OFFICER'S RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS FROM THE HEARINGS PANEL

DATE: 5 October 2021

HEARING: Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances

HEARING DATE: 11 October 2021

PREPARED BY: Jocelyn Lewes – Strategy & Policy Planner

Introduction

The purpose of this report is to provide a written response to the questions posed by the Hearings Panel on the s42A report for Contaminated Land and Hazardous Substances.

Questions and Answers

Paragraph or	Question from the Hearings Panel
Plan	
reference	
7.32	CRC seeks the inclusion of an additional policy to formalise the current sharing of information between the Council and CRC in relation to contaminated land.
	The S42A author rejects this request stating 'the relief CRC seeks does not provide any work for users of the PDP beyond itself and the Council, and is more appropriately a procedural matter for the parties. As the methods set out in Policy 17.3.4 of the CRPS states that local authorities should work together to consolidate information on a contaminated land register held by the CRC, I consider that this is already an obligation on the Council.'
	While we might accept the reasons given by the S42A author that a policy may be unnecessary, does the author agree that if a reference is included in the Plan that confirms an integrated and collaborative approach is occurring between the regional and district council for the management of contaminated land, it would reassure and give confidence to plan users?
Officer response:	No. I retain the view that the proposed policy provides no work for plan users generally, and that there are existing procedures in place outside of the PDP that manage the approach requested by the submitter. I further consider that the submission point is more a method, than a policy. The approach taken in the preparation of the PDP has been to streamline the contents, and as such it was decided that there would be no methods in the PDP, other than rules.
8.23	Can you please identify which sections of the Act and Regulations you are referring to.
Officer response:	The purpose of the <u>Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996</u> is to protect the environment, and the health and safety of people and communities, by preventing or managing the adverse effects of hazardous substances and new organisms.



Paragraph or	Question from the Hearings Panel	
Plan		
reference		
	However, as set out in the chapter overview, since December 2017, the rules around managing hazardous substances that affect human health and safety in the workplace have been transferred from the HSNO regime to the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 (HSW Act) with these rules given effect to within the Health and Safety at Work (Hazardous Substances) Regulations 2017.	
	Acknowledging that I am not qualified to speak to the specifics of the legislation, a word search of the HSW Act and the Regulations shows that any reference to manage is used in the context of a person who controls a workplace, or fixtures, fittings or plant within the workplace, rather than in relation risk. However, the following sections and schedules refer to eliminating or minimising risk.	
	Health and Safety at Work Act 2015 ■ s3 Purpose	
	s22 Meaning of reasonably practicable	
	• s30 Management of risks	
	• s44 Duty of officers	
	• s60 When engagement is required	
	s211 Regulations relating to health and safety.	
	Health and Safety at Work (Major Hazard Facilities) Regulations 2016	
	s25 Prescribed requirements for WorkSafe granting exemption	
	• s30 Duty to implement control measures	
	• s35 Duty to undertake ongoing review	
	s67 Duty to notify local community and local authority in event of major incident	
	Sch 5 Requirements of safety management system of major hazard facility	
	Sch 6 Information required in design notice for upper tier major hazard facility	
	Sch 7 Information required in safety case for upper tier major hazard facility	
8.28	The PDP does not appear to contain specific assessment matters – if that is the case would the relevant assessment matters for a resource consent triggered by PDP rules 1-4 be solely in terms of a consideration of the HAZS objectives and policies.	
Officer	Yes.	
response:		
8.58	Is the location of any buried infected material recorded on map overlays for future reference?	
Officer	As proposed in the s42A report, the recording of locations of buried infected materials	
response:	was not consider, or required. Following further consideration, I recommend that HAZS-	
	R4A ,as proposed in Appendix 2 to the s42A report, be amended, to require that the	
	location of any such earthworks be notified to Council , along with details of the nature	
	of the material buried and any on-going management requirements, as set out at the	
	end of this report.	



Paragraph or	Question from the Hearings Panel
Plan	
reference	
8.59	You have not discussed impacts on other sensitive environments such as outstanding natural features and landscapes. Can you please comment on the acceptability of this proposed approach in these locations.
Officer response:	Following further discussions with the relevant topic leads, I acknowledge that additional consideration is required of the appropriateness of earthworks in other sensitive environments, such as outstanding natural landscapes, sites and areas of significance to Maori and the coastal environment. As such, I recommend that HAZS-R4, as proposed in Appendix 2 to the s42A report, be amended, as set out below.
8.69	Is there any benefit to the plan users in being able to readily see (i.e. by a Map or a Schedule) where the Major Hazard Facilities are located?
Officer	I consider that there is little benefit to plan users being able to readily see where Major
response:	Hazard Facilities are located within the District within the District Plan as this
	information is currently readily available either via the WorkSafe website or Canterbury maps.
	https://ecan.maps.arcgis.com/apps/mapviewer/index.html?layers=f50bc915413840e c9486f0cd350631a2
	I consider that these platforms, in addition to Council's own GIS system, to be more up
	to date at any given time, than what a map or schedule in the District Plan may be.



Subsequent Changes to Appendix 2: Recommended amendments

The following changes are proposed to Appendix 2: Recommended Amendments in response to the Hearing Panels questions.

Original amendments were identified by way of underline or strikethrough. Double underlining has been used to identify defined terms that were proposed in the s42A report.

Additional amendments proposed in response to the Hearing Panels questions continue the above identification but are also shown in blue text.

HAZS-Policies		
HAZS-P5 ¹	Enable the removal and destruction of material infected by unwanted organisms that are being managed as part of Bbiosecurity response	
	under the Biosecurity Act 1993.	

[Grammatical amendment proposed to removed inappropriate capitalization]

HAZS-Rules		
HAZS-R4 ²	Materials Infected by Unwanted Organisms	
All Zones	Activity Status: PER	Activity status when compliance not achieved:
	1. Earthworks necessary for the burying of <u>material infected by unwanted organisms.</u>	2. When compliance with HAZS-R4.1.a is not achieved: DIS
	Where: aThe earthworks:	3. When compliance with HAZS-R4.1.b is not achieved: Refer to SASM-R2
	i. do not involve indigenous vegetation clearance; ii. are outside of an area identified in SASM-SCHED1;	4. When compliance with HAZS-R4.1.c is not achieved: Refer to CE-R5
	 iii are outside of the Coastal Environment Overlay; iv. are outside of the Significant Natural Areas Overlay; and b. Within 5 working days of the completion of the works, the Council's Chief 	4. When compliance with HAZS-R4.1.d is not achieved: Refer to EIB-R2
	Executive is notified in writing by the landowner or their representative of: i. the specific location and extent of any earthworks necessary of the burying of material infected by unwanted organisms; ii. the nature of the material infected by unwanted organisms; and	5. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to NH-Rule Requirements the relevant rule requirement

¹ DPR-0353.117 HortNZ

² DPR-0353.0119 HortNZ

1

	iii. any ongoing management requirements.	
	And where the activity complies with the following rule requirements:	
	NH-REQ4 Natural Hazards and Earthworks NFL-REQ9 Volume and Area of Earthworks NATC-REQ1 Setback from Surface Water Bodies – Earthworks and Earthworks Stockpiles	
All Zones	Activity status: PER	Activity status when compliance not achieved:
	4. Vegetation clearance necessary for the clearance of material infected by unwanted organisms	5. When compliance with HAZS-R4.1.a is not achieved: Refer EIB-R1
	Where:	
	a. The activity does not involve indigenous vegetation clearance.	

Consequential Amendments – EIB-R1		
EIB-R1	Indigenous Vegetation Clearance and Earthworks	
CMUZ	Activity Status: PER	
DPZ	1 Indigenous vegetation elegrance	
GRAZ	1. Indigenous vegetation clearance	
GIZ	Where:	
KNOZ		
PORTZ		
RESZ		
TEZ	e. the indigenous vegetation clearance is necessary for the clearance of material infected by unwanted organisms ³	
SKIZ	material infected by aniwanted organisms	

³ Consequential Amendments following DPR-0353.0119 HortNZ

GRUZ	Activity status: PER	
MPZ EIB Management	4. Indigenous vegetation clearance	
Overlay	Where:	
	The works are:	
	k. necessary in the course of removing pest plants and pest animals in accordance with any regional pest management plan, the Biosecurity Act	
	1993 or for the clearance of material infected by unwanted organisms ⁴ .	
EIB Significant Natural	Activity status: PER	
Areas Overlay	6. Indigenous vegetation clearance within a Significant Natural Area identified on the Planning Maps and listed in EIB-SCHED4	
	Where:	
	The works are:	
	g. indigenous vegetation clearance that is necessary for the clearance of material infected by unwanted organisms ⁵	

Consequential Amendments following DPR-0353.0119 HortNZ
 Consequential Amendments following DPR-0353.0119 HortNZ