

Proposed Selwyn District Plan



Section 42A Report

Report on submissions and further submissions

Urban Growth

Ben Baird

14th July 2021

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0032	CCC	002	UG-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is provided for in a strategic manner that:... 7. ... ; <u>and</u> 8. <u>Is of a form and density that supports the viable provision of public transport services and provides for well-integrated public transport infrastructure.</u> 89 ...	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS012	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS025	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS003	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS002	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS029	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS206	UG-O1	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS002	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS003	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS013	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS810	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS017	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS003	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0587	Lloyd Bathurst	FS002	UG-O1	Oppose	Submission points be disallowed in full as does not support higher density living in Rolleston or the requirement to provide for public transport in all new developments.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0032	CCC	003	UG-P12	Support In Part	Amend as follows:	Accept	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Ensure the zoning of land ... provisions of infrastructure <u>and public transport</u> , and protect... 1. Aligning the zoning ... new infrastructure <u>and public transport</u> 39services, including through the staging of development;...		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS013	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS026	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS004	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS003	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS030	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	25
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS207	UG-P12	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Accept	25
DPR-0378	MoE	FS026	UG-P12	Support	Allow	Accept	25
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS003	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS004	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS014	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS809	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	25
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS018	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS004	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0587	Lloyd Bathurst	FS003	UG-P12	Oppose	Submission points be disallowed in full as does not support higher density living in Rolleston or the requirement to provide for public transport in all new developments.	Reject	25
DPR-0032	CCC	004	UG-P13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:... 4. The minimum net densities of 12 15hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met;...	Accept	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS014	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS027	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport) and any other matters not consistent with or with implications for the our submission (157)	Reject	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS005	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS004	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission point	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS031	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	26
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS222	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Reject	26
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS222	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Reject	26
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS222	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Reject	26
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS208	UG-P13	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Accept	26
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS222	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Reject	26
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS004	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS005	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS015	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS808	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS019	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	26
DPR-0580	Kersey Park Limited	FS005	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0587	Lloyd Bathurst	FS004	UG-P13	Oppose	Submission points be disallowed in full as does not support higher density living in Rolleston or the requirement to provide for public transport in all new developments.	Reject	26
DPR-0032	CCC	052	UG-O1	Support In Part	Amend plan to incorporate relevant recommendations from the Social and Affordable Housing Action Plan of the Greater Christchurch Partnership.	Reject	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS015	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS040	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport) and any other matters not consistent with or with implications for the our submission (157)	Accept	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS053	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS017	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS032	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS171	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS171	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS171	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS171	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS003	UG-O1	Support In Part	Not specified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS019	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS016	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS817	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission points with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS033	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0032	CCC	053	UG-O3	Support In Part	Amend plan to incorporate relevant recommendations from the Social and Affordable Housing Action Plan of the Greater Christchurch Partnership.	Reject	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS016	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS041	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport) and any other matters not consistent with or	Accept	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>with implications for the our submission (157)</i>		
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS054	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS018	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS033	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS174	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS174	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS174	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS174	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	13
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS004	UG-O3	Support In Part	Not specified	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS020	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS017	UG-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS818	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission points with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS034	UG-O3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0032	CCC	054	UG-P17	Support In Part	Amend plan to incorporate relevant recommendations from the Social and Affordable Housing Action Plan of the Greater Christchurch Partnership.	Reject	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS017	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS042	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport) and any other matters not consistent with or with implications for the our submission (157)	Accept	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS055	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS019	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS034	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	30
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS233	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS233	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS233	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS233	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS005	UG-P17	Support In Part	Not specified	Accepted in part	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS021	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS018	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS819	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission points with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS035	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission with respect to SD (Strategic Directions), UG (Urban Growth) and TRAN (Transport)	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0033	Davina Louise Penny	002	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend Proposed District Plan to include Highly Productive Land (Land Use Classes 1 - 3) and to ensure it is protected in line with the Proposed National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land. Include 'land use' as well as 'development' to avoid loopholes being exploited.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS005	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS082	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS069	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	FS025	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject the submission.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS913	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS002	UG-P9	Oppose	Disallow the submission.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0456	Four Stars & Gould	FS002	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS006	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	003	UG-O1	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	004	UG-P1	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	005	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Retain Urban Growth Overlay on property, 2A Tawera Lane, SEC 2 SO 491913 Springfield.	Accept	32
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	014	UG-O2	Support	Not specified.	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	015	UG-O3	Support	Not specified.	Accept	13
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	016	UG-P2	Support	Not specified.	Accept	15
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	017	UG-P3	Support	Not specified.	Accept	16
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	018	UG-P4	Support	Not specified.	Accept	17
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	019	UG-P5	Support	Not specified.	Accept	18
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	020	UG-P6	Support	Not specified.	Accept	19
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	021	UG-P7	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	022	UG-P8	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	023	UG-P9	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	024	UG-P10	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	025	UG-P11	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	026	UG-P12	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	027	UG-P13	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	028	UG-P14	Support	Not specified.	Accept	27
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	029	UG-P15	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	030	UG-P16	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0125	BE Faulkner	031	UG-P17	Support	Not specified.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	<p>Amend UG-Overview as follows:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place...This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development capacity...</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity...intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020.</u></p> <p>The Urban Growth Overlay...Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 RMA.</p>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS017	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; "... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...". In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS164	UG-Overview	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	004	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0032	CCC	FS018	UG-P3	Support In Part	The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS165	UG-P3	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	005	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS209	UG-P4	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	17
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS166	UG-P4	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	006	UG-P7	Oppose	Delete UG-P7.3 as notified.	Reject	20
DPR-0032	CCC	FS019	UG-P7	Support In Part	The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	007	UG-P9	Oppose	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0032	CCC	FS020	UG-P9	Support In Part	Amend the policy to the following; Only use versatile soils to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas when it is the most appropriate location for urban growth.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS167	UG-P9	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	008	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: 3. Preserving Have particular regard to the rural outlook...	Reject	23
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS168	UG-P10	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	009	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on:....	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS206	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS206	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS206	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS210	UG-P11	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS206	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS169	UG-P11	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	24
DPR-0446	Transpower	FS008	UG-P11	Oppose	Disallow the submission.	Accept	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	010	UG-P13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p> <p>1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028;</p> <p>2 A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch.</p> <p>3. 1</p> <p>4. 2The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 5 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met;</p> <p>5 3...and/or</p> <p><u>4. The new residential growth is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020; and</u></p> <p>6 2</p>	Reject	26
DPR-0032	CCC	FS038	UG-P13	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	26
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS211	UG-P13	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	26
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS170	UG-P13	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	011	UG-P15	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>...or</p> <p><u>5. The new business growth area meets 1-3 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020.</u></p>	Reject	28
DPR-0032	CCC	FS039	UG-P15	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0422	NCCF	FS171	UG-P15	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	28
DPR-0137	Pinedale & Kintyre	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend as follows: The Selwyn District is a desirable place...This chapter also assists in ensuring there is <u>enough ample</u> urban development capacity... Ongoing urban development capacity...intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020.</u>	Reject	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS021	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; "... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...". In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0137	Pinedale & Kintyre	004	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0032	CCC	FS022	UG-P3	Support In Part	The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS212	UG-P3	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	16
DPR-0137	Pinedale & Kintyre	005	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS213	UG-P4	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	17
DPR-0137	Pinedale & Kintyre	006	UG-P7	Oppose	Delete UG-P7.3 as notified.	Reject	20
DPR-0032	CCC	FS023	UG-P7	Support In Part	The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0137	Pinedale & Kintyre	007	UG-P15	Oppose	Amend UPG-P15 as follows: ...or <u>5. The new business growth area meets 1-3 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020.</u>	Reject	28
DPR-0032	CCC	FS040	UG-P15	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	28
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS214	UG-P15	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	28
DPR-0140	Jenkins	002	UG-P14	Oppose	Amend UG-P14 as follows:	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan.</p> <p>Amend the Proposed Plan to the extent appropriate to ensure the Plan is consistent and gives effect to the NPS-UD 2020.</p>		
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	016	Versatile Soils	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	038	UG-P6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS270	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS269	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	039	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS271	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS270	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	040	UG-P11	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid reverse sensitivity effects on any adjoining existing or future rural production activities in the rural zone.</p>	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS272	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS217	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS217	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS217	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS217	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS271	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	041	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend UG-SCHED1.3.d as follows:</p> <p>d. Any methods or boundary treatments required to mitigate reverse sensitivity effects and promote compatible land use activities, including protecting important infrastructure, or a designated site; <u>and encourage</u></p>	Reject	33

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>the use of generous setbacks, public roads and reserves as buffers between urban and rural land uses.</u>		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS273	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS079	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	33
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS072	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	33
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS909	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	33
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS199	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	33
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS199	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	33
32DPR-0374	RIHL	FS199	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	33
DPR-0378	MoE	FS027	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	33
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS199	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS272	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	003	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0032	CCC	FS024	UG-P3	Support In Part	The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0548	Debbie & Andrew Maples	FS002	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject.	Accept	16
DPR-0582	Andrew and Debbie Maples	FS002	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	16
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS023	UG-P3	Support	The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted	Reject	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	004	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview as follows: The Selwyn District is a desirable place...This chapter also assists in ensuring there is <u>enough plentiful</u> urban development capacity... Ongoing urban development capacity...intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement– Urban Development 2020.</u>	Reject	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS041	UG-Overview	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	10
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS024	UG-Overview	Support	The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	005	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS025	UG-P4	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Reject	17
DPR-0157	The Williams	006	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Delete UG-P7.3 as notified.	Reject	20
DPR-0032	CCC	FS025	UG-P7	Support In Part	<i>The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.</i>	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS215	UG-P7	Oppose	<i>Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.</i>	Accept	20
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS026	UG-P7	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Reject	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	007	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide Have particular regard for the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0032	CCC	FS034	UG-P9	Support In Part	<i>Amend the policy to the following; Only use versatile soils to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas when it is the most appropriate location for urban growth.</i>	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS027	UG-P9	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	008	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 3. The type, scale and function of new commercial areas are consistent with the Activity Centre Network and support mixed use activities, unless located in a Large Format Retail Zone; or 4. <u>The new business growth area meets 1-2 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement - Urban Development 2020, including by supplying significant development capacity, supporting competitive land and development markets and contributing to well-functioning urban environments.</u>	Reject	28
DPR-0032	CCC	FS042	UG-P15	Oppose	<i>Retain the existing wording of the policy.</i>	Accept	28
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS028	UG-P15	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Reject	28
DPR-0160	West Melton Three Ltd	002	UG-P15	Oppose	Amend UG-P15 to read:.... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either: a.	Reject	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>b. consolidated within a Key Activity Centre or within an existing General Industrial Zone, Port Zone or Commercial and Mixed Use Zone; or</p> <p><u>3. The new business growth area meets 1-2 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020;</u></p> <p>4. A diverse range of services and opportunities is provided for to respond to the social and economic needs identified in a BDCA, FDS or any relevant Development Plan;....</p>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS043	UG-P15	Oppose	<i>Retain the existing wording of the policy.</i>	Accept	28
DPR-0162	Kerry Millar - Millar's Machinery Limited	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay.	Accept	35
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	<p>Amend the UG-Overview to read:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development...</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity...intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u></p> <p>The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.</p>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS004	UG-Overview	Support In Part	<i>Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.</i>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	004	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS005	UG-P3	Support In Part	<i>Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.</i>	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	005	UG-P4	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the</p>	Reject	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.		
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS006	UG-P4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS216	UG-P4	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	17
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	006	UG-P7	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes:... 3. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where this will give rise to significant adverse effects on the strategic transport network which cannot be mitigated and there are more appropriate alternative locations available	Reject	20
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS007	UG-P7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS217	UG-P7	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	20
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	007	UG-P9	Oppose	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS008	UG-P9	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	008	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas:... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS009	UG-P10	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	009	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on: 1. any adjoining rural, industrial, inland port, or knowledge zone; and 2. on the safe, efficient and cost-effective operation of important infrastructure, land transport infrastructure, and the strategic transport network.	Reject	24
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS010	UG-P11	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS207	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS207	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS207	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS218	UG-P11	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS207	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0176	Macauley & Reid	010	UG-P13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028; 2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch; 3. 1. The land is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either: a. a 'greenfield priority area', or any subsequent urban growth areas or urban containment boundaries, in the CRPS where it is a residential activity; or	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>b. identified in an adopted Rural Residential Strategy and in accordance with CRPS Policy 6.3.9 where it is a rural residential activity; <u>and/or</u></p> <p><u>2. The new residential growth is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020; and</u></p> <p>3. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met;</p> <p>4. <u>If zoned General Residential</u>, there is a diversity in housing types, sizes and densities;</p> <p>7.5. An ODP is prepared that addresses the matters listed in UG-ODP Criteria and incorporated into this Plan before any subdivision proceeds.</p>		
DPR-0246	Craig Robertson	FS011	UG-P13	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to the rezoning proposal providing for appropriate integration and connectivity with residential development of my land.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS219	UG-P13	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	26
DPR-0178	Manson	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	<p>Amend the UG-Overview to read:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development...</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u></p> <p>The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.</p>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS026	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; “... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...”. In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0178	Manson	004	UG-P4	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.</p>	Reject	17
DPR-0178	Manson	005	UG-P9	Oppose	Amend as follows:	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS035	UG-P9	Support In Part	Amend the policy to the following; Only use versatile soils to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas when it is the most appropriate location for urban growth.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0178	Manson	006	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas:... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0178	Manson	007	UG-P14	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan; 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; 3. Except for the LLRZ, the minimum net densities support a range of housing types that respond to demographic change, social needs and outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and 4. An ODP is prepared that addresses the matters listed in UG-ODP Criteria and incorporated into this Plan before any subdivision proceeds.	Reject	27
DPR-0180	The Bonds	002	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is <u>enough ample</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u>	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.		
DPR-0522	Stephen Joy	FS002	UG-Overview	Oppose	Deny the request. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0180	The Bonds	004	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete UG-P3 in its entirety	Reject	16
DPR-0032	CCC	FS028	UG-P3	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; "... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...". In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0180	The Bonds	005	UG-P7	Oppose	Amend UG-P7 to read: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes: Township boundaries maintain a consolidated and compact urban form; 1. 3. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available.	Reject	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS220	UG-P7	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	20
DPR-0180	The Bonds	006	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend UG-P11 to read: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>or mitigate</u> reverse sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS218	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS218	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS218	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS221	UG-P11	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS218	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0180	The Bonds	007	UG-P14	Oppose	Amend UG-P14 to read:	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan; 2.		
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS222	UG-P14	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	27
DPR-0192	Merf Ag & Reed	004	UG-P14	Oppose	Amend UG-P14 to read: Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan;	Reject	27
DPR-0203	M Springer	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Include Lot 1 DP 60589 in the area subject to the Urban Growth Strategy.	Reject	36
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	038	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay at the north west quadrant of the intersection of Ellesmere Junction Road and Springs Road.	Accept	35
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	039	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	040	UG-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	041	UG-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	13
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	042	UG-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0342	AgResearch	FS007	UG-P1	Support	Allow in full	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	043	UG-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0342	AgResearch	FS008	UG-P2	Support	Allow in full	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	044	UG-P3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	16
DPR-0342	AgResearch	FS009	UG-P3	Support	Allow in full	Accept	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	045	UG-P4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	17
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS010</i>	<i>UG-P4</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>17</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	046	UG-P5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	18
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS011</i>	<i>UG-P5</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>18</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	047	UG-P6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	19
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS012</i>	<i>UG-P6</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>19</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	048	UG-P7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	20
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS013</i>	<i>UG-P7</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>20</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	049	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	21
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS014</i>	<i>UG-P8</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>21</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	050	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	22
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS015</i>	<i>UG-P9</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>22</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	051	UG-P10	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	23
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS016</i>	<i>UG-P10</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>23</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	052	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	24
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS017</i>	<i>UG-P11</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>24</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	053	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	25
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS018</i>	<i>UG-P12</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>25</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	054	UG-P13	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	26
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS019</i>	<i>UG-P13</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>26</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	055	UG-P14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	27
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS020</i>	<i>UG-P14</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>27</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	056	UG-P15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	28
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS021</i>	<i>UG-P15</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>28</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	057	UG-P16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	29
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS022</i>	<i>UG-P16</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>29</i>
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	059	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	30
<i>DPR-0342</i>	<i>AgResearch</i>	<i>FS024</i>	<i>UG-P17</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>Allow in full</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>30</i>
DPR-0206	Urban Holdings Limited, Suburban Estates Limited & Cairnbrae Developments Limited	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay so as to include all land contained in private Plan Change 68, bounded by Springs Road, Hamptons Road, Shands Road and Trents Road, on the western side of Prebbleton. Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments.	Reject	36
<i>DPR-0044</i>	<i>Xiaojiang Chen</i>	<i>FS001</i>	<i>Urban Growth Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose In Part</i>	<i>Apply the same rules to all land within the area bounded by the four roads, including zoning and lot size.</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>DPR-0392</i>	<i>CSI Property Limited</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>Urban Growth Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>DPR-0510</i>	<i>Greg Tod</i>	<i>FS002</i>	<i>Urban Growth Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>To reject the proposed Plan Change 68.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>DPR-0599</i>	<i>David Anthony and Julie-Ann Somerfield</i>	<i>FS001</i>	<i>Urban Growth Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Disallow the submission in full</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>36</i>
DPR-0207	SDC	105	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to remove Lot 1 DP 494969, Lot 1 DP 16759, and Lot 1 DP 35608 from it.	Accept	36
DPR-0207	SDC	108	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include Lot 1 DP 363111.	Reject	36
<i>DPR-0212</i>	<i>ESAI</i>	<i>FS048</i>	<i>Urban Growth Overlay</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Disallow in full</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>36</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	004	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>plenty of</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS030	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; “... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...”. In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	005	UG-O3	Oppose	Amend UG-O3 to read: <u>As a minimum, there</u> There is sufficient feasible housing and sufficient business development capacity within <u>each township within Selwyn</u> Greater Christchurch to ensure: 1.	Reject	13
DPR-0032	CCC	FS046	UG-O3	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the objective.	Accept	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	006	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete UG-P3 as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0032	CCC	FS029	UG-P3	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; “... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...”. In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	007	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend UG-P4 to read: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	008	UG-P9	Oppose	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for <u>Have particular regard</u> to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0032	CCC	FS036	UG-P9	Support In Part	Amend the policy to the following; Only use versatile soils to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas when it is the most appropriate location for urban growth.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	009	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: ... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	010	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend UG-P11 to read: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>or mitigate</u> reverse sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS208	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS208	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS208	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS223	UG-P11	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS208	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	011	UG-P13	Oppose	Amend UG-P13 to read: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium-term period through to 2028. 2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch; 3.	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>5. <u>The growth area corrects a zoning anomaly; and</u></p> <p>6. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1-25hh/ha for rural residential activities are met, except where the land although identified in a Rural Residential Strategy, is an appropriate location for General Residential growth, in which case minimum densities of 12 hh/ha shall apply; and</p> <p>a. <u>For General Residential zoned areas, A there is a diversity in housing types, sizes and densities. demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and</u></p> <p>b.</p>		
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS224	UG-P13	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	26
DPR-0245	Brendan Herries	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the urban growth overlay to define future areas for expansion.	Reject	36
DPR-0245	Brendan Herries	FS001	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow the expansion of the lincoln township south. Support overlay	Reject	36
DPR-0260	CRC	130	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Consider the use of a more restrictive or prohibitive activity status for subdivision that is not in a 'greenfield priority area' or identified in an adopted Rural Residential Strategy in accordance with CRPS Policy 6.3.9 where it is a rural residential activity. Refer to original submission for full decision requested.	Reject	34
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS043	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	34
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS925	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS082	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	34
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS035	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept	34
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS118	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject	Accept	34
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS118	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject	Accept	34
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS118	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject	Accept	34
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS017	SUB-R27	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	34
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS118	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject	Accept	34

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	FS017	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	34
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS022	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	34
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS017	SUB-R27	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	34
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS744	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept	34
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS051	SUB-R27	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	34
DPR-0260	CRC	147	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS018	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS926	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS086	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS036	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS035	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS902	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS019	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS785	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS052	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0260	CRC	148	UG-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS019	UG-O2	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	12
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS927	UG-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS087	UG-O2	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	12
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS037	UG-O2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS036	UG-02	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	12
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS903	UG-02	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	12
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS020	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS786	UG-02	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	12
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS053	UG-02	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	12
DPR-0260	CRC	149	UG-03	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS020	UG-03	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS928	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS088	UG-03	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS038	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS037	UG-03	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS904	UG-03	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS021	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS787	UG-03	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS054	UG-03	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	13
DPR-0260	CRC	150	UG-P3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS021	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS929	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS089	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS039	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS038	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS905	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS022	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	16
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS788	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS055	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	16
DPR-0260	CRC	151	UG-P4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS022	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	17
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS930	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS090	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS040	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS039	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS850	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS023	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Reject	17
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS789	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS056	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	17
DPR-0260	CRC	152	UG-P5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	18
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS023	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	18
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS931	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS091	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS041	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS040	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	18
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS423	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	18
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS024	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS790	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	18
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS057	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	18
DPR-0260	CRC	153	UG-P6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS024	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	19
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS932	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS092	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS042	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS041	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	19
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS422	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS025	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS791	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	19
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS058	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	19
DPR-0260	CRC	154	UG-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available. <u>it would adversely affect the safe efficient and effective functioning of the network, including the ability to support freight and passenger transport services, or would foreclose the opportunity for the development of the network to meet future strategic transport requirements.</u>	Accept in Part	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS104	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS933	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1058	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS043	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS042	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS195	UG-P7	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS195	UG-P7	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS195	UG-P7	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS195	UG-P7	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS421	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS026	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS800	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS069	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0260	CRC	155	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS025	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS934	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1059	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS044	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS043	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS420	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS027	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS792	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS060	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0260	CRC	156	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS026	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS935	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1060	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS045	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS044	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS419	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS028	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS793	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS061	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0260	CRC	157	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS027	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS936	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1061	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS046	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS045	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS418	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS029	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS794	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS062	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0260	CRC	158	UG-P12	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Avoiding adverse effects on the ground and surface water resource by requiring connections to reticulated water, wastewater, and stormwater networks where they are available, or <u>where they are not available</u> by demonstrating that the necessary discharge approvals can be obtained for all on-site wastewater and stormwater treatment and disposal facilities;	Accept	25
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS028	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS937	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1062	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS047	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS046	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS417	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS030	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS795	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	25
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS063	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	25
DPR-0260	CRC	159	UG-P13	Support In Part	That consideration is given to a requirement for a higher minimum net density for residential households per hectare than those contained in UG-P13.4.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS029	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS938	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS083	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS048	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission point	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS047	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS223	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS223	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS223	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS223	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Delete the policy in its entirety.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS023	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS031	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS440	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS070	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject Submisison	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0260	CRC	160	UG-P14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS030	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS939	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1063	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Reject	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS049	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS048	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS416	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS032	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS797	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS065	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Reject	27
DPR-0260	CRC	161	UG-P15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS031	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS940	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1064	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS050	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS049	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS415	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS033	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS215	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS066	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0260	CRC	162	UG-P16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS032	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS941	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1065	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS051	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS050	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS414	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS034	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS798	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS067	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0260	CRC	163	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS033	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS942	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part the amendments sought.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1066	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject the submission in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS052	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS051	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS413	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS035	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS799	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS068	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission in part being the amendments sought and the notified provisions sought to be retained	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0282	David Fletcher	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend mapping to include an area of land west of Verdeco Park, north of Collins Road, east of Days Road and south of the railway corridor within the urban growth overlay. (refer to submission for map of this land). This site is free of the constraints that impact expansion around Lincoln and Springston. As an alternative, consider rezoning, having regard to the National Policy Statement for Urban Development 2020.	Reject	36
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS225	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	36
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	007	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough sufficient feasible urban development...	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>in accordance with the responsive approach directed by the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS031	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; “... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...”. In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	008	UG-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-O3 as follows: <u>As a minimum, there</u> There is sufficient feasible housing and sufficient business development capacity within <u>each township</u> Greater Christchurch to ensure:....	Reject	13
DPR-0032	CCC	FS047	UG-O3	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the objective.	Accept	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	009	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Delete UG-P3 as notified	Reject	16
DPR-0032	CCC	FS334	UG-P3	Support In Part	Amend the UGOverview as follows; “... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...”. In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	010	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-P4 to read: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	011	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for <u>Have particular regard</u> to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	012	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas:... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS201	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS201	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS201	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS201	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	013	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-P11 to read: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>or mitigate</u> reverse sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS219	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS219	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS219	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS219	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	014	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-P13 as follows: Any new residential growth area within the <u>Selwyn</u> Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028; 2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch; 3. The land is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either: a. is a 'greenfield priority area', or any subsequent urban growth areas or urban containment boundaries, in the CRPS where it is a residential activity; or b. is identified in an adopted Rural Residential Strategy and in accordance with CRPS Policy 6.3.9 where it is a rural residential activity; or <u>c. is in accordance with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020, including areas that have been identified for rural residential activity in an adopted Rural Residential Strategy but that are better suited to residential activity.</u> <u>4. Subject to 3c above, the minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met, or</u>	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>5. The new residential growth meets 1-4 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020</p> <p>6. A diversity in housing types, sizes and densities is demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and</p> <p>7. An ODP is prepared that addresses the matters listed in UG-ODP Criteria and incorporated into this Plan before any subdivision proceeds.</p>		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS033	UG-P13	Support In Part	Amend the UG Overview as follows; “... there is at least sufficient urban development capacity ...”. In all other respects the proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	003	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend the UG-Overview to read:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough plentiful feasible urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.</p>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS226	UG-Overview	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS003	UG-Overview	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	10
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	004	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS004	UG-P3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	005	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-P4 to read: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS005	UG-P4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	006	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes: Township boundaries maintain a consolidated and compact urban form; 1. 3. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available.	Reject	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS227	UG-P7	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS006	UG-P7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	007	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available, <u>unless significant adverse effects can be avoided or other adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated on and from the strategic transport network.</u>	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS007	UG-P10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	008	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows:	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1.		
DPR-0215	Winstone Aggregates	FS002	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject the submission.	Accept	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS228	UG-P11	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	24
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS008	UG-P11	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	009	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. 4. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 5hh/ha for rural residential activities are met,; <u>or</u> 5. <u>The new residential growth meets 1-4 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020, including by supplying significant development capacity, supporting competitive land and development markets and contributing to well-functioning urban environments.</u> 6. A diversity in housing types, sizes and densities is demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan provided; and....	Reject	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS009	UG-P13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept	26
DPR-0342	AgResearch	005	UG-P11	Support	Retain UG-P11 as notified.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0343	CDHB	035	UG-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS105	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS044	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS093	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS359	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS122	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS870	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS105	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS459	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS383	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0343	CDHB	036	UG-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	15
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS106	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	15
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS045	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Reject	15
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS094	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Reject	15
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS360	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS123	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	15
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS871	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS106	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS460	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS384	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject	15
DPR-0343	CDHB	037	UG-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS107	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS046	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Reject	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS095	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Reject	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS361	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS124	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS872	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS107	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS461	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS385	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject	16
DPR-0343	CDHB	038	UG-P4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS108	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	17
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS047	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Reject	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS096	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Reject	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS362	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS125	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS873	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS108	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS462	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS386	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject	17
DPR-0343	CDHB	039	UG-P5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	18
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS109	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	18
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS048	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Reject	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS097	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Reject	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS363	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS126	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	18
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS874	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS109	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS463	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	18
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS387	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject	18
DPR-0343	CDHB	040	UG-P6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS110	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	19
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS049	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Reject	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS098	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Reject	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS364	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	19

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS127	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	19
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS875	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS110	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS464	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	19
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS388	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject	19
DPR-0343	CDHB	041	UG-P7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS111	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS050	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS099	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS365	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS128	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS876	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS111	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS465	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS389	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0343	CDHB	042	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS112	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS051	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS100	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS366	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS129	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS877	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS112	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS466	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS390	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0343	CDHB	043	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS113	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS052	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS101	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS367	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS130	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS878	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS113	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS467	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS391	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0343	CDHB	044	UG-P10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS114	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS053	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS102	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS368	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS131	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS879	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS114	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS468	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS392	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0343	CDHB	045	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS115	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS054	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS103	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS369	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS132	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS880	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS115	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS469	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS393	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0343	CDHB	046	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS116	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS055	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS104	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS370	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS133	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS881	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS116	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS470	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS394	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0343	CDHB	047	UG-P13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS117	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS056	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS105	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS371	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS134	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS882	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS117	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS471	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS395	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0343	CDHB	048	UG-P14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS118	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS057	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Reject	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS106	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Reject	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS372	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS135	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS883	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS118	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS472	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS396	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission	Reject	27
DPR-0343	CDHB	049	UG-P15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS119	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS058	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS107	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS373	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS136	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS884	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS119	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS473	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS397	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0343	CDHB	050	UG-P16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS120	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS059	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS108	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS374	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS137	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS885	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS120	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS474	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS398	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0343	CDHB	051	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS121	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS060	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	30

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS109	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS375	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS138	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS886	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS121	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS475	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS399	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0343	CDHB	052	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS122	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS444	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS129	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject those parts of the submission inconsistent with the by my submission (209)	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS100	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points identified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS139	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS887	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject those submission points inconsistent with the National Policy Statement - Urban Development.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS294	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS821	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS020	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould	008	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the UG-Overview to read:	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
	Developments Ltd				The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>sufficient feasible</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>in accordance with the responsive approach directed by the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020</u> .		
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	009	UG-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: <u>As a minimum, there</u> There is sufficient feasible housing and sufficient business development capacity within <u>each township</u> Greater Christchurch to ensure:	Reject	13
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	010	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	16
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	011	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
<i>DPR-0032</i>	<i>CCC</i>	<i>FS049</i>	<i>UG-P4</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Retain the existing wording of the policy.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>DPR-0375</i>	<i>Waka Kotahi</i>	<i>FS229</i>	<i>UG-P4</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>17</i>
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	012	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for <u>Have particular regard</u> to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	013	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: ... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	014	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-P11 to read: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>or mitigate</u> reverse sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS220	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS220	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS220	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS220	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0344	Four Stars Development Ltd & Gould Developments Ltd	015	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area within the <u>Selwyn</u> Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028; 2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch; 3. The land is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either: a. <u>is</u> a 'greenfield priority area', or any subsequent urban growth areas or urban containment boundaries, in the CRPS where it is a residential activity; b. <u>is</u> identified in an adopted Rural Residential Strategy and in accordance with CRPS Policy 6.3.9 where it is a rural residential activity; or <u>c. is in accordance with the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020</u> 4. <u>Subject to 3c above, the</u> minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met,or	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>5. <u>The new residential growth meets 1-4 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020</u></p> <p>6. A diversity in housing types, sizes and densities is demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and</p> <p>7....</p>		
DPR-0353	HortNZ	079	Versatile Soils	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land classified as Land Use Capability I or II <u>or III</u> in the New Zealand Land Resource Inventory, <u>or as assessed by more detailed site mapping.</u>	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS022	Versatile Soils	Oppose	Disallow the submission.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0353	HortNZ	222	UG-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS256	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS897	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS334	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS894	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS244	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0353	HortNZ	223	UG-01	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is provided for in a strategic manner that:.... <u>9. Does not compromise the use of highly productive land or versatile soils for rural production.</u>	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS257	UG-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS075	UG-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS110	UG-01	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS895	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS172	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS172	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS172	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS068	UG-O1	Oppose	Allow in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS172	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS049	UG-O1	Support	Allow the submission point	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS068	UG-O1	Oppose	Allow in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS245	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0353	HortNZ	224	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Avoid the following locations and areas when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas:.... <u>5. Highly productive land and versatile soils.</u>	Reject	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS258	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS076	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS111	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS896	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS197	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS197	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS197	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS069	UG-P8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	21
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS197	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS050	UG-P8	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	21
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS069	UG-P8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS246	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept	21
DPR-0353	HortNZ	225	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for the finite nature of the versatile soil resource <u>Protect highly productive land and versatile soil, to the extent</u>	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>reasonably possible</u> , when zoning land to extent township boundaries to establish new urban areas.		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS037	UG-P9	Support In Part	Amend the policy to the following; "Only use versatile soils to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas when it is the most appropriate location for urban growth."	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS259	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS077	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS112	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS897	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS198	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS198	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS198	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS198	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS051	UG-P9	Support	Allow the submission point	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS247	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0353	HortNZ	226	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas:.... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban <u>environments to ensure that reverse sensitivity effects do not arise from proximity to rural production activities.</u>	Reject	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS260	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	23
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS031	UG-P10	Support	Allow in full	Reject	23
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS898	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS335	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS898	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS202	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Reject	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS202	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Reject	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS202	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Reject	23
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS202	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Reject	23
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS052	UG-P10	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS248	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept	23
DPR-0353	HortNZ	227	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS261	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS899	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS336	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS899	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS249	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0353	HortNZ	228	UG-P17	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Encourage the intensification of urban activities or redevelopment of existing land within urban zones to assist in supporting the district's urban growth needs, including through the implementation of an adopted Urban Intensification Plan or any relevant Development Plan, to: 1. Minimise the loss of the rural land resource, <u>particularly highly productive land and versatile soils</u> ;....	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS262	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS900	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS337	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS900	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS053	UG-P17	Support	Allow the submission point	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS250	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0353	HortNZ	229	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows:	Accept	32

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					1.The extent to which the subdivision will limit, restrict or compromise the ability to zone, subdivide and develop the land as a new urban area in the future, including its impacts on:.... e. Managing potential adverse reverse sensitivity effects, <u>including with adjacent zones.</u>		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS263	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	32
DPR-0142	NZ Pork	FS032	UG-MAT1	Support	Allow in full	Accept	32
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS887	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS338	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Reject Submission	Reject	32
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS901	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS054	UG-MAT1	Support	Allow the submission point	Reject	32
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS251	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0353	HortNZ	230	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS264	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS888	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS339	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS902	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS252	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0353	HortNZ	231	UG-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS265	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS892	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS340	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject Submission	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS903	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS267	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0355	Ellis Darusette	003	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p> <p>1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028.</p> <p>2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch;</p> <p>3. The land is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay; <u>or</u></p> <p><u>4. The growth area is in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020; or</u></p> <p><u>5. The growth area is minor in scale, will correct a zoning anomaly and achieve a compact and consolidated urban form; and</u></p> <p>6. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1-2 hh/ha for rural residential activities are met; <u>and</u></p> <p>a. <u>For General Residential zoned areas, A</u> there is a diversity in housing types, sizes and densities.demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and</p> <p>b.</p>	Reject	26
DPR-0358	RWRL	016	Development Capacity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS354	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS428	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS385	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS433	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS363	Development Capacity	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS409	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	020	Feasible	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS358	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS432	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS389	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS437	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS367	Feasible	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS413	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	024	Greenfield Development	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS362	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS436	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS393	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS441	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS371	Greenfield Development	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS417	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	028	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The minimum number of households identified in the CRPS required to ensure there is sufficient development capacity available to meet demand, as it is defined in the NPS-UD.	Reject	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS366	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS440	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS397	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	FS007	Housing Bottom Lines	Support	Allow by deleting Housing bottom lines from the Definitions	Reject	7
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS092	Housing Bottom Lines	Support	Not specified	Reject	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS445	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS375	Housing Bottom Lines	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS421	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	032	Net Density	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS370	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS444	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS401	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS449	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS379	Net Density	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS425	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	046	Public Transport Facilities	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS383	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS458	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS415	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS463	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS393	Public Transport Facilities	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS439	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0358	RWRL	228	SUB-R27	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	34
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS430	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS517	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS474	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS521	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	34

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS764	SUB-R27	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	34
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS497	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0358	RWRL	322	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... Ongoing urban development capacity is provided through the identification of new urban areas in accordance with the NPS-UD that are subject to the Urban Growth Overlay and by enabling existing sites to be intensified or redeveloped.... The Urban Growth Overlay maps the spatial locations identified in Development Plans that have been adopted by SDC. These assist in determining where new urban areas can locate around townships and delivering the outcomes that are anticipated to be achieved within these environments. Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA. The General Rural Zone activity-based rules apply to the land that is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay to enable the majority of rural land uses to continue.	Reject	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS032	UG-Overview	Support In Part	The proposed plan not be amended as sought by the submitter.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS143	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS061	UG-Overview	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS414	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS527	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS160	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS230	UG-Overview	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS024	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS294	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS347	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	10
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS511	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0358	RWRL	323	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS144	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS062	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	9
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS532	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS489	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS161	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS231	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	9
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS095	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Not specified	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS025	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS142	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS348	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS512	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission and Amend the Proposed Selwyn District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9
DPR-0358	RWRL	324	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS145	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS445	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS533	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS490	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS162	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS536	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS143	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS420	UG-O1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS513	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	325	UG-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS146	UG-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS446	UG-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS534	UG-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS491	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS163	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS537	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS144	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS421	UG-02	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	12
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS514	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0358	RWRL	326	UG-03	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS147	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS447	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS535	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS492	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS164	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS538	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS145	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS422	UG-03	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS515	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0358	RWRL	327	UG-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS148	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS448	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS536	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS493	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS165	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS539	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS146	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS423	UG-P1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS516	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	328	UG-P2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	15
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS149	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS063	UG-P2	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	15
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS415	UG-P2	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	15
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS494	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS166	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS232	UG-P2	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	15
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS026	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS147	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS349	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS517	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission and Amend the Proposed Selwyn District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0358	RWRL	329	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS150	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS449	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS538	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS495	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS167	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS540	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS148	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS425	UG-P3	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS518	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0358	RWRL	330	UG-P4	Support In Part	Amend this provision as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0032	CCC	FS048	UG-P4	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS151	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS064	UG-P4	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS416	UG-P4	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS496	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS168	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS027	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS149	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS350	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS519	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission and Amend the Proposed Selwyn District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0358	RWRL	331	UG-P5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable land to be rezoned Maori Purpose Zone outside an Urban Growth Overlay, where it is consistent with the outcomes identified in the Māori Purpose Zone.	Reject	18
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS152	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS450	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS539	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS497	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS169	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS541	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS150	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS427	UG-P5	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	18
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS520	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0358	RWRL	332	UG-P6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable rural production to continue in Rural zones on land that is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay, while avoiding the establishment of those activities that may unreasonably hinder any future urban zoning required to assist in meeting the District's urban growth needs.	Reject	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS153	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS451	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS540	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS498	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS170	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS542	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS151	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS428	UG-P6	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	19
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS521	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0358	RWRL	333	UG-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available, <u>unless significant adverse effects can be avoided or other adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated on and from the strategic transport network.</u>	Reject	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS154	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS915	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS541	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS499	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS171	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS418	UG-P7	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	20
DPR-0417	Jenny Fisher, Graham & Racquel Drayton, John & Fiona Kipping, David & Elizabeth Whiten	FS003	UG-P7	Support	Amend the wording of UG-P7 as sought by RWRL.	Reject	20
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS543	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS152	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS429	UG-P7	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS522	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0358	RWRL	334	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS155	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS914	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS542	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS500	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS172	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS544	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS153	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS430	UG-P8	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS523	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0358	RWRL	335	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS156	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS454	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS543	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS501	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS173	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS545	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS154	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS431	UG-P9	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS524	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0358	RWRL	336	UG-P10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS157	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS455	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS544	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS502	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS174	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS546	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS155	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS432	UG-P10	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0358	RWRL	337	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS158	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS456	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS545	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS503	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS175	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS547	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS156	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS433	UG-P11	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS526	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	338	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS159	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS457	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS546	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS504	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS176	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS548	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS157	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS434	UG-P12	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS527	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0358	RWRL	339	UG-P13	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	26
DPR-0032	CCC	FS051	UG-P13	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS160	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS458	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS412	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS505	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS177	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS030	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS158	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS353	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS528	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	340	UG-P14	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Residential growth —Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; ...	Reject	27
DPR-0032	CCC	FS052	UG-P14	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS161	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS065	UG-P14	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS417	UG-P14	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS506	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS178	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS028	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS159	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS351	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS529	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission and Amend the Proposed Selwyn District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	27
DPR-0358	RWRL	341	UG-P15	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	28
DPR-0032	CCC	FS053	UG-P15	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS162	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS459	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS548	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS507	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS179	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS549	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS160	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS437	UG-P15	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS530	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0358	RWRL	342	UG-P16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Residential growth — Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; ...	Reject	29
DPR-0032	CCC	FS054	UG-P16	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS163	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS460	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS549	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS508	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS180	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS550	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS161	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS438	UG-P16	Support	Accept submission in part	Reject	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS531	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0358	RWRL	343	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS164	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS461	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS550	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS509	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS181	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS551	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS162	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS439	UG-P17	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS532	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0358	RWRL	344	UG-R1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	31
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS165	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS066	UG-R1	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	31
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS418	UG-R1	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole determinate of urban growth with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other	Reject	31

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>amendments consistent with my submission (209). Amend the Proposed District Plan to be consistent with the NPS-UD.</i>		
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS510	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS182	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS029	UG-R1	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	31
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS163	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS352	UG-R1	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	31
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS533	UG-R1	Oppose	Reject the submission and Amend the Proposed Selwyn District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	31
DPR-0358	RWRL	345	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	32
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS166	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS462	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS552	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS511	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS183	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS552	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS164	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS441	UG-MAT1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept	32
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS534	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	32

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	346	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS167	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS463	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS553	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS512	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS184	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS553	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS165	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS442	UG-SCHED1	Support	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS535	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0360	WMDRA	003	UG-P7	Support	That Council: - Applies holistic and long term planning for our urban and community spaces. - Actively resists any piece-meal or short-term approaches to housing development in our neighbourhoods, with new areas designed to be fully integrated with existing communities. - Maintains our township boundaries and pushes back against urban sprawl.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0360	WMDRA	004	UG-P8	Support	That Council: - Applies holistic and long term planning for our urban and community spaces. - Actively resists any piece-meal or short-term approaches to housing development in our neighbourhoods, with new areas designed to be fully integrated with existing communities. - Maintains our township boundaries and pushes back against urban sprawl.	Accept in Part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0360	WMDRA	005	UG-P9	Support	That Council: - Applies holistic and long term planning for our urban and community spaces. - Actively resists any piece-meal or short-term approaches to housing development in our neighbourhoods, with new areas designed to be fully integrated with existing communities. - Maintains our township boundaries and pushes back against urban sprawl.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0360	WMDRA	006	UG-P10	Support	That Council: - Applies holistic and long term planning for our urban and community spaces. - Actively resists any piece-meal or short-term approaches to housing development in our neighbourhoods, with new areas designed to be fully integrated with existing communities. - Maintains our township boundaries and pushes back against urban sprawl.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0360	WMDRA	007	UG-P11	Support	That Council: -Applies holistic and long term planning for our urban and community spaces. -Actively resists any piece-meal or short-term approaches to housing development in our neighbourhoods, with new areas designed to be fully integrated with existing communities. -Maintains our township boundaries and pushes back against urban sprawl.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0360	WMDRA	008	UG-P12	Support	That Council: -Applies holistic and long term planning for our urban and community spaces. -Actively resists any piece-meal or short-term approaches to housing development in our neighbourhoods, with new areas designed to be fully integrated with existing communities. -Maintains our township boundaries and pushes back against urban sprawl.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0361	The Wrights	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property (SECT 1 SO 1227) and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept	35
DPR-0032	CCC	FS134	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Oppose submission.	Reject	35

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0361	The Wrights	003	UG-O1	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0361	The Wrights	004	UG-O2	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept	12
DPR-0361	The Wrights	005	UG-P1	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0361	The Wrights	006	UG-P2	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept	15
DPR-0361	The Wrights	007	UG-P4	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept	17
DPR-0361	The Wrights	008	UG-P6	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept	19
DPR-0361	The Wrights	009	UG-P7	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0361	The Wrights	010	UG-P8	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0361	The Wrights	011	UG-P9	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0361	The Wrights	012	UG-P10	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0361	The Wrights	013	UG-P11	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0361	The Wrights	014	UG-P12	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0361	The Wrights	015	UG-P14	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept	26
DPR-0361	The Wrights	016	UG-P16	Support In Part	Retain Urban Growth Overlay notation on this property and the associated objectives and policies should the request to rezone the site be unsuccessful.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0363	IRHL	015	Development Capacity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS674	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS599	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS552	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS592	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS207	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS843	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	019	Feasible	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS678	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS603	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS556	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS596	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS211	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS839	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	023	Greenfield Development	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS682	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS607	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS560	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS600	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS154	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS835	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	027	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The minimum number of households identified in the CRPS required to ensure there is sufficient development capacity available to meet demand, as it is defined in the NPS-UD.	Reject	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS686	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS611	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS564	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS604	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS219	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS831	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	031	Net Density	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS690	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS615	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS568	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS608	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS223	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS854	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	045	Public Transport Facilities	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS704	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS629	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS582	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS622	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS237	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS816	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0363	IRHL	217	SUB-R27	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	34
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS762	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS688	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	34

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS641	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS681	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS296	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0363	IRHL	311	UG-Overview	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity is provided through the identification of new urban areas <u>in accordance with the NPS-UD</u> that are subject to the Urban Growth Overlay and by enabling existing sites to be intensified or redeveloped. ...</p> <p>The Urban Growth Overlay maps the spatial locations identified in Development Plans that have been adopted by SDC. These assist in determining where new urban areas can locate around townships and delivering the outcomes that are anticipated to be achieved within these environments. Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.</p> <p>The General Rural Zone activity-based rules apply to the land that is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay to enable the majority of rural land uses to continue.</p>	Reject	10
DPR-0032	CCC	FS044	UG-Overview	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS171	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS067	UG-Overview	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Accept	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS584	UG-Overview	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS655	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS188	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS233	UG-Overview	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	10
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS031	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS169	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS192	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept	10
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS577	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	10
DPR-0363	IRHL	312	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS172	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS068	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	9
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS585	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	9
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS656	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS189	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS032	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS170	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS193	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS578	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9
DPR-0363	IRHL	313	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS173	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS778	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS702	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS657	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS190	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS695	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS171	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS312	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS579	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0363	IRHL	314	UG-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS174	UG-O2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS779	UG-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS703	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS658	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS191	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS696	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS172	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS313	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS580	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0363	IRHL	315	UG-03	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS175	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS780	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS704	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS659	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS192	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS697	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS173	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS314	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS581	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	316	UG-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS176	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS781	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS705	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS660	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS193	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS698	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS174	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS315	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS582	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0363	IRHL	317	UG-P2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	15
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS177	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS069	UG-P2	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	15
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS586	UG-P2	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	15
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS661	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS194	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS033	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS175	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS194	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept	15
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS583	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0363	IRHL	318	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS178	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS783	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS587	UG-P3	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS662	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS195	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS034	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS176	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS195	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS584	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	16
DPR-0363	IRHL	319	UG-P4	Support In Part	Amend this provision as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0032	CCC	FS050	UG-P4	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS179	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS070	UG-P4	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS588	UG-P4	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS663	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS196	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS035	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS177	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS196	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS585	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0363	IRHL	320	UG-P5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable land to be rezoned Maori Purpose Zone outside an Urban Growth Overlay , where it is consistent with the outcomes identified in the Māori Purpose Zone	Reject	18
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS180	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS785	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS706	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS664	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS197	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS699	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS178	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS319	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS586	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0363	IRHL	321	UG-P6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable rural production to continue in Rural zones on land that is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay , while avoiding the establishment of those activities that may unreasonably hinder any future urban zoning required to assist in meeting the District's urban growth needs.	Reject	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS181	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS800	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS707	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS665	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS198	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0422	NCFF	FS172	UG-P6	Oppose	Disallow the submission point. Retain the policy as notified.	Accept	19
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS700	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS179	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS320	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS587	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0363	IRHL	322	UG-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ...	Reject	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available, <u>unless significant adverse effects can be avoided or other adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated on and from the strategic transport network.</u>		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS182	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS786	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS708	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS666	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS701	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS180	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS321	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS588	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0363	IRHL	323	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS183	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS910	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS709	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS667	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS199	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS702	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS181	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS322	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS589	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0363	IRHL	324	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS184	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS787	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS710	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS668	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS200	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS703	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS182	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS323	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS590	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0363	IRHL	325	UG-P10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS185	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS788	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS711	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS669	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS201	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS704	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS183	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS324	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS591	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	326	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS186	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS789	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS712	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS670	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS202	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS705	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS184	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS325	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS592	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	327	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS187	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS790	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS713	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS671	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS203	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS706	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS185	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS326	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS593	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0363	IRHL	328	UG-P13	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	26
DPR-0032	CCC	FS055	UG-P13	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS188	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS791	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS583	UG-P13	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS672	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS204	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS037	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS186	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS191	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS327	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS594	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0363	IRHL	329	UG-P14	Support In Part	Amend as follows:	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Residential growth —Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; ...		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS056	UG-P14	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS189	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS071	UG-P14	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS589	UG-P14	Support	Accept the submission in part	Reject	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS673	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS205	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS234	UG-P14	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS036	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS187	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS197	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Reject	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS595	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Reject	27
DPR-0363	IRHL	330	UG-P15	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	28
DPR-0032	CCC	FS057	UG-P15	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS190	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS794	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS715	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS674	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS206	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS235	UG-P15	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS707	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS188	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS329	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS596	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0363	IRHL	331	UG-P16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Business growth — Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new areas to support commercial or industrial activities outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or is consolidated with an existing Town Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone or General Industrial Zone; ...	Reject	29
DPR-0032	CCC	FS058	UG-P16	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policies.	Accept	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS191	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS792	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS716	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS675	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS207	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS708	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS189	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS330	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS597	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0363	IRHL	332	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS192	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS793	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS717	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS676	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS208	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS709	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS190	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS331	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS598	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0363	IRHL	333	UG-R1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	31

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS193	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS072	UG-R1	Support	Accept the submission to the extent that the UGO should not be the sole or principal with respect to the GRZ, and accept any other amendments consistent with our submission	Reject	31
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS718	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS677	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS209	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS710	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS191	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS332	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS599	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0363	IRHL	334	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	32
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS194	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS795	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS719	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS678	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS210	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS711	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS192	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	32

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS333	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS600	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0363	IRHL	335	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS195	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS796	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS720	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS679	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS211	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS712	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS193	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS334	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS601	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0365	Stuart PC Limited	041	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Remove the two identified areas of the Urban Growth Overlay next to the GIZ in Rolleston from the planning maps or ensure that the future activities to be located within the overlay areas are not sensitive to Industrial Activities.	Reject	36
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS235	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Adopt.	Reject	36
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS235	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Adopt.	Reject	36
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS235	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Adopt.	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS235	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Adopt.	Reject	36
DPR-0367	Orion	044	UG-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 7. Is coordinated with <u>the provision of</u> available infrastructure and utilities, including land transport infrastructure; <u>8. Does not result in adverse reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure;</u> and	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS613	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0453	LPC	FS026	UG-O1	Support	Accept	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0367	Orion	045	UG-P3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	16
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS614	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	16
DPR-0367	Orion	046	UG-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>5. Achieve new integrated land use and infrastructure planning through effective engagement with infrastructure providers.</u>	Reject	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS236	UG-P7	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Reject	20
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS615	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept	20
DPR-0367	Orion	047	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS616	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0367	Orion	048	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS617	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0367	Orion	049	UG-P15	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 6. An ODP is prepared <u>that addresses the matters listed in UG-ODP Criteria</u> and incorporated into this plan before any subdivision proceeds.	Accept	28
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS618	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0367	Orion	050	UG-P16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 6. An ODP is prepared <u>that addresses the matters listed in the UG-ODP Criteria</u> and incorporated into this plan before any subdivision proceeds.	Accept	29
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS619	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	29
DPR-0367	Orion	051	UG-P17	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>10. generate adverse reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure.</u>	Accept	30
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS620	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Reject	30
DPR-0367	Orion	052	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS621	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0367	Orion	053	New	Support In Part	Insert a new UG-Schedule for Business Growth Area ODP Criteria which includes the including the following criteria: <u>1. How each ODP area will:</u> <u>a. Be staged to allow the subdivision development to align with the timing, funding and availability of network infrastructure capacity.</u> <u>2. The following features and outcomes are to be illustrated on an indicative subdivision concept plan containing lot configuration and sizes that is to accompany the ODP:</u> <u>a. Any methods or boundary treatments required to mitigate reverse sensitivity effects and promote compatible land use activities, including protecting important infrastructure, or a designated site.</u>	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS168	New	Oppose In Part	Reject as currently drafted	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS168	New	Oppose In Part	Reject as currently drafted	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS168	New	Oppose In Part	Reject as currently drafted	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS168	New	Oppose In Part	Reject as currently drafted	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS622	New	Oppose	Reject aspects of the submission which do not directly relate to electricity lines and services as critical infrastructure.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	003	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Urban Growth Overlay from Lot 2 DP 4512, Lot 8 DP 307576, Lot 9 DP 307576, Lot 10 DP 307576 and Res 1527.	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS736	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	36
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	074	UG-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is provided for in a strategic manner that: 1. 7. Is coordinated with available infrastructure and utilities, including land transport infrastructure; and 8. <u>9. Does not compromise existing and consented farming practices; and</u> <u>10. Does not affect the efficient operation, use, development, appropriate upgrading and safety of important infrastructure.</u>	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS806	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	075	UG-P11	Support In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid adverse effects, including reverse sensitivity effects on: a. b. on the safe, efficient and cost effective operation, <u>use, maintenance, upgrade and development</u> of important infrastructure, land transport infrastructure, and the strategic transport network.	Reject	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS807	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	076	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS808	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0370	Fonterra Limited	077	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>1. Avoid the following zones when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas:</u> <u>a. Dairy Processing Zone</u>	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>b. General Industrial Zone</u> <u>c. Port Zone</u>		
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS809	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS169	New	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS169	New	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS169	New	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS169	New	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0453	LPC	FS027	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neutral	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0371	CIAL	012	Rural Residential Activities	Oppose In Part	Not specified.	Reject	7
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS077	Rural Residential Activities	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
DPR-0371	CIAL	057	UG-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows Urban growth is provided for in a strategic manner that: 1. <u>8. Does not affect the efficient operation, use, development, appropriate upgrading and safety of important infrastructure; and</u> 8 <u>9. Enables people and communities, now and future, to provide for their wellbeing, and their health and safety.</u>	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS120	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS237	UG-O1	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0371	CIAL	058	UG-P3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	16
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS121	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	16
DPR-0371	CIAL	059	UG-P8	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Avoid the following locations and areas when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas: ... d. <u>The 50 dB Ldn Air Noise Contours; and</u>	Accept	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS122	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Reject	21
DPR-0371	CIAL	060	UG-P11	Support In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>adverse effects, including</u> reverse sensitivity effects on: a. b. on the safe, efficient and cost effective operation, <u>use, maintenance, upgrade and development</u> of important infrastructure, land transport infrastructure, and the strategic transport network.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS123	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS238	UG-P11	Support	Accept proposed amendment.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0453	LPC	FS015	UG-P11	Support	Accept	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0371	CIAL	061	UG-P13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 3. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities <u>in urban areas</u> or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities <u>in Specific Control Areas where higher density residential activity is anticipated in the rural zones</u> are met;	Reject	26
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS124	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject	Accept	26
DPR-0371	CIAL	062	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS125	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0371	CIAL	063	UG-SCHED1	Support	Amend as follows: 3. The following features and outcomes are to be illustrated on an indicative subdivision concept plan containing lot configurations and sizes that is to accompany the ODP; ... <u>d. Any land to be set aside to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on important infrastructure;</u> e. Any methods or boundary treatments required to mitigate <u>avoid</u> reverse sensitivity effects and promote compatible land use activities, including protecting important infrastructure, or a designated site; and ...	Reject	33
DPR-0353	HortNZ	FS041	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	33
DPR-0373	Foodstuffs	007	UG-O3	Support	Amend UG-O3 to provide for supermarkets outside of the TCZ.	Reject	13
DPR-0032	CCC	FS059	UG-O3	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the objective and policy.	Accept	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS175	UG-O3	Support In Part	Adopt to the extent the relief applies to the LFRZ.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS175	UG-O3	Support In Part	Adopt to the extent the relief applies to the LFRZ.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS175	UG-O3	Support In Part	Adopt to the extent the relief applies to the LFRZ.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS175	UG-O3	Support In Part	Adopt to the extent the relief applies to the LFRZ.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0373	Foodstuffs	008	UG-P15	Oppose	Amend UG-P15 to provide for supermarkets outside of the TCZ.	Reject	28
DPR-0032	CCC	FS060	UG-P15	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the objective and policy.	Accept	28
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS230	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS230	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS230	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS230	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0373	Foodstuffs	009	UG	Oppose	Amend the provisions in the Plan to include the strategic ability to enhance commercial development capacity and to give effect to the NPS on Urban Development.	Reject	9
DPR-0032	CCC	FS045	UG	Oppose	Retain the existing wording of the policy.	Accept	9
DPR-0374	RIHL	006	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Urban Growth Overlay as notified. Alternatively, the Urban Growth Overlay should only be identified and referred to as a priority area for urban zoning and development, rather than as an area to which urban zoning and development is generally confined.	Reject	9
DPR-0032	CCC	FS061	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS218	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS478	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS838	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS693	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS234	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0443	GW Wilfield Ltd	FS001	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow the submission point in full.	Reject	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS038	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS216	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS035	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS602	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0374	RIHL	021	Development Capacity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS488	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS856	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS703	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS735	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS051	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS612	Development Capacity	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	025	Feasible	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS492	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS860	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS707	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS739	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS055	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS616	Feasible	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	029	Greenfield Development	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS496	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS864	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS711	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS743	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS059	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS620	Greenfield Development	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	033	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The minimum number of households identified in the CRPS required to ensure there is sufficient development capacity available to meet demand, as it is defined in the NPS-UD.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS500	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS868	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS715	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS747	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS063	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS624	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	037	Net Density	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS504	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS872	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS719	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	FS008	Net Density	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS751	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS067	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS628	Net Density	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	051	Public Transport Facilities	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS518	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS886	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS733	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS765	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS081	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS642	Public Transport Facilities	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0374	RIHL	223	SUB-R27	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	34
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS577	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS944	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS792	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS824	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS140	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS701	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0374	RIHL	317	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Ongoing urban development capacity is provided through the identification of new urban areas <u>in accordance with the NPS-UD</u> that are subject to the Urban Growth Overlay and by enabling existing sites to be intensified or redeveloped. ... The Urban Growth Overlay maps the spatial locations identified in Development Plans that have been adopted by SDC. These assist in determining where new urban areas can locate around townships and delivering the outcomes that are anticipated to be achieved within these environments. Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA. The General Rural Zone activity-based rules apply to the land that is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay to enable the majority of rural land uses to continue.		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS062	UG-Overview	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS219	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS591	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0206	Urban Holdings Limited, Suburban Estates Limited & Cairnbrae Developments Limited	FS001	UG-Overview	Support	Allow	Reject	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS839	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS806	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS235	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS239	UG-Overview	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	10
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	FS009	UG-Overview	Support	Allow	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS039	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS217	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS036	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	10
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS715	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0374	RIHL	318	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
DPR-0032	CCC	FS063	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	9
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS220	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS592	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS840	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS807	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS236	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS040	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS218	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS037	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	9
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS716	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept in Part	9
DPR-0374	RIHL	319	UG-01	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS221	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS593	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS958	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS808	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS237	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS838	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS219	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS156	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS717	UG-01	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0374	RIHL	320	UG-02	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS222	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS594	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS959	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS809	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS238	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS839	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS220	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS157	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS718	UG-02	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0374	RIHL	321	UG-03	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS223	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS595	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS960	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS810	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS239	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS840	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS221	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS158	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS719	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0374	RIHL	322	UG-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS224	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS596	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS961	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS811	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS240	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS841	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS222	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS159	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS720	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	323	UG-P2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	15
DPR-0032	CCC	FS064	UG-P2	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	15
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS225	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS597	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0206	Urban Holdings Limited, Suburban Estates Limited & Cairnbrae Developments Limited	FS002	UG-P2	Support In Part	Remove the urban growth overlay and amend associated policies or specify that the overlay while being a priority area is not the only area where growth can or should occur.	Accept in Part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS841	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS812	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS241	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	FS010	UG-P2	Support	Remove the urban growth overlay and amend associated policies or specify that the overlay while being a priority area is not the only area where growth can or should occur.	Reject	15
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS041	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS223	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS038	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	15
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS721	UG-P2	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0374	RIHL	324	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0032	CCC	FS065	UG-P3	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS226	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS598	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0206	Urban Holdings Limited, Suburban Estates Limited & Cairnbrae	FS003	UG-P3	Support In Part	Remove the urban growth overlay and amend associated policies or specify that the overlay while being a priority area is not the only area where growth can or should occur.	Accept in Part	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
	<i>Developments Limited</i>						
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS842	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS813	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS242	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	FS011	UG-P3	Support	Remove the urban growth overlay and amend associated policies or specify that the overlay while being a priority area is not the only area where growth can or should occur.	Reject	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS042	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS224	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS039	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS722	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0374	RIHL	325	UG-P4	Support In Part	Amend this provision as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS227	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS599	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS843	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS814	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS243	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS043	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS225	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS040	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS723	UG-P4	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0374	RIHL	326	UG-P5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable land to be rezoned Maori Purpose Zone outside an Urban Growth Overlay, where it is consistent with the outcomes identified in the Māori Purpose Zone.	Reject	18
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS228	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS600	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS962	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS815	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS244	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS842	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS226	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS163	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS724	UG-P5	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0374	RIHL	327	UG-P6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable rural production to continue in Rural zones on land that is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay , while avoiding the establishment of those activities that may unreasonably hinder any future urban zoning required to assist in meeting the District's urban growth needs.	Reject	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS229	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS601	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS963	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS816	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS245	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS843	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS227	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS164	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS725	UG-P6	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0374	RIHL	328	UG-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available, <u>unless significant adverse effects can be avoided or other adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated on and from the strategic transport network.</u>	Reject	20
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS230	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS602	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS964	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS817	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS246	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0453	LPC	FS028	UG-P7	Support In Part	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS844	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS228	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS165	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS726	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0374	RIHL	329	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS231	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS603	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS965	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS818	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS247	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS845	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS229	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS166	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS727	UG-P8	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0374	RIHL	330	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS232	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS604	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS966	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS819	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS248	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS846	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS230	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS167	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS728	UG-P9	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0374	RIHL	331	UG-P10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS233	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS605	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS967	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS820	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS249	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS847	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS231	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS168	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS729	UG-P10	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	332	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS234	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS606	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS968	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS821	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS250	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS848	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS232	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS169	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS730	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	333	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS607	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS969	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS822	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS251	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS849	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS233	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS170	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS731	UG-P12	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0374	RIHL	334	UG-P13	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS235	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS608	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS970	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept the submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS823	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS252	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS045	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS234	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS002	UG-P13	Support	Accept the Submission	Reject	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS732	UG-P13	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	26
DPR-0374	RIHL	335	UG-P14	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Residential growth —Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; ...	Reject	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS236	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS609	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS844	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS824	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS253	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS044	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS235	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS041	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject the submission but amend the PDP to achieve consistency with the NPS-UD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO.	Accept	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS733	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0374	RIHL	336	UG-P15	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS237	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS610	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS971	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS825	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS254	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS851	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS236	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS173	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS734	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part and Amend the Proposed District Plan to achieve consistency with the NPSUD with respect to responding to urban development proposals outside the UGO	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0374	RIHL	337	UG-P16	Support In Part	Amend as follows:	Reject	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Business growth — Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new areas to support commercial or industrial activities outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or is consolidated with an existing Town Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone or General Industrial Zone; ...		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS238	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS611	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS972	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS826	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS255	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS852	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS237	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS174	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS735	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0374	RIHL	338	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS239	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS612	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS973	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS827	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS256	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS853	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS238	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS175	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS736	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0374	RIHL	339	UG-R1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	31
DPR-0032	CCC	FS027	UG-R1	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	31
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS240	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS613	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS974	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS828	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS257	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS854	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS239	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS176	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS737	UG-R1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0374	RIHL	340	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	32
DPR-0032	CCC	FS180	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Retain the Urban Growth Overlay or include alternative provisions that give direction as to the location of urban development. Retain the existing wording of the overview, Policies 3 and 4, UG-R1 and UG-MAT1.	Accept	32

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS241	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS614	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS975	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS829	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS258	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS855	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS240	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS177	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS738	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0374	RIHL	341	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS242	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS615	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS976	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS830	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS259	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS856	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS241	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS178	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part. Reject the submission seeking removal of the UGO.	Accept in Part	33

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS739	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept the submission in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	161	UG-P1	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	14
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS123	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS987	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS329	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS140	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS176	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS176	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS176	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS176	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	14
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS122	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	162	UG-P2	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	15
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS124	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	15
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS988	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	15
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS330	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	15
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS141	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	15
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS177	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS177	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS177	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS177	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS123	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	163	UG-P3	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS125	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS989	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS331	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS142	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	16
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS178	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	16
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS178	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	16
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS178	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	16
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS178	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS124	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	164	UG-P4	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS126	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	17
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS990	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS332	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS143	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	17
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS179	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS179	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS179	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS179	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS125	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	165	UG-P5	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS127	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS991	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS333	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	18
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS144	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	18
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS180	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject	Accept	18
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS180	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject	Accept	18
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS180	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject	Accept	18
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS180	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject	Accept	18
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS126	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	166	UG-P6	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS128	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS992	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS334	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	19
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS145	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	19
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS181	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	19
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS181	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	19
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS181	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	19
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS181	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS127	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	167	UG-P7	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	20
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS129	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS993	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS335	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS146	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	20
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS182	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS182	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS182	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS182	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS128	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	168	UG-P8	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS130	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS994	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS336	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS147	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	21
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS183	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS183	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS183	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS183	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS129	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	169	UG-P9	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS131	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS995	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS337	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS148	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	22
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS184	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept	22
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS184	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS184	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept	22
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS184	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject	Accept	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS130	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	170	UG-P10	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS132	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS996	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS338	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS149	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	23
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS185	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject	Accept	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS185	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject	Accept	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS185	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject	Accept	23
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS185	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject	Accept	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS131	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	171	UG-P11	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS133	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS997	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS339	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS150	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS186	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS186	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS186	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS186	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject	Accept	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS132	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	172	UG-P12	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	25
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS134	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS998	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS340	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS151	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	25
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS187	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject	Accept	25
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS187	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject	Accept	25
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS187	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject	Accept	25
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS187	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject	Accept	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS133	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	173	UG-P13	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS135	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1048	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS341	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS152	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	26
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS188	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject	Accept	26
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS188	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject	Accept	26
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS188	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject	Accept	26
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS188	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject	Accept	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS134	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	174	UG-P14	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS136	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS025	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS342	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS153	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	27
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS189	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject	Accept	27
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS189	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject	Accept	27
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS189	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject	Accept	27
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS189	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject	Accept	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS135	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	175	UG-P15	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS137	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS056	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS343	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS154	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	28
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS190	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject	Accept	28
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS190	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject	Accept	28
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS190	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject	Accept	28
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS190	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject	Accept	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS136	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	176	UG-P16	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS138	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS057	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS344	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS155	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	29
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS191	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject	Accept	29
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS191	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject	Accept	29
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS191	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject	Accept	29
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS191	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject	Accept	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS137	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	177	UG-P17	Support In Part	Request that consideration is given as to whether these policies should be extended and lead into the development of an appropriate set of rules and requirements to achieve minimum density standards.	Reject	30
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS139	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS058	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS345	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS156	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	30
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS192	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS192	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS192	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS192	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS138	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS059	UG-Overview	Support	Allow	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS059	UG-Overview	Support	Allow	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	004	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend UG-P4 to read: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	005	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	006	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: ... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	007	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1. any adjoining rural, industrial, inland port, or knowledge zone; and 2. on the safe, efficient and cost-effective operation of important infrastructure, land transport infrastructure, and the strategic transport network.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS209	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS209	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS209	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0381	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS060	UG-P11	Support	Allow	Reject	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS209	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0486	Coleridge Downs Limited	FS060	UG-P11	Support	Allow	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0376	Fox & Associates	008	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-P14 to read: Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan; 2. 3. Except for the LLRZ, the The minimum net densities support a range of housing types that respond to demographic change, social needs and outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and 4.	Reject	27
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS362	UG-P14	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is enabled.	Accept	27
DPR-0378	MoE	020	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0378	MoE	021	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0379	Jill Thomson	025	Greater Christchurch	Support In Part	Add a map defining area within 'Greater Christchurch'.	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	006	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Urban Growth Overlay as notified. Alternatively, the Urban Growth Overlay should only be identified and referred to as a priority area for urban zoning and development, rather than as an area to which urban zoning and development is generally confined.	Reject	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	023	Development Capacity	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	027	Feasible	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	031	Greenfield Development	Oppose	Delete as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	035	Housing Bottom Lines	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The minimum number of households identified in the CRPS required to ensure there is sufficient development capacity available to meet demand, as it is defined in the NPS-UD.	Reject	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	039	Net Density	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	053	Public Transport Facilities	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
DPR-0384	RIDL	235	SUB-R27	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	34
DPR-0384	RIDL	329	UG-Overview	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity is provided through the identification of new urban areas <u>in accordance with the NPS-UD that are subject to the Urban Growth Overlay</u> and by enabling existing sites to be intensified or redeveloped. ...</p> <p>The Urban Growth Overlay maps the spatial locations identified in Development Plans that have been adopted by SDC. These assist in determining where new urban areas can locate around townships and delivering the outcomes that are anticipated to be achieved within these environments. Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.</p> <p>The General Rural Zone activity-based rules apply to the land that is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay to enable the majority of rural land uses to continue.</p>	Reject	10
DPR-0384	RIDL	330	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
DPR-0384	RIDL	331	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	332	UG-O2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
DPR-0384	RIDL	333	UG-O3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	13
DPR-0384	RIDL	334	UG-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	335	UG-P2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	15
DPR-0384	RIDL	336	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0384	RIDL	337	UG-P4	Support In Part	<p>Amend this provision as follows:</p> <p>Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.</p>	Reject	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	338	UG-P5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable land to be rezoned Maori Purpose Zone outside an Urban Growth Overlay , where it is consistent with the outcomes identified in the Māori Purpose Zone.	Reject	18
DPR-0384	RIDL	339	UG-P6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable rural production to continue <u>in Rural zones on land that is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay</u> , while avoiding the establishment of those activities that may unreasonably hinder any future urban zoning required to assist in meeting the District's urban growth needs.	Reject	19
DPR-0384	RIDL	340	UG-P7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available, <u>unless significant adverse effects can be avoided or other adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated on and from the strategic transport network.</u>	Reject	20
<i>DPR-0375</i>	<i>Waka Kotahi</i>	<i>FS240</i>	<i>UG-P7</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>20</i>
DPR-0384	RIDL	341	UG-P8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0384	RIDL	342	UG-P9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0384	RIDL	343	UG-P10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0384	RIDL	344	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	345	UG-P12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0384	RIDL	346	UG-P13	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	26
DPR-0384	RIDL	347	UG-P14	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Residential growth — Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ... 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; ...	Reject	27
DPR-0384	RIDL	348	UG-P15	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	28
DPR-0384	RIDL	349	UG-P16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Business growth — Outside the Greater Christchurch area Any new areas to support commercial or industrial activities outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: ...	Reject	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or is consolidated with an existing Town Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone or General Industrial Zone;		
DPR-0384	RIDL	350	UG-P17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0384	RIDL	351	UG-R1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	31
DPR-0384	RIDL	352	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	32
DPR-0384	RIDL	353	UG-SCHED1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0397	Survus Consultants Ltd	003	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0397	Survus Consultants Ltd	004	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for <u>Have particular regard</u> to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0397	Survus Consultants Ltd	005	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: ... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS203	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS203	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS203	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS203	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0397	Survus Consultants Ltd	006	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on:	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					1.		
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS210	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS210	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS210	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS210	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0397	Survus Consultants Ltd	007	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p> <p>1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan;</p> <p>2.</p> <p>3. <u>For the General Residential Zone</u>, the minimum net densities support a range of housing types that respond to demographic change, social needs and outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and</p> <p>4.</p>	Reject	27
DPR-0399	Gulf Central & Apton	004	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend the UG-Overview to read:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development...</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u></p> <p>The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.</p>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS016	UG-Overview	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS004	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS004	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS004	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS004	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0399	Gulf Central & Apton	005	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form.	Reject	17
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS017	UG-P4	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept	17
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS005	UG-P4	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS005	UG-P4	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS005	UG-P4	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS005	UG-P4	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0399	Gulf Central & Apton	006	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS018	UG-P9	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS006	UG-P9	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS006	UG-P9	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS006	UG-P9	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS006	UG-P9	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0399	Gulf Central & Apton	007	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: ... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS204	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS204	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS204	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS204	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS019	UG-P10	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS007	UG-P10	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS007	UG-P10	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS007	UG-P10	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS007	UG-P10	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0399	Gulf Central & Apton	008	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> reverse sensitivity effects on:	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS211	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS211	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS211	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS211	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS020	UG-P11	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept	24
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS008	UG-P11	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS008	UG-P11	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS008	UG-P11	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS008	UG-P11	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0399	Gulf Central & Apton	009	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any new areas to support commercial activities, industrial activities, or activities provided for in the Port Zone or Knowledge Zone in the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. A BDCA and FDS demonstrates a need for additional suitable development capacity within the township and the additional suitable development capacity supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch; 2.	Reject	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>c. A diverse range of services and opportunities is provided for to respond to the social and economic needs identified in a BDCA, FDS or any relevant Development Plan;</p> <p>3.</p> <p><u>4. The new business growth area meets 1-2 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020;</u></p> <p><u>5. The new business growth area will resolve a zoning anomaly:</u></p> <p>6.</p>		
DPR-0415	Fulton Hogan Limited	FS021	UG-P15	Oppose	Disallow the submission as proposed. If the submission is accepted, ensure any amendments appropriate reflect the purpose of the RMA and do not adversely impact Fulton Hogan's proposed Roydon Quarry.	Accept	28
DPR-0574	Macrocarpa Supplies Limited	FS009	UG-P15	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0575	Makz Trailers Limited	FS009	UG-P15	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0577	Southern Horticultural Products Ltd	FS009	UG-P15	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0584	Barron Family Trust	FS009	UG-P15	Support In Part	Re-zone the area identified in DPR-0399 in order to provide for the efficient operation of businesses which support rural land use activity.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0405	Franco Farms Limited	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include the following parcels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lot 2 DP 830 - Lot 2 DP 436797 	Reject	36
DPR-0174	GM & J Drinnan	FS004	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow submission in full	Reject	36
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS351	Urban Growth Overlay	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neither accept nor reject the submission.	Accept	36
DPR-0307	William John & Helen Mary Bishop	FS003	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow submission in full	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0408	Urban Estates No. 21 Limited	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include the following parcels: - Lot 3 DP 303244 - Lot 2 DP 303244 - Lot 1 DP 54000 - Lot 1 DP 68699	Reject	36
DPR-0174	GM & J Drinnan	FS006	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow submission in full	Reject	36
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS352	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	36
DPR-0307	William John & Helen Mary Bishop	FS005	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow submission in full	Reject	36
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay so as to include all land contained in private Plan Change 64, generally bounded by Goulds Road, Selwyn Road and Springston Rolleston Road, Rolleston.	Accept	36
DPR-0204	JP Singh	FS001	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Amend the urban growth overlay to include all land contained in private Plan Change 64, generally bounded by Goulds Road, Selwyn Road and Springston-Rolleston Road.	Accept	36
DPR-0392	CSI Property Limited	FS003	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject	Reject	36
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay so as to include all land contained in private Plan Change 70, generally bounded by Goulds Road, Dunns Crossing Road, and East Maddisons Road, Rolleston.	Accept	36
DPR-0392	CSI Property Limited	FS004	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject	Reject	36
DPR-0411	Hughes Developments Limited	007	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay so as to include all land contained in private Plan Change 74, on the eastern side of West Melton and generally bounded by Halkett Road and West Coast Road (SH73), West Melton.	Reject	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0392	CSI Property Limited	FS005	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject	Accept	36
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	003	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible housing and sufficient business development capacity within Greater Christchurch to ensure: ...	Reject	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS197	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS870	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1049	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS844	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS213	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS195	UG-03	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	004	UG-Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS198	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS871	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1050	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS845	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS214	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS196	UG-Overview	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	005	UG-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS199	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS872	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1051	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS846	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS215	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS197	UG-O1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	006	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Spatially identify new urban growth areas supported by a Development Plan or other relevant planning document or directive.	Reject	14
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS200	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS873	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1052	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS847	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS216	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS193	UG-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	14
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS193	UG-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS193	UG-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS193	UG-P1	Support	Adopt	Reject	14
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS198	UG-P1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	007	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS201	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS874	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1053	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS848	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS217	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS199	UG-P3	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	008	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes: ... 4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available. <u>In relation to arterial roads access onto these roads is discouraged where more appropriate alternative access is available.</u>	Reject	20
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS202	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS875	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1054	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS849	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS218	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS196	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS196	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS196	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS196	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS200	UG-P7	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	009	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>limit potential</u> reverse sensitivity effects on: ...	Reject	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS203	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS876	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1055	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS850	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS219	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS221	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS221	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS221	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS241	UG-P11	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS221	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS201	UG-P11	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	010	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Include a definition of outline development plan and provide a statement and/or explanatory note confirming the relationship between outline development plans and development areas.	Reject	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS204	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS877	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS150	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS851	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS220	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS224	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS224	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS224	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS224	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS046	UG-P13	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS202	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS031	UG-P13	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS753	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	011	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Include a definition of outline development plan and provide a statement and/or explanatory note confirming the relationship between outline development plans and development areas.	Reject	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS205	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS878	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS151	UG-P14	Support	Accept submission	Reject	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS852	UG-P14	Support	Accept submission	Reject	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS221	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS225	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS225	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS225	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS225	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS047	UG-P14	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS203	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS032	UG-P14	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS754	UG-P14	Support	Accept submission	Reject	27
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	012	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Include a definition of outline development plan and provide a statement and/or explanatory note confirming the relationship between outline development plans and development areas.	Reject	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS206	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS879	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS153	UG-P15	Support	Accept submission	Reject	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS853	UG-P15	Support	Accept submission	Reject	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS222	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS226	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS226	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS226	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS226	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS048	UG-P15	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS204	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS033	UG-P15	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS755	UG-P15	Support	Accept submission	Reject	28
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	013	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Include a definition of outline development plan and provide a statement and/or explanatory note confirming the relationship between outline development plans and development areas.	Reject	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS207	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS880	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS154	UG-P16	Support	Accept submission	Reject	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS854	UG-P16	Support	Accept submission	Reject	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS223	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS227	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS227	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS227	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS227	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS049	UG-P16	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS205	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS034	UG-P16	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS756	UG-P16	Support	Accept submission	Reject	29
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	014	UG-P13	Oppose	Delete UG-P13 and UG-P14 and replace with one policy as follows: <u>New residential growth within the District shall provide for a diversity in housing types, sizes and densities which responds to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs.</u>	Reject	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS208	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS881	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS156	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS855	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS224	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS228	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS228	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS228	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0375	Waka Kotahi	FS417	UG-P13	Oppose	Further consideration is given to the submission prior to determining whether an increased density is appropriate.	Accept	26
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS228	UG-P13	Support	Adopt.	Reject	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS050	UG-P13	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS206	UG-P13	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS029	UG-P13	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS757	UG-P13	Support	Accept submission	Reject	26
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	015	UG-P14	Oppose	Delete UG-P13 and UG-P14 and replace with one policy as follows: <u>New residential growth within the District shall provide for a diversity in housing types, sizes and densities which responds to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs.</u>	Reject	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS209	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS882	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS157	UG-P14	Support	Accept submission	Reject	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS856	UG-P14	Support	Accept submission	Reject	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS225	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS229	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS229	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS229	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS229	UG-P14	Support	Adopt.	Reject	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS051	UG-P14	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS207	UG-P14	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS030	UG-P14	Support	Accept Submission	Reject	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS758	UG-P14	Support	Accept submission	Reject	27
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	016	UG-P15	Oppose	Delete UG-P15 and UG-P16 and replace with one policy as follows: <u>New areas supporting commercial and industrial growth shall provide for a diverse range of services and opportunities responding to the social and economic needs of business, residents and visitors.</u>	Reject	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS210	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS883	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1056	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS857	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS226	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS231	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS231	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS231	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS231	UG-P15	Support	Adopt.	Reject	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS208	UG-P15	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	017	UG-P16	Oppose	Delete UG-P15 and UG-P16 and replace with one policy as follows: <u>New areas supporting commercial and industrial growth shall provide for a diverse range of services and opportunities responding to the social and economic needs of business, residents and visitors.</u>	Reject	29
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS211	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS884	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1057	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS858	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS227	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS232	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS232	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS232	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS232	UG-P16	Support	Adopt.	Reject	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS209	UG-P16	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	018	UG-P17	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>Encourage the intensification of urban activities or redevelopment of existing land within urban zones to assist in supporting the districts urban growth needs.</u>	Reject	30

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS212	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS885	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS859	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS228	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS234	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS234	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS234	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS234	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject	Accept	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS210	UG-P17	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0412	Hughes Developments	019	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p>2. Each ODP shall illustrate how the site characteristics and topography have been addressed through the identification of:</p> <p>...</p> <p>c. How each ODP area will:</p> <p>i. ..</p> <p>ii. Be staged to allow the subdivision development to align with the timing, funding, and availability of network infrastructure capacity;</p> <p>...</p> <p>3. The following features <u>shall be considered and where relevant provided for</u> and outcomes are to be illustrated on an indicative subdivision concept plan containing lot configurations and sizes that is to accompany the ODP;</p> <p>...</p>	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS213	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS452	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS155	UG-SCHED1	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	33

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS860	UG-SCHED1	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS229	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept submissions in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS200	UG-SCHED1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS200	UG-SCHED1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS200	UG-SCHED1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0378	MoE	FS028	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS200	UG-SCHED1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS052	UG-SCHED1	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS211	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Accept in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS028	UG-SCHED1	Support	Accept Submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS759	UG-SCHED1	Support	Accept submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0413	Blakes Road Kingcraft Group	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include the following land: - Lot 1 DP 315351 - Lot 1 DP 361163 - Lot 1 DP 462067 - Lot 2 DP 462067 - Lot 2 DP 407932 - Lot 2 DP 56097	Reject	36
DPR-0032	CCC	FS183	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Oppose submission.	Accept	36
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	121	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Subdivision and Urban Growth in the Future Urban Zone Activity Status: RDIS 1. Subdivision within the Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone. This rule does not apply to any subdivision under SUB-R15. Matters for discretion: 2. The exercise of discretion in relation to SUB-R27.1. is restricted to the following matters: a. UG-MAT1 Subdivision and Urban Growth Future Urban Zone	Reject	34
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS187	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	34

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS377	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS147	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS174	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS543	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS167	SUB-R27	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS058	SUB-R27	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	34
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	146	UG	Support In Part	Delete the Urban Growth Overlay and replace with a Future Urban Zone that acts as a transitional zone until the land is rezoned through a Plan Change for urban purposes in accordance with the National Planning Standards.	Reject	8
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS035	UG	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS212	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS403	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS172	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS052	UG	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS198	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS036	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS568	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS192	UG	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS083	UG	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	147	UG-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Urban growth is provided for in a strategic manner that: 1. ... 2. Maintains and enhances the amenity values and <u>Achieves the character built form</u> anticipated within each residential, kāinga nohoanga, or business area; 3. ...	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS036	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS213	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS404	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS173	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS053	UG-O1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS173	UG-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS173	UG-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS173	UG-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS173	UG-O1	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS199	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS037	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS569	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS193	UG-O1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	11
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS084	UG-O1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including	Accept in Part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	148	UG-02	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS037	UG-02	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS214	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS405	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS174	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS054	UG-02	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS200	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS038	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS570	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS194	UG-02	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS085	UG-02	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	12
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	149	UG-03	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS038	UG-03	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS215	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS406	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	13

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS175	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS055	UG-03	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS201	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS039	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS571	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS195	UG-03	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS086	UG-03	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	13
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	150	UG-P1	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Spatially identify new <u>greenfield</u> urban growth areas <u>through application of the Future Urban Zone supported by a Development Plan.</u>	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS039	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS216	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS407	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS176	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS056	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS194	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS194	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS194	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS194	UG-P1	Oppose	Reject	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS202	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS040	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS572	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS196	UG-P1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS087	UG-P1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	14
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	151	UG-P2	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Provide for the rezoning of land to establish new urban areas within the Urban Growth Overlay <u>Future Urban Zone when supported by a Development Plan.</u>	Reject	15
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS040	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	15
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS217	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS408	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS177	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS057	UG-P2	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	15
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS203	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS041	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS573	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS197	UG-P2	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	15
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS088	UG-P2	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	152	UG-P3	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Avoid the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary in the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay <u>Future Urban Zone</u> .	Reject	16
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS041	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	16
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS218	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS409	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS178	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS058	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS204	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS042	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS574	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS198	UG-P3	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS089	UG-P3	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	16
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	153	UG-P4	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay <u>Future Urban Zone</u> , where it to maintains a consolidated and compact urban form..	Reject	17
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS042	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	17
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS219	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS411	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS179	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS059	UG-P4	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS205	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS043	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS575	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS199	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS090	UG-P4	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	17
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	154	UG-P5	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Enable land to be rezoned Maori Purpose Zone outside an Urban Growth Overlay an Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone, where it is consistent with the outcomes identified in the Māori Purpose Zone.	Reject	18
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS043	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	18
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS220	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS999	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS180	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS060	UG-P5	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	18
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS044	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS576	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS200	UG-P5	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS091	UG-P5	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	18
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	155	UG-P6	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Enable rural production to continue on land that is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone, while avoiding the establishment of those activities that may unreasonably hinder any future urban zoning required to assist in meeting the District's urban growth needs.	Reject	19
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS044	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	19
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS221	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1000	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS181	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS061	UG-P6	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	19
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS207	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS045	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS577	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS201	UG-P6	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS092	UG-P6	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	19
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	156	UG-P7	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Accept in Part	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS045	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS222	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1001	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS182	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS062	UG-P7	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS208	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS046	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS578	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS202	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS093	UG-P7	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	157	UG-P8	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS046	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS223	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1002	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS183	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS063	UG-P8	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	21

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS209	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS047	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS579	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS203	UG-P8	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS094	UG-P8	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	21
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	158	UG-P9	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS047	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS224	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1003	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS184	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS064	UG-P9	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS210	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS048	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS580	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS204	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS095	UG-P9	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	159	UG-P10	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: 1. Maintain the amenity values and <u>Achieve the character built form</u> anticipated within each township and the outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; 2. Recognise and protect identified Heritage Sites, Heritage Settings, and Notable Trees; and 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS048	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS225	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1004	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS185	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS065	UG-P10	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS205	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS205	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS205	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS205	UG-P10	Support	Adopt	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS211	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS049	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS581	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS205	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS096	UG-P10	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including	Accept in Part	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	160	UG-P11	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS049	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS226	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1005	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS186	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS066	UG-P11	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS212	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS050	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS582	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS206	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS097	UG-P11	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	24
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	161	UG-P12	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Ensure the zoning of land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas demonstrates how it will integrate with existing urban environments, optimise the efficient and cost-effective provision of infrastructure, and protect natural and physical resources, by: 1. Aligning the zoning, subdivision and development with network capacity and availability of existing or new <u>planned</u> infrastructure, including through the staging of development; 2. ...	Accept	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>3. Ensuring the land is located where solid waste collection and disposal services are available <u>or planned</u>;</p> <p>4. Prioritising accessibility and connectivity between the <u>through zoning</u> land and adjoining neighbourhoods, commercial centres, open space reserves, and community facilities, including education providers, public reserves, and health services; and</p> <p>...</p>		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS050	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submission	Reject	25
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS227	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1006	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS187	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS067	UG-P12	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Reject	25
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS213	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS051	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS583	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS207	UG-P12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS098	UG-P12	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	25
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	162	UG-P13	Support In Part	<p>Amend policy as follows and move to Strategic Directions:</p> <p>Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p>	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028. 2. ... ; 3. The land is <u>within the Future Urban Zone</u> subject to an Urban Growth Overlay and the area is either: ... 4. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met;...		
DPR-0032	CCC	FS066	UG-P13	Oppose	Retain the existing provisions in the Proposed District Plan, except to the extent that an increase in the minimum net densities has been sought in the City Council submissions.	Accept	26
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS051	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	26
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS228	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1007	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS188	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS068	UG-P13	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS214	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS052	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS584	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS208	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	26
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS099	UG-P13	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	163	UG-P14	Support In Part	Amend policy as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. ... ; 2. The land is <u>within the Future Urban Zone</u> subject to an Urban Growth Overlay , or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; <u>and</u> 3. The minimum net densities support a range of housing types that respond to demographic change, social needs and outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and 4. ...	Reject	27
DPR-0032	CCC	FS067	UG-P14	Oppose	Retain the existing provisions in the Proposed District Plan.	Accept	27
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS052	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	27
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS229	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1008	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS189	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS069	UG-P14	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	27
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS215	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS053	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS585	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS209	UG-P14	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	27
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS100	UG-P14	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including	Accept in Part	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.</i>		
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	164	UG-P15	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions: Any new areas to support commercial activities, industrial activities, or activities provided for in the Port Zone or Knowledge Zone in the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. ... ; 2. The land <u>is within the Future Urban Zone subject to an Urban Growth Overlay</u> and the area is either: ...	Reject	28
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS053	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	28
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS230	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1009	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS190	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS070	UG-P15	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	28
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS216	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS054	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS586	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS210	UG-P15	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS101	UG-P15	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	28
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	165	UG-P16	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Strategic Directions:	Reject	29

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Any new areas to support commercial or industrial activities outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. ... ; 2. The land is <u>within the Future Urban Zone</u> subject to an Urban Growth Overlay , or is consolidated with an existing Town Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone or General Industrial Zone; ...		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS054	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	29
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS231	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1010	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS191	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS071	UG-P16	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	29
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS217	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS055	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS587	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS211	UG-P16	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS102	UG-P16	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	29
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	166	UG-P17	Support In Part	Amend follows and move to Strategic Directions: Encourage the intensification of urban activities or redevelopment of existing land within urban zones to assist in supporting the district's urban growth needs, including through the implementation of an adopted Urban Intensification Plan or any relevant Development Plan, to:	Reject	30

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					...		
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS055	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	30
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS232	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1011	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS192	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS072	UG-P17	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	30
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS218	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS056	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS588	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS212	UG-P17	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS103	UG-P17	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	30
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	167	UG-R1	Support In Part	Amend as follows and move to Future Urban Zone: Activities in the Urban Growth Overlay Future Urban Zone	Reject	31
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS056	UG-R1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	31
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS233	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1012	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS193	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS073	UG-R1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	31

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS219	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS057	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS589	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS213	UG-R1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS104	UG-R1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	31
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	168	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Future Urban Zone.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS057	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS234	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1013	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS194	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS074	UG-MAT1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS220	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS058	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS590	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS214	UG-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	32
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS105	UG-MAT1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	32

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	169	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Retain as notified and move to Strategic Directions	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS058	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS235	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS1014	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS195	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS075	UG-SCHED1	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS221	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS059	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS591	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS215	UG-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS106	UG-SCHED1	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	33
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	435	New	Oppose	Insert new Future Urban Zone. Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments.	Reject	8
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS102	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS320	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS265	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS304	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS119	New	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept	8
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS170	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	8
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS170	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	8
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS170	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	8
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS170	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	8
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS381	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS102	New	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS692	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS359	New	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS196	New	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	436	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Delete the Urban Growth Overlay and replace with Future Urban Zone. Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachments.	Reject	8
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS103	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	8
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS321	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS266	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS305	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS120	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Reject submissions.	Accept in Part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	FS383	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS103	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	FS693	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject submission points in part	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	FS360	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission points in part.	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0565	Shelley Street Holdings Ltd	FS197	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Support the submission subject to amendments to the MDRZ boundary at Rolleston to include properties on the east side of George Street including no. 30 George Street & any other amendments/changes to the relevant provisions as are consistent with enabling our MDH proposal.	Accept in Part	8
DPR-0417	Jenny Fisher, Graham & Racquel Drayton, John & Fiona Kipping, David & Elizabeth Whiten	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include Lot 1 DP 81701, Lot 2 DP 81701, Lot 3 DP 81701, Lot 4 DP 81701, Lot 1 DP 52527 and RS 37687.	Reject	36
DPR-0422	NCFF	058	Intensification	Support In Part	Request Council reviews the definition and renames 'urban intensification' or 'housing density intensification'.	Accept	7
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS090	Intensification	Support	Accept	Accept	7
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora	FS074	Intensification	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	7
DPR-0422	NCFF	069	Net Density	Oppose	Delete definition in its entirety.	Reject	7
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS094	Net Density	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	7
DPR-0422	NCFF	089	Versatile Soils	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace with: <u>Soil versatility is a measure of what uses a soil is best suited to. Very versatile soils are suited to a wide range of uses – including cultivation and cropping which are very demanding on soil. Soil versatility considers:</u> <u>- the potential rooting depth of plants</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>how well the soil can withstand traffic (both vehicles and animals)</u> - <u>the potential loss of nutrients from the soil</u> - <u>the potential risk of erosion</u> - <u>the water deficit – whether there is enough water in the soil for plants soil drainage.</u> 		
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS098	Versatile Soils	Support In Part	Accept the submission with amenders to align with the upcoming NPS for Highly Productive Land.	Accept in Part	7
DPR-0481	Graeme and Virginia Adams	FS018	Versatile Soils	Support	Allow	Reject	7
DPR-0422	NCFF	246	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert new policies and rules to assess the impact on versatile/ productive soils when development of rural land is proposed for new housing and make any consequential amendments.	Reject	22
DPR-0136	Stewart Townsend & Fraser	FS244	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS868	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS270	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS888	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS150	New	Support In Part	Accept with amendments to address the reasons set out.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	FS243	New	Oppose In Part	Reject submission in part	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0422	NCFF	248	New	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Insert policies and rules to assess the impact on versatile/ productive soils when development of rural land is proposed for new housing.	Reject	22
DPR-0157	The Williams	FS869	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0209	M Singh	FS271	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS889	New	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	22
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS001	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	22
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS001	New	Oppose	Reject	Accept	22
DPR-0407	Forest & Bird	FS151	New	Support In Part	Accept with amendments to address the reasons set out.	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0431	Lance Roper	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include the following parcels:- PT RS 6377 - Lot 1 DP 70466 - Lot 2 DP 70466 - Lot 3 DP 70466 - Lot 4 DP 70466 - Lot 5 DP 70466 - Lot 6 DP 70466 - Lot 7 DP 70466 - Lot 2 DP 361975 - Pt RS 2456 - Lot 3 DP 2086 - Pt Lot 4 DP 2086 - Lot 1 DP 361975 - Pt Lot 1 DP 2086 - Pt Lot 2 DP 2086	Reject	36
DPR-0245	Brendan Herries	FS003	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow the expansion of the lincoln township south. Support overlay	Reject	36
DPR-0432	Birchs Village Limited	003	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Amend to include the land identified in the submission within the Urban Growth Overlay.	Reject	36
DPR-0298	Trices Rd	FS356	Urban Growth Overlay	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Neither accept nor reject the submission.	Accept	36
DPR-0446	Transpower	134	Urban Growth Overlay	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the boundary of the Hoskyns Road 'Urban Growth Overlay' to align with the southern extent of the National Grid Yard.	Reject	36
DPR-0446	Transpower	135	UG-P11	Support In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid adverse reverse sensitivity effects, <u>including reverse sensitivity effects</u> on:	Accept	24
DPR-0448	NZDF	048	UG-P11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in Part	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0450	Lance Roper	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Amend the Urban Growth Overlay to include the following parcels: -Lot 1 DP 4864 -Lot 2 DP 455360	Reject	36
DPR-0245	Brendan Herries	FS006	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Allow the expansion of the Lincoln township south. Support overlay	Reject	36
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS304	Urban Growth Overlay	Support	Adopt	Reject	36
DPR-0519	Dee-Ann Bolton	FS002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Do not include 185 Collins Road in the Urban Growth Overlay	Accept	36
DPR-0528	Nicole and Ben Schon	FS002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission. Do not include 185 Collins Rd in the Urban Growth Overlay.	Accept	36
DPR-0562	Richard Bolton	FS004	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Do not include 185 Collins Road in the Urban Growth Overlay	Accept	36
DPR-0589	Richard George Barratt	FS002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Do not include 185 Collins Road in the Urban Growth Overlay.	Accept	36
DPR-0590	Margaret Elizabeth Barratt	FS002	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Do not include 185 Collins Road in the Urban Growth Overlay	Accept	36
DPR-0452	Matthew Keen	001	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Use the Urban Growth Overlay to define future areas for expansion.	Accept	8
DPR-0452	Matthew Keen	002	Urban Growth Overlay	Support In Part	Include the area identified in Figure 1 of the submission (Days Rd and Collins Road, Lincoln) within the Urban Growth Overlay.	Reject	36
DPR-0032	CCC	FS172	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Oppose submission.	Accept	36
DPR-0205	Lincoln University	FS008	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	36

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0434	Lincoln University	FS008	Urban Growth Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	36
DPR-0454	Central Plains Water Limited	013	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: The residential zone shall be setback 300m from the closest outer edge of any paddocks, hard-stand areas, structures, or buildings used to hold or house stock, and wastewater treatment systems used for intensive primary production.	Reject	24
DPR-0414	Kāinga Ora - Homes & Communities	FS086	New	Oppose	Not specified	Accept	24
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	009	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>plenty of</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS009	UG-Overview	Oppose	That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS008	UG-Overview	Oppose	Disallow in full	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS027	UG-Overview	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0594	Andrew and Amanda Diehl	FS008	UG-Overview	Oppose	Reject submission point and maintain zoning and policy as drafted in PDP.	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	010	UG-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend UG-O3 to read: <u>As a minimum, there</u> There is sufficient ample feasible housing and sufficient business development capacity within Greater Christchurch to ensure: 1. The housing bottom lines are met; 2. A wide range of housing types, sizes, and densities are available to satisfy social and affordability needs and respond to demographic change; and	Reject	13
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS010	UG-O3	Oppose	<i>That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.</i>	Accept	13
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS009	UG-O3	Oppose	Disallow in full	Accept	13
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS028	UG-O3	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Accept	13
DPR-0594	Andrew and Amanda Diehl	FS009	UG-O3	Oppose	Reject submission point and maintain zoning and policy as drafted in PDP.	Accept	13
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	011	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete UG-P3 as notified	Reject	16
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS011	UG-P3	Oppose	<i>That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.</i>	Accept	16
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS029	UG-P3	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Accept	16
DPR-0594	Andrew and Amanda Diehl	FS011	UG-P3	Oppose	Reject submission point and maintain zoning and policy as drafted in PDP.	Accept	16
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	012	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend UG-P4 to read:	Reject	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form		
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS012	UG-P4	Oppose	<i>That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.</i>	Accept	17
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS030	UG-P4	Oppose	<i>Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.</i>	Accept	17
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	013	UG-P9	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for <u>Have particular regard</u> to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS013	UG-P9	Oppose	<i>That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.</i>	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS031	UG-P9	Oppose	<i>Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.</i>	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	014	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend UG-P10 to read: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: 1..... 3. Preserving <u>Have regard to</u> the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, <u>including</u> through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Reject	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS014	UG-P10	Oppose	That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.	Accept	23
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS010	UG-P10	Oppose	Disallow in full	Accept	23
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS032	UG-P10	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Accept	23
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	015	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS015	UG-P11	Oppose	That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township. Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties. Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.	Accept	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS212	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS212	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS212	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS212	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0537	Stephen Lycett	FS011	UG-P11	Oppose	Disallow in full	Accept	24
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS033	UG-P11	Oppose	Submission point to be disallowed in full. Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.	Accept	24
DPR-0460	Marama Te Wai Ltd	016	UG-P13	Oppose	Amend UG-P13 to read: Residential growth – Greater Christchurch area	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p> <p>1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028.</p> <p>2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch;</p> <p>3. The land is subject to an Urban Growth Overlay; <u>or</u></p> <p><u>4. The growth area is in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020); and</u></p> <p>5. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1-2 <u>1-5</u> hh/ha for rural residential activities are met; <u>or; and</u></p> <p><u>a. For General Residential zoned areas, A there is a diversity in housing types, sizes and densities. demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and</u></p> <p>b. An ODP is prepared that addresses the matters listed in UG-ODP Criteria and incorporated into this Plan before any subdivision proceeds.</p>		
DPR-0347	Richard Erskine & Trish Standfield	FS016	UG-P13	Oppose	<p><i>That all affected homeowners are consulted with, along with the rest of the West Melton township.</i></p> <p><i>Considers that a larger scale development would be more in keeping with the existing land owners on the eastern side of the proposal, would still retain the amenity value of the neighbouring properties.</i></p> <p><i>Refer to original further submission for full decision requested.</i></p>	Accept	26
DPR-0578	Elene (Helen) Anderson	FS034	UG-P13	Oppose	<p><i>Submission point to be disallowed in full.</i></p> <p><i>Should SDC choose to approve this submission either in full or part, then requests that 16 Shepherd Ave to be excluded from any rezoning, i.e. remain at the current LLRZ/GRUZ zoning.</i></p>	Accept	26
DPR-0594	Andrew and Amanda Diehl	FS010	UG-P13	Oppose	<p><i>Reject submission point and maintain zoning and policy as drafted in PDP.</i></p>	Accept	26
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	004	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend the UG-Overview to read:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>plentiful feasible</u> urban development...</p>	Accept in Part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u>		
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	005	UG-O3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: As a minimum, there There is sufficient plentiful feasible housing and sufficient business development capacity within Greater Christchurch to ensure:....	Reject	13
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	006	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	16
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	007	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay, where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form	Reject	17
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	008	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	009	UG-P10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas:... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	010	UG-P11	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
<i>DPR-0358</i>	<i>RWRL</i>	<i>FS213</i>	<i>UG-P11</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Adopt subject to wording</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>DPR-0363</i>	<i>IRHL</i>	<i>FS213</i>	<i>UG-P11</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Adopt subject to wording</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>DPR-0374</i>	<i>RIHL</i>	<i>FS213</i>	<i>UG-P11</i>	<i>Support In Part</i>	<i>Adopt subject to wording</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>24</i>

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS213	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0461	Dunweavin	011	UG-P13	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p> <p>1. Extensions assist in meeting the housing bottom lines (minimum housing targets) of 8,600 households over the medium term period through to 2028;</p> <p>2. A HDCA and FDS identify a need for additional feasible development capacity for the township and the additional residential land supports the rebuild and recovery of Greater Christchurch;</p> <p>3.</p> <p>4. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 2hh/ha for rural residential activities are met;or</p> <p><u>5. The new residential growth meets 1-4 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020</u></p> <p>6. A diversity in housing types, sizes and densities is demonstrated to respond to the demographic changes and social and affordability needs identified in a HDCA, FDS or outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and....</p>	Reject	26
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	006	UG-Overview	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend the UG-Overview to read:</p> <p>The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>plentiful</u> urban development...</p> <p>Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u></p>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS003	UG-Overview	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	007	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS004	UG-P3	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Reject	16
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	008	UG-P4	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows:	Reject	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form		
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS005	UG-P4	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Reject	17
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	009	UG-P7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes:.... 4. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available.	Reject	20
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS006	UG-P7	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Accept in Part	20
DPR-0488	Dally Family & McIlraith	010	UG-P9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas.	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0588	Michael House	FS007	UG-P9	Support	<i>The proposed changes to the PDP objectives and policies to be accepted</i>	Accept in Part	22
DPR-0491	The Robinsons	004	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>ample</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... These assist, <u>but are not determinative</u> , in determining where new urban areas... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0491	The Robinsons	005	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form	Reject	17
DPR-0491	The Robinsons	006	UG-P9	Oppose	Amend as follows:	Accept in Part	22

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Recognise and provide for Have particular regard to the finite nature of the versatile soil resource when zoning land to extend township boundaries to establish new urban areas		
DPR-0491	The Robinsons	007	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas:... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Accept in Part	23
DPR-0491	The Robinsons	008	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS214	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS214	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS214	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS214	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0491	The Robinsons	009	UG-P14	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area outside the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. There is a demonstrated need for additional development capacity within the township, including where identified in any relevant Development Plan; 2. The land is subject to the Urban Growth Overlay, or the township-based opportunities and constraints identified in any relevant Development Plan are addressed; 3. Except for the LLRZ, The the minimum net densities support a range of housing types that respond to demographic change, social needs and outcomes identified in any relevant Development Plan; and	Reject	27

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					4.		
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>plentiful feasible</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u>	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	004	UG-P7	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes: Township boundaries maintain a consolidated and compact urban form; 1. 3. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available.	Reject	20
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	005	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend UG-P10 to read: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: 1..... 3. Preserving <u>Have regard to</u> the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, <u>including</u> through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Reject	23
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	006	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS215	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS215	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS215	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS215	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0492	Kevler Development	007	UG-P13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where: 1. 4. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 5hh/ha for rural residential activities are met,; <u>or</u> 5. <u>The new residential growth meets 1-4 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020, including by supplying significant development capacity, supporting competitive land and development markets and contributing to well-functioning urban environments.</u> 6. A diversity in housing types, sizes and densities <u>is provided</u> ; and 7.	Reject	26
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	003	UG-Overview	Oppose	Amend the UG-Overview to read: The Selwyn District is a desirable place... This chapter also assists in ensuring there is enough <u>plentiful feasible</u> urban development... Ongoing urban development capacity intensified or redeveloped <u>and by a responsive approach towards other rezoning proposals which are in accordance with the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020.</u> The Urban Growth Overlay... Any urban development or subdivision of land outside of the existing township boundaries is precluded unless the urban growth policies have been fulfilled through the zoning process under Schedule 1 of the RMA.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS006	UG-Overview	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Accept in Part	10
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	004	UG-P3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	16
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS007	UG-P3	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject	16
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	005	UG-P4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Manage the zoning of land to establish any new urban areas or extensions to any township boundary outside the Greater Christchurch area of the District outside the Urban Growth Overlay , where it maintains a consolidated and compact urban form	Reject	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS008	UG-P4	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject	17
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	006	UG-P7	Oppose	Amend as follows: Any new urban areas shall deliver the following urban form and scale outcomes: Township boundaries maintain a consolidated and compact urban form; 1. 3. The extension of township boundaries along any strategic transport network is discouraged where there are more appropriate alternative locations available.	Reject	20
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS009	UG-P7	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject	20
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	007	UG-P10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Ensure the establishment of high-quality urban environments by requiring that new urban areas: ... 3. Preserving the rural outlook that characterises the General Rural Zone, including through appropriate landscape mitigation, densities, or development controls at the interface between rural and urban environments.	Reject	23
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS010	UG-P10	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject	23
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	008	UG-P11	Oppose	Amend as follows: When zoning land to establish any new urban area or to extend any township boundary, avoid <u>mitigate</u> sensitivity effects on: 1.	Reject	24
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS011	UG-P11	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
DPR-0358	RWRL	FS216	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0363	IRHL	FS216	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0374	RIHL	FS216	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0384	RIDL	FS216	UG-P11	Support In Part	Adopt subject to wording	Reject	24
DPR-0493	Gallina & Heinz-Wattie	009	UG-P13	Oppose	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Any new residential growth area within the Greater Christchurch area shall only occur where:</p> <p>1.</p> <p>4. The minimum net densities of 12hh/ha for residential activities or 1 to 5hh/ha for rural residential activities are met,;or</p> <p><u>5. The new residential growth meets 1-4 above and/or is in accordance with and will give effect to the National Policy Statement – Urban Development 2020, including by supplying significant development capacity, supporting competitive land and development markets and contributing to well-functioning urban environments.</u></p> <p>6. A diversity in housing types, sizes and densities <u>is provided</u>; and</p> <p>7.</p>	Reject	26
DPR-0302	Smith, Boyd & Blanchard	FS012	UG-P13	Support	Support subject to being consistent with the relief sought by submission 302.	Reject	26