Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0006	Jonas Rivas	002	HPW30	Oppose	That Council undertake a proper impact study.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS003	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission.	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS003	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission.	Accept	7
V1-0024	Robert Claman	007	TRAN	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	7
V1-0024	Robert Claman	008	TRAN	Oppose	Delete variation as notified.	Reject	7
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	025	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	026	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified.	Accept	10
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	048	TRAN-MAT2	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified.	Accept	11
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	049	TRAN-MAT8	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified.	Accept	11
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	050	TRAN-TABLE3	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified.	Accept	12
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	051	TRAN-TABLE7	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified.	Accept	12
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	023	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS180	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS180	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS180	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS180	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	024	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified	Accept	10

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0102	CSI	FS181	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS181	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS181	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS181	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	10
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	025	TRAN-MAT2	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS182	TRAN-MAT2	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS182	TRAN-MAT2	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS182	TRAN-MAT2	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS182	TRAN-MAT2	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	026	TRAN-MAT8	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS183	TRAN-MAT8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS183	TRAN-MAT8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS183	TRAN-MAT8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS183	TRAN-MAT8	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	11
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	027	TRAN-TABLE3	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS184	TRAN-TABLE3	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS184	TRAN-TABLE3	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS184	TRAN-TABLE3	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS184	TRAN-TABLE3	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	028	TRAN-TABLE7	Neither support nor oppose.	Not specified	Accept	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS185	TRAN-TABLE7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS185	TRAN-TABLE7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS185	TRAN-TABLE7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS185	TRAN-TABLE7	Neither support nor oppose.	Support	Accept	12
V1-0042	Jason Horne	006	HPW30	Oppose	Delete Variation as notified.	Reject	7
V1-0042	Jason Horne	010	HPW30	Oppose	Delete Variation as notified.	Reject	7
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	005	New	Support	Seek that Council include some off-street parking requirements for medium density developments.	Reject	13
V1-0077	Ryman	FS028	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	13
V1-0079	RVA	FS028	New	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	13
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	010	TRAN-R8	Neither support nor oppose.	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	011	TRAN-REQ7	Neither support nor oppose.	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	10
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	002	TRAN-R8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	9
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	004	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	10
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	005	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	12

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	006	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	12
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	007	TRAN-MAT2	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0058	Jocelyn Humphreys	008	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	11
V1-0073	MoE	002	TRAN-R8	Support in Part.	Amend as follows: TRAN-TABLE2 - HTGA thresholds and ITA Requirements Basic ITA Education – Preschool 40 50 children Education – Schools 70-150students	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS014	TRAN-R8	Support	Allow	Reject	9
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	009	TRAN-R8	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	010	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	004	TRAN-R8	Oppose in part	Amend: - to permit retirement villages in all zones the threshold for 'other activities' to include peak hour movements only.	Accept in part	9
V1-0077	Ryman	005	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose in Part	Amend the matters of discretion to include require consideration of whether the development generates the same or less traffic than anticipated by the site zoning.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	006	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 5. Whether the ITA has been prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced transport specialist and has been approved by SDC.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	007	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose in Part	Amend to provide bespoke retirement village minimum requirements.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	008	TRAN-TABLE3	Oppose in Part	Amend to provide bespoke retirement village minimum requirements.	Reject	12
V1-0079	RVA	004	TRAN-R8	Oppose in part	Amend: - to permit retirement villages in all zones.	Accept in part	9

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
					- the threshold for 'other activities' to include peak hour movements only.		
V1-0102	CSI	FS052	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS052	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS038	TRAN-R8	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS052	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS052	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0079	RVA	005	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose in Part	Amend the matters of discretion to include require consideration of whether the development generates the same or less traffic than anticipated by the site zoning.	Reject	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS053	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS053	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS039	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS053	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS053	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0079	RVA	006	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose in Part	Amend as follows: 5. Whether the ITA has been prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced transport specialist and has been approved by SDC.	Reject	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS054	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS054	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS040	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	11

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS054	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS054	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0079	RVA	007	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose in Part	Amend to provide bespoke retirement village minimum requirements.	Reject	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS055	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS055	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS041	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS055	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS055	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0079	RVA	008	TRAN-TABLE3	Oppose in Part	Amend to provide bespoke retirement village minimum requirements.	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS056	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS056	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS042	TRAN-TABLE3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS056	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS056	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	010	TRAN	Support	Not specified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	016	TRAN	Oppose in part.	Consideration is given to the consistency between the proposed qualifying matters and the existing provisions in the plan for transport, noise and vibration.	Reject	7
V1-0090	FENZ	005	TRAN-R8	Support in part	Retain as notified	Accept in part	9
V1-0090	FENZ	006	TRAN-REQ7	Support in part	Retain as notified	Accept	10
V1-0090	FENZ	007	TRAN-MAT2	Support in part	Amend as follows:	Reject	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Req	uested			Recommendation	Section of report
					manoeuvring	on the ease and s including on emer ng water supplies		he site and		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS033	TRAN-MAT2	Oppose	Disallow the s				Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS033	TRAN-MAT2	Oppose	Disallow the s	submission			Accept	11
V1-0090	FENZ	008	TRAN-MAT8	Support in part	manoeuvring vehicle loadin access arrang accessibility (restricted) of	ne provision of accorated vareas associated vareas associated vareas, and servicing dements, affects the including for peoplethe site, and the lassidering the networks.	vith the activity, in eliveries <u>and emer</u> e safety, efficienc e whose mobility and transport netw	rgency y, is work	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS034	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose	Disallow the s	submission			Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS034	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose	Disallow the s	submission			Accept	11
V1-0090	FENZ	009	TRAN-TABLE3	Oppose in part		riageway widths c	urrently less than	4.0m wide	Accept	12
V1-0090	FENZ	010	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Retain as not	fied.			Accept in part	12
V1-0092	SDC	008	TRAN-TABLE7	Oppose	Local Road (M	-TABLE7 to include lajor) or similar rel een a local road an	ief that achieves	a category	Accept	12
V1-0092	SDC	009	TRAN-REQ16	Support in part	to ensure tha site which has Activity status 6. Activity sta not achieved:	s shall provide suff t vehicles do not re s access to a Collec s when compliance tus when compliar	everse either onto tor Road. is not achieved: nce with TRAN-RE	o or off a Q16.5 is	Accept	10
V1-0092	SDC	048	APP2	Support In Part	Road	From	То	Classificati	Accept	8

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requ	ıested			Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference							of report
					Carnaveron Drive	TBC-Birchs Road	Faulks Drive	Collector		
					Russell Lilley Drive	TBC-Brookside Road	Lowes Road-East Maddisons Road	Collector		
					Shillingford Boulevard	TBC-Springston Rolleston Road	Goulds Road	Collector		
					Farringdon Boulevard	Ledbury Drive <u>Selwyn</u> <u>Road</u>	Dynes Road	Collector		
					Ed Hillary Drive	Springston Rolleston Road	Clement Avenue / Lincoln Rolleston Road	Collector		
					Westmoor Boulevard	Goulds Road	East Maddisons Road	Collector		
					Northmoor Boulevard	East Maddisons Road	Springston Rolleston Road	Collector		
					<u>Lady Isaac</u> <u>Drive</u>	Springston Rolleston Road	Clement Avenue / Lincoln Rolleston Road	Collector		

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requ	ested			Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference							of report
					Kingsdowne Drive	Weedons Ross Road North	Weedons Ross Road South	Collector		
V1-0101	Anne Finch	001	TRAN-R8	Oppose	Apply a qualify from Cedar Pa		cularly with relation	n to access	Reject	9
V1-0106	Victoria Atkinson	001	HPW30	Oppose in part		e qualifying mattong of	er to include no m Gerald Street.	iedium	Reject	7
V1-0112	Hughes	011	TRAN-REQ7	Support in part	be via a road.	ess is shared to n	nore than 4 <u>6</u> sites		Reject	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS039	TRAN-REQ7	Support		xtent the relief so y the Submitters.	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS039	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the e		ought is consistent	with the	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS039	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the e	•	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS039	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the e	•	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	011	TRAN-R8	Oppose		R8 to delete all re	eferences to reside	ential	Reject	9
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS045	TRAN-R8	Support	Allow the subi	nission			Reject	9
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS028	TRAN-R8	Oppose	Reject the sub				Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS276	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the e	·	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS276	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the e	•	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS276	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the e	•	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS276	TRAN-R8	Support	Adopt to the e	•	ought is consistent	with the	Reject	9
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	012	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose		•	Q7.25 as notified		Reject	10

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS046	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS277	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS277	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS277	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS277	TRAN-REQ7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	013	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose	Delete TRAN-MAT8.6	Reject	11
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS047	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	11
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS029	TRAN-MAT8	Oppose	Reject the submission point	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS278	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS278	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS278	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS278	TRAN-MAT8	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	015	TRAN-TABLE3	Support in part	Amend TRAN-TABLE3 as per original submission point (DPR-0414.042).	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS280	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS280	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS280	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS280	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	016	TRAN-TABLE3	Oppose	Amend TRAN-TABLE3 to remove reference to MRZ	Accept in part	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS281	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0103	CGPL	FS281	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS281	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS281	TRAN-TABLE3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	017	TRAN-TABLE7	Oppose	Delete TRAN-TABLE7 and undertake a full review of the table so that the standards are more appropriately set to effectively manage the safety and efficiency of the transport network, while recognising and providing for residential intensification	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS282	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS282	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS282	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS282	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	018	TRAN-TABLE7	Oppose	Delete TRAN-TABLE7 and undertake a full review of the table so that the standards are more appropriately set to effectively manage the safety and efficiency of the transport network, while recognising and providing for residential intensification.	Reject	12
V1-0102	CSI	FS283	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0103	CGPL	FS283	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS283	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12
V1-0115	RIDL	FS283	TRAN-TABLE7	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	12

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	090	TRAN	Support in part	Amend the Transport chapter as set in original submissions and further submissions on the PDP and in the relevant submission points of this submission.	Accept in part	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS355	TRAN	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS355	TRAN	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS355	TRAN	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS355	TRAN	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	7
V1-0114	CSI	079	TRAN-R8	Oppose	Grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI	082	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose	Grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Accept in part	10
V1-0115	RIDL	006	TRAN-R8	Support in part	Grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Accept in part	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS008	TRAN-R8	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL) could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	007	TRAN-REQ7	Support in part	Grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Accept in part	10
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS009	TRAN-REQ7	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (RIDL) could gain	Accept in part	10

Submitter	Submitter	Submission	Plan	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section
ID	Name	Point	Reference				of report
					significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.		