

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0001	Erith Boyd	002	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Not specified	Reject	9
V1-0001	Erith Boyd	003	MRZ	Oppose	Not specified	Reject	11
V1-0002	Craig Chalmers	001	MRZ	Oppose	Requests that as little as possible of the intensive housing is adopted	Reject	11
V1-0003	Amanda Parkin	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Apply intensification to areas of Lincoln that have clear access to greenspace and sunlight. With council planning for the inevitable need for traffic and parking infrastructure.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0008	Jeff Elias	001	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	11
V1-0011	Helen and Tom Fraser	001	MRZ	Support	Retain variation as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0013	Jig Dhakal	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Amend the density provisions within a 10min walking distance of Lincoln University to allow for greater intensification than what MDRS currently provides for, or at a minimum retain the medium density standards as proposed.	Reject	11
V1-0013	Jig Dhakal	003	MRZ	Support	Not specified.	Accept	11
V1-0014	Craig Byers	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Delete proposed rezoning, and replaced with a more targeted analysis of where the new rules are best applied.	Reject	11
V1-0131	Terri Winder and Chris Lea	FS001	MRZ	Support	Allow in full.	Reject	11
V1-0014	Craig Byers	002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend Variation 1 to allow SDC to consider the principles of NPS-UD when subdivision applications are received for properties outside of the urban areas of Rolleston, Lincoln, Prebbleton.	Reject	11
V1-0131	Terri Winder and Chris Lea	FS002	MRZ	Support	Allow in full.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0015	Darren Wilson	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0017	Phil Hughes	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0017	Phil Hughes	002	MRZ	Oppose	Vote down this Variation and submit a legislation amendment to Central Government to amend the legislation changing the current list of Tier 1 Territorial Authorities to the Auckland, Wellington, Tauranga, Hamilton and CCCs, removing the other District (not City) Councils from the list.	Reject	11
V1-0017	Phil Hughes	003	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reject rezoning of the area around Stationmasters Way /Edward Law Boulevard corner of Prebbleton (inclusive Central Avenue, William Deans Drive and all internal roads).	Reject	11
V1-0017	Phil Hughes	004	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Exempt this part of Prebbleton from the Variation.	Reject	11
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	<i>We request council to disallow the submission on the basis that waste water capacity can be suitably addressed at the time of development when enabling intensification and re-zoning submissions.</i>	Accept	11
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	001	MRZ	Oppose	Exclude any land subject to a private plan change request until any appeals to the Environment Court have been concluded.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS016	MRZ	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS016	MRZ	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	Accept	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS004	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	002	MRZ	Support In Part	Target medium density to appropriate locations, to avoid inappropriate locations and contain the scale of medium density so that infrastructure	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					(which is already under stress) is not further burdened.		
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	004	MRZ	Oppose	Requests that Council proactively identify parts of townships, close to central amenities and public transport links, whereby medium density would be appropriate and investigate other mechanisms to reward sympathetic intensification e.g. temporary rates relief if criteria specified by Council are met.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS018	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS018	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0019	Kathryn Pooke	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reject rezoning of Hurley Drive, Rolleston and surrounding streets.	Reject	11
V1-0019	Kathryn Pooke	002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reject rezoning of Granite Drive, Rolleston and surrounding streets.	Reject	11
V1-0020	Tina Prince	001	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Amend such that neighbour's consent is required for a two or three storey house proposed beside an established one storey house.	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS024	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS024	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject the zone change from GRUZ to MRZ over the area included in PC69.	Reject	11
V1-0068	Manmeet Singh	FS002	MRZ	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	002	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Delete DEV-LI8 in its entirety.	Reject	12
V1-0068	Manmeet Singh	FS003	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	12
V1-0022	Eldho George	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0022	Eldho George	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0022	Eldho George	003	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Housing intensification rules should have to consider the loss of sunlight trees and urban	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					amenity and how that will affect citizens' mental health.		
V1-0022	Eldho George	004	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	9
V1-0022	Eldho George	005	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0022	Eldho George	006	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	9
V1-0024	Robert Claman	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete the Variation.	Reject	11
V1-0024	Robert Claman	002	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Delete the Variation.	Reject	9
V1-0024	Robert Claman	003	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete the Variation.	Reject	9
V1-0024	Robert Claman	004	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0024	Robert Claman	005	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0025	Yoursection	003	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0025	Yoursection	005	DEV-RO10	Support In Part	Amend to make it clear that there is a need for a consent notice mechanism to be applied to specify that NCZ rules will apply to the Certificate of Title that is issued for the neighbourhood centre site.	Accept	11
V1-0026	Daryl Streat	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0026	Daryl Streat	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0027	Richard Christie	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0027	Richard Christie	002	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0027	Richard Christie	003	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0027	Richard Christie	004	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0027	Richard Christie	005	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0027	Richard Christie	006	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	007	RESZ-Overview	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	008	RESZ-PA	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	009	RESZ-PB	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	010	RESZ-PC	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	011	RESZ-MAT3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	012	RESZ-MAT5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	013	RESZ-MAT6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	014	RESZ-MAT8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	015	RESZ-MATA	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	016	RESZ-MATB	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	017	RESZ-MATC	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	018	RESZ-MATD	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	024	GRZ-R15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	8
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	038	MRZ	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	11
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	039	GRZ-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	8
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	040	RESZ-MAT4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0029	G and L Burgess	041	RESZ-MAT7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0030	Tracey MacLeod	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reduce the extent of medium density coverage, restricting it to the Lincoln Town Centre, between North and South Belts.	Reject	11
V1-0030	Tracey MacLeod	002	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Include design standards that ensure sunlight and Lincoln's character is retained	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS013	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS013	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0030	Tracey MacLeod	003	MRZ	Oppose	Remove the area contained within PC69 from the variation.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0088	Orion	FS024	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0030	Tracey MacLeod	004	MRZ	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified	Reject	11
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	016	MRZ	Support In Part	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS173	MRZ	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS173	MRZ	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS173	MRZ	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS173	MRZ	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	11
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	022	RESZ-Overview	Neither Support Nor Oppose	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS179	RESZ-Overview	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS179	RESZ-Overview	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS179	RESZ-Overview	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS179	RESZ-Overview	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	032	RESZ-PA	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS189	RESZ-PA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS189	RESZ-PA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS189	RESZ-PA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS189	RESZ-PA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	033	RESZ-PB	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS190	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS190	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS190	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS190	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	034	RESZ-PC	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS191	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS191	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS191	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS191	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	035	RESZ-MAT3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS192	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS192	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS192	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS192	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	036	RESZ-MAT4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS193	RESZ-MAT4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS193	RESZ-MAT4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS193	RESZ-MAT4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS193	RESZ-MAT4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	037	RESZ-MAT5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS194	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS194	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS194	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS194	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	038	RESZ-MAT6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS195	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS195	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS195	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS195	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	039	RESZ-MAT7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS196	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS196	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS196	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS196	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	040	RESZ-MAT8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS197	RESZ-MAT8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS197	RESZ-MAT8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS197	RESZ-MAT8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS197	RESZ-MAT8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	041	RESZ-MATA	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS198	RESZ-MATA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS198	RESZ-MATA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS198	RESZ-MATA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS198	RESZ-MATA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	042	RESZ-MATB	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS199	RESZ-MATB	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS199	RESZ-MATB	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS199	RESZ-MATB	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS199	RESZ-MATB	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	043	RESZ-MATC	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS200	RESZ-MATC	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS200	RESZ-MATC	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS200	RESZ-MATC	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS200	RESZ-MATC	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	045	RESZ-MATD	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS202	RESZ-MATD	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS202	RESZ-MATD	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS202	RESZ-MATD	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS202	RESZ-MATD	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	7
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	046	GRZ-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS203	GRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS203	GRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS203	GRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS203	GRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	047	GRZ-R15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS204	GRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS204	GRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS204	GRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS204	GRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	049	MRZ-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS206	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS206	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS206	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS206	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	050	MRZ-P1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS207	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS207	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS207	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS207	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	051	MRZ-P2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS208	MRZ-P2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS208	MRZ-P2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS208	MRZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS208	MRZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	052	MRZ-R1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS209	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS209	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS209	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS209	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	053	MRZ-R2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS210	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS210	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS210	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS210	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	054	MRZ-R3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS211	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS211	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS211	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS211	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	055	MRZ-R4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS212	MRZ-R4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS212	MRZ-R4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS212	MRZ-R4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS212	MRZ-R4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	056	MRZ-R5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS213	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS213	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS213	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS213	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	057	MRZ-R6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS214	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS214	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS214	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS214	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	058	MRZ-R7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS215	MRZ-R7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS215	MRZ-R7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS215	MRZ-R7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS215	MRZ-R7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	061	MRZ-R8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS218	MRZ-R8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS218	MRZ-R8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS218	MRZ-R8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS218	MRZ-R8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	062	MRZ-R10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS219	MRZ-R10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS219	MRZ-R10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS219	MRZ-R10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS219	MRZ-R10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	063	MRZ-R9	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS220	MRZ-R9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS220	MRZ-R9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS220	MRZ-R9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS220	MRZ-R9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	064	MRZ-R11	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS221	MRZ-R11	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS221	MRZ-R11	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS221	MRZ-R11	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS221	MRZ-R11	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	065	MRZ-R12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS222	MRZ-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS222	MRZ-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS222	MRZ-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS222	MRZ-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	066	MRZ-R13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS223	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS223	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS223	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS223	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	067	MRZ-R14	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS224	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS224	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS224	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS224	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	068	MRZ-R15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS225	MRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS225	MRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS225	MRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS225	MRZ-R15	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	069	MRZ-R16	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS226	MRZ-R16	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS226	MRZ-R16	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS226	MRZ-R16	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS226	MRZ-R16	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	070	MRZ-R17	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS227	MRZ-R17	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS227	MRZ-R17	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS227	MRZ-R17	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS227	MRZ-R17	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	071	MRZ-R18	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS228	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS228	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS228	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS228	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	072	MRZ-R19	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS229	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS229	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS229	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS229	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	073	MRZ-R20	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS230	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS230	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS230	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS230	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	074	MRZ-R21	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS231	MRZ-R21	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS231	MRZ-R21	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS231	MRZ-R21	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS231	MRZ-R21	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	075	MRZ-R22	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS232	MRZ-R22	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS232	MRZ-R22	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS232	MRZ-R22	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS232	MRZ-R22	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	076	MRZ-R23	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS233	MRZ-R23	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS233	MRZ-R23	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS233	MRZ-R23	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS233	MRZ-R23	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	077	MRZ-R24	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS234	MRZ-R24	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS234	MRZ-R24	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS234	MRZ-R24	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS234	MRZ-R24	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	078	MRZ-REQ1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS235	MRZ-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS235	MRZ-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS235	MRZ-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS235	MRZ-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	079	MRZ-REQ2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS236	MRZ-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS236	MRZ-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS236	MRZ-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS236	MRZ-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	080	MRZ-REQ4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS237	MRZ-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS237	MRZ-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS237	MRZ-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS237	MRZ-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	081	MRZ-REQ5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS238	MRZ-REQ5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS238	MRZ-REQ5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS238	MRZ-REQ5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS238	MRZ-REQ5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	082	MRZ-REQ6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS239	MRZ-REQ6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS239	MRZ-REQ6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS239	MRZ-REQ6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS239	MRZ-REQ6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	083	MRZ-REQ7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS240	MRZ-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS240	MRZ-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS240	MRZ-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS240	MRZ-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	084	MRZ-REQ8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS241	MRZ-REQ8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS241	MRZ-REQ8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS241	MRZ-REQ8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS241	MRZ-REQ8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	085	MRZ-REQ9	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS242	MRZ-REQ9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS242	MRZ-REQ9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS242	MRZ-REQ9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS242	MRZ-REQ9	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	086	MRZ-REQ10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	<i>Accept</i>	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS243	MRZ-REQ10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS243	MRZ-REQ10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS243	MRZ-REQ10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS243	MRZ-REQ10	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	087	MRZ-REQ11	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS244	MRZ-REQ11	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS244	MRZ-REQ11	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS244	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS244	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	088	MRZ-REQ12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS245	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS245	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS245	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS245	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0034	Mohammad Rabani	001	MRZ	Support	Retain variation as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0035	Safeya Rabani	001	MRZ	Support	Retain variation as notified	Accept	11
V1-0036	Lois Sherriff	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Not specified.	Reject	11
V1-0037	Ron de Vries	001	MRZ	Support	Retain variation as notified	Accept	11
V1-0038	Jeff Heyl	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0038	Jeff Heyl	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0038	Jeff Heyl	003	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	9
V1-0039	Sandy Vries	001	MRZ	Support	Retain variation as notified	Accept	11
V1-0040	Geoffrey Cooke	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend exiting provisions so that they are not superseded in their entirety, and that existing provisions remain for existing residential properties, unless the Dwelling or Principal Building is modified using the MDRS to increase principal living space.	Reject	11
V1-0041	Mark Howard	001	GRZ	Support	Rezone LLRZ area along Iris Taylor Ave to GRZ.	Reject	8
V1-0042	Jason Horne	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0042	Jason Horne	003	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0042	Jason Horne	007	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	9
V1-0042	Jason Horne	008	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0042	Jason Horne	009	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0043	Rebecca Tunnicliffe	001	MRZ	Oppose	Exclude Trent Park, Prebbleton from the MRZ.	Reject	11
V1-0043	Rebecca Tunnicliffe	002	MRZ	Oppose	Exclude Prebbleton from the Selwyn MRZ.	Reject	11
V1-0044	Nicki Turner	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reduce the extent of medium density coverage, restricting it to the Lincoln Town Centre, between North and South Belts.	Reject	11
V1-0044	Nicki Turner	002	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Include design standards that ensure sunlight and Lincoln's character is retained	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS023	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS023	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0044	Nicki Turner	003	MRZ	Oppose	Remove the area contained within PC69 from the variation.	Reject	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS012	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.	Reject	11
V1-0044	Nicki Turner	004	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Not specified.	Reject	11
V1-0045	Summerset	001	MRZ	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Council engages constructively with the Retirement Villages Association in relation to Council's housing intensification variation.	Accept in part	10
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	001	MRZ	Oppose	Remove the PC69 land from Variation 1 until the Environment Court appeal has been finalised.	Reject	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS046	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	004	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Requests that Council put in a height limit of 2 storeys across all of Prebbleton, Rolleston, Lincoln.	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS027	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS027	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	007	MRZ	Oppose	Seeks that medium density is kept to Rolleston that has the infrastructure to support it.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS029	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS029	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0047	Michael and Karen Green	001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject the Variation as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0048	Urban Estates	001	DEV-PR3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	11
V1-0048	Urban Estates	002	MRZ	Support	Retain MRZ zoning as notified	Accept	11
V1-0048	Urban Estates	003	DEV-RO11	Support	Amend as follows: Access and Transport ... Access to the site is provided for from the existing frontage roads of Lincoln Rolleston Road and Selwyn Road. In addition There is also provision for connections to neighbouring developments. These include Acland Park to the west which has roads extending to the shared boundary. In addition Additional linkages are provided for to the area to the north know as DEVRO11 being a southern extension of the Falcons Landing residential development.	Reject	11
V1-0048	Urban Estates	004	MRZ	Support	Retain MRZ zoning as notified	Accept	11
V1-0049	Transpower New Zealand Limited	001	MRZ	Support	Retain the location and extent of the proposed MRZ so that the MRZ does not contain, and is not traversed by, the National Grid (including the 'National Grid Yard' and 'National Grid Corridor' as defined in the Proposed District Plan)	Accept	11
V1-0050	Kathleen Liberty	001	MRZ	Oppose	Join with CCC to appeal the Medium Density Rules to the High Court.	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0050	Kathleen Liberty	002	MRZ	Oppose	Data used to derive these important classifications needs to be remediated to be based on much higher quality data.	Reject	11
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	001	MRZ	Oppose	Amend the planning maps to rezone all of the land subject to PC71 from GRUZ to MRZ	Accept in part	11
V1-0065	CIAL	FS001	MRZ	Oppose	<i>CIAL seek that the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour retains rural zoning in the Proposed Variation. This is a precautionary measure until the remodelling process is completed and any updated contours are incorporated into the planning framework including potential hearings on the Proposed Variation.</i>	Accept	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS035	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	002	DEV-RO12	Support In Part	Amend DEV-RO12 to include the land which is within the 50Ldn Noise Contour.	Reject	11
V1-0054	MON Group Ltd	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Retain as notified, subject to the inclusion of a NCZ	Accept in part	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS048	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0054	MON Group Ltd	002	DEV-RO10	Support In Part	If possible, the submitter would prefer that the proposed Neighbourhood Centre was shown on the Planning maps. In any case, the ODP included in Variation 1 is supported as it retains the	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Neighbourhood Centre in the location approved through PC75 and is consistent with the Rolleston Structure Plan. Proposed District Plan. However, any such zoning should include a Neighbourhood Centre for the reasons set out in the various evidence and Commissioner's recommendation on PC75.		
V1-0025	Yoursection	FS004	DEV-RO10	Oppose In Part	Reject	Reject in part	11
V1-0055	AgResearch	011	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend Rule MRZ-R2 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: MRZ-REQ1 Servicing ...	Reject	9
V1-0009	Lincoln University	FS011	MRZ-R2	Support	Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.	Reject	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS046	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions,	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS257	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS257	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS257	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS257	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	001	MRZ-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The Medium Density Residential Zone provides for a variety of housing types, <u>households</u> , and sizes that respond to: ...	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	002	MRZ-P1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Enable a variety of housing types <u>and households</u> with a mix of densities within the zone, including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS031	MRZ-P1	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS031	MRZ-P1	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	003	MRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	012	GRZ-R15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	8
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	013	RESZ-Overview	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	014	RESZ-PA	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	015	RESZ-PB	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	016	RESZ-PC	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	017	RESZ-MAT3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	018	RESZ-MAT5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	019	RESZ-MAT6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	020	RESZ-MAT8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	021	RESZ-MATA	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	022	RESZ-MATB	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	023	RESZ-MATC	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	024	RESZ-MATD	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	7
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	026	MRZ-Overview	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	027	MRZ-P2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	028	MRZ-R1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	029	MRZ-R2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	030	MRZ-R3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	031	MRZ-R4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	032	MRZ-R5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	033	MRZ-R6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	034	MRZ-R7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	035	MRZ-R8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	036	MRZ-R10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	037	MRZ-R11	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	038	MRZ-R12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	039	MRZ-R13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	040	MRZ-R14	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	041	MRZ-R16	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	042	MRZ-R17	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	043	MRZ-R18	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	044	MRZ-R19	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	045	MRZ-R20	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	046	MRZ-R21	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	047	MRZ-R22	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	048	MRZ-R23	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	049	MRZ-R24	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	050	MRZ-REQ1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	051	MRZ-REQ2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	052	MRZ-REQ3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	053	MRZ-REQ4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	054	MRZ-REQ5	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	055	MRZ-REQ6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	056	MRZ-REQ7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	057	MRZ-REQ8	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	058	MRZ-REQ9	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	059	MRZ-REQ10	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	060	MRZ-REQ11	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept in part	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama	061	MRZ-REQ12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Accept	9
V1-0057	Ellis Darusette	001	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete the inclusion of Prebbleton under the MDRS rules and retain the existing residential zoning as GRZ, GRUZ and LLRZ.	Reject	11
V1-0059	Dunweavin	001	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0059	Dunweavin	002	DEV-RO9	Support In Part	Amend DEV-RO9 to realign the roading connection to the west.	Reject	11
V1-0059	Dunweavin	003	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	11
V1-0061	TRRG	001	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0061	TRRG	002	DEV-PR4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	11
V1-0061	TRRG	003	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	11
V1-0067	Kevler	001	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS026	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as</i>	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>		
V1-0067	Kevler	002	DEV-RO14	Support In Part	Delete as notified and replace with outline development plan, as included in submission.	Accept in part	12
V1-0071	Tony Gemmill	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend planning maps to exclude new subdivisions in Lincoln from MRZ.	Reject	11
V1-0071	Tony Gemmill	002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend planning maps to exclude the area of PC69 from MRZ.	Reject	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS049	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0071	Tony Gemmill	003	MRZ	Support In Part	Amend to apply the MRZ and new standards to land on or immediately adjacent to bus routes in Lincoln.	Reject	11
V1-0072	HSL	001	MRZ	Support	Retain MRZ as notified for Lot 1 DP 326339.	Accept	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS037	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0072	HSL	002	DEV-RO13	Support In Part	Amend ODP to: - Remove the need for a road link to the northwest and replace it with a second connection out to East Maddisons Road, - Remove the need for a pedestrian/ cycle link to the southwest or alternatively, retain the ODP as notified provided it includes an explanatory note that the	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					two links only need to be provided in the event that transport connections are also provided on the adjoining land. Refer to original submission for map		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS061	DEV-RO13	Oppose In Part	Disallow the submission and grant the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	11
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	007	GRZ-R15	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	8
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	011	RESZ-Overview	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	012	RESZ-PA	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	013	RESZ-PB	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	014	RESZ-PC	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	015	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	016	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	017	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	018	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	019	RESZ-MATA	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	020	RESZ-MATB	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	021	RESZ-MATC	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	022	RESZ-MATD	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	024	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0075	Graham Searle	001	MRZ	Oppose	Exclude Prebbleton from MRZ	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	002	New	Support	Insert new definition as follows: <u>Retirement Unit</u> means any unit within a retirement village that is used or designed to be used for a residential activity (whether or not it includes cooking, bathing, and toilet facilities). A retirement unit is not a residential unit.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	009	RESZ-O1	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.		
V1-0077	Ryman	010	RESZ-O2	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	011	RESZ-O3	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	012	RESZ-O4	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	013	RESZ-O5	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>Well-functioning urban environments that:</u> <u>(a) enable all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future; and</u> <u>(b) develop and change over time in response to the diverse and changing needs of people, communities and future generations.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	014	RESZ-O6	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	015	RESZ-O7	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.		
V1-0077	Ryman	016	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-OX Ageing population</u> <u>Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	017	RESZ-P1	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>Enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities within [include all relevant zones], including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	018	RESZ-P2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Vacant or under-utilised land is developed in an efficient and co-ordinated manner to increase housing choice by providing opportunities for residential units at densities higher than but compatible with the amenity and character <u>planned urban built form</u> of the locality.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	019	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>Ensure that all new buildings are consistent with the planned urban built form by:</u> <u>1. Describing the planned urban built form for each zone;</u> <u>2. Providing standards for buildings that reflect the planned urban built form for each zone, and requiring an assessment of effects of any breaches of those standards;</u> <u>3. Ensuring designs enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	020	RESZ-PA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0077	Ryman	021	RESZ-PB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0077	Ryman	022	RESZ-PC	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0077	Ryman	023	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Enable supported residential accommodation and	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					retirement villages that are: ...		
V1-0077	Ryman	024	New	Oppose In Part	Insert new policy as follows: <u>RES – PX Provision of housing for an ageing population</u> <u>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add relevant residential zones], such as retirement villages.</u> <u>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</u> <u>(a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</u> <u>(b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	025	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-PX - Changing communities</u> <u>To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the residential zones will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	026	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-PX – Larger sites</u> <u>Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	027	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>MRZ-PX Role of density standards</u> <u>Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.</u>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0077	Ryman	028	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	029	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	030	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	031	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	032	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	033	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	034	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	035	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	036	RESZ-MATA	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	037	RESZ-MATB	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	038	RESZ-MATC	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	039	RESZ-MATD	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	040	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-MATX Retirement Villages</u> <u>a. The effects arising from exceeding any of the relevant density standards.</u> <u>b. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> <u>c. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u> <u>d. The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length;</u> <u>e. When assessing the matters in 1 -4, consider:</u> <u>i. The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and</u> <u>ii. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village.</u> <u>f. The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village.</u> <u>For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Notification status:</u> <u>An application for resource consent made in respect of rule RESX-MATX is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> <u>An application for resource consent made in respect of rule MRZ-R2 that complies with MRZ-REQ2 – MRZ-REQ5 is precluded from being limited notified.</u>		
V1-0077	Ryman	041	MRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for the ageing population and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other residential activities.	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	042	MRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	043	MRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	044	MRZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	045	MRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	046	New	Support In Part	Insert as follows: <u>MRZ-RX Retirement villages</u> <u>Activity status: PER</u> <u>1. Any retirement village.</u> <u>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</u> <u>N/A</u>	Accept in part	10
V1-0077	Ryman	047	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages, so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities.	Accept in part	9
V1-0077	Ryman	048	New	Support In Part	Insert as follows: <u>MRZ-R2x Construction or alteration of or addition to any building or other structure for a retirement village</u> <u>Activity Status: RDIS</u> <u>1. The establishment of, or the addition / external alteration to, a retirement village that does not</u>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>comply with MRZ-R2 or MRZ-R4</u> <u>Matters for discretion:</u> <u>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to MRZ-R2x is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>a. RESZ-MATX Retirement Villages</u> <u>Notification:</u> <u>An application for resource consent associated with a retirement village made in respect of rule MRZ-R2x is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> <u>An application for resource consent associated with a retirement village made in respect of rule MRZ-R2x that complies with MRZ-REQ3 – MRZ-REQ6 is precluded from being limited notified.</u>		
V1-0077	Ryman	049	MRZ-R4	Support In Part	Requests that retirement villages that do not comply with MRZ-R4 should be provided for by the new rule proposed (Refer to V1-0079.048).	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	050	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	051	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Amend to refer to retirement units.	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	052	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0077	Ryman	053	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0077	Ryman	054	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Requests amendments to APP3, being a condition of MRZ-REQ5, as detailed in V1-0079.107.	Accept in part	9
V1-0077	Ryman	055	MRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Amend to clarify meaning of 'road' and 'internal'.	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	056	MRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> , or other principal building, facing the <u>a</u> public street must have a minimum of 20% of the street-facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	057	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... 3. <u>For retirement units, clause 1 and 2 apply with the following modifications:</u> a. <u>the outdoor living space may be in whole or in</u>	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and b. a retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.</u>		
V1-0077	Ryman	058	MRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... <u>10. For retirement units, clauses 1 – 9 apply with the following modification: The minimum dimensions for a required outlook space are 1 metre in depth and 1 metre in width for a principal living room and all other habitable rooms.</u>	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	059	MRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. A residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> at ground floor level must have a landscaped area: a. ... b. maybe located on any part of the development site and does not need to be associated with each residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> . 2. b. ... i. a minimum of of 1.8m high at time of planting; and ...	Accept in part	9
V1-0077	Ryman	081	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS032	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS034	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	082	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS033	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0084	Applefields	FS035	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	083	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS034	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS036	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	084	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS035	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS037	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	085	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS036	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS038	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	086	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS037	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS039	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	087	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS038	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS040	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	088	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS039	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS041	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	089	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS040	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS042	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	090	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS041	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS043	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	091	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS042	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS044	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	092	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS043	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS045	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	093	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS044	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS046	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	094	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS045	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS047	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	095	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS046	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS048	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	096	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS047	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS049	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	097	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS048	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS050	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	098	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS049	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS051	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	099	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS050	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0084	Applefields	FS052	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	100	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS051	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS053	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	101	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS052	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS054	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	102	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS053	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS055	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	103	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS054	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS056	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	104	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS055	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS057	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	105	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS056	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS058	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	106	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS057	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS059	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0077	Ryman	107	APP3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: This requirement does not apply to: ... <u>b. boundaries adjoining rural zones, commercial and mixed use zones, industrial zones and special</u>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>purpose zones.</u> C....		
V1-0078	KiwiRail	002	MRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: MRZ-Table1: Setbacks Boundary/Minimum depth Rail Corridor/5m	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS008	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	002	New	Support	Insert new definition as follows: <u>Retirement Unit</u> means any unit within a retirement village that is used or designed to be used for a residential activity (whether or not it includes cooking, bathing, and toilet facilities). A retirement unit is not a residential unit.	Reject	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS050	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS050	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS036	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS050	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS050	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0079	RVA	009	RESZ-O1	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS057	RESZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS057	RESZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS043	RESZ-O1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS057	RESZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS057	RESZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	010	RESZ-O2	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS058	RESZ-O2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS058	RESZ-O2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS044	RESZ-O2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS058	RESZ-O2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS058	RESZ-O2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	011	RESZ-O3	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS059	RESZ-O3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS059	RESZ-O3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS045	RESZ-O3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS059	RESZ-O3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS059	RESZ-O3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	012	RESZ-O4	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.		
V1-0102	CSI	FS060	RESZ-04	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS060	RESZ-04	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS046	RESZ-04	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS060	RESZ-04	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS060	RESZ-04	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	013	RESZ-05	Oppose	Deleted as notified and replace as follows: <u>Well-functioning urban environments that:</u> <u>(a) enable all people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing, and for their health and safety, now and into the future; and</u> <u>(b) develop and change over time in response to the diverse and changing needs of people, communities and future generations.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS061	RESZ-05	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS061	RESZ-05	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS047	RESZ-05	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS061	RESZ-05	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS061	RESZ-05	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	014	RESZ-06	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS062	RESZ-O6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS062	RESZ-O6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS048	RESZ-O6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS062	RESZ-O6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS062	RESZ-O6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	015	RESZ-O7	Oppose	Amend to remove conflict between the RESZ objectives and policies and the zone-specific objectives and policies and provide a clear statement that the zone-specific objectives and policies prevail in the event of conflict.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS063	RESZ-O7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS063	RESZ-O7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS049	RESZ-O7	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS063	RESZ-O7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS063	RESZ-O7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	016	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-OX Ageing population</u> <u>Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS064	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS064	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS050	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS064	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS064	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	017	RESZ-P1	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>Enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities within [include all relevant zones], including 3-storey attached and detached dwellings, and low-rise apartments.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS065	RESZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS065	RESZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS051	RESZ-P1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS065	RESZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS065	RESZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	018	RESZ-P2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Vacant or under-utilised land is developed in an efficient and co-ordinated manner to increase housing choice by providing opportunities for residential units at densities higher than but compatible with the amenity and character <u>planned urban built form</u> of the locality.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS066	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS066	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS052	RESZ-P2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS066	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS066	RESZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	019	RESZ-P3	Oppose In Part	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>Ensure that all new buildings are consistent with</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>the planned urban built form by:</u> <u>1. Describing the planned urban built form for each zone;</u> <u>2. Providing standards for buildings that reflect the planned urban built form for each zone, and requiring an assessment of effects of any breaches of those standards;</u> <u>3. Ensuring designs enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.</u>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS067	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS067	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS053	RESZ-P3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS067	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS067	RESZ-P3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	020	RESZ-PA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS068	RESZ-PA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS068	RESZ-PA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS054	RESZ-PA	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS068	RESZ-PA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS068	RESZ-PA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	021	RESZ-PB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS069	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS069	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS055	RESZ-PB	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS069	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS069	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	022	RESZ-PC	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS070	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS070	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS056	RESZ-PC	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS070	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS070	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	023	RESZ-P12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Enable supported residential accommodation and retirement villages that are: ...	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS071	RESZ-P12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS071	RESZ-P12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS057	RESZ-P12	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS071	RESZ-P12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS071	RESZ-P12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	024	New	Oppose In Part	Insert new policy as follows: <u>RESZ – PX Provision of housing for an ageing population</u> <u>1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the particular needs and characteristics of older persons in [add</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>relevant residential zones], such as retirement villages.</u> <u>2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</u> <u>(a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services.</u> <u>(b) Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</u>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS072	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS072	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS058	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS072	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS072	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	025	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-PX - Changing communities</u> <u>To provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the residential zones will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS073	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS073	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS059	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS073	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS073	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0079	RVA	026	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>RESZ-PX – Larger sites</u> <u>Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the [add] zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS074	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS074	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS060	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS074	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS074	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	027	New	Support	Insert as follows: <u>MRZ-PX Role of density standards</u> <u>Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.</u>	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS075	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS075	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS061	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS075	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS075	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	028	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS076	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS076	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS062	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS076	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS076	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	029	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS077	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS077	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS063	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS077	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS077	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	030	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS078	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS078	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS064	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS078	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS078	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	031	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS079	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS079	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS065	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS079	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS079	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0079	RVA	032	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS080	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS080	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS066	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS080	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS080	RESZ-MAT5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	033	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS081	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS081	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS067	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS081	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS081	RESZ-MAT6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	034	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS082	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS082	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS068	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS082	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS082	RESZ-MAT7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	035	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS083	RESZ-MAT8	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS083	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS069	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS083	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS083	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	036	RESZ-MATA	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS084	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS084	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS070	RESZ-MATA	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS084	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS084	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	037	RESZ-MATB	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS085	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS085	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS071	RESZ-MATB	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS085	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS085	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	038	RESZ-MATC	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS086	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS086	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS072	RESZ-MATC	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS086	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS086	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	039	RESZ-MATD	Oppose	Not specified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS087	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS087	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS073	RESZ-MATD	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS087	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS087	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	040	New	Oppose	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>RESZ-MATX Retirement Villages</u></p> <p><u>a. The effects arising from exceeding any of the relevant density standards.</u></p> <p><u>b. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u></p> <p><u>c. The effects arising from the quality of the interface between the retirement village and adjacent streets or public open spaces;</u></p> <p><u>d. The extent to which articulation, modulation and materiality addresses adverse visual dominance effects associated with building length;</u></p> <p><u>e. When assessing the matters in 1 -4, consider:</u></p> <p><u>i. The need to provide for efficient use of larger sites; and</u></p> <p><u>ii. The functional and operational needs of the retirement village.</u></p> <p><u>f. The positive effects of the construction, development and use of the retirement village.</u></p> <p><u>For clarity, no other rules or matters of discretion</u></p>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>relating to the effects of density apply to buildings for a retirement village.</u> <u>Notification status:</u> <u>An application for resource consent made in respect of rule RESX-MATX is precluded from being publicly notified.</u> <u>An application for resource consent made in respect of rule MRZ-R2 that complies with MRZ-REQ2 – MRZ-REQ5 is precluded from being limited notified.</u>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS088	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS088	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS074	New	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS088	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS088	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	041	MRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend the overview section to recognise the important role of retirement villages in providing for the ageing population and to recognise that the nature and effects of retirement villages are different to other residential activities.	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS089	MRZ-Overview	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS089	MRZ-Overview	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS075	MRZ-Overview	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS089	MRZ-Overview	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS089	MRZ-Overview	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	042	MRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS090	MRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS090	MRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS076	MRZ-O1	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS090	MRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS090	MRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	043	MRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS091	MRZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS091	MRZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS077	MRZ-P1	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS091	MRZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS091	MRZ-P1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	044	MRZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS092	MRZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS092	MRZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS078	MRZ-P2	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS092	MRZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS092	MRZ-P2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	045	MRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS093	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS093	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS079	MRZ-R1	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS093	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS093	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	046	New	Support In Part	Insert as follows: <u>MRZ-RX Retirement villages</u> <u>Activity status: PER</u> <u>1. Any retirement village.</u> <u>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</u> <u>N/A</u>	Accept in part	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS094	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS094	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS080	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS094	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS094	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0079	RVA	047	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend to include a set of focused matters of discretion that are applicable to retirement villages, so to provide for and acknowledge the differences that retirement villages have from other residential activities.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS095	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS095	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS081	MRZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS095	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS095	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0079	RVA	048	New	Support In Part	<p>Insert as follows:</p> <p><u>MRZ-R2x Construction or alteration of or addition to any building or other structure for a retirement village</u></p> <p>Activity Status: RDIS</p> <p><u>1. The establishment of, or the addition / external alteration to, a retirement village that does not comply with MRZ-R2 or MRZ-R4</u></p> <p><u>Matters for discretion:</u></p> <p><u>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to MRZ-R2x is restricted to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a. RESZ-MATX Retirement Villages</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>An application for resource consent associated with a retirement village made in respect of rule MRZ-R2x is precluded from being publicly notified.</u></p> <p><u>An application for resource consent associated with a retirement village made in respect of rule MRZ-R2x that complies with MRZ-REQ3 – MRZ-REQ6 is precluded from being limited notified.</u></p>	Accept in part	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS096	New	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS096	New	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS082	New	Oppose	<i>Disallow</i>	<i>Reject</i>	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS096	New	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS096	New	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0079	RVA	049	MRZ-R4	Support In Part	Requests that retirement villages that do not comply with MRZ-R4 should be provided for by the new rule proposed (Refer to V1-0079.048).	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS097	MRZ-R4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS097	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS083	MRZ-R4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS097	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS097	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	050	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS098	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS098	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS084	MRZ-REQ1	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS098	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS098	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	051	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Amend to refer to retirement units.	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS099	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS099	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS085	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS099	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS099	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	052	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS100	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS100	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS086	MRZ-REQ3	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS100	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS100	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0079	RVA	053	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS101	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS101	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS087	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS101	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS101	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0079	RVA	054	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose In Part	Requests amendments to APP3, being a condition of MRZ-REQ5, as detailed in V1-0079.107.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS102	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS102	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS088	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS102	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS102	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0079	RVA	055	MRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Amend to clarify meaning of 'road' and 'internal'.	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS103	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS103	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS089	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS103	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS103	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	056	MRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or retirement unit, or other principal building, facing the a public street must have a minimum of 20% of the street-facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors.	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS104	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS104	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS090	MRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS104	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS104	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	057	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... <u>3. For retirement units, clause 1 and 2 apply with the following modifications:</u> <u>a. the outdoor living space may be in whole or in part grouped cumulatively in 1 or more communally accessible location(s) and/or located directly adjacent to each retirement unit; and</u> <u>b. a retirement village may provide indoor living spaces in one or more communally accessible locations in lieu of up to 50% of the required outdoor living space.</u>	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS105	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS105	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS091	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS105	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS105	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0079	RVA	058	MRZ-REQ9	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... <u>10. For retirement units, clauses 1 – 9 apply with the following modification: The minimum dimensions for a required outlook space are 1 metre in depth and 1 metre in width for a principal living room and all other habitable rooms.</u>	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS106	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS106	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS092	MRZ-REQ9	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS106	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS106	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	059	MRZ-REQ10	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. A residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> at ground floor level must have a landscaped area: a. ... b. maybe located on any part of the development site and does not need to be associated with each residential unit <u>or retirement unit</u> . 2. b. ... i. a minimum of of 1.8m high at time of planting; and ...	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS107	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS107	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS093	MRZ-REQ10	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS107	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS107	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0079	RVA	081	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS129	DEV-LI1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS129	DEV-LI1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS115	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS129	DEV-LI1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS129	DEV-LI1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	082	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS007	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS009	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS130	DEV-LI2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS130	DEV-LI2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS116	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS130	DEV-LI2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS130	DEV-LI2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	083	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS008	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS010	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS131	DEV-LI3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS131	DEV-LI3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS117	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS131	DEV-LI3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS131	DEV-LI3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	084	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS009	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS011	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS132	DEV-LI4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS132	DEV-LI4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS118	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS132	DEV-LI4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS132	DEV-LI4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	085	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS010	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS012	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS133	DEV-LI5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS133	DEV-LI5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS119	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS133	DEV-LI5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS133	DEV-LI5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	086	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS011	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS013	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS134	DEV-LI6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS134	DEV-LI6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS120	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS134	DEV-LI6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS134	DEV-LI6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	087	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS012	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS014	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS135	DEV-LI7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS135	DEV-LI7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS121	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS135	DEV-LI7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS135	DEV-LI7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	088	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS013	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS015	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS136	DEV-LI8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS136	DEV-LI8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS122	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS136	DEV-LI8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS136	DEV-LI8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	089	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS014	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS016	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS137	DEV-PR1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS137	DEV-PR1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS123	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS137	DEV-PR1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS137	DEV-PR1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	090	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS015	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS017	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS138	DEV-PR2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS138	DEV-PR2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS124	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS138	DEV-PR2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS138	DEV-PR2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	091	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS016	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS018	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS139	DEV-PR3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS139	DEV-PR3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS125	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS139	DEV-PR3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS139	DEV-PR3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	092	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS017	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS019	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS140	DEV-PR4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS140	DEV-PR4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS126	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS140	DEV-PR4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS140	DEV-PR4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	093	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS018	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS020	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS141	DEV-RO1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS141	DEV-RO1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS127	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS141	DEV-RO1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS141	DEV-RO1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	094	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS019	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS021	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS142	DEV-RO2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS142	DEV-RO2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS128	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS142	DEV-RO2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS142	DEV-RO2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	095	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS020	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS022	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS143	DEV-RO3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS143	DEV-RO3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS129	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS143	DEV-RO3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS143	DEV-RO3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	096	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS021	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS023	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS144	DEV-RO4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS144	DEV-RO4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS130	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS144	DEV-RO4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS144	DEV-RO4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	097	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS022	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS024	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS145	DEV-RO5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS145	DEV-RO5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS131	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS145	DEV-RO5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS145	DEV-RO5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	098	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS023	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS025	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS146	DEV-RO6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS146	DEV-RO6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS132	DEV-RO6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS146	DEV-RO6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS146	DEV-RO6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	099	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS024	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS026	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS147	DEV-RO7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS147	DEV-RO7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS133	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS147	DEV-RO7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS147	DEV-RO7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	100	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS025	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS027	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS148	DEV-RO8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS148	DEV-RO8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS134	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS148	DEV-RO8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS148	DEV-RO8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	101	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS026	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS028	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS149	DEV-RO9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS149	DEV-RO9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS135	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS149	DEV-RO9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS149	DEV-RO9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	102	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS027	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS029	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS150	DEV-RO10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS150	DEV-RO10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS136	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS150	DEV-RO10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS150	DEV-RO10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	103	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS028	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS030	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS151	DEV-RO11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS151	DEV-RO11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS137	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS151	DEV-RO11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS151	DEV-RO11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	104	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS029	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS031	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS152	DEV-RO12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS152	DEV-RO12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS138	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS152	DEV-RO12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS152	DEV-RO12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	105	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS030	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS032	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS153	DEV-RO13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS153	DEV-RO13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS139	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS153	DEV-RO13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS153	DEV-RO13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	106	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS031	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS033	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS154	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS154	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS140	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS154	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS154	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	107	APP3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: This requirement does not apply to: ... <u>b. boundaries adjoining rural zones, commercial and mixed use zones, industrial zones and special purpose zones.</u> c....	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS155	APP3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS155	APP3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS141	APP3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS155	APP3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS155	APP3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0080	CCC	001	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The ODP Area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 15 households per hectare. Higher density residential uses should be located within areas adjacent to key open space linkages having access to primary and secondary roads to provide increased housing choice for future residents.	Accept in part	12
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS001	DEV-LI1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission	Reject	12
V1-0070	Ballantrae Residents Group	FS001	DEV-LI1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS001	DEV-LI1	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0084	Applefields	FS008	DEV-LI1	Oppose In Part	Reject the Submission.	Reject	12
V1-0087	Margaret Springer	FS001	DEV-LI1	Oppose In Part	Reject submission	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS002	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS002	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS002	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS002	DEV-LI1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0130	Dally Family Trust & Robbie and Julia McIlraith	FS001	DEV-LI1	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	002	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS002	DEV-LI2	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS003	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS003	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS003	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS003	DEV-LI2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	003	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 15 households per hectare. Higher density residential development with smaller sites should be located near proposed open space areas that intersect with primary roads, and in close proximity to walkway / cycleway links to Edward	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					St, which in turn provides access to the town centre. ...		
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS003	DEV-LI3	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS004	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS004	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS004	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS004	DEV-LI3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	004	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area will be comprised entirely of conventional residential development in accordance with the Lincoln Structure Plan. The area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 15 households per hectare. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS004	DEV-LI4	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS005	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS005	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS005	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS005	DEV-LI4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	005	DEV-LI5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS006	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS006	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS006	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS006	DEV-LI5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	006	DEV-LI6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS007	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS007	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS007	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS007	DEV-LI6	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0080	CCC	007	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The portion of the area included in the General Residential Zone shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 <u>15</u> households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS005	DEV-LI7	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS008	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS008	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS008	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS008	DEV-LI7	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	008	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The development area shall provide for a maximum of 1710 households beyond which an Integrated Transport Assessment shall be required in association with any resource consent application. In addition, the development area shall achieve a minimum net density of 12 <u>15</u> household per hectare, averaged over the area.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS006	DEV-LI8	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS009	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS009	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS009	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS009	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	009	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Amend to require a minimum net household density of 15 per hectare within DEV-PR1.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS007	DEV-PR1	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS010	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS010	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS010	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS010	DEV-PR1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	010	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The development area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 <u>15</u> households per hectare. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS008	DEV-PR2	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS011	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS011	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS011	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS011	DEV-PR2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	011	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area is to achieve a minimum of 12 <u>15</u> households per hectare. It supports a variety of lot sizes within the zone framework to achieve this minimum density.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS009	DEV-PR3	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS012	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS012	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS012	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS012	DEV-PR3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	012	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use A minimum net density of 12 <u>15</u> households per hectare (approximately 320hh) shall be achieved, averaged over the Site.	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS010	DEV-PR4	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS013	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS013	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS013	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS013	DEV-PR4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	013	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The development area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 15 household per hectare, averaged over the area. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0065	CIAL	FS004	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject the submission in so far as it relates to the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour. CIAL seek that the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour retains rural zoning in the Proposed Variation.	Accept	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS011	DEV-RO1	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS014	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS014	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS014	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS014	DEV-RO1	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	014	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area shall achieve a minimum of 10 15 households per hectare, averaged over the area. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS012	DEV-RO2	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS015	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS015	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS015	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS015	DEV-RO2	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	015	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 <u>15</u> households per hectare, averaged over the area. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS013	DEV-RO3	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS016	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS016	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS016	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS016	DEV-RO3	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	016	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area shall achieve a minimum net density of 10 <u>15</u> households per hectare. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS014	DEV-RO4	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS017	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS017	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS017	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS017	DEV-RO4	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	017	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area shall achieve a minimum of 10 <u>15</u> households per hectare. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS015	DEV-RO5	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS018	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS018	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS018	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS018	DEV-RO5	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	018	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Requests that, if the PC 73 spatial extent is to be included in the variation, the minimum residential density is set at 15 households per hectare within DEV-RO7.	Reject	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS016	DEV-RO7	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS019	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS019	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS019	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS019	DEV-RO7	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0080	CCC	019	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Requests that, if the PC 73 spatial extent is to be included in the variation, the minimum residential density is set at 15 households per hectare within DEV-RO8.	Reject	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS017	DEV-RO8	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS020	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS020	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS020	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS020	DEV-RO8	Oppose	Reject	Accept	12
V1-0080	CCC	020	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The development area shall achieve a minimum net density of 12 15 households per hectare, averaged over the area. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS018	DEV-RO9	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS021	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS021	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS021	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS021	DEV-RO9	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	021	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The development area shall achieve a minimum of 12 15 household lots per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0025	Yoursection	FS007	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS019	DEV-RO10	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS022	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS022	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS022	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS022	DEV-RO10	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	022	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The area is to achieve a minimum of 12 15 households per hectare. ...	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS020	DEV-RO11	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS023	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS023	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS023	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS023	DEV-RO11	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	023	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Amend as follows: Land Use The development area shall achieve a minimum net density of 15 households per hectare, averaged over the northern portion area; and 12 households per hectare averaged over the southern portion of the area.	Accept in part	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0065	CIAL	FS002	DEV-RO12	Oppose In Part	Reject the submission in so far as it relates to the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour. CIAL seek that the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour retains rural zoning in the Proposed Variation.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS021	DEV-RO12	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS024	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS024	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS024	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS024	DEV-RO12	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	024	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Amend to require a minimum net household density of 15 per hectare within DEV-RO13.	Accept in part	12
V1-0077	Ryman	FS060	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Disallow the submission and grant the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission.	Reject	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS022	DEV-RO13	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS025	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS025	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS025	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS025	DEV-RO13	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0080	CCC	025	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Amend to require a minimum net household density of 15 per hectare within DEV-RO14.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS023	DEV-RO14	Support	Accept the submission related to increase in minimum net density requirements to 15 households per hectare.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS026	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS026	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS026	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS026	DEV-RO14	Oppose	Reject	Reject	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Not specified.	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	004	MRZ-P2	Support	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	007	RESZ-PB	Support	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	011	RESZ-PA	Support	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	014	DEV	Support In Part	Not specified.	Accept in part	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	020	MRZ	Support	That the land subject to Plan Changes 68, 69, 71, 72, 75, 76 and 78 be included in the MRZ	Accept	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS085	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Disallow in part Private Plan Change 69 removed from Variation 1 to the Proposed Selwyn District Plan (Part A)	Reject	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS041	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.	Reject	11
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	022	MRZ-REQ4	Support In Part	Not specified.	Reject	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS018	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	023	RESZ-PC	Support	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	024	MRZ-O1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	025	MRZ-P1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0086	Jo Brady	001	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Amend the 11m height for Selwyn and put in place a 2 story maximum height.	Reject	9
V1-0086	Jo Brady	002	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Amend the 1m from a boundary construction to a minimum of 2m for Selwyn.	Reject	9
V1-0086	Jo Brady	003	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Amend the 1m from a boundary construction to a minimum of 2m for Selwyn.	Reject	9
V1-0090	FENZ	017	RESZ-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>6. Whether there is appropriate emergency access on/to the site:</u> <u>i. any access to on-site alternative firefighting water supply complies with SNZ PAS 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice.</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>ii. developments give effect to the guidance provided in the Firefighting Operations Emergency Vehicle Access Guide,</u> <u>iii. pedestrian accessways are clear, unobstructed and well-lit,</u> <u>iv. wayfinding for different properties on a development are clear in day and night,</u> <u>v. pedestrian accessways have a minimum width of:</u> <u>a. 3m on a straight accessway.</u> <u>b. 6.2m on a curved or cornered accessway</u> <u>c. 4.5m space to position the ladder and perform operational tasks.</u> <u>6 7. ...</u>		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS035	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS035	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0090	FENZ	018	RESZ-MAT3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>5. Provision of suitable firefighting water supply and pressure.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS036	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS036	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0090	FENZ	019	RESZ-MAT6	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: 6. Effects on the accessibility of the space between buildings and the affected boundary for cleaning, <u>emergency access</u> and maintenance; storage; and to keep the area free of vermin. <u>7. Fire risk mitigation incorporated to avoid horizontal spread of fire across boundaries</u>	Accept in part	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS037	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS037	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0090	FENZ	020	MRZ-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any residential unit or other principal building shall be connected to a Council reticulated water supply <u>with sufficient supply and pressure for firefighting. Where insufficient, an alternative</u>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>firefighting water supply shall be provided in accordance with SNZ4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Water Supplies Code of Practice</u>		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS038	MRZ-REQ1	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS038	MRZ-REQ1	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	014	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Insert a diagram or illustration to demonstrate how setbacks are to be measured in the MRZ. Or alternative relief to achieve the intended outcome.	Accept	7
V1-0092	SDC	015	New	Support In Part	Include explanatory diagrams to improve clarity. Or alternative relief to achieve the intended outcome.		
V1-0092	SDC	016	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R2 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQA Presentation to the Street</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS022	MRZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS019	MRZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	017	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R2 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQB Location of garages, accessory buildings, or structures</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS023	MRZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	018	MRZ-R2	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R2 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQC Dominance of garages, accessory buildings, or structures</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS024	MRZ-R2	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	019	MRZ-R3	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R3 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQB Location of garages, accessory buildings, or structures.</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS025	MRZ-R3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	020	MRZ-R3	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R3 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQC Dominance of garages, accessory buildings, or structures</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS026	MRZ-R3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	021	MRZ-R4	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R4 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQB Location of garages, accessory buildings, or structures.</u>	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS027	MRZ-R4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	022	MRZ-R4	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R2 as follows: ... Where this complies with the following rule requirements: ... <u>MRZ-REQC Dominance of garages, accessory buildings, or structures</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS028	MRZ-R4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	023	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R5.1.b, so that any fence between the front facade of a residential unit and the road boundary has a maximum height of 1m. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS020	MRZ-R5	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	024	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R5.1.b, so that any fence not subject to (a) has a maximum height of 1m, unless it is set back at the same alignment of the front facade as the residential unit or principal building. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS021	MRZ-R5	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	025	MRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ7 to clarify what is meant by "street facing façade", and how it works on corner sections, for consistency with RESZ-PB. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	026	MRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend so that MRZ-REQ7 applies to shared accessways, not just to roads. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS022	MRZ-REQ7	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	027	MRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend the diagram to show all of the gable end within the excluded area, and include it as a separate diagram for consistency with other diagrams in the MRZ-REQ. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	028	MRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ8 to ensure that outdoor living spaces are not located between the road boundary and the residential unit.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS029	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS023	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	029	MRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ8 to ensure that outdoor living spaces are not located between the road boundary and the residential unit.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS030	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS024	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	030	MRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ8 to ensure that outdoor living spaces are not located between the road boundary and the residential unit.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS031	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS025	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	031	MRZ-REQ8	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ8 to ensure that outdoor living spaces are not located between the road boundary and the residential unit.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS032	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS026	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	032	MRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ9 to clarify how the height of the outlook space is to be measured.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	033	MRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ9 to clarify how the height of the outlook space is to be measured.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	034	MRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ9 to clarify that ranch sliders, french doors, and the like, are considered "windows" for the purpose of the REQ.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0092	SDC	035	MRZ-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ9 to clarify that ranch sliders, french doors, and the like, are considered "windows" for the purpose of the REQ.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	036	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQA requiring a kitchen or habitable room to be located within the street-facing façade. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS033	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS027	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	037	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQA non-compliance condition, whereby non-compliance should have an RDIS status, with associated appropriate matters of discretion to ensure that RESZ-P3, RESZ-PA, RESZ-PB, and MRZ-P2 are achieved. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS034	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS028	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	038	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQA requiring a kitchen or habitable room to be located within the street-facing façade. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS035	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS029	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	039	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQA non-compliance condition, whereby non-compliance should have an RDIS status, with associated appropriate matters of discretion to ensure that RESZ-P3, RESZ-PA, RESZ-PB, and MRZ-P2 are achieved. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS036	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS030	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0092	SDC	040	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQB to require any garage, accessory building or structure to be no further forward on a site than the associated residential unit. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS037	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS031	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	041	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQB non-compliance condition, whereby non-compliance should have an RDIS status, with associated appropriate matters of discretion to ensure that RESZ-P3, RESZ-PA, RESZ-PB, and MRZ-P2 are achieved. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS038	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	042	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQB to require any garage, accessory building or structure to be no further forward on a site than the associated residential unit. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS039	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS032	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	043	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQB non-compliance condition, whereby non-compliance should have an RDIS status, with associated appropriate matters of discretion to ensure that RESZ-P3, RESZ-PA, RESZ-PB, and MRZ-P2 are achieved. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS040	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	044	New	Support In Part	Insert a new MRZ-REQC to require any garage, accessory building, or structure to be no more than 50% of the building frontage, where the site	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					has direct frontage to a road or shared accessway. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS041	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS033	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	045	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQC non-compliance condition, whereby non-compliance should have an RDIS status, with associated appropriate matters of discretion to ensure that RESZ-P3, RESZ-PA, RESZ-PB, and MRZ-P2 are achieved. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS042	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	046	New	Support In Part	Insert a new MRZ-REQC to require any garage, accessory building, or structure to be no more than 50% of the building frontage, where the site has direct frontage to a road or shared accessway. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS043	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS034	New	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0092	SDC	047	New	Support In Part	Insert new MRZ-REQC non-compliance condition, whereby non-compliance should have an RDIS status, with associated appropriate matters of discretion to ensure that RESZ-P3, RESZ-PA, RESZ-PB, and MRZ-P2 are achieved. Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS044	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0095	Fletcher	001	MRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. ... For the purposes of this requirement: (a) any area of of roofspace that is fully enclosed	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					by a gable shall not be included in the area of the street-facing façade., <u>(b) any area of an attached garage shall not be included in the area of the street facing façade.</u>		
V1-0131	Terri Winder and Chris Lea	FS003	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Allow in full.	Accept	9
V1-0095	Fletcher	002	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend to include, within the rule, the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence	Accept in part	9
V1-0131	Terri Winder and Chris Lea	FS004	MRZ-R5	Support	Allow in full.	Accept in part	9
V1-0095	Fletcher	003	MRZ-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. The maximum building coverage of all buildings must not exceed 50% of the net site area, <u>except that eaves and roof overhangs up to 600 millimetres in width and guttering up to 200mm in width from the wall of a building may be excluded</u>	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS040	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS040	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0131	Terri Winder and Chris Lea	FS005	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Allow in full.	Reject	9
V1-0095	Fletcher	004	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: In LLRZ, LRZ, GRZ, <u>MRZ</u> and SETZ, the required setback shall: - be measured from the external wall of the building closest to the relevant boundary; and - exclude eaves up to 0.6m in width from the wall of a building. MRZ In MRZ, the required setback shall be measured in accordance with building coverage. No section of any building, including any part of the building that extends beyond the ground floor level of the	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					building and overhangs the ground, may extend within the required setback.		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS041	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS041	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0095	Fletcher	005	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend rule to increase the fence height for fencing on a road boundary or reserve boundary to 1.2m	Accept in part	9
V1-0131	Terri Winder and Chris Lea	FS006	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend rule to increase the fence height for fencing on a reserve boundary to 1.2m and open style.	Accept in part	9
V1-0096	Metlifecare	001	RESZ-P3	Oppose	Amend as follows: Maintain and enhancement the built environment to provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities. In doing so, recognise that the existing character and amenity of the residential zones will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities, character and amenity values of residential zones by ensuring that all new buildings are: 1. of a scale appropriate to the locality; 2. sited in a location to enable privacy and retain open space and access to sunlight and daylight; 3. designed to enable ancillary activities such as accessory buildings, manoeuvring, and landscaping to be accommodated on the site.	Reject	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	002	RESZ-PC	Support	Retain RESZ-PC as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	003	RESZ-P12	Oppose	Amend as follows: Enable supported residential accommodation and retirement villages that are: ...	Reject	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	004	New	Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>Support the establishment and operation of</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>retirement villages by:</u> <u>1. Recognising the diverse range of housing and care needs that they provide;</u> <u>2. Recognising the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they:</u> <u>a) may require greater density than the planned built character to enable efficient provision of services.</u> <u>b) have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.</u> <u>3. Enabling more intensive use of larger sites where the effects of intensification on surrounding sites can be appropriately mitigated.</u>		
V1-0096	Metlifecare	005	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Reject	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	006	RESZ-MAT2	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Reject	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	007	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	008	RESZ-MAT4	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	009	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	010	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	011	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	012	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	013	RESZ-MATA	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	014	RESZ-MATB	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	015	RESZ-MATC	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	016	RESZ-MATD	Oppose In Part	Not specified	Accept in part	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	017	RESZ-MAT13	Oppose	Amend as follows: Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village	Reject	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	018	RESZ-MAT14	Oppose	Amend as follows: Design of Small Site Development, and Comprehensive Development, and Retirement Village	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0096	Metlifecare	019	New	Support	Provide a new matter of discretion for the Design of Retirement Villages as follows: <u>1. The design and location of buildings and structures and how this relates to the planned built character of the zone.</u> <u>2. The functional and operational needs of retirement villages.</u> <u>3. Whether the development is adequately serviced by three waters infrastructure or can address any constraints on the site.</u> <u>4. Whether the development includes outdoor space that is sufficient to cater to the needs of the residents of the village.</u> <u>5. The effects of the retirement village on the safety of and interface with adjacent streets or public open spaces.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0096	Metlifecare	020	MRZ-Overview	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: The purpose of the Medium Density Residential zone is to provide areas for a higher density of residential development than elsewhere in the district. ... <u>Retirement villages are also anticipated in this zone, to assist in meeting the growing demand for housing for the elderly. The functional and operational requirements of these different types of housing solutions are recognised.</u> ...	Reject	9
V1-0096	Metlifecare	021	New	Support	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>Activity status: RDIS</u> <u>1. Any retirement village, including the establishment of, or additional/external alteration to, a retirement village</u> <u>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: MRZ-REQ1 Servicing</u>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>MRZ-REQ3 Building Coverage</u> <u>MRZ-REQ4 Height</u> <u>MRZ-REQ5: Height in Relation to Boundary</u> <u>MRZ-REQ6: Setback</u> <u>MRZ-REQ10: Landscape Area</u> <u>MRZ-REQ12: Development Areas</u> <u>Matters of discretion:</u> <u>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R[x].1 is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>(a) MRZ-P1 and MRZ-P2.</u> <u>(b) RESZ-MAT17 (Design of Retirement Villages).</u> <u>(c) NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations</u> <u>Activity status when compliance with MRZ-R[x].1 is not achieved:</u> <u>3. When compliance with any of MRZ-R[x].1 is not achieved: RDIS</u> <u>4. The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R[x].3 is restricted to the following matters</u> <u>(a) The extent and effect of non-compliance with the rule requirements in relation to MRZ-R[x].1 above.</u> <u>(b) The matters in MRZ-P1 and MRZ-P2.</u> <u>(d) The matters in RESZ-P1 and RESZ-P2 (Residential Activities), RESZ-PA and RESZ-PB (Residential Amenity), RESZ-P5 (Outdoor Living Space) and RESZ-P[X] (Retirement Village [as modified above]).</u> <u>(e) RESZ-MAT17 (Design of Retirement Villages).</u> <u>(f) NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations.</u>		
V1-0096	Metlifecare	022	GRZ	Oppose	Apply the MDRS and Density Standards to the GRZ, subject to the amendments proposed to GRZ-R13 and GRZ-REQ13.	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	023	GRZ-R13	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any retirement village, including the establishment of, or additional/external	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>alteration to, a retirement village Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</p> <p>...</p> <p>GRZ-REQ14 – Variety in Appearance <u>[And the following standards, subject to their amendment in line with the MDRS:]</u> <u>GRZ-REQ2 Building Coverage</u> <u>GRZ-REQ5: Setback</u> <u>GRZ-REQ10: Landscape Area</u> Matters of discretion: 2.The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.1 is restricted to the following matters: (g) <u>RESZ-MAT 13 Location of Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</u> (h) <u>RESZ-MAT 14 Design of Small Scale Development, Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village</u> (i) <u>The matters in GRZ-P1.</u> (j) <u>RESZ-MAT17 (Design of Retirement Villages).</u> (k) <u>NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations.</u> Activity status when compliance not achieved: 3. When compliance with any rule requirement listed in this rule is not achieved: Refer to GRZ-Rule Requirements:- 4. <u>The exercise of discretion in relation to GRZ-R13.3 is restricted to the following matters</u> (a) <u>The extent and effect of non-compliance with the rule requirements in relation to MRZ-R13.2 above.</u> (b) <u>The matters in MRZ-P1 and MRZ-P2.</u> (c) <u>The relevant matters in RESZ-P1 and RESZ-P2 (Residential Activities), RESZ-PA and RESZ-PB (Residential Amenity), RESZ-P5 (Outdoor Living Space) and RESZ-P[X] (Retirement Village [as</u></p>		

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>modified above</u>).		
					<u>(d) RESZ-MAT17 (Design of Retirement Villages).</u>		
					<u>(e) NH-MAT3 Geotechnical Considerations.</u>		
V1-0096	Metlifecare	024	GRZ-REQ13	Oppose	Delete GRZ-REQ13 or otherwise amend GRZ REQ13 to reflect the following, provided that they are updated to align with the MDRS GRZ-REQ1 Servicing GRZ-REQ2 Building Coverage GRZ-REQ3 Height GRZ-REQ4 Height in Relation to Boundary GRZ-REQ5: Setback GRZ-REQ8 Presentation to the Street GRZ-REQ10: Landscape Area	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	025	GRZ-REQ1	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	026	GRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	027	GRZ-REQ3	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	028	GRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	029	GRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	030	GRZ-REQ8	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0096	Metlifecare	031	GRZ-REQ10	Oppose	Amend to align with the MDRS	Reject	8
V1-0097	Chris Barrett	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0099	Barton Fields Villas	001	New	Oppose In Part	Insert a new rule as follows: <u>MRZ-RX</u> <u>Activity Status: RDIS</u> <u>1. Retirement Villages</u> <u>Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements:</u> <u>MRZ-REQ1 Servicing</u> <u>MRZ-REQ4 Height</u> <u>MRZ-REQ5 Height in Relation to Boundary</u> <u>MRZ-REQ6 Setbacks</u> <u>Matters for discretion:</u> <u>2. The exercise of discretion in relation to MRZ-RX.1. is restricted to the following matters:</u> <u>RESZ-MAT14 Design of Small Site Development,</u>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Comprehensive Development and Retirement Village Activity status when compliance not achieved: DIS</u>		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS042	New	Oppose In Part	<i>Seeks to have their original submission point (providing for the use of retirement villages as a permitted activity and the construction of buildings for retirement villages being provided for as a restricted discretionary activity) included and to disallow this submission point.</i>	<i>Reject in part</i>	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS042	New	Oppose In Part	<i>Seeks to have their original submission point (providing for the use of retirement villages as a permitted activity and the construction of buildings for retirement villages being provided for as a restricted discretionary activity) included and to disallow this submission point.</i>	<i>Reject in part</i>	10
V1-0099	Barton Fields Villas	002	MRZ-R5	Oppose In Part	In addition to reinstating the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence, amend provision as follows: 1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: ... b. within 4m of any legal road boundary, is a maximum height of 1m <u>if solid and 1.2m where more than 50% visually permeable.</u> ... <u>This rule does not apply to fences or freestanding walls which are perpendicular to a reserve or road boundary.</u>	Accept in part	9
V1-0099	Barton Fields Villas	003	MRZ-REQ7	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. ... For the purposes of this requirement: (a), any area of of roofspace that is fully enclosed by a gable shall not be included in the area of the street-facing façade.,	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>(b) any area of an attached garage shall not be included in the area of the street facing façade.</u>		
V1-0104	Sonya Strahan	001	MRZ	Oppose	Reject MRZ Variation 1 rezoning for Flemington and Barton Fields subdivisions.	Reject	11
V1-0104	Sonya Strahan	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete Leinster Terrace, Lincoln from Variation 1 of Selwyn Proposed District Plan.	Reject	11
V1-0104	Sonya Strahan	003	MRZ	Oppose	Delete Leinster Terrace, Lincoln from Variation 1 of Selwyn Proposed District Plan.	Reject	11
V1-0105	Christine Thirring	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0107	CRC	006	GRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0107	CRC	007	RESZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	008	RESZ-PA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	009	RESZ-PB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	010	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	011	RESZ-PC	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	012	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	013	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	014	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0107	CRC	015	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	016	RESZ-MATA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	017	RESZ-MATB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0107	CRC	018	RESZ-MATC	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0107	CRC	019	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Disallowed in part. Specifically we want Private Plan Change 69 not included in the Variation 1 to the Proposed Selwyn District Plan (Part A)	Reject	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Disallowed in part. Any private plan changes (such as PC69), or submissions seeking rezoning that are located on Highly Productive Land that had not been initiated by Selwyn Council, or made operative in	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>the District Plan at the time of the National Policy Statement Highly Productive Land (NPS HPL) coming into effect, should be removed from variation 1 until they have been fully evaluated under the provisions of the NPS HPL.</i>		
V1-0107	CRC	020	RESZ-MATD	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0107	CRC	022	MRZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	023	MRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	024	MRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	025	MRZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	026	MRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	027	MRZ-R2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	028	MRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	029	MRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	030	MRZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	031	MRZ-R6	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	9
V1-0107	CRC	032	MRZ-R7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	033	MRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	034	MRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	035	MRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	036	MRZ-R11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	037	MRZ-R12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	038	MRZ-R13	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	039	MRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	040	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS360	MRZ	Oppose	Reject	Reject	11
V1-0127	Lilley Family Trust	FS002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reject Submission insofar as it affects the decision sought by Lilley Family Trust through the PSDP.	Reject	11
V1-0107	CRC	041	MRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	042	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0107	CRC	043	MRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	044	MRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	045	MRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0107	CRC	046	MRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	047	MRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	048	MRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	049	MRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	050	MRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	051	MRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	052	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	053	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	054	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	055	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	056	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	057	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	058	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	059	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	060	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0107	CRC	061	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	062	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0108	Andrew Mazey	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Identify specific areas suitable for intensification on an effects basis to maintain current amenity in existing and planned residential areas.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS044	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS044	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0108	Andrew Mazey	002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Identify specific areas suitable for intensification on an effects basis to maintain current amenity in existing and planned residential areas.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS045	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS045	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0108	Andrew Mazey	003	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Identify specific areas suitable for intensification on an effects basis to maintain current amenity in existing and planned residential areas.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS046	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0079	RVA	FS046	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0108	Andrew Mazey	004	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Continue to uphold this in light of this proposed Variation, and its intentions to allow further intensification.	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	001	MRZ	Oppose	Delete provision.	Reject	11
V1-0088	Orion	FS007	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Should land be rezoned as a result of any submission on Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan, that the corridor protection provisions sought in earlier Orion submissions and/or as amended in hearing evidence are applied to the rezoned land where that land intersects with the SEDLs.	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	002	MRZ	Oppose	Delete provision.	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	003	MRZ	Oppose	Delete provision.	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	004	MRZ	Oppose	Delete provision.	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	005	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	006	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	007	MRZ	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	11
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	008	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Delete provision.	Reject	9
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	012	MRZ	Oppose	Delete provision.	Reject	11
V1-0110	Nancy Borrie	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend the maps so that only the areas of Lincoln within the walkable catchments of land located along Birchs Road, James Street, Gerald Street, adjoining the Town Centre Zone to Lincoln University, adjoining the University and along Edward Street and within East Belt, North Belt, South Belt and West Belt be defined as MDRS.	Reject	11
V1-0111	Foodstuffs	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Retain as notified, except where otherwise submitted	Reject	11
V1-0111	Foodstuffs	002	MRZ	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Include provisions which explicitly recognise the existing amenity effects of adjacent commercial activities (such as supermarkets) to MRZ and any other amendments which ensure operational and	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					functional needs of existing lawfully established commercial activities are not hindered or constrained in future by new residential development in the MRZ.		
V1-0053	Four Stars & Gould	FS005	MRZ	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS047	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS047	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS004	MRZ	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	11
V1-0112	Hughes	001	RESZ-MAT5	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 1. ... <u>2. Providing variation along the streetscape.</u> <u>2.3. Balancing the effects</u> Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>with the benefits of maximising solar orientation and outdoor living space.</u> <u>3.4. ...</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS029	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS029	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS029	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS029	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	002	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: ... 2. Balancing the effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>with efficient design outcomes.</u> ...	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS030	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS030	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS030	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS030	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	003	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Delete RESZ-MAT6.6 as notified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS031	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS031	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS031	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS031	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	006	RESZ-MAT8	Support In Part	Amend RESZ-MAT8.6.c as follows: 6. Extent to which landscaping on the site: c. reduces the visual impact of buildings <u>on neighbouring properties</u> through screening and planting;	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS034	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS034	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS034	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS034	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	007	RESZ-MAT8	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>7. The extent to which the development is consistent with the rule requirements listed in MRZ-R2</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS035	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS035	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS035	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS035	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	008	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Activity status: PER 1. Any fence or freestanding wall ... For the purposes of calculating the maximum height under this rule requirement, where a fence is erected atop a retaining wall, the height shall be the combined distance measured vertically from the base of the retaining wall to the top of the fence. <u>This rule does not apply to fences or freestanding walls which are perpendicular to a reserve or road boundary.</u>	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS036	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS036	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS036	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS036	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0112	Hughes	009	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose In Part	Amend MRZ-TABLE1: Setbacks as follows: Internal – 0m for <u>garages with a wall length not exceeding 7m</u>	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS037	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS037	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS037	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS037	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0112	Hughes	010	MRZ-REQ7	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-REQ7.1 as follows: 1. ... For the purposes of this requirement: <u>(a)</u> , any area of of roofspace that is fully enclosed by a gable shall not be included in the area of the street-facing façade., <u>(b)</u> any area of an attached garage shall not be included in the area of the street facing façade.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS038	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS038	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS038	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS038	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0112	Hughes	012	DEV-RO14	Support In Part	Retain the connections to the existing Faringdon development as notified.	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS040	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS040	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS040	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS040	DEV-RO14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0112	Hughes	013	MRZ	Support	Retain the rezoning of Faringdon South, Faringdon South West (Westwood) and Faringdon	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					South East (West Village) as shown in the Variation 1 Planning Maps		
V1-0102	CSI	FS041	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS041	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS041	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS041	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0112	Hughes	016	MRZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. The maximum building coverage of all buildings must not exceed 50% of the net site area, <u>except that eaves and roof overhangs up to 600 millimetres in width and guttering up to 200mm in width from the wall of a building may be excluded.</u>	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS044	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS044	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS044	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS044	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0112	Hughes	017	RESZ-SCHED1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: In LLRZ, LRZ, GRZ , <u>MRZ</u> and SETZ, the required setback shall: ... MRZ In MRZ, the required setback shall be measured in accordance with building coverage. No section of any building, including any part of the building that extends beyond the ground floor level of the	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					building and overhangs the ground, may extend within the required setback.		
V1-0102	CSI	FS045	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS045	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS045	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS045	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	018	MRZ	Oppose In Part	A more targeted approach to locating density in appropriate locations (and zones) throughout the District, along with commensurate design outcomes to support the establishment of medium density housing.	Reject	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS046	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS046	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS046	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS046	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0112	Hughes	019	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend MRZ-R5.1 as follows: ... Where: a. ... b. within 4m of any legal road boundary, is a maximum height of 1m <u>if solid and 1.2m where more than 50% visually permeable.</u> ...	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS047	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS047	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS047	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS047	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0112	Hughes	020	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Reinstate the ability for a fence on the secondary boundary of a corner site to be a solid 1.8m fence.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS048	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS048	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS048	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS048	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	001	MRZ	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS086	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Disallow in part Private Plan Change 69 removed from Variation 1 to the Proposed Selwyn District Plan (Part A&B)	Reject	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS266	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS266	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS266	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS266	MRZ	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0127	Lilley Family Trust	FS001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Reject Submission insofar as it affects the decision sought by Lilley Family Trust through the PSDP.	Accept	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	009	MRZ-REQ4	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. Any application arising from MRZ-REQ3.2. shall not be subject to public or limited notification.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS056	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0079	RVA	FS056	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS274	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS274	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS274	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS274	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	014	GRZ-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>Development within the The General Residential Zone is in keeping with the planned provides a quality, urban residential amenity and a range of residential unit typologies to meet the diverse needs of the community, built form of predominantly two storey buildings, in a variety of housing typologies and sizes.</u>	Reject	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS279	GRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS279	GRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS279	GRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS279	GRZ-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	028	RESZ-PC	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS293	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS293	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS293	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS293	RESZ-PC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	029	RESZ-MAT1	Oppose	Delete as notified and replace as follows: <u>1. The scale and form of the development is compatible with the planned urban built form of the neighbourhood;</u> <u>2. The development contributes to a safe and attractive public realm and streetscape;</u> <u>3. The degree to which the development delivers quality on-site amenity and occupant privacy that is appropriate for its scale.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS294	RESZ-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS294	RESZ-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS294	RESZ-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS294	RESZ-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	031	RESZ-PA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS296	RESZ-PA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS296	RESZ-PA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS296	RESZ-PA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS296	RESZ-PA	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	032	RESZ-MAT2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>1. Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment having regard to the planned built form of the zone.</u> <u>2. Provision of adequate outdoor living space on site.</u>	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS297	RESZ-MAT2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS297	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS297	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS297	RESZ-MAT2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	033	RESZ-PB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS298	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS298	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS298	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS298	RESZ-PB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	034	RESZ-MAT3	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Effects on privacy, outlook, or shading on <u>the affected neighbouring sites property.</u> 2. Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>having regard to the planned built form of the zone.</u> 3. <u>The extent to which topography, building location and orientation and planting can mitigate the effects of the additional height of the building or structure.</u> 4. <u>The extent to which the increase in height provides for the P</u>protection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1. 5. <u>The extent to which the increase in height provides for the M</u>mitigation of the effects of natural hazards. 	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS299	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS299	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS299	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS299	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	035	RESZ-MAT4	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Effects on privacy, outlook, or shading on the affected property <u>neighbouring sites</u> . 2. <u>The extent to which the increase in height provides for the</u> protection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1. 3. <u>Whether contextual site factors mean increased building height may be appropriate.</u>	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS300	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept ion part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS300	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept ion part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS300	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept ion part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS300	RESZ-MAT4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept ion part	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	036	RESZ-MAT5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Effects on the safety and efficiency of the land transport infrastructure. 2. Effects on visual amenity values, including dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment <u>streetscape having regard to the planned urban form of the zone.</u> 3. <u>The extent to which the reduction in road</u>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>boundary setback provides for the</u> P protection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1. ...		
V1-0102	CSI	FS301	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS301	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS301	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS301	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	037	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Effects on privacy, outlook, or shading on the affected property. 2. Effects on visual amenity values of adjoining residential properties, including privacy, outlook and dominance, and the compatibility with the receiving environment. 3. <u>The extent to which the reduction in setback provides for the</u> P protection of any notable tree (not protected trees) listed in TREE-SCHED2, heritage item listed in HH SCHED2, or sites and areas of significance to Māori listed in SASM-SCHED1. 4. <u>The extent to which the reduction in setback provides for the</u> M mitigation of the effects of natural hazards. 5. Reverse sensitivity effects. 6. Effects on the accessibility of the space between buildings and the affected boundary for cleaning and maintenance; storage; and to keep the area free of vermin.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS302	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS302	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS302	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS302	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	038	RESZ-MAT7	Support In Part	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The degree to extent to which an open street scene is maintained and views passive surveillance opportunities are provided between the residential unit and the public space, private right of way, or shared access are retained street. 2. The <u>effects on the planned urban form and streetscape of the zone</u> and whether adequate mitigation of adverse effects can be achieved through landscaping or alternative design. extent to which the visual appearance of the site from the street, or private right of way, or shared access over which the lot has legal use of any part, is dominated by garden planting and the residential unit, rather than front fencing. 3. The extent to which the proposed fence is constructed out of the same materials as the residential unit and incorporates articulation and modulation, landscaping, or visually permeable elements. 4. Where located in the Large Lot Residential Zone, in a way that is compatible with the open and spacious character anticipated within this zone. 5. In the case of internal boundaries, to be of sufficient height to maintain privacy and/or 	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					security without adversely affecting the visual amenity or access to sunlight of adjoining land; 6. Necessity as an integral part of a recreational facility such as a swimming pool or tennis court. 7. The extent to which the fencing will reduce the outlook space from habitable rooms.		
V1-0102	CSI	FS303	RESZ-MAT7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS303	RESZ-MAT7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS303	RESZ-MAT7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS303	RESZ-MAT7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	039	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS304	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS304	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS304	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS304	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	040	RESZ-MATA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS305	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS305	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS305	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS305	RESZ-MATA	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	041	RESZ-MATB	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. The degree to which any reduction in outdoor	Accept in part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p>living space will adversely affect the ability of the site to provide for the outdoor living needs of residents of the site.</p> <p>2. The extent to which any outdoor living space intrudes in front of any residential unit such that it would be likely to give rise to pressure to erect high fences between the residential unit and the street, to the detriment of an open street scene.</p> <p>3. The degree to which large areas of public open space are provided within very close proximity to the site.</p> <p>4. The degree to which a reduction in outdoor living space would contribute to a visual perception of cramped development or over-development of the site.</p>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS306	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS306	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS306	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS306	RESZ-MATB	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	042	RESZ-MATC	Oppose	<p>Delete as notified and replace as follows:</p> <p><u>1. The extent to which habitable rooms have an outlook.</u></p> <p><u>2. The ability of the affected habitable rooms to receive daylight.</u></p> <p><u>3. The visual and landscape quality of the outlook space from the habitable rooms.</u></p> <p><u>4. The extent to which visual privacy is provided between habitable rooms of different residential units, on the same site.</u></p> <p><u>5. The extent to which the development provides additional outlook spaces from habitable rooms.</u></p>	Accept in part	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS049	RESZ-MATC	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS307	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS307	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS307	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS307	RESZ-MATC	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	043	RESZ-MATD	Oppose	Amend as follows: 1. The extent to which the proposed landscaping enhances residential amenity and is integrated within the site design to: ... d. contribute to a cooling effect of the urban environment. 2. Whether the development incorporates CPTED principles as required to achieve a safe, secure environment. 3. Effects on the permeability of the site for stormwater run-off and subsequent effects on adjoining sites.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS308	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS308	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS308	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS308	RESZ-MATD	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	044	RESZ-SCHED1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: In LLRZ, LRZ, GRZ, <u>MRZ</u> and SETZ, the required setback shall: ... MRZ	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					In MRZ, the required setback shall be measured in accordance with building coverage. No section of any building, including any part of the building that extends beyond the ground floor level of the building and overhangs the ground, may extend within the required setback.		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS048	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS048	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS309	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS309	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS309	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS309	RESZ-SCHED1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	045	GRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS310	GRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS310	GRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS310	GRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS310	GRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	046	MRZ-O1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: The Medium Density Residential Zone provides for a variety of housing types and sizes that respond to: 1. housing needs and demands; and ...	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS311	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS311	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS311	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS311	MRZ-O1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	047	MRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS312	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS312	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS312	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS312	MRZ-P1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	048	MRZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS313	MRZ-P2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS313	MRZ-P2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS313	MRZ-P2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS313	MRZ-P2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	049	MRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS314	MRZ-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS314	MRZ-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS314	MRZ-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS314	MRZ-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	050	MRZ-R2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS315	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS315	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS315	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS315	MRZ-R2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	051	MRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS316	MRZ-R10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS316	MRZ-R10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS316	MRZ-R10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS316	MRZ-R10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	052	MRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS317	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS317	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS317	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS317	MRZ-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	053	MRZ-R11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS318	MRZ-R11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS318	MRZ-R11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS318	MRZ-R11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS318	MRZ-R11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	054	MRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS319	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS319	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS319	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS319	MRZ-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	055	MRZ-R12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS320	MRZ-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS320	MRZ-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS320	MRZ-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS320	MRZ-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	056	MRZ-R5	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Any fence or freestanding wall Where: a. within 4m of any <u>fronting a road boundary:</u> i. is a maximum height of <u>1.2m; or</u> ii. <u>where fences exceed 1.2m in height shall be at least 50% visually permeable up to a maximum height of 1.8m.</u> ...	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS321	MRZ-R5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS321	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS321	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS321	MRZ-R5	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	057	MRZ-R13	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS322	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS322	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS322	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS322	MRZ-R13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	058	MRZ-R6	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS323	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS323	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS323	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS323	MRZ-R6	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	059	MRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS324	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS324	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS324	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS324	MRZ-R14	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	060	MRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS325	MRZ-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS325	MRZ-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS325	MRZ-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS325	MRZ-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	061	MRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS326	MRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS326	MRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS326	MRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS326	MRZ-R15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	062	MRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS327	MRZ-R9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS327	MRZ-R9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS327	MRZ-R9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS327	MRZ-R9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	063	MRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS328	MRZ-R16	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS328	MRZ-R16	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS328	MRZ-R16	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS328	MRZ-R16	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	064	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Amend as follows: ... Notification: e. Any application arising from MRZ-REQ5.2. shall not be subject to public or limited notification.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS057	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS057	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS329	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS329	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS329	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS329	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	065	MRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS330	MRZ-R17	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS330	MRZ-R17	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS330	MRZ-R17	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS330	MRZ-R17	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	066	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	Amend as follows: a. Any building or structure shall comply with the Height in Relation to Boundary D requirement in APP3 – Height in Relation to Boundary. Buildings must not project beyond a 60° recession plane measured from a point 4 metres vertically above ground level along all boundaries, as shown on the following diagram. Where the boundary	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>forms part of a legal right of way, entrance strip, access site, or pedestrian access way, the height in relation to boundary applies from the farthest boundary of that legal right of way, entrance strip, access site, or pedestrian access way.</u> <u>b. This standard does not apply to—</u> <u>(a) a boundary with a road:</u> <u>(b) existing or proposed internal boundaries within a site:</u> <u>(c) site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between 2 buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed.</u> ...		
V1-0102	CSI	FS331	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS331	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS331	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS331	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	067	MRZ-REQ6	Support In Part	Amend as follows. 4. Any application arising from MRZ-REQ6.2. shall not be subject to public or limited notification.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS052	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS052	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS332	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS332	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS332	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS332	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	068	MRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS333	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS333	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS333	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS333	MRZ-R18	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	069	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS334	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS334	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS334	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS334	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	070	MRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS335	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS335	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS335	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS335	MRZ-R19	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	071	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS336	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS336	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS336	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS336	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	072	MRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS337	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS337	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS337	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS337	MRZ-R20	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	073	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS338	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS338	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS338	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS338	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	074	MRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS339	MRZ-R21	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS339	MRZ-R21	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS339	MRZ-R21	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS339	MRZ-R21	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	075	MRZ-REQ10	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. Except as provided for in MRZ-REQ10.1, the area between the road boundary and the principal building, excluding those parts used for either vehicle or pedestrian access, shall be:	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					a. landscaped with a mix of lawn, garden beds, or shrubs; and b. provided with one specimen tree for every 10m of frontage that is:- i. a minimum of 1.8m high at time of planting; and ii. capable of achieving a height at maturity of 8m.		
V1-0102	CSI	FS340	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS340	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS340	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS340	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	076	MRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS341	MRZ-R22	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS341	MRZ-R22	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS341	MRZ-R22	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS341	MRZ-R22	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	077	MRZ-REQ11	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 3. The exercise of discretion in relation to MRZ-REQ11.3.a is restricted to the following matters: <u>a. RESZ-MAT1 Residential Design Effects of outdoor storage areas on the amenity of the streetscape, adjoining public space and adjoining residential sites.</u>	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS053	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS053	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS342	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS342	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS342	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS342	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	078	MRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS343	MRZ-R23	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS343	MRZ-R23	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS343	MRZ-R23	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS343	MRZ-R23	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	079	MRZ-REQ12	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS344	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS344	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS344	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS344	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	080	MRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS345	MRZ-R24	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS345	MRZ-R24	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS345	MRZ-R24	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS345	MRZ-R24	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	082	New	Support	Insert a new Retirement Village rule into the MRZ which provides for retirement villages as a Restricted Discretionary activity.	Accept in part	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS049	New	Oppose In Part	Seeks to have their original submission point (providing for the use of retirement villages as a permitted activity and the construction of buildings for retirement villages being provided for as a restricted discretionary activity) included and to disallow this submission point.	Reject in part	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS049	New	Oppose In Part	Seeks to have their original submission point (providing for the use of retirement villages as a permitted activity and the construction of buildings for retirement villages being provided for as a restricted discretionary activity) included and to disallow this submission point.	Reject in part	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS347	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS347	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS347	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS347	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	084	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS349	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS349	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS349	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS349	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	085	MRZ-REQ2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Matters for discretion: 3. The exercise of discretion in relation to MRZ-REQ2.2. is restricted to the following matters: a. RESZ-MAT1 Residential Design b. RESZ-MAT8 Additional Residential Units	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS350	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS350	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS350	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS350	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	086	MRZ-REQ2	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... <u>Notification:</u> 4. Any application arising from MRZ-REQ3.2. shall not be subject to public or limited notification.	Accept	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS050	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS055	MRZ-REQ2	Support In Part	Allow the submission to the extent it is consistent with the Enabling Housing Act.	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS055	MRZ-REQ2	Support In Part	Allow the submission to the extent it is consistent with the Enabling Housing Act.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS351	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS351	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS351	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS351	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	087	MRZ-REQ3	Support In Part	Amend as follows. 4. Any application arising from MRZ-REQ3.2. shall not be subject to public or limited notification.	Accept	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS051	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS051	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS352	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS352	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS352	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS352	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	088	MRZ-REQ4	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Buildings must not exceed 11m in height, when measured from ground level, except that 50% of a building's roof in elevation, measured vertically from the junction between wall and roof, may exceed this height by 1m, where the entire roof slopes 15° or more, as shown in MRZ-DIAGRAMA: Measurement of Height, <u>except in the Height Variation Control area, buildings must not exceed 19 metres in height.</u> ...	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS050	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS050	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS353	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS353	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS353	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS353	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0126	Emma Robertson	FS001	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject the proposed height control overlay 19m.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	016	RESZ-PC	Support In Part	Amend RESZ-PC as follows: Apply the Medium Density Residential Standards across all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where to the extent necessary to accommodate a relevant qualifying matter is relevant (including matters of significance such as historic heritage and the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga).	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	017	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Delete RESZ-MAT6.6.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	018	RESZ-MAT7	Support In Part	Amend RESZ-MAT7 as follows: ... 3. The extent to which the proposed fence is constructed out of the same materials as the residential unit and incorporates materials, articulation and modulation, landscaping, or visually permeable elements that provide visual interest. 4. Where located in the Large Lot Residential Zone, whether the fence in a way that is compatible with the open and spacious character anticipated within this zone. 5. In this case of internal boundaries, whether the fence is to be of sufficient height to maintain privacy and/or security without adversely affecting the visual amenity or access to sunlight of adjoining land; ...	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	027	RESZ-PA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	028	RESZ-PB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	029	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	030	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	031	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	032	RESZ-MATA	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	033	RESZ-MATB	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	034	RESZ-MATC	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	035	RESZ-MATD	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	036	RESZ- Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	037	GRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	039	MRZ- Overview	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	040	MRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	041	MRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	042	MRZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	043	MRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	044	MRZ-R2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	045	MRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	046	MRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	047	MRZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	048	MRZ-R6	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	049	MRZ-R7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	050	MRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	051	MRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	052	MRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	053	MRZ-R11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	054	MRZ-R12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	055	MRZ-R13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	056	MRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	057	MRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	058	MRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	059	MRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	060	MRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	061	MRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	062	MRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	063	MRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	064	MRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	065	MRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	066	MRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	067	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	068	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	069	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	070	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	071	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	072	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	073	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	074	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	075	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	076	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	077	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	078	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	001	MRZ	Support	Retain the proposed MRZ zoning for the relevant parts of PC69 site.	Accept	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS003	MRZ	Oppose	<i>Disallowed</i> <i>Specifically Private Plan Change 69 is not included in the Variation 1 to the Proposed Selwyn District Plan (Part A)</i>	Reject	11
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS088	MRZ	Oppose In Part	<i>Disallowed in part</i> <i>Decline any changes through Variation 1 to the proposed District Plan that relate to, or enable, Private Plan Change 69 as it is under appeal at the Environment Court. Any such changes sort through variation 1 should not be considered until the Environment Court Appeal on PC69 has been heard and decided.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0068	Manmeet Singh	FS004	MRZ	Support In Part	<i>As per relief specified in 'reasons for support in part'.</i>	Reject	11
V1-0115	RIDL	002	DEV-LI8	Support In Part	Amend the ODP for land subject to PC69 so that the commercial zone along Springs Road is identified as a LCZ.	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					Refer to original submission for full decision requested.		
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS004	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Disallowed Specifically Private Plan Change 69 is not included in the Variation 1 to the Proposed Selwyn District Plan (Part A)	Reject	12
V1-0062	LDHS	FS002	DEV-LI8	Support In Part	Continue Heritage Protection (H323) for The Springs Farmhouse/Chudleigh and its surrounds.	Reject	12
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS008	DEV-LI8	Oppose	Decline submission point 125 in Appendix 3 of submission V1-0115	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	024	RESZ-PC	Support In Part	Amend RESZ-PC as follows: Apply the Medium Density Residential Standards across all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where to the extent necessary to accommodate a relevant qualifying matter is relevant (including matters of significance such as historic heritage and the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu, and other taonga).	Reject	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS026	RESZ-PC	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Accept	7
V1-0062	LDHS	FS001	RESZ-PC	Support In Part	Continue Heritage Protection (H323) for The Springs Farmhouse/Chudleigh and its surrounds.	Accept	7
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS007	RESZ-PC	Oppose	Decline submission point 125 in Appendix 3 of submission V1-0115	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	025	RESZ-MAT6	Support In Part	Delete RESZ-MAT6.6.	Reject	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS027	RESZ-MAT6	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	026	RESZ-MAT7	Support In Part	Amend RESZ-MAT7 as follows: ... 3. The extent to which the proposed fence is constructed out of the same materials as the residential unit and incorporates materials, articulation and modulation, landscaping, or visually permeable elements that provide visual interest. 4. Where located in the Large Lot Residential Zone, <u>whether the fence in a way that</u> is compatible with the open and spacious character anticipated within this zone. 5. In this case of internal boundaries, <u>whether the fence is to be</u> of sufficient height to maintain privacy and/or- security without adversely affecting the visual amenity or access to sunlight of adjoining land; ...	Reject	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS028	RESZ-MAT7	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	027	RESZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS029	RESZ-Overview	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to</i>	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	028	GRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS030	GRZ-O1	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	029	MRZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS031	MRZ-Overview	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	034	GRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS036	GRZ-R15	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	035	GRZ-Overview	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS037	GRZ-Overview	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their</i>	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	036	RESZ-PA	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS038	RESZ-PA	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	037	RESZ-PB	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS039	RESZ-PB	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	038	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS040	RESZ-MAT3	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	039	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS041	RESZ-MAT8	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	040	RESZ-MATA	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS042	RESZ-MATA	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	041	RESZ-MATB	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS043	RESZ-MATB	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	042	RESZ-MATC	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS044	RESZ-MATC	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	043	RESZ-MATD	Support	Retain as notified	Accept in part	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS045	RESZ-MATD	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	044	MRZ-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS046	MRZ-O1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from</i>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	045	MRZ-P1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS047	MRZ-P1	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	046	MRZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS048	MRZ-P2	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	047	MRZ-R1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS049	MRZ-R1	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	048	MRZ-R2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS050	MRZ-R2	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their</i>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	049	MRZ-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS051	MRZ-R3	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	050	MRZ-R4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS052	MRZ-R4	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	051	MRZ-R5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS053	MRZ-R5	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	052	MRZ-R6	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS054	MRZ-R6	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	053	MRZ-R7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS055	MRZ-R7	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	054	MRZ-R8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS056	MRZ-R8	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	055	MRZ-R9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS057	MRZ-R9	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	056	MRZ-R10	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS058	MRZ-R10	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	057	MRZ-R11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS059	MRZ-R11	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from</i>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	058	MRZ-R12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS060	MRZ-R12	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	059	MRZ-R13	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS061	MRZ-R13	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	060	MRZ-R14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS062	MRZ-R14	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	061	MRZ-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS063	MRZ-R15	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their</i>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	062	MRZ-R16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS064	MRZ-R16	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	063	MRZ-R17	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS065	MRZ-R17	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	064	MRZ-R18	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS066	MRZ-R18	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	065	MRZ-R19	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS067	MRZ-R19	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	066	MRZ-R20	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS068	MRZ-R20	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	067	MRZ-R21	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS069	MRZ-R21	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	068	MRZ-R22	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS070	MRZ-R22	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	069	MRZ-R23	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS071	MRZ-R23	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	070	MRZ-R24	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS072	MRZ-R24	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	071	MRZ-REQ1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS073	MRZ-REQ1	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	072	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS074	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	073	MRZ-REQ3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS075	MRZ-REQ3	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	074	MRZ-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS076	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their</i>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	075	MRZ-REQ5	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS077	MRZ-REQ5	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	076	MRZ-REQ6	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS078	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	077	MRZ-REQ7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS079	MRZ-REQ7	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	078	MRZ-REQ8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS080	MRZ-REQ8	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	079	MRZ-REQ9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS081	MRZ-REQ9	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	080	MRZ-REQ10	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS082	MRZ-REQ10	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	081	MRZ-REQ11	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS083	MRZ-REQ11	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	082	MRZ-REQ12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS084	MRZ-REQ12	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0117	Graham Murphy	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Amended to only include future developments.	Reject	11
V1-0118	Alan Ye	001	MRZ	Support In Part	Include 8 McCormick Lane and 62 Finn Avenue, Rolleston in the MRZ as having immediate legal effect to enable infill development.	Accept in part	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0119	Stephanie Broomhall	001	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend the MDRS.	Reject	11
V1-0119	Stephanie Broomhall	002	MRZ	Oppose In Part	Amend the MDRS.	Reject	11