

# Proposed Selwyn District Plan



## Section 171 Report

Report on submissions and further submissions

Designations that did not receive submissions

Rachael Carruthers

26 April 2022

# Contents

Abbreviations.....	6
1. Purpose of report.....	7
2. Consideration of designations .....	7
3. No recommendation or decision required for ‘roll-over’ designations without modifications or submissions .....	7
ACNZ – Airways Corporation of New Zealand Ltd .....	7
CNZ – Chorus New Zealand Ltd.....	7
CRC – Canterbury Regional Council .....	8
MCHI – Minister for Children .....	8
SDC – Selwyn District Council .....	8
4. CNZ – Chorus New Zealand Ltd.....	9
CNZ-1 Darfield Exchange.....	9
CNZ-6 Leeston Exchange, CNZ-7 Lincoln Exchange, CNZ-10 Southbridge Exchange.....	10
CNZ-12 Arthurs Pass Rural Radio Station.....	10
CNZ-13 Bealey Spur Radio Station, CNZ-16 Lake Coleridge Exchange.....	11
CNZ-14 Burnham Exchange, CNZ-15 Greenpark Exchange, CNZ-17 Motukarara Exchange, CNZ-18 Springston Exchange .....	12
5. KRH – KiwiRail Holdings Ltd .....	13
KRH-1 Main South Line and Midland Line .....	13
6. MCOR – Minister of Corrections.....	14
MCOR-1 – Rolleston Prison.....	14
7. MEDU – Minister of Education .....	14
MEDU-1 Darfield High School .....	14
MEDU-2 Darfield School .....	15
MEDU-3 Dunsandel School, MEDU-10 Rolleston School, MEDU-12 Sheffield Contributing School. MEDU-13 Southbridge School, MEDU-15 Tai Tapu School, MEDU-22 Burnham School – Te Kura o Tiori .....	16
MEDU-4 Ellesmere College .....	17
MEDU-5 Hororata School, MEDU-6 Kirwee Model School, MEDU-21 Broadfield School, MEDU-25 Ladbrooks School, MEDU-26 Windwhistle School, MEDU-28 Weedons School .....	18
MEDU-7 Leeston School, MEDU-16 West Melton School, MEDU-27 Springfield School.....	18
MEDU-8 Lincoln Primary School and Lincoln High School .....	19
MEDU-9 Prebbleton School .....	20
MEDU-11 Clearview School .....	21

MEDU-14 Springston School .....	21
MEDU-17 Rolleston College .....	22
MEDU-18 West Rolleston School .....	23
MEDU-19 Lemonwood Grove School and Waitaha School .....	24
MEDU-20 Ararira Springs Primary – Te Puna o Ararira.....	25
MEDU-23 Glentunnel School, MEDU-24 Greendale School .....	25
MEDU-29 Rolleston Christian School.....	26
MEDU-30 Acland Park School .....	29
8. MPOL – Minister of Police (New Zealand Police).....	30
MPOL-1 Arthurs Pass Police Station .....	30
MPOL-2 Darfield Police Station.....	31
MPOL-3 Leeston Police Station.....	32
MPOL-4 Lincoln Police Station, MPOL-5 Rolleston Police Station .....	32
9. NZTA – New Zealand Transport Agency.....	33
NZTA-1 State Highway 1.....	33
NZTA-2 State Highway 73 .....	34
NZTA-3 State Highway 75 .....	35
NZTA-4 State Highway 76 (Christchurch Southern Motorway Stage 2) .....	36
NZTA-5 State Highway 77, NZTA-6 State Highway 1 (Main South Road Four Laning).....	37
10. ORION – Orion New Zealand Ltd.....	37
ORION-1 Lincoln Zone Substation, ORION-3 Annat Zone Substation, ORION-4 Bankside Zone Substation, ORION-5 Brookside Zone Substation, ORION-6 Darfield Zone Substation, ORION-7 Hills Road Zone Substation, ORION-8 Motukarara Zone Substation, ORION-9 Springston Zone Substation, ORION-10 Weedons Zone Substation.....	37
ORION-2 Rolleston Zone Substation .....	38
ORION-11 Prebbleton Zone Substation .....	40
ORION-12 Burnham Zone Substation, ORION-15 Greenpark Zone Substation.....	41
ORION-13 Dunsandel Zone Substation, ORION-14 Greendale Zone Substation, ORION-16 Highfield Zone Substation, ORION-17 Killinchy Zone Substation, ORION-18 Kimberley Zone Substation, ORION-19 Larcomb Zone Substation .....	43
11. SDC – Selwyn District Council .....	46
SDC-7 Dunsandel Domain .....	46
SDC-8 Greendale Recreation Reserve.....	46
SDC-11 Leeston Park .....	47
SDC-13 Osborne Park .....	48

SDC-15 Southbridge Park .....	49
SDC-19 Foster Dog Park .....	51
SDC-20 Lincoln Event Centre.....	51
SDC-21 Foster Park.....	52
SDC-22 Pines Resource Recovery Park.....	53
SDC-23 Kirwee (WTP) High St, SDC-38 Dunsandel (WTP) Irvines Rd .....	54
SDC-24 Edendale (W) SH73 Well, SDC-33 Lincoln (W) West Belt Well, SDC-37 Prebbleton (W) Tosswill Rd Well, SDC-43 Rakaia Huts (W) Pacific Dr PS, SDC-44 Southbridge (W) High St Well .	55
SDC-25 Johnson Rd (WTP) Cypress Ln, SDC-28 Rolleston (WTP) Overbury Cres, SDC-61 Edendale (WTP) Genesis Dr .....	55
SDC-26 Jowers Rd (W) Well, SDC-30 Rolleston (W) George St Well, SDC-31 Raven Dr (W) Raven Dr Well, SDC-35 Lincoln (W) Kildare Tce Well, SDC-40 Leeston (W) Leeston and Lake Rd Well ..	56
SDC-27 Armack Dr (W) Wards Rd PS, SDC-36 Tai Tapu (W) Perymans Rd PS.....	57
SDC-29 Rolleston (WTP) Moore St, SDC-39 Hororata (WTP) Homebush Rd, SDC-53 Kirwee (WTP) High St .....	58
SDC-32 Springston (W) Mather PI PS, SDC-58 Darfield (W) Kimberley Rd PS, SDC-59 Te Pirita (W) Sharlands Rd PS.....	59
SDC-34 Lincoln (W) Kildare Tce Well, SDC-41 Leeston (W) Gallipoli St Well, SDC-42 Taumutu (W) Pohau Rd Well.....	59
SDC-45 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd, SDC-46 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd ....	60
SDC-47 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd, SDC-48 Arthurs Pass (WTP) School Tce, SDC-55 Sheffield (WTP) Roecombe Rd .....	61
SDC-49 Arthurs Pass (W) School Tce Intake, SDC-50 Castle Hill (WTP) Intake .....	62
SDC-51 Castle Hill (WTP) Enys Dr .....	62
SDC-52 Malvern (W) Glentunnel Res .....	63
SDC-54 Lake Coleridge (WTP) Col Intake Rd .....	64
SDC-56 Springfield (WTP) Pocock Rd .....	65
SDC-57 Springfield (W) SH73 Res, SDC-62 Springfield (W) Kowai Res.....	65
SDC-60 Tai Tapu (W) Otahuna Res.....	66
SDC-63 Lincoln (S) Marion PS.....	67
SDC-64 Rolleston (S) Helpet.....	67
SDC-65 Ellesmere (STP) Leeston .....	68
SDC-66 ESSS (S) Allendale Ln.....	69
SDC-67 Ardlui Road Septage Disposal Site, SDC-68 Bleakhouse Road Septage Disposal Site .....	70
SDC-69 ESSS (STP) Pines, SDC-70 ESSS (STP) Pines .....	70
SDC-71 Prebbleton (S) Terminal PS.....	71

New water designations .....	72
New wastewater designations.....	76
New land drainage designation .....	81
New cemetery designations .....	83
New recreation designations .....	86
12. SPK – Spark New Zealand Ltd.....	90
SPK-1 Darfield Exchange .....	90
13. Conclusion.....	90

## Abbreviations

Abbreviations used throughout this report are:

Abbreviation	Full text
ACNZ	Airways Corporation of New Zealand
CNZ	Chorus New Zealand Ltd
CRC	Canterbury Regional Council
CRPS	Canterbury Regional Policy Statement 2013
LWRP	Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan
MCHI	Minister for Children
NOR	Notice of Requirement
PDP	Proposed Selwyn District Plan
Planning Standards	National Planning Standards
RMA or Act	Resource Management Act 1991
SDC	Selwyn District Council
SDP	Operative Selwyn District Plan

## 1. Purpose of report

- 1.1 This supplementary report is prepared under 171 of the RMA in relation to the Designations Chapter in the PDP. The purpose of this report is to provide the Hearing Panel with a summary and analysis of the designations within the PDP that were not submitted on, and to make recommendations to on whether the designations should be confirmed, modified or withdrawn. All directly affected parties of these designations were served notice as part of the public notification process for the PDP
- 1.2 This report is to be read in conjunction with the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), which includes the topic overview, statutory requirements and procedural matters.
- 1.3 Additional information about 'roll-over' designations and proposed modifications can be found in the [Overview Report - Designations](#).
- 1.4 The conclusions reached and recommendations made in this report are not binding on the Hearing Panel. It should not be assumed that the Hearing Panel will reach the same conclusions having considered all the information in the submissions and the evidence to be brought before them, by the submitters.

## 2. Consideration of designations

### ***Structure of this report***

- 2.1 The report first identifies those designations where no further decision is required of Council. It then considers the designations where no submissions were received but where a recommendation or decision is required, in the order in which requiring authorities appear in the PDP.
- 2.2 Where possible, designations have been grouped together and a single assessment made.
- 2.3 The assessment of each existing designation generally follows the following format: Modification proposed; Effects on the environment; Alternatives; Reasonable necessity; Other matters; and Recommendation.

## 3. No recommendation or decision required for 'roll-over' designations without modifications or submissions

- 3.1 Schedule 1, Clause 9(3) of the RMA provides that 'roll over' designations that have been included in the PDP without modification, and on which no submissions have been received, are not subject to any further decision-making process. This provision applies to the following designations:

### **ACNZ – Airways Corporation of New Zealand Ltd**

ACNZ-1 Secondary Surveillance Radar/VHF Transmitter Site

### **CNZ – Chorus New Zealand Ltd**

CNZ-2 Dunsandel Exchange

CNZ-3 Glentunnel Exchange

CNZ-4 Hororata Exchange

CNZ-5 Kirwee Exchange

CNZ-8 Rolleston Exchange

CNZ-9 Sheffield Exchange

CNZ-11 Tai Tapu Exchange

### **CRC – Canterbury Regional Council**

CRC-1 Kowhai River – West

CRC-2 Kowhai River – East

CRC-3 Hawkins River

CRC-4 Waimakariri River

CRC-5 Waimakariri River

CRC-6 Waimakariri River

CRC-7 Selwyn River

CRC-8 Waimakariri River

CRC-9 Tai Tapu Works Yard

CRC-10 Waimakariri River secondary stopbanks

CRC-11 Waimakariri River secondary stopbanks

### **MCHI – Minister for Children**

MCHI-1 Te Puna Wai o Tuhinapo

### **SDC – Selwyn District Council**

SDC-1 Coaltrack Pit

SDC-2 Hewitts Pit

SDC-3 Charing Cross Pit

SDC-4 Waddington Pit

SDC-5 Rubicon Pit

SDC-6 Wrights Pit

SDC-9 Hororata Domain

SDC-10 Kirwee Recreation Reserve



SDC-12 Lincoln Recreation Reserve

SDC-14 Rolleston Reserve

SDC-16 Springston Recreation Reserve

SDC-17 Springston South Reserve (Upper Selwyn Huts)

SDC-18 Brookside Park

***Recommendation***

- 3.2 I recommend, for the reasons given above, that the Hearings Panel confirm that the designations listed above are to be retained as notified.

**4. CNZ – Chorus New Zealand Ltd**

**CNZ-1 Darfield Exchange**

- 4.1 A minor modification is proposed to clarify that CNZ-1 is the primary designation for this site, with SPK-1 being the secondary designation.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 4.2 This is an existing site, and the modification is such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 4.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 4.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
4.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 4.4 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 4.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 4.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation be confirmed:
- a) CNZ-1 Darfield Exchange

### **CNZ-6 Leeston Exchange, CNZ-7 Lincoln Exchange, CNZ-10 Southbridge Exchange**

- 4.7 Condition 2 of each designation refers to 'Living Zone'. This is proposed to be modified to 'Residential Zone' in each case, to reflect National Planning Standard zone name requirements.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 4.8 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 4.9 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 4.9.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
4.9.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

#### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 4.10 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

#### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 4.11 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

#### ***Recommendation***

- 4.12 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- b) CNZ-6 Leeston Exchange
- c) CNZ-7 Lincoln Exchange
- d) CNZ-10 Southbridge Exchange

### **CNZ-12 Arthurs Pass Rural Radio Station**

- 4.13 The site identifier grid reference in the SDP is from the superseded 'inch to a mile' NZMS1 map series. A minor modification is proposed to update this reference to reflect the New Zealand Transverse Mercator 2000 projection and the Topo50 1:50,000 map series (no change to mapped location).
- 4.14 In addition, Condition 2 of the designation refers to a 'strategic road'. This is proposed to be modified to 'State Highway', to reflect PDP definitions.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 4.15 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

4.16 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

4.16.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

4.16.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

4.17 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

4.18 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

4.19 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) CNZ-12 Arthurs Pass Rural Radio Station

**CNZ-13 Bealey Spur Radio Station, CNZ-16 Lake Coleridge Exchange**

4.20 A minor modification is proposed to each designation in order to reposition the mapped area of the designation to better reflect the built structures subject to the designations, with consequential updates to the site identifier grid references to reflect the new position, the New Zealand Transverse Mercator 2000 projection and the Topo50 1:50,000 map series.

4.21 In addition, Condition 2 of each designation refers to a 'strategic road'. This is proposed to be modified to 'State Highway' in each case, to reflect PDP definitions.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

4.22 These are existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

4.23 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

4.23.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

4.23.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

4.24 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 4.25 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 4.26 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:
- a) CNZ-13 Bealey Spur Radio Station
  - b) CNZ-16 Lake Coleridge Exchange

**CNZ-14 Burnham Exchange, CNZ-15 Greenpark Exchange, CNZ-17 Motukarara Exchange, CNZ-18 Springston Exchange**

- 4.27 Condition 2 of each designation refers to a 'strategic road'. This is proposed to be modified to 'State Highway' in each case, to reflect PDP definitions.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 4.28 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 4.29 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:
- 4.29.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
  - 4.29.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 4.30 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 4.31 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 4.32 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:
- a) CNZ-14 Burnham Exchange
  - b) CNZ-15 Greenpark Exchange
  - c) CNZ-17 Motukarara Exchange
  - d) CNZ-18 Springston Exchange

## 5. KRH – KiwiRail Holdings Ltd

### **KRH-1 Main South Line and Midland Line**

#### 5.1 Minor modifications are proposed to:

- 5.1.1 Correct cartographical errors within the District Plan Maps to accurately show the lawfully constructed and operating parts of the railway network
- 5.1.2 Remove the rail designation from land no longer required for Railway Purposes (i.e. where land has been sold)
- 5.1.3 Correct GIS boundaries to reflect the location of the corridor, KiwiRail's land ownership and cadastre and title boundaries
- 5.1.4 Remove construction management conditions relating to the Mingha Bluff project. While there was no intention by that project's proponents for conditions to be placed on the KiwiRail designation, the Council recommended them and this was not challenged. The alteration to the KiwiRail designation was needed at that time purely as a consequential amendment due to NZTA works. NZTA undertook the construction works (which included the minor rail realignment) in accordance with the conditions. As the works are complete the conditions are no longer required. KiwiRail therefore seeks to modify its existing designation by removing all references to the NZTA Mingha Bluff project and the related conditions.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 5.2 This is an existing site, and the modification is such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 5.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:
  - 5.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
  - 5.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

#### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 5.4 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

#### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 5.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

#### ***Recommendation***

- 5.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation be confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) KRH-1 Main South Line and Midland Line

## 6. MCOR – Minister of Corrections

### MCOR-1 – Rolleston Prison

- 6.1 A minor modification is proposed to change the site name from 'Rolleston Prison and Periodic Detention Centre' to 'Rolleston Prison'. The reason for the change is that the site is commonly known as 'Rolleston Prison'. Furthermore, 'Periodic detention centres' are a form of correctional facility that is no longer provided for in the Corrections Act 2004. The current reference in the designation schedule is therefore outdated and needs to be corrected.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 6.2 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 6.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 6.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
6.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

#### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 6.4 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

#### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 6.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

#### ***Recommendation***

- 6.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) MCOR-1 Rolleston Prison

## 7. MEDU – Minister of Education

### MEDU-1 Darfield High School

- 7.1 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to update the designation purpose from 'Secondary School' to 'Education Purposes – Secondary School', and to update the site identifier to reflect current legal descriptions (mapped area reduced to remove an area of road at the eastern boundary of the site).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.2 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:
- 7.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 7.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.4 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-1 Darfield High School

**MEDU-2 Darfield School**

- 7.7 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from 'Darfield Primary School' to 'Darfield School'; update the designation purpose from 'Primary School' to 'Education Purposes – Primary School'; and to update the site identifier to reflect current legal descriptions (mapped area reduced to remove an area of road outside the property boundary).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.8 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.9 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:
- 7.9.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 7.9.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.10 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.11 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.12 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-2 Darfield School

**MEDU-3 Dunsandel School, MEDU-10 Rolleston School, MEDU-12 Sheffield Contributing School, MEDU-13 Southbridge School, MEDU-15 Tai Tapu School, MEDU-22 Burnham School – Te Kura o Tiori**

- 7.13 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to each site to: update the site name; update the designation purpose from 'Primary School' to 'Education Purposes – Primary School'; and to update the site identifier street address to reflect the location of the school entrance.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.14 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.15 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.15.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.15.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.16 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.17 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.



### **Recommendation**

- 7.18 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-3 Dunsandel School
- b) MEDU-10 Rolleston School
- c) MEDU-12 Sheffield Contributing School
- d) MEDU-13 Southbridge School
- e) MEDU-15 Tai Tapu School
- f) MEDU-22 Burnham School – Te Kura o Tiori

### **MEDU-4 Ellesmere College**

- 7.19 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), a minor modification is proposed to update the designation purpose from 'Secondary School' to 'Education Purposes – Secondary School'.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.20 This is an existing site, and the modification is such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.21 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 7.21.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 7.21.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.22 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.23 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### **Recommendation**

- 7.24 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-4 Ellesmere College

**MEDU-5 Hororata School, MEDU-6 Kirwee Model School, MEDU-21 Broadfield School, MEDU-25 Ladbrooks School, MEDU-26 Windwhistle School, MEDU-28 Weedons School**

- 7.25 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to each site to: update the school name; update the designation purpose from 'Primary School & Residence' to 'Education Purposes – Primary School'; and update the site identifier street address.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.26 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.27 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 7.27.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
7.27.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.28 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.29 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.30 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-5 Hororata School
- b) MEDU-6 Kirwee Model School
- c) MEDU-21 Broadfield School
- d) MEDU-25 Ladbrooks School
- e) MEDU-26 Windwhistle School
- f) MEDU-28 Weedons School

**MEDU-7 Leeston School, MEDU-16 West Melton School, MEDU-27 Springfield School**

- 7.31 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to each site to: update the school name; and update the designation purpose from 'Primary School' to 'Education Purposes – Primary School'.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.32 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.33 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.33.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.33.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.34 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.35 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.36 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-7 Leeston School
- b) MEDU-16 West Melton School
- c) MEDU-27 Springfield School

***MEDU-8 Lincoln Primary School and Lincoln High School***

- 7.37 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to the site to update the designation purpose from 'Primary and Secondary School' to 'Education Purposes – Primary and Secondary School'.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.38 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.39 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.39.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.39.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.40 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.41 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.42 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) Lincoln Primary School and Lincoln High School

**MEDU-9 Prebbleton School**

- 7.43 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from 'Prebbleton Primary School' to 'Prebbleton School'; update the designation purpose from 'Primary School and Residence' to 'Education Purposes – Primary School'; and to update the site identifier with an updated legal description (no change to mapped area).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.44 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.45 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.45.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.45.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.46 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.47 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

- 7.48 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-9 Prebbleton School

### **MEDU-11 Clearview School**

- 7.49 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from 'Clearview Primary School' to 'Clearview School'; update the designation purpose from 'Early Childhood and Primary School' to 'Education Purposes – Early Childhood and Primary School'; and to incorporate a non-operative part of the designation into the operative designation.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.50 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated. An assessment of the appropriateness of the non-operative area of the designation for inclusion in the designation has already been made.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.51 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.51.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.51.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.52 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.53 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

- 7.54 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-11 Clearview School

### **MEDU-14 Springston School**

- 7.55 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from 'Springston Primary

School’ to ‘Springston School’; and update the designation purpose from ‘Early Childhood and Primary School’ to ‘Education Purposes – Early Childhood and Primary School’.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.56 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.57 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.57.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.57.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.58 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.59 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.60 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-14 Springston School

**MEDU-17 Rolleston College**

- 7.61 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from ‘Rolleston High School’ to ‘Rolleston College’; update the site identifier with an updated street address and legal description (no change to the mapped area); and to update the conditions so that the term ‘dwelling’ is replaced with ‘residential unit’ for consistency with National Planning Standard requirements and the PDP definitions.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.62 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.63 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 7.63.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
7.63.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.64 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.65 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.66 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-17 Rolleston College

**MEDU-18 West Rolleston School**

- 7.67 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from 'West Rolleston Primary School' to 'West Rolleston School'; update the site identifier with an updated street address and legal description; update the mapped area to reflect an updated survey and boundary locations; and to update the conditions so that the term 'dwelling' is replaced with 'residential unit' for consistency with National Planning Standard requirements and the PDP definitions.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.68 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.69 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 7.69.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
7.69.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.70 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.71 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.72 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-18 West Rolleston School

**MEDU-19 Lemonwood Grove School and Waitaha School**

- 7.73 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from 'Lemonwood Grove School' to 'Lemonwood Grove School and Waitaha School'; update the site identifier with an updated street address and legal description; update the mapped area to reflect an updated survey and boundary locations; and to update the conditions to include a link to the height in relation to boundary control diagram, rather than it being a separate document (no change to the requirement itself).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.74 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.75 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.75.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.75.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.76 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.77 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.78 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-19 Lemonwood Grove School and Waitaha School



## **MEDU-20 Ararira Springs Primary – Te Puna o Ararira**

- 7.79 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to: update the site name from ‘Lincoln South Primary School’ to ‘Ararira Springs Primary – Te Puna o Ararira’; update the site identifier with an updated street address and legal description; update the mapped area to reflect an updated survey and boundary locations; and to update the conditions so that the term ‘dwelling’ is replaced with ‘residential unit’ for consistency with National Planning Standard requirements and the PDP definitions.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.80 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.81 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.81.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.81.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.82 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.83 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

- 7.84 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-20 Ararira Springs Primary – Te Puna o Ararira

## **MEDU-23 Glentunnel School, MEDU-24 Greendale School**

- 7.85 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), minor modifications are proposed to each site to: update the school name; and to update the designation purpose from ‘Primary School and Residence’ to ‘Education Purposes – Primary School’.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.86 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

7.87 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.87.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.87.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

7.88 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

7.89 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

7.90 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) MEDU-23 Glentunnel School

b) MEDU-24 Greendale School

***MEDU-29 Rolleston Christian School***

7.91 This is a proposed new designation. The Notice of requirement is available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#).

7.92 Rolleston Christian School (RCS) is an established state integrated Christian school for children in years 1-8 and opened in February 2015. The school was established via a resource consent for stages 1 and 2. Stages 1 and 2 currently comprise of two teaching blocks playground, courts parking, access, landscaping and a current roll of approximately 150 students.

7.93 Existing resource consents for the site allow for up to 300 students (Years 0 – 8) with an associated preschool for up to 50 children, while the Ministry has granted a maximum roll of 300 students for the site as part of the integration agreement. However, the NOR does not itself propose a cap on the number of students that may be accommodated on the site. The current buildings allow for a capacity of 225 students. The Christian Schools Trust as proprietor and the school will determine how best to provide additional teaching accommodation to meet the demand within the balance of the maximum roll. Future development above 300 students is likely to involve the Foster Park end of the site.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

7.94 I agree with the assessment of environmental effects set out in the NOR, and with the conclusion that any potential adverse effects that could result from the proposed designation and any future development can be appropriately managed by way of the conditions offered and through future

Outline Plan processes. This is particularly so given the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) RMA.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

- 7.95 There are no national policy statements relevant to this proposal. Similarly, given the location of this site, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.
- 7.96 I agree with the conclusions of the NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.
- 7.97 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to the school and any future development, as follows:

***Strategic Directions***

SD-DI-O1 Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development:

1. takes into account the character of individual communities;
2. is well-connected, safe, accessible, and resilient; and
3. enhances environmental, economic, cultural and social outcomes for the benefit of the entire District.

***Transport***

TRAN-O1 People and places are connected through safe, efficient, and convenient land transport corridors and land transport infrastructure which is well integrated with land use activities and subdivision development.

TRAN-P3 Require Integrated Transport Assessments to assess the effects of high trip generating activities on the surrounding land transport network to:

1. Maintain the safety and efficiency of land transport infrastructure by ensuring there is sufficient capacity in land transport corridors, including by integrating development with funded improvements to the network and ensuring the timing aligns with capacity; and
2. Establish whether the high trip generating activity can be supported by active transport modes, including accessibility to safe and convenient walking and cycling connections and access to public transport and public transport facilities.

TRAN-P5 Promote a range of transport options to reduce the number of trips and distances travelled in private motor vehicles by:

1. Encouraging land use activities and subdivision development to include connected walking and cycling networks and access to public transport and public transport facilities, including within and between townships; and
2. Managing the design, layout and function of new land transport infrastructure to ensure they integrate with existing and future land transport corridors.

***Earthworks***

EW-O1 Earthworks are undertaken in a manner that limits adverse effects on the surrounding environment.

EW-P1 Enable temporary, small-scale earthworks activities, while managing those with the potential to create adverse visual amenity, sediment, and nuisance effects beyond site boundaries.

EW-P4 Require that during and on completion of earthworks any visual impact, loss of privacy, dust nuisance, and shading from earthworks does not detract from the amenity values and quality of the environment.

### *Light*

LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting enables work, recreation, and entertainment activities to occur beyond daylight hours, while:

1. maintaining the health, safety, and amenity values of people; and
2. protecting the District's natural darkness and natural features.

LIGHT-P1 Manage new artificial outdoor lighting to minimise light spill and glare onto adjoining sites and glare onto roads to provide for the health and safety of people and the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network.

LIGHT-P3 Minimise potential upward light that causes sky glow by controlling new artificial outdoor lighting to:

1. maintain people's ability to view the night sky; and
2. maintain the distinct character and amenity values of the district's night sky; and
3. protect the health and well-being of people and ecosystems; and
4. ensure the safe and efficient operation of roads and public pedestrian access, and public sports courts, and grounds while minimising sky glow.

### *Noise*

NOISE-O1 The health and wellbeing of people and communities and their amenity values are protected from significant levels of noise.

NOISE-P1 Manage noise effects by setting:

1. Maximum noise limits to reflect the character and amenity of each zone;
2. Limits on the location, frequency, and duration of specific activities that generate noise;
3. A vibration standard.

### *Residential Zones*

RESZ-O5 Built form is of a high design standard and appearance that responds to and reinforces positive aspects of the local environment.

RESZ-O7 Residents have access to a range of community, recreation, education, health, and corrections activities and facilities that support, maintain, and enhance the surrounding residential amenity.

RESZ-P3 Maintain and enhance the character and amenity values of residential zones by ensuring that all new buildings are:

1. of a scale appropriate to the locality;
2. sited in a location to enable privacy and retain open space and access to sunlight and daylight;
3. designed to enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.

RESZ-P15 Provide for non-residential activities and community facilities that:

1. are of a nature and scale that meet the needs of the local community;
2. are consistent with the amenity values and character of the locality;
3. encourage co-location and shared use of community facilities where practicable; and
4. do not undermine the viability of commercial centres.

### *General Residential Zone*

GRZ-O1 The General Residential Zone provides a quality, urban residential amenity and a range of residential unit typologies to meet the diverse needs of the community, at higher densities than anticipated in all other residential zones.

GRZ-P1 Enable residential development which provides a range of housing typologies that are consistent with a compact urban character by managing the density of development and the scale and on-site amenity of the built form.

- 7.98 Because this is an existing activity where the Ministry does not currently propose any additional students beyond those already provided for through the resource consent process, any existing adverse effects have been identified as acceptable, and as such the NOR does not contain supporting technical reports. Any future development will be required to adhere to the proposed conditions and be subject to the Outline Plan process. I therefore consider that the NOR is not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.99 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.99.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.99.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.100 There are process and consistency issues associated with reliance on Plan rules or resource consent pathways, where the Ministry is responsible for schools on a national level across a multitude of territorial authorities all with differing Plan provisions. The designation regime overcomes the potentially inherent process and inconsistency issues that arise in such circumstances when dealing with a multitude of different districts across the country.

- 7.101 The use of the designation as a planning tool is therefore considered reasonably necessary to achieve the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.102 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.103 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) MEDU-29 Rolleston Christian School

**MEDU-30 Acland Park School**

- 7.104 Beyond the matters addressed in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#), a minor modification is proposed to update the conditions so that the term 'dwelling' is replaced with 'residential unit' for consistency with National Planning Standard requirements and the PDP definitions.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 7.105 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 7.106 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

7.106.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

7.106.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 7.107 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 7.108 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 7.109 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

b) MEDU-30 Acland Park School

**8. MPOL – Minister of Police (New Zealand Police)**

**MPOL-1 Arthurs Pass Police Station**

- 8.1 A minor modification is proposed to update the designated purpose from “police station” to “the public work authorised by the designation is the construction, undertaking, establishment, management, operation, alteration and maintenance of a policing facility and every use of the land for police and ancillary purposes.” The new description provides a standardised and refined purpose across Selwyn District and is being adopted by the Minister for other sites across New Zealand as part of the District Plan review processes being undertaken progressively by other territorial authorities.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 8.2 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 8.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 8.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
8.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 8.4 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 8.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 8.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) MPOL-1 Arthurs Pass Police Station

**MPOL-2 Darfield Police Station**

- 8.7 Minor modifications are proposed to: update the designated purpose from “police station” to “the public work authorised by the designation is the construction, undertaking, establishment, management, operation, alteration and maintenance of a policing facility and every use of the land for police and ancillary purposes.”; update the site identifier with a reference to the relevant Gazette Notice (no change to the mapped area); and to delete a condition relating to a heritage building that is no longer located on the site.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 8.8 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 8.9 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 8.9.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
8.9.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 8.10 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 8.11 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

- 8.12 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) MPOL-2 Darfield Police Station

### **MPOL-3 Leeston Police Station**

- 8.13 Minor modifications are proposed to: update the designated purpose from “police station” to “the public work authorised by the designation is the construction, undertaking, establishment, management, operation, alteration and maintenance of a policing facility and every use of the land for police and ancillary purposes.”; and to update the site identifier with a reference to the relevant Gazette Notice (no change to the mapped area).

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 8.14 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 8.15 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

8.15.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

8.15.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 8.16 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 8.17 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

- 8.18 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) MPOL-3 Leeston Police Station

### **MPOL-4 Lincoln Police Station, MPOL-5 Rolleston Police Station**

- 8.19 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to: update the designated purpose from “police station” to “the public work authorised by the designation is the construction, undertaking, establishment, management, operation, alteration and maintenance of a policing facility and every use of the land for police and ancillary purposes.”; and to update the site identifier with a reference to the current legal description (no change to the mapped area).



***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 8.20 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 8.21 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

8.21.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

8.21.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 8.22 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 8.23 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 8.24 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) MPOL-4 Lincoln Police Station
- b) MPOL-5 Rolleston Police Station

## **9. NZTA – New Zealand Transport Agency**

### **NZTA-1 State Highway 1**

- 9.1 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:

- 9.1.1 Combine all State Highway 1 designations into one designation, except where specific conditions and lapse dates apply to a particular project.
- 9.1.2 Remove state highways other than State Highway 1 from this designation.
- 9.1.3 Update the designated purpose from “state highway” (TR1) or “road widening” (TR2, TR3, TR4) to “State Highway - to undertake construction, maintenance, operation, use and improvement of the state highway network and associated infrastructure.”
- 9.1.4 Add details of the extent of sections of state highway declared Limited Access Road, as per the approach the NZTA is taking for other Plan Review processes nationally.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 9.2 This is an existing designated site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 9.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

9.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

9.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 9.4 As a roll-over of existing designations on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 9.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 9.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) NZTA-1 State Highway 1

***NZTA-2 State Highway 73***

- 9.7 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:

9.7.1 Identify State Highway 73 as a separate designation.

9.7.2 Update the designated purpose from “state highway” to “State Highway - to undertake construction, maintenance, operation, use and improvement of the state highway network and associated infrastructure.”

9.7.3 Add details of the extent of sections of state highway declared Limited Access Road, as per the approach the NZTA is taking for other Plan Review processes nationally.

9.7.4 Remove the conditions related to the Mingha Bluff to Rough Creek realignment (held in Selwyn District Council file D140030), as the works have been completed and the conditions are no longer required.

9.7.5 Remove the conditions related to the Punchbowl to McGraths Creek Area Wide Treatment (held in Selwyn District Council file D140033) as the works have been completed and the conditions are no longer required.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 9.8 This is an existing designated site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 9.9 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 9.9.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
9.9.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 9.10 As a roll-over of existing designations on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 9.11 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 9.12 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) NZTA-2 State Highway 73

**NZTA-3 State Highway 75**

- 9.13 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:
- 9.13.1 Identify State Highway 75 as a separate designation.  
9.13.2 Update the designated purpose from “state highway” to “State Highway - to undertake construction, maintenance, operation, use and improvement of the state highway network and associated infrastructure.”  
9.13.3 Add details of the extent of sections of state highway declared Limited Access Road, as per the approach the NZTA is taking for other Plan Review processes nationally.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 9.14 This is an existing designated site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 9.15 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:
- 9.15.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
9.15.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 9.16 As a roll-over of existing designations on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 9.17 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 9.18 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) NZTA-3 State Highway 75

***NZTA-4 State Highway 76 (Christchurch Southern Motorway Stage 2)***

- 9.19 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:

- 9.19.1 Update the designated purpose from “State Highway – Four Lane Motorway” to “State Highway - to undertake construction, maintenance, operation, use and improvement of the state highway network and associated infrastructure.”
- 9.19.2 Update site identifier (no change to mapped area)
- 9.19.3 Update conditions for consistency with National Planning Standards.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 9.20 This is an existing designated site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 9.21 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:
- 9.21.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 9.21.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 9.22 As a roll-over of existing designations on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 9.23 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 9.24 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) NZTA-4 State Highway 76 (Christchurch Southern Motorway Stage 2)

## **NZTA-5 State Highway 77, NZTA-6 State Highway 1 (Main South Road Four Laning)**

9.25 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

9.25.1 Update the designated purpose to “State Highway - to undertake construction, maintenance, operation, use and improvement of the state highway network and associated infrastructure.”

9.25.2 Update site identifier (no change to mapped area)

9.25.3 Update conditions for consistency with National Planning Standards.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

9.26 These are existing designated sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

9.27 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

9.27.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

9.27.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

9.28 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

9.29 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

9.30 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) NZTA-5 State Highway 77

b) NZTA-6 State Highway 1 (Main South Road Four Laning)

## **10. ORION – Orion New Zealand Ltd**

### **ORION-1 Lincoln Zone Substation, ORION-3 Annat Zone Substation, ORION-4 Bankside Zone Substation, ORION-5 Brookside Zone Substation, ORION-6 Darfield Zone Substation, ORION-7 Hills Road Zone Substation, ORION-8 Motukarara Zone Substation, ORION-9 Springston Zone Substation, ORION-10 Weedons Zone Substation**

10.1 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

10.1.1 Update the purpose of the designation from “the reception, transformation from transmission voltage to distribution voltage, and distribution of electrical power and energy” to “electricity substation”

10.1.2 Update the site name to reflect the name used by the requiring authority

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

10.2 These are existing designated sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

10.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

10.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

10.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

10.4 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

10.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

10.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) ORION-1 Lincoln Zone Substation
- b) ORION-3 Annat Zone Substation
- c) ORION-4 Bankside Zone Substation
- d) ORION-5 Brookside Zone Substation
- e) ORION-6 Darfield Zone Substation
- f) ORION-7 Hills Road Zone Substation
- g) ORION-8 Motukarara Zone Substation
- h) ORION-9 Springston Zone Substation
- i) ORION-10 Weedons Zone Substation

**ORION-2 Rolleston Zone Substation**

10.7 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:

10.7.1 Update the purpose of the designation from “the reception, transformation from transmission voltage to distribution voltage, and distribution of electrical power and energy” to “electricity substation”

10.7.2 Update the site name to reflect the name used by the requiring authority

10.8 An additional modification is proposed to add Lot 13 DP 436697 to the designated area:



### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 10.9 These are existing designated sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.
- 10.10 The Rolleston Substation expanded in 2009/2010 when Orion acquired the adjoining Lot 13 DP436697 via a boundary adjustment to enable the 562m<sup>2</sup> lot to be amalgamated with the designated site. This was approved via resource consent 105289 and was approved in October 2010.
- 10.11 Prior to the boundary adjustment the following RMA approvals were obtained by Orion for the site:
- 10.11.1 Existing Use Rights Certificate (referenced 095169, dated 24 July 2009): the existing substation was upgraded to relocate an existing access to outside the designated area and decommissioning of the existing accessway.
  - 10.11.2 Outline Plan (referenced 095167, (dated 24 July 2009): to upgrade the existing substation.
- 10.12 The proposed modification to include the land parcel as described above is simply formalising an existing situation that has been in place for over 10 years to reflect the actual boundaries of the substation. Orion have advised that, at this stage, they have no future plans to expand or upgrade this site, as they are constructing a new substation on another site to meet Rolleston demand/growth. Any future development on the site would be subject to an Outline Plan process, (unless an Outline Plan Waiver is sought), whereby the Council can consider any adverse effects.
- 10.13 On the basis of the requirement for an Outline Plan for any future development on the site, any adverse effects associated with expanding the designation are considered to be less than minor and represents an administrative 'tidy up' of an existing situation.



***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

10.14 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

10.14.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

10.14.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

10.15 As a roll-over and expansion of an existing designations on an existing site, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

10.16 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

10.17 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

a) ORION-2 Rolleston Zone Substation

**ORION-11 Prebbleton Zone Substation**

10.18 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:

10.18.1 Update the purpose of the designation from “the reception, transformation from transmission voltage to distribution voltage, and distribution of electrical power and energy” to “electricity substation”

10.18.2 Update the site name to reflect the name used by the requiring authority

10.18.3 Update defined terms to comply with Planning Standard requirements

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

10.19 This is an existing designated site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

10.20 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

10.20.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

10.20.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

10.21 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.



***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 10.22 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 10.23 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) ORION-11 Prebbleton Zone Substation

**ORION-12 Burnham Zone Substation, ORION-15 Greenpark Zone Substation**

- 10.24 These are proposed new designations for sites that are yet to be developed. The Notices of requirement for each site are available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 10.25 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with these NORs would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

- 10.26 There are no national policy statements relevant to this proposal. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.
- 10.27 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.
- 10.28 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to important infrastructure such as substations as follows:

***Strategic Directions***

SD-IR-O1 The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected.

SD-IR-O2 The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.

***Energy and Infrastructure***

EI-O1 Important infrastructure is:

1. efficient, effective, and resilient, and
2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional, or national networks, including in emergencies; and
3. integrates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and
4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.

EI-O2 Important infrastructure is located, designed, and operated to manage adverse effects on the physical and natural environment.

EI-P1 Recognise the benefits and national, regional, and local importance of important infrastructure by:

1. enabling the operation, maintenance, and removal of existing important infrastructure throughout the District;
2. providing for replacement and upgrades, including new technologies, to network utilities, and the development of new network utilities.
3. providing for the functions and responsibilities of network utilities as lifeline utilities during an emergency.
4. acknowledging that important infrastructure can have a functional need or operational need to locate in a particular area, including areas with high natural, visual amenity, or cultural value.

EI-P2 Minimise the adverse effects of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation on the physical and natural environment by:

1. encouraging the co-location of structures and facilities where efficient and practicable.
2. locating, designing and operating development while minimising the effects on, the amenity values of the surrounding environment, public access and the health and safety of people.
3. limiting the presence and effects of development within Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Visual Amenity Landscapes, areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, sites of historic heritage and site and areas of significance to Māori to those which:
  - a. are recognised as important infrastructure; and
  - b. can demonstrate an operational or functional requirement for the location; and
  - c. can demonstrate through site, route or method selection the minimisation of effects on the environment; and
  - d. integrate design measures and management methods to mitigate adverse effects.
4. requiring restoration of indigenous biodiversity and habitat following construction in areas of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, and the on-going monitoring of that restoration.
5. considering biodiversity off-setting or compensation where the loss of significant indigenous vegetation cannot be restored and significant habitats of indigenous fauna or wetlands cannot be fully mitigated where the adverse effects cannot be avoided or remedied.
6. Using the substantial upgrade of important infrastructure and renewable electricity generation as an opportunity to reduce existing adverse effects.

EI-P4 Manage the adverse effects from the construction and operation of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation including noise, and vibration by requiring compliance with standards and regulations.

EI-P5 Avoid radio, electric, and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards or guidelines.

10.29 The general premise of the above objectives and policies is to recognise the essential nature of infrastructure and the ‘public good’ which results from their provision. This is balanced, however, with ensuring the resulting environmental effects are acceptable. Preceding assessments have determined that this will be the case, noting that there are no relevant s6 RMA matters and given the proposed designation conditions and the requirement to submit an Outline Plan for any future works. Overall, I consider that the NORs are not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

10.30 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

10.30.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

10.30.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

10.31 It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist Orion in achieving their core purpose to consistently deliver safe a, secure and cost-effective supply of electricity. Orion's network is located across two districts, with differing plan provisions. The designation and Outline Plan processes provide for a uniform planning regime across the Districts, notwithstanding the differences between the District Plans.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

10.32 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

10.33 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) ORION-12 Burnham Zone Substation
- b) ORION-15 Greenpark Zone Substation

**ORION-13 Dunsandel Zone Substation, ORION-14 Greendale Zone Substation,  
ORION-16 Highfield Zone Substation, ORION-17 Killinchy Zone Substation,  
ORION-18 Kimberley Zone Substation, ORION-19 Larcomb Zone Substation**

10.34 These are proposed new designations. The Notices of requirement for each site are available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#).

10.35 A substation currently exists on each site and it is noted that no works are proposed as part of these Notices. Future works on each site will be managed under outline plan process pursuant to section 176A of the Act. Orion considers that designating these sites will provide more certainty with regard to long term planning and will allow for a consistent planning approach across its operational geographic area within Christchurch City and Selwyn District

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

10.36 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with these NORs would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

10.37 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

10.38 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

10.39 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to important infrastructure such as substations as follows:

### *Strategic Directions*

SD-IR-01 The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected.

SD-IR-02 The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.

### *Energy and Infrastructure*

EI-O1 Important infrastructure is:

1. efficient, effective, and resilient, and
2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional, or national networks, including in emergencies; and
3. integrates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and
4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.

EI-O2 Important infrastructure is located, designed, and operated to manage adverse effects on the physical and natural environment.

EI-P1 Recognise the benefits and national, regional, and local importance of important infrastructure by:

1. enabling the operation, maintenance, and removal of existing important infrastructure throughout the District;
2. providing for replacement and upgrades, including new technologies, to network utilities, and the development of new network utilities.
3. providing for the functions and responsibilities of network utilities as lifeline utilities during an emergency.
4. acknowledging that important infrastructure can have a functional need or operational need to locate in a particular area, including areas with high natural, visual amenity, or cultural value.

EI-P2 Minimise the adverse effects of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation on the physical and natural environment by:

1. encouraging the co-location of structures and facilities where efficient and practicable.
2. locating, designing and operating development while minimising the effects on, the amenity values of the surrounding environment, public access and the health and safety of people.
3. limiting the presence and effects of development within Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Visual Amenity Landscapes, areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, sites of historic heritage and site and areas of significance to Māori to those which:
  - a. are recognised as important infrastructure; and
  - b. can demonstrate an operational or functional requirement for the location; and
  - c. can demonstrate through site, route or method selection the minimisation of effects on the environment; and
  - d. integrate design measures and management methods to mitigate adverse effects.
4. requiring restoration of indigenous biodiversity and habitat following construction in areas of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, and the on-going monitoring of that restoration.
5. considering biodiversity off-setting or compensation where the loss of significant indigenous vegetation cannot be restored and significant habitats of indigenous fauna or wetlands cannot be fully mitigated where the adverse effects cannot be avoided or remedied.
6. Using the substantial upgrade of important infrastructure and renewable electricity generation as an opportunity to reduce existing adverse effects.

EI-P4 Manage the adverse effects from the construction and operation of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation including noise, and vibration by requiring compliance with standards and regulations.

EI-P5 Avoid radio, electric, and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards or guidelines.

10.40 The general premise of the above objectives and policies is to recognise the essential nature of infrastructure and the 'public good' which results from their provision. This is balanced, however, with ensuring the resulting environmental effects are acceptable. Preceding assessments have determined that this will be the case, given that: there are no relevant s6 RMA matters; the substations exist already on each site; and no new works are proposed.

10.41 Overall, I consider that the NORs are not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

10.42 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

10.42.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

10.42.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

10.43 It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist Orion in achieving their core purpose to consistently deliver safe, a, secure and cost-effective supply of electricity. Orion's network is located across two districts, with differing plan provisions. The designation and Outline Plan processes provide for a uniform planning regime across the Districts, notwithstanding the differences between the District Plans.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

10.44 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

***Recommendation***

10.45 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) ORION-13 Dunsandel Zone Substation
- b) ORION-14 Greendale Zone Substation
- c) ORION-16 Highfield Zone Substation
- d) ORION-17 Killinchy Zone Substation
- e) ORION-18 Kimberley Zone Substation
- f) ORION-19 Larcomb Zone Substation

## 11. SDC – Selwyn District Council

### SDC-7 Dunsandel Domain

- 11.1 Minor modifications are proposed to: add a condition to protect an existing heritage item; and to add an advice note to clarify that no outline plan approval is required for activities that comply with the standards for permitted activities in the Plan.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.2 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated. The proposed modifications will ensure where any proposed works to a heritage item take place any potential adverse effects will be avoided, remedied or mitigated and will not result in the loss of heritage values.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

#### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.4 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

#### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

#### ***Recommendation***

- 11.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-7 Dunsandel Domain

### SDC-8 Greendale Recreation Reserve

- 11.7 Minor modifications are proposed to update the site identifier to include an additional legal description, without changing the mapped area.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.8 The additional land comprises 0.2ha and has frontage onto Greendale Road. The land is in Crown ownership, with the Greendale Reserve Board being appointed to control and manage the land as a recreation reserve in 1986. There is no change to the effects on the environment associated with the use or proposed use of the reserve.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.9 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.9.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.9.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.10 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.11 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.12 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-8 Greendale Recreation Reserve

***SDC-11 Leeston Park***

11.13 Minor modifications are proposed to incorporate Part Lot 9 DP 1221 into the designated area.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.14 Part Lot 9 DP 1221 comprises 132m<sup>2</sup> of land in Council ownership that provides access to Leeston Park from Leeston Lake Road. This access way is well utilised by the public and has associated formal signage at the entrance directing the public to the park. Therefore, it is essential that this parcel of land be included in the designation to ensure that the public continue to have adequate access to the park.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.15 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.15.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.15.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.16 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.17 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.18 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-11 Leeston Park

***SDC-13 Osborne Park***

- 11.19 Minor modifications are proposed to: cancel the designation over an area not used for recreation purposes, add a condition to protect an existing heritage item; and to add an advice note to clarify that no outline plan approval is required for activities that comply with the standards for permitted activities in the Plan.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.20 The area where the recreation designation is proposed to be removed is instead proposed to be designated as SDC-92 Doyleston (W) Osborne Park Water Pump Station and Reservoirs, to reflect the infrastructure that already exists in this area. The area is not used for recreation purposes, and so the recreation reserve designation is not required in this area.
- 11.21 The other proposed modifications will ensure where any proposed works to a heritage item take place any potential adverse effects will be avoided, remedied or mitigated and will not result in the loss of heritage values.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.22 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.22.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.22.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.23 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications to better reflect the existing environment, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.24 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.25 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:



a) SDC-13 Osborne Park

### SDC-15 Southbridge Park

11.26 This is a modification to an existing designation. The Notice of requirement is available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#). Modifications are proposed to:

11.26.1 Update the site identifier to reflect the street address of the main entrance to the park.

11.26.2 Alter the designation purpose from 'Recreation Reserve'

11.26.3 Update the site identifier to include Section 1 SO 530922 within the designated area, as shown blue in the figure below.

11.26.4 Introduce conditions to manage activities within the designated area.



11.27 In 2017 the Council purchased an additional 1.23ha of land adjoining Southbridge Park. The additional land was purchased to provide for active and passive recreation to meet the growing demand for the Southbridge community. The extension will also provide an active frontage to Taumutu Road that will improve the overall visibility and safety of the park. The local community advocated for the extension of Southbridge Park and support its future development.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.28 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with the NOR would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

#### ***Policy Statements and Plans***

11.29 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

11.30 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

11.31 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to recreation reserves as follows:

*Strategic Directions*

SD-DI-O1 Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development:

1. takes into account the character of individual communities;
2. is well-connected, safe, accessible, and resilient; and
3. enhances environmental, economic, cultural and social outcomes for the benefit of the entire District.

*General Rural Zone*

GRUZ-O1 Subdivision, use, and development in rural areas that:

1. supports, maintains, or enhances the function and form, character, and amenity value of rural areas;
2. prioritises primary production, over other activities to recognise its importance to the economy and wellbeing of the district;
3. allows primary production to operate without being compromised by reverse sensitivity; and
4. retains a contrast in character to urban areas.

GRUZ-P1 Maintain or enhance rural character and amenity values of rural areas by:

1. retaining a low overall building density, and predominance of vegetation cover;
2. enabling primary production while managing adverse effects of intensive primary production, and mineral extractive industries;
3. managing the density and location of residential development; and
4. retaining a clear delineation and contrast between the district's rural areas and urban areas, including Christchurch City.

11.32 Southbridge Park retains a low overall building density, and the proposed expansion would enhance social outcomes for that community. I therefore consider that the expansion of the existing designation is not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.33 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.33.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.33.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.34 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.35 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

11.36 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) SDC-15 Southbridge Park

### **SDC-19 Foster Dog Park**

11.37 Minor modifications are proposed to update conditions to reflect the proposed underlying zoning, for consistency with the Planning Standards and the PDP.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.38 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.39 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.39.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.39.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.40 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.41 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

11.42 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-19 Foster Dog Park

### **SDC-20 Lincoln Event Centre**

11.43 Minor modifications are proposed to update the site name to reflect the current use of the site; and to correct the site identifier legal description (no change to the mapped area).

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.44 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.45 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.45.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.45.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.46 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.47 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.48 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-20 Lincoln Event Centre

***SDC-21 Foster Park***

11.49 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.49.1 Update conditions 5, 7 and 8 to remove the provisions relating to “Broomleigh”, which ceased to have effect on 15 September 2015.

11.49.2 Update conditions 7.g, 8.b and 16 to replace “dwelling” with “residential unit”, for consistency with National Planning Standards and proposed district plan defined terms.

11.49.3 Amend condition 16 to remove the reference to “Broomleigh”, which is no longer a residential unit.

11.49.4 Delete condition 20, which ceased to have effect on 15 September 2015, and consequential renumbering.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.50 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.51 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.51.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.51.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.52 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.53 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.54 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-21 Foster Park

**SDC-22 Pines Resource Recovery Park**

- 11.55 Minor modifications are proposed to:

- 11.55.1 Update condition 5 to alter the reference to “New Zealand Historic Places Trust” to “Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga”, to reflect the current name of the organisation
- 11.55.2 Update condition 5 to alter the reference to “consent holder” to instead refer to “requiring authority” to recognise the status of the requiring authority in relation to the designation
- 11.55.3 Update condition 10 to replace “dwelling” with “residential unit”, for consistency with National Planning Standards and proposed district plan defined terms
- 11.55.4 Update condition 10 to replace references to NZS6801:1991 and NZS6802:1991 with references to NZS6801:2008 and NZS6802:2008, for consistency with National Planning Standards
- 11.55.5 Update condition 17 to replace bullet points with alpha numbering, for consistency with National Planning Standards
- 11.55.6 Update condition 23 to correctly reference the location of vehicle crossing design standards within the proposed district plan (no change to the standard itself).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.56 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.57 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 11.57.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 11.57.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.58 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.59 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.60 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-22 Pines Resource Recovery Park

**SDC-23 Kirwee (WTP) High St, SDC-38 Dunsandel (WTP) Irvines Rd**

- 11.61 Minor modifications are proposed to: update each site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and to update the designation purpose to 'water well and water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.62 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.63 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.63.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.63.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.64 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.65 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.66 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-23 Kirwee (WTP) High St  
b) SDC-38 Dunsandel (WTP) Irvines Rd

**SDC-24 Edendale (W) SH73 Well, SDC-33 Lincoln (W) West Belt Well, SDC-37 Prebbleton (W) Tosswill Rd Well, SDC-43 Rakaia Huts (W) Pacific Dr PS, SDC-44 Southbridge (W) High St Well**

- 11.67 Minor modifications are proposed to: update each site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and to update the designation purpose to 'water well' to better reflect the designated activity on each site.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.68 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.69 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.69.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.69.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.70 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.71 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.72 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-24 Edendale (W) SH73 Well
- b) SDC-33 Lincoln (W) West Belt Well
- c) SDC-37 Prebbleton (W) Tosswill Rd Well
- d) SDC-43 Rakaia Huts (W) Pacific Dr PS
- e) SDC-44 Southbridge (W) High St Well

**SDC-25 Johnson Rd (WTP) Cypress Ln, SDC-28 Rolleston (WTP) Overbury Cres, SDC-61 Edendale (WTP) Genesis Dr**

- 11.73 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.73.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.73.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well and water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.73.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the property boundary.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.74 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.75 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.75.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.75.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.76 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.77 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.78 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-25 Johnson Rd (WTP)
- b) SDC-28 Rolleston (WTP) Overbury Cres
- c) SDC-61 Edendale (WTP) Genesis Dr

**SDC-26 Jowers Rd (W) Well, SDC-30 Rolleston (W) George St Well, SDC-31 Raven Dr (W) Raven Dr Well, SDC-35 Lincoln (W) Kildare Tce Well, SDC-40 Leeston (W) Leeston and Lake Rd Well**

11.79 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

11.79.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.79.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.79.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the property boundary.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.80 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.81 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.81.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.81.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.



***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.82 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.83 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.84 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-26 Jowers Rd (W) Well
- b) SDC-30 Rolleston (W) George St Well
- c) SDC-31 Raven Dr (W) Raven Dr Well
- d) SDC-35 Lincoln (W) Kildare Tce Well
- e) SDC-40 Leeston (W) Leeston and Lake Rd Well

***SDC-27 Armack Dr (W) Wards Rd PS, SDC-36 Tai Tapu (W) Perymans Rd PS***

- 11.85 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

11.85.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.85.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well and pump station' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.85.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the property boundary.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.86 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.87 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.87.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.87.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.88 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.89 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

11.90 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-27 Armack Dr (W) Wards Rd PS
- b) SDC-36 Tai Tapu (W) Perymans Rd PS

**SDC-29 Rolleston (WTP) Moore St, SDC-39 Hororata (WTP) Homebush Rd, SDC-53 Kirwee (WTP) High St**

11.91 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

- 11.91.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;
- 11.91.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well and water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity; and
- 11.91.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the existing built infrastructure

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.92 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.93 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 11.93.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 11.93.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.94 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.95 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

11.96 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-29 Rolleston (WTP) Moore St
- b) SDC-39 Hororata (WTP) Homebush Rd
- c) SDC-53 Kirwee (WTP) High St

**SDC-32 Springston (W) Mather PI PS, SDC-58 Darfield (W) Kimberley Rd PS, SDC-59 Te Pirita (W) Sharlands Rd PS**

11.97 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

- 11.97.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;
- 11.97.2 update the designation purpose to 'water pump station' to better reflect the designated activity; and
- 11.97.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the property boundary.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.98 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.99 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 11.99.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 11.99.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.100 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.101 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.102 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-32 Springston (W) Mather PI PS
- b) SDC-58 Darfield (W) Kimberley Rd PS
- c) SDC-59 Te Pirita (W) Sharlands Rd PS

**SDC-34 Lincoln (W) Kildare Tce Well, SDC-41 Leeston (W) Gallipoli St Well, SDC-42 Taumutu (W) Pohau Rd Well**

11.103 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

- 11.103.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;
- 11.103.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well' to better reflect the designated activity; and
- 11.103.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the existing built infrastructure

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.104 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.105 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.105.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.105.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.106 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.107 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.108 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-34 Lincoln (W) Kildare Tce Well
- b) SDC-41 Leeston (W) Gallipoli St Well
- c) SDC-42 Taumutu (W) Pohau Rd Well

***SDC-45 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd, SDC-46 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd***

11.109 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

11.109.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.109.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well' to better reflect the designated activity;  
and

11.109.3 amend the site identifier to better reflect the location (no change to maps).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.110 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.111 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.111.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.111.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.112 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.113 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.114 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-45 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd
- b) SDC-46 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd

**SDC-47 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd, SDC-48 Arthurs Pass (WTP) School Tce, SDC-55 Sheffield (WTP) Roecombe Rd**

11.115 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

11.115.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and

11.115.2 update the designation purpose to 'water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.116 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.117 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.117.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.117.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.118 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.119 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

11.120 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-47 Darfield (WTP) Intake Bleakhouse Rd
- b) SDC-48 Arthurs Pass (WTP) School Tce
- c) SDC-55 Sheffield (WTP) Roecombe Rd

### **SDC-49 Arthurs Pass (W) School Tce Intake, SDC-50 Castle Hill (WTP) Intake**

11.121 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.121.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and

11.121.2 update the designation purpose to 'water intake' to better reflect the designated activity.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.122 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.123 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.123.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.123.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.124 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.125 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

### ***Recommendation***

11.126 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-49 Arthurs Pass (W) School Tce Intake
- b) SDC-50 Castle Hill (WTP) Intake

### **SDC-51 Castle Hill (WTP) Enys Dr**

11.127 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.127.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and

11.127.2 update the designation purpose to 'water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.127.3 amend the designation boundary to incorporate two existing and overlapping areas into one and extend the designated area

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.128 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.129 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.129.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.129.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.130 As a roll-over of existing designations on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.131 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.132 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

a) SDC-51 Castle Hill (WTP) Enys Dr

**SDC-52 Malvern (W) Glentunnel Res**

11.133 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.133.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.133.2 update the designation purpose to 'water reservoir' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.133.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the existing built infrastructure

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.134 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.135 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.135.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.135.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.136 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.137 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.138 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-52 Malvern (W) Glentunnel Res

***SDC-54 Lake Coleridge (WTP) Col Intake Rd***

11.139 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.139.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and

11.139.2 update the designation purpose to 'water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.139.3 amend the designation boundary to incorporate existing built infrastructure

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.140 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.141 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.141.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.141.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.142 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.143 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.144 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:



b) SDC-54 Lake Coleridge (WTP) Col Intake Rd

#### **SDC-56 Springfield (WTP) Pocock Rd**

11.145 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.145.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and

11.145.2 update the designation purpose to 'water treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity;

11.145.3 update the site identifier to better describe the site location; and

11.145.4 amend the designation boundary to incorporate existing built infrastructure

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.146 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.147 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.147.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.147.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

#### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.148 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

#### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.149 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

#### ***Recommendation***

11.150 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is are confirmed:

a) SDC-56 Springfield (WTP) Pocock Rd

#### **SDC-57 Springfield (W) SH73 Res, SDC-62 Springfield (W) Kowai Res**

11.151 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.151.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.151.2 update the designation purpose to 'water reservoir' to better reflect the designated activity; and

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.152 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.153 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.153.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.153.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.154 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.155 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.156 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-57 Springfield (W) SH73 Res

b) SDC-62 Springfield (W) Kowai Res

***SDC-60 Tai Tapu (W) Otahuna Res***

11.157 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.157.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.157.2 update the designation purpose to 'water reservoir' to better reflect the designated activity;

11.157.3 update the site identifier with an updated legal description

11.157.4 amend the designation boundary to align with the existing built infrastructure

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.158 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.159 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.159.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.159.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.160 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.161 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.162 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-60 Tai Tapu (W) Otahuna Res

**SDC-63 Lincoln (S) Marion PS**

11.163 Minor modifications are proposed to the designation to:

- 11.163.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;
- 11.163.2 update the designation purpose to 'water and wastewater pump station' to better reflect the designated activity; and
- 11.163.3 amend the designation boundary to align with the property boundary.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.164 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.165 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 11.165.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 11.165.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.166 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.167 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.168 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-63 Lincoln (S) Marion PS

**SDC-64 Rolleston (S) Helpet**

11.169 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.169.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.169.2 update the designation purpose to 'water well, water treatment plant and wastewater pump station' to separate the site name from the designated purpose and to better reflect the designated activity.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.170 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.171 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.171.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.171.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.172 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.173 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.174 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

a) SDC-64 Rolleston (S) Helpet

**SDC-65 Ellesmere (STP) Leeston**

11.175 Minor modifications are proposed to:

11.175.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.175.2 update the designation purpose to 'wastewater treatment plant' to better reflect the designated activity; and

11.175.3 extend the designated area to include the site access.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.176 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.177 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 11.177.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
11.177.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.178 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.179 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

- 11.180 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-65 Ellesmere (STP) Leeston

***SDC-66 ESSS (S) Allendale Ln***

- 11.181 Minor modifications are proposed to:

- 11.181.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and  
11.181.2 update the designation purpose to 'wastewater pump station and ponds' for consistency with current terminology and to better reflect the designated activity.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 11.182 This is an existing site, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 11.183 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 11.183.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and  
11.183.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 11.184 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.185 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.186 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-66 ESSS (S) Allendale Ln

**SDC-67 Ardlui Road Septage Disposal Site, SDC-68 Bleakhouse Road Septage Disposal Site**

11.187 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

11.187.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference; and

11.187.2 update the designation purpose to 'septage sewage waste site' for consistency with current terminology (septage is the solid contents of a septic tank).

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.188 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.189 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.189.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.189.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.190 As a roll-over of existing designation on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designations are sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.191 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.192 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

- a) SDC-67 Ardlui Road Septage Disposal Site
- b) SDC-68 Bleakhouse Road Septage Disposal Site

**SDC-69 ESSS (STP) Pines, SDC-70 ESSS (STP) Pines**

11.193 Minor modifications are proposed to each designation to:

11.193.1 update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference;

11.193.2 update the designation purpose to 'wastewater treatment plant' to separate the site name from the site purpose and for consistency with current terminology;

11.193.3 for SDC-70 ESSS (STP) Pines, update condition 8.d to alter the reference to “New Zealand Historic Places Trust” to “Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga”, to reflect the current name of the organisation

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.194 These are existing sites, and the modifications are such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.195 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.195.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.195.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.196 As a roll-over of existing designation on existing sites, subject only to minor modifications, I consider that the designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designations are sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.197 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.198 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed:

a) SDC-69 ESSS (STP) Pines

b) SDC-70 ESSS (STP) Pines

**SDC-71 Prebbleton (S) Terminal PS**

11.199 A minor modification is proposed to update the site name to provide a more descriptive reference

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.200 This is an existing site, and the modification is such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.201 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.201.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.201.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.202 As a roll-over of an existing designation on an existing site, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designations are sought.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.203 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

***Recommendation***

11.204 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation is confirmed:

- a) SDC-71 Prebbleton (S) Terminal PS

***New water designations***

11.205 This assessment applies to the following proposed new designations:

- a) SDC-72 Acheron (W) BPT1 Water Reservoir
- b) SDC-73 Acheron (W) BPT2 Water Reservoir
- c) SDC-74 Acheron (W) Water Intake
- d) SDC-75 Acheron (W) Zig Zag Rd BPT Water Reservoir
- e) SDC-76 Acheron (WTP) Dry Acheron Water Treatment Plant and Reservoirs
- f) SDC-85 Claremont (W) Devine Dr Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- g) SDC-92 Doyleston (W) Osborne Park Water Pump Station and Reservoirs
- h) SDC-103 Hororata (W) Aitkens Rd Water Pump Station and Reservoirs
- i) SDC-104 Hororata (W) Harper Hills Water Reservoir
- j) SDC-117 Leeston (W) Leeston Dunsandel Rd Water Pump Station and Well
- k) SDC-129 Lincoln (W) Eastfield Dr Water Pump Station and Well
- l) SDC-130 Lincoln (W) Veuve Drive Water Treatment Plant and Well
- m) SDC-132 Malvern (W) Bluff Rd Water Pump Station
- n) SDC-133 Malvern (W) Bluff Rd Water Reservoir
- o) SDC-134 Malvern (W) Dalethorpe Rd Water Reservoir
- p) SDC-135 Malvern (W) Hartleys Rd Water Reservoir
- q) SDC-136 Malvern (W) Pig Saddle Rd Water Pump Station
- r) SDC-137 Malvern (W) Pig Saddle Rd Water Reservoir
- s) SDC-138 Malvern (W) Springfield Rd Water Pump Station
- t) SDC-139 Malvern (W) Springfield Rd Water Reservoir
- u) SDC-140 Malvern (W) Waireka Water Reservoir
- v) SDC-141 Malvern (W) Whitecliffs Water Reservoir
- w) SDC-142 Malvern (WTP) Dalethorpe Rd Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station and Intake
- x) SDC-143 Malvern (WTP) Hartleys Rd Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Intake
- y) SDC-152 Prebbleton (W) St Pats Water Well
- z) SDC-167 Rolleston (W) Baltimore Dr Well



- aa) SDC-168 Rolleston (W) Dalwood Crescent Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- bb) SDC-169 Rolleston (W) Illinois Dr Well
- cc) SDC-170 Rolleston (W) McLenaghan Rd Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- dd) SDC-171 Rolleston (W) Westland Pl Well
- ee) SDC-172 Rolleston (WTP) Izone Dr Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- ff) SDC-177 Southbridge (W) Taiaroa Pl Water Pump Station and Well
- gg) SDC-178 Southbridge (WTP) St John St Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- hh) SDC-182 Tai Tapu (W) Otahuna Water Pump Station
- ii) SDC-185 Upper Selwyn Huts (W) Spackman Ave Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- jj) SDC-191 West Melton (W) Jacqueline Dr Well
- kk) SDC-192 West Melton (W) Ridgeland Way Well
- ll) SDC-193 West Melton (W) Royston Common Well
- mm) SDC-194 West Melton (WTP) Elizabeth Allen Dr Water Treatment Plant and Well
- nn) SDC-195 West Melton (WTP) Rossington Dr Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well

11.206 These are proposed new designations. The Notices of requirement for each site are available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#). Each site is currently developed and is used for the purpose outlined in the NOR.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.207 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with these NORs would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

11.208 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

11.209 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

11.210 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to important infrastructure such as community water supplies as follows:

***Strategic Directions***

SD-IR-O1 The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected.

SD-IR-O2 The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.

### *Energy and Infrastructure*

EI-O1 Important infrastructure is:

1. efficient, effective, and resilient, and
2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional, or national networks, including in emergencies; and
3. integrates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and
4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.

EI-O2 Important infrastructure is located, designed, and operated to manage adverse effects on the physical and natural environment.

EI-P1 Recognise the benefits and national, regional, and local importance of important infrastructure by:

1. enabling the operation, maintenance, and removal of existing important infrastructure throughout the District;
2. providing for replacement and upgrades, including new technologies, to network utilities, and the development of new network utilities.
3. providing for the functions and responsibilities of network utilities as lifeline utilities during an emergency.
4. acknowledging that important infrastructure can have a functional need or operational need to locate in a particular area, including areas with high natural, visual amenity, or cultural value.

EI-P2 Minimise the adverse effects of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation on the physical and natural environment by:

1. encouraging the co-location of structures and facilities where efficient and practicable.
2. locating, designing and operating development while minimising the effects on, the amenity values of the surrounding environment, public access and the health and safety of people.
3. limiting the presence and effects of development within Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Visual Amenity Landscapes, areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, sites of historic heritage and site and areas of significance to Māori to those which:
  - a. are recognised as important infrastructure; and
  - b. can demonstrate an operational or functional requirement for the location; and
  - c. can demonstrate through site, route or method selection the minimisation of effects on the environment; and
  - d. integrate design measures and management methods to mitigate adverse effects.
4. requiring restoration of indigenous biodiversity and habitat following construction in areas of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, and the on-going monitoring of that restoration.
5. considering biodiversity off-setting or compensation where the loss of significant indigenous vegetation cannot be restored and significant habitats of indigenous fauna or wetlands cannot be fully mitigated where the adverse effects cannot be avoided or remedied.
6. Using the substantial upgrade of important infrastructure and renewable electricity generation as an opportunity to reduce existing adverse effects.

EI-P4 Manage the adverse effects from the construction and operation of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation including noise, and vibration by requiring compliance with standards and regulations.

EI-P5 Avoid radio, electric, and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards or guidelines.

11.211 The general premise of the above objectives and policies is to recognise the essential nature of infrastructure and the 'public good' which results from their provision. This is balanced, however,

with ensuring the resulting environmental effects are acceptable. Each site was lawfully established, either as a permitted activity or through a resource consent process. Preceding assessments have therefore determined that environmental effects are acceptable, particularly given that the infrastructure already exists on each site and no new works are proposed.

11.212 Overall, I consider that the NORs are not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.213 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.213.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.213.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.214 It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist The Council in achieving their purpose to provide drinking water to the community by:

11.214.1 Providing flexibility to develop long term projects with the capacity to modify activities and facilities to suit changing community needs;

11.214.2 Allowing the land to be identified in the District Plan, clearly indicating the intended use of the land; and

11.214.3 Providing ongoing protection for the designated purpose and restraining other land uses or development that could hinder the works.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.215 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

***Recommendation***

11.216 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) SDC-72 Acheron (W) BPT1 Water Reservoir
- b) SDC-73 Acheron (W) BPT2 Water Reservoir
- c) SDC-74 Acheron (W) Water Intake
- d) SDC-75 Acheron (W) Zig Zag Rd BPT Water Reservoir
- e) SDC-76 Acheron (WTP) Dry Acheron Water Treatment Plant and Reservoirs
- f) SDC-85 Claremont (W) Devine Dr Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- g) SDC-92 Doyleston (W) Osborne Park Water Pump Station and Reservoirs
- h) SDC-103 Hororata (W) Aitkens Rd Water Pump Station and Reservoirs
- i) SDC-104 Hororata (W) Harper Hills Water Reservoir
- j) SDC-117 Leeston (W) Leeston Dunsandel Rd Water Pump Station and Well
- k) SDC-129 Lincoln (W) Eastfield Dr Water Pump Station and Well
- l) SDC-130 Lincoln (W) Veuve Drive Water Treatment Plant and Well

- m) SDC-132 Malvern (W) Bluff Rd Water Pump Station
- n) SDC-133 Malvern (W) Bluff Rd Water Reservoir
- o) SDC-134 Malvern (W) Dalethorpe Rd Water Reservoir
- p) SDC-135 Malvern (W) Hartleys Rd Water Reservoir
- q) SDC-136 Malvern (W) Pig Saddle Rd Water Pump Station
- r) SDC-137 Malvern (W) Pig Saddle Rd Water Reservoir
- s) SDC-138 Malvern (W) Springfield Rd Water Pump Station
- t) SDC-139 Malvern (W) Springfield Rd Water Reservoir
- u) SDC-140 Malvern (W) Waireka Water Reservoir
- v) SDC-141 Malvern (W) Whitecliffs Water Reservoir
- w) SDC-142 Malvern (WTP) Dalethorpe Rd Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station and Intake
- x) SDC-143 Malvern (WTP) Hartleys Rd Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Intake
- y) SDC-152 Prebbleton (W) St Pats Water Well
- z) SDC-167 Rolleston (W) Baltimore Dr Well
- aa) SDC-168 Rolleston (W) Dalwood Crescent Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- bb) SDC-169 Rolleston (W) Illinois Dr Well
- cc) SDC-170 Rolleston (W) McLenaghan Rd Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- dd) SDC-171 Rolleston (W) Westland Pl Well
- ee) SDC-172 Rolleston (WTP) Izone Dr Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- ff) SDC-177 Southbridge (W) Taiaroa Pl Water Pump Station and Well
- gg) SDC-178 Southbridge (WTP) St John St Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- hh) SDC-182 Tai Tapu (W) Otahuna Water Pump Station
- ii) SDC-185 Upper Selwyn Huts (W) Spackman Ave Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well
- jj) SDC-191 West Melton (W) Jacqueline Dr Well
- kk) SDC-192 West Melton (W) Ridgeland Way Well
- ll) SDC-193 West Melton (W) Royston Common Well
- mm) SDC-194 West Melton (WTP) Elizabeth Allen Dr Water Treatment Plant and Well
- nn) SDC-195 West Melton (WTP) Rossington Dr Water Treatment Plant, Pump Station, Reservoirs, and Well

### New wastewater designations

11.217 This assessment applies to the following proposed new designations:

- a) SDC-77 Arthurs Pass (STP) Sunshine Tce Wastewater Treatment Plant
- b) SDC-81 Castle Hill (STP) Wastewater Treatment Plant
- c) SDC-83 Claremont (S) Devine Drive Wastewater Pump Station
- d) SDC-84 Claremont (STP) Avonie Pl Wastewater Treatment Plant
- e) SDC-89 Doyleston (S) Greenan Pl Wastewater Pump Station
- f) SDC-90 Doyleston (S) Main Wastewater Pump Station
- g) SDC-91 Doyleston (S) Minor Wastewater Pump Station
- h) SDC-96 ESSS (S) Burnham School Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- i) SDC-97 ESSS (S) Selwyn Rd Wastewater Pump Station

- j) SDC-98 ESSS (STP) Kerrs Rd Wastewater Odour Treatment Plant
- k) SDC-110 Lake Coleridge (STP) Wastewater Treatment Plant
- l) SDC-112 Leeston (S) Clausen Ave Wastewater Pump Station
- m) SDC-113 Leeston (S) Cunningham St Wastewater Pump Station
- n) SDC-114 Leeston (S) Lake Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- o) SDC-115 Leeston (S) Lambie St Wastewater Pump Station
- p) SDC-116 Leeston (S) Station St Wastewater Pump Station
- q) SDC-118 Lincoln (S) Bonecrusher St Wastewater Pump Station
- r) SDC-119 Lincoln (S) Edward St Wastewater Pump Station
- s) SDC-120 Lincoln (S) Faulks Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- t) SDC-121 Lincoln (S) Liffey Springs Wastewater Pump Station
- u) SDC-122 Lincoln (S) Lincolndale Wastewater Pump Station
- v) SDC-123 Lincoln (S) LLD Wastewater Pump Station
- w) SDC-124 Lincoln (S) Millstream Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- x) SDC-125 Lincoln (S) Oaks Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- y) SDC-126 Lincoln (S) Roblyn Pl Wastewater Pump Station
- z) SDC-127 Lincoln (S) Rosemerryn Wastewater Pump Station
- aa) SDC-128 Lincoln (S) Ryelands Wastewater Pump Station
- bb) SDC-145 Prebbleton (S) Aberdeen Wastewater Pump Station
- cc) SDC-146 Prebbleton (S) Birchs Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- dd) SDC-147 Prebbleton (S) Central Wastewater Pump Station
- ee) SDC-148 Prebbleton (S) Springs Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- ff) SDC-149 Prebbleton (S) The Elms Wastewater Pump Station
- gg) SDC-150 Prebbleton (S) The Woods Wastewater Pump Station
- hh) SDC-151 Prebbleton (S) Warratah Park Wastewater Pump Station
- ii) SDC-156 Rolleston (S) Boulez Mews Wastewater Pump Station
- jj) SDC-157 Rolleston (S) Branthwaite Drive Wastewater Pump Station
- kk) SDC-158 Rolleston (S) Broadway Pde Wastewater Pump Station
- ll) SDC-159 Rolleston (S) Faringdon South Wastewater Pump Station
- mm) SDC-160 Rolleston (S) Flight Close Wastewater Pump Station
- nn) SDC-161 Rolleston (S) George Holmes Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- oo) SDC-162 Rolleston (S) Goldrush Ln Wastewater Pump Station
- pp) SDC-163 Rolleston (S) Goulds Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- qq) SDC-164 Rolleston (S) Haymakers Crescent Wastewater Pump Station
- rr) SDC-165 Rolleston (S) McLenaghan Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- ss) SDC-166 Rolleston (S) Runners Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- tt) SDC-176 Southbridge (S) Broad St Wastewater Pump Station
- uu) SDC-179 Springston (S) Leeston Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- vv) SDC-181 Tai Tapu (S) School Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- ww) SDC-183 Upper Selwyn Huts (S) Bruce Ave Wastewater Pump Station
- xx) SDC-184 Upper Selwyn Huts (STP) Wastewater Treatment Plant
- yy) SDC-190 West Melton (S) Wilfield Wastewater Pump Station
- zz) SDC-197 Ellesmere Wastewater Treatment Plant

11.218 These are proposed new designations. The Notices of requirement for each site are available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#) . Each site is currently developed and is used for the purpose outlined in the NOR.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.219 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with these NORs would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

11.220 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

11.221 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

11.222 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to important infrastructure such as wastewater disposal networks as follows:

***Strategic Directions***

SD-IR-O1 The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected.

SD-IR-O2 The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.

***Energy and Infrastructure***

EI-O1 Important infrastructure is:

1. efficient, effective, and resilient, and
2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional, or national networks, including in emergencies; and
3. integrates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and
4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.

EI-O2 Important infrastructure is located, designed, and operated to manage adverse effects on the physical and natural environment.

EI-P1 Recognise the benefits and national, regional, and local importance of important infrastructure by:

1. enabling the operation, maintenance, and removal of existing important infrastructure throughout the District;
2. providing for replacement and upgrades, including new technologies, to network utilities, and the development of new network utilities.
3. providing for the functions and responsibilities of network utilities as lifeline utilities during an emergency.
4. acknowledging that important infrastructure can have a functional need or operational need to locate in a particular area, including areas with high natural, visual amenity, or cultural value.

EI-P2 Minimise the adverse effects of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation on the physical and natural environment by:

1. encouraging the co-location of structures and facilities where efficient and practicable.
2. locating, designing and operating development while minimising the effects on, the amenity values of the surrounding environment, public access and the health and safety of people.
3. limiting the presence and effects of development within Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Visual Amenity Landscapes, areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of

indigenous fauna, sites of historic heritage and site and areas of significance to Māori to those which:

- a. are recognised as important infrastructure; and
  - b. can demonstrate an operational or functional requirement for the location; and
  - c. can demonstrate through site, route or method selection the minimisation of effects on the environment; and
  - d. integrate design measures and management methods to mitigate adverse effects.
4. requiring restoration of indigenous biodiversity and habitat following construction in areas of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, and the on-going monitoring of that restoration.
  5. considering biodiversity off-setting or compensation where the loss of significant indigenous vegetation cannot be restored and significant habitats of indigenous fauna or wetlands cannot be fully mitigated where the adverse effects cannot be avoided or remedied.
  6. Using the substantial upgrade of important infrastructure and renewable electricity generation as an opportunity to reduce existing adverse effects.

EI-P4 Manage the adverse effects from the construction and operation of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation including noise, and vibration by requiring compliance with standards and regulations.

EI-P5 Avoid radio, electric, and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards or guidelines.

11.223 The general premise of the above objectives and policies is to recognise the essential nature of infrastructure and the 'public good' which results from their provision. This is balanced, however, with ensuring the resulting environmental effects are acceptable. Each site was lawfully established, either as a permitted activity or through a resource consent process. Preceding assessments have therefore determined that environmental effects are acceptable, particularly given that the infrastructure already exists on each site and no new works are proposed.

11.224 Overall, I consider that the NORs are not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.225 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.225.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.225.2 It is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.226 It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist The Council in achieving their purpose to provide wastewater disposal services to the community by:

11.226.1 Providing flexibility to develop long term projects with the capacity to modify activities and facilities to suit changing community needs;

11.226.2 Allowing the land to be identified in the District Plan, clearly indicating the intended use of the land; and

- 11.226.3 Providing ongoing protection for the designated purpose and restraining other land uses or development that could hinder the works.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 11.227 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

***Recommendation***

- 11.228 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) SDC-77 Arthurs Pass (STP) Sunshine Tce Wastewater Treatment Plant
- b) SDC-81 Castle Hill (STP) Wastewater Treatment Plant
- c) SDC-83 Claremont (S) Devine Drive Wastewater Pump Station
- d) SDC-84 Claremont (STP) Avonie Pl Wastewater Treatment Plant
- e) SDC-89 Doyleston (S) Greenan Pl Wastewater Pump Station
- f) SDC-90 Doyleston (S) Main Wastewater Pump Station
- g) SDC-91 Doyleston (S) Minor Wastewater Pump Station
- h) SDC-96 ESSS (S) Burnham School Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- i) SDC-97 ESSS (S) Selwyn Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- j) SDC-98 ESSS (STP) Kerrs Rd Wastewater Odour Treatment Plant
- k) SDC-110 Lake Coleridge (STP) Wastewater Treatment Plant
- l) SDC-112 Leeston (S) Clausen Ave Wastewater Pump Station
- m) SDC-113 Leeston (S) Cunningham St Wastewater Pump Station
- n) SDC-114 Leeston (S) Lake Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- o) SDC-115 Leeston (S) Lambie St Wastewater Pump Station
- p) SDC-116 Leeston (S) Station St Wastewater Pump Station
- q) SDC-118 Lincoln (S) Bonecrusher St Wastewater Pump Station
- r) SDC-119 Lincoln (S) Edward St Wastewater Pump Station
- s) SDC-120 Lincoln (S) Faulks Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- t) SDC-121 Lincoln (S) Liffey Springs Wastewater Pump Station
- u) SDC-122 Lincoln (S) Lincolndale Wastewater Pump Station
- v) SDC-123 Lincoln (S) LLD Wastewater Pump Station
- w) SDC-124 Lincoln (S) Millstream Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- x) SDC-125 Lincoln (S) Oaks Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- y) SDC-126 Lincoln (S) Roblyn Pl Wastewater Pump Station
- z) SDC-127 Lincoln (S) Rosemerryn Wastewater Pump Station
- aa) SDC-128 Lincoln (S) Ryelands Wastewater Pump Station
- bb) SDC-145 Prebbleton (S) Aberdeen Wastewater Pump Station
- cc) SDC-146 Prebbleton (S) Birchs Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- dd) SDC-147 Prebbleton (S) Central Wastewater Pump Station
- ee) SDC-148 Prebbleton (S) Springs Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- ff) SDC-149 Prebbleton (S) The Elms Wastewater Pump Station
- gg) SDC-150 Prebbleton (S) The Woods Wastewater Pump Station
- hh) SDC-151 Prebbleton (S) Warratah Park Wastewater Pump Station
- ii) SDC-156 Rolleston (S) Boulez Mews Wastewater Pump Station



- jj) SDC-157 Rolleston (S) Branthwaite Drive Wastewater Pump Station
- kk) SDC-158 Rolleston (S) Broadway Pde Wastewater Pump Station
- ll) SDC-159 Rolleston (S) Faringdon South Wastewater Pump Station
- mm) SDC-160 Rolleston (S) Flight Close Wastewater Pump Station
- nn) SDC-161 Rolleston (S) George Holmes Dr Wastewater Pump Station
- oo) SDC-162 Rolleston (S) Goldrush Ln Wastewater Pump Station
- pp) SDC-163 Rolleston (S) Goulds Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- qq) SDC-164 Rolleston (S) Haymakers Crescent Wastewater Pump Station
- rr) SDC-165 Rolleston (S) McLenaghan Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- ss) SDC-166 Rolleston (S) Runners Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- tt) SDC-176 Southbridge (S) Broad St Wastewater Pump Station
- uu) SDC-179 Springston (S) Leeston Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- vv) SDC-181 Tai Tapu (S) School Rd Wastewater Pump Station
- ww) SDC-183 Upper Selwyn Huts (S) Bruce Ave Wastewater Pump Station
- xx) SDC-184 Upper Selwyn Huts (STP) Wastewater Treatment Plant
- yy) SDC-190 West Melton (S) Wilfield Wastewater Pump Station
- zz) SDC-197 Ellesmere Wastewater Treatment Plant

### **New land drainage designation**

11.229 This assessment applies to the following proposed new designation:

- a) SDC-144 Osbornes (LD) Land Drainage Pump Station

11.230 This is a proposed new designation. The Notice of requirement is available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#) . The site is currently developed and is used for the purpose outlined in the NOR.

### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.231 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with the NOR would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

### ***Policy Statements and Plans***

11.232 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

11.233 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

11.234 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to important infrastructure such as land drainage networks as follows:

#### ***Strategic Directions***

SD-IR-O1 The important infrastructure needs of the community are fulfilled, and their operation is protected.

SD-IR-O2 The development, upgrade, maintenance, and operation of all important infrastructure is enabled in a way that minimises adverse effects, while having regard to the practical constraints and the logistical and technical practicalities associated with important infrastructure.

### *Energy and Infrastructure*

El-O1 Important infrastructure is:

1. efficient, effective, and resilient, and
2. provides and distributes essential and secure services as part of local, regional, or national networks, including in emergencies; and
3. integrates with urban development and land uses throughout the district; and
4. enables people and communities to provide for their wellbeing.

El-O2 Important infrastructure is located, designed, and operated to manage adverse effects on the physical and natural environment.

El-P1 Recognise the benefits and national, regional, and local importance of important infrastructure by:

1. enabling the operation, maintenance, and removal of existing important infrastructure throughout the District;
2. providing for replacement and upgrades, including new technologies, to network utilities, and the development of new network utilities.
3. providing for the functions and responsibilities of network utilities as lifeline utilities during an emergency.
4. acknowledging that important infrastructure can have a functional need or operational need to locate in a particular area, including areas with high natural, visual amenity, or cultural value.

El-P2 Minimise the adverse effects of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation on the physical and natural environment by:

1. encouraging the co-location of structures and facilities where efficient and practicable.
2. locating, designing and operating development while minimising the effects on, the amenity values of the surrounding environment, public access and the health and safety of people.
3. limiting the presence and effects of development within Outstanding Natural Landscapes, Visual Amenity Landscapes, areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, sites of historic heritage and site and areas of significance to Māori to those which:
  - a. are recognised as important infrastructure; and
  - b. can demonstrate an operational or functional requirement for the location; and
  - c. can demonstrate through site, route or method selection the minimisation of effects on the environment; and
  - d. integrate design measures and management methods to mitigate adverse effects.
4. requiring restoration of indigenous biodiversity and habitat following construction in areas of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, and the on-going monitoring of that restoration.
5. considering biodiversity off-setting or compensation where the loss of significant indigenous vegetation cannot be restored and significant habitats of indigenous fauna or wetlands cannot be fully mitigated where the adverse effects cannot be avoided or remedied.
6. Using the substantial upgrade of important infrastructure and renewable electricity generation as an opportunity to reduce existing adverse effects.

El-P4 Manage the adverse effects from the construction and operation of important infrastructure, and renewable electricity generation including noise, and vibration by requiring compliance with standards and regulations.

El-P5 Avoid radio, electric, and magnetic emissions that do not meet the recognised standards or guidelines.

11.235 The general premise of the above objectives and policies is to recognise the essential nature of infrastructure and the 'public good' which results from their provision. This is balanced, however, with ensuring the resulting environmental effects are acceptable. Each site was lawfully established, either as a permitted activity or through a resource consent process. Preceding assessments have therefore determined that environmental effects are acceptable, particularly given that the infrastructure already exists on each site and no new works are proposed.

11.236 Overall, I consider that the NORs are not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.237 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.237.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.237.2 It is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.238 It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist The Council in achieving their purpose to provide drinking water to the community by:

11.238.1 Providing flexibility to develop long term projects with the capacity to modify activities and facilities to suit changing community needs;

11.238.2 Allowing the land to be identified in the District Plan, clearly indicating the intended use of the land; and

11.238.3 Providing ongoing protection for the designated purpose and restraining other land uses or development that could hinder the works.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.239 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

***Recommendation***

11.240 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

a) SDC-144 Osbornes (LD) Land Drainage Pump Station

***New cemetery designations***

11.241 This assessment applies to the following proposed new designations:

a) SDC-78 Bishops Corner Cemetery

b) SDC-80 Brookside Cemetery

c) SDC-93 Dunsandel Cemetery

d) SDC-94 Ellesmere Catholic Cemetery

- e) SDC-95 Ellesmere Cemetery
- f) SDC-100 Greendale Cemetery
- g) SDC-102 Hororata (St Johns) Cemetery
- h) SDC-105 Killinchy Cemetery
- i) SDC-106 Kimberley Cemetery
- j) SDC-107 Kirwee Cemetery
- k) SDC-108 Kowai Pass Cemetery
- l) SDC-111 Lake Coleridge Cemetery
- m) SDC-131 Lincoln Cemetery
- n) SDC-153 Prebbleton Cemetery
- o) SDC-173 Shands Road Cemetery
- p) SDC-175 South Malvern Cemetery
- q) SDC-180 Springston Cemetery
- r) SDC-186 Waddington Cemetery
- s) SDC-188 Weedons Cemetery

11.242 These are proposed new designations. The Notice of requirement for each site is available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#). Each site is currently developed and is used for the purpose outlined in the NOR.

11.243 Section 4 of the Burial and Cremations Act 1964 requires local authorities to establish and maintain suitable cemeteries within the district.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.244 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with the NOR would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

11.245 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

11.246 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

11.247 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to cemeteries as follows:

**Strategic Directions**

SD-DI-O1 Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development:

1. takes into account the character of individual communities;
2. is well-connected, safe, accessible, and resilient; and
3. enhances environmental, economic, cultural and social outcomes for the benefit of the entire District.

SD-DI-O2 Selwyn's prosperous economy is supported through the efficient use of land, resources, and infrastructure, while ensuring existing activities are protected from incompatible activities.

***Earthworks***

EW-O1 Earthworks are undertaken in a manner that limits adverse effects on the surrounding environment.

EW-P1 Enable temporary, small-scale earthworks activities, while managing those with the potential to create adverse visual amenity, sediment, and nuisance effects beyond site boundaries.

EW-P4 Require that during and on completion of earthworks any visual impact, loss of privacy, dust nuisance, and shading from earthworks does not detract from the amenity values and quality of the environment.

#### *Residential Zones*

RESZ-O7 Residents have access to a range of community, recreation, education, health, and corrections activities and facilities that support, maintain, and enhance the surrounding residential amenity.

RESZ-P15 Provide for non-residential activities and community facilities that:

1. are of a nature and scale that meet the needs of the local community;
2. are consistent with the amenity values and character of the locality;
3. encourage co-location and shared use of community facilities where practicable; and
4. do not undermine the viability of commercial centres.

#### *General Rural Zone*

GRUZ-O1 Subdivision, use, and development in rural areas that:

1. supports, maintains, or enhances the function and form, character, and amenity value of rural areas;
2. prioritises primary production, over other activities to recognise its importance to the economy and wellbeing of the district;
3. allows primary production to operate without being compromised by reverse sensitivity; and
4. retains a contrast in character to urban areas.

GRUZ-P1 Maintain or enhance rural character and amenity values of rural areas by:

1. retaining a low overall building density, and predominance of vegetation cover;
2. enabling primary production while managing adverse effects of intensive primary production, and mineral extractive industries;
3. managing the density and location of residential development; and
4. retaining a clear delineation and contrast between the district's rural areas and urban areas, including Christchurch City.

11.248 Cemeteries fall outside the Planning Standards definition of a 'community facility', and so are not naturally provided for in the PDP. However, they provide important functions within the community to meet the burial and remembrance needs of residents. Although no conditions are proposed as part of these designations, the operation of cemeteries is managed and controlled by the Council's Cemetery Bylaw 2017.

11.249 I consider that the designation of these existing cemeteries is not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.250 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.250.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.250.2 It is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.251 It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist the Council in achieving their purpose to provide cemeteries as required by the Burial and Cremations Act 1964 by:

- 11.251.1 Providing flexibility to develop long term projects with the capacity to modify activities and facilities to suit changing community needs;
- 11.251.2 Allowing the land to be identified in the District Plan, clearly indicating the intended use of the land; and
- 11.251.3 Providing ongoing protection for the designated purpose and restraining other land uses or development that could hinder the works.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.252 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

***Recommendation***

11.253 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) SDC-78 Bishops Corner Cemetery
- b) SDC-80 Brookside Cemetery
- c) SDC-93 Dunsandel Cemetery
- d) SDC-94 Ellesmere Catholic Cemetery
- e) SDC-95 Ellesmere Cemetery
- f) SDC-100 Greendale Cemetery
- g) SDC-102 Hororata (St Johns) Cemetery
- h) SDC-105 Killinchy Cemetery
- i) SDC-106 Kimberley Cemetery
- j) SDC-107 Kirwee Cemetery
- k) SDC-108 Kowai Pass Cemetery
- l) SDC-111 Lake Coleridge Cemetery
- m) SDC-131 Lincoln Cemetery
- n) SDC-153 Prebbleton Cemetery
- o) SDC-173 Shands Road Cemetery
- p) SDC-175 South Malvern Cemetery
- q) SDC-180 Springston Cemetery
- r) SDC-186 Waddington Cemetery
- s) SDC-188 Weedons Cemetery

***New recreation designations***

11.254 This assessment applies to the following proposed new designations:

- a) SDC-79 Broadfield Reserve

- b) SDC-82 Castle Hill Domain
- c) SDC-86 Coalgate Reserve
- d) SDC-87 Courtenay Old School Reserve
- e) SDC-88 Darfield Domain
- f) SDC-99 Glentunnel Domain
- g) SDC-101 Greenpark Memorial Park
- h) SDC-109 Kowai Pass Recreation Reserve
- i) SDC-154 Prebbleton Domain
- j) SDC-155 Rhodes Park
- k) SDC-174 Sheffield Domain
- l) SDC-187 Waihora Domain (Motukarara)
- m) SDC-189 Weedons Domain
- n) SDC-196 West Melton Domain
- o) SDC-198 Courtenay Recreation Reserve

11.255 These are proposed new designations. The Notice of requirement for each site is available at [Notices of Requirement - Proposed District Plan](#) . Each site is currently developed and is used for the purpose outlined in the NOR.

***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

11.256 For the reasons set out in each NOR, I consider that any adverse effects associated with the NOR would be acceptable given the proposed conditions and the ability of the Council to request changes relating to any matter under section 176A(3)(f) of the Act.

***Policy Statements and Plans***

11.257 There are no national policy statements relevant to these proposals. Similarly, given the location of these sites, the NZ Coastal Policy Statement has no application.

11.258 I agree with the conclusions of each NOR in relation to the CRPS, LWRP, and the SDP.

11.259 The PDP includes objectives and policies relevant to recreation activities as follows:

**Strategic Directions**

SD-DI-O1 Selwyn is an attractive and pleasant place to live, work, and visit, where development:

1. takes into account the character of individual communities;
2. is well-connected, safe, accessible, and resilient; and
3. enhances environmental, economic, cultural and social outcomes for the benefit of the entire District.

SD-DI-O2 Selwyn's prosperous economy is supported through the efficient use of land, resources, and infrastructure, while ensuring existing activities are protected from incompatible activities.

***Historic heritage***

HH-O1 To protect historic heritage items from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.

HH-P2 Provide for maintenance and repair, alterations, and additions to scheduled heritage items to facilitate their continued use, provided that works:

1. avoid significant adverse effects on historic heritage values;
2. are undertaken in accordance with best practice conservation principles and methods.

### *Light*

LIGHT-O1 Artificial outdoor lighting enables work, recreation, and entertainment activities to occur beyond daylight hours, while:

1. maintaining the health, safety, and amenity values of people; and
2. protecting the District's natural darkness and natural features.

LIGHT-P3 Minimise potential upward light that causes sky glow by controlling new artificial outdoor lighting to:

1. maintain people's ability to view the night sky; and
2. maintain the distinct character and amenity values of the district's night sky; and
3. protect the health and well-being of people and ecosystems; and
4. ensure the safe and efficient operation of roads and public pedestrian access, and public sports courts, and grounds while minimising sky glow.

### *Noise*

NOISE-O1 The health and wellbeing of people and communities and their amenity values are protected from significant levels of noise.

NOISE-P1 Manage noise effects by setting:

1. Maximum noise limits to reflect the character and amenity of each zone;
2. Limits on the location, frequency, and duration of specific activities that generate noise;
3. A vibration standard.

NOISE-P8 Manage the frequency and duration of noise from temporary activities, including sound amplified activities.

### *Residential Zones*

RESZ-O7 Residents have access to a range of community, recreation, education, health, and corrections activities and facilities that support, maintain, and enhance the surrounding residential amenity.

RESZ-P15 Provide for non-residential activities and community facilities that:

1. are of a nature and scale that meet the needs of the local community;
2. are consistent with the amenity values and character of the locality;
3. encourage co-location and shared use of community facilities where practicable; and
4. do not undermine the viability of commercial centres.

### *General Rural Zone*

GRUZ-O1 Subdivision, use, and development in rural areas that:

1. supports, maintains, or enhances the function and form, character, and amenity value of rural areas;
2. prioritises primary production, over other activities to recognise its importance to the economy and wellbeing of the district;
3. allows primary production to operate without being compromised by reverse sensitivity; and
4. retains a contrast in character to urban areas.

GRUZ-P1 Maintain or enhance rural character and amenity values of rural areas by:

1. retaining a low overall building density, and predominance of vegetation cover;
2. enabling primary production while managing adverse effects of intensive primary production, and mineral extractive industries;
3. managing the density and location of residential development; and
4. retaining a clear delineation and contrast between the district's rural areas and urban areas, including Christchurch City.



11.260 Recreation facilities are required and provided throughout the district, reflecting the geographic extent of the district and the needs of individual communities. Some sites include listed historic heritage items, and in these instances conditions are proposed to manage the effects of activities on these items. I consider that the designation of these existing recreation facilities is not inconsistent with the objectives and policies of the PDP.

***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

11.261 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

11.261.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and

11.261.2 It is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

11.262 Council has elected to use designations rather than an Open space and recreation zone in order to manage its larger reserves. It is considered that the designations, as a planning tool, are reasonably necessary to assist the Council in achieving their purpose to provide recreation reserves by:

1.15.1 Providing flexibility to develop long term projects with the capacity to modify activities and facilities to suit changing community needs;

1.15.2 Allowing the land to be identified in the District Plan, clearly indicating the intended use of the land; and

1.15.3 Providing ongoing protection for the designated purpose and restraining other land uses or development that could hinder the works.

***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

11.263 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NORs.

***Recommendation***

11.264 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designations are confirmed, subject to the recommendations contained in the [Section 171 Report on submissions and further submissions - designations](#):

- a) SDC-79 Broadfield Reserve
- b) SDC-82 Castle Hill Domain
- c) SDC-86 Coalgate Reserve
- d) SDC-87 Courtenay Old School Reserve
- e) SDC-88 Darfield Domain
- f) SDC-99 Glentunnel Domain
- g) SDC-101 Greenpark Memorial Park
- h) SDC-109 Kowai Pass Recreation Reserve
- i) SDC-154 Prebbleton Domain
- j) SDC-155 Rhodes Park

- k) SDC-174 Sheffield Domain
- l) SDC-187 Waihora Domain (Motukarara)
- m) SDC-189 Weedons Domain
- n) SDC-196 West Melton Domain
- o) SDC-198 Courtenay Recreation Reserve

## 12. SPK – Spark New Zealand Ltd

### SPK-1 Darfield Exchange

- 12.1 A minor modification is proposed to clarify that SPK-1 is the secondary designation for the site, with CNZ-1 being the primary designation.

#### ***Effects on the environment (s171(1)(a) RMA)***

- 12.2 This is an existing site, and the modification is such that no new effects on the environment are anticipated.

#### ***Alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work (s171(1)(b) RMA)***

- 12.3 An assessment of alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work is not required, because:

- 12.3.1 The requiring authority has sufficient interest in the land to undertake the work, and
- 12.3.2 it is not likely that the work would have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

#### ***Reasonable necessity (s171(1)(c) RMA)***

- 12.4 As a roll-over of existing designations on existing sites, subject only to a minor modification, I consider that the designation is reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought.

#### ***Any other matters (s171(1)(d) RMA)***

- 12.5 No other matters are considered reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the NOR.

#### ***Recommendation***

- 12.6 Based on my assessment above, I recommend that the Hearing Panel recommend to the requiring authority that the following designation be confirmed:
- a) SPK-1 Darfield Exchange

## 13. Conclusion

- 13.1 For the reasons set out in the NORs and evaluations and included throughout this report, I consider that the provisions will be efficient and effective in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of this plan, other relevant statutory documents, and that the works and designations are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which each designation is sought.