

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0025	Yoursection	004	SUB-REQ3	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: All zones 1. ... 2. Where the site is subject to an Outline Development Plan, any area shown within the Outline Development Plan as a Neighbourhood Centre shall be subject to the provisions of the NCZ, with a consent notice or similar mechanism to be registered on the Certificate of Title for these lots advising owners that the lot is subject to the NCZ rule package. ...	Accept in part	17
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	004	SUB-R1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	8
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	005	SUB-R12	Support	Not specified.	Accept	10
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	006	SUB-R13	Support	Not specified.	Accept	11
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	028	SUB-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 14. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: a. The subdivision application is accompanied by a site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or ...	Reject	15
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	15
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	029	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend as follows: 14. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: ... b. Every site:	Accept	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					i. has a minimum net site area of 400m ² , and ii. that is, or that is proposed to be as part of the application, subject to a legal mechanism restricting the number of residential units which may be erected on the site has a minimum net site.		
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	030	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Amend as follows: 16. When compliance with any of SUB-REQ1.14 is not achieved: DIS NC	Reject	15
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS005	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	15
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	031	SUB-REQ2	Support	Amend as follows: 3. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: a. the subdivision application is accompanied by a <u>site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity, a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or</u> ...	Reject	15
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS002	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	15
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	032	SUB-REQ2	Oppose	Amend as follows: 4. When compliance with any of SUB-REQ2.3 is not achieved: DIS NC	Reject	16
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS006	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	16
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	033	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Amend as follows: 3. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: a. the subdivision application is accompanied by a <u>site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity, a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to</u>	Reject	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or ...		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS003	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	18
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	034	SUB-MAT12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 2. Where the subdivision application is accompanied by a <u>site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity</u> , a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created, the mechanism to be used to ensure that no vacant sites are created.	Reject	25
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS004	SUB-MAT12	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	25
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	035	SUB-REQ7	Support	Not specified.	Accept in part	
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	036	SUB-REQ13	Support	Not specified.	Accept	23
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	037	SUB-MAT1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	042	SUB-MAT13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	26
V1-0029	G & S Burgess	043	SUB-R14	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	12
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	001	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Retain status as notified	Accept	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS019	SUB-R1	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS158	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS158	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS158	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS158	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	002	SUB-R12	Support	Retain CON activity status for boundary adjustments within the MRZ as notified.	Accept	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS020	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS159	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS159	SUB-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS159	SUB-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS159	SUB-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	003	SUB-R13	Support	Not specified.	Accept	11
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS021	SUB-R13	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS160	SUB-R13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS160	SUB-R13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS160	SUB-R13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS160	SUB-R13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	11
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	004	SUB-REQ13	Support	Not specified.	Accept	23
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS022	SUB-REQ13	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four	Accept	23

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS161	SUB-REQ13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	23
V1-0103	CGPL	FS161	SUB-REQ13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	23
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS161	SUB-REQ13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	23
V1-0115	RIDL	FS161	SUB-REQ13	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	23
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	005	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 14. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: a. The subdivision application is accompanied by a <u>site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity</u> , a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or	Reject	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS023	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously</i>	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS007	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS162	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS162	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS162	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS162	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	006	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 14. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: ... b. Every site: i. has a minimum net site area of 400m ² , and ii. that is, or that is proposed to be as part of the application, subject to a legal mechanism restricting the number of residential units which may be erected on the site has a minimum net site.	Accept	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS024	SUB-REQ1	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the	Accept	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS163	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS163	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS163	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS163	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	007	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ2.3.a as follows: 3. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: a. the subdivision application is accompanied <u>by a site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity, a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or</u>	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS025	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters)</i>	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS008	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	<i>Allow the submission in part.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0102	CSI	FS164	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0103	CGPL	FS164	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS164	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0115	RIDL	FS164	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	008	SUB-REQ7	Support	Not specified.	Accept in part	19
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS026	SUB-REQ7	Support	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept in part	19
V1-0102	CSI	FS165	SUB-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	19
V1-0103	CGPL	FS165	SUB-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	19
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS165	SUB-REQ7	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	19

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS165	SUB-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	19
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	009	SUB-MAT1	Support	Not specified.	Accept	24
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS027	SUB-MAT1	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept	24
V1-0102	CSI	FS166	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	24
V1-0103	CGPL	FS166	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	24
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS166	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	24
V1-0115	RIDL	FS166	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	24
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	010	SUB-MAT12	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 2. Where the subdivision application is accompanied by a <u>site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity</u> , a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created, the mechanism to be used to ensure that no vacant sites are created.	Reject	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS028	SUB-MAT12	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	25
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS009	SUB-MAT12	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	25
V1-0102	CSI	FS167	SUB-MAT12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	25
V1-0103	CGPL	FS167	SUB-MAT12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	25
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS167	SUB-MAT12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	25
V1-0115	RIDL	FS167	SUB-MAT12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	25
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	011	SUB-R1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Activity status: RDIS <u>CON</u> 1. Subdivision not subject to any of SUB-R12, SUB-R13, SUB-R14, or SUB-R15. ...	Reject	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS029	SUB-R1	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS168	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS168	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS168	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS168	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	012	SUB-R12	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: Activity status: RDIS <u>CON</u> 12. Boundary adjustment. ...	Reject	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS030	SUB-R12	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS169	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS169	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS169	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS169	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	013	SUB-R12	Oppose In Part	<p>Amend SUB-R12.12 as follows:</p> <p>12. Boundary adjustment.</p> <p>Where:</p> <p>a....</p> <p><u>b. For every site with an existing residential unit, either:</u></p> <p><u>i. the boundary adjustment does not increase the degree of any non-compliance with existing Residential units or other Principal Building; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. land use consent for the non-compliance has been granted</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</p> <p>15. When compliance with any of SUB-R12.12.a- is not achieved: Refer to the rules for subdivision in zones.</p>	Reject	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS031	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters)</i>	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS170	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS170	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS170	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS170	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	014	SUB-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 16. When compliance with any of SUB-REQ1.14 is not achieved: <u>DIS NC</u>	Reject	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS032	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Reject	15
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS011	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	<i>Allow the submission in part.</i>	Reject	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS171	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS171	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS171	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS171	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	015	SUB-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Amend as follows: 4. When compliance with any of SUB-REQ2.3 is not achieved: <u>DIS NC</u>	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS033	SUB-REQ2	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	16
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS012	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	16
V1-0102	CSI	FS172	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	16
V1-0103	CGPL	FS172	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS172	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	16
V1-0115	RIDL	FS172	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	029	SUB-R14	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS034	SUB-R14	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS186	SUB-R14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS186	SUB-R14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS186	SUB-R14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS186	SUB-R14	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	12
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	030	SUB-REQ4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend as follows: 3. For every site without an existing residential unit, either: a. the subdivision application is accompanied by <u>a site plan which shows the ability for the site to accommodate a residential unit as a Permitted Activity, a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or</u> ...	Reject	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS035	SUB-REQ4	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot	Reject	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS010	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part.	Reject	18
V1-0102	CSI	FS187	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18
V1-0103	CGPL	FS187	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS187	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18
V1-0115	RIDL	FS187	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	031	SUB-MAT13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	26
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS036	SUB-MAT13	Support	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved	Accept	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS188	SUB-MAT13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	26
V1-0103	CGPL	FS188	SUB-MAT13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	26
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS188	SUB-MAT13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	26
V1-0115	RIDL	FS188	SUB-MAT13	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	26
V1-0034	M Rabani	002	SUB	Support	Retain variation as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0035	S Rabani	002	SUB	Support	Retain variation as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0055	AgResearch	002	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Retain the CON activity status for subdivision in the MRZ	Accept	8
V1-0009	The University	FS002	SUB-R1	Support	Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.	Accept	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS037	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept in part	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS248	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS248	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS248	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS248	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	8
V1-0055	AgResearch	003	New	Support In Part	<p>Insert new SUB-RX for subdivision in MRZ as follows:</p> <p><u>Activity status: CON</u></p> <p><u>1. Subdivision of land for the purpose of the construction and use of residential units under MRZ-R2.</u></p> <p><u>Matters for control:</u></p> <p><u>2. The exercise of control in relation to SUB-RXX.1 is limited to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a. The provision of practical, physical and legal access from each allotment directly to a formed legal road or by registered right of way;</u></p> <p><u>b. The provision of a water supply connection to the Council's reticulated water supply system for each allotment sufficient to meet the design parameters in Section 7 of the Council's Engineering Code of Practice 2022;</u></p> <p><u>c. The provision of a wastewater disposal connection to Council's reticulated wastewater system for each allotment sufficient to meet the design parameters in Section 7 of the Council's Engineering Code of Practice 2022;</u></p> <p><u>d. The provision of a stormwater connection to Council's reticulated stormwater system for each allotment sufficient to meet the design parameters in Section 8 of the Council's Engineering Code of Practice 2022;</u></p> <p><u>e. The provision of fibre optic cable connections to the legal boundary of each allotment;</u></p> <p><u>f. The provision of electricity connections to the legal boundary or each allotment; and</u></p>	Reject	14

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<p><u>g. The matters in any applicable Outline Development Plan. Notification:</u></p> <p><u>3. Any application arising from SUB-RX.1 shall not be subject to public or limited notification and shall be processed on a non-notified basis.</u></p>		
V1-0009	The University	FS003	New	Support	Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments /inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.	Reject	14
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS038	New	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	14
V1-0102	CSI	FS249	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	14
V1-0103	CGPL	FS249	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	14
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS249	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	14
V1-0115	RIDL	FS249	New	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	14
V1-0055	AgResearch	004	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ4.3.a in either of the following ways: the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application supporting information that	Reject	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application <u>certificate of compliance</u> that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created		
V1-0009	The University	FS004	SUB-REQ4	Support	Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.	Reject	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS039	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	18
V1-0102	CSI	FS250	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18
V1-0103	CGPL	FS250	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS250	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS250	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	18
V1-0055	AgResearch	005	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ1.14.b as follows: Either delete the 400m minimum net site area requirement under SUB-REQ1.14.b or replace with a requirement that is more enabling of a wider range of medium and high-density housing typologies and land tenure arrangements. Any limit should be expressed in terms of area (m2) rather than lineal measure (m) as notified.	Accept in part	15
V1-0009	The University	FS005	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS040	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS251	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS251	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS251	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS251	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0055	AgResearch	006	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ2.3.a in either of the following ways: the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application supporting information that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application certificate of compliance that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created.	Reject	16
V1-0009	The University	FS006	SUB-REQ2	Support	Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS041	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	16
V1-0102	CSI	FS252	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS252	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS252	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0115	RIDL	FS252	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0055	AgResearch	007	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	Either delete the building square requirement under SUB-REQ2.3.b or replace with a requirement that is more enabling of a wider range of medium and high density housing typologies and land tenure arrangements.	Reject	16
V1-0009	The University	FS007	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS042	SUB-REQ2	Support In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0102	CSI	FS253	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0103	CGPL	FS253	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS253	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS253	SUB-REQ2	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0055	AgResearch	008	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ1.14.a in either of the following ways: the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application <u>supporting information</u> that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created; or the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application <u>certificate of compliance</u> that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created.	Reject	15
V1-0009	The University	FS008	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.</i>	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS043	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS254	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	16
V1-0103	CGPL	FS254	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS254	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	16
V1-0115	RIDL	FS254	SUB-REQ1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Reject</i>	16
V1-0055	AgResearch	009	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Either delete the minimum road frontage width requirement under SUB-REQ4.3.b or replace with a requirement that is more enabling of a wider range of medium and high-density housing typologies and land tenure arrangements.	Accept in part	18
V1-0009	The University	FS009	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS044	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	18
V1-0102	CSI	FS255	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	18
V1-0103	CGPL	FS255	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS255	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	18
V1-0115	RIDL	FS255	SUB-REQ4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	18
V1-0055	AgResearch	010	SUB-MAT12	Support In Part	Amend SUB-MAT12.2 in either of the following ways: 2. Where the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application <u>supporting information</u> that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created, the mechanism to be used to ensure that no vacant sites are created. or 2. Where the subdivision application is accompanied by a land use application <u>certificate of compliance</u> that will be determined concurrently with the subdivision application that demonstrates that it is practicable to construct, as a permitted activity, a residential unit on every site and that no vacant sites will be created, the mechanism to be used to ensure that no vacant sites are created.	Reject	25
V1-0009	The University	FS010	SUB-MAT12	Support	Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments/ inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.	Reject	25
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS045	SUB-MAT12	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters)	Reject	25

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS256	SUB-MAT12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	25
V1-0103	CGPL	FS256	SUB-MAT12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	25
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS256	SUB-MAT12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	25
V1-0115	RIDL	FS256	SUB-MAT12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	25
V1-0055	AgResearch	019	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Amend Rule SUB-R1.5 as follows : Activity status: CON 5. Subdivision not subject to any of <u>SUB-RX</u> , SUB-R12, SUB-R13, SUB-R14, or SUB-R15. ...	Reject	8
V1-0009	The University	FS019	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Allow all submission points. Lincoln University supports the proposed rezoning request and other provision amendments /inclusions to provide for re-development of the site.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS265	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS265	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS265	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS265	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	004	SUB-R1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	8
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	062	SUB-R12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	063	SUB-R13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	11
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	064	SUB-R14	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	12
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	065	SUB-REQ1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	15
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	066	SUB-REQ2	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	16
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	067	SUB-REQ4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	18
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	068	SUB-REQ7	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	19
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	069	SUB-REQ13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	21
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	070	SUB-MAT1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	23
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	071	SUB-MAT12	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	25
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	072	SUB-MAT13	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	26
V1-0067	Kevler	003	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Delete SUB-REQ1.13 and SUB-REQ1.14	Accept in part	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS011	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS013	SUB-REQ1	Support	Allow the submission.	Accept in part	15
V1-0067	Kevler	004	SUB-REQ2	Oppose	Delete SUB-REQ2.3 as notified.	Reject	16
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS012	SUB-REQ2	Oppose	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept	16
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS014	SUB-REQ2	Support	Allow the submission.	Reject	16
V1-0067	Kevler	005	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Delete SUB-REQ4.3 as notified.	Accept in part	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS013	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the</i>	Accept in part	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS015	SUB-REQ4	Support	Allow the submission.	Accept in part	18
V1-0067	Kevler	006	SUB-MAT12	Oppose	Delete SUB-MAT12.2as notified.	Reject	25
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS014	SUB-MAT12	Oppose	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept	25
V1-0080	CCC	026	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Insert as follows: <u>8. Subdivision of a site within any residential zone subject to an Outline Development Plan shall provide for a minimum net density of 15 households per ha.</u>	Reject	17
V1-0025	Yoursection	FS008	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
V1-0065	CIAL	FS003	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject the submission in so far as it relates to the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour.	Accept	17

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>CIAL seek that the portion of the PC71 site subject to the Operative Contour retains rural zoning in the Proposed Variation.</i>		
V1-0068	M Singh	FS001	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject submission	Accept	17
V1-0102	CSI	FS027	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
V1-0103	CGPL	FS027	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS027	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
V1-0115	RIDL	FS027	SUB-REQ3	Oppose	Reject	Accept	17
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	012	SUB	Support In Part	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0090	FENZ	011	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Amend so that SUB-R1 is subject to SUB-REQX Firefighting Water Supply.	Reject	8
V1-0090	FENZ	012	New	Support In Part	Insert new rule requirement as follows: <u>SUB-REQX Firefighting water supply</u> <u>Water supply is provided in accordance with SNZ 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Water Supplies Code of Practice.</u>	Reject	23
V1-0090	FENZ	013	SUB-REQ9	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 1. Every site created shall be supplied with a separate connection to a Council reticulated water supply <u>with sufficient supply and pressure for firefighting. Where insufficient, an alternative firefighting water supply shall be provided in accordance with SNZ4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Water Supplies Code of Practice.</u> This requirement shall not apply to any site created solely for access or network utility operations.	Reject	20
V1-0090	FENZ	014	SUB-R2	Support In Part	Amend so that SUB-R2 is subject to SUB-REQX Firefighting Water Supply.	Reject	9
V1-0090	FENZ	015	SUB-R14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
V1-0090	FENZ	016	SUB-REQ13	Support In Part	Amend as follows: 7. Subdivision to create any site within DEV-RO12 shall not take place until a potable water supply is available that is capable of serving every site within the subdivision, <u>including sufficient supply and pressure for firefighting in accordance with SNZ 4509:2008 New Zealand Fire Service Water Supplies</u>	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>Code of Practice</u> , that is shown on the outline development plan as 'Water Supply Required Area'.		
V1-0092	The Council	010	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ1.14.b.ii as follows: ii. Any site that is, or that is proposed to be as part of the application, subject to a legal mechanism restricting the number <u>or location</u> of residential units which may be erected on the site has a minimum net site <u>area of 400m², excluding any area which cannot be used to erect a residential unit.</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Reject	15
V1-0025	Yoursection	FS009	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS015	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	15
V1-0067	Kevler	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
V1-0078	KiwiRail	FS001	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt amendment sought in submission.	Reject	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS028	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS028	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS016	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS028	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS028	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Reject	Accept	15
V1-0092	The Council	011	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ1.14.b.ii as follows: ii. Any site that is, or that is proposed to be as part of the application, subject to a legal mechanism restricting the	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					number <u>or location</u> of residential units which may be erected on the site has a minimum net site <u>area of 400m2, excluding any area which cannot be used to erect a residential unit.</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.		
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS016	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	15
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS017	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	15
V1-0092	The Council	012	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ1.14.b.ii as follows: ii. ... <u>The minimum net site area shall not apply to sites used exclusively for access, reserves, or infrastructure, or which are wholly subject to a designation.</u> Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS017	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource	Accept	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS020	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part	Accept	15
V1-0092	The Council	013	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ4.3.b as follows: b. Every site, excluding any rear site, has a road frontage width not less than 10m <u>15m</u> . Or alternative relief to achieve the requested outcome.	Accept in part	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS018	SUB-REQ4	Support In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept in part	18
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS021	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept in part	18
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS018	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept in part	18
V1-0112	Hughes	004	SUB-REQ9	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	20
V1-0102	CSI	FS032	SUB-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	20
V1-0103	CGPL	FS032	SUB-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	20
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS032	SUB-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	20

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS032	SUB-REQ9	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	20
V1-0112	Hughes	005	SUB-REQ10	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	21
V1-0102	CSI	FS033	SUB-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	21
V1-0103	CGPL	FS033	SUB-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	21
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS033	SUB-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	21
V1-0115	RIDL	FS033	SUB-REQ10	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	21
V1-0112	Hughes	014	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>14. For greenfield subdivision, every site shall have a minimum allotment size of 400m².</u>	Accept in part	15
V1-0065	CIAL	FS009	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt the submission	Accept in part	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS042	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS042	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS042	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS042	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	15
V1-0112	Hughes	015	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-TABLE1 as follows: <u>Medium Density Residential Zone: 400m²</u>	Reject	15
V1-0065	CIAL	FS010	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt the submission	Reject	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS043	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS043	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS043	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS043	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	019	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: <u>Vacant Site</u> Subdivision in the Residential Zone	Reject	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS001	SUB-R1	Oppose In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept in part	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS284	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS284	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS284	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS284	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	020	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-R1.1 as follows: ... Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... SUB-REQ4 Road Frontage Widths ...	Reject	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS002	SUB-R1	Oppose In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to</i>	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS285	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS285	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS285	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS285	SUB-R1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	021	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-R1.5 as follows: ... Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... SUB-REQ4 Road Frontage Widths ...	Accept	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS003	SUB-R1	Oppose In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS286	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS286	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS286	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS286	SUB-R1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	022	SUB-R12	Support In Part	Amend SUB-R12.12 as follows: ... Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... SUB-REQ4 Road Frontage Widths ...	Reject	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS004	SUB-R12	Oppose In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium</i>	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS287	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS287	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS287	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS287	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	023	SUB-R12	Support In Part	Amend SUB-R12.17 as follows: ... Where this activity complies with the following rule requirements: ... SUB-REQ4 Road Frontage Widths ...	Accept in part	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS005	SUB-R12	Oppose In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept in part	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS288	SUB-R12	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Accept in part	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS288	SUB-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS288	SUB-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS288	SUB-R12	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	024	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-REQ1.14.b.i as follows: i. has a minimum net site area of 400m <u>contains a building square of not less than 8m x 15m</u> , and	Reject	15
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS006	SUB-REQ1	Oppose In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept in part	15
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS048	SUB-REQ1	Support In Part	Allow the submission in part	Accept in part	15
V1-0102	CSI	FS289	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0103	CGPL	FS289	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS289	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	15
V1-0115	RIDL	FS289	SUB-REQ1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters	Reject	15
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	025	SUB-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS007	SUB-REQ2	Oppose In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Reject	16
V1-0102	CSI	FS290	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	16
V1-0103	CGPL	FS290	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS290	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	16
V1-0115	RIDL	FS290	SUB-REQ2	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept	16
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	026	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	Delete as notified.	Reject	18
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS008	SUB-REQ4	Oppose In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters)	Accept	18

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>		
V1-0102	CSI	FS291	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	18
V1-0103	CGPL	FS291	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	18
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS291	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	18
V1-0115	RIDL	FS291	SUB-REQ4	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	18
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	027	SUB-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Size and Shape <u>for Vacant Site Subdivision</u> ...	Reject	24
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS009	SUB-MAT1	Oppose In Part	<i>Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.</i>	Accept	24
V1-0102	CSI	FS292	SUB-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	24
V1-0103	CGPL	FS292	SUB-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	24
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS292	SUB-MAT1	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS292	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	24
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	030	SUB-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... 4. The extent to which the proposal provides a variety of site sizes that are in keeping with the recognised or anticipated <u>planned urban form</u> character of the area. 6. Whether the shape and alignment of sites enable all of: a. the best and appropriate location of: i. ... iv. car parking, <u>where provided</u> ; and v. a vehicle crossing, <u>where provided</u> ; ...	Reject	24
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	FS010	SUB-MAT1	Oppose In Part	Multiple submissions were lodged with SDC regarding the proposed subdivision provisions including those from Eliot Sinclair, AgResearch, SDC, and Kāinga Ora (herein referred to as the "four parties"). The tenets detailed within the four parties individual submissions are similar in respect to the proposed subdivision provisions. Therefore, Eliot Sinclair want SDC to collaborate with the four parties to harmoniously incorporate the intent of relief sought as detailed within the individual submissions. In doing so, the outcomes achieved should be consistent with that envisioned by the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act ("Amendment Act") and, in turn, the Medium Density Residential Zone provisions, as well as being practicable for vacant lot subdivisions.	Accept	24
V1-0102	CSI	FS295	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	24
V1-0103	CGPL	FS295	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	24
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	FS295	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	24

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0115	RIDL	FS295	SUB-MAT1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	24
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	005	SUB-R1	Support	Retain SUB-R1.5-8 as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	006	SUB-R12	Support	Retain SUB-R12.17-21 as notified.	Accept	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	007	SUB-R14	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	008	SUB-REQ1	Support	Retain SUB-REQ1.1-3 as notified.	Accept	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	009	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Delete SUB-REQ1.4-5 as notified.	Reject	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	010	SUB-REQ1	Support	Retain SUB-REQ1.13-16 as notified.	Accept in part	15
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	011	SUB-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	16
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	012	SUB-REQ7	Oppose	Amend SUB-REQ7.3 as follows: 3. The exercise of discretion in relation to SUB-REQ7.2 is restricted to the consideration of: a. Whether the proposal would achieve <u>an acceptable</u> a high level of walkability through the area. <u>b. The constraints of the site or subdivision design which may limit the perimeter length of blocks.</u>	Accept in part	19
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	013	SUB-REQ13	Support	Retain SUB-REQ13.1-2 as notified.	Accept	23
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	014	SUB-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-MAT1.6 as follows: 6. <u>The extent to which</u> Whether the shape and alignment of sites enable all of : a. the best and appropriate location of:	Accept in part	24
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	015	SUB-MAT12	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	25
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	023	SUB-R15	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	13
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	024	SUB-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	18
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	025	SUB-MAT13	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	26
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	080	SUB-R12	Oppose	In relation to SUB-R12.12-16, grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI & RWRL	081	SUB-R1	Oppose	In relation to SUB-R1.1-4, grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	004	SUB-R1	Support In Part	Grant the relief sought in the submitter's original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives.	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS006	SUB-R1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept in part	8
V1-0115	RIDL	005	SUB-R12	Support In Part	Grant the relief sought in the submitter's original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives.	Reject	10
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS007	SUB-R12	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	10
V1-0115	RIDL	011	SUB-R1	Support	Retain SUB-R1.5-8 as notified	Accept	8
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS013	SUB-R1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	012	SUB-R12	Support	Retain SUB-R12.17-21 as notified	Accept	10
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS014	SUB-R12	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	013	SUB-R14	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	12
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS015	SUB-R14	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought</i>	Reject	12

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	014	SUB-R15	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	13
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS016	SUB-R15	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	13
V1-0115	RIDL	015	SUB-REQ1	Support	Retain SUB-REQ1.1-1.3 as notified	Accept	15
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS017	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	15
V1-0115	RIDL	016	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Delete SUB-REQ1.4 and SUB-REQ1.5 as notified	Reject	15
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS018	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	15
V1-0115	RIDL	017	SUB-REQ1	Support	Retain SUB-REQ1.13-16 as notified	Accept in part	15
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS019	SUB-REQ1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept in part	15
V1-0115	RIDL	018	SUB-REQ2	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	16
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS020	SUB-REQ2	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes</i>	Reject	16

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	019	SUB-REQ7	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	19
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS021	SUB-REQ7	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	19
V1-0115	RIDL	020	SUB-REQ13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	23
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS022	SUB-REQ13	Oppose	Disallowed <i>Specifically Private Plan Change 69 is not included in the Variation 1 to the Proposed Selwyn District Plan (Part A)</i>	Reject	23
V1-0115	RIDL	021	SUB-MAT1	Support In Part	Amend SUB-MAT1.6 as follows: <u>6. The extent to which</u> whether the shape and alignment of sites enable all of: a. the best and appropriate location of:	Accept in part	24
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS023	SUB-MAT1	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	24
V1-0115	RIDL	022	SUB-MAT12	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	25
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS024	SUB-MAT12	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	25
V1-0115	RIDL	023	SUB-MAT13	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	26
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS025	SUB-MAT13	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could</i>	Reject	26

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	033	SUB-REQ4	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	18
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS035	SUB-REQ4	Oppose	<i>Disallowed in part</i> <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Accept	18