

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Points

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0010	Woolworths	001	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	8
V1-0011	Helen and Tom Fraser	002	GRUZ-P2	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	11
V1-0011	Helen and Tom Fraser	003	GRUZ-P7	Support	Retain as notified	Reject	11
V1-0016	Cheryl Morrall	001	HH-SCHED2	Oppose In Part	Amend HH-SCHED2, Mapping-Heritage Item Overlay and Mapping-Heritage Setting, to include 18 Edward Street, Prebbleton	Accept	10
V1-0016	Cheryl Morrall	002	HPW30	Support In Part	Retain Heritage Item Overlay/setting as qualifying matters	Accept	10
V1-0016	Cheryl Morrall	003	Heritage Item Overlay	Support In Part	Insert 18 Edwards Street, Prebbleton HH item Overlay/Setting in the Plan, including consequential amendments (including HH-SCHED2 and mapping.	Accept	10
V1-0016	Cheryl Morrall	004	Heritage Setting Overlay	Support In Part	Insert 18 Edwards Street, Prebbleton HH item Overlay/Setting in the Plan, including consequential amendments (including HH-SCHED2 and mapping.	Accept	10
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	003	HPW30	Oppose	That additional qualifying matters be included to limit the extent of medium density development in Selwyn, such as excluding houses over 100 years old	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS017	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS017	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS362	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS362	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS362	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS362	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	005	HPW30	Oppose	That additional qualifying matters be included to limit the extent of medium density development	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					in Selwyn, such as excluding houses under 50 years old on the basis that demolishing such buildings is wasteful and detrimental to climate change mitigation.		
V1-0077	Ryman	FS019	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS019	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS363	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS363	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS363	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS363	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	006	HPW30	Oppose	That additional qualifying matters be included to limit the extent of medium density development in Selwyn, such as excluding properties where shading would fall towards neighbouring properties.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS020	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS020	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS364	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS364	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS364	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS364	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	007	HPW30	Oppose	That additional qualifying matters be included to limit the extent of medium density development in Selwyn, such as excluding all streets where vehicles could not be parked on the street and where vehicles could not easily and safely pass along the road in opposing directions.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS021	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS021	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS365	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS365	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS365	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS365	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0018	Aaron McGlinchy	008	HPW30	Oppose	That additional qualifying matters be included to limit the extent of medium density development in Selwyn, such as restricting the percentage of new subdivisions in locations that can be developed at higher density in a sympathetic way to the environs.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS022	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS022	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS366	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS366	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS366	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS366	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	020	EI-R3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	021	EI-R4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	022	EI-REQ15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	023	EI-REQ16	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0029	Gary and Lynda Burgess	027	SD-UFD-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	017	SD-UFD-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS174	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS174	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS174	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS174	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	018	EI-R3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0102	CSI	FS175	EI-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS175	EI-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS175	EI-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS175	EI-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	019	EI-R4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS176	EI-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS176	EI-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS176	EI-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS176	EI-R4	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	020	EI-REQ15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS177	EI-REQ15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS177	EI-REQ15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS177	EI-REQ15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS177	EI-REQ15	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0032	Eliot Sinclair	021	EI-REQ16	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS178	EI-REQ16	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS178	EI-REQ16	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS178	EI-REQ16	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS178	EI-REQ16	Support	<i>Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	9
V1-0033	Gary Arnold	001	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose In Part	The original township of Lincoln within the four belts and James Street be classified as inappropriate to have the MDRS apply to protect the history and culture of Lincoln. Amend the Variation to exclude all land within the North, South, East and West Belts of Lincoln plus James Street, Lincoln.	Reject	10
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	002	Heritage Setting Overlay	Support	Seeks that Council not zone the whole of Lincoln as medium density but make more provisions for heritage houses, heritage settings and the authentic agricultural history of the original Lincoln township.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS025	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS025	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	003	HPW30	Support	Seeks the addition of a qualifying matter such that established trees cannot be removed.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS026	HPW30	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS026	HPW30	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
V1-0046	Denise Carrick	009	TREE-R3	Support	Seeks the addition of a setback to protect the trees.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS030	TREE-R3	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS030	TREE-R3	Oppose	<i>Disallow the submission</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	10
V1-0049	Transpower	002	HPW30	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Only those features that are classified as Qualifying Matters in accordance with clause 3.32 of the National Policy Statement on Urban Development	Accept	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					(NPS-UD) and s771 and s770 of the Resource Management Act (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Act 2021 can be used to limit intensification, including within the a Medium Density Residential Zones in Rolleston, Lincoln and Prebbleton townships ...		
V1-0051	HNZ	001	HPW30	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS001	HPW30	Support	Allowed. Support the inclusion of identified heritage items, heritage settings and Sites of Significance to Māori within the relevant residential zones of Rolleston, Lincoln and Prebbleton townships as qualifying matters. We note that identification as a qualifying matter can be used to limit intensification, including within a Medium Density Residential Zone.	Accept in part	7
V1-0051	HNZ	002	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0051	HNZ	003	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Retain as notified.	Reject	8
V1-0051	HNZ	004	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Requests further consideration as to the impact of intensification adjacent to historic heritage items, and promotes an alternative approach which provides relevant controls to enable development where appropriate without diminishing Selwyn's valuable heritage resources.	Reject	11
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS002	MRZ-REQ2	Support	Allowed. Selwyn Council should amend variation 1 to strength rules to limit the impact of medium density intensification adjacent to heritage items and settings, to ensure that Selwyn's valuable heritage items and settings are not further diminished.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS006	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS006	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS357	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS357	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS005	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS357	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS357	MRZ-REQ2	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	005	RESZ-MAT1	Support	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	006	RESZ-MAT8	Support	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	007	RESZ-MAT3	Support	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	008	RESZ-MAT5	Support	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	009	RESZ-MAT6	Support	Not specified	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	010	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Requests further consideration as to the impact of intensification adjacent to historic heritage items, and promotes an alternative approach which provides relevant controls to enable development where appropriate without diminishing Selwyn's valuable heritage resources.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS007	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS007	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS358	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS358	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS006	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS358	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS358	MRZ-REQ4	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0051	HNZ	011	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Requests further consideration as to the impact of intensification adjacent to historic heritage items, and promotes an alternative approach which provides relevant controls to enable development where appropriate without diminishing Selwyn's valuable heritage resources.	Reject	11
V1-0077	Ryman	FS008	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	11
V1-0079	RVA	FS008	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	11
V1-0102	CSI	FS359	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0103	CGPL	FS359	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS007	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	11
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS359	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11
V1-0115	RIDL	FS359	MRZ-REQ6	Oppose	Reject	Accept	11

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	005	SD-UFD-O1	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	8
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	006	EI-R3	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	007	EI-R4	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	008	EI-REQ15	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	9
V1-0056	Ara Poutama Aotearoa	009	EI-REQ16	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Amend the PDP as set out in the original submission.	Reject	9
V1-0061	TRRG	004	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities <u>in each township.</u>	Reject	8
V1-0080	CCC	FS012	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	8
V1-0061	TRRG	005	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0062	LDHS	001	HPW30	Support	Amend provisions to prevent intensive development on sites bordering listed heritage properties, in order to preserve their aspects and outlook.	Reject	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS003	HPW30	Support	Allow. Selwyn District Council to amend Variation 1 with the provisions necessary to recognise and implement a special heritage area as described in the submission of V1-0062.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS009	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS009	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0102	CSI	FS157	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	10
V1-0103	CGPL	FS157	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS009	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	10
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS157	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	10
V1-0115	RIDL	FS157	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	10
V1-0062	LDHS	002	TREE-R3	Support In Part	Enhance the protection of trees and other vegetation notified in the District Plan.	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS004	TREE-R3	Support	Allow. Selwyn District Council to amend Variation 1 with the provisions necessary to limit intensive medium density development on sections adjacent to Heritage items and settings such that Heritage value and integrity is not diminished.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS010	TREE-R3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS010	TREE-R3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS010	TREE-R3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	10
V1-0062	LDHS	003	Heritage Setting Overlay	Support	Create a special heritage area in the centre of Lincoln, defined by the line of the properties on the outside of the Town Belts (North, South, East including Moffat Drive, (previously East), and West) as originally laid out by James Fitzgerald in the original subdivision in 1862.	Reject	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS005	Heritage Setting Overlay	Support	Allow. Selwyn District Council amend variation 1 provisions to enhance the protection of notified trees in Lincoln.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS011	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0079	RVA	FS011	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS011	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	10
V1-0062	LDHS	004	Heritage Setting Overlay	Support	Constrain intensive development of properties bordering the Reserves along the Liffey stream bounded by Kildare and Leinster Terraces.	Reject	10
V1-0077	Ryman	FS012	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Reject	10

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0079	RVA	FS012	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	10
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS012	Heritage Setting Overlay	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	001	HH-SCHED2	Support In Part	Add 14 William Street Lincoln to Historic Heritage Schedule and any consequential changes.	Accept	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	002	Heritage Setting Overlay	Support In Part	Add 14 William Street Lincoln to Historic Heritage Schedule and any consequential changes.	Accept	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	003	New	Support	Add a provision to limit the height of buildings on land parcels adjacent to 14 William Street Lincoln to 8m (2 stories).	Reject	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	004	New	Support	Add a provision to ensure development on adjacent land parcels are sympathetic to the heritage setting in terms of visual appearance and location on the site to maximise the sun available year-round to dry the exterior of 14 William Street Lincoln.	Reject	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	005	Heritage Item Overlay	Support In Part	Add 14 William Street Lincoln to Historic Heritage Schedule and any consequential changes.	Accept	10
V1-0065	CIAL	001	HPW30	Support	Retain the noise contour as a qualifying matter.	Accept	7
V1-0053	Four Stars and Gould	FS002	HPW30	Oppose	Removal of the 50 DBA Ldn Contour as a qualifying matter from the Proposed District Plan; and Any other relief that is consistent with, and gives effect to the relief sought by Gould Developments in its original submission.	Reject	7
V1-0100	NZDF	FS006	HPW30	Support	Retain the noise contour as a qualifying matter.	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS001	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	7
V1-0065	CIAL	002	HPW30	Support In Part	Explicitly recognise the noise contour as a qualifying matter.	Accept	7
V1-0053	Four Stars and Gould	FS003	HPW30	Oppose	Removal of the 50 DBA Ldn Contour as a qualifying matter from the Proposed District Plan; and	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Any other relief that is consistent with, and gives effect to the relief sought by Gould Developments in its original submission.</i>		
V1-0100	NZDF	FS007	HPW30	Support	Retain the noise contour as a qualifying matter.	Accept	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS002	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	7
V1-0065	CIAL	003	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Insert the updated noise envelopes (both Outer Envelope and Annual Average) within the planning maps as qualifying matters.	Reject	7
V1-0053	Four Stars and Gould	FS004	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Removal of the 50 DBA Ldn Contour as a qualifying matter from the Proposed District Plan; and Any other relief that is consistent with, and gives effect to the relief sought by Gould Developments in its original submission.	Reject	7
V1-0100	NZDF	FS008	Noise Control Overlay	Support	Allow the submission point in full.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS003	Noise Control Overlay	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	7
V1-0068	Manmeet Singh	003	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is <u>at least</u> sufficient feasible development capacity <u>in each Township in Selwyn</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Reject	8
V1-0080	CCC	FS017	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS156	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS156	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS156	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS156	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Adopt	Reject	8
V1-0069	Stewart, Townsend and Fraser	003	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is <u>at least</u> sufficient feasible development capacity <u>in each Township in Selwyn</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Reject	8
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS053	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	8
V1-0080	CCC	FS019	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0121	Charmaine & Rod Fairbrass	FS003	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	That the amendment to the objective, and the relief sought, be rejected.	Accept	8
V1-0123	Jill Gordon & Ross Thomas	FS003	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	That the amendment to the objective, and the relief sought, be rejected.	Accept	8
V1-0124	Ellie and Dan Jenkins	FS003	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	That the amendment to the objective and the relief sought, be rejected	Accept	8
V1-0125	Rachael and Daryll Maiden	FS003	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	That the amendment to the objective, and the relief sought, be rejected	Accept	8
V1-0129	RM and KR Templeton	FS003	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	That the amendment to the objective, and the relief sought, be rejected.	Accept	8
V1-0132	Andrea & Steve Vercoe	FS004	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	That the amendment to the objective, and the relief sought, be rejected	Accept	8
V1-0073	MoE	001	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity <u>and additional infrastructure</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Reject	8
V1-0077	Ryman	FS005	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	8
V1-0079	RVA	FS005	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	8
V1-0080	CCC	FS014	SD-UFD-O3	Support	Accept the submission	Reject	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS356	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject. Alternatively, adopt the following wording: Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity <u>and provision for additional infrastructure</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS356	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject. Alternatively, adopt the following wording: Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity <u>and provision for additional infrastructure</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS013	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Disallow	Accept	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS356	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject. Alternatively, adopt the following wording:	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity <u>and provision for additional infrastructure</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	FS356	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject. Alternatively, adopt the following wording: Amend as follows: There is sufficient feasible development capacity <u>and provision for additional infrastructure</u> to meet anticipated demands for housing and business activities.	Accept	8
V1-0073	MoE	003	HPW30	Oppose In Part	Requests that Council confirms that the purported qualifying matter does not apply to Minister of Education designations, such that in the absence of any other qualifying matters applying to schools, section 77M(6) can immediately be relied upon by the Ministry.	Reject	7
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	003	EI-R3	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	004	EI-R4	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	005	EI-REQ15	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	006	EI-REQ16	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	9
V1-0074	Jeremy Alsop	008	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	8
V1-0076	Jenny Fisher	002	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Not specified.	Reject	8
V1-0130	Dally and McIlraith	FS003	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Accept the submission, subject to the matters set out in 'reasons for support' being addressed to our satisfaction.	Reject	8
V1-0076	Jenny Fisher	003	SD-UFD-O2	Support	Not specified	Reject	8
V1-0130	Dally and McIlraith	FS004	SD-UFD-O2	Support In Part	Accept the submission, subject to the matters set out in 'reasons for support' being addressed to our satisfaction.	Reject	8
V1-0076	Jenny Fisher	004	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Amend objective to add "in each township" at the end, to ensure that capacity is considered by township not district.	Reject	8
V1-0080	CCC	FS010	SD-UFD-O3	Oppose	Reject the submission	Accept	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0130	Dally and McIlraith	FS005	SD-UFD-O3	Support In Part	Accept the submission, subject to the matters set out in 'reasons for support' being addressed to our satisfaction.	Reject	8
V1-0076	Jenny Fisher	005	SD-UFD-O4	Support	Not specified	Reject	8
V1-0130	Dally and McIlraith	FS006	SD-UFD-O4	Support In Part	Accept the submission, subject to the matters set out in 'reasons for support' being addressed to our satisfaction.	Reject	8
V1-0077	Ryman	003	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0078	KiwiRail	001	HPW30	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept in part	7
V1-0079	RVA	003	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS051	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS051	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	FS037	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Disallow	Reject	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS051	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS051	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0081	Adriana de Groot	001	New	Oppose	Amend zoning of historic/heritage sites from MDRZ, adding novel zoning for these sites/features. Refer to original submission for full decision requested, including attachment.	Reject	10
V1-0063	Sam & Denise Carrick	FS006	New	Support	Allow .Selwyn District Council to amend Variation 1 with the provisions necessary to limit intensive medium density development on sections adjacent to Heritage items and settings such that Heritage value and integrity is not diminished.	Reject	10
V1-0081	Adriana de Groot	002	HPW30	Oppose	Amend MRZ zoning within established housing in Lincoln to preserve living heritage.	Reject	10
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	003	NOISE	Oppose In Part	Consideration is given to the consistency between the proposed qualifying matters and the existing	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					provisions in the plan for transport, noise and vibration.		
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	005	HPW30	Neither Support Nor Oppose	Not specified.	Accept	7
V1-0055	AgResearch	FS019	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	008	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Not specified.	Reject	8
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	009	EI	Support	Not specified.	Accept	9
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	015	HPW30	Oppose In Part	Consideration is given to the consistency between the proposed qualifying matters and the existing provisions in the plan for transport, noise and vibration.	Reject	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	017	HPW30	Oppose	Remove this qualifying matter from the proposed variation.	Reject	7
V1-0049	Transpower	FS003	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0100	NZDF	FS004	HPW30	Oppose	Reject the proposed removal of designations as a qualifying matter by Waka Kotahi.	Accept	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	018	HPW30	Oppose	Remove this qualifying matter from the proposed variation.	Reject	7
V1-0049	Transpower	FS004	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Reject	7
V1-0100	NZDF	FS005	HPW30	Oppose	Reject the proposed removal of designations as a qualifying matter by Waka Kotahi.	Accept	7
V1-0088	Orion	001	New	Support	<p>Insert a new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>MRZ</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>1. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity.</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. A land area of 5m2 is provided at the front of the site for electricity equipment and infrastructure.</u></p> <p><u>Activity status when compliance not achieved:</u></p> <p><u>2. When compliance with any of EI-RXX is not achieved: DIS</u></p> <p><u>Notification:</u></p> <p><u>3. Any application arising from EI-RX shall not be</u></p>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>subject to public notification and shall be limited notified to the following parties: the network utility operator with responsibility for the infrastructure, unless their written approval is provided.</u>		
V1-0088	Orion	002	EI-R3	Support In Part	<p>Amend Rule EI-R3 as follows:</p> <p><u>MRZ</u></p> <p><u>Activity Status: PER</u></p> <p><u>6. The establishment of a new, or expansion of an existing sensitive activity.</u></p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. The activity is not within 5m from the centreline and/or foundation of a support structure of any Significant Electricity Distribution Line, excluding the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston).</u></p> <p><u>b. The activity is not within 10m from the centreline and/or support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston)</u></p> <p><u>c. The activity is not within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any 11kV, 400V or 230V electricity distribution line.</u></p>	Reject	9
V1-0088	Orion	003	EI-R4	Support In Part	<p>Amend EI-R4.4 as follows:</p> <p>...</p> <p><u>Where:</u></p> <p><u>a. ...</u></p> <p><u>b. The fence's primary material consists of conductive qualities, the fence shall be setback a minimum of 10m from the foundation of any other Significant Electricity Distribution Line.</u></p> <p><u>c. The fence's primary material consists of conductive qualities, the fence shall be setback 3m</u></p>	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<u>from the outside conductor of any 11kV, 400V or 230V electricity distribution line.</u>		
V1-0088	Orion	004	EI-R4	Support In Part	Amend EI-R4.10 as follows: ... Where: a. The structure is not within 5m from the centreline and/or foundation of a support structure of any Significant Electricity Distribution Line, excluding the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston). b. <u>The structure is not within 10m from the centreline and/or support structure of the Significant Electricity Distribution Line (Islington to Springston).</u> c. The structure is not within 3m of the outside overhead conductor of any 11kV, 400V or 230V electricity distribution line.	Reject	9
V1-0088	Orion	005	EI-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0088	Orion	006	EI-REQ16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0090	FENZ	001	SD-UFD-O4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0090	FENZ	002	EI-R32	Support In Part	Amend to include MRZ Considers that provision needs to be amended to include MRZ.	Accept	9
V1-0090	FENZ	003	EI-REQ15	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Matters for discretion 9. The exercise of discretion in relation to EI-REQ15.8 is restricted to the following matters: ... c. EI-MATX Water Pressure	Reject	9
V1-0077	Ryman	FS032	EI-REQ15	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0079	RVA	FS032	EI-REQ15	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	9
V1-0090	FENZ	004	EI-REQ16	Support In Part	Amend as follows: Matters for discretion 9. The exercise of discretion in relation to EI-	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					REQ16.11 is restricted to the following matters: ... c. EI-MATX Fire risk mitigation incorporated to avoid horizontal spread of fire across boundaries.		
V1-0092	SDC	002	HPW30	Support In Part	Amend HPW30 to include a reference to the relevant PA provisions.	Accept	7
V1-0092	SDC	003	EI-R32	Support In Part	Amend Column 1 of EI-R32 to include MRZ in the list of relevant zones for EI-R32.1, consistent with GRZ.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	004	EI-R33	Support In Part	Amend Column 1 of EI-R33 to include MRZ in the list of relevant zones for EI-R33.1, consistent with GRZ.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	005	EI-REQ20	Support In Part	Amend Column 1 of EI-REQ20 to include MRZ in the list of relevant zones, consistent with GRZ.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	006	EI-REQ22	Support In Part	Amend Column 1 of EI-REQ22 to include MRZ in the list of relevant zones, consistent with GRZ.	Accept	9
V1-0092	SDC	007	EI-REQ22	Support In Part	Review EI-REQ22 for consistency with MRZ provisions for fencing and outdoor storage, or provide considered alternative provisions.	Accept	9
V1-0100	NZDF	001	HPW30	Support In Part	Amend to specifically include Defence Force Facilities as a qualifying matter.	Reject	7
V1-0113	<i>Kāinga Ora</i>	<i>FS015</i>	<i>HPW30</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>Disallow</i>		7
V1-0100	NZDF	002	New	Support In Part	Amend to include a definition of Nationally Significant Infrastructure that specifically includes Defence Force Facilities.		7
V1-0100	NZDF	003	EI-R3	Support In Part	Amend as follows: EI-R3 Sensitive Activities <u>in proximity to electricity infrastructure</u>	Reject	9
V1-0101	Anne Finch	002	HPW30	Oppose	Apply a qualifying matter particularly with relation to access from Cedar Park, Rolleston.	Reject	7
V1-0105	Christine Thirring	001	HPW30	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0106	Victoria Atkinson	002	HPW30	Oppose In Part	Amend qualifying matters to not allow MDRS to be allowed on existing older residential sections where dwellings are already in place.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS043	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS043	HPW30	Oppose	Disallow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS367	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS367	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS367	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS367	HPW30	Oppose	Reject	Accept	7
V1-0107	CRC	001	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0107	CRC	002	EI-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	003	EI-R4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	004	EI-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0107	CRC	005	EI-REQ16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	009	HPW30	Oppose	Delete variation as notified	Reject	7
V1-0109	Fiona Thirring	010	HPW30	Oppose	Delete as notified	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	007	NOISE	Support In Part	Amend the Noise chapter as set in original submissions and further submissions on the PDP and in the relevant submission points of this submission.	Reject	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS026	NOISE	Oppose	Reject the submission point	Accept	7
V1-0100	NZDF	FS001	NOISE	Oppose	Reject the proposed change in relation to the Noise Chapter by Kāinga Ora.	Reject	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS272	NOISE	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS272	NOISE	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS272	NOISE	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS272	NOISE	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	008	NOISE-R3	Support In Part	Amend provision as set in original submissions and further submissions on the PDP.	Reject	7

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0078	KiwiRail	FS002	NOISE-R3	Oppose In Part	Reject submission and retain the Railway Noise Control Overlay – Noise R3 as notified (subject to amendments to the provisions sought by KiwiRail in its submission and through the subsequent hearing process.	Reject	7
V1-0083	Waka Kotahi	FS027	NOISE-R3	Oppose	Reject the submission point	Accept	7
V1-0100	NZDF	FS002	NOISE-R3	Oppose	Reject the proposed change in relation to the Noise Chapter by Kāinga Ora.	Accept	7
V1-0102	CSI	FS273	NOISE-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0103	CGPL	FS273	NOISE-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS273	NOISE-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0115	RIDL	FS273	NOISE-R3	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	7
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	010	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	8
V1-0102	CSI	FS275	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0103	CGPL	FS275	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS275	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0115	RIDL	FS275	SD-UFD-O1	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Accept in part	8
V1-0113	Kāinga Ora	089	EI	Support In Part	Amend the Energy & Infrastructure chapter as set in original submissions and further submissions on the PDP and in the relevant submission points of this submission.	Reject	9
V1-0049	Transpower	FS007	EI	Support In Part	If the extent of the MRZ is altered to include any area traversed by the National Grid, disallow the submission or alternatively allow the submission subject to refinements made to the relief sought by Kāinga Ora through legal submissions and evidence	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>before the Proposed District Plan Hearings Panel.</i>		
					<i>Refer to further submission for full reason.</i>		
V1-0100	NZDF	FS003	EI	Oppose	Reject the proposed change in relation to the EI Chapter by Kāinga Ora.	Accept	9
V1-0102	CSI	FS354	EI	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0103	CGPL	FS354	EI	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	FS354	EI	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	FS354	EI	Support	Adopt to the extent the relief sought is consistent with the relief sought by the Submitters.	Reject	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	003	HPW30	Support In Part	Amend as follows: ... Qualifying Matter Areas within the relevant residential zones of Rolleston, Lincoln and Prebbleton townships comprise the following <u>and are intended to limit intensification only to the extent necessary to accommodate the qualifying matter:</u>	Accept	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS058	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS058	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	004	EI-R3	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	020	EI-R4	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	021	EI-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	022	EI-REQ16	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept	9
V1-0114	CSI and RWRL	083	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Grant the relief sought in original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives, policies, and rules of the Proposed Plan.	Reject	8
V1-0115	RIDL	003	SD-UFD-O1	Support In Part	Grant the relief sought in the submitter's original and further submissions, and at the hearings on the objectives.	Reject	8

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS005	SD-UFD-O1	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Accept	8
V1-0115	RIDL	008	HPW30	Support In Part	Amend HPW-30 as follows: Qualifying Matter Areas within the relevant residential zones of Rolleston, Lincoln and Prebbleton townships comprise the following <u>and are intended to limit intensification only to the extent necessary to accommodate the qualifying matter:</u>	Accept	7
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS010	HPW30	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Reject	7
V1-0077	Ryman	FS059	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0079	RVA	FS059	HPW30	Support	Allow the submission	Accept	7
V1-0115	RIDL	009	EI-R3	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS011	EI-R3	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	010	EI-R4	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS012	EI-R4	Oppose	Disallowed in part The Council should recognise that it is clear that	Reject	9

Submitter ID	Submitter Name	Submission Point	Plan Reference	Position	Decision Requested	Recommendation	Section of Report
					<i>RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>		
V1-0115	RIDL	031	EI-REQ15	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS033	EI-REQ15	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9
V1-0115	RIDL	032	EI-REQ16	Support	Retain as notified	Accept	9
V1-0021	Lincoln Voice	FS034	EI-REQ16	Oppose	Disallowed in part <i>The Council should recognise that it is clear that RIDL could gain significant trade advantage from a number of changes that they propose to Variation 1. As such any changes sought in their submission should be independently evaluated if they give a trade advantage, and if so declined.</i>	Reject	9