From: Helen Pullar < Helen. Pullar@selwyn.govt.nz>

Date: Monday, 6 January 2025 at 6:26 pm

**To:** Jonathan Gregg < Jonathan.Gregg@selwyn.govt.nz> **Subject:** RE: RC246049 - McDonald Road - Traffic Input

Hi Jonathan,

For the most part the requests come through as service requests. This looks to be minor though.

I don't have any significant concerns related to the siting of the vehicle crossing.

Formation - There should be a standard LIM note placed on the property for the vehicle crossing formation – they likely will be required to make compliant when building the dwelling – not likely to be a significant issue - would just match the road surface. Should be addressed as part of the building consent process.

"Any new or upgraded vehicle crossing requires approval from Council's Infrastructure and Property Department prior to installation. Applications to install a new vehicle crossing or upgrade an existing one can be made online via the SDC website (Selwyn District Council - Application to Form a Vehicle Crossing (Entranceway)). For any questions regarding the process please contact the Roading Team via email at transportation@selwyn.govt.nz."

Greenpark makes me question flooding (although this particular site looks ok), and size of lot and likely high water table whether they should confirm their ECan wastewater disposal consent.

Cheers Helen

From: Jonathan Gregg < Jonathan. Gregg@selwyn.govt.nz>

Sent: Friday, 3 January 2025 2:25 pm

**To:** Helen Pullar <Helen.Pullar@selwyn.govt.nz> **Subject:** RC246049 - McDonald Road - Traffic Input

Hi Helen,

Happy new year! Hope you had a good break.

From the OneNote it's not clear whether I email you, or create a service request, let me know if I'm doing this wrong!

Just looking for some transport input on this. From what I can see it's an existing crossing, and the proposal is to put a residential unit on the undersized site, so in my view it's intensifying the use. My initial thoughts are that this is not a real concern given this part of McDonald Road is not sealed, and is a dead end road which only serves a few rural sites and the intersection would not be a busy one. Non-compliances below, if it doesn't raise any issues, then I don't anything other than a 1 line sentence confirming that

## **TRAN** - Transport

TRAN-REQ4 SITING OF VEH	TRAN-REQ4 SITING OF VEHICLE CROSSINGS		
2. When compliance with any of TRANREQ4.1 is not achieved: RDIS	Restricted Discretionary	The existing vehicle crossing is 40m from the intersection where 60m is required. The vehicle crossing does not comply with the 282m sight distance requied, 44m provided, to the nearest intersection.	

TRAN-REQ5 VEHICLE CROSSING DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION		
6. When compliance with any of TRANREQ5.5 is not achieved: RDIS	Restricted Discretionary	The crossing is not formed to the standard in TRAN-DIAGRAM5.

Thanks Jonathan Jonathan Gregg

**CONSULTANT PLANNER** 

- +64 221077629
- +64 221077629