimisation of any reverse sensitivity effects on Regionally Significant Infrastructure.</u>	Reject	12
DPR-0381	CDL	FS090	New	Support	Allow	Reject	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS080	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	12
DPR-0486	CDL	FS090	New	Support	Allow	Reject	12
DPR-0442	CHCA	006	GRZ-REQ16	Support	Retain GRZ-REQ16.1.a as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0442	CHCA	008	GRZ-REQ16	Support	Retain GRZ-REQ15.1.b as notified	Accept	11
DPR-0442	CHCA	010	GRZ-REQ16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: .... c. comprise of at least 80% wall cladding (by area excluding glazing) that consists of: i. .... iv. <del>coloured corrugated metal sheeting;</del> ....	Accept	11
DPR-0391	CHAT	FS012	GRZ-REQ16	Support	Retain the existing rules relating to the percentage of cladding that can be other than timber and stone.	Accept	11
DPR-0442	CHCA	013	GRZ-REQ16	Oppose In Part	Amend the status of non-compliance with GRZ-REQ16.1.c. to reflect the concerns of the submitter.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0442	CHCA	014	GRZ-REQ16	Oppose In Part	Amend to clarify that GRZ-REQ15.1.d applies to all external surfaces except windows.	Reject	11
DPR-0391	CHAT	FS010	GRZ-REQ15	Support	Clarify the rule to cover all exterior pipework, chimney flues and heat pumps	Reject	11
DPR-0442	CHCA	016	GRZ-R6	Support In Part	Requests that GRZ-R6.1 be extended to apply to Castle Hill Village in addition to GRZ-R6.4	Accept	11
DPR-0442	CHCA	017	RESZ-MAT15	Oppose In Part	Amend RESZ-MAT15.6 in respect of Castle Hill Village deleting the words chalet or alpine and focusing instead on the fit with Castle Hill Village.	Reject	8
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	001	RESZ-P12	Support In Part	Amend RESZ-P12 to read: Enable supported residential accommodation and retirement villages that are: 1. .... 3. of a <u>greater scale than typical residential development</u> and <u>has an appearance that responds to</u>	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					reflects the residential style and character of the locality; 4. ....		
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	002	GRZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend GRZ-R13 to read: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: .... <del>GRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village</del> ....	Reject	11
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	003	LRZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend LRZ-R13 Retirement Villages to read: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: .... <del>LRZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del> ....	Reject	10
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	004	SETZ-R13	Support In Part	Amend SETZ-R13 Retirement Villages to read: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: .... <del>SETZ-REQ14 Variety in Appearance</del> ....	Reject	12
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	005	GRZ-REQ13	Support In Part	Amend GRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village to read: 1. Any retirement village shall: a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of <del>45%</del> <u>50%</u> of net site area; b. .... c. be setback a minimum of: i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway <u>except where sites have two road boundaries</u> ; and	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>ii. 2m from any other boundary <u>where the site adjoins another site,</u></p> <p>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</p> <p>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</p> <p>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</p> <p>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</p> <p>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</p> <p>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</p> <p>e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with an additional service, storage, and waste management area that:</p> <p>i. ....</p>		
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	006	LRZ-REQ13	Support In Part	<p>Amend LRZ-REQ13 Retirement Village to read:</p> <p>1. Any retirement village shall:</p> <p>a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of 45% <u>50%</u> of net site area;</p> <p>b. ....</p> <p>c. be setback a minimum of:</p> <p>i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway <u>except where sites have two road boundaries;</u> and</p> <p>ii. 2m from any other boundary <u>where the site adjoins another site,</u></p> <p>d. <del>provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del></p> <p>i. <del>is directly accessible from the main living space;</del></p> <p>ii. <del>has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del></p> <p>iii. <del>has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del></p> <p>iv. <del>has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</del></p> <p>v. <del>has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more</del></p>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<del>bedroom residential units;</del> e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with <del>an additional</del> service, storage, and waste management area that: i. ....		
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	007	SETZ-REQ13	Support In Part	Amend SETZ-REQ13 Retirement Village to read: 1. Any retirement village shall: a. not exceed a maximum building coverage of <del>45%</del> <u>50%</u> of net site area; b. .... c. be setback a minimum of: i. 3m from a road frontage or shared accessway <u>except where sites have two road boundaries</u> ; and ii. 2m from any other boundary <u>where the site adjoins another site</u> , <del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del> <del>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</del> <del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del> <del>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del> <del>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</del> <del>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</del> e. provide each residential unit with one or more bedrooms at ground floor level with <del>an additional</del> service, storage, and waste management area that: i. ....	Accept in part	12
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	008	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose	Delete reference to Retirement Village from the title of RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village.	Reject	8
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	009	GRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend GRZ-R13 to read: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any retirement village	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					.... Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: a. <del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> ....		
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	010	LRZ-R13	Oppose	Amend LRZ-R13 to read: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any retirement village .... Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: a. <del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> ....	Reject	10
DPR-0447	Barton Fields	011	SETZ-R13	Oppose	Amend SETZ-R13 to read: Activity status: RDIS 1. Any retirement village .... Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to <b>SETZ</b> -R13.1. is restricted to the following matters: a. <del>RESZ-MAT13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</del> ....	Reject	12
DPR-0449	BDL	003	SUB-REQ1	Support	Retain the 1000m <sup>2</sup> minimum average net site area for allotments within the Settlement Zone as set out in Table SUB-1	Accept	13
DPR-0449	BDL	004	SUB-REQ1	Support	Retain the 800m <sup>2</sup> minimum net area requirements for allotments within the Settlement Zone set out in Table SUB-2	Accept	13
DPR-0449	BDL	005	SETZ-R16	Support	Retain the permitted status for commercial activities within the Settlement Zone	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0449	BDL	006	SETZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: a. 4m from any road boundary, <del>shared accessway, or reserve</del> ; and	Accept in part	12
DPR-0449	BDL	007	SETZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	1. Every residential unit shall be provided with an area of outdoor living space that: a. .... <del>d. is not located between the road boundary and the residential unit; and</del> e. ....	Reject	12
DPR-0449	BDL	008	SETZ-R6	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: - provide for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence. - increase the fence height for fencing on a road boundary or reserve boundary to 1.2m.	Accept in part	12
DPR-0451	KCPL	003	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	That densities 800m <sup>2</sup> or similar be included for Settlement Zone.	Reject	13
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	003	RESZ-P4	Oppose	Amend as follows: In recurring building types <u>in comprehensive developments</u> , the appearance of building facades shall maintain an overall coherent expression, but provide variation through the use of a range of materials, repeated patterns, and façade spacing.	Reject	8
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS271</i>	<i>RESZ-P4</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS271</i>	<i>RESZ-P4</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>8</i>
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	004	Comprehensive Development	Oppose	Amend as follows: means a group of <del>four (4)</del> <u>five (5)</u> or more residential units that are designed, positioned and built in an integrated manner.	Reject	7
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS006</i>	<i>Comprehensive Development</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>DPR-0363</i>	<i>IRHL</i>	<i>FS006</i>	<i>Comprehensive Development</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>7</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS006	Comprehensive Development	Support	Adopt	Reject	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS006	Comprehensive Development	Support	Adopt	Reject	7
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	005	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose	Delete RESZ-MAT7.3 as notified.	Accept	8
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	006	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Whether the development is located within walkable distance of any of Centre Zone, reserve, community facility, <del>or public transport facility, or any arterial or collector road as set out in APP2 Road Hierarchy (sic).</del>	Reject	8
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	007	GRZ-R6	Oppose	Amend as follows: 4. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. it is <del>a temporary netting</del> fencing erected to contain stock, pets, or children; or ....	Accept in part	11
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	008	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. The maximum height of any building or structure, when measured from ground level, shall not exceed <del>8m-9m.</del>	Accept in part	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS289	GRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS289	GRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt.	Accept in part	11
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	009	GRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Delete as notified. In the alternative: Simplify the rule by setting a 1m setback from internal boundaries for all accessory buildings and garages and remove the relationship to building wall length on a boundary for garages and accessory buildings.	Reject	11
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	010	GRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Delete as notified. In the alternative: Simplify the rule by setting a 1m setback from internal boundaries for all accessory buildings and garages and remove the relationship to building wall length on a boundary for garages and accessory buildings.	Reject	11



Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	011	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose	<p>Delete as notified.</p> <p>In the alternative 1: Amend GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the street to read: 1. Where <u>any lot any residential unit or other principal building</u> has direct frontage to a road or public space, the ground level <u>of any residential unit or other principal building</u> facing the road or public space shall incorporate <u>Except for corner sites and small sites less than 500m<sup>2</sup> that are exempt from GRZ-REQ8.1</u></p> <p>....</p> <p>In the alternative 2: Amend GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the street following the Te Whariki negotiated standards: <u>Windows</u> i. <u>The ground floor of a residential unit (dwelling) shall have a habitable space with a window comprised of at least 1.6m<sup>2</sup> of clear glazing facing the road boundary. The horizontal dimension (excluding framing) of the window shall not be less than 400mm. The maximum height of the window sill shall not exceed 1.2m from finished floor level. The window shall not be within the fenced area and shall not be obstructed by any building element i.e. shading devices or any planting taller than 1.2m.</u> <u>For the purposes of interpretation, a habitable space is a room with frequent, or prolonged use for living purposes, but shall exclude a bathroom, laundry, pantry, walk-in wardrobe, walk-in cupboard, corridor, hallway, lobby or clothes drying room.</u> <u>Front Door</u> ii. <u>Any front door of a residential unit (dwelling) shall comprise a minimum of 0.4m<sup>2</sup> area of clear glazing that shall be included to the entry area either as a side</u></p>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>window or incorporated into the front door and be visible from the street</u>		
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	012	GRZ-REQ9	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	11
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	013	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village shall: a. .... <del>d. provide each residential unit with an outdoor living space that:</del> <del>i. is directly accessible from the main living space;</del> <del>ii. has a minimum horizontal dimension of 2.5m; and</del> <del>iii. has a minimum area of 10m<sup>2</sup> for residential units with no separate bedrooms; or</del> <del>iv. has a minimum area of 25m<sup>2</sup> for one bedroom residential units; or</del> <del>v. has a minimum area of 30m<sup>2</sup> for two or more bedroom residential units;</del> e. ....	Accept in part	11
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	014	GRZ-REQ11	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any small site development shall: .... c. not locate a garage between the front façade of any residential unit and road boundary or shared accessway <u>unless the garage is stepped back a minimum of 1m from the façade or include design modulation for continuous walls and roof lines longer than 15m; this rules does not apply to one road frontage for corner sites.</u> <del>d. not comprise garaging of more than 50% of the width of any ground floor front façade of a residential unit;</del> ....	Reject	11
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	020	GRZ-R6	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. within 4m of any road boundary, is a maximum height	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>of 1m except:</p> <p>i. <u>Where the adjoining road is an arterial or collector road in which case the alternative design solution can apply:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <u>the fencing is 1.8m high and a minimum of 50% transparent; or</u></li> <li>- <u>the fencing is 1.8m high for a maximum of 70% of the road frontage with a minimum of 30% transparency and fencing for the remainder of the frontage is no higher than 1.2m;</u></li> </ul> <p>ii. <u>For small site development located on the south side of subdivision roads in which the alternative design solution can apply:</u></p> <p><u>Fence and Landscaping Details</u></p> <p>iii. <u>The remainder of the site frontage shall be unfenced and remain visually open to ensure passive surveillance and a sense of openness is achieved;</u></p> <p>iv. <u>All fencing, where located between the road boundary and the front of the dwelling shall not exceed 1.8m, shall be 50% visually permeable above 1.2m in height to enable passive surveillance over the public environment from internal spaces and outdoor areas and shall include an access gate.</u></p> <p>v. <u>Where road frontage fencing is proposed, there shall be a planting strip up to 1m wide between the front fence and front boundary planted with evergreen species capable of reaching at least 500mm in height. The landscaping between the fencing and the road boundary shall be maintained at a height not exceeding 1.2 metres, to maintain passive surveillance and an open streetscene. The planting within the landscaping strip shall be retained and maintained in perpetuity by the owner/occupier, with any dead or diseased landscaping replaced in the next available planting</u></p>		

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>season with a similar/equivalent species.</u> ....		
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	050	LLRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Not specified.	Accept	9
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	051	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>X. Whether a reduced setback from boundaries with the rail corridor will enable buildings, balconies, or decks to be constructed or maintained without requiring access above, on, or over the railway corridor.</u>	Accept	8
<i>DPR-0414</i>	<i>Kāinga Ora</i>	<i>FS087</i>	<i>RESZ-MAT6</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Not specified</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>8</i>
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	052	SETZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: ... <u>c. 5m from any operational railway corridor boundary.</u>	Reject	12
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	053	LRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: ... <u>c. 5m from any operational railway corridor boundary.</u>	Accept	10
<i>DPR-0414</i>	<i>Kāinga Ora</i>	<i>FS088</i>	<i>LRZ-REQ5</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Not specified</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>10</i>
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	054	GRZ-REQ5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or principal building shall be setback a minimum of: ... <u>c. 5m from any operational railway corridor boundary.</u>	Reject	11
<i>DPR-0414</i>	<i>Kāinga Ora</i>	<i>FS089</i>	<i>GRZ-REQ5</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Not specified</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>11</i>
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	066	SETZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback: ... <u>b. <del>12</del>m from any internal boundary.</u>	Reject	12
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	067	LRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback:	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					... b. <del>4</del> 2m from any internal boundary.		
DPR-0458	KiwiRail	068	GRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. Any accessory building shall, where the wall length is less than or equal to 7m, be setback: ... b. <del>4</del> 2m from any internal boundary.	Reject	11
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	017	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Amend LLRZ-P1 to read: Provide for a <del>very</del> low density and spacious residential character by: ....	Reject	9
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS017	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	<i>That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.</i>	Accept	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS278	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS278	LLRZ-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	9
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS012	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Disallow in full	Accept	9
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS035	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Accept	9
DPR-0463	Katie Bootsma	001	LLRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
DPR-0463	Katie Bootsma	002	LRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0463	Katie Bootsma	003	GRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
DPR-0463	Katie Bootsma	004	SETZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0475	RRA	003	GRZ-R15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0485	Rod Stuart	001	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend average subdividable land within the LLRZ to 4000m <sup>2</sup>	Reject	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	010	LLRZ-P1	Oppose	Amend as follows: Provide for a <del>very</del> low density and spacious residential character by:....	Reject	9
<i>DPR-0302</i>	<i>Smith, Boyd &amp; Blanchard</i>	<i>FS013</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS273</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>DPR-0384</i>	<i>RIDL</i>	<i>FS273</i>	<i>LLRZ-P1</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Adopt</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>9</i>