

**MINUTES OF THE SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL
DRAFT 2018 – 2028 LONG TERM PLAN SUBMISSION DELIBERATIONS
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, ROLLESTON ON
WEDNESDAY 30 MAY 2018 BETWEEN 9.00AM AND 5.05PM, AND
THURSDAY 24 MAY BETWEEN 9.00AM AND 12.10PM**

PRESENT

Mayor S T Broughton, Councillors, M A Alexander, J B Bland, D Hasson, M P Lemon, M B Lyall D P McEvedy, G S Miller, J B Morten, R H Mugford, N C Reid & C J Watson

ATTENDEES

Messrs D Ward (Chief Executive), G Bell (Corporate Services Manager), A Mazey (Asset Manager, Transportation), C Moody (Corporate Accountant), A Walker (Communication Advisor), T Harris (Environmental Services Manager), M England (Asset Manager – Water Services), J Burgess (Planning Manager), M Rykers (Manager Open Space and Property), J Reid (Major Projects Property Manager), E Sim (Communications Advisor), Ms T Davel (Governance Support Co-ordinator), Mrs D Kidd (Community Relations Manager), and Mrs N Smith (Executive Assistant)

APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from Councillor Lyall for lateness.

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Reid

‘That Council receives the apologies for lateness by Councillor Lyall.’

CARRIED

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

1. **Minutes of the Selwyn District Council 2018-2028 Draft Long Term Plan Hearings held at the Selwyn District Council Chambers, on Tuesday 22 May, Wednesday 23 May and Thursday 24 May,**

Moved Councillor Mugford / **Seconded** Councillor Lemon

‘That the Council confirms the minutes of the Selwyn District Council 2018-2028 Draft Long Term Plan 2018-2028 Hearings held on Tuesday 22 May 2018, Wednesday 23 May 2018 and Thursday 24 May 2018, as circulated.’

CARRIED

MATTERS FOR DISCUSSION

1. Introduction and Welcome from the Mayor

Mayor Broughton thanked to staff for their work leading into this process and for ensuring that requested information was pulled together ahead of the proceedings.

2. Overview from the Chief Executive

The Chief Executive then ran through the next steps following these Deliberations which includes an external audit by Audit New Zealand. He confirmed that the Draft 2018-2028 Long Term Plan will be adopted at an Extraordinary meeting of Council on 20 June 2018.

Councillor Lyall arrived at 9.06am

3. Financial Impact Presentation

The Corporate Services Manager provided new information to Councillors which included:

- *Impact of Orion New Zealand Limited Dividend* – the Corporate Services Manager noted that the expected drop in dividend will have a ten year revenue loss impact of \$6m.
- *Impact of NZTA settlement* – The Corporate Services Manager noted an approved allocation of \$40m over three years (based on a Draft LTP of \$45m over three years). He stated that if Council was to reduce the General Rate input to match, this would lead to a \$10m reduction over ten years.
- *Lincoln Town Centre Project* – car parking is a key part of the master plan. The project is not funded in the Long Term Plan, but Council has been buying land in anticipation. Council needs to fund \$2.5m from the General Rate for this project.

The Corporate Services Manager then spoke to the General Rate debt impact; and the impact of the General Rate changes on ratepayers. Councillor McEvedy noted the minimal impact on urban properties. The Corporate Services Manager stated that this depends largely on capital value.

The Corporate Services Manager then referred to the impact of increased spend where a 1% change in the General Rate would equate to \$210k annually. With regards to a district wide targeted rate he noted that each \$10 raises \$184,000; and \$1m debt equates to \$4.50 per ratepayer for year (plus opex).

DELIBERATION MATTERS

1. Consultation Items

District Wide Rating for Halls and Reserves

Councillor Lemon stated that when looking through submissions, the nervousness seemed to be about how this is going to be implemented – stating this is critical. He noted his support in principle, but it is important that it is implemented well.

Councillor Hasson noted her agreement with Councillor Lemon. She spoke about concerns from committees about autonomy around setting their own hiring fees; and what functions and roles they will have going forward. Councillor Hasson also raised the issue of mowing; and is this covered in the contract. She then make reference to the Ellesmere Reserve Park and Waihora Reserve Park – noting their different income streams – and asked where this will sit in the whole process.

Councillor Alexander noted he is in favour of district-wide rating, and supports an annual grant to non-rated facilities. He would like to address the devil in the detail – and have a subcommittee established to work through the finer details with staff over the next six months, with a Councillor from each Ward represented.

Councillor Mugford noted his support, but agrees there are details which need to be addressed. He noted that communities are starting to see the benefit, but still concerned about some of the small details.

Councillor Bland agrees with the overall concept - with some fine-tuning. He would agree to a small grant for those halls outside the scheme. Has noted no issue with looking at Te Taumutu Rūnanga in the same context.

Councillor Morten stated that he is in favour of district-wide rating. He also supported that the Te Taumutu Rūnanga facility is treated in the same way. He noted his agreement around the need for details and noted two rural facilities which are struggling to get across the line.

Councillor Lyall noted his support, but stated that implementation is key, including allocation of funds for project. He referred to the need for some fine-tuning (mowing the cricket pitch at the right time, levels of service etc) is needed. He stated that personally, he does not support grants for the facilities outside of the district-wide scheme, but that they need to be given the opportunity to come into the scheme. Councillor Lyall noted that need for a Charter for facilities, and what any long-term tenure will look like.

Councillor Reid noted her support again agreeing that there are issues which need to be sorted out, but stated this is the way forward.

Councillor McEvedy noted his support, but again notes the devil is in the detail. He also noted the need for more details around reserves as levels of service for them are every different from halls. He stated that he trusts staff to implement this properly, and agrees that implementation is the biggest challenge. Councillor McEvedy noted his concern around Ellesmere and Malvern not being quite as ready as Springs and Rolleston to move to district-wide rating. Councillor McEvedy noted his concern regarding the capital for a Te Taumutu facility. He commented that this could lead to a perception problem, as they are not rated. Councillor McEvedy also referred to Rakaia Huts having an increase in rates when they have no reserve or community centre.

Councillor Watson noted his supports in essence with district-wide rating, but again noted the need for detail. He noted that there is a fair system and matrix to decide what work is to be undertaken. He also stated that he would like surety that the Hororata Hall funding raised will be left with this community. He stated he is not against giving Te Taumutu funding, but noted they have separate sources of income. Councillor Watson then noted that Reserve Management Plans need to be improved.

Councillor Miller stated that the idea of District wide rate is to ensure equitable funding of the Council network of facilities. He stated that unfunded facilities would be no worse off than they are today, but rather the ratepayers will be funding facilities they have used in the past. He noted that Council's facility strategy is correct. Councillor Miller confirmed that he is not in favour of allocating to funds outside of the Council network but that opportunities to join the network should be given. With regards to Te Taumutu Rūnanga Councillor Miller stated that he is not in favour of providing a grant, unless they want to join the network.

Mayor Broughton then asked for a vote from Councillors as to who was in favour of a pure rating system without the provision of grants, but providing an opportunity for these facilities to join the district-wide scheme. The count was seven for; and four against.

The Chief Executive noted the process going forward with the recommendation that Council proceed with the pure rate. Agree with Councillor Alexander's Subcommittee recommendation, and he and staff will look at the implementation of this. The Chief Executive noted that the first 6-9 months of this roll-out will be important. He then referred to the centralised booking system, and the need to implement this. A discussion was held about those facilities who may not wish to join the network.

Councillor Mugford asked about the loans on current facilities. The Corporate Services Manager noted that these could be paid by via a lump sum or rate. This would be \$15,000 or less for the two facilities in question.

Councillor McEvedy referred to the Lincoln Trust and the \$1m outstanding. Councillor Miller gave some further clarity around this.

Moved Councillor Watson / **Seconded** Councillor Bland

'It is recommended that:

- (a) Council proceed with district wide rating for halls and reserves as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan;*
- (b) a Subcommittee is formed to work through this implementation; and*
- (c) Councillors Lemon, Alexander, Mugford and Lyall were elected to that Subcommittee.'*

CARRIED

Selwyn Aquatic Centre

Councillor Miller stated he is in favour of extension. Councillor Lemon stated that as one of the project champions, he is supportive. He raised the 50m discussion, stating that while historically he supported this, the business case does not support a 50m facility. He stated that the Project Champions will continue to work on the concept within the budget and take on board further comments from submitters. He noted that the gym and café are still in work in progress and need further business cases.

Councillor Hasson supports a 50m pool due the pressure from schools and growth of the district, but does not support a gym and café. She noted the need for a specialised learn to swim pool.

Councillor Alexander is in favour of extension. He noted the staff comments about not recommending a 50m pool, but believe more detail is required in these comments around cost comparisons between a 25m or 50m pool. He recommends the business case for a cafe – but it may be too expensive to run. He noted his support for the fitness area and consideration for a squash court proposal.

Councillor Mugford said to go ahead with 25m pool and that the Project team should look at the viability of the café and dry space area.

Councillor Bland also said to go ahead with 25m pool.

Councillor Morten noted his agreement with previous two Councillors, and also the need to look at the viability of the café and fitness centre.

Councillor Lyall agrees with 25m pool, but noted that gyms and cafes should be run by private enterprise.

Councillor Reid agrees with 25m pool and concurs that it would been good to see the comparison cost of a 50m pool. She noted the need for flexibility around the dry space – particularly after Squash Canterbury's submission – and that an area with moveable walls may be beneficial.

Councillor McEvedy agrees with a 25m pool. He supports a privately run café, but does not support the fitness centre saying this should stay with private enterprise.

Councillor Watson stated that it is important that Council notes the excellent group of submissions received. He noted the argument against a 50m pool. He stated that Council has been given endorsement by the community to go ahead, and that the Working Group will come back with a plan which will result in a fantastic asset for the district. The Group will look at the café as a privately run enterprise. He noted his support for looking at squash, and made further comments about the dry area.

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Lyall

"It is recommended that Council proceed with the extension to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan, including a 25m pool; and the final decision about the fitness centre, café and other spaces be decided through business cases to the Project Champions and Property Committee."

CARRIED

Indoor Sports Facility

Councillor Watson noted his support, but Council needs to understand its ability to do this within the time limit proposed. He noted the heavy rates burden and questioned if Council needs to look at the timeframe associated with this project further commenting on the need to build a sustainable and fiscally responsible facility.

Councillor Miller said the facility is a great idea, but would like to see it deferred until Council can address the backlog of projects.

Councillor Lemon noted his support, but questions the timing and would be happy to see the project deferred for a couple of years. He noted that he is nervous around some sporting clubs stating that the facility is being built for their sole use.

Councillor Hasson agreed it has to be done, but also questions the timeframe, and would be happy to see it extended.

Councillor Alexander is in favour of project based on the build method proposed. He stated that he is not in favour of a deferment, as it will never be cheaper to build than now and that demand is not going to go away. He referred to the need to leave this to the project team to work through the finer details. He agreed it needs to be clear that we are not building the facility for any one sport.

Councillor Mugford stated the build should go ahead, but the staff need to look at the current list of projects and achieve what was said was going to be achieved. He also referred to the rating structure for the facility.

Councillor Bland is in support further stating that Council has been informed by the community that they need this facility now. He stated that Council needs to reflect on what it has on its plate at present, and listen to staffs' recommendations about whether it can be done now or not. He referred to some discussion within communities about this not being seen as a district-wide facility.

Councillor Morten noted his agreement with Councillor Miller that the project should be delayed and Council should revisit how it is funded.

Councillor Reid stated she wants to see this go ahead. She noted she is wary of the amount of projects Council has on the go, but considers this to be important. She referred to the need to ensure the young people in the district are safely occupied. She also referred to squash within the district.

Councillor Lyall noted he is torn on this project. He also noted the impression by some codes that they believe they are already ready to move in. Councillor Lyall stated that it is important to know what the economic benefits would be of any delays, and that any reasons for a deferment would need to be sound. He then asked if the build could be undertaken in stages. He also referred to the need to consider the youth aspect.

Councillor McEvedy stated that he is in the defer camp as Council does not yet have a good handle on the project noting he would like to see it put it off for a year or two. He stated that he would like to see a tiered-rate as rural communities are hurting around constantly increasing rates. He noted his support for Squash. Councillor McEvedy agreed that the facility is needed, but stated that Council needs to do its projects well. He commented that the pool extension is more important to get done first.

Councillor Alexander stated his disappointment about comments regarding no district-wide rating on this facility.

The Chief Executive made reference to the recent work on community facilities. He stated that these new projects see Council moving into a different league, specifically referring to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre extensions; Rolleston Library / community centre; Rolleston Town Centre Master Plan; Foster Park Indoor Courts; and Health Hub).

The Chief Executive then stated that in his view, the most important facility is the SAC extension. He also referred to the Health Hub, confirming that it would be cost neutral after three years, and produce surplus revenue after this. He asked Councillors not to forget that SAC and the Indoor Centre facilities will both generate revenue, whereas the Library and Community Centre will not. He asked Councillors to allow the Project Champions to assess community needs and the type of activities which will be held there at these facilities; together with any potential revenue generation. This will then allow Council to assess whether the Indoor Courts project should be deferred.

Mayor Broughton noted the support shown by the community for this facility.

Further conversation was held around the appropriate funding model. The Chief Executive stated that until the Project Champions complete the work they have been asked to do, the funding model cannot be discussed.

Further concern was raised about deferral of the project and funding.

The Major Projects Property Manager confirmed the timeline of the project. He noted 4-6% building escalation costs (per annum) and the intent to provide two projects to reflect good economies of scale. He further noted that if the project was pushed back, the change rooms will still need to be built, and that adding the courts later could be problematic.

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Bland

“It is recommended that Council proceed with the Indoor Courts Facility at Foster Park project as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan funded by the district wide rate; and the final layout and design to be confirmed by Project Champions and the Property Committee.”

Councillors Miller and McEvedy voted against the recommendation.

CARRIED

Chlorination

Councillor McEvedy stated his support for staff in their actions taken to date. He noted that chlorination is emotive for people, but for Council it is about risk management. He does not believe in wholesale chlorination. As a member of Council's Audit and Risk Subcommittee he noted his support for at-risk based chlorination treatment.

Councillor Watson also noted his role on the Council's Audit and Risk Subcommittee. He agrees that chlorination should not be undertaken unless it is necessary. He too does not believe in wholesale chlorination, but believes Council needs to manage the health and safety of our people. He noted his support of risk-based chlorination.

Councillor Miller stated that it is worth noting that the Audit and Risk Subcommittee has taken a long and exhaustive look at the security of district drinking water supplies. He thanked staff for their hard work on this issue. Councillor Miller agrees that some supplies do need to be chlorinated, but not so for secure supplies.

Councillor Lemon referred again to his role on the Audit and Risk Subcommittee, stating his support for risk-based chlorination (option one).

Councillor Hasson agrees with option one.

Councillor Alexander agrees with option one, and is not in favour of wholesale treatment. He stated that he would like to hear about the stock issue.

Councillor Mugford agrees with option one. He then referred to the district-wide water rate, and through this, Council should look to upgrade the places where there are issues. He stated that Council should be looking at getting the system the same across the district.

Councillor Bland noted the word 'disinfectant' used by many submitters when referring to water not being looked after properly. He agrees with option one, but agreed that Council should always look to improve and mitigate the risk without the need for chlorination.

Councillor Morten would like to support the need for reassurance that Council is using all of its resources to bring physical structures up to their best possible standard.

Councillor Lyall confirmed his support for option one. He stated he would be concerned if there was chlorination down wells. He agrees with Councillors Morten and Mugford regarding repeated transgressions and highlighted the need to investigate and fix these. He then referred to the pending central government decision and the need to put pressure on any decision of Government if it is not needed.

Councillor Reid noted her agreement with option one. She reiterated that it is important that when transgressions occur, that the source needs to be traced properly and rectified in order to give the community some assurance that Council is actively trying to remedy the issue.

Councillor McEvedy stated that it is worth noting that not all water suppliers are equal, and referred further to source issues. He noted his faith in the Water Services Team at Council.

Mayor Broughton agrees that there is a need to take a risk-based approach. He also agreed with Councillor Mugford's comments about reticulation issues asking if there is a way this can be resolved without the need to chlorinate. He asked if Sheffield Waddington and Glentunnel Whitecliffs will proceed to be chlorinated.

The Asset Manager – Water Services stated that Council will spend \$4.6m on treatment upgrades (mostly in Malvern). He confirmed that the Sheffield scheme has continual reticulation issues noting the difficulty in tracing illegal connections to the network. This scheme must be chlorinated.

The Asset Manager Water Services confirmed that his recommendation is still to chlorinate Malvern Hills stating his reasons for this. Sheffield is the highest priority, with Malvern Hills next in line.

Councillor Mugford asked if this would change over time if there were no repeated transgressions on these schemes, to which the Asset Manager – Water Services noted that history of transgressions only forms one part of the risk matrix, and that this was not the sole reason the decision to chlorinate was made for these schemes.

Councillor Morten stated that if there is a need to spend the money to fix these issues, then it should be done.

Moved Councillor Miller / **Seconded** Councillor Lemon

'It is recommended that Council continue its risk-based approach to the protection of drinking water schemes with filtration, UV treatment and emergency chlorination, and allow staff to implement the risk matrix approach as required.'

CARRIED

Water Races

Councillor Reid referred to a Submitter's real life example, where they don't use a water race, but will be experiencing a doubling of the rate. She noted her interest in hearing from her fellow Councillors.

Councillor McEvedy supports the changes proposed. He noted that this will continue to be a challenge and the service is not what it used to be. He then referred to the need to let go of some of the old and embrace the new, referring to the work of the Canterbury Water Management Strategy. Councillor McEvedy noted his support of the targeted stream augmentation in Waikewai.

Councillor Watson stated the need to rationalise the water races to ensure Council is doing the best thing. He noted the model supports the Malvern Water Races.

Councillor Miller spoke about the survey of actual use of the water races. Many sections of the races are not relevant, or required by users. He stated that he is open to the idea of an earlier discussion around closures.

Councillor Lemon noted his support for the proposal. He stated that it is fair to say that a large proportion of those on the Water Race Committee are users, and have voted to increase their rate recognising the diverse and unusual range of situations. He noted the need to maintain a degree of the race network for biodiversity reasons. Also referred to targeted stream augmentation, and the effects CPW will have on the lowland schemes.

Councillor Hasson support the \$20 district wide rate. She said, that into the future Council needs to look at a biodiversity fund rather than leave fragments for the community to manage. She referred to land drainage rates and spoke about debt balances on some of the schemes. Councillor Hasson noted her support for the history of the water races and their biodiversity.

Councillor Alexander supports the rate, but thinks the targeted stream augmentation is a matter for the Zone Committees and Water Race Committee to look at.

Councillor Mugford supports the rate as set out in the Draft Long Term Plan.

Councillor Bland supports the rate, and supports targeted stream augmentation if decisions are made on a scientific basis. He asked questions about debt over the water races.

Councillor Morten supports what is in the document, and agrees it is evolving. He spoke to the intended purpose the water race schemes.

Councillor Lyall stated that he is in support of the proposal as it is a far more equitable approach and provided comments on the public good rate. He then referred to water races being the habitat for mudfish.

Mayor Broughton is in support, noting the public good rate should be increased faster.

Moved Councillor Watson / **Seconded** Councillor Hasson

'It is recommended that Council proceed with the new water race funding model as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan.'

CARRIED

Community Grants

Councillor Lyall likes the idea of packaging up the grants. He stated that Council has under-funded arts and heritage for years and the proposal does not see a huge jump in that funding. He would like Council to rethink how it considers funding for arts and heritage.

Councillor Reid likes that funds are being grouped things together. She however noted that she is wary that Council needs to be actively looking at how it funds biodiversity, arts and heritage, but putting a figure on this is difficult.

Councillor McEvedy stated that Council needs to ensure it is protecting what people think is important, specifically making reference to heritage. He noted the comments from submitters that Council does not do enough about biodiversity. Councillor McEvedy noted his support in principle.

Councillor Watson supports the \$140,000 scheme, but would like to talk about other funding later in the deliberations.

Councillor Miller noted no issue with the lump sum funding, but stated that the key is around communication of when to apply.

Councillor Lemon noted he is supportive of the proposal and is happy to see biodiversity funding is separated from this scheme. He also confirmed the need to ensure communication is done well. He noted that he too would like to see more funding for arts and heritage.

Councillor Hasson stated that if the community supports this, then she would support it. She noted that she was pleased to see that biodiversity is being looked at separately.

Councillor Alexander stated that he is in favour of proposal, and agreed that at some point in the future Council needs to look at extra funding for some categories.

Councillor Mugford agrees with the proposal, however would like to see it being easier for people to access this money. He also noted the need for clear guidelines.

Councillor Bland spoke about priorities. He referred to the submission results and the need to listen to the community and start considering heritage and art. He does not think the amount of money allocated to the grants scheme is large enough.

Councillor Morten agrees with Councillor Bland, but also detected confusion around what is in, and what is out, and what the fund will be used for. He referred to the bulk of submitters noting that that Council is not allocating enough funding to the Fund. Councillor Morten noted his support for the proposal, but would like to see the pool reflect the needs of the communities.

Mayor Broughton noted his support for the fund.

Moved Councillor Lyall / Seconded Councillor Mugford

'It is recommended that Council proceed with the Community Grants scheme as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan.'

CARRIED

Community Centres Builds at Leeston, Prebbleton and Hororata

Councillor Morten supports the recommendation and further stated that Council has not fronted up to the needs of Leeston. He noted that what is in the document is appropriate and Council should work towards this.

Mayor Broughton also noted he agrees with what is in the document. He stated that timing will be dictated by the passion in the community and staffs' ability to meet timeframes based on resources. He stated that he is not advocating for any facilities outside these three.

Councillor Lyall agrees with the document. Within Leeston, he noted that there is a real opportunity for a new community centre to be on the edge of the showgrounds and become a real centre-point for the Community. He also noted that the Prebbleton community has been waiting for some time.

Councillor Reid supports the recommendation proposed, particularly noting Leeston. She further stated that Council needs to look where it locates centres in the future.

Councillor McEvedy supports the three community centres, and also supports the Leeston facility being brought forward, and consulted on widely. He noted his willingness to be involved.

Councillor Watson supports the proposal on the assumption that the build from Hororata includes the money from Go Hororata.

Councillor Miller stated that his view is that Leeston is front of the queue and needs to be pushed forward further stating that Council needs to get some real go forward and give the community some traction and dates. He noted that Leeston is poised to be a growth community and they have a need for these facilities. Councillor Miller also made reference to the passionate residents of Hororata, commenting that they are very well organised.

Councillor Lemon noted his supports for the proposal and also in pushing Leeston forward at least to the point of having some in-depth conversations with the community in order to gain greater clarity. He noted that there are several options on the table for Leeston at present.

Councillor Hasson noted her support. She referred to conversations ten years ago about having a hall in Prebbleton in 2018. She further commented that the hub of small communities is traditionally a hall or community centre.

Councillor Alexander supports all three but had no view on priorities.

Councillor Mugford agrees that all three should go ahead, but stated that Hororata should go first due to the high cost of maintaining the current hall.

Councillor Bland agrees with all three noting again the proactive nature of Hororata residents. He would like staff to make recommendations on timing.

The Chief Executive referred to priorities as stated in the document: Prebbleton being number one; then Hororata; then Leeston. The Corporate Services Manager spoke to timing and rating impact, and balancing the needs of the community. It was noted that there would not be any material effect on the rate.

Councillor Miller referred to community fundraising for new halls versus the district wide rate as passed this morning.

Moved Councillor Lemon / **Seconded** Councillor Lyall

'It is recommended that Council proceed with the three Community Centre build schemes as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan based on staff recommendations on timing.'

CARRIED

Further discussion was held after the lunch break around timing and the rates burden.

Mayor Broughton noted that the resolution will remain as is, but it can be reviewed as regards to timing of the projects. The Property and Commercial Manager made further comments about internal resource or whether this could be done externally.

Councillor McEvedy noted that Council has been consulting with Leeston for seven years about a community facility. Councillor Miller referred to community ambitions and the need to have a business case prepared before proceeding through the use of a small Committee.

Councillor Lemon referred to a lack of submissions about the Leeston facility, noting that perhaps it was due to time dragging on and that there is a perception that people are becoming apathetic about it. He noted he is keen to progress conversations and would like to see Council revisiting the timeframe.

Councillor Alexander noted the desire to bring the Leeston project forward, but asked if Council needs to have an assessment of the rugby club rooms, and then look at this again. He would be happy to see Council put this in next year's Annual Plan once it has a better understanding of what is needed.

Councillor Morten also noted that he tends to agree that Council could put together a group to give some of these projects some momentum, which would include parameters to work to.

Councillor McEvedy referred to what needs to be done which includes eliminating the rugby club or not; then decide what is needed. He said to do this is not difficult and noted that Leeston has about 2,000 ratepayers. He then talked about Sedgemere facility and its ratepayers. He stated that Council needs to be wise and start with this now

The Chief Executive noted that the community needs assessment work is lacking for all three of these projects, and noted that he imagines the Property Committee will request staff to commence with this work.

Mayor Broughton spoke briefly about the budget envelope. There should be a limit to the budget provided and this direction has been agreed today. He asked if Council is comfortable with \$20 rate increase as it needs to know what they are entering into.

Councillor Miller stated that a project such as this will take three years from start to finish, so a rate increase is not too much of a priority.

Councillor Watson agreed that it should be talked about further in the Annual Plan.

Office Extension at Rolleston

Councillor Bland noted his supported, but referred to the need to check the stated cost per square metre.

Councillor Morten noted his support.

Mayor Broughton noted his support.

Councillor Lyall noted his support.

Councillor Reid noted her support.

Councillor Watson noted his support.

Councillor Miller stated that he is concerned about silos, the future staff profile and alternative solutions around flexible working structures (such as working at home). He stated that he is not totally convinced that the extension is in in the best interests of the community.

Councillor McEvedy confirmed he was giving the project a qualified yes, but wants further feedback on our service delivery model. He also referred to the square metre cost including the need to bring the building up to the standard required to run a full Civil Defence Emergency Operations Centre for the District.

Councillor Hasson responded yes, but only due to this facility having originally being designed to be added onto in a cost-effective manner. She referred to parking around the Rolleston building, noting that a lot of people are using the street as a Park and Ride facility. She asked if Council would make the Synlait car park, a Park and Ride facility as well in order to free up street parking.

Councillor Alexander stated he was in favour.

Councillor Mugford stated that he is in favour of the project going ahead, but that it should be done as economically as possible.

The Chief Executive provided further comments noting that the construction costs include car parking. He further noted that staff being on one on site is more efficient, and cost-effective and will help Council's future service delivery plans.

Moved Councillor Hasson / **Seconded** Councillor Mugford

'It is recommended that Council proceed with the Rolleston Headquarters extension as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan.'

Councillor Miller voted against

CARRIED

Walking and Cycling Strategy

Councillor Mugford agreed that this needs to carry on but that the order is important. He would be interested in Council pursuing the Mountains to the Sea path.

Councillor Bland noted his support with no comments on priorities.

Councillor Morten noted his supportive. He noted that the Mountains to the Sea is a tough project and would require lot of support from outside agencies. Agree it would be fantastic, but will be difficult to get done.

Mayor Broughton is supportive of what is proposed. He noted he would like to see some of this money being used as on destination paths, rather than commuter paths, and also noted the Foster Park link to the Town Centre is a priority.

Councillor Lyall agrees, but is not sure about Whitecliffs to Glentunnel path. He also agrees regarding linking funds to Tourism opportunities.

Councillor Reid noted her support of the strategy, but noted that township links and tourism routes are two different things. She stated that a Mountains to the Sea path needs to be supported by a Tourism Strategy. She also referred to the increased use of EBikes.

Councillor McEvedy noted his support of connections between localities. He does not support Mountains to the Sea as it is a government cost. He agreed that there is a difference between mountain biking trails and commuter paths (town linkages). He stated that he does not support the Port Hills development and work around Tai Tapu.

Councillor Watson stated he is generally supportive of footpath extensions. He noted his support of cycleways, but noted concern about cost blowouts.

Councillor Miller stated there has been some good work, but noted lack of connectivity on the eastern side of the District. He commented on the need to do something on the Port Hills – citing a conversation with a landowner who is constantly having his fence cut by people using the tracks. He noted there is significant demand, and referred to the recent submission to

the CCC LTP from the same submitter. Councillor Lemon stated the he supports the strategy as consulted and the accompanying timetable. He noted that people's recreational needs will need to be continually met.

Councillor Hasson agrees, but also concurs with Councillor Reid's comments. She noted she also strongly supports the connectivity needs on the Port Hills. Councillor Alexander supports what was in the consultation document.

Mayor Broughton provided further comments on tourism paths versus location to location paths. He stated he would like us to see Council have conversations with the Darfield community regarding funding allocation. Councillor Morten and Councillor Mugford provided further comments.

Staff provided gave further comments on the projects as set out in the strategy. Councillor Reid provided further comments about splitting tourism from transportation, and then spoke about the reserve space.

Moved Councillor Hasson / **Seconded** Councillor Lyall

'It is recommended that Council proceed with the Walking and Cycling strategy as proposed in the Draft 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan, and then review the strategy and projects from 2022 onwards.'

CARRIED

Roading

The Asset Manager – Transport led the discussion on roading regarding subsidised and non-subsidised work. He stated that staff have been working on business cases over the last two to three years. NZTA has released funding for next couple of years and Council's allocation came in with an increase of around 26% which is the highest gain in the region (\$40m up from \$32m).

The Asset Manager – Transport stated that staff had to work hard to ensure the generated confidence from NZTA to invest in Council. He noted that the seal road maintenance area made the most gains stated that NZTA agreed to Council's proposition of subsidising this work which eliminates the need for a roading targeted rate of \$60.00. With increases over all activities; money which would have spent on overheads can now be spent on activities.

The Asset Manager – Transport stated that staff asked NZTA for more funding for unsealed road maintenance which they did not agree to, saying that the unseal roads carry low volumes of vehicles, and as such, will get low funding.

Staff advised three options: remove this fund from the Long Term Plan; relocate to other areas of the Long Term Plan; or retain in the Long Term Plan for use for transport purposes as Council decides what is necessary. Staff advised Councillors to think about how they want to deal with this.

The Asset Manager – Transport stated that he would advise to keep it in the Long Term Plan for any transport eventualities. He also spoke about the Greater Christchurch Programme to develop a public transport system which will hopefully deal with the growth in the greater

Christchurch area. He noted that this comes at a cost of \$1–\$1.5b over the next 30 years with Selwyn's share of that being around 4% which is not built into current budgets.

It was noted that the Government Policy Statement was released by the new government, and that this had concentrated more on multimodal solutions etc.

Mayor Broughton referred to the following submissions:

- Leaches Road sealing and widening
- Dunns Crossing Road centre line and sealing
- Gould / Selwyn / Dunns Crossing roundabout
- Hororata Primary School parking
- Gilmours Road sealing
- Osborn Road
- Maddisons Rd seal widening
- Formation of Everett St, Coalgate
- Springs Rd / Birches Rd curbing
- Wards Rd sealing and / or seal widening
- Hoskyns Rd Kirwee end needs sealing
- Hoskyns / West Melton Rd upgrade
- Gravel road maintenance

The Assets Manager – Transport referred to his sealing options paper as provided to Councillors prior to the deliberations.

Councillor Alexander acknowledged the roading team for their work on NZTA funding. He then referred to unmatched funds: suggesting 1/3 go to roading; 1/3 to rates reduction; and 1/3 to funding new projects. He noted his concern around some items in the roading programme, and Council should not rush out and change it. Notes staff comments on public transport.

Councillor Mugford stated that he would rather see the whole amount go into a transport fund.

Councillor Bland referred to Councillor Alexander's comments and said he would prefer to leave it to the experts and go with the plan already set. He noted his agreement with Councillor Alexander's 1/3; 1/3; 1/3 suggestion. Councillor Morten also agreed with this suggestion; as did Councillor Lyall.

Councillor Reid asked if Council is spending enough on general maintenance and noted road safety. Otherwise support she supports staff's comments.

Councillor McEvedy referred to gravel road maintenance stating that it is the one thing Councillors get asked constantly. He stated that he disagrees with NZTA's argument on low volume; low benefit and then spoke about the priority of gravel maintenance.

Councillor Watson referred to unmatched funds and the 1/3; 1/3; 1/3 suggestion, commenting that he thinks there is some merit in keeping some in the transport area. He stated that he does not support the retention of all funds in road transport.

Councillor Miller asked if Council is looking at a rate increase to fund this. The Corporate Services Manager confirmed this. Councillor Miller then spoke of a recent visit by the Audit & Risk Subcommittee, noting an issue in road maintenance (more metal required); and pot

hole maintenance. He stated that the general consensus was that the roads require more metal. Councillor Miller commented that if the district is going to have shingle roads; then their quality should be the best they can be. He said he would vote for no increase and retention of funds.

Councillor Lemon asked if Council had to retain the uncommitted funds to reduce the general rate and put some tension around maintaining shingle roads. He asked questions about the 29% funding. The Asset Manager - Transport noted that NZTA believe Council is maintaining its shingle roads too well. Councillor Hasson then commented on unsealed roads and that a lot of these have culverts under them, speaking to their replacements and major upgrades required. She made further comments on the funding process.

Mayor Broughton noted his agreement with the Asset Manager's rejigged spend as per his report, but that Council may want a separate work stream to review the roading budget allocation to ensure the spend is going into the areas where it think work needs to be done.

The Asset Manager – Transport confirmed that Council is locked in terms of its submitted programme, and NZTA's response regarding what they are providing per work activity. He noted that staff can shuffle things around a bit, but these days are coming to an end due to NZTA's requirements. The Asset Manager – Transport spoke about maintenance renewals as being locked into specific work categories and work budgets noting there is not much flexibility.

Councillor McEvedy asked what roads are NZTA funded. To this, staff confirmed that the NZTA do not fund seal extensions, but noted that they have agreed to fund the Robinson Road extension due to this having a specific benefit to CSM2 (which has saved Council \$150k). Staff stated that the major capital works funded are seal widenings which originated from business cases to NZTA. This includes work on Weedons Ross, Road, Hoskyns Road; Weedons Road; Two Chain Road, and Burnham School Road – all of which should improve road safety.

Councillor McEvedy referred to Tancreds Road as being an important as part of the Lincoln Town Centre upgrade. Staff noted it is on the list (fourth priority), but is to be funded by Council – not NZTA. Staff further confirmed that everything listed within the Long Term Plan is a combination of funding streams - but they are all funded.

Moved Councillor Hasson / **Seconded** Councillor Watson

'That Council:

- (a) progress with the updated budgets as presented by staff, and that a review of roading allocation budgets is undertaken;*
- (b) endorse the seal extension and seal widening forward programmes, with the exception that the Robinsons Road seal extension is moved forward to 2018/2019 as agreed with the New Zealand Transport Agency; and*
- (c) endorse reparation work at Hororata School where Council work has caused an issue.'*

CARRIED

COMMERCIAL PROJECTS DISCUSSION

The Property and Commercial Manager spoke to this item.

Car Parking Funding

Moved Councillor McEvedy / **Seconded** Councillor Miller

‘That Council approve the addition of \$2.469 million to the budget to meet the complete programme of off-street car parking (total car parking noted of \$3.056 million less \$0.587 million being William Street which was already funded in the Draft 2018-2028 Long Term Plan).’

CARRIED

Health Hub

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Morten

‘That Council endorses that although the Health Hub property transaction is budgeted for in the Long Term Plan, a full financial analysis regarding project cost and the associated lease will still need to come to Council for approval.’

CARRIED

Picture Theatre / Retail Development

Moved Councillor McEvedy / **Seconded** Councillor Watson

‘That Council endorses that although the Picture Theatre / Retail Development property transaction is budgeted for in the Long Term Plan, a full financial analysis regarding project cost and the associated lease will still need to come to Council for approval.’

CARRIED

Councillor Morten left at 10.15am

ADDITIONAL FUNDING REQUESTS

It was noted that these additional items were not included in the rating projection figures shown earlier in the Deliberations.

Item:	<i>Reinstate Secretarial Services</i>
Proposed cost:	\$16,000
Impact:	To be met within existing staff salary budgets

The Community Relations Manager gave context to the funding trial. She stated that funding shift will put committees back on an even keel.

Councillor Lemon asked staff if there is any more around the term of this trial. Councillor Miller then referred to some committees who pay for secretarial services from their own funds.

The Community Relations Manager stated that four committees do not have a budget to pay for roving secretarial services; but that the remainder would pay through their discretionary funds, but have said that they cannot afford the cost.

Mayor Broughton stated that he would like this funding available to all committees due to the roving secretarial services providing an improvement in services; and better communication. He would like to see this service increased to those committees who would like the service, but noted this may require further work.

Councillor Alexander questioned equity and stated he would be happy for a one-off funding for the next 12 months with no guarantee of ongoing funding.

Councillor Watson referred to the Mayor's comment about cost of increasing funding for all community committees. He noted that Council needs to be careful as funding all is a huge impost.

The Chief Executive referred to consistency further stating that if Council wants to engage secretaries to do certain things its way, then it needs to be prepared to pay for these. He agreed that Council can relook at this decision in one year's time. He noted that \$16,000 can be absorbed into the salaries budget for next year.

Councillor McEvedy noted that the change wasn't presented as it clearly as it could have been to the Committees, with some not understanding the proposal. He stated that this is about equity and would like to see committees on a level field, and that Council should ensure funding is available for the next 12 months.

Councillor Morten noted that the status quo is a good result.

It is agreed that Council will fund roving secretarial services for a further 12 months, with no change to the general rate, and would review again in 12 months.

Item:	<i>Liffey Fences – North and Liffey Fences – South</i>
Proposed cost:	\$22,600 and \$9,900 respectively
Impact:	General Rate

Staff have investigated this issue and concur with the submitter that there are issues with damage to the grass surface. The cost of remediation work for Liffey Domain North is estimated to be \$22,600 with a further \$9,900 required if the fence was extended to Liffey Domain South. This will be funded by either deferring other scheduled maintenance work, or by increasing the maintenance budget.

Councillor Hasson referred to the level of service and ongoing maintenance relating to fences and reserves. She noted that Liffey is a Reserve so it has to be treated as such.

Councillor Miller referred to Liffey is the 'forgotten child' of Selwyn and needs greater attention.

Councillor Lyall noted the historic issue along the river, and stated that car parking is an issue too. He noted that it needs to be fenced – it may be expensive but it needs to be done.

It is agreed that Council will fund additional items.

Item:	<i>Rakaia Boat Ramp</i>
Proposed cost:	\$630,000; or \$60,000 If loan funded over 20 years @ 5%
Impact:	General Rate

Councillor McEvedy stated that this is the most used river in the South Island. He noted that the access for rescues should be included. He stated that Council is naïve to think it will cost \$630,000; but would be closer to \$500,000. He referred to the tourism infrastructure process and asked if Council could look to this fund. It was noted that the camp ground Council owns at the Huts is reliant upon it and that it is becoming increasingly popular with a significant number of visitors.

Councillor Lemon said it would be disappointing to get people's hopes up and then not go through with it. He stated that Council needs to decide if the Boat Ramp is really an asset for Council, and if it takes on this is a project, funding will sort itself out. If it's \$200,000 then this would be a one-off 1%, and if it is over 20 years, that's 0.3% rate increase.

Councillor Alexander stated that he is not convinced this as an asset commenting that jetboating is a minority sport. He asked if this is an asset Council would want to take over, further commenting that he does not believe the Boat Club is asking their members for enough funding. Councillor Alexander stated that if this is a vital search and rescue facility then there should be others who can help fund it. He then noted that this may not be the right location for a boat ramp given the imminent effect of climate change stating that possibly further up the river might be a better place to build it.

Councillor Lyall noted his support. He stated he was concerned about tenure and also about Council putting money into an asset it does not own. He asked if it is possible for Council to take over the ramp as a reserve. He also referred to the role of Environment Canterbury.

Councillor Morten stated that while he is sympathetic with the cause, Council has no control, and no ownership, and as such, he will not be voting yes. He would also want to see more work done on it to assure Council that this is the right plan and right design and to assess what contribution can be asked from users.

Councillor Bland stated that fishing is not a minority sport and in summer there are hundreds of people there fishing. He agrees a boat ramp is needed, but he would be reluctant to pay this much money. Councillor Bland agreed that the Club needs to ask others for funding too and that some more work needs to be done first.

Councillor Watson stated that Council should be looking at financial modelling, and that the Group come back next year with a fair and equitable model which can be sustainable.

The Chief Executive raised two aspects; pleasure craft and emergency access. If Council believes this that it falls within this area, it can be looked at it but still difficult to justify a rate increase. This will require further conversations with Environment Canterbury, Police and Civil Defence around its strategic nature, and if there is a wider benefit. A review would be undertake and brought back to Council in around six months.

Councillor Miller asked if this was in Council's jurisdiction or rather, should it be dealt with by Environment Canterbury as part of their Long Term Plan. He asked what the vision is for other slipways asking how and where these assets are maintained.

Councillor McEvedy noted that the ramp is not only used for boating, but also fishing, yachting, kayaking, camping, and all sorts of recreational aspects. He stated that the Group wants to see this is a Selwyn District Council project. Councillor McEvedy stated that every time they talk to Council, they are sent away to bring back more information. If Council is not interested, they should have been told this in the first instance. The group has done exactly what we asked them to do. The plans have been prepared by a good engineer, and these have been peer reviewed. Councillor McEvedy stated that Council should take it on as a strategic asset with no funding required right now.

Councillor McEvedy said he would be happy to move (or suggest) that Selwyn District Council adopts and supports project and looks to fund it through an application to the Tourism Infrastructure and other funds. He stated that Council would not provide any further funding but request staff investigate further.

A question was asked as to why this was not an Environment Canterbury issue. Staff commented that Environment Canterbury does not fund infrastructure. Staff also noted that Christchurch City Council funds boat ramps and asks a daily fee to use them. It was also noted that this area is very aggressive coastal area and a boat ramp to withstand the climactic conditions will take a lot of money. It was suggested that this may be a good project for the Provincial Growth Fund.

Councillor Bland noted that Councillor McEvedy has made good points and that he would second this.

Councillor Alexander stated that he would be happy to support this principle subject to ongoing funding investigation including looking into ownership.

Moved Councillor McEvedy / **Seconded** Councillor Bland

‘That Council adopts and supports the Rakaia Boating Club Ramp project and looks to fund it through an application to the Tourism Infrastructure and other funds. No funding will be provided by Council.’

Councillor Miller voted against.

CARRIED

Item:	St Johns Funding
Proposed cost:	\$120,000
Impact:	General Rate

Councillor Miller noted that he is on the Area Committee for Selwyn stating that this is in the same bucket as other committed funding arrangements with WET, TAK etc. He stated that residents expect a response from Ambulance. Councillor Miller that that the Councillors agree that this needs to be centrally funded, but that is not going to happen. The reality is, the general population is not supporting this service, but still expect it. He urged Council to consider that this service is needed, then it would need to make sure there is some funding for it.

Councillor Miller stated that he took advice prior to the Deliberations that he could speak to this given his role on the Committee, but as he does not receive any financial benefit, that he was able to speak to this topic today.

Conversation was held around a potential or perceived conflict of Councillor Mugford, Councillor Miller and Councillor Watson. The Chief Executive talked about perception and that it would be prudent for the aforementioned Councillors not to take part in any vote. The Councillors then sat back from the table.

Councillor Hasson asked how the Trust is structured noting some Government funding, but asked if it is St John’s desire to stay as a Charitable Trust. She noted that she supports the general rate, but would like to understand whether they were offered 100% funding at some stage, but chose to do the 75/25 split instead.

Councillor McEvedy noted that he had asked for information from the submitters during the hearings from Submitters regarding the around funding by Wellington City Council, but it had not been provided. He noted that from the information he had sourced, that Wellington City Council provides \$180,000 per annum funding for the Wellington Free ambulance. Councillor McEvedy noted that he was opposed to the submission initially as thinks Central Government should fund it, but as services in the district are starting to feel stretched, he is now is wanting to show some support. He did not agree with the submitters’ request for \$120,000 per year for ten years, but stated he would be happy with some level of funding.

Councillor Lyall referred to a 2009 report received by Central Government. He does believe that funding should come from central government, and we will be setting a precedent if we give them an annual grant, stating that he could be swayed to give them a one-off grant and assist with national lobbying. He stated that perhaps a grant of \$60,000 would be sufficient.

Councillor Alexander stated that Council has already given St John support in the provision of the building across the road. He recognises the value they provide to the community and

noted that he would look at \$60,000 per year (\$1 per ratepayer per annum) as a gesture of support for them.

Councillor Morten stated that if Council was to fund, then this would lead to another thing the Government doesn't have to do.

Councillor Lemon noted that as he supported district wide rating, he will need to support this proposal. He stated that in their submission St John is seeking ten year support. He would support the \$1 per ratepayer as he feels the community will see a direct benefit from this.

Mayor Broughton noted that this amount for be more for higher value properties and lower for others, and that rather than \$1 per ratepayer, it would be more like \$3 per ratepayer. The Corporate Services Manager concurred.

It was asked that if this was a targeted rate option, to which staff said this is possible, however as it would need to be consulted on, it would need to be absorbed into the general rate. Councillor McEvedy stated that he feels Council should consult on this.

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor McEvedy

'Council agrees to a one-off \$60,000 grant to St Johns for the 2018/2019 year, pending consultation for the 2019/2020 year.'

Councillor Morten voted against the resolution

CARRIED

Item:	<i>Arts in Communities and District Heritage Fund</i>
Proposed cost:	\$80,000 and \$120,000 respectively
Impact:	General Rate

The Chief Executive stated the submission relates to the establishment of a fund to assist local art communities who wish to source and place public art in their townships. He stated that it would be good to know who these groups are, and further noted that Council has a full time arts staff member.

Councillor Alexander stated that he would need a lot more clarity around how this would work suggesting that staff come back to Council with clarity around this for consideration in next year's Annual Plan. He stated he was not clear on how this would be administered. Councillor Alexander said he was not opposed in principle, but would need more detail.

Councillor Lemon spoke about arts in the communities. He said that this space is well taken care of by other groups where there is an opportunity to display public art. He stated that staff are in a space to allow this to develop over time. He then noted his conflict as it relates to heritage.

Councillor Lyall noted his role on the initial Trust to establish cohesion between the various groups. He stated that he was no longer on that Trust, and is not sure what they are doing at this point. He noted the potential for duplication of effort, and the need to step back and have a look. He also noted that the same duplication of effort could occur for heritage groups and that Council needs to look the ways it delivers funding for these groups. Councillor Lyall noted his part supports for Councillor Alexander's suggestion about stepping back and looking at how groups are funded. He also made reference to Development Contributions support.

Councillor Watson spoke about other options for funding including a grant fund increase after the first 12 months, but noted the need to do this in a sustainable way.

Councillor Reid supported Councillor Watson's suggestion. Agrees that more money needs to be spent in the space, but Council needs to be conscious of what and how it is spending this money.

Councillor Hasson also agreed with the suggestion put forward by Councillor Watson.

Moved Councillor Watson / **Seconded** Councillor Hasson

'To allow the \$140,000 Community Grant fund to increase by \$10,000 per year - after the first 12 months - for the next ten years.'

CARRIED

Item:	<i>Selwyn Natural Environment Fund</i>
Proposed cost:	\$45,000
Impact:	General Rate

It was confirmed by staff that there is already a fund of \$45,000 for the Selwyn Natural Environment Fund.

Item:	<i>Public Transport Leeston / Darfield</i>
Proposed cost:	\$60,000
Impact:	General Rate

Councillor Alexander noted his support for this funding as a trial subject to further discussion with Environment Canterbury about how it would be provided, and consultation with the community around timing.

Mayor Broughton confirmed that Environment Canterbury would like Council to underwrite the trial. He noted that for every \$10 of fares received, this will mean \$10 investment for Council. It was stated that if Council does proceed with the trial, but feel it isn't working, then Council can pull out. Staff then gave further context to the proposed trial.

Councillor Miller asked about demand for these two areas to warrant a trial noting he thinks it would be good to see connectivity, but asked if it is going to be supported. Staff noted that this is just a trial, and the major difference being this trial is a metro service, and is subsidised, which means lower fares for those using the service.

Councillor Miller commented that if Council supports this trial, he suggests that Council schedule this around the school run into the city.

Councillor McEvedy noted that the importance of the school run and the work run, but that there will only be one run into town, so this needs to consider this carefully. He stated that it is important that the rate ends up back at Environment Canterbury if the trial is successful.

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Mugford

‘That Council provides the sum of \$60,000 for a trial subject to public consultation and confirmation of process with Environment Canterbury.’

CARRIED

Item:	<i>Sediment Trap Clearance</i>
Proposed cost:	\$3,500
Impact:	General Rate

Councillor Hasson noted her support of the funding request made by Fish and Game.

Moved Councillor Watson / **Seconded** Councillor Morten

‘That Council does not support the funding for clearance of the sediment traps.’

Councillor Hasson voted against.

CARRIED

Item:	<i>Bellfield Subdivision</i>
Proposed cost:	\$250,000
Impact:	General Rate (90%) / Development Contributions (10%)

Councillor McEvedy gave history to the subdivision and the dangers which exist in this area at present. He stated that this is core infrastructure. Councillor Alexander supports Councillor McEvedy on the basis of the staff support and their comments.

The Corporate Services Manager confirmed that this should not be too difficult as a one off expenditure item.

Councillor Miller stated that he is seeking clarity about priority against other items. Staff indicated that this is an opportunity to provide something efficiently on the subdivision works which safely connects pedestrians. Further, staff noted that a lot of the cost is associated with undergrounding and street lighting – but if it isn’t done now, then it would have to be done later.

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Watson

‘That Council provide the funding for the Bellfield Subdivision as required.’

CARRIED

DELIBERATION MATTERS – NEW ITEMS RAISED

Item:	<i>Selwyn Development Agency - Tourism</i>
Proposed action:	Council is currently preparing an Economic Development Strategic Plan that will prioritise areas of economic development focus for Council in the short to medium term. We will revisit the entity proposed in the next Annual Plan / LTP consultation. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Rolleston Flyover</i>
Proposed action:	Project to proceed as detailed in the Long Term Plan. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Current Rolleston library refurbishment</i>
Proposed action:	This submission will be considered by the Library / Community Centre Project Champions Group. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Darfield Wastewater</i>
Proposed action:	The project will proceed as per the Draft Long Term plan. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Housing - Affordable and Elderly - Council Advocacy</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Alexander referred to sections of community which Council does not cater for, and he would like to test the water. He proposes that the Property Committee work with housing providers about where Council might provide the land, they provide the housing and Council then takes a commercial return.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy noted the resources and cost associated with the Risto Street housing and asked if there are enough resources inhouse to undertake this investigation. The Property and Commercial Manager noted this is also a Community Services issue as well. He further noted that Council is trying to get out of this business.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander referred to the Housing and CCC model which has made a return.</p> <p>Councillor Miller stated that housing had been addressed through the Special Housing Accord and would prefer to see the market take the lead on this issue. He stated that there that Property Committee already has a large work programme.</p> <p>Mayor Broughton requested an investigative report from staff on housing needs provision in Selwyn to the Property Committee and that Council will also respond to Central government's Affordable Housing initiatives as they evolve.</p>

Proposed action:	Council will respond to Central Government's Affordable Housing initiatives as they evolve. A staff report will be requested on housing needs, which will include provision of opportunities in Selwyn with particular reference to partnerships including with Housing New Zealand.
------------------	--

Moved Councillor Alexander / **Seconded** Councillor Lyall

'That Council requests an investigative report from staff on housing needs provision in Selwyn to the Property Committee.'

Councillor Miller voted against the resolution.

CARRIED

Item:	<i>Freedom Camping</i>
Proposed action:	Continue to support and respond to Government-led national responses. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Use of Whitecliffs Camping ground by local community</i>
Proposed action:	To be addressed through the Property Committee review of camping, areas, facilities and charges scheduled to be completed by 30 September 2018. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Glentunnel Whitecliffs Cycleway</i>
Councillor discussion:	Staff noted this project is in the action plan and that funding has set aside, but not in the current Long Term Plan. A further decision will be made through the review process.
Proposed action:	Will be reviewed in conjunction with future cycleway projects.

Item:	<i>Hororata Acheron extension at Windwhistle (water scheme)</i>
Proposed action:	This water supply is currently fully allocated. No additional water is available at this time. Pipe sizing will be considered at the time of pipe renewals. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>West Melton Skate facility - increase size of Reserve</i>
Councillor discussion:	Councillor Alexander stated that this is not a new project and has been in the budget for two years (\$250k). He said it has not consulted on location, and this needs to be done. A suggested location is in the Reserve in West Melton. The Property and Commercial Manager noted that the discussion has not yet been had, but that this would take place in the 18/19 year, followed by construction in the following year. Councillor Morten said it would be good to progress.
Proposed action:	Council staff will work with the Committee on developing a Master Plan for the Reserve and extension areas. This is planned for 2018 / 2019 where there is \$250,000 budget aside. Further discussion and local consultation on location will be part of the workstream.

Item:	<i>Performing Arts space</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Watson stated that the most important thing is to develop the Rolleston Town Centre and look for opportunities. It was noted that perhaps the Rolleston community and library space would be a better area. This was supported by Councillor Morten.</p> <p>Councillor Reid stated that the only problem is that the submitter has asked for a dedicated space, as Councillor cannot provide space like this.</p>
Proposed action:	The Project Champions will consider multi-use spaces as part of the Rolleston Community Centre and Library Space.

Item:	<i>Squash Courts</i>
Proposed action:	Staff will look at the feasibility of including a squash facility within the Indoor Court Complex or Selwyn Aquatic Centre. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Hockey turf extension and smaller turf provision</i>
Proposed action:	Some provision has been made in the Long Term Plan for an additional turf (2022 / 2023) which potentially could be brought forward if demand is sufficient, with a location within Selwyn yet to be confirmed.
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Miller stated that the Lincoln University is the centre for hockey excellence noting that that after this, players tend to head up to National Hockey centre in Auckland. The current turf is a great edition here, but once children get older, they need to be able to hit a ball the full length which cannot be done on the recreational turf.</p> <p>A brief conversation was held about any expansion being undertaken would be best it was built as a competition turf rather than recreation. Councillor Miller noted strong demand for turfs in other towns.</p>

Item:	<i>Summit Road contributions: Port Hills Management Plan</i>
Proposed action:	Council would develop a "Port Hills Recreational Access and Use Strategy". This would have to be across departments and also involve the City Council to see how best to plan and provide a joined up network centring on that area from Kennedys Bush across to Tai Tapu and up to the Summit Rd. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Regional Sports Funding</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Miller thought that the proposed action may be too enthusiastic as Council has a lot on its plate with its own facilities.</p> <p>Councillor Watson noted his support for Councillor Miller's comments.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy thought it may be best to wait for someone to provide the lead.</p> <p>Mayor Broughton referred to the last week's Mayor Forum discussions and Council's wish to be part of these conversations. Councillor Alexander noted the informal feedback he has received, and supports consideration.</p>
Proposed action:	Council will <i>consider</i> further discussion on funding models for regional facilities alongside other partners. If Council was to fund regional facilities, it would also expect to be included in the design and ongoing governance of these facilities. Council will request a discussion doesn't happen unless they are in the room.
Item:	<i>Pump Track - Prebbleton</i>
Proposed action:	A budget for a Youth Facility in Prebbleton is contained in the Long Term Plan 2020 - 2021. A project of this nature would fit the brief. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Biodiversity - Te Ara Kākāriki Trust and funding in general</i>
Councillor discussion:	Councillor Miller stated that should be a review period on grants. The Community Relations Manager noted that a review period is in place, and this has been communicated to Te Ara Kākāriki.
Proposed action:	The Council will continue to support the Trust. This will allow them to continue to provide restoration advice, funding and volunteers toward indigenous habitat creation, and the ongoing restoration of our natural heritage.
Item:	<i>Purchase land beside Coes Ford - 11.5ha</i>
Proposed action:	Council notes the potential to create a legacy site at the bottom end of the Silverstream catchment, and will engage with Te Ara Kākāriki, Environment Canterbury, Selwyn Waihora Zone Committee and Ngāi Tahu to understand funding. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Rugby League move from Brookside</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Staff noted that they consider Brookside Park to be unsuitable for the needs of the group, and noted the recommendation that Council consider providing support for their submission.</p> <p>Councillor Watson noted that this Group is the right Group to get into the District Park and would like to work with staff on this project.</p>

	<p>Councillor McEvedy stated that every club he has been involved in has raised money for new lights, and that new clubs want Council to fundraise for everything stating that they need to step up and fundraise. Councillor Alexander spoke to this (in disagreement), stating that Council needs to invest in lighting Brookside Park. Conversation was held round the usage of Brookside Park.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander stated that the lights need an upgrade before next winter.</p> <p>Councillor Hasson said that she is starting to see costs on the uniform rate with the ongoing maintenance people want a higher standard. Suggested clubs work with each other to contribute towards these types of things as Council cannot keep handing out the money.</p> <p>Councillor Lyall noted the need for clubs to have a level playing field and is looking forward to staff work on this.</p> <p><i>Discussion on this submission closed at 5.05pm.</i></p> <p><i>Day Two of Deliberations recommenced on Thursday 31 May at 9.00am.</i></p> <p>The Property and Commercial Manager stated that there is not the right capacity at Brookside Park to get the district out to the big scale park so staff will need to revisit lighting at Brookside Park. It was discussed that staff could put forward a budget of \$300,000 in the 2018/2019 which will give three lit fields, but that there is not enough capacity in the electricity network to support this. It was noted that the installation of a new transformer would be funded through Development Contributions and the targeted reserve rate.</p> <p>Further conversations were held about Council funding and club funding.</p> <p>Councillor Miller raised tennis courts requiring upgrades post-earthquake.</p>
Proposed action:	<p>Staff will assess the suitability of Brookside Park for the Club's needs into the future including lighting upgrade options and field design, with funding options to be addressed through Reserve Rate account and Development Contributions.</p>
Item:	<p><i>West Melton Scout Hall to the Rugby Club</i></p>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Miller raised funding for this project. To this Mayor Broughton noted that this was not a decision Council can make as a Council as it involves different entities. Councillor Watson agreed with the Mayor's comments.</p> <p>Councillor Reid referred to the buildings belonging to Scout New Zealand.</p>

	Council gave support to the Club having discussions with stakeholders.
Proposed action:	Staff support the reutilisation of this building, but are of the view that it requires further investigation to assess feasibility and funding.

Item:	<i>Hororata Playground</i>
Proposed action:	A budget \$33,046 is provided in the Hororata Reserve 10 year plan for a playground upgrade in 2024 / 2025. There is no provision for a new playground outside consultation on the proposed Hororata Community Facility. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Effluent Dump station at Glentunnel and Kowhai Pass</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Lyall confirmed that the Motor Caravan Association would like to partner with Council.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy stated that perhaps Council could use their support to apply to the Tourism Infrastructure fund. Staff gave further details on this including support which was gained from the Motor Caravan Association who had agreed to fund the dump points themselves.</p>
Proposed action:	Council will apply to the Tourism Infrastructure Fund for these facilities and will work with the New Zealand Motor Caravan Association to gain support for this.

Item:	<i>Recycling and transfer facilities in Malvern</i>
Proposed action:	Council intends to continue to expand the range of materials accepted at Community Recycling days across the district. The intention is to eventually accept general waste at the events, and in time, farm-related waste and recycling. We also intend to continue to investigate the provision of additional strategically placed container recycling stations for those residents who are currently off-route. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Springfield concrete pad basketball by playground</i>
Proposed action:	Staff be directed to hold further discussions with the Community Committee on this request. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Springfield Pump Track</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Miller asked what this means to the community to which the Chief Executive gave further context. Staff noted there is a budget in the ten year plan for development of the pit site, but probably not enough. Staff noted that they would need to hold further discussions to assess what is required.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy stated that the number of facilities need to fit the size of the town.</p>
Proposed action:	Staff be directed to hold further discussions with the Community Committee on this request for use of funds currently within the Long Term Plan.

Item:	<i>Kowhai Pass toilets to the Tourism Infrastructure Fund</i>
Proposed action:	This project has been included in Council's recently submitted Tourism Infrastructure Fund application. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Hororata Reserve Toilets to be treated as public toilets</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>It was noted that this is a wider discussion around how toilets are treated and the funding for them. To this Councillor Hasson stated that she would like clarity around the use of Development Contributions.</p> <p>Councillor Morten noted that this issue continues to come up and asked if this piece of work is in need of a refresh.</p> <p>Staff noted toilet assessments underway on reserves and within the public toilet network. Currently public toilets are funded from general rates, and toilets on reserves are funded from a combination of funding sources (which could come from Development Contributions).</p> <p>Staff further noted that that this submission related to operational costs, and after returning a decision to proceed with district-wide rates, the operational cost of toilets are going to be funded on a district-wide rate going forward. Another question is on the level of services provided in these toilets and whether a review of maintenance is required, including cleaning needs.</p>
Proposed action:	This will be reviewed in conjunction with district-wide rating through the district-wide rate Implementation Committee.

Item:	<i>Hororata bike track</i>
Proposed action:	Staff will discuss this project with the Community Committee and any work will be undertaken using existing funds, with no further funding allocated. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>SH73 Courtenay Road to railway</i>
Proposed action:	This issue with the intersection will be referred to NZTA. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Courtenay Road</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Watson noted that the submission was about the intersection, and tidying up before the next Field Days. To this Councillor Miller stated that the Field Days site leads down a Council road.</p> <p>Councillor Watson noted that Council needs to have strong conversation with Field Days around better traffic management.</p> <p>The Chief Executive stated that when Council receives the next Field Days application, he will ask staff to talk to them about their traffic management plans and expectation around some sort of reinstatement post-event.</p>
Proposed action:	Council will hold a discussion with South Island Field Days regarding traffic management and reinstatement of roading following the event.

Item:	<i>Entry to Coalgate Glentunnel reserve - reseal</i>
Proposed action:	Staff will discuss requirements with the local committee and make recommendations. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Glentunnel Hall Storage Shed</i>
Councillor discussion:	Staff confirmed that they received a revised 10 year funding plan from the Glentunnel Hall committee which demonstrates that with some shifting around of projects, they could provide funding for this. They also have funds available in a special fund account.
Proposed action:	Staff will continue to discuss this request with the Hall Committee including an understanding of how the project will be funded using existing allocated funds.

Item:	<i>Lake Coleridge Toilet upgrade</i>
Proposed action:	These are the township toilets and are planned for renewal/upgrade in 2022/23. This toilet block is 45 years old and nearing the end of its economic life. It does not meet modern standards and does not provide for disabled access. The proposed replacement toilet block is a 4 cubicle (unisex) with a one being disabled access. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Lake Coleridge water improvements</i>
Proposed action:	The Lake Coleridge water treatment plant currently provides UV treated water. Upgrades this year and next will see the addition of auto flushing, reservoir storage, filtration, and back-up generator. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Rail to Christchurch</i>
Proposed action:	The Greater Christchurch Public Transport Joint Committee is developing a 30 year Public Transport Business Case for the Greater Christchurch Area. All options are on the table for discussion. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Install Road behind Canterbury Aero Club</i>
Proposed action:	Council will not be pursuing this as a project at this point. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Campervan parking</i>
Councillor discussion:	Council supports the current size of car parking spaces.
Proposed action:	As per Freedom Camping matters, Council will continue to support and respond to Government-led national responses.

Item:	<i>Springston Toilets</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Hasson confirmed that the Committee has managed to secure \$13,000 for this project, but require a further \$16,000. She further noted that the toilets would be on the Springston Library Reserve and as such, asked if there is an issue using Development Contributions.</p> <p>To this, staff confirmed that use of Development Contributions has to be related to growth, and it would be argued that development of this reserve is growth related. It was noted that although there is an increase in tourism and traffic growth, this is not related to the Reserve.</p> <p>Staff then noted that they have put in an application for the Tourism Infrastructure fund for increased capacity at the Dunsandel toilet, so if this was successful, this may free up funds for another project.</p> <p>The Property and Commercial Manager noted that there are sufficient toilets in the Lincoln to Leeston link and that there just needs to better signage of where these are.</p>
Proposed action:	This project is currently in the LTP for 2024 / 2025. The local community would like the project brought forward to 2019 / 2020. If this project was brought forward from 2024 / 2025 to 2019 / 2020, it would be at the expense of another project, or would have an impact on rates, neither of which are supported by staff. Council supports the current timing of the project.

Item:	<i>Springston Library Reserve</i>
Councillor discussion:	It was noted that this issue is associated with the Springston Toilets.
Proposed action:	Council supports this project where it has no impact on rates and is funded from Springs Ward Development Contributions or other local funds.

Item:	<i>Masonic Lodge, RSA and Regimental Historic Centre</i>
Proposed action:	As no further financial information has been provided on this project, Council does not propose any further discussion on this project. Council encourages the Group to work with each other, and existing Historical Societies and Trusts within the district. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Leeston Rd / Old Bridge Rd and Brookside Rd and Goulds Road slip lanes</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor McEvedy spoke to this noting the large number of vehicles which go across the bridge which cause potential issues with passing cars. He notes this is a safety issue and it should be a priority.</p> <p>Councillor Hasson stated that she agrees with Councillor McEvedy and noted a similar issue at the Goulds Rd / Leeston Rd intersection stating that slip lane is required to turn into Goulds Road.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander stated that he agrees with staff comments, and that this has to fit in with everything else Council is doing. Councillor Reid asked if Council is spending enough on preventative road safety. She stated that the issue with crash data is that it depends on the severity as it comes from the police further noting that the issue does not come to light unless there is a serious incident or fatality. If it is raised by submitters, then Council really needs to look at it.</p> <p>Councillor Lyall supports something being done here and raised a potential speed limit drop.</p> <p>Mayor Broughton asked staff to cover off where road safety funds should be spent and that Councillors need to better understand priorities through the provision of local context. The Asset Manager – Transport noted that staff should go away and scope what is involved with upgrading these intersections – then they can work out how it is funded. He noted that Council spends around \$0.5m per year on this types of safety works, and it is recognised that having priorities right is essential. He noted that the Gould Rd work is already on the work programme.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy stated that Old Bridge Road is the priority due to the high number of vehicles.</p> <p>Councillor Bland spoke about this experience attending accidents. He said the statistics are there, and the when does not need to be reinvented. He stated that Council needs a change of philosophy and look at how it spends its money questioning if more money should be put into tar sealing, or put specifically into fixing these dangerous intersections. He referred to Selwyn having an unenviable record of road accidents. Councillor Bland said that road maintenance is also about safety and he supports Councillor Reid's role with Road safety. He said</p>

	<p>that Council really does under fund road safety more proactive work should undertaken.</p> <p>The Asset Manager – Transport noted his agreement with the comments made. He stated that Council has 2000 intersections across the network, so they need to carefully prioritise the way improvement money is spent, as it is not limitless. It was agreed that staff need to work with the Road Safety Committee to better manage this going forward.</p> <p>Mayor Broughton confirmed this will be a work stream for the Road Safety Committee and noted that this means the inclusion of more data and use of local knowledge where possible.</p>
Proposed action:	The Road Safety Committee will investigate dangerous hot spot intersections across the district with staff and prepare a priority list and funding options.
Item:	<i>Cycleway Old Tai Tapu Rd and Golf Link Rd along River</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Miller made reference to a walkway in the Environment Canterbury River Reserve, and that the Community wants to use the church bridge to make a circular path around the outside of the reserve.</p> <p>Staff stated that this is a community project rather than the walking and cycling strategy.</p> <p>The Property and Commercial noted that staff will go out to site and see what can and cannot be done.</p>
Proposed action:	Staff will meet with the Committee onsite to clarify the requirements of the project.
Item:	<i>Lincoln Town Centre and Parking</i>
Councillor discussion:	Staff noted that from a construction point of view - Gerald Street is likely to fail before funding comes through for this project.
Proposed action:	Council support the funding of the Lincoln Town Centre Plan within its Long Term Plan. Work is already underway to purchase land for car parking to enable development of the Town Centre.
Item:	<i>Bankside Depot</i>
Proposed action:	Whilst not currently a priority project for Council, this may in the future form part of trails as promoted by Creative Intensions Limited for the Ellesmere Heritage Trust/Park. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Cycle Trail around the Lake Ellesmere / Te Waihora</i>
Proposed action:	This is not considered a priority project. Council has commenced an Economic Development Strategy of which tourism and other opportunities around cycleways may evolve. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Sister City Garden</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Watson referred to the cultural workstreams which are being looked at by the in the Rolleston Town Centre Project Team.</p> <p>The Property and Commercial Manager confirmed that Council would not naturally go out and do this type of garden, but that the staff could consider this down the track, but noting it is not being considered in Foster Park or as part of the Rolleston Town Centre Master Plan.</p> <p>Mayor Broughton confirmed no funding or timeline is budgeted for this at this time.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander thought there may be potential in a passive reserve for this in the future and may be better to be positioned in a township which has a better relationship with sister city (i.e. not Rolleston).</p>
Proposed action:	<p>Council supports the establishment of a Sister Cities Garden. Staff to recommend possible sites in current or future developments in conjunction with the Sister City Committee.</p>

Item:	<i>Ellesmere Heritage Park increase to Council funding</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>The earlier agreed \$10,000 per year grant funding increase was noted.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy referred to the number of submissions around construction of a temperature controlled environment, and some clear vision around this is required. He noted that this environment is vital for preservation of history, and this needs to be dealt with in a co-ordinated way.</p> <p>The Chief Executive stated that this has come to the fore from a number of submitters. He confirmed that he will commission a staff report for Council consideration about how to deal with this.</p> <p>Councillor Bland reiterated his earlier comments stating that the community at large is saying that Council needs to do something about this. He noted that sport and recreation is well -funded, but arts and heritage is not and it is slipping away stating that every year something is left, it deteriorates - once it's gone, it's gone.</p> <p>Councillor Lyall echoed these comments and noted that is why he suggested that some Development Contributions could be used for this as a possible funding source going head. He confirmed his interest in seeing a staff report.</p> <p>Councillor Bland stated that since he has become involved in arts and heritage, he has seen there is a real need for this – making reference to farming history. Councillor Hasson agreed with comments about using the Country Club building and renovation to make this into Council's arts, culture and heritage area.</p>

	The Chief Executive confirmed that a paper on heritage, key stakeholders, possible funding etc would be brought to the September Council meeting.
Proposed action:	Council does not support increasing the funding for Ellesmere Heritage Park at this time.

Item:	<i>Cemetery for Rolleston</i>
Proposed action:	Currently there will be sufficient capacity in existing cemeteries to meet projected demand based on death rates, especially with the planned extension for Springston Cemetery. The large scale park has sufficient land area to create a cemetery in the future, if this is needed. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Outdoor pool space</i>
Proposed action:	Council currently provides outdoor pool space at its community pools in Darfield, Southbridge and Sheffield. Priority for Rolleston's pool spaces are indoor. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Park & Ride</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Miller stated that the submitters' proposal looked excellent. Councillor Watson commented that Council needs to make sure it is doing the right thing for the whole community.</p> <p>Councillor Hasson stated that the various stakeholders need to be in this together and plan something for Rolleston. She is pleased that someone is willing to work alongside Council.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander noted that transport solutions may lead to a Park and Ride being in the Ellesmere or Springs Wards.</p> <p>Staff spoke about the Submitter's Plan noting that there will not be room on the Council building side of the highway for a Park and Ride. The submitter based their plans on the view that they saw signals from Central Government on rail and moved ahead on this as an opportunity. It was noted that the submitter's proposed Park and Ride facility is on the current bus route and that it is a good concept, ticks all the boxes, but relies on other things being confirmed.</p>
Proposed action:	Council's 20 year transportation funding forecast includes a Rolleston Park and Ride in 2031 as a placeholder. The Greater Christchurch Public Transport Committee is currently working on solutions for Council including Park and Ride Facilities

Item:	<i>Outdoor pool space</i>
Proposed action:	Council currently provides outdoor pool space at its community pools in Darfield, Southbridge and Sheffield. Priority for Rolleston's pool spaces are indoor. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Dunsandel public toilets</i>
Proposed action:	This project has been included in Council's recently submitted Tourism Infrastructure Fund application. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Dunsandel tennis court resurface</i>
Proposed action:	Tennis court replacement is allowed for in 2019 / 2020. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Parking in Darfield</i>
Proposed action:	Council currently believe there is sufficient parking within the central Darfield Area. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>High Country Compliance \$100,000</i>
Councillor discussion:	The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors. The Property and Commercial Manager confirmed the role of Council's Rangers who work to the requirements of the RMA. The Chief Executive also referenced discussions between the crossover between DOC and SDC rangers.
Proposed action:	Council has recently employed new Rangers for our district, including a presence for the high country, and as such any further request is not supported.
Item:	<i>Ryton Bay Toilets</i>
Proposed action:	These are currently being progressed for installation prior to the summer season. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Water bottling in Rolleston (feasibility study)</i>
Proposed action:	Council does not support its own intervention into the water bottling market at this time. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Dog Park Rolleston Extension</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Watson noted the current issue with dog training usage and other regular usage. He stated that if Council could install a fence down the middle of the Park and close when the dog training is on his could solve the issue (value of \$7,500). Reference was made to the Reserve Management committee's involvement.</p> <p>Staff noted that this has been an issue for some time noting that the fence may help the vast majority of situations. It is not a 100% solution and may require some discussion with the Reserve Board to implement.</p> <p>General support for this work as reached, with the Property and Commercial Manager indicating that no additional budget was required to be approved and that it could be funded through bringing forward existing budget for Dog Park extension</p>

Proposed action:	The extension to the Dog Park is scheduled for 2019 / 2020. There is general support to proceed with the proposed project with staff to locate a funding budget for this
Item:	<i>Southbridge footpaths</i>
Proposed action:	The Broad Street footpath extension is already included in the township Footpath Upgrade forward programme. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Water recycling</i>
Proposed action:	Further discussion on this item should be referred to Environment Canterbury by the submitter. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Current community centre - teenagers' space</i>
Proposed action:	This request will be referred by Council staff to the Rolleston Town Centre Master Plan Project Champions. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Windwhistle Community build</i>
Proposed action:	Staff suggest that further information on this project is obtained in order to ascertain the nature of the project and what funding contribution is being sought from Council. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Hororata Stormwater</i>
Proposed action:	This project is already on the work list of the recently formed District Wide Stormwater Working Party. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Item:	<i>Recycling Charge (staff report)</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>The Solid Waste Manager spoke to this submission and recent global recycling market changes associated with Chinese legislation. He stated that the latest news is that the price to have materials recycled will rise from the initially forecast \$65 per tonne to \$95 per tonne.</p> <p>The Solid Waste Manager noted that has spoken to other Councils in the region about this issue, noting similar implementation.</p> <p>The Solid Waste Manager stated that he is seeking approval from Council to increase the recycling rate by \$15.50 to \$78.80. The consensus was to increase this to \$80.00 as this may increase again due to the uncertainty of the market.</p> <p>Councillor McEvedy referred to the deterioration the market. Staff spoke to this noting that this is an issue outside Council's control with the cost going to the targeted rate. Council is not passing on all the costs, but a portion of them.</p> <p>Councillor Watson stated that Council should communicate this well within the community and sell it well, as it is the right thing to do.</p>

Proposed action:	Due to the changed nature of international markets, an increase to the solid waste rate is supported, acknowledging partial subsidy of the increase from Council's Solid Waste Reserve Account.
------------------	---

Moved Councillor Watson / **Seconded** Councillor McEvedy

'That Council increase the targeted rate for recycling to \$80.00 for the 2018/2019 financial year.'

CARRIED

Item:	<i>Hororata Parking</i>
Proposed action:	No further work is proposed to be undertaken at this time. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>IZone land extended to provide acoustic barrier</i>
Proposed action:	This request will be referred to Council's Property Committee for further consideration. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Sheffield / Waddington - more street lighting - Curve Road / Waimakariri Road intersection</i>
Proposed action:	The installation of a light will be added to the low cost, low risk Improvements forward programmes.

Item:	<i>District heritage project fund</i>
Proposed action:	If Council agrees to support this request at a rate of \$2 per person, this will require additional general rate funding of \$120,000 per annum. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors. The proposed action not supported by Councillors.

Item:	<i>Cinema Construction</i>
Proposed action:	Council will consider cinema space in the new Rolleston Town Centre. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>District historian or archivist position</i>
Councillor discussion:	Will be addressed in the previously mentioned staff report
Proposed action:	Council does not have plans at present to employ a person in this position at the moment

Item:	<i>Funds from Ritso Street House sales</i>
Councillor discussion:	The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.
Proposed action:	It has previously been resolved by Council that funds from this sale are to support the District's funding needs. The proposed action was agreed to by Councillors.

Item:	<i>WET Support</i>
Councillor discussion:	It is noted that WET is a current strategic partner of Council.
Proposed staff action	Council will again consider its ongoing financial support for this Group

Item:	<i>Outdoor splash pool and paddling pool</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Councillor Reid stated that this was brought up by Residents Association with the first option being Foster Park. She noted her reservations about the a splash pad or outdoor pool at the Rolleston Town Centre as it is not appropriate for a retail area, but rather a water feature would be more appropriate. Councillor Watson noted his agreement with Councillor Reid's comments.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander suggests that opportunities for inclusion of water features be considered by project champions.</p> <p>Mayor Broughton stated there are a huge range of ideas of what this may or may not look like and does not think that Council can give a blanket no.</p> <p>Councillor Miller stated that the consensus seems to be that Council is not in a position to look at a splash pad. Councillor Reid noted her support.</p> <p>Councillor Alexander stated he would like to have some proper advice on this to determine if they are a health hazard and if they require chlorinated water. He stated that the community have asked for it and it shouldn't be discarded without proper advice.</p> <p>The Property and Commercial Manager stated that this could be funded as a Development Contribution item so has put a 'dotted box' around this item for the Selwyn Aquatic Centre extension. He noted no rating analysis has been done around this, and confirmed it would need to be treated water. There are no plans to explore this on any other site.</p> <p>The Property and Commercial Manager then stated that the Project Team will explore water features at the Rolleston Town Centre with the water races nearby. This is still on the table, there will not be a splash pad at the Rolleston Town Centre.</p>
Proposed action:	<p>Council does not support work on a splash pad, or paddling pool in the Rolleston Town Centre, however may look at other water feature items as part of the Master Plan.</p> <p>(Councillor Alexander noted his disagreement of the proposed action.)</p>
Item:	<i>ESSS Development Contributions</i>
Councillor discussion:	The Corporate Service Manager confirmed that every three years Council reviews its growth model as per legislative requirement.
Proposed staff action	Staff will prepare a report detailing recent reviews of Development Contributions.

OPERATIONAL MATTERS ARISING OUT OF THE DRAFT 2018-2028 LONG TERM PLAN HEARINGS

Item:	<i>Dry storage for archives and provision for archive collection</i>
Councillor discussion:	It is agreed that this topic would be included in the staff report which will be provided for consideration by Council
Proposed staff action	Council's Property and Community Services staff will work with community groups to assess both their and Council's needs.

Item:	<i>Springston Planting guards</i>
Councillor discussion:	Councillor Miller raised issues around safe parking. He noted that the Committee had felled trees – and this led to an opportunity to amalgamate parking into the Pony Club area. Councillor Hasson then noted that it has been agreed that the Rugby Club would manage parking, and there would be parallel parking along the road frontage, further stating that there is no availability of parking at the Pony Club. She confirmed that there are now attendants managing parking at the facility as required.
Proposed staff action	Staff will work with the local committee, and also raise the issue of parking.

Item:	<i>2467 Homebush Road - not getting water</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff are investigating. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Longer opening hours at Selwyn Aquatic Centre</i>
Councillor discussion:	Councillor Alexander stated that he does not think this needs to be linked to the extension, and that Council can have a conversation with the community about this. To this Councillor Watson noted that this is not an issue for Councillors to decide, but rather it is an operational issue.
Proposed staff action	This will be reviewed in conjunction with SAC extensions.

Item:	<i>Child care space for lease at pool</i>
Councillor discussion:	Councillors noted that this not be considered part of the SAC extension at this time.
Proposed staff action	This will be not be reviewed in conjunction with SAC extensions.

Item:	<i>Driveways onto Birches Road</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff to review safety aspects of this walkway / cycleway. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Mapping ecologically significant sites</i>
Proposed staff action	This work will be done as part of the District Plan Review. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Sheffield Reserve play equipment</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will further engage with the local Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Leeston Stormwater improvements</i>
Proposed staff action	This project is already on the work list of the recently formed District Wide Stormwater Working Party. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Removal of AA Counter out of Council's HQ office</i>
Proposed staff action	This will be considered as part of the Library / Community Centre Project. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Engagement with KiwiRail</i>
Proposed staff action	The Mayor and Chief Executive have a schedule meeting date with KiwiRail in early July to discuss regional development opportunities which could be funded through the Provincial Growth Fund. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Reporting on water quality</i>
Proposed staff action	Provide submitter with a link to the relevant ECan/CWMS reports. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Storage Shed at Dunsandel Reserve</i>
Proposed staff action	This request will be further discussed with the Reserve Committee, acknowledging underutilised space that exists in the former Dunsandel Community Centre building. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Glentunnel mowing quality</i>
Proposed staff action	Ongoing discussions are being held between the Reserve Committee and the Contract service provider. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Tourism SH72 promotion</i>
Proposed staff action	This will be considered as part of Council's Economic Development Strategy. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Visitor Accommodation Rules</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Crowdfunding</i>
Proposed staff action	This will be further considered as part of Council's Economic Development Strategy. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Lincoln Students living in Selwyn</i>
Proposed staff action	Council will refer to this to District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>EnviroSchools</i>
Proposed staff action	Council continues to support this organisation. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>North Rakaia Rd 45° bend warning</i>
Proposed staff action	Council's roading staff are investigating this request. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Disaster preparedness</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will provide details to the submitter of Selwyn Gets Ready. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Wordsworth Street extension consultation</i>
Councillor discussion:	Councillor Alexander noted this had been raised several times and spoke to the ruling of the Commissioner. He noted that it is a misunderstanding of some of the submitters, and that it needs to be made clear that it is not about the extension happening.
Proposed staff action	The submitter will be forwarded copies of the Commissioner's ruling and current designs for the new Rolleston Town Centre
Item:	<i>Storage of containers (Rolleston Lions)</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will engage in discussions with the submitter to assess the nature of the request. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Meeting room at indoor courts</i>
Proposed staff action	This request will be referred to the Project Champions. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Rock climbing wall at indoor courts</i>
Proposed staff action	This request will be referred to the Project Champions. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Future of Ellesmere Country Club as heritage facility</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>The Property and Commercial Manager noted a resolution passed some years ago about to sell the building, and noted the reasons why it had not yet been sold. He stated that once the MoE is finished with their lease, the Council will look to act upon its resolutions to sell.</p> <p>Councillor Lyall stated that this resolution was passed some years ago, and there had been a number of suggestions from the community about its retention and use and these need to be listened to, and discussions held.</p> <p>The Chief Executive stated comments made will go back to the Property Committee who will take this into account. The Property and Commercial Manager then referred to Eastern Selwyn Community Spaces strategy around centralised facilities referencing the Lincoln Library or Lincoln Events Centre.</p> <p>Councillor Miller referred to the Community Committee's views, noting they would like to retain some of the land along the Liffey stream, stating that this would be a real win for the community.</p>

	Councillor Alexander if Council is going to stand by their original resolution to which the Mayor indicated it would be at present.
	This topic will form part of the Staff report which his to be drafted.
Proposed staff action	This request will be referred to Council's Property Committee
Item:	<i>Cycle way smoothness</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will provide details of the guidelines for cycleway construction and continue to ensure that contractors meet the cycleway construction guidelines. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Civil Defence at Hororata School</i>
Proposed staff action	Council staff will respond to the submitter's request with respect to signage. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action.
Item:	<i>Hartleys sloping land away from well head</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff to investigate further and take appropriate action. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Consideration of the Waitaki Council roading levy on forestry operators</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will provide Council with further advice following discussions with Waitaki Council colleagues. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Traffic movements for Maddisons, Hoskyns, Weedons Ross</i>
Proposed staff action	This information will be provided by staff. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Provide submitter with population growth projection (low - med - high)</i>
Proposed staff action	This information will be provided by staff to the submitter. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Toilet at Tarling Common (Whitecliffs)</i>
Proposed staff action	The site will be visited by Property Staff. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Whitecliffs Bridge Area clean up</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will assess extent of work and relevant cost. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Running cost contributed to by schools district wide pools</i>
Proposed staff action	Community Services staff will provide a response to this question. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Ellesmere Reserve Committee</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Further clarification was sought about who administers the Gamble Estate. The Corporate Services Manager noted that he has undertaken research on this. He stated that in essence, the estate was left to the Domain Board further noted that the Domain Board does not exist – meaning it is Council money however Council does not have any plans to change anything at this point in time.</p> <p>The Chief Executive stated that this is one of the frequently asked questions where more detail needs to be provided. He noted it would come back to Council at the appropriate time.</p>
Proposed staff action	There is currently no proposal to change the way Ellesmere Reserve Committee operates.
Item:	<i>Running cost contributed to by schools district wide pools</i>
Proposed staff action	Community Services staff will provide a response to this question. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Hope Church Development Contributions</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will review this request in accordance with Council's current Policy. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Glentunnel Museum funding maintenance and shelving</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will prepare a report and include this item. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Glentunnel Museum funding maintenance and shelving</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will prepare a report and include this item. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Leeston Park netball and tennis surfaces need resurfacing</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff advise a budget for this exists, but that it sits three years out. It is noted that the courts have deteriorated since the assessment, so this may need to be brought forward. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Gambles Estate</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will provide advice to Council at a future meeting on the allocation of Gamble Estate monies. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Condition of Hector Street, Whitecliffs</i>
Proposed staff action	Council staff will inspect Hector Street and respond as they believe is appropriate Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Submitter question on how townships and recreation reserves are funded</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will provide an appropriate response to the submitter. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Submitter question on cost of water races</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will provide an appropriate response to the submitter. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Kirwee DPR comment on subdivision submission 415</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Servicing land in Prebbleton</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Servicing Land in Tancred's Rd</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Servicing Lincoln North to Tancred's Rd</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>South of Marsh's Rd zone change - industrial</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Rezone Kingcraft Estate submission 70</i>
Proposed staff action	This matter is to be referred to the District Plan Review Committee. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action
Item:	<i>Bike and scooter parking areas on footpaths in Darfield</i>
Councillor discussion:	<p>Mayor Broughton noted that Council may need to look at facilities in all towns. Staff noted that there are conditions in the District Plan DP for cycle parking.</p> <p>Councillor Miller stated that this seems like a good opportunity to reinforce that any new paths and footpath renewals should be built to 2.0m width specification rather than 1.5m wide.</p> <p>Staff responded that this will be addressed as part of the District Plan Review and further noted that 1.5m is the national standard for a footpath and 2.5m is standard for a combined path which has both a cycle and pedestrian function. Regarding footpaths being built to 2.0m width, staff advised that with beyond 1.5m is reliant on the width of the road reserve, but that this will continued to be worked through.</p> <p>Staff noted caution on increasing the size of footpath renewals as this would come at significant cost as this is outside of the scope of a straight overlay. This extra cost is not factored into current budgets. Councillor Miller then suggested that staff could look at this on a case by case basis, and build 2.0m footpaths on greenfield sites.</p>

	Councillor Watson noted his agreement with Councillor Miller. He referred to the surprise of new Rolleston residents that there are not more connected paths. He noted the need for wider and connected pathways to be put into the District Plan for review.
	It was agreed that staff would continue to work on this.
Proposed staff action	For staff to progress in conjunction with the Malvern Community Board and local Committees

Item:	<i>Inform submitter on charging stations for electric cars in Darfield location</i>
Proposed staff action	Staff will advise submitted. Councillors agreed to proposed staff action

Item:	<i>Hororata Hall heating and maintenance</i>
Councillor discussion:	Taken in light of support for the new community facility at Hororata.
Proposed staff action	Council staff will discuss these requests with the Hororata Hall Committee

OTHER COMMENTS

Councillor Miller raised the Remembrance Tree Planning Government Initiative, and perhaps look to plant some trees based on a suggestion by the late former Councillor Peter Hill.

ADDITIONAL DELIBERATION ITEMS

The following additional information was provided by staff

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100349	Southbridge Advisory Committee - request for extra budget in 2018/19 for the reserve development in High St	Bring forward part budget of \$100,000 from 20/21 (from total budget of \$184,880)	Nil – already provided in 10 year plan and part funded via reserve DCs and Crossgates Reserve exchange

Staff confirmed that this is the High Street project. Councillor McEvedy confirmed that the amount requested will not be enough going forward stating that the Committee has \$26,000.

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100075	Coalgate Township Committee want common on Bridge St opposite end of King St added to town mowing schedule (2,000m2)	Land is owned by DoC but forms part of township amenity area	Estimated cost of \$2,000/yr – General Rate funded if added to reserves maintenance contract

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100225	Prebbleton Reserve Committee have asked for \$12,000 for drinking fountains	Possible reallocation of part extension development budget in 18/19 (\$151,500 total)	Nil – reallocation of budget already provided in 10 year plan

Councillor Lyall noted that the Committee has applied to the Foodstuffs fund which has been successful, so this would go some way to funding this project.

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100383	Hororata Reserve Committee – 1. Opex changes requested by Committee to cover maintenance costs 2. Want to consolidate, reallocate and reprogramme some operating projects 3. Requested water supply piping - \$30,000 split over 18/19 and 19/20 4. Requested extra for tennis court re-surfacing (total of \$100,000)	1. Current costs indicate budget needs to be increased - support 2. Support as relatively cost neutral 3. No budget for this or alternative funding source 4. \$33,000 budget in 20/21 – reassess courts as first step	1. Increase of \$8,422 2. Increase of \$862 3. \$30,000 impact on district wide rate 4. Extra \$67,000 impact on district rate

It was noted by staff that the Committee has been overspent for the last couple of years.

Councillor McEvedy referenced water supply piping and asked if that was for irrigation. Staff confirmed this was for 2km of piping to get a supply into the lake, but also for irrigation.

It was noted by staff that the tennis courts will be looked at again due to the high level of funding requested.

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100436	Kirwee Reserve Committee - requested extra \$12,000 for painting and some adjustments of programming of projects	Support programme adjustments Painting costs relate to changing rooms and sports pavilion	A total extra budget of \$24,000 is required. This can be partly covered from a special fund account (balance \$15,800)

Staff noted that most of this work would be possible by moving existing budgets around. Some additional cost around painting of sports pavilion was noted. Staff referred to the use of the special fund account, noting there will be a slight deficit.

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100262	West Melton Reserve Committee raised the following projects: 1. Playground improvements 2. Pathways 3. Car parking space by relocating tennis courts 4. Irrigation well 5. Car park reseal	1. Playground work planned for 19/20 (\$80,000) 2. Pathways planned for 19/20 and as part of extension development 3. Look at tennis court relocation when they reach the end of their economic life (26/27) 4. Well could have problems with water take consent – requires further investigation 5. Bring forward reseal work from 23/24 as requested	1. Nil – already in LTP 2. Nil – already in plan 3. Budget for court resurface in 26/27 (\$274,400) but review project and costs as part of future LTP 4. Budget of \$30,000 in 18/19 for irrigation but extra cost likely for well/water right 5. Nil – already in programme

Councillor Hasson raised the cost of tennis court resurfacing asking what the cost included.

Staff confirmed that most of the funding is available. Councillor Miller asked about the irrigation well. Asked about irrigation consents on wells when Council takes over the land. Further conversation was held.

Councillor McEvedy raised water consents and water rights. A conversation was held around new purchases and the irrigation rights associated those. It was noted that this is an issue in the Selwyn / Waimak area as it is a red zone. It was then noted that you buy water rights, but the cost of this is going up all the time – this needs to be investigated further regarding extending irrigation.

Staff note that there is a budget of \$30,000 for irrigation work, but that this would be unlikely to be sufficient. Staff state that more work needs to be done on this.

Councillor McEvedy stated that Council needs to have a policy on this referring to receiving water as part of the takeover. He commented that the organisation needs to understand what happens to the water. Further conversation was held.

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100409	Whitecliffs Committee - want play equipment for older children at Tarling Common	No budget of funding available for this project – needs further discussion with the community	Budget implications are unclear as no cost estimate provided but likely to be in the order of \$40,000

It was noted that staff that there is no budget for this work and more detail will need to be gained.

Submission#	Request/Issue	Proposed Action/comment	Funding Implications
100424	Darfield Recreation Committee – Have asked for a range of new projects and expenditure items: 1. Power cable to Rugby shed 2. Reserve irrigation - opex 3. Womens toilet refurbishment 4. Tree removal – extra budget 5. Mower/tractor replacements 6. Entrance upgrade – increase budget 7. Machinery maintenance	1. Power cable has some benefit to the reserve - unclear on priority 2. Budget to install auto irrigation system in 20/21 3. Toilet refurbishment follows on from the work completed in 2017/18 and is supported by staff 4. Tree work has budget of \$10,000 and extra \$10,000 sought 5. A total of \$70,000 is sought for mower and tractor replacement. The efficiency of this approach needs to be weighed up against the level of capital investment and the on-going operating costs. 6. Unsure whether the scope of works for this project has changed 7. Assume machinery costs have been met previously from budgets and suggest	It is noted that this account has a current surplus in its opening balance of \$138,000 1. \$10,000 on district rate 2. \$40,000 on district rate 3. \$10,000 on district rate 4. There is special fund account used for machinery replacement with a balance of \$48,372 that could be used for these purchases 5. \$30,000 on district rate 6. Nil – reallocate existing budgets

		reallocation of existing budgets (as always underspent)	
--	--	---	--

Staff confirmed that the toilet refurbishment needs doing. Reference was made to the high cost of mower and tractor replacement which is not supported at present. Questions were asked about rating impact. It was noted that the Committee's operating budget is always underspent.

Further questions asked about plant and equipment costs compared to contract rates to ensure costs were reasonable.

Staff confirmed that further conversations will be held with the each committee.

Councillor Alexander noted the need for rationalisation of plant / equipment across the district when renewals come up.

FINAL COMMENTS

The Corporate Services Manager thanked all of the Councillors for their decisions and the clarity they provided for staff. He noted that the nature of the discussions had been helpful. The Corporate Services Manager then referred to the scale of capital investment which is now well over \$120m in the next 12 months. He noted that Council has ever spent that before, and meeting the programme will be a challenge. He stated that it would be worth thinking about priorities and where staff should put their efforts. The Corporate Services Manager stated that it is an extremely ambitious programme, but funding for it is under control.

The Corporate Services Manager confirmed that staff will now proceed to update the budgets ready for the External Audit the following week. Finance staff will also work with Communications staff to ensure wording changes are captured. The Final 2018 – 2028 Long Term Plan will then be adopted at an Extraordinary meeting on 20 June 2018.

Mayor Broughton thanked the Corporate Services Manager and all staff for their last 18 months worth of work on the Long Term Plan. He also thanked Councillors for their contribution during the process and in consulting with communities.

Councillor Miller referred to ambitious capital programme and said that there is a real risk of not having enough control over budgets. He asked who will have the right of project deferral. The Chief Executive stated that once the Long Term Plan is adopted, it then becomes a decision of Council on whether to defer projects or not.

Councillor Watson referred to the deferral of the indoor courts project. The Chief Executive noted the introduction of project champions and started the ability to flex the timeframe will be through the Project Teams.

The hearings closed at 12.10pm on Thursday 31 May 2018

DATED this 20th day of June. 2018


MAYOR