

**MINUTES OF THE SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL  
DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN SUBMISSION HEARINGS  
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 2 NORMAN KIRL DRIVE ROLLESTON  
ON TUESDAY 2 JUNE 2020 BETWEEN 9.30AM AND 5.20PM &  
WEDNESDAY 3 JUNE BETWEEN 9.15AM AND 4.40PM**

**PRESENT**

Mayor S T Broughton, Councillors, M A Alexander, J B Bland, S Epiha (Wednesday only), J A Gallagher, M P Lemon, M B Lyall, S G McInnes, G S Miller, R H Mugford, & N C Reid

**ATTENDEES**

Messrs D Ward (Chief Executive), G Bell (General Manager Corporate Services), S Hill (Group Manager Communication and Customers), D Marshall (Group Manager Property), T Harris (Group Manager Environmental and Regulatory Services), M Washington (Group Manager Infrastructure), M England (Asset Manager Water Services), Mrs D Kidd (Group Manager Community Services and Facilities, Ms T Davel (Council Governance Co-ordinator), Mrs N Smith (Executive Assistant to the Chief Executive) and Mr S Tully (Advisor to Mayor)

**APOLOGIES**

For Tuesday – Councillor S Epiha, Councillor D Hasson

For Wednesday – Councillor D Hasson

**OPENING COMMENTS**

Mayor Broughton welcomed all attendees to the meeting and acknowledged the submitters noting a great variety of views expressed.

Mayor Broughton reminded Councillors about the deliberations next week, and as such, today and tomorrow are about hearing the submitters.

Council received 237 submissions with several submitters sending in responses on the leases at Selwyn Huts. There will be a different process to deal with the submissions.

**ONLINE SURVEY INFORMATION FROM GROUP MANAGER COMMUNICATION AND CUSTOMERS**

The Group Manager Communication and Customers spoke to the online submissions and provided a recap on the submission process to date. Reference was made to the process timeframe being altered due to the COVID 19 lockdown. The Annual Plan will be adopted on 24 June 2020.

The Group Manager Communication and Customers spoke to the impacts on the process by the COVID-19 period with engagement being assisted through a number of sources including Council Call, banner on Council's website, Selwyn Times features, media releases, social media (predominantly Facebook) and a letter-box drop.

The Council's engagement site received a total of 2,900 visitors to the consultation website, with 110 surveys completed. 44,055 were reached through Council's Facebook page with 2,652 engagements (1,267 regarding rates).

## **RECEIPT OF SPEAKING SUBMISSIONERS ON TUESDAY 2 JUNE 2020**

### ***100022 – Ruth Richardson***

- Would like Council to consider a rates rethink and states that Council is completely disconnected with the process.
- Ratepayer in the district for 40 years and MP for Selwyn previously.
- Minister of Finance previously.
- Urges a corrective action which is significant and lasting to meet the current economic shocks.
- COVID-19 has brought with it the biggest disruption of the century.
- Discussed Council's reset options in the Consultation document, disagreeing with all options.
- Two imperatives being: recognise and respect that it is not an event, but rather a permanent state until a vaccine is found; we must survive (now), and then rethink what we will need to do differently to survive.
- Spoke to the CCC thinking and urges Selwyn District Council to consider a similar approach.
- Ruth stated she is submitting today to provide Selwyn District Council with a wake-up call – you need to listen to, and act on what you are being told. She urges a rates reduction. Nothing less than a rates reset will do. She referred to the rating base expanding rapidly and being a wealthy district. Expect the post COVID-19 shock reality to kick in.
- Ruth notes that Councillors must take the lead and look to the current Minister of Finance. She stated we need to scrap the current approach, take some decent advice and show some Selwyn leadership.

### ***100116 – Ann and Paul Jarman***

- Noted the compliance costs given to Council by central government, but noted that farmers are in the same position. Through all of this, it is still important that we carry on with the environmental imperatives.
- Referred to zero based budgeting from the 1980's and work out what is essential.
- Would like Council to think carefully.
- Councillor Alexander asked about social capital and rates freezes or reductions and what Council should keep doing. Ann referred to the important services and noted it is important that you have a clear distinction between what is a local council's job and what is central government's job.

- Councillor Lemon referred to core business and what this is. We are finding that core business is defined by the people who present to us. We do intend to take this process seriously and consider all options to get a sound understanding of the direction we take.

#### ***100201 – Jessica Brunell, Kirwee Tree House Learning Centre***

- Did not show for speaking slot.
- Business owner of Kirwee Tree House Learning Centre and supports the Darfield Wastewater scheme

#### ***100026 – James Russell***

- Lives in Prebbleton and attending as a concerned ratepayer.
- Ask Council not to impose any additional costs.
- Referred to the three rates options – noting none were a rates decrease – so asks for a freeze and achieve savings to allow for this to occur.
- Local Selwyn businesses are struggling and people have less incomes – we need to help people with this. Preference is for a rates decrease.
- Regarding the deferral of the Prebbleton Community Centre – please defer to help decrease rate increases.
- Regarding the Leeston Community Centre - noted the same views as above.
- Regarding the water charges James noted he is in favour of increasing the variable charge as in favour of user pays.
- Would like to defer the Darfield Wastewater solution and cope with what is there now until Council revenues increase.
- Noted other projects within the Annual Plan which are not yet started – defer the projects in order to freeze rates.
- Regarding adjustments post COVID-19, he asks council to help growth within the district. Refers to core business and not to do anything outside of this, including ceasing grants as it is morally and ethically unsound as it is other people's money.
- Also noted he is in favour of cycleways, do them smartly and ask for central government money, and spoke to how these can be constructed efficiently.
- Referred to rates rises comparisons as set out in the document. He submits this is not useful due to the current climate. A better comparison would be business and household income comparisons.
- Councillor Reid referred to core business and asked what James thinks this is. He noted roads, core infrastructure, consents, libraries, water etc.
- James stated that Council should only spend money on cycleways if there is no rates impact on ratepayers. Supports seeking funds from central government to build these.

### **100124 – Roger Crozier (and Rob Potts), Ascot Park Limited**

- Roger noted he is here today to speak to the proposed Darfield Wastewater Treatment activities.
- Roger spoke to the timeline paperwork handed out to the Councillors and spoke to this including purchasing the land for subdivision purposes and the costs incurred by him to date including additional roading costs along Creyke Road.
- Commissioned Duncan Cotterill to put Selwyn on notice and requested information on the proposal scheme.
- Ron spoke to further information provided to Councillors as part of their submission handouts.
- Referred to the overview of options in Clause 9 of his handout including centralised versus decentralised wastewater treatment, speaking to the advantages of these systems.
- Ron then spoke to the recommendations within his handout.
- Councillor Lemon asked questions around preferred options including a pipeline to the Pines. What would have been the disposal method originally. Roger spoke to this stating they received resource consents for onsite systems for over 170 properties.
- Roger noted he is happy for a sewerage system, but his objection is the location of the proposed system.
- Councillor Alexander referred to the history of the Helpet plant, stating that the odour may be perception rather than reality. Ron spoke to this noting that a well-run plant does not produce odours, but perception can be a real issue.
- Councillor Reid asked what the submitter referenced as being a long distance in their submission, to which Ron he had no real answer, but depends on the system itself.
- Councillor Miller referred to the two issues about whether it should be built, and if so, where it should be located. Ron noted that personally he wants to see the scheme, but he is here today as an independent expert. He spoke to the environmental surrounds in the area.
- Councillor Miller asked about the timeline in Roger's mind. Roger noted they have sold four sections since putting them on the market, but they need to know one way or the other so they can proceed. The consents they have connect to the system, but can connect to the proposed sewerage system – sees advantages to any new system, but the negatives are outlined as per their submission.

### **100065 – Clare Ryan (via Zoom call)**

- Clare expanded upon her submission and how the plans within the Annual Plan affect the community.
- Clare shared a story about how their community feels about the proposed proposals. She stated that when people's homes are threatened then the rest of their lives are threatened. She asked Councillors to consider threats like this.
- Clare referred to the lockdown and how this has impacted lives.

- She noted that she read in the paper that Selwyn District Council were increasing rates at the Selwyn Huts. She then referred to the large envelope they received in the mail regarding this information.
- Clare noted the meetings she attended and what she noted had been said at these meetings including a 30 year consent.
- Is unhappy with the collateral damage of a decision of 12 elected members.
- Clare stated that hut owners deserve respect and consideration.
- Clare also made comments about the proposed chlorination of the water supplies.
- Councillor McInnes asked if Clare was reading from some notes, and could she send that through.

#### **100087 – Dr Keith Morrison**

- Gave some background to why there seems to be the debate and conflict at Selwyn Huts.
- Twenty years ago we heard people saying that they own the reserve and one day they would get this privatised. People got things changed and believe they have the right to make money out of the Huts. It has been difficult for people who are aware of what the reality is.
- Spoke to the public reserve and most of the community are proud of being involved in enhancing the area such as the recreational services. When the huts are gone, the reserve will still be there. We are proud to contribute to this great reserve.
- Even though it started off as a bach community, it has now become social housing and people live there all year around. It is an asset to the council as it provides low-cost housing.
- The renters who are now there really appreciate it. It would be appropriate to allow them to stay as long as they wish, but it would also be entirely appropriate as well for Council not to allow this.
- Spoke to the allowable 15 year consent by ECan. The lifespan of the system will be longer than 15 years – so if there is some life left in the system after 15 years, would it be allowable for people to stay on there. This would maximise the social housing. The \$95 per week to service the system is a good price.
- Quite often the cost of decommissioning is left out of costs, but he believed this should be included in the cost as well.
- Mayor Broughton asked Dr Morrison about the communication the community has received from Council. Dr Morrison spoke about this and said that the representatives on the Community were not elected and had not been providing information back to the community. Has appreciated the staff and Councillors who had come along to speak about the system.
- Councillor Lyall asked about percentages of for or against. Dr Morrison noted about half a dozen who are taking advantage of the situation with probably about 80% being taken along with this.

- Councillor Lemon asked about climate change and that is has always been his understanding that the funding of this infrastructure was going to be at the cost of the residents. Dr Morrison stated that climate change is going to be an issue and hence the need for a sunset clause for the community. Further comments were made about the cost of the system. Dr Morrison is grateful for the Council to effectively be the bank and allow them to pay it back at \$95 per week.

**100012 – Professor Arindam Bose, University of Canterbury**

- Did not show for speaking slot.
- Professor Bose has appealed to increase the coverage of the Darfield Wastewater Scheme to extend this into Sheffield.

**100028 – Tim Cookson**

- Did not show for speaking slot.
- States that given COVID-19, Council should go to the basics and agrees with deferring projects.

**100198 – Gerald Carter, Tai Tapu Community Association Inc**

- Co-chair of the Tai Tapu Community Association and has come to talk about three items they would like to see included in the Annual Plan.
- Noted the cycleway between Tai Tapu and Lincoln with no support even after several submissions. Gerald noted a real lack of engagement from Council with the community around the best route for the cycleway set out in the Long Term Plan.
- Gerald continued to speak to his formal submission including for a proposal walkway and a bike pump track.
- Councillor Miller asked Gerald to explain the preferred route put forward by the community as opposed to what Council has proposed. Gerald explain the safety issues with the proposed route.

**100081 – Stephen Bain**

- Did not attending hearings slot.
- Key notes were addressed by the Chief Executive on behalf of Stephen Bain.

**100084 – Craig Watson**

- Did not attending hearings slot.
- Key notes were addressed by the Chief Executive on behalf of Craig Watson.

### **1000183 – Cindy Driscoll**

- Lives and works in the Selwyn District and owns a small business with her husband.
- Also works on several Hororata events such as Highland Games and Night Glow.
- Asked Councillors if they had enjoyed an event in Selwyn in the last 18 months to which the majority of Councillors noted they had.
- Cindy noted the grassroots social benefits these local events have and set out that her submission is about spending money not saving money
- Would like to see Council reinstate the events fund as it has a tangible and measurable return.
- If it is reinstated, that it needs to have a strict criteria in place. Cindy believes events don't need a hand-out, but rather a hand-up.
- Understands that the current situation sees all businesses in New Zealand reassessing the way they spend money.
- Community events are part of our fabric and people will be craving social normality. Events play a part in recovering from a crisis, and they also have direct economic benefits, any of which are vital fundraisers and assist small traders to raise revenue. Use tourism to promote the district for our smaller businesses.
- Any contestable funding from Council must have a return for the ratepayer.
- Asks Council to look at the entire events calendar and do not run events in isolation but rather with community led events. Noted the need for cross-promotion. They should be publicising community events on the Council website.
- Cindy said that we need to consider what impact losing these community events can have on our communities.
- The Highland Games committee will make a decision by the end of June as to whether their event will run this year.

### **100205 – Jenny Campbell (via Zoom call)**

- Jenny came in via Zoom from Mossburn, Southland
- Responded to call for submissions as it is powerful when people can submit.
- Speaking today about the Upper Selwyn Huts plans. Please take another look at your plans for this community as it seems unjust. We are reminded to be kind at the moment and imploring Council to be kind.
- Jenny understands that the community had put in its own system which now needs upgrading.
- \$3m seems an awful lot for this community to find and it seems like you don't want this community to keep existing.
- We are all responsible for keeping our water clean and sewerage schemes are critical, so suggest that Council apply to central government for funding. This is the way you can look after the vulnerable. What happens to these people after 15 years - most of whom will be homeless. You have a good case to seek funding from the government.

- Councillor Lyall referred to the capital costs paid by other communities for systems. Jenny said she was not aware of this, but it seems unfair and perhaps Council should change this system.
- Councillor Alexander asked as to whether the submission came from Forest and Bird – but Jenny said it was not an official submission on their behalf.
- Councillor Miller asked Jenny about the risks of climate change in coastal communities. Jenny noted the vulnerable coastal communities – but there needs to be a compromise and perhaps Council needs to do some more investigation.
- Councillor McInnes asked Jenny if she knew the consent period was imposed by Environment Canterbury – but she was unaware of this but stated there must be a better way to communicate with this.

#### **100172 – Marie Gray, Summit Road Society**

- Marie spoke to her submission and stated that the Summit Road Society owns four reserves on the Port Hills including two in the Selwyn District.
- Noted there was very little information in the Annual Plan on parks and reserves and the Summit Road Society would like to see this as a core part of Selwyn District Council's Annual Plan.
- Marie showed some photos of the areas which the Summit Road Society looks after.
- She noted the burden to constantly apply for funding to maintain these areas of bush.
- Acknowledged the SNEF fund.
- Spoke to the Predator Free Project, giving some background and information on this. Also spoke to the Banks Peninsula Predator Free programme that Selwyn District Council is already party to.
- Marie noted that the society also supports the Banks Peninsula Predator Free submission. She stated this is just the start and we need to look at a Predator Free Selwyn.
- Also noted that a recreational access to the area from Tai Tapu would be beneficial – including walking and biking access to the Summit Road from Selwyn.
- Would like more funding in the SNEF. Council expenditure supports the economy and community wellbeing.
- Mayor Broughton thanked the Summit Road Society for the work they do in these spaces.

#### **100209 – Trevor Taege**

- Totally opposes any increase in the rate this year. Under the present circumstances Council should be looking at a reduction.
- Believes that staff salaries must be cut, restructure the Council and only employ the staff who are needed not what you want.
- Improve your productivity and only carry out necessary work.



- New Zealand is going through a very difficult time and everyone must tighten their belts.
- Many people have lost incomes and many are suffering and cannot afford rate rises.
- Trevor asked if it is necessary for the Mayor to have an Advisor at a cost of \$90k per year – what is wrong with sharing your duties with the deputy and other Councillors?
- Councillor Lemon asked Trevor what his thoughts are around core services. Trevor said to ensure that Council sticks to its knitting.

### **100194 – Nancy Borrie**

- We are living in an interesting time and we are in uncharted times. There is economic uncertainty and we will be facing a hard time for a long while. Nancy noted the challenging task ahead of Councillors positively and in the best interests of the communities they are serving.
- Nancy noted that she is sure Councillors are aware that some people are doing it very hard right now.
- We don't know what this is going to do to land values either which will affect the rating base.
- Nancy asks the Council to look closely at the level of rates increases. We need safe drinking water and safe disposal of wastewater, transport corridors and pathways and some of us are very dependent on Council to provide these services. These are what she sees as essential services.
- Then we come to the things that are nice, and it is not the year for wish lists. Central Government will be looking to local government by having the shovel ready projects ready to go. Nancy believes we should review rates options and keep it as low as possible (0-3.5%) – don't get too grand too quickly. Let us ride through the next 12 months and keep doing only the essential services running.
- Regarding the Prebbleton Community centre – the views of the community has changed and it is good for the Prebbleton Community to stop and think about what they want, so deferring the community centre is the best option. Make use of the time that you have got to re-evaluate.
- When people ask for new things in their communities, ensure people know what the rates burden will be. Also try and future proof your buildings and provide for the unforeseen, and leave room for growth.
- Selwyn does not want to end up like Havelock North – if water needs to be upgraded, then upgrade it. No life is worth losing. Nancy introduced the idea of rainwater tanks – this would lead to better water conservation. We need to manage our water better.
- Regarding the Darfield wastewater plant, it will cost money, but she doesn't believe there is any choice and it has to be done.
- Nancy also spoke to changes in develop contributions – how does Council intend to handle their Option one. Any change in policy needs to be drafted in such a way as to apply across the district.
- Councillor Alexander asked if Nancy considers the Darfield Wastewater scheme should be paid by the people connected to it. Nancy noted that user pays should apply and that

other communities have paid. Councillor Alexander also referred to Nancy's comments around rainwater tanks. She noted that Council is a facilitator in Wellington.

### **100103 – Graham Evans**

- Graham referred to the additional submission brought with him today regarding the Selwyn Huts proposed changes.
- Graham referred to the advice provided by Buddle Findlay on several occasions.
- States that Council needs to proceed with caution and consultation undertaken in accordance with the LGA.
- Graham spoke to other reports commissioned by Selwyn District Council.
- Graham noted the concern that the licence will expire in 15 years whereas previous discussions were referring to a licence of 30 years.
- Graham concluded with a summary of total rates expected to be paid by members of the Upper Selwyn Huts.
- Mayor Broughton noted Graham's role on the community committee, and asked what the feedback was to the community. Graham noted the packages were provided just prior to COVID-19, and they quickly met to discuss. Prior to that, the committee had held frequent meetings with the hut owners.

### **100079 – Kevin Harmer**

- Referred to Nancy Borrie's earlier submission.
- Kevin noted there will be many projects carried forward into the next financial year.
- In regards to the Prebbleton, Leeston facilities and Darfield Sewerage – the costs should be borne by the people who use it.
- Regarding water supplies, there are going to be massive changes to water supplies coming up. Think carefully about those residents who have their own supplies on their properties.
- Kevin then spoke about charging people for water they don't use, and specifically spoke about a water race out the front of his property which is not used. Nobody uses the water race for stockwater, in fact the dairy cows cannot access it.
- Kevin referred to the population of mudfish at one point, and previously noted at a water race committee meeting some months ago, that keeping these open for mudfish could come from an environmental fund.
- Councillor Lemon noted that water races are an enormously frustrating subject for people and spoke to the result they came to in the LTP was to recognise a public good rate. We have also tried to make closure of the water races an easier project.
- Councillor Alexander noted that Kevin spoke to the Water Race Committee in 2018 and sought clarity on his situation. Kevin noted his frustration that an amenity water race is holding up the closure of another section of race.

### **100176 – Mark Christensen, Banks Peninsula Conservation Trust**

- Chair of Trustees of the Trust as Maree was unable to present today.
- Mark noted the Summit Road Society and to hear from Pest Free Banks Peninsula today.
- This is the first time this Trust has made a submission and is here to give some background to the Trust – set up in 2001 as a Charitable Trust with powers under the Reserve Act
- Mark talked about collaborations with other Trusts.
- Mark referred to the goals as set out in the submission – noting Goal 8 in particular. Mark also spoke about other projects set out in the submission including Te Kāhahu Kahukura and Pest Free.
- Seeking a modern contribution for the Pest Free initiative.
- Councillor Alexander noted the \$10,000 being requested and it is new funding. He asked Mark if he considered this core Council business to which he said it was citing his reasons for this.
- Councillor Miller asked if Mark is aware that rural ratepayers are already paying for Pest Free Banks Peninsula, and is this double-dipping. Mark spoke to the need for funding and it would be a matter for mixing and matching.

### **100049 – Vanessa Murray**

- Vanessa asked about the significant difference in rates based on where people live citing various examples.
- Noted they had spoken to Waimakariri District Council whose rates have come down significantly based on their original 4.0%.
- She also asked what people will get for the extra rates they will be paying. What will be achieved by putting rates up? Council needs to remember that ratepayers are not a bank.
- Country areas are going to be affected. If the Council feels they want to put the rates up, then it should be 3.5% across the Board otherwise it is not fair.
- Councillor Miller noted that Vanessa asked very good questions and there are many people asking the same questions.
- Vanessa noted the significant increase.

### **100129 – David Miller, Pest Free Banks Peninsula**

- David is the Chair of Pest Free Banks Peninsula project management group.
- David is here to request SDC to provide \$10k in the 2020/2021 plan and subsequent years to directly support the work of their initiative within Selwyn District; to continue supporting the work of Selwyn District Council and community groups towards the vision of a Pest Free Banks Peninsula; and to commit that all SDC Pest Policy decisions and implementation will be in alignment with the Pest Free Strategy for Banks Peninsula; and

a commitment that all SDC Biodiversity Policy changes or developments will be in alignment with the 2050 Banks Peninsula Plan / Strategy.

- David notes that the Trust will be seeking an increase in the total amount of funding through the SNEF and a commitment to annual funding.
- David then gave some background information to Te Kāhahu Kahukura and the help that the Trust is seeking from Council.
- The Trust believes that projects such as this are amongst the flagship projects to help restore resilience in the greater sense of community and providing employment. They are good for physical, emotional and social benefits as well as the provision of recreational opportunities.

#### **100132 – Trevor Hobson**

- Concerned around the cost for rural landowners who are rated per hectare rather than per household. Has had an 800% rates increase over the last few years.
- In an era of user pays, this is unfair
- Noted unhappiness with the cost associated with stockwater water races – which they no longer used.
- Have been contacting Council around this issue since 2016 which has yielded no results.
- Please consider the inequity of these charges. Please act appropriately going forward.
- Councillor Lemon asked Trevor about the cost for keeping them in a usable condition. Trevor noted the only race left on the property is the Council water race (running through the middle of the property), all stock water is done on-property at a cost paid by himself.
- Trevor noted the current system is unjust and unfair.
- Mayor Broughton noted that Council will be discussing this as part of the deliberations noting other submitters have raised the same concerns.

#### **100155 – Jens Christensen**

- Jens outlined the background to his submission. Happy to see the potential reduction, especially identifying what areas are not part of Council core business including Council positions which are not part of core Council business.
- Noted Councillors need to make the hard decisions.
- Spoke to the costs of the Darfield sewerage system referencing 2019 discussions on where the costs lie, being the users. Noted confusion creeping in around the term district-wide rate stating it relates to operational costs not capital costs.
- Referred to SICON and said Council should get rid of it. The \$800k of projected dividend out of a company with a value of \$22m is not a fair return. Jens asked why the SOI was not criticised by Council. Is it fair on the ratepayer for Council to use SICON as opposed to any other contractor if they are dearest?
- Councillor Lemon referred to a dividend not being the only measure of success for a company. What would you expect as a reasonable return on the SICON level of asset?

Would you advocate selling SICON during a recession? Jens responded with his feedback on this.

- Councillor Alexander noted that Jens mentioned his role on the Rolleston Reserve Committee, noting that many submitters have said that items such as cricket nets etc are not core Council business. Jens noted that the provision of physical activities is part of Council's core business.

### **100031 – Connor Buckley**

- Connor is a student at Rolleston College.
- Connor would like to discuss the proposed rates increase. The initially planned 3.5% increase is understandable, but through streamlining operational costs and reducing expenditure on small scale or low impact programmes, then the rates rise could be reduced to somewhere lower than 3.5% - perhaps around 3%.
- Regarding Prebbleton Community Centre, Connor notes that consultation is critical and proper discussion be held. With regards to the Leeston project, it is important for the building to be safe, and for the public to feel safe in it. It also needs to be up to EQ codes and have the proper amenities.
- Regarding the water charge proposals – 8% seemed a bit steep, but upon reflection a paid by volume system would work very well. This would in turn reduce water wastage and chances of water shortage occurring. This would also disproportionately target people who do not use much water.
- In commenting on the Darfield Wastewater scheme, it is a sound idea, but more community consultation and consultation with professional groups involved in the construction of the system would be beneficial. Communication with contractors would also offer a better and more cost effective programme.
- Regarding amendments to the DC policy – this is supported by Connor.
- If a rates rise does go ahead, it should be staggered so as not to effect people too much, too severely and too soon.
- Mayor Broughton asked what Connor thought required cutting from Council's services. Connor said things like special funds for Meet Your Street, or changes to the new town centre; maybe not have so many amenities and facilities and see what is not necessary.
- Councillor Lemon asked Connor about the projections of impacts on Connor's generation from COVID-19 and where he sees things are going in the next five years. Connor noted that he thinks you don't want the younger generations to bear the brunt of any COVID-19 deficit. Youth engagement is at good levels at this point, but there could be some more engagement with younger people aside from the Youth Council. Perhaps this could be done by more school visits, and high school programmes.
- Councillor Alexander asked Connor if Council is doing enough for youth? Connor noted that with regard to policies and programmes that Council is doing well. The Town Centre Project will be a good place for younger people to go and be with their friends.
- The Chief Executive noted that Connor approached him about a year ago to come and spend the day with Council, making a very good impression. He thanked Connor for his continued interest in Council and thanked him for his well-considered submission.

#### **100056 – Kenneth May, Kirwee Community Hall Association**

- Kenneth referred to the Kirwee Community Hall which is privately owned and situated on A&P land.
- Noted a previous submission regarding improving the kitchen and fire detection facilities.
- Kenneth gave background to the Community Hall and upgrading work undertaken by the community.
- Kenneth noted that the problem they have had for the last couple of years is that things need to be improved in the hall, but with the district-wide funding for community halls, is that to get funds for the community hall is a real issue.
- Kenneth spoke to the flooding issues in the hall which was discovered during the lockdown. The kitchen then had to be stripped out, but they discovered more water damage when doing this.
- Currently there is an incomplete cost assessment in the region of \$51,000. The Hall Committee has \$39,000 of which they believe \$10,000 should be retained for emergency work. Further the A&P Association has noted they will be proceeding with their event following show weekend for which a kitchen facility is required.
- The Association is seeking support from Council citing that another privately owned hall in Prebbleton has received Council funding previously.
- Councillor Lyall confirmed the funding given to Prebbleton Hall prior to district-wide funding being adopted. He asked if the Community would consider gifting the hall to Council in order to access the funding. Kenneth notes that it has been carefully considered and some concede that is the way of the future, but there is some conflict with that line of thinking.

#### **100173 – John Morten, Malvern Community Board**

- John is speaking on behalf of the Malvern Community Board as Chair.
- John spoke about the process followed to pull the submission together to ensure they are representing the views of their community. As such the Board believes it is a fair representation of the communities' views.
- Mayor Broughton asked what the view of the Malvern Ward around topics presented in the Annual Plan. John noted that the majority of the ratepayers are rural and they feel their rates are too high. The residents would like to see Council provide the core services including water services and road maintenance and see maintaining infrastructure as a high priority.
- Councillor Alexander asked John if there is any wish to see a reduction on the general rate. He also asked John who the community in Malvern believes should pay for the Darfield system, and does the Board support the previous submission on the Kirwee Community Hall.
- John provided his feedback stating that if certain criteria is met, then the Kirwee Community hall may have a case to seek funding, but as yet the Board has formed no

view on this, however it is Council's role to look at these options. Most people will probably feel that it is a Council owned hall anyway.

- John then commented on the Darfield wastewater system and it comes down to affordability for various sections of the community. John made further comments – but advised Council not to miss an opportunity and continue to do the work.
- John then stated he has maintained that the general uniform rate needs to be as high as possible – we haven't found a fair system yet.

#### **100123 – David Wilson, SICON Limited**

- David referred to his submission and reiterated the key points held within it.
- He feels that Council is on the right track and that despite the current climate, investment is still very important, and spending goes back into the local community.
- David believes that Council is leaving a significant legacy and what they do now will be very important.
- David encourages that Council continues on its pathway.
- Councillor Alexander noted a previous submission made regarding the rate of return from SICON. David responded that what they return is greater than the dividend, and there have been times when they have produced a bigger return, and other times when they have not.

#### **100145 – Frank Sharpe**

- Frank thanks Council for the work they have done to support the Selwyn Huts community and plan the way forward.
- Frank is concerned about the significant increase in cost – understands some of the rationale, but felt that there could have been more consideration given to the social effects of the community of this increase, particularly those on limited incomes.
- Mayor Broughton spoke to the meeting attended six months ago and where three community members were asked to join a committee to work on these options on behalf of the community. Frank noted that he received an email asking residents to make a submission, but no further information from the community representative group was received, noting he does not live at Selwyn huts – so cannot confirm this communication has not occurred.
- Councillor Miller asked Frank about the feeling in the community on climate change. Frank noted he couldn't speak on behalf of the community but he personally accepts that it is a reality. He is unaware of the timeframe around the impacts and it is a large amount of capital to spend if the community is going to be affected by climate change.
- Frank asked for a timeframe around the decisions around licence fees – noting this is separate from the Annual Plan process. Frank then asked for information around the climate change feedback and reports.

### **100197 – Erana Riddell, Selwyn Youth Council (SYC)**

- Erana and Chloe noted the Selwyn Youth Council formed a small group in order to form their submission.
- They noted that all projects proposed will indirectly or directly affected Selwyn's young people in some way. Agree that deferring the Prebbleton Community Centre project to seek further advised is the best option.
- The SYC supports the Te Ara Ātea project and welcomes the Rolleston Town Centre project. Many youth are having to go into Christchurch to shop. There also needs to be some reflection of youth in these spaces.
- Regarding the Indoor Courts, SYC is in support of bringing more sports into the district at this facility.
- SYC is excited to see the development of the Selwyn Health Hub with many health services having to be accessed by travelling into Christchurch. If people outside Rolleston wish to utilise these services, can bus services from other towns within Selwyn be provided straight to the Health Hub.
- SYC would like to thank Council for how they managed the response to COVID-19 including their live-streaming of meetings. SYC would like to see Council consult with the community around the ongoing impacts of COVID-19 from all various demographics within our community. Recommends that the SYC could be involved in this process and how they can inform Council decision making.
- SYC notes that rate payments are critical for funding projects. Erana spoke to the various options. They do believe that Council needs to consider those who have lost incomes due to COVID-19 when it comes to rates increases. SYC believes that holding rates will help communities, however they do feel if rates were frozen, then communities will miss out on the projects which are making traction. Council needs to make sure that they properly communicate what a rates freeze will mean for the district, or what benefits will be experienced if a rates increase is agreed to.
- Mayor Broughton asked submitters about what they see should be stopped if Council has to reduce expenditure to which they noted it is difficult to know.
- Councillor Alexander was not sure what the SYC's preference was around rates. Submitters gave their personal view. Chloe noted that each member of SYC has a different experience from COVID-19 so general consensus on a preference was not reached.
- Councillor McInnes asked how SYC members feel about jobs in Selwyn and how this might be impacted by the decisions Council make now. Chloe noted it was hard before to get a job in Selwyn for youth, but it may be even harder now. Youth do a lot of work to help out, and not necessarily get paid for it. It is about being kind.



## **RECEIPT OF SPEAKING SUBMISSIONERS ON WEDNESDAY 3 JUNE 2020**

*The Hearings re-opened at 9.15am on Wednesday 3 June 2020*

### **100017 – Lucy White**

- Lucy spoke to a document which she brought into the hearings.
- Lucy spoke about the impacts of COVID-19 and the global pandemic.
- She noted that the LTP talks of an average 4% per year for ten years, but said that this ends up being a 48% rates rise over ten years.
- Lucy spoke to the three options presented by Council in their Draft Annual Plan.
- Lucy introduced an option four – being a rates reduction stating this is the only viable option.
- Lucy made comments regarding staff remuneration costs.
- Lucy would like to see an immediate re-write of the LTP as it is no longer viable.
- Councillor Lemon asked Lucy if we should ‘slash and burn’ now or is this a long term decision. Lucy commented that it is a combination of both, as there are people who need financial relief. Make some immediate savings and then look at your forecast. The LTP is not viable anymore and requires a new plan.
- Councillor Lemon noted the time constraint put upon Council when they put these documents out now based on a snapshot in time.

### **100158 – Fliss Cox**

- The submitter did not attend their submission slot.
- The Chief Executive spoke to the submission on behalf of Fliss Cox.
- Councillor Miller noted the submitters’ comments on water rates.

### **100174 – Lloyd Clausen, Leeston Community Committee**

- Lloyd spoke on behalf about the 3.5% rates increase. We understand a lot of people are suffering, but the rates increase promotes work to be undertaken in the district and helps employ people. If rates are pulled back, there is less work undertaken, and less employment.
- Noted the rates payment and it would be good if information could be brought forward on the website with regards to fortnightly payments – rather than paying monthly or quarterly.
- Lloyd then spoke to the Leeston Community centre. There has not been one in Leeston since 1952 and this is something that has been on the radar for over 20 years. Noted a lot of procrastination. Whilst the Medical Centre and library has come from out of left field, the Committee would like to continue on with the Community Centre whilst looking at the other two components so as not to stall the project.
- Noted three reports and investigations have been undertaken in the last ten years. Would like to see Council get on with it. Borrow some money and build it.

- Thanked Council for the bypass project which has helped the flooding
- Lloyd spoke to some other projects within the township including the improvements to Cemetery Pit – including getting rid of the water.
- Mayor Broughton spoke to Lloyd about the builds including the Leeston Community centre and Cemetery Pit. The Community Centre is not scheduled for another two years at least – and we have been hit with the medical centre and library issue, and COVID-19. He asked Lloyd if there is another way to provide the services without construction of a new building. Lloyd responded that the Leeston township has doubled in size since the Christchurch earthquakes, so why would we want to pull back on these projects.
- Councillor Reid noted the cycleway between Southbridge and Leeston which is not in the plan. Lloyd spoke further to this.
- Councillor Miller also referred to the cycleway. There's a lot of submitters saying 0% rates increase. How does your community feel about this when it affects projects such as the Leeston Community Centre? Lloyd noted that this is a case of 'not in my backyard'. The way that we live in our communities is different to what it was with people now looking at Councils to provide facilities. We are behind the eight ball in Leeston.
- Councillor Bland stated that the previous submitter was speaking based on the current situation and she is sure that if we were building the West Melton Community Centre right now we would be looking at it with different eyes. Lucy was making a very valid point earlier. Lloyd said that this is something that has been worked on for a number of years and why should we be lifting the foot off the pedal now. The Community needs a Centre as the third or fourth largest town in the district, with many smaller communities having a nice community centre.

**100193 – Elisha Young-Ebert, Federated Farmers of New Zealand (joined by Paul Jarman)**

- Elisha emphasises points from her submission including rates and water charges.
- She noted that a 3.5% increase is nowhere near where rural residents are paying. She also noted the water race charges on property owners for rates they do not use.
- Urges the Council to consider the rates increases for the next year with Council being in a good financial position.
- Federated Farmers believe the 8% water charge increases are too high.
- Elisha noted that the effects of COVID-19 will be felt for some time, and noted that farmers have invested considerable money with regards to water quality already.
- Farmers are going to face increasing charges around issues such as three waters, freshwater reforms to name a few.
- Farmers noted that these regulations will require more resource consents and building more fences leading to a change in the way they run their farms.
- Elisha noted the way that rates are charged on rural ratepayers.

- She noted that there is only \$75,000 available through the contestable fund to help farmers. They will also be required to make changes under the district plan, adding to their costs.
- Paul Jarman spoke in support to the Federated Farmers' submission. He noted farmers are faced with a whole raft of changes and controls, some of which are going to be very costly.
- Paul also spoke to the stockwater races – all of which have to be fenced off. The main benefit now of these races are now largely environmental and it is unfair that the cost burden is with the ratepayers.
- Mayor Broughton spoke about the water race comments echoed by other submitter.
- Councillor Alexander referred to the rating options and does the submission propose that we should have different rate classes. Paul and Elisha responded to the main concerns reconfirming the highest payers are those in the rural areas. Paul noted the differential system already seems to be present.
- Councillor Alexander then noted the support for a mixed funding model for the wastewater scheme in Darfield. Elisha spoke to this.
- Councillor Lemon also referred to rating structure and have challenged Federated Farmers to come up with a more equitable spread previously. Further discussion held around whether Council needs to review the rating structure again.
- Councillor Miller also spoke to rural ratepayers subsidising growth. He stated his view that it is Council's problem to find a better rate structure.
- Elisha suspected that most farmers would be more amenable to the rates they pay now if it supports them to meet their environmental outcomes they are required to meet now. Those rates don't account for a lot of what farmers need to do on their farms now which sits outside the rates base but they are required to do in order to meet with District Plan requirements.
- Elisha also suggested that central government initiatives such as the freshwater reform need to be supported by central government funding.

#### ***100206 – Suky Thompson, Rod Donald Banks Peninsula Trust (via Zoom call)***

- Suky showed a powerpoint via Zoom.
- She started by introducing the Trust – a charitable CCO of CCC established in 2010 and spoke to their objectives and vision statement.
- Suky spoke to their four core pillars: access; biodiversity; knowledge and partnership.
- Suky then spoke to several of their access projects.
- Spoke to the goals in their strategic plan; including a network of well-managed walk and biking trails and would like to support Selwyn providing a network of trails into the Summit Road area from the Selwyn district. Also spoke to suggested routes.
- Suky then spoke in support of the Pest Free Banks Peninsula work. Also working on the Goat eradication trial as part of this.

- Looking for support for the Pest Free Banks Peninsula work through a budget provision of \$10,000 this year and for subsequent years. Also noted the wish for alignment of Council policies with the work being undertaken by these groups.

### **100210 – Liz Weir, Whitecliffs Township Committee**

- Liz spoke to her submission and presented some slides.
- Sue Wragg and Jody Thompson were also in attendance
- In 2018 the Committee made a submission for a walkway, but that it wouldn't be considered by Council until 2032 due to some challenges
- The Township decided to tackle that challenge and spoke to the landowner who agreed work to be undertaken.
- Sue spoke to work that had been undertaken to date. Sue spoke to many offers and help and the support of the township.
- Noted an application for funding with the Malvern Lions, and some community fundraising may be required.
- Liz referred to the Cycling and Walking strategy put together by the Council as it relates to Whitecliffs and the documented space restrictions. Liz noted that the township has tackled this issue already.
- The Committee is asking Council to bring forward to 2020/2021 budget and complete the two sections which are less difficult.
- Liz then spoke to the footpaths prioritisation method used by the Council. Liz noted that some of the footpaths put forward in the programme are unnecessary. Would like to see priorities changed including a footpath to go through the township and spoke to how to address concerns about footpaths going past people's houses through widening the road rather than constructing a new footpath.
- Liz spoke to the changing demographics since the earthquakes. Guess there are over 200 adults and 69 children in the community. If they choose to, they should be able to walk or cycle to Glentunnel School, and would like parents to be able to push their strollers to Glentunnel safely.
- Ratepayers would see benefits of rate increases if a walkway/cycleway could be constructed between Whitecliffs and Glentunnel.
- Mayor Broughton asked if the work could be finished by those who started it if Council was able to fund it. Sue noted the rest of the walkway would need to be on Council land as the landowner cannot give any more land to this. It would be funding and construction support required. Sue noted that if the funding was approved and Council gave permission for them to construct it, then the community would be willing to do this.
- Liz added that there has only been 2-3 people undertaking the work, and it is a lot to ask them to continue to do the work. Somebody would need to be paid to manage the work. Liz would prefer that Council finishes the work.
- Councillor Lyall noted he was impressed with the work that has been done. He asked if there is an expectation of this path to be shingle. Sue noted yes.

- Councillor Lyall asked about costing, to which Liz referred him to Council's documents. The whole project was budgeted at \$500k but that included the difficult section in the middle.
- The committee would be happy for some of the footpath funding allocated to the side streets to be used in another way.
- Councillor Mugford noted he had talked to staff about this the other day to which either side of it would be about \$50,000.
- Liz confirmed the children in Whitecliffs go to school to Glentunnel and the route suggested is the only viable way to get to school.

#### ***100220 – Michelle Jones, Rolleston Residents' Association***

- Michelle spoke to her submission.
- Michelle wanted to give a human face to the Association, noting the submissions which appear to be anti-Rolleston.
- We need to take stock of things over the next 12 month and consider how it can be done cheaper, or be funded by central government.
- Rolleston Residents Association would be willing to give Council back some of its discretionary funding to assist with finding savings.
- Michelle asked about what is driving the need for the Darfield Sewerage scheme and is it the best decision for the community at this time.
- Michelle then referred to the discretionary funds being used to subsidise the use of facilities by community groups, and would like to submit against this. It would be difficult to choose who to provide these subsidies to.
- Councillor Miller noted the kind offer to reduce discretionary fund spending, and asked Michelle about how she would feel about a zero discretionary fund allowance. Michelle spoke to this and would need further discussion.

#### ***100167 – Nicky Snoyink, Forest and Bird***

- Nicky is the regional Manager for Forest and Bird (Canterbury and West Coast)
- Spoke to the biological disruption due to COVID-19 compounded by what climate change may throw at us in the future.
- COVID-19 has provided us with opportunities to do things differently and better in the future.
- Nicky spoke to some initiatives that Council can undertake around key environmental goals.
- Acknowledges that Council has employed two Biodiversity officers who both do a very good job.
- Spoke to the Jobs for Nature plan initiated by the government.
- Noted that Council should align its environmental strategies with those of Environment Canterbury.

- Nicky noted that land use is linked to water quality and quantity.
- Also spoke to enforcement of the District Plan rules and Council needs to improve this aspect of their role.
- Forest and Bird recommend that Council upskill on compliance monitoring and enforcement team for monitoring biodiversity. This needs to be working well. The community expects this in order to reward strong environmental stewardship.
- Councillor Bland spoke to previous submissions from environmental organisations seeking funding for pest control work and did Nicky support this. She said they did support this work.
- Councillor Lemon asked if Forest and Bird support the Darfield sewerage scheme. Nicky noted that if it improves water quality then yes, but Forest and Bird holds no view on this.
- Councillor Alexander asked about charging around water rates. Nicky noted the priority is to restore the natural character of the water races themselves, but would need to assess the public good.

#### ***100088 – Rosalie Snoyink***

- The submitter was unable to attend their hearings slot.
- Key notes were addressed by the Chief Executive on behalf of Rosalie Snoyink.

#### ***100005 – Grant Prescott***

- The submitter did not attend their hearings slot.
- Key notes were addressed by the Chief Executive on behalf of Grant Prescott.

#### ***100083 – Eddie Clark (via Zoom call)***

- Eddie spoke to his submission, most of which relate to the environment. He noted he has also canvassed his neighbours on their views.
- Noted a lot of confusion around responsibility for environmental issues, such as with water.
- Notes his concern that it is predicted to become drier over the next 50 years, and there are situations where people are taking water out and exporting it. He asked what Council's position is on this?
- Eddie asks who he should address these issues to in the questions he addresses as part of the Annual Plan.
- The Deputy Mayor noted he would like to meet with Eddie to address these issues. Eddie noted a mixed bag of opinions about how to deal with Council and asked about how high on the priority list water is.
- Eddie asked if it helps for a number of people to combine their efforts to deal with this or just one person. The Mayor noted just one voice would help.
- Councillor Lemon referred to the Canterbury Water Management Strategy and was Eddie aware of this document, along with the Zone Implementation plan. Eddie said he

would welcome seeing these plans. He noted he is constantly being told that access to the water to undertake his projects is going to be difficult.

- Eddie also noted that past consents for water cannot be challenged.
- Mayor Broughton reiterated that some of the issues raised would have to be resolved with Environment Canterbury and that the Deputy Mayor will be in touch.

#### **100208 – Tim Schmack and David Birkett, Ellesmere A & P Association**

- Tim spoke to his community and is very passionate about it.
- Tim spoke to how difficult it is to continue to run the annual show. It is one of the biggest events run in the district.
- Noted the amount of events run on the showground free of charge.
- The Annual show also supports the community with their fundraising efforts.
- Tim suggests that Council consider how they help with these costs and support these events.
- The Council may be able to promote these events through their publication channels.
- Tim would like to see Council promote Selwyn as the most vibrant district in the country.
- Mayor Broughton spoke about the need for Council to reallocate funding in the current climate, and how does Tim see allocation of these funds, such as focusing this towards a small number of larger events, rather than distribute it to many. Tim did not agree with this and is advocating that non-profit organisations should not pay rates.
- David noted that small clubs are vitally important and any prioritisation would have negative effects on the smaller entities. We would like to present on behalf of all small clubs and non-profit entities. It was noted that such items such as traffic management plans for these events be absorbed by Council.
- Councillor McInnes asked what the showgrounds pay for their rates per annum, to which Tim noted it was over \$2,000. Tim also spoke to the costs they pay, many of which are subsidised by sponsorship.
- A conversation was held about the social betterment that non-profits give to the community. Tim noted it is getting harder and harder for them to make ends meet.
- Councillor Alexander asked how they respond to those asking for a rates decrease when your organisation is seeking a remission and would they be willing to donate this to the Council. David referred to other options such as a partnership.
- Councillor Bland noted that the submitters have made some good points and noted their message is around difficulty funding the show, and that Council needs to stump up with some cash at least in the short term. David noted it is not cash they are necessarily asking for, but it is about whether they can achieve a reduction in costs for something they only use for one week out of a year.
- Councillor Gallagher asked whether if Council was to consider the waste management and a reduction in marquee consents options, would that be a help? Tim noted that anything would be a help, however he is here to speak on behalf of many other organisations requiring similar assistance – and it should be similar across the district.

- Councillor Lemon noted his potential conflict.
- David would like these solutions to be considered in a long-term way, not just related to COVID-19.

#### ***100071 – Edward Parker***

- The submitter was unable to attend their speaking slot.
- The Chief Executive spoke to the key notes contained within Edward Parker's submission

#### ***100230 – Craig Pauling and John Sunckell, Environment Canterbury***

- John and Craig are presenting on behalf of the Environment Canterbury Chair, Jenny Hughey.
- John noted that we are facing uncertain times so a collaborative and joined up approach to local government is essential and Environment Canterbury appreciates the relationship it has with Selwyn District Council.
- John would also like to acknowledge the work and leadership provided in the regional forums – work which is vital in the recovery stages.
- John also noted Environment Canterbury's appreciation for their work on climate change and other environmental aspects.
- Wastewater projects were noted by John.
- John noted the work undertaken by Craig Pauling around Council's district plan and acknowledges the partnership and opportunity to be involved.
- Craig noted his support of John's comments. He noted the good work that council undertakes with Te Taumutu Rūnanga.
- John then spoke to public transport which can be troublesome at times and spoke to the local services provided out of Darfield and Leeston. This will look to be strengthened over time.
- Mayor Broughton acknowledged the comments made by Environment Canterbury and noted that partnership is very important. The Mayor noted key matters raised during the submission process which will require the support of Environment Canterbury.
- Councillor Alexander made comments around public transport in a post COVID-19 environment. He noted questions from the Ellesmere community and asked about the possibility of an extended service. John noted that there needs to be a continuation of this conversation but that Environment Canterbury is not blind to the needs of the community.

#### ***100195 – Professor Michael Glover***

- The submitter was unable to attend their speaking slot.
- The Chief Executive spoke to the key notes contained within Professor Glover's submission



### **100137 – Graeme Young**

- Graeme is here today to speak to the Selwyn Huts licence issues, noting his role on the local committee with a smaller committee from that group who met with Councillors of the Springs Ward, together with some Council staff.
- One day prior to the Level 4 lockdown, a report was dropped at his front door with some – what Graeme noted – were nasty implications for those who live at Selwyn huts.
- The letter stated that rates were going to increase by 700%. Graeme noted what he currently pays for in rates.
- He also spoke about the 15 year consent date, and the proposed value of the sewer system. Graeme noted the proposal for a system submitted on behalf of the community – which was at a value of \$900,000 rather than the \$3m system proposed by the Council.
- Graeme noted the concern of the proposed \$3m system to the community.
- Graeme spoke to the 15 year date being communicated. He said that the houses have never flooded, and this was only indicated through modelling.
- Graeme asked the Councillors to come down to look at the Selwyn Huts, saying it is a beautiful place.
- Mayor Broughton gave background to the urgency of communicating the information. The Mayor then asked what the feedback was from the community from the Community representatives. Graeme stated that it was going fine. He noted that the community representatives never came back with this particular option.
- Councillor Miller questioned the German system suggested, but that this does not meet the requirements and would require further costs to implement. Graeme noted the engineer tried to contact the staff to meet with him on site, but it took two weeks to get back to him. Graeme disputed Councillor Miller's comments about the German system requirements – which does not require a renewal of the reticulation system.
- Councillor Miller provided further comments about the discussions held at the community meetings.
- Graeme noted that the Council Engineer in charge of the work was a general practitioner not a specialist, however the German engineer was, and he told them what was required and what was not. Further comments were made about the German system with Graeme stating it would be a perfect fit for the Selwyn Huts community, as well as others.
- Councillor Miller noted that any system has to be able to be consented.
- The Mayor noted that the aim of Council has been to come up with a solution which will extend the timeframe of the huts and linking the licences with the consent is a good way to do this. We wish to be open and clear with the community.
- Graeme stated that the community used to run via its own committee and use the licence fee to run the onsite services. When the committee was dissolved by this Council, people now come along to Council to pay the rates and licence fee, stating this is why his submission referred to \$1800 for his 30 square metre block.
- Councillor Miller noted that residents at the Huts are paying two separate fees; rates and licence fees.

### **100130 – Mr Raymond Williams, Kirwee Recreational Reserve**

- The submitter was unable to attend their hearings slot.
- Key notes were addressed by the Chief Executive on behalf of Raymond Williams and the Kirwee Recreational Reserve. The Chief Executive read out the email sent by Raymond overnight on behalf of the Committee.

### **100141 – Jane Potts**

- The submitter was unable to attend their hearings slot.
- Key notes were addressed by the Chief Executive on behalf of Jane Potts.

### **100069 – Rachael Inch**

- Rachael noted she is making her submission on a personal capacity today as a rural ratepayer, but also speaking on behalf of the rural and urban communities to help Council make some decisions. Rachael also noted that she and her husband are farmers in the Malvern Ward. Rachael also holds roles on a number of social organisations.
- Rachael spoke to the Treasury Living Standards framework.
- Rachael stated that now is not the time to be placing any extra burdens on our communities.
- Rachael also acknowledged the amount of volunteer work being undertaken in the community including her own work she undertakes in a voluntary capacity in the arts sector.
- Rachael also spoke to the essential services groups she was involved in during the lockdown. She would like to highlight what she sees as the state of the district's social capital. We cannot gloss over the fact that there is a real need and impact of COVID-19 in the district.
- There are children within our communities who are going to school without food. She noted a great sense of distrust between the community and the Council.
- She noted she pays over \$4,000 per year for few Council services and she cannot keep doing this. Rachael noted the impact of COVID-19 on her farming income.
- Rachael asked the Council to consider how they would cope if they only had a fortnight's worth of pay left and how they would prioritise this money.
- We don't need more stuff or buildings, we need Council to have our backs. Rachael does not support the rates rise. Please consider the Treasury Living Standards Framework in making their decisions.
- Councillor Alexander noted his struggle with the tension between Rachael's request for no rates rise, but a stronger investment in social capital and how this can be achieved. Rachael stated that social capital – as she sees it – is not only to do with funding. It's also about transparency and allowing people to come and share their voice. Knowledge

creates a sense of trust within our communities. Issues such as live streaming meetings helps build trust. Rachael noted she will stand by Council to help build this trust.

### **100160 – Lyndal Marshall, Waikirikiri Hockey**

- Emma Hodgkins (CEO and Canterbury Hockey) also attended
- Lyndal discussed the purpose and vision of the Hockey Club. She stated that the club is now well established within Rolleston.
- Continuing to work on the club's credibility and performing well on the field, with a significant emphasis on coaching development.
- Ethos of people, pathways and performance.
- Lyndal noted they have achieved significant growth in the club, and expect the club to double in the next 5-6 years. They were operating at constraint capacity last year.
- Coming today to present on the need for a full turf in Rolleston. If this does not come about, the Club will essentially be a junior club only, with the seniors needing to instead travel into Christchurch to play for the City clubs.
- There is room in the 2023 AMP which indicates capacity for a full turf based on population.
- Emma provided a high level overview of Canterbury hockey facilities / turfs – noting she has spent 13 years living in the Selwyn district so understands the needs within the district.
- She noted that having a full turf in Rolleston would help Canterbury Hockey to provide more formal opportunities to run games.
- Emma noted the importance of community sport – which has come to the fore during the COVID-19 lockdown period. Expected to see a drop off in interest after the lockdown, but the reverse has occurred.
- Waikirikiri Hockey Club has been shortlisted for community club of the year.
- Mayor Broughton asked about the other sports needing the facility to pay – such as squash – noting a number of clubs that have built and own their own facilities. You are asking that Council build and own the facility, so what is the capacity for players to contribute to this, along with Canterbury Hockey.
- Lyndal noted that based on the hours of use, hockey pitches are used for 95% of the time. She then referred to the needs analysis within the submission. She noted the astro-turf maintenance is low with high usage, and minimal cancellations.
- Emma noted that traditionally hockey is a very expensive sport to play and spoke to the fees charged players.
- Councillor Alexander asked about what kind of surface is required. Lyndal spoke to this stating that it would need to be a hockey-specific surface.
- Councillor Alexander asked if a different location would be of assistance. Lyndal spoke to this stating the best place is Foster Park. Emma noted that there is a small turf at Lincoln High School.

- Councillor Alexander then asked about the Indoor Courts stadium and do the submitters understand the constraints on the football fields due to this development.
- Councillor McInnes noted that many people have asked for rates to be decreased or frozen.
- Councillor Reid thanked Lyndal for her work with the club. Further comments were made.

***100233 – Hamish Limbrick (for Janine Duckworth), Waihora Tennis Club***

- Hamish is the Chair of the Waihora Tennis Club, coming up to its centenary.
- The club has 30 juniors and around 15 senior members – being a very small community.
- The club does not have a lot of resources to fundraise for itself.
- They field two teams in the Ellesmere competition.
- This is the only sporting facility in the Motukarara area for family related activities.
- The Club is hoping to replace their three tennis courts which were created in the early 80's which have lasted 40 years. The land is quite low-lying and get water-logged. Combined with the earthquakes the courts have degraded quite significantly. In order for the club to remain sustainable, the courts need to be replaced.
- The quote for fixing the courts is around \$80k-\$120k which is beyond the capacity of the club. Had indicative commitments of \$20k from the Waihora Domain Board, together with some funds available within their own reserves.
- Hamish highlighted that any investment in these courts will be for the long-term with a lifespan of about 40-50 years.
- If the club has to go into recess due to the state of the courts, it would be hard to get this back up and running again, and it is important to maintain momentum in this club.
- Councillor Miller talked about the ability for the club to keep going if they cannot get assistance. Hamish noted that pub charity is drying up, with options getting quite limited. The courts could struggle on for a year or two, but they would like to maintain sustainability of the club.

***100004 – Anna Halliday and Peter Morrison, Hospitality New Zealand***

- Anna spoke to a powerpoint presentation showing the pre and post COVID-19 environment.
- Peter stated that Selwyn District Council was one of only two TLAs in the South Island who responded to their letter, and thanked the Mayor for doing so.
- Anna noted that approximately 50% of hospitality businesses in Canterbury have been adversely affected by the lockdown.
- As at February 2019, there were 3240 hospitality businesses in Canterbury operating employing 16,454 people with a contribution of \$733m to the GDP (March 2017).
- Anna then spoke of the sharp drop-off in consumer spending as a result of COVID-19.
- Hospitality is down 93% (\$721m).

- Noted the amount of industry redundancies across the sector.
- Anna then spoke to how local government can assist Hospitality New Zealand. Noted the support that Selwyn District Council Alcohol Licencing team who had been very helpful and supportive to local licencees.
- Peter spoke to the impact of accommodation and would like to see a level playing field for all short-term accommodation providers and would like support from TLAs to lobby Council.
- Submitters also spoke to rates deferment.

***100221 – Mark Brown, Bealey Developments Ltd (c/- Davie Lovell-Smith)***

- In relation to Bealey Development, they own land adjacent to the built up area of Kirwee on the south east side and adjacent to an area subject to a proposed plan change.
- Mark is here to support the reticulation plans and wanted to stress the importance of including Kirwee in these plans.
- This will give Council the opportunity to cost recover. The system will be greatly improved by getting all of the work done initially rather than adding to the system.
- Mark noted that Selwyn District Council is forward planning and this would be another example of being able to put some key infrastructure into the townships before they are needed – this is good strategic planning.
- Councillor Miller asked about timeframe for the sewerage work to be done, to which Mark said that this work is not urgent, but the priority is the plan change 60.
- Councillor Alexander asked who Bealey Developments think should pay for the scheme, to which Mark said those connecting should pay.

***100236 – Mark Brown, Hughes Developments Ltd (c/- Davie Lovell-Smith)***

- Mark is also speaking in relation to the West Melton Gainsborough subdivision, noting this is very forward thinking.
- Councillor Alexander asked if Hughes Developments are likely to undertake any further works at West Melton. Mark noted the initial submission is around support for creating resilience, but they do own land there and may have future plans there.

***100226 – Paul and Fay McOscar***

- Paul noted they represent a number of people within Glentunnel and feel that it would be immoral to increase the rates. He noted a ‘them and us’ view of the rural and urban communities.
- Spoke about splitting the wards by urban and rural.
- Feel that Council should concentrate on the necessary projects.
- Paul noted a surplus in rates last year – and noted a 15% rates increase in his property over the last two years and has seen no physical benefit of this

- Also critical of the way Council communicates its proposed rates increases. Council needs to rethink how this is promoted, and use an average of a scale of properties.
- Also noted comments on freedom campers, and see the effects on visiting various countries overseas where you pay fees overseas for camping, and there are no facilities available to use. Believe we are too over-generous with freedom campers, and do not think they provide a substantial payback.
- Paul feels that Council will get some good feedback from the community if they hold off on staffing salaries and any new staff appointments.
- Note that Council has destroyed volunteering due to health and safety requirements on committees
- Also spoke to unit rates – which he has been advised the rating department is currently looking into. This needs to be done more efficiently and effectively.
- Paul referred to a comment he said the Mayor made in the paper about Selwyn not being as affected by tourism as others.
- Councillor Alexander asked if there are 40 properties which aren't paying their fair share of rates, then Paul should provide the names to Council. Paul noted those who are not paying the recreation rate (etc).
- Councillor Miller referred to the hospitality budget and extending to cutting this to the community volunteer functions each year. Paul noted that he has been a recipient, but scale it down.

#### ***100014 – Jo (for Jane Budge), New Zealand Chinese Language Week***

- Jo joined the meeting via Zoom.
- Looking for support across New Zealand. They are into their sixth year, and came about due to the changing Chinese/New Zealand relationship.
- This event is for one week each year and to learn about the Chinese culture and language
- Assets / collateral has been produced by the Trust and has been shared with a broad range of New Zealanders. Spoke to the introduction of a national dumpling day in New Zealand; the provision of over 300 activities held throughout schools last year; and a five days / five phrases challenge is also provided.
- Spoke to education of New Zealand exporters.
- Noted social media is critical.
- Noted they do this on the smell of an oily rag, but the issue is how to make it a sustainable event on the calendar, so looking to seek assistance from local government business partners. They do receive a small amount of government funding, but they need more help to carry this forward.
- Staff at Council have suggested that they put a submission in through the Community Grants funding application which they will do.
- Mayor Broughton noted that the funding would normally come out of the Community Grants space – and that the fund will be looked at over the next few weeks.

**100186 – Marty Gameson & Cindy Driscoll (for Craig Blackburn), Go Hororata**

- Marty is presenting a submission on behalf of Go Hororata (for the Hororata Community Centre)
- Marty felt that this is a good process for which to present this proposal to Council.
- The Community has been on this journey for 10 years, noting events such as the Night Glow and Highland Games all of which are there to support the building of a Hororata Community Centre.
- They are asking the project to be brought forward to the 2022/2023 Long Term plan as they are well on the way for this project.
- Have asked that a MoU between Council and the Trust be put in place to clearly outline the needs and responsibilities of both organisations. Any funds put in by the Trust would be returned if the project does not go ahead.
- Marty said the Hororata community makes things happen, and they do what they say they are going to do. Over ten years the community has backed their project with actions and money.
- For this to happen, Council may need to do things differently by supporting Go Hororata to keep the momentum going.
- The proposal is that after construction of the facility it would be managed by Council, but funding would come through Go Hororata.
- Cindy stated that they have not put in a fundraising strategy yet, but the community as a whole needs to look at this.
- Councillor Miller asked the submitters if they would be adverse to a Council-appointed architect. Cindy noted that the Architect appointed was agreed upon by the Council and the community. Feedback from staff suggests that they are happy with the architect. Any change to the architect would disrupt the project. Councillor Miller noted it would be good to see the concept plans before the deliberations.
- Marty noted that members that they went on a bus tour of the community centres prior to appointing an architect.
- Councillor McInnes asked if it is a 50/50 type arrangement, do the submitters see this extending to the operating costs. Marty responded by saying it is early days, but the strength in the group is that they are very responsible and would imagine with their current focus, it will become an important part of the community, so there is potential that the running of future events will contribute to the operating costs.
- Cindy noted the community is very focused on building a facility which will be used by the entire district, but at the end of the day, it will be a council facility.

**100235 – Colin Pander**

- Colin noted he is here on the Springfield community and would like to submit a funding plan to investigating the revival of the 2019 cycle plan from Cass to Springfield and eventually link all the way to Christchurch for one of the great cycle rides.
- Noted his experience riding the Otago Rail Trail.

- The track had not been successful in the past.
- Colin noted he has undertaken investigations along the route to make the plan a success. The only real obstacle was Staircase Gully, but got in touch with a company who works with DOC a lot and is able to put a suspension bridge across the gully.
- Colin noted we need to create long term opportunities for small townships, increase spend, build on tourism investments and create jobs for an increasing amount of unemployed.
- Would like Council to invest in this legacy project.
- Looking for an application fee subsidy for the Council from Kiwi Rail (\$3025 + GST). Would like the engineer to come down from Auckland to look at the site and provide a concrete plan for the suspension bridge, and then reimburse costs for project management and volunteers for stage one.
- Councillor Reid noted it is good to see someone excited about this project. She then questioned the three stages. Colin noted that the first stage is to get the project underway (planning); stage two is lobbying; stage three will be building the suspension bridge and protection work for the railways. Then the track can be open – with stage four and five completing it to the rail trail standards.
- Mayor Broughton asked if a Trust or some other group has been set up for this to applying for funding. Colin said not as far as he knows – just on the back of people talking. Still trying to find the 2009 plan.

#### **100223 – Robert Thomson**

- Robert is here today to speak to Selwyn Huts licence issues and his view on Council's prejudice.
- Robert has spent the majority of his life at Selwyn Huts stating the reserve was gifted and the occupation of the huts was taken up by many influential New Zealanders.
- DOC still remain the owner of the land. The land does not belong to the Selwyn District Council. Robert gave further background to the former Springston South Reserve Management Committee and questions why it was disbanded.
- The community is not looking for a free ride. The local residents have found a system for \$1m but they are not being given air time to the Council to put this submission through. Robert noted no dialogue with the committee and Council for some time.
- Robert then spoke about the sea rise issue – but within 200m and 500m of the settlement there have been building permits issued.
- Robert noted this is intimidation and he does not know how members of a Council can act in that way.
- Robert stated that when the Council took over the reserve, there were a number of assets. He said he has asked many times where the funds are, but he is yet to find out. If they could find out where this money is, they could put money towards this.
- Robert stated that everyone deserves a better life.
- Mayor Broughton spoke to the previous community meetings which have been held to talk through consenting, sea level rises and climate change. Council wishes to provide



a future for the Huts and we want to provide a system which is able to be consented to ensure the survival of the community.

- Is there a provision for the community to put forward their plan as part of the Annual Plan process. The Mayor noted that the community plans have already been put forward by the local representatives. Any solutions must be consented and provided in a timely manner to meet Environment Canterbury's requirements.

#### ***100200 – Murray Boyes, Kirwee Central Properties Limited***

- The submitter did not appear at the hearings.
- The Chief Executive spoke to the submission put forward by Murray Boyes on behalf of Kirwee Central Properties Limited.

#### ***100024 – Steve Curtis, Selwyn Huts Residents' Petition***

- Steve is a permanent resident of the Upper Selwyn Huts and spoke to his anger towards the Council.
- He noted his concern and disappointed by the lack of support of Ward Councillors and the Mayor and spoke further to his issues with the process.
- Steve referred the various community meetings where three local representatives were selected to work on solutions, to which he said a suitable scheme was identified.
- He then referred to the deed of licence including the removal of the word 'permanent'.
- Steve referred to stop using climate change and sea level rises to close the community. He noted that the public of New Zealand would not accept this, nor would the Prime Minister or Auditor-General.
- He then referred to the consents which have been granted for building projects in the area.
- Mayor Broughton thanked Steve for his submission. He reiterated that the Council is trying to find a solution for the survival of the huts. The Mayor then referred to the term permanent and noted that we need to make sure our language is clear.
- Councillor Lyall referred to the local community representatives appointed and did they report back to the community. Steve confirmed that they had, and held a lot of conversations about the two systems. They are trying to advise the residents that progress is being made, and that it will be affordable.
- Councillor Lyall referred to the minutes of the community meetings which shows resolutions moved by the Community representatives and stated that perhaps Council needs to ensure the messages are getting through to the community. Steve talked about the timing related to the Local Government elections. He would like to see the group get around the table again to talk through the issues like they were prior to the elections.

### **100218 – Alan Thorne, Hororata Citizens' Association**

- Alan refers to a zone change in Hororata on a property, which he said was not notified.
- He said when a request was made for the rationale for the change decision, he said nothing could be found. He referred to the minute books which the Council was unable to find when requested.
- Alan noted the impact of this decision on the businesses affected.
- Asked that Council looks at this and allow this decision to be reverted back to rural residential.
- Mayor Broughton noted that it would be good for Alan to present this to the District Plan Hearings later this year which would include the zoning changes.
- Alan again confirmed that he is unsure of how the zoning was changed as others he spoke to did not know.
- Councillor Lemon noted that he can confirm that the Council does not make decisions behind closed doors, but that this Council need to understand the process that occurred in the past.

## **RECEIPT OF WRITTEN SUBMISSIONS**

### **100001 – Jonathan Scott**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

### **100002 – Paul McOscar and named residents**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

### **100003 – Katie Milne, Federated Farmers**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

### **100006 – Lida Latten**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

### **100007 – John and Tina Peters**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

### **100008 – Kerry-Anne Herd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100009 – Kylie Shipley**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100010 – Peter Hunter**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100011 – Alex Makogon**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100013 – Mike White**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100015 – Peter Hunter, Prebbleton Community Association**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100016 – Harvey Polglase, Honeyfield**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- Councillor McInnes asked about the Annual Wastewater Investigation Charge the submitter referred to. Mayor Broughton clarified.

**100018 – Kirsty Spackman**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- Councillor Alexander referred to the issue with the rates increase on this property.

**100019 – David Wilkinson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100020 – Francis Harrison**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100021 – Jared Smith**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100023 – Jane Ayres**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100025 – Lee Foote**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100020 – Julene Kelly**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100020 – Mark Harnden**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100030 – Valerie Henderson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100032 – Lesley Isaac**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100033 – Cecilio Barra**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100034 – Dan van der Salm**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100035 – Brian Donnelly**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100036 – Vicky Frost**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100037 – Nicola Harding**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100038 – Stephen Curtis**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100039 – Robin Donovan**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100040 – Peter Stoop**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100041 – Tim Hodge**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100042 – Andrew Dollimore**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100043 – Marian Galloway**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100044 – Jack Macdonald**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100044 – Marie-Ann McIntosh**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- Councillor Miller noted that it is an excellent idea by the submitter that we put all bills onto one account

**100046 – Christopher Anderson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100047 – Susan Leitch, Pinevale Dairies Ltd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100048 – Sandra James**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100050 – Angela Botherway**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100051 – Lex Allen**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100052 – Stuart Whitehouse**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100053 – Garry Corden**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100054 – Michelle Rhodes**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100054 – Gordon Wadie**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100057 – Bradley McDonald**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100058 – Catherine McDonald**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100059 – Brett Leary**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100060 – Gareth Price**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100061 – Kerri Pring**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100062 – Nikki Ellens**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100063 – Craig Chalmers**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100064 – Ken Perry**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100053 – Vicki Cooksley, Entertainment Technology New Zealand**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100067 – Dave Davies**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100068 – Dr Sue Jarvis**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100070 – Eddie and Chris Parker**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- Councillor Alexander noted that this was to be done with a reserves budget and noted the location of playgrounds to others.

**100072 – Blair Templeton**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100073 – Sian Steadman**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100074 – Ann Thomson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- Councillor Lyall noted that the three community representatives may not have brought their community up to date. He hoped that this could be brought to the attention of the community in the staff replies to these submitters.

**100075 – Sue Stokes**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100076 – Heather Dickie**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100077 – Lindsay Robertson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100078 – Kenneth May**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- Councillor Alexander noted the rating for the Kirwee Community Hall is a frequent theme throughout submissions. Mayor Broughton stated he has noted this for further comment during the deliberations.

**100080 – Susan Jacks**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100082 – Bianca Allen**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100085 – Anthony and Kay Wilson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100086 – Caroline Burnett**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100089 – Hamish Wheelans, GW Wilfield Ltd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.
- It is noted that Hamish is seeking an acknowledgement that any changes to the Development Contribution policy will not affect current consents.

**100090 – Julie Smith**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100091 – Dr Valerie Saxton**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100092 – Roger Smithies**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.



**100093 – Bill Wrigley**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100094 – Professor Peter Joyce, Te Ara Kākāriki**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100095 – Janene Forde**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100096 – Anita Kramer**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100097 – Jodie Thompson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100098 – Mr Hamlin**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100099 – Richard Friedman**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100100 – Elene Anderson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100101 – Melanie Pokaihau-Rogers**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100102 – Corrina McCulloch**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100104 – Ron Clark**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100105 – Dave Fitzjohn, Lincoln EnviroTown Trust**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100106 – Debbie Evans**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100107 – Yvonne Evans**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100108 – Brian Knopp**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100109 – Sandra Poff**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100110 – Meryl Firman**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100111 – Susannah Katene**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100112 – Richard McCulloch, Lake Coleridge Community Committee**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100113 – Richard McCulloch**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100114 – Dathan Proudlove**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100115 – Jared Gallagher**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100117 – Raymond Crooks**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100118 – Ross Blanks**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100119 – John Veix**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100120 – Stuart and Mary Stephens**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100121 – Ngaire Kent, Kirwee Community Committee**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100122 – Graeme Tiltman**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100125 – Dr Cheryl Brunton, Canterbury District Health Board**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100126 – Debbie Lange**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100127 – Gavin Eastwick**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100128 – Margaret Tangiiti**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100131 – Shelia Neil**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100133 – Maggie Wright**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100134 – John Boyd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100135 – Helen Johnston**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100136 – Deb Choudhury**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100138 – Susan Rogers**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100139 – Peter Baylis**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100140 – Leo Donkers, Camden Group**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100142 – Murray Waters**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100143 – Adele Budd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100144 – Dr Peter Almond**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**10046 – Sue Wragg**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100147 – Mike Bowie**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100148 – Ruth Warren**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100149 – Alison Donley**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100150 – Hilary Michie**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100151 – Mrs Bartholomew**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100152 – John McNamara**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100153 – Sharon Farrant**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100154 – Daryl Smith, Coalgate Township Committee**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100156 – Glen Chambers, Glentunnel Township Committee**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100157 – Ron Pankhurst**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100159 – Pauline Hayes/Kathryn Claridge, Lincoln Community Committee**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100161 – Letitia Lum, Te Ara Kākāriki Canterbury Greenway Trust**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100162 – Lou Drage, Te Ara Kākāriki**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100163 – Chris O'Brien**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100164 – Liam Cunnah**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100165 – Claire Michael**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100166 – Eila Cunnah**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100168 – Graham Gough**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100169 – Mark Wragg**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100170 – Susan Thornley**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100171 – Mirjim Horsburgh**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100175 – Ted Woodhill**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100177 – Fay Ridson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100178 – Karen Ratahi**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100179 – Mrs C A Rietveld, Windwhistle District Society**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100180 – Blanche Fryer and David Lloyd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100181 – Julie and Stephen McGeorge**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100182 – Helen Stanger**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100184 – Rudi Jansen**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100185 – Jayde Mayberry, Selwyn Sports Trust**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100187 – Glenda Hope**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100188 – Greg & Anne Bluck**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100189 – Elza Stuart**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100190 – Barbara Bowring**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100191– Melissa Jebson**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100192 – Elisabeth May**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100196 – Robert Lawrence**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100199 – Allison Rosanowski**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100202 – Lyn Gallagher**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100203 – Peter Ising**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100204 – Ian Warren**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100207 – Jessica Adams**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100211 – Daphne McAven, Prebbleton Public Hall Society**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100212 – Rodney and Kathleen Power**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100213 – Lynn Partridge**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100214 – Rose Brocherie**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100215 – Jane Duncan**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100216 – Mark Pizey, Central Plains Water Limited**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100217 – Aynsley Williams**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100219 – John Harcourt**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100222 – Megen McKay, Te Taumutu Rūnanga**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100223 – Deane Parker**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.



**100224 – Alison Syme**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100225 – Mike Davies**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100227 – Anne Curtis**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100228 – Chris and John Thwaites**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100229 – Tracy and Riki Rolleston**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100231 – Dean Hoo, Newman Incorporation Ltd**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100232 – Susie Meares, Windwhistle Winter Sports Club**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

**100234 – Nigel Humphries**

- Read and accepted submission, noting key points.

*The hearings were suspended at 5.20pm on Tuesday 2 June 2020.*

*The hearings closed at 4.40pm on Wednesday 3 June 2020.*

DATED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of June 2020



---

MAYOR