

**MINUTES OF AN ORDINARY MEETING OF THE
SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER
ON WEDNESDAY 13 NOVEMBER 2024 COMMENCING AT 1.00PM**

PRESENT

Mayor S T Broughton; Councillors S N O H Epiha, L L Gliddon, G S F Miller, M B Lyall, P M Dean, S G McInnes, E S Mundt, N C Reid and R H Mugford

IN ATTENDANCE

Mrs S Mason (Chief Executive); Messrs. S Gibling (Executive Director People, Culture & Capability), R Love (Executive Director Development & Growth), T Heine (Advisor to the Mayor), T Mason (Executive Director Infrastructure and Property), T Harris (Executive Director Enabling Services), M England (Head of Asset Management), G McNicholl (Senior Development Engineer), M McGrath (Chief Digital Officer), A Mazey (Strategic Transport Lead), J Richmond (Head of Sport and Recreation), K Narang (Head of Capital Works), R Raymond (Acting Communications Manager); Mesdames D Kidd (Executive Director Community Services & Facilities), N Sutton (Head of Community Policy & Strategy), P Parata-Goodall (Pou Kaiāwhā - Executive Cultural Advisor), R Phillips (Commercial Manager - Property and Investments), T Davel (Senior Governance Advisor), J Gallop (Executive Assistant to Executive Directors PCC and D&G), A Sneddon (Chief Financial Officer), E McLaren (Acting Head of Operation Delivery (Water, Roading & Resource Rec), J Hands (Head of Legal and Risk), S Spicer (PA to ED Community Services and Facilities); and C Bennet (Governance Coordinator)

The meeting was livestreamed.

APOLOGIES

Apologies were received in respect of Councillor Hasson and Ms McKay

Moved – Councillor Epiha/ **Seconded** – Councillor Mugford

‘That the Council receives the apologies of Councillor Hasson and Ms McKay, as notified.’

CARRIED

The Mayor acknowledged the contributions of Graeme McNicholl, Senior Development Engineer, who was a judge at the Canterbury Westland Contractor of the Year awards. He thanked Mr McNicholl for his continuing commitment to the district and partner agencies.

IDENTIFICATION OF ANY EXTRAORDINARY BUSINESS

None.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

Transwaste Canterbury Limited

Attendees: Gil Cox (Chair), Greg Slaughter (General Manager), Hayden Leach (Regional Manager) and Jeremy Parker (Commercial Manager)

Mr Cox explained that a fuller presentation will be circulated in due course and that this presentation is a briefer version, focussing on sustainability, what it means to Transwaste, and other initiatives and financials. Some points of note in the presentation included:

- for the first time, part of the landfill now has its final cover
- its capacity is half full, and with the consent until 2039 it is on track based on current volumes to be able to provide adequate capacity to meet demand;
- acknowledgement of Gareth James who passed away this year, and the legacy he left of Kate Valley;
- the organisation is in the process of a governance review, which will be discussed with each Council, with the aim for the new governance model to be in place by early next year. No large changes are expected but rather updates to make it more streamlined and efficient.
- Difference between this highly engineered landfill, and more traditional "dump" landfill, is the energy generated, and the methane destroyed. Landfill gas, which contains about 50% methane is collected and the methane used to produce electricity. In future it is hoped that CO2 can be used as well.
- Currently restricted by how much power can be accessed. Have previously expected a windfarm supply, but have been waiting for this for 18 years now, so a possibility is for Transwaste to build a power line
- Noted that Transwaste does not oppose new facilities or see them as competition - but does consider it important that they are compliant.

Questions and discussion included:

- The increase in diesel usage recorded is due to measurements now including the diesel used by contractors as well as by Transwaste itself;
- Request for another site visit for elected members
- The long term plan for Kate Valley includes an after care requirement for 25 years, which initially involves continuing to deal with leachate and gas until inert. There is also an intention to duplicate the landfill in the next valley over, subject to consent, which would be an efficient way to take advantage of existing infrastructure such as roading, platforms, pipelines, etc

Selwyn Waihora Zone Committee Annual Update

Attendees: Matt Dodson via Zoom (Chair), Allanah Kidd via Zoom (deputy chair) and Jaimee Grant in person (ECan)

The presentation covered the focus areas for the year which included:

- Community engagement via screening of film "Six Inches of Soil"
- Focus on groundwater quality
- Supporting freshwater outcomes
- Onsite wastewater management systems
- Initiatives been undertaken by Hekeao Hinds Water Enhancement Trust
- Holding well water testing events
- Waikirikiri/Selwyn River recharge project – planning to discuss with ECan the targeted rate that is collected towards that and which appears not to have been used since 2021

Discussion afterwards included a request for further screenings of "Six Inches of Soil" and acknowledgement of the comprehensive presentation

PUBLIC FORUM

Susan Farmer

Climate Change

Ms Farmer took her paper as read, and quoted Mark Twain "It is easier to deceive people than convince them they have been deceived". She noted she had previously presented to Council regarding concerns about Climate Change Survey, and was now sharing further information as something Ms Farmer would want to know if she was in same position as Councillors, by way of alerts (for example, alerting that organisations such as WHO, International Monetary Fund are not working in the interests of people, but seeking to channel wealth from general populace to higher powers), and providing a collage of facts, to act as a catalyst to raise questions. Ms Farmer wished to encourage discernment, urged the Councillors to do unto others as you would have done to yourself, and to step aside from managed narratives, and take courage to protect rights of members of Selwyn community.

Questions and discussion included:

- A question regarding how rates distribution goes to wealthy. Ms Farmer noted that tax is a corporate model, and is imposed by corporations to channel wealth
- Interest in examples of Councillors been deceived Ms Farmer noted her concerns regarding the Climate Change Survey

Ms Farmer was thanked for her presentation

Mark Alexander

Annual Plan Consultation

Mr Alexander explained he was here today asking for there to be consultation on the Annual Plan. His points included:

- The Rolleston Resident Association ("RRA) had unanimously agreed that they considered there should be consultation Annual Plan
- RRA had also asked for review of Annual Report by SDC for options to reduce rates.
- Reminding SDC that the funds proposed to be reallocated for transport are rate payer funds, and should be consulted on
- He acknowledged there is no legal requirement for consultation, and it is more convenient for staff to not consult, but given concerns about rates rises, he considered this created a moral imperative to consult. He could see no indication in the agenda that SDC is trying to reduce rates. Noted SDC does not need to adhere to LTP budgets, but instead try and reduce rates. Priority should be representation (not staff convenience), and the budget is not owned by Council, but by ratepayers.

Discussion included:

- Thanks to Mr Alexander for providing this reminder, and thanks for his work with RRA

- Noting the consultation effort already required on other matters, and asking if there is a compromise consultation process we could do.
- Mr Alexander noted concerns from those with a fixed income, some of whom may consider leaving Selwyn. Affordability needs to be a priority. Consultation provides assurance that listening to community.

Geoff Gabites

Cycle Way Proposal

Mr Gabites explained he was here to provide input towards the Cycle Way trail feasibility study. He owns the business Cycle Journeys, which offers cycle trail tours, and employs 50-60 staff. He is a board member of NZ Cycleways Board.

He noted the potential benefits of cycleways, including three trails that since 2012 have gone on to generate \$951million in benefits, compared to the cruise sector which generated only 60% of this. Trails have been shown to provide business, tourism, sport and recreation benefits, and return on investment by central and local government funds.

Mr Gabites observations on the feasibility study for Waikirikiri Alpine to Sea Trail and Te Waihora Lakeside Trail were:

1. What is on table is two trails. He recommends we should choose one on based on better return on investment, and suggests that multi-day rides usually generate a better return on investment
2. The alpine and plains section both have a very high cost of construction per kilometre compared to other trails. Part of this cost appears to be the choice of seal/cover
3. Concerns about name – he suggests we should not use an iteration of “Alps to Ocean” given both trademark issues and confusion with others trails. He urged Council to be brave and come up with a better name.

In conclusion, cycle trails are proven to be profitable and greatly appreciated by ratepayers but his advice is to choose one not both, and he suggests costing options could be two thirds of the projected cost.

Questions and discussion included:

- Choice of seal cover and noting a trail should be built for its environment;
- Discussion of trusts and other fundraising models, including funding for ongoing maintenance. Mr Gabites noted that funds cannot typically be collected from riders (eg, no single-entry point and other issues).
- Discussion of possibility where local businesses help fund. Mr Gabites noted that while this would be good, it is not easy to obtain. Cycle Journeys is progressive by including levy on luggage transport.
- Noting that money spent by riders goes into accommodation, food, shuttles, and staying on in the district for other activities

Mr Gabites was thanked and asked if he could send his notes to Councillors

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Noted at the top of page 35 Minutes of the Planning & Climate Change Committee that the Accessibility Audit was to be discussed. Accessibility has been included in the Terms of Reference for the new Housing and Urban Development Subcommittee.

Moved – Councillor Mugford / **Seconded** – Councillor Lyall

1. **Minutes of the ordinary meeting of the Selwyn District Council held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 23 October 2024.**

'That the Council confirms the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the Selwyn District Council held on Wednesday 23 October 2024.'

2. **Minutes of the Planning & Climate Change Committee held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 24 July 2024.**

*'That the Council confirms the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the **Planning & Climate Change Committee** held on Wednesday 24 July 2024.'*

3. **Minutes of the Community Services Committee held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 19 June 2024.**

*'That the Council confirms the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the **Community Services Committee** held on Wednesday 19 June 2024.'*

4. **Minutes of the Transport & Infrastructure Committee held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 3 April 2024.**

*'That the Council confirms the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the **Transport & Infrastructure Committee** held on Wednesday 3 April 2024.'*

5. **Minutes of the Springs Ellesmere Discretionary Fund Committee held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 11 September 2024.**

*'That the Council confirms the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the **Springs Ellesmere Discretionary Fund Committee** held on Wednesday 11 September 2024.'*

6. **Minutes of the Rolleston Discretionary Fund Committee held in the Council Chamber on Wednesday 11 September 2024.**

*'That the Council confirms the minutes of the ordinary meeting of the **Rolleston Discretionary Fund Committee** held on Wednesday 11 September 2024.'*

CARRIED

MATTERS REQUIRING ATTENTION

None.

REPORTS

1. Mayor

Mayor's Report

Taken as read, with the following highlights noted -

- The Launch of Kai Aku Rika | Economic Development Strategy, including the Integrated Strategy Award at the Economic Development New Zealand Best Practice Awards it had received.
- The Kiwirail MOU
- Mayoral forum working with Business Canterbury

Moved – Mayor Broughton / **Seconded** – Councillor Lyall

'That Council receives the Mayor's Report for October 2024 information.'

CARRIED

2. Chief Executive

Chief Executive's Report

Taken as read with attention drawn to:

- (b) retirement of Rex Williams
- (c) proposed meeting schedule (noting some adjustments will be made for the committee meetings)
- (d) notes regarding international swaps and derivatives; and
- (e) an update on KPI's five months into the financial year

Moved – Councillor Dean / **Seconded** – Councillor Epiha

'That Council:

- (a) Receives the Chief Executive's report for information.*
- (b) Delegate to the Chief Executive Officer to commence the recruitment process for a Canterbury Museum Trust Board member.*
- (c) Adopt the proposed meeting schedule for 2025.'*

CARRIED

3. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Update November 2024

Executive Director People, Culture & Capability

Acknowledgement of focus and thanks to Councillors for attention and facilitation given to Health and Safety.

The report was taken as read, noting:

- Maturity will be a recurring report topic, but the direction of travel is positive towards transparency and lifting performance
- commencement of leadership walk arounds. This is not an audit, but to gain understanding of how work is done. Next year Councillors will be invited to attend these walk arounds.

Discussion included:

- health and safety of volunteers will be considered as part of the work done regarding workplace
- commendation of staff on this initiative, particularly the transparency, commitment and effort
- noting that the most important thing we can do is looking after the safety of our staff and our community.
- Noting the difference between work as imagined and work as done.
- Noting that Crs can set the culture.

Councillor Miller was out of the Chambers from 2.33pm to 2.36pm

Moved – Councillor Epiha / **Seconded** – Councillor Lyall

‘That Council receives the Health, Safety and Wellbeing Update November 2024 Report.’
CARRIED

4. 2024 - 2027 National Land Transport Fund Allocation

Transportation Asset Planning Manager

Both papers discussed at previous workshop and feedback was incorporated. There was a discussion about funding, with a councillor proposing reduction of council’s contribution and rather do less.

Moved - Councillor Miller / **Seconded** – Councillor Mundt

‘That Council reduce the Maintenance, Operations and Renewals and Road Safety Promotion Transportation budget totals for financial years 2024/25 – 2026/27 to the levels confirmed in the NLTP allocated funding (with local share of 49% of reduced programmes).’

FAILED

Original recommendation vote on.

Moved – Councillor McInnes / **Seconded** – Councillor Epiha

‘That Council:

- a) Notes the final allocations from NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi (NZTA) on Council’s Continuous Transportation Programmes, including Maintenance, Operations and Renewals (MOR) and Road Safety Promotion (RSP), for the 2024-27 NLTP period;*
- b) Commits the budgeted local share portion of the Continuous Programmes budget for 2024-27 as proposed in the 2024-34 LTP to Council’s respective activities in the 2024- 27; and*
- c) Approves that the Council’s Continuous Programmes in 2024-27 will be adjusted based on a revised budget consisting of:*

- *the committed local share above; and*
- *NZTA subsidies based on NZTA approved allocations.'*

CARRIED

Councillors Miller, Gliddon and Mundt against

Moved – Councillor Epiha / **Seconded** – Councillor Mugford

'That the meeting extends past two hours to continue the discussion on the transportation papers.'

CARRIED

5. Adjusted Council 2024 - 2027 Transport Improvement Programme

Transportation Asset Planning Manager

The paper provided was summarised and Council talked about the risks of each option. Under option 2 (postpone project that did not receive funding and proceed with funded LTP projects) some projects would not proceed. It was noted that it was disappointing that government had not chosen to invest in high-growth areas with needs for infrastructure but council agreed with its communities to proceed with projects. The cost to ratepayers is ongoing rate rises.

Moved – Councillor Lyall / **Seconded** – Councillor Reid

'That Council:

- a) Acknowledge the reduced 2024-27 National Land Transport Programme funding for Council's Transport Improvement Programme, including major capital projects and Low Cost Low Risk projects;*
- b) Commits the Councils 2024-27 budgeted local share portion of the Transport Improvement Programme, as provided in the 2024-34 Long Term Plan, to undertake Council's transport improvement activities in 2024-27;*
- c) Approves the updated 2024-27 Transport Improvement Program and budgets as detailed in this report; and*
- d) Acknowledges the likely need for further programme adjustments and funding considerations to manage the deliverability and affordability of the Transport Improvement Programme through future Annual and Long-Term Plans processes.'*

CARRIED

Councillors Miller, Gliddon and Mundt against

6. Annual Plan 2025/26 Variance and Consultation Options

Executive Director Enabling Services

Staff noted the recent LTP had a record number of submitters and underwent a rather comprehensive consultation process only 6 months ago. With no material changes there was no obligation to consult.

Council's legal advisor noted there was no legal requirement to consult. There will be communication and explanations about why council decides not to consult, and the community will remain engaged and communicated with.

To consult next year would require a very intensive work programme from staff. There was a comment that there might be a moral requirement to consult, and the suggestion was made to include all consultation items into one programme of work.

Councillor Miller noted his intention to move an amendment to consult on an Annual Plan. He said the LTP process did not go well, wasn't audited, the IT systems didn't work, and it all caused an upset in the community. Council briefly discussed the matter with other views offered including that Council did listen to its community during the LTP process.

Moved (an amendment) – Councillor Miller / **Seconded** – Councillor Gliddon

'That Council while not legally required, Council may exercise its discretion to undertake consultation, and historically SDC has consulted on the Annual Plan immediately preceding a Long-Term Plan.'

FAILED

Original recommendation voted on:

Moved – Councillor Lyall / **Seconded** – Councillor Dean

'That Council:

- a) Receives the report Annual Plan 2025/26 Variances and Consultation Options.*
- b) Resolves to deliver an amended work programme for year 2 of the Long-Term Plan 2024 -2034 as set out in Attachment A to this report*
- c) Notes that the amended work programme does not invoke a requirement to amend the Long Term 2024- 2034.*
- d) Resolves to not undertake consultation in respect of the Annual Plan for 2025 as any changes from Year Two of the Long-Term Plan are not significant or material.'*

CARRIED

Councillors Miller and Gliddon against

7. Council Controlled Organisation Implementation

Executive Director Infrastructure and Property

Attendee: Natalie McClew of PriceWaterhouse Coopers via Zoom

Acknowledgement made of the team that has contributed to this work.

In summary:

- There is a legislative requirement to submit our plans for water by September 2025

- Requirements are still evolving, so we need to remain nimble. In particular, we expect to see further requirements around regulation and finance;
- Workshops were held to identify options. Some options were discounted due to cost, lack of available partnerships and inefficiencies. The shortlisted options became Council Delivered (status quo) and CCO. CCO emerged as recommended option due to factors including, water quality, resilience, immediate certainty for staff and community, and future proofing for regional collaboration
- The aim is to consult on CCO at start of 2025, with establishment by 1 July 2025
- Team has faced significant disruption regarding local water requirements so it will be good to get certainty

Important to note that this report is not asking for approval to implement a CCO, but only to consult regarding potential implementation. A decision paper would come to Council following consultation.

Discussion included:

- who decides on our partners? SDC has spoken to many proximate councils, who so far are not interested in partnering. Future decisions to partner would come back to Council
- how would Council and the community have input into how the CCO was run? This would be similar to how we operate with Corde, by way of a letter of expectation developed by Councillors. Noted that the water subcommittee meeting earlier this morning discussed a charter that community has input into
- interest in funding options and advice

Moved – Councillor McInnes / **Seconded** – Councillor Dean

‘That Council:

- (a) agrees to progress with and fund preliminary investigations and steps toward the establishment of a Council Controlled Organisation for water services with an expectation that the model is consulted on next year and decision paper brought to Council post consultation.*
- (b) notes the requirements for Selwyn District Council from Local Water Done Well legislation, including delivery of a Water Services Delivery Plan and changes to requirements for water services delivery*
- (c) agrees to fund the development of the Water Services Delivery Plan and proposed establishment of the CCO up to \$2 million in line with this paper, as required by legislation;*
- (d) agrees to delegate oversight of the activities referred to in (a) and (b) to the Local Water Done Well subcommittee; and*
- (e) agrees that Council can hire a CCO establishment Board chair.’*

CARRIED

8. Waikirikiri Alpine to Sea Trail & Te Waihora Lakeside Trail Feasibility Study

Head of Capital Works

Strategic Transport Lead

Presentation included the following points:

In concept since 2009

1. Can get a route through High Country
2. Options – don't proceed (moved past this via LTP)
3. Option 2 – fund all sections (not realistic - \$60m for both trails)
4. Option 3 – recommended (coordinate with others to deliver this. SDC experienced at some aspects, others to be involved). Will take a decade to implement

Feasibility Study Findings

- Gap in Canterbury/Selwyn
- Successful in other regions
- Te Waihora is a taonga to be showcased
- Presented on feasibility study process – while reached out to mana whenua, need to engage further with them
- Alpine to Sea is a placeholder name, until decided with mana whenua engagement
- Maps of proposed trails (high country, plains, lakeside), followed by their respective highlights and cost benefit ratios, and challenges
- [insert costs] High country
- Assumed 50:50 local:central govt (tourism trails noted by govt as an investment)
- Plains – recommended as sealed as will get commuters, children, high use.
- Challenges (DOC requirements, age of Bealey bridge, Mana Whenua engagement, flooding, terrain)
- Governance and management models (make up of model may change over time during different phases of trail)
- For High country, recommend independent trust model
- For plains section, recommend local govt asset
- Lakeside –Independent trust model, could be led by Waihora Ellesmere Trust who is interested
- Next steps – trial plan demonstrates complexity and planning required over a decade.
- Establish development properties (no cost)
- Trial governance assessment (\$100k)
- Appoint dedicated project manager (1m over 10 years)

There were several questions from Councillors and a brief discussion on the topic, with most being appreciative of the work undertaken.

Councillor Lyall left the meeting at 5.05pm

Councillor Mugford was out of the Chambers from 5.07pm to 5.09pm

Moved – Councillor Epiha / **Seconded** – Councillor McInnes

'That Council:

- a) *Receives the Feasibility Study; and*
- b) *Notes the next steps in the project to establish;*
 - *A Governance Model*

- *Development Priorities*
- *A dedicated Project Manager.'*

CARRIED

Councillor Mundt voted against

Meeting adjourned for a break from 5.23pm and 5.33pm

9. Sheffield Memorial Pool Community Consultation

Head of Sport and Recreation

Acknowledgement and thanks to the Marketing and Communications team, the Legal team, and Nicola Sutton for their input into this report.

In summary, Part 1.1 of the recommendation is required to allow the Council to legally transfer asset, and Parts 1.2 to 1.4 are to obtain further helpful information. The draft consultation document has had improved photos added, and some typos corrected.

Discussion included:

- Confirming it does need to go to consultation, as it is a strategic asset and will mean reduced services.
- Noted there is a clear end date to the process for either transfer or demolition
- Discussed and agreed that the hearings could be held by a panel of 3 councillors in Sheffield, and then come to the full council for final decision.
- Malvern Community Board will be offered a position on the panel, but would need to choose between being on the panel, or making a submission to the panel

Moved – Councillor Gliddon / **Seconded** – Councillor Mundt

'It is recommended that the Council:

1. adopt the Statement of Proposal¹ for public consultation on the Sheffield Memorial Pool, using the special consultative procedure in section 83 of the Local Government Act 2002. The questions to be consulted on are:

1. Do you support the transition of the Sheffield Memorial Pool asset from Council ownership and operation to a community-run legal entity?
 - a. Yes/No
 - b. Please add your comments
2. Are you aware of a community-run legal entity that you consider suitable to own and operate the Sheffield Memorial Pool?
 - a. Yes/No
 - b. Please add your comments
3. Are you interested in helping this group with the ongoing operation of this facility?
 - a. Yes/No
 - b. Please add your comments

4. Do you have any other comments about the Sheffield Memorial Pool?
- a. Please add your comments
2. **endorse** the proposed process for public consultation, as set out in Appendix 1, which will take place between 22 November 2024 and 14 February 2025.
3. **appoint** Councillors Dean, Mundt and Mugford, if they wish, a Malvern Community Board Member to a Hearings Panel for oral submissions to be heard between the 26-27 February 2025.

CARRIED

10. Review of the Dog Control Bylaw and Policy 2012

Head of Regulatory

This is to retain the existing bylaw.

Moved – Councillor Miller / **Seconded** – Councillor Epiha

'That Council resolves to retain the existing Dog Control Bylaw 2012 without amendment.'

CARRIED

11. Dog Control Policies and Practices Report

Animal Control Team Leader

This is an annual requirement under the Dog Control Act, and is taken as read

Moved – Councillor Epiha / **Seconded** – Councillor McInnes

'That the Council:

- i) *Receives and adopts this report covering 'The Dog Control Policy and Practices Report' for the period 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024;*
- ii) *That the report is notified in the Council Call (Selwyn Times); and*
- iii) *Resolves to send this report to the Secretary for Local Government within one month of adoption.'*

CARRIED

12. Council Submission of Exposure of Natural Hazard Information in Land Information Memoranda

Senior Policy Planner

There is a proposal to record natural hazards on LIMs in way that is clear and consistent across country and reduce ambiguity and Council risk. Council has made a submission on this proposal noting that time will be needed to change processes following adoption of this proposal and requesting some changes including consistency with the RMA. The

submission also notes that plain language summaries can create some risk. This submission can be withdrawn if not endorsed today by Council.

A question was raised asking if this creates exposure for the Council for failing to advise of risks, and the response was that it presents no more risk than we are already exposed to, as the proposed changes are about consistency.

Moved – Councillor McInnes / **Seconded** – Councillor Epiha

'That Council:

(a) Receives this report and;

(b) Endorses the attached submission on exposure draft of regulations for natural hazard information in land information memoranda (LIMs).'

CARRIED

13. Authority to Grant Leases Over Recreation Reserves

Head Acquisitions, Disposals and Leasing

Taken as read, noted that after the meeting it would be good to discuss associated costs.

Moved – Councillor Epiha / **Seconded** – Councillor Gliddon

'That Council in accordance with the delegation of powers dated 27 June 2013 conferred on it by the Minister of Conservation in relation to Section 54(1) of the Reserves Act 1977, agrees to the granting of leases described within this Report, for the following:

Reserve	Lessee	Legal Description	Area (m²)	Held in record of Title	Purpose	Term	Plan shown in Appendix
Weedons Recreation Reserve	Weedons Cricket Club	Part RES 2357 and Part RES1596	324	Gazette Notice 1985 p2166	Seating	10 years with two rights of renewal of 10 years each	A
Kirwee Recreation Reserve	Kirwee Players	Part Reserve 2416	115		Existing Building and Storage Container	10 years with two rights of renewal of 10 years each	B

CARRIED

GENERAL BUSINESS

None.

MATTERS RAISED IN PUBLIC FORUM

None.

Due to there being no public excluded reports on the agenda the confirmation of previous meetings public excluded minutes is recorded here.

Confirmation of Public Excluded Minutes

Moved – Councillor Mugford / **Seconded** – Councillor McInnes

'That the Council confirms the public excluded minutes of the ordinary meeting of the Transport & Infrastructure Committee held on Wednesday 3 April 2024.'

'That Council confirms the public excluded minutes of an ordinary meeting of the Selwyn District Council held on Wednesday 23 October 2024.'

CARRIED

With no further business being discussed, the meeting closed at 5.49pm.

DATED this 11 day of December 2024



CHAIRPERSON