



SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL

Draft Long Term Plan

2018 - 2028

SUBMISSION BOOKLET ONE
Submissions 100003 - 100092



Address: 112 Irvines Road Rd2 Leeston Leeston 7682

Postal Address: 112 Irvines Road, Dunsandel

Phone (day): 0211800384

Phone (mobile): 0211800384

Email: nzmevans@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Yes. I believe we should have a new dog park in Selwyn, preferably in Rolleston. I am a member of the Selwyn dog training club, which is based at the dog park in Rolleston. We use the park 3 nights a week for training and have constant issues with members of the public and their dogs who come into our training space and let their dogs disrupt our training. Often these dogs are aggressive as are the owners, who are not happy about us using the park. We are trying to run a community service and all our members are volunteers but it is too hard with the problems we have from the public. Another dog park in Rolleston would address this issue. I absolutely LOVE the fact that dogs can be exercised off leash at most Selwyn parks and that suits me and my dogs well because they are well trained. However, most of the dogs who come to the dog park do not have a recall and their owners don't want to let them off leash in an unfenced park. So it's not an option for them to exercise their dogs somewhere else. thanks Meredith

[100004] - Mrs Helena Anderson ³

Address: 34 Durrell Way Rolleston 7614
Postal Address: 34 Durrell Way Rolleston
Phone (day): 0212948862
Phone (mobile): 0212948862
Email: helenagnys@hotmail.com

Submission

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28 –29)

I agree that we need a more extensive footpath network in Rolleston, especially with such a large population of children in the area. One particular area of concern is Tennyson Street. With the additional retail etc going into Tennyson Street this road is just getting busier. The corner of Tennyson and Bronte Way is very dangerous to cross and there is no option to walk on one side as due to the water race there is no footpath. In addition to a footpath here, I feel a pedestrian crossing also needs to be looked at for this corner, or at the very least a halfway stopping point in the road to assist crossing.

[100005] - Mrs Caroline Burnett ⁴

Address: 24 Blue Jean Ave Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: As above

Phone (day): 02102916050

Phone (mobile): 02102916050

Email: gc.burnett@hotmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

I disagree with the above proposal. I also think that the council should only support a small core group of halls, reserves and community centres as rates are already very expensive and seem to be constantly increasing. The council needs to be mindful re the nice to have and the need to have.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

Again a nice to have. I am not sure why there seems to be such a rush for the above proposal in terms of construction times. Perhaps if the council just saved a bit each year for such projects until they had enough it may be less of an impact on our rates.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

I disagree with this proposal. Perhaps a private/public funding model may lessen the impact on rates and ongoing maintenance costs.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

I agree to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

Totally disagree.

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

I agree.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

I disagree. People make decisions where they will live and know what facilities are available when making these decisions.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

I disagree.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling⁵ Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28 –29) I agree.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

The ratepayer cannot keep paying for ongoing rises year on year.

As a general comment in regards to essential infrastructure. I support a light rail commuter service between Rolleston and ChCh. The existing bus service is like going on a con tiki tour ie stops at many points and takes too long to reach central ChCh. The volume of road traffic makes the timing of the service not that reliable.

In regards to the bus express service: it is quite slow and does not offer a great service on the return journey back to Rolleston in terms of timing and bus scheduling. It takes easily over 75mins for the journey with current traffic volumes and the number of stops to pick people up. The bus time tabling for the return journey offers the latest available express service at 4.55pm leaving the central bus interchange. This is a ludicrous time as many office workers don't finish work until after this time. The next available bus from the central city - a non express service - to Rolleston after the above 4.55pm service is offered at 5.30pm and interestingly takes a similar time for the journey back to Rolleston. This indicates to me the express bus service is not that express!

To encourage a more cohesive transport policy would seem a vital aspect of district planning and an essential part of the Selwyn District Council infrastructure programme. As such I think light rail would help achieve a more integrated transport approach.

[100006] - Rosalie Snoyink

6

Address: Glentunnel Not provided Glentunnel
Postal Address: Not provided
Phone (day): Not provided
Phone (mobile):
Email: rsnoyink@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission

Redirected from Water Supply Bylaw Review -

I returned from holiday this week to find a letter from you informing me that the Malvern Hartleys Rd water supply is to be chlorinated.

I am vehemently opposed to chlorine treatment and request the Council cease this `upgrade` and continue with the UV system.

Your letter states there has been no contamination transgressions therefore our family sees no need to chlorinate.

It is wrong that those of us who have been concerned about water pollution, especially over the last 20yrs as a result of unmanaged dairy farming and intensive agriculture, now face the cost of cleaning up water. We mourn the fact that it is now not safe to swim in most of the rivers, streams and lakes in Selwyn, a shocking heritage for Council decision makers. Please don't add to the list of transgressions by chlorinating our water.

Rosalie Snoyink Glentunnel

[100007] - Matt & Findabair Joynes⁷

Address: Not provided Not provided Not Provided

Postal Address: Not provided

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: matt.joynes@gmail.com

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review:

We would like to object to the proposed chlorination and/or fluoridation of the Whitecliffs water supply for the following reasons:

1) We are subjected to more and more chemicals in our daily lives and as a family, we make an effort to reduce the amount of exposure as a lifestyle choice. By adding these chemicals to the supply restricts our choices and freedoms further and potentially increases our living costs should we need to seek other sources of fresh water.

2) A number of studies link chlorine to various cancers, such as bladder, rectal, and breast cancers. With the addition of fluoride, there is the worry of dental and skeletal fluorosis reported in some studies. While the proposed amounts added to the water may be within currently recognized safe levels, I'm concerned about long-term exposure to my family - especially with all the other chemical sources we are subjected to on a daily basis.

3) The change in taste is obvious and we have always been fortunate to have great tasting water in our township. With the recent chlorination of our water supply, the taste has become noticeably unpleasant. -----

Many thanks for your time, Matt & Findabair Joynes

[100008] - Annette Collins

Address: Glentunnel Glentunnel Not provided
Postal Address: Glentunnel
Phone (day):
Phone (mobile):
Email: collinspaul270@gmail.com

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review:

Dear Sir

I am writing in response to the councils decision to permanently chlorinate our perfectly good water supply!! I am 66yrs old and have lived in Glentunnel all my life and have had no health problems from our water. I was brought up on water race water as a child and have been using the water scheme since it was introduced. I would rather boil water occasionally or have it shock treated when needed than have permanent chlorination. Chlorinated water dries out my skin and I develop a very itchy rash!!

I am asking the Council to defer its decision for a year and then consult with the community by public meeting before making any decision on permanent chlorination if they think it is still necessary.

Thanking you in anticipation

[100009] - Mr Greg Bluck

9

Address: Not provided Not provided Not provided
Postal Address: Not provided
Phone (day):
Phone (mobile):
Email: greg.bluck@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review:

We want you to stop the chlorination and fluoridisation in our water. Here are our reasons why we do not need fluoridisation: A report from the world's oldest and most prestigious medical journal, the Lancet, has officially classified fluoride as a neurotoxin; "known to cause developmental neurotoxicity in Human Beings" in the same category as arsenic, lead, mercury, toluene, DDT and PCBs. [1]

In the Lancet Report "untested chemicals should not be presumed to be safe to brain development, and chemicals in existing use and all new chemicals must therefore be tested for developmental neurotoxicity."

We would prefer to be notified as to and when needed to boil our water. Chlorination and fluoridation of our water is not good for our microfauna (septic tanks) and flora, it is bad for the animals who drink our water, we are sick of smelling 'swimming pool' water in our kitchen water, shower water, and toilet water, and the taste of the water when drinking is just foul and makes me heave.

As a council, it is your responsibility to listen to your citizens' voices.

Kind regards, Greg, Anne, George, William, Henry, Thomas, Harriet Bluck. [1] Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. Lancet (2014), [https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/laneur/PIIS1474-4422\(13\)70278-3.pdf](https://www.thelancet.com/pdfs/journals/laneur/PIIS1474-4422(13)70278-3.pdf)

Address: Whitecliffs Whitecliffs 7673

Postal Address: Whitecliffs

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: jazmology@gmail.com

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review:

I'm writing to submit my concerns over the recent chlorination of our water supply.

Firstly I'm dismayed at the lack on communication and consultation around this significant change in our community. To my mind our representatives on the council have let us down in allowing this to be implemented without warning. We discovered that our water had been chlorinated through noticing that it smelled funny and tasted horrible. After a number of my neighbours has phoned the council we have seen a letter that was posted to some households in our township, but not all - this raises further concerns of the manner in which decisions are being made in our local council - did council attempt to sneak this change in hoping nobody would notice? or is our council just incompetent at communicating to the wider community?

My first concern for having our water supply chlorinated is really in relation to the need - is there a need for this really? if so lets see the evidence clearly communicated please! If the water supply is adequately treated using the UV treatment system installed then why the need to also Chlorinate? Chlorination tastes crap, and smells bad! If our water needs chlorination, firstly tell us why, then I could potentially accept that treating it with both UV and Chlorine may be necessary. As it stands it seems to me that the council is really just covering their own backs rather than providing for their community here.

I am further concerned to hear that our water supply could be Fluoridated. My Children have brushed their teeth from infancy using fluoridated toothpaste on advice from our health practitioners and have really healthy teeth - we are really not supportive of the fluoridation of our water supply and would prefer council not to meddle where is un requested and unwanted.

If the water supply is at risk due to contaminants then please deal with the cause first! If a small number of individuals are not fencing their stock from the river upstream of our water supply, or if there are other reasons for the water supply contamination the council should be dealing with these causes first, before directly affecting the quality of the drinking water for a large number of other members of our communities.

Finally I am concerned over the potential extra costs this may cause for us living in this district. My family and I moved here and installed a wastewater treatment system that is quite sensitive to chemicals - this was a major cost to us (over \$20000 in including installation), we know of many other people with similar systems. We are not aware of whether chlorine will impact this system or not - but would hope our council consider finding out whether this change in our water supply might impact these systems. On top of this we are concerned that we'll need to purchase water filtration systems for our property which has an initial cost and ongoing costs that were not present while our water was fresh tasting. If council perseveres with chlorination then I'd like them to consider providing grants for water filtration systems and wastewater treatment systems that are adversely affected by the introduction of chlorine into the water supply.

Thank you for taking the time to hear this submission,

Regards,

Jared Gallagher

[100011] - Ms Jodie Thompson

12

Address: Whitecliffs Whitecliffs 7673
Postal Address: Whitecliffs
Phone (day):
Phone (mobile):
Email: jodiethompson2002@yahoo.co.nz

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review

Dear Sir/Madam,

I strongly appose the decision the Selwyn District Council has made to Chlorinate the Whitecliffs township water supply. With the understanding the current UV filter systems are more than adequate.

Selwyn Gets Ready has proven to be a very efficient way to communicate with residents should there be a problem with the water and I personally are happy to boil water for as long as it takes to fix the problem.

Whitecliffs is a growing community with a lot of young families and babies a lot of locals have expressed their concern around how the chlorine will effect their families/pets health ?

We also have a byolitics sewage treatment system in which no chemicals can enter. Is chorine okay? As a single income family we cannot afford to buy a filter and have long term plans to grow organic vegetables for market with concerns about how the chlorine will effect this the quality of our produce ?

With a number of live stock having access to the river up stream from the intake, is enough being done to ensure farms are adequately fenced? The lack of consultation around the councils decision to chlorinate the water is disappointing, there are a lot of unanswered questions..

Yours Faithfully Jodie Thompson

Address: Coalgate Coalgate 7673

Postal Address: Coalgate

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: liz_weir@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review

I recently spoke to staff by phone about the water treatment upgrade of The Malvern Hills Hartley Road Water supply. I raised concerns about chlorine in the drinking water and expressed my opposition to permanent chlorination.

I also expressed my disappointment that there had been no consultation around this issue - an issue about which residents feel very strongly. You assured me that I could have my say by submitting on the 2018/2028 Long Term Plan by March 21st 2018, yet I find that letters have been delivered, basically saying chlorination is a fait accompli. By the way, I am writing this letter to you on Tuesday March 20 and no letter has been delivered to my house in Whitecliffs.

Delivering letters 2 days before submissions on the Long Term Plan are due, gives people no time to express their views.

Since I spoke with you, more Whitecliffs/Glentunnel residents have expressed their concern about this proposal. There is a great deal of anger because there has been NO consultation whatsoever. It seems that the council has made this decision unilaterally and has only sent out an information letter, because there have been complaints. The information letter gives no information about 'having your say' and it seems that the views of the residents affected are deemed irrelevant.

I understand that chlorination of drinking water is crucial in third world countries and areas of high water contamination but the water in the Whitecliffs Hills is not in that category. If there is e.coli in the water from agricultural run-off, get the polluters of the water to curtail their practises, don't penalise an entire community for something they did not contribute to.

You suggested to me that if the taste of the water is unpalatable, than residents could buy filters. Was it pure coincidence that a week before the Malvern Hills Hartleys Road - Treatment Upgrade was announced, that a full page ad appeared for a commercial enterprise, promoting water filters that could eliminate chlorine? Is it a coincidence that Malvern residents are now receiving phone calls from companies promoting chlorine treatment filters?

Why did Oakleys Plumbing business know about the chlorination plan before affected residents? Water filters are expensive and place a further financial burden on rate-payers that is unnecessary.

Once again : Residents of Coalgate/Glentunnel and Whitecliffs do not want their drinking water supply chlorinated. In your letter you state that the upgraded UV treatment system has been successful with no transgressions being detected.

On behalf of Whitecliffs residents, I am asking the council to defer chlorination and monitor the new UV treatment system to see if it is sufficient to provide potable drinking water. Every resident that I have spoken with, reiterated that they would prefer to receive the (infrequent) boil water notices, rather than have the drinking water permanently chlorinated.

It would be wise for the council to undertake an open, proper¹⁴ consultation process with affected communities before any further action is taken. We have a large hall in Glentunnel where people would welcome the opportunity to discuss this upgrade with you and your team.

Sincerely, Liz Weir

[100013] - Lynette and Kevin Gallagher¹⁵

Address: Coalgate Coalgate 7673

Postal Address: Coalgate

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email:

Submission

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review:

Malvern Hill Hartley Road Treatment Upgrade

We would like to make a submission to the Selwyn District Council regarding the proposed 'Amended Water Supply Bylaw' for the Malvern Hills Hartley Road treatment upgrade.

While we applaud the use of the UV treatment filtration upgrade, we wish to express our opposition to chlorination of the water supply.

We propose that firstly instead of considering chlorination treatment, that Water Services identify sources of contamination rather, and 'fix' the source of the problem rather than use chlorination as a treatment. Clean undistinfected drinking water has long been a cherished right for Cantabarians.

And secondly that the UV treatment be trialed for a longer period of time to assess its effectiveness, then be reviewed.

If avenues to trace any source of contamination are not successful, then an informed open public consultation process should be followed through. For many New Zealanders this is of significant concern and not to be taken without robust transparent public consultation (which we saw admirably recently with the Christchurch City Council's temporary decision to chlorinate). We have not seen any sign in the media of Selwyn councils decision making process.

While other communities in NZ have decided to use chlorination there is a growing body of evidence that documents side effects and risks to public health. Are Counsellors informed of recent scholarly articles that point out newly recognised dangers of chlorinated water? These are from reputable sources such as: The World Health organization, Scientific America, The Journal of National Cancer institute, Oxford.

We find it ironical that in this weeks Selwyn News, there is a large full page advert for chlorine water filters for 'clean, safe water', that 'effectively removes chlorine from your water'!

We understand the Selwyn council is also considering adding fluoride to the water supply in the future. Again credible research indicates this is also a health risk, we propose that the council consider other available options rather than subject the whole population to this

Yours faithfully

Lynette and Kevin Gallagher

[100014] - Mr Colin Morris

16

Address: Coalgate Coalgate 7673

Postal Address: Coalgate

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: colinmorris146@gmail.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Submission

Redirected from the Water Supply Bylaw Review

Refer attached submission

Submission to SDC re Chlorine in our water supply (Malvern Hills, Hartleys Road Treatment Upgrade)

It has become clear to me and other residents that our water is now being chlorinated on a regular basis. A call to Murray England of the SDC confirmed that this situation isn't temporary and will continue on a permanent basis.

Not only does this render our water unpleasant to taste and smell, I seriously question the health implications of ingesting this. Chlorine is a dangerous chemical that has been used as a weapon of mass destruction. Chlorine gas was used in the first world war with devastating results. Chlorinated water has been linked to Bladder and Colon cancer, and has detrimental effects on the human foetus.

Chlorination of drinking water that contains organic compounds leads to the formation of by-products, some of which have been shown to have mutagenic or carcinogenic effects.....This study provides further evidence of the role of chlorination of humic water as a potential cause of birth defects, in a country with relatively low levels of chlorination byproducts.

Per Magnus, Jouni J. K. Jaakkola, Anders Skrondal, Jan Alexander, Georg Becher, Truls Krogh and Erik Dybing

A build up of excess chlorine in soil, from watering, can be harmful to plants. I also question what it will do to beneficial microbes within the soil.

Chlorine levels in municipal drinking water generally are too low to harm trees, but as a general rule, if you can smell chlorine in the water, don't use it to water your trees. The human nose can smell chlorine in concentrations as low as 1 part per million.

Herb Kirchhoff, Demand Media

The fact that residents can clearly taste and smell Chlorine in their water supply suggests that the amount of the chemical is significant.

Pets and domestic animals dislike this water.

A disappointing aspect is the lack of consultation with the ratepayers. It seems the decision has been made and too bad if we don't like it. There is a high level of anger in the community that their once pure water supply has been contaminated with a dangerous chemical.

I have been connected to this supply for nearly 30 years and have never suffered any ill effects. So why is it that the 'Ultraviolet' treatment system, which I understand has been recently upgraded, is no longer adequate? Why not give this upgraded system a fair trial period before making rash decisions about adding chemicals.

One accepts that, from time to time, weather events dictate that water quality becomes questionable. In the past 'boil water' notices have been issued and although inconvenient, this is far more preferable than having Chlorine in our water permanently.

Disinfecting your drinking water with ultraviolet light (UV) is exceptionally effective, environmentally safe and well proven. UV water filters don't change the taste, colour or odour of water but simply remove the risk of illness caused by microbial contamination.

Aquaflow (division of Filtec)

The cost of adding this chemical is significant also, another burden to the ratepayers, double if we opt to install household water filters to remove the chemical.

The North Branch of the Selwyn River comes out of the Thirteen Mile Bush in pristine condition. It is a relatively short distance between the source/s and the supply intake, during which the river flows through two or three farms and a forestry operation . It seems logical that these are a likely source of any potential contamination. The Selwyn District Council must explore these probabilities before penalising Malvern residents by unnecessarily chlorinating their water supply

Conclusion: I ask that the council desist from adding Chlorine, or any chemicals, to The Malvern Hills, Hartley Road water supply.

Colin J Morris
19 Hector St.
Whitecliffs
RD Coalgate 7673
colinmorris146@gmail.com
022 375 6157

Address: 366 Hawkins Road Hororata 7544

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Hororata, Canterbury

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: sundown@xtra.co.nz

Submission

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

I would like to see my street (and township) no longer flood please. To my knowledge, SDC have been sued now twice (and lost) due to the lack of infrastructure (and/or very badly planned strategies) and this urgently needs to be addressed as it has occurred way to often and way too long.

The flood last July has damaged my already earthquake damaged home. I live with dampness, condensation and smell, I lost all my floor coverings and every time it rains we are anxious.

I have video taken over a period of a few years, demonstrating that the water comes into my road (Hawkins Road) via another creek and the current strategy of re directing the water uphill into an often already overflowing river is quite hole in head!

I am happy to provide the videos to show.

I would also like to submit that I am fed up paying my rates for projects and entities that are not using them for their purpose. E-Can for example are running taxi companies for the disabled while I buy my drinking water, and I cannot swim or even let my dogs go into the rivers!

E-Can = Environmental Canterbury! Yet Canterbury's environment has taken a very huge loss over the past twelve years I have lived in Hororata.

Unless the money allocated to E-Can goes to the environment, then it is nothing short of fraud for the ratepayers. I am not prepared to fund outside businesses like taxis or bottling plants and am very frustrated that it is acceptable that I do and I have no say.

Personally, I have struggled financially paying my rates due to the earthquake, as I lost my career, my husband is injured and my home is no longer of the value it was and what my rates are set on. Actually, my home is worthless thanks to the flood being the last nail. I currently sit in an uninsured home, near a fault line and in a township prone to flooding. It's distressing.

I am paying \$50 per week to catch up, but SDC still continue to raise them - and for other townships to flourish.

To also have this money proportioned out to projects like cycle ways and aquatic centers while my residential street is susceptible to flooding due to the infrastructure we are in turn charged for is insulting and very unfair.

[100016] - Institute of Applied Human Services Dr. OLIVE WEBB

Address: 91 CORDYS RD HORORATA 7544

Postal Address: PO BOX 69 Hororata

Phone (day): 033180880

Phone (mobile): 0212256633

Email: owebbnz@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
 2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
 3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer? (see pages 16–17)
 4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
 5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
 6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
 7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
- Yes. I would like the Hororata Project brought forward. The community is ready, willing and organised for fundraising and consultation. We wish to proceed as soon as possible
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
 9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
 10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100017] - Lake Coleridge Tourism²¹ Group Lyn Nell

Address: c/- Middle Rock 1160 Coleridge Road 7572

Postal Address: Darfield

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: nell@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Submission

Refer to attached submission (received in July 2017)

Camping: Conducts a review of the camping regulations to make it easier for potential host sites to accommodate those with self-contained vehicles. At present only 1 vehicle per site allowed, any more and onerous regulations kick in. Too restrictive for conscientious visitors with onboard showering and toileting facilities. Would enhance the visitor experience in our area if we could legally accommodate more of these visitors.

Recycling: Consider extending or supporting existing recycling efforts on this area. A limited private scheme operates on a subscription basis, but it is expensive.

Community efforts: Support community efforts to campaign for extended cellphone coverage throughout the Coleridge basin. At present, only patchy in a growing recreational area.

Economic Development: Reinstate the small business oriented workshops held in the past. Growing need for more IT related courses. Without subsidies, these industry related courses are expensive for course participants.

Tourism: Extend the hours of employment for Selwyn's Tourism support staff. Currently only Elizabeth Pitcorn for two days per week. Tourism is of growing economic importance within Selwyn and the Council's tourism presence needs to increase accordingly.

Warden position: Consider appointing a warden's position for the Coleridge Basin over summer. Ongoing problems with freedom camping, rubbish and general compliance constantly frustrates locals taking up considerable Council time to resolve.

Road maintenance: Take a more routine approach to road maintenance in this area. The latest floods exposed blocked culverts and poorly aligned water tables along Coleridge Road in particular.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

We would like to support the building of a community centre on the Hororata Domain. A new community venue would support existing and new community events and the growing visitor presence in the area.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

22

We would like to support submissions for a complete rebuild of Leaches Rd between Hororata and Windwhistle. This is widely used road for local traffic, farm service vehicles and ski and general tourism traffic. It is in a bad state of repair and increasingly dangerous for overtaking vehicles.

We would like to submit for recycling services to be made available to the Windwhistle community.

We would like to support the renewal of the toilet block at Lake Coleridge Village.

With a growing tourism presence in the Coleridge region we would like to submit for more campervan dump stations.

Camping regulations: With increasing pressure for camping facilities in the Coleridge area we would like to submit that local body rules be reviewed to allow for less restrictive regulations for self contained vehicles parking on private property.

Cell Phone access. We would like to submit that the SDC support initiatives to improve cell phone coverage in the Coleridge area.



LAKE COLERIDGE TOURISM GROUP

Middle Rock
1160 Coleridge Rd
Darfield 7572

Wednesday, July 26, 2017

Submissions
Selwyn District Council
2 Norman Kirk Drive
Rolleston, 7614

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Lake Coleridge Tourism Group wishes to make the following submissions to the Long-Term District Plan. The group asks that the Council:

- Conducts a review of the camping regulations to make it easier for potential host sites to accommodate those with self-contained vehicles. At present only 1 vehicle per site allowed, anymore and onerous regulations kick in. Too restrictive for conscientious visitors with on board showering and toileting facilities. Would enhance the visitor experience in our area if we could legally accommodate more of these visitors.
- Consider extending or supporting existing recycling efforts in this area. A limited private scheme operates on a subscription basis but it is expensive.
- Support community efforts to campaign for extended cellphone coverage throughout the Coleridge Basin. A present only patchy in a growing recreational area.
- Reinstate the small business orientated workshops held in the past. Growing need for more IT related courses. Without subsidies, these industry related courses are expensive for course participants.
- Extend the hours of employment for Selwyn's tourism support staff. Currently only Elizabeth Pitcorn for 2 days per week. Tourism is of growing economic importance within Selwyn and the Council's tourism presence needs to increase accordingly.
- Consider appointing a warden's position for the Coleridge Basin over summer. Ongoing problems with freedom camping, rubbish and general compliance constantly frustrate locals taking up considerable Council time to resolve.
- Take a more routine approach to road maintenance in the area. The latest floods exposed blocked culverts and poorly aligned water tables along Coleridge Rd in particular.

The Coleridge Tourism Group thanks the Council for its consideration of these matters.

Yours sincerely,
Lyn Nell
Chairperson, Lake Coleridge Tourism Group

C/- Middle Rock, 1160 Coleridge Rd, Darfield 7572.
Ph. 03 318 6889, Email: nell@xtra.co.nz.
Web: www.lakecoleridge.co.nz

[100018] - Mr Harvey Polglase

24

Address: 119 Horndon Street Darfield 7510

Postal Address: Darfield

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: harveypolglase@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission

Darfield and Kirwee Wastewater: Given that the Darfield population is only projected to grow by 750 persons and that extensive discussion to date has concluded there is no need for a scheme, this item should be dropped. The annual \$73 sewerage investigation rate should be deleted.

[100019] - Selwyn Parenting Network Karla Gunby²⁵

Address: 646 Springston Rolleston Road Rolleston 7675

Postal Address: 646 Springston Rolleston Road

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 0272292001

Email: enquiries@spn.org.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

SPN is supportive of a district wide rate to provide some equity between communities. We see the local community centre/hall as one of the corner-stones of the growing communities. It is essential they are maintained and we have a diverse range of facilities to use.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

The Selwyn pool has proved very popular with families throughout the year and SPN welcomes the extension.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

While this would be a fantastic facility to have, it comes as a nice to have and we would be conscious of the rate rise for Rolleston families. Spreading the rates across the district is our preferred option.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

SPN sees this as extremely important and an investment in our local communities. Small organisations have been growing post earthquake and have been reliant on funding outside of the district to get them up and running e.g. Rata, Lotteries. This is in contrast to Christchurch City Council that is able to invest significantly in local community groups. Investment in this fund will be beneficial to local communities and we support this initiative.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

SPN sees the investment in walking and cycling tracks as beneficial to the local communities. We would support this initiative.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other ²⁶ projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

SPN would like to advocate for a social procurement policy so that the councils social outcomes can be met through its spend. This means engaging more with local groups to do work that can provide a means of income, providing more apprenticeships and opportunities for young people in our district.

Address: 644 Weedons Road R.D. 8 7678

Postal Address: As above

Phone (day): 3473042

Phone (mobile): 027 606 2035

Email: Clogan_nz@yahoo.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? I would like to comment on the foster Dog Park. This park is far too small for the amount of dogs now living in and around Rolleston. It is regularly over crowded and dogs are in dangerous situations, which puts people in dangerous situations due to the smallness of it in relation to the amount of dogs often there. The Selwyn Dog Club are also often using the park, and it is difficult to keep dogs away from their training area and sometimes dogs can't be exercised there as it is shut due to them using it. I think it is well overdue for another, larger dog park to be set up for general use. That way people could go there when the dog club are using the park too. Please consider this in your plans as soon as possible. Dogs are often uncontrolled and unruly and fights occur which put people as well as dogs at risk. A larger area would take some pressure off this situation.

Address: 75 Scotts Rd Hororata 7544

Postal Address: Box 44 Hororata

Phone (day): 0272483462

Phone (mobile):

Email:

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) It is fine if it helps keep rates down.
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) I think entry to the pool is too cheap and more should be raised this way than through rates.
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer? (see pages 16–17) I think it is unsustainable to keep raising rates above the rate of inflation.
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) I think our drinking water is of a very good standard.
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) I oppose the water races continuing. There is only a small number of people who require them and already a lot of people who don't require them are forced to pay. It is only a viable source of water for those that use them because they are already heavily subsidized by others. The water races should be shut and the water retained in the rivers.
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) I think there are good facilities already in a short drive from most places we need to look at ways to not increase pressure on rates.
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) Maybe some of the office that were shut could be used again.
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) These projects should not be done until road maintenance is improved.
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 35 Chert Street Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 35 Chert Street Rolleston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: 8osallyannemckay@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Absolutely against introducing any chemical into our wonderful water supply. If the wells need to be secured then do this but do not permanently introduce chlorine into our water. Instead work harder to protect our drinking water and all other waterways

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Rate increases need to be set to inflation levels - 40% increase over 10 years is ridiculous and getting beyond many people. Council your residents demand for you to be more responsible with our money.

Address: 4 Dorothys Way, West Melton Christchurch 7671

Postal Address: 4 Dorothys Way West Melton

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: pjh_nz@hotmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

If we are genuinely a community and not just a random collection of areas then this change makes sense.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

I and my family are avid swimmers so are strongly in favour of extensions and would love there to be a meaningful 50m or 33m lane swimming pool (which will have diverse use for other water activities).

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Ambivalent but if it does proceed it would seem to be more of a benefit to the Rolleston community so suggest a targeted rate for Rolleston rate payers.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

I strongly suggest we do this to get ahead of potential water contamination issues that other parts of the country have suffered. I think science and common sense strongly supports the case for chlorination, and peoples taste preferences or facebook-pseudoscience based opinions (the main objections I have seen in the media) should be given less weight in the decision.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

I think the public good argument of water races is tenuous given the damage that mismanagement of water resources by commercial farming practices has caused. I'd like to see a more user pays focused approach rather than community pays.

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

Makes sense. Only danger I see with consolidation is putting a lot of power in fewer hands and creating nepotistic outcomes. If this can be recognized and mitigated (eg avoid long term appointments of people in the decision making process) then should be fine.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

Makes sense, build for the future, with pragmatism, and avoid ³¹ under-investment creating problems in the future.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28-29)

Strongly in favor of this in all regards, but wish the connections to Rolleston could be brought forward from 2026/27/28 as this is essentially a meaningless target with no accountability. Could community volunteer work bring these dates forward??

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 2 Riverside Lane Tai Tapu 7672

Postal Address: PO Box 25 Tai Tapu

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 021 244 9274

Email: george@littlebrew.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) Support District wide rate would see this as a more logical way of allowing planning across the district, planning capex and operations based on needs and not having underutilised facilities being subsidised across the District. Greendale and Rhodes Park for example you would think are community facilities now competing for the same business.
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) Fully support all expenditure on extension of this fantastic community facility.
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) Support district-wide rate this will be a hub used by a broad range of Selwyn residents.
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) Would support evidence review and research on impact of irrigation schemes on residential water supply.
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) Support proposed rate scheme. Support public good of maintaining waterways that support habitats for wildlife in public areas.
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23) Fully support proposed community grants allocation and proposed scheme.
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) Support these developments. Would support activities that provide additional income like co-working spaces, private cafe operator (Prebbleton), social enterprise utilisation and small business utilisation of kitchen spaces or other facilities.
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) Existing parking is substandard and you're building a district from the ground up so if the space is needed to house staff its a necessary cost.
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) Support the strategy but would see a missing inter-agency piece of work with CCC to manage the connection between Prebbleton and Hornby that is pretty dangerous even as an experienced cyclist. Active Transport to Work should be a driving force in the strategy and guiding decisions on priorities.
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? Would support investigation of projects that could contribute towards a coherent main street in Tai Tapu that supports mixed use.

[100025] - Canterbury basketball³³ Association Mr Paul Duggan

Address: 13A Bishopdale Court Christchurch 8041

Postal Address: PO Box 16604 Hornby Christchurch

Phone (day): 021 520 598

Phone (mobile): 021 520 598

Email: ceo@canterbury.basketball

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

The Canterbury basketball Association is responsible for the administration of basketball within the greater Christchurch area, including Selwyn. At present, basketball is one of the fastest growing sports in new Zealand, and by 2020 it will be the most popular High School sport in the country, should present trends continue. In Canterbury, it is already the most popular, with over 12% of all high school students playing in organised basketball competitions. The Selwyn Junior basketball club has started operating in 2017, and has entered 5 teams in CBA club competitions in 2018. The number of teams participating in the Selwyn Primary schools competition has increased from 10 teams in 2014 to 33 in 2017. At present there is a distinct lack of indoor facilities for basketball in both Christchurch and Selwyn, and this results in teams playing very short games (12 minute running clock halves), and some schools missing out due to a lack of capacity to accommodate teams. Basketball would use a multi court facility extensively, both after school and on weekends. The multi court facility would enable easier coordination of referees and coaches for competitions, and also enable local and regional tournaments to be hosted at a single venue. Such a facility would also enable the CBA to run a Midnight basketball program in Rolleston for at-risk youth, similar to what we run in Aranui.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100026] - 1969 Mr Julian Bowden³⁴

Address: 68 Crofton Road Harewood 8051

Postal Address: 68 Crofton Road

Phone (day): 0274300018

Phone (mobile):

Email: juliansophieemily@hotmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) On behalf of Mainland Football we would like to support the proposal as outlined in the LTP document. Mainland football is responsible for the delivery of football to the community for the area covering Ashburton through to Nelson. The proposal of adding indoor courts to the Foster Park venue we believe would add real value to the wider Selwyn community by giving its residents access to a quality sports venue. Mainland Football has the responsibility to also deliver the game of Futsal. This sport is one of the fastest growing sports in the country. Especially in the youth sector. Mainland Football is always looking for multi court venues as we struggle to cope with the increased demand from players. A facility like the on proposed for Foster park would allow us to grow the game in the Selwyn district by working alongside the local club and schools to develop Futsal opportunities. It also increases the ability to run Tournaments and Festivals where families and communities can come together. It would also create an environment where sports could collaborate to provide programs and products that would give more opportunities to be active in more sports. With regards to funding options we would be in support of the Councils preferred option.
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 77 Tramway Road Kirwee 7543

Postal Address: P O Box 274 Kirwee 7543

Phone (day): 021 277 2690

Phone (mobile): 021 277 2690

Email: paul.robinson@helloworld.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

No

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

Seems a good idea to have a decent community asset so that's all good.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Prefer option 3. In our location we should be able to utilise another SDC asset at West Melton so see no real need to pay for a facility we would not use greatly

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Chlorination is highly volatile subject. Yes that some should be based on assessment but surely the main issue is contamination of waterways by effluent? Personal choice will be to explore de- chlorination filters shuld anyone not be keen on the concept. I don't know enough about it all. Similar to the argument to 1080...for and against will be strong.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

No. Should be user pays. We have 2 races via our property and we are required to maintain them for others to enjoy? really?

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

No problem

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Yes. Prebbleton is too close to Rolleston to warrant facilities? Why is Kirwee never mentioned here? There no commercial space being available with growing developments...why?

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

If its needed and justified sure.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28-29)

support any external cycleway and walkway in the region

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Yes. Living very close to the centre of Kirwee on Rural Lifestyle land that cannot be easily sub-divided under the current plan. We have other properties further west of our location on Tramway Road that are well less in size than ours, next door is approx. 3-4 Acres yet other sections permitted for sub-division along Courtenay Road and between 2-4 km away from the township are approved for 2 acres lots and apparently further development on the rural block on the western side of Courtenay have been earmarked for sub-division yet our property despite being much closer to the township carries a different zoning which makes application for subdivision into say 2 x lots of 2.5 acres seemingly difficult or at discretion of SDC.

Bearing in mind the extension of the Kirwee Reserve which will literally be across the road from us and the fact that SDC purchased the old Plantation block neighbouring the reserve for future growth of the Township I would like to think that our central location should be considered suitable for smaller lots consistent with Windsor Drive / Crozier Drive etc.

The location of a local Chicken Farm under commercial zoning should bear no relevance given there are residential sized lots far closer to that location than we are. The advice from SDC in the past to prepare a submission (which I was told could be at a cost of \$10,000) with little or no guidance to its possible success is unacceptable. Staff in planning seems extremely hesitant to provide any real guidance. Surely the vision has changed for the area to allow a consistent lot size across all sides of Kirwee especially given the proximity to the centre and its near facilities should be a fair consideration.

[100030] - Canterbury Volleyball Association Inc Mr George Williams

Address: C/- 75 Lyttleton Street, Somerfield Christchurch 8024

Postal Address: PO Box 33130 Barrington, Christchurch 8244

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 0272259220

Email: president@cva.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) - NO
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) - NO
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) Volleyball is the number 2 sport for girls in Canterbury and number 5 overall, with about 3 times as many players as futsal or badminton. Canterbury Volleyball Association would like to offer our expertise on how an indoor facility can be set up to meet the needs of Selwyn volleyballers - both recreational and competitive. We have been involved with consultation on the Metro Sports Facility in Christchurch, and have several members of our committee with relevant expert knowledge and experience.
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) - NO
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) - NO
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100031] - Lincoln Badminton Incorporated Mr Peter Thomas³⁸

Address: 11B Sheridan Drive, Selwyn 7614

Postal Address: 11B Sheridan Drive, Rolleston Selwyn 7614

Phone (day): 021784524

Phone (mobile): 021784524

Email: petert@email.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) no

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) no

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Lincoln Badminton Inc is a local badminton club which has operated in the Lincoln community for 50 years. Originally running at the Lincoln High School gym, and then moving to the Lincoln Centre since it opened. With access to 5 courts at the new facility, it has enabled the club to expand to 130 members including 65 primary and high school children. Lincoln Badminton is the largest club in Canterbury and one of the largest in the South Island. It is no doubt that access to a good quality, well located and reasonably priced facility has contributed strongly to the clubs ability to grow and enjoy continued support of its members. We offer support to the proposal to build a multi-sport facility in Rolleston, as it would allow for the development of a successful badminton club in Rolleston. It should be noted that badminton is one of the few sports in that it can only be played indoors, so the growth of the sport is closely related to the availability of suitable facilities. Minor sports such as badminton simply could not exist were it not for shared facilities which are supported by councils and communities. It is essential that any new facility fully considers the widest range of potential needs and uses. Fortunately, badminton is quite easy to accommodate. We would welcome any further questions or comments you may have in relation to this submission.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) no

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) no

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23) no

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) no

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) no

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) no

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other ³⁹ projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? no

Address: 15 Maitland crescent Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 15 Maitland crescent Rolleston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 027331

Email: sheepo10@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
 2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
- I would like to see a 50m long pool or 2x25m pools
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
 4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
 5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
 6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
 7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
 8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
 9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
 10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 560 Drain Road Doyleston 7682

Postal Address: 560 Drain Road RD 2 Leeston

Phone (day): 033244450

Phone (mobile): 027 695 66 44

Email: lee.brehaut@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

I support this proposal

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

I support this proposal

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

I support the larger proposal if it will service the needs of different age groups, and physical and mental capabilities within the community. I hope that consultation regarding development and planning will involve Council approaching a wide range of organisations for their views.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

I would prefer it to not be necessary to protect public health, however, I am concerned about the leaching that is happening and think it will be inevitable. If it comes to that, I would support this proposal

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

I support this proposal

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

I support any simplification to the process. I would be interested to see the criteria to which applicants will be addressing. Please don't go down the track of a one size fits all model.

I worked for DIA in Community Development investigating and preparing Lotto applications for the funding committees to consider. In my experience a single application form is unlikely to cover all situations effectively and could defeat the purpose of combining the Community Grant schemes.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

I sure do! I am very disappointed to read the proposed new Community Centre for Leeston (2025/26) is to come after the renewal of community centers at both Hororata (2023/24) and Prebbleton (2020/21).

How much longer are the Leeston residents to be held to ⁴²ransom by the influences surrounding the Leeston Rugby Clubrooms? When was the possibility of using the Rugby Clubrooms as a Community Centre first mooted? My understanding is that it was many years ago, and still Leeston has NO Community Centre. Now I read it is at least another seven years away. Why is this so? Why is Leeston behind those communities who at least have a community hall facility.

I get the impression that for some reason or other, unknown to me as a local ratepayer, the Leeston Township Committee appears not to see the development of a Community Centre as a priority. What influences are working behind the scenes that keep blocking such a project?

In the 10+ years I have lived in the Doyleston/Leeston area, I have seen way more development comparatively in Doyleston, by way of public facilities, than in Leeston.

A consistent group of people exercise twice weekly in a cold, depressing (private use) hall at Leeston where over colder months numerous fan heaters are used to support existing heating. The hall and its toilets are in a poor state and do nothing to encourage public use. There is nowhere else for this group to run from yet it epitomizes community involvement and provides social interaction and physical activity for 40 to 50 people. I cannot help but wonder what community based organisations are absent from Leeston due to either inappropriate or no suitable facilities from which to run.

What is needed to change the minds of Councillors to bring forward the community facility needs of Leeston?

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

Long overdue. If the reception area is to be altered I hope there will always be someone at the counter to welcome visitors/ratepayers. Not a good look to have people waiting to be served and no one in attendance.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

I am looking forward to seeing a start made on the Doyleston/Leeston Walking/Cycleway. Having walked along the main road between these two areas I can say from experience, it was not pleasant, not one I would do by choice or expect others to do – definitely not children.

More people are wanting to cycle as a recreation and are looking for places to ride in safety, the distance between Leeston and Doyleston is an easy ride. But because of the berm camber, it is hard to walk well away from the road edge. I would never cycle on this road.

This cycleway is in the District Plan for 2018/2019 and I believe is an excellent project to enhance the live, work & play mantra of Selwyn District. I only hope this isn't another situation like that of the Community Centre saga. I ask that you give the residents of Leeston and Doyleston an indication of confidence that this project will be completed in the timeframe as planned.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100035] - Mr MARTIN CUMMINGS⁴³

Address: 415 Newtons Road Weedons 7675

Postal Address: 415 Newtons Road, Weedons,

Phone (day): 3479080

Phone (mobile): 021389350

Email: martin@fordbaker.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

A district rate and development/reserve fees used for capital development where sourced.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) Selwyn / Rolleston pool needs to be 50 metres - for the community and to create a swimming hub for the greater Canterbury region .

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

We need a hub to service the greater district and this needs to be complimented with youth recreation facilities such as skate parks and encourage "Time Out" type outlet, cinema etc as if the town solely looks at sport the social behaviour of teenagers will be very poor

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Definitely - unsafe water is unsafe . As a rural lifestyle property owner we had to get our water tested and town people should expect clean water.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) I say one rate but would like to see the now none operational races due to change in levels since earthquake (Newtons Road & Wild Road) be piped and effectively shut down.

As am owner I would continue to pay the water rate for say 5 years to contribute to piping the useless ones.

Also we have not been cleaned out for 8 years

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

None

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

None

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

44

Never - you are over staffed - it is a holiday camp. No accountability - get in the real world!

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28 -29)

Not Required

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Quarries should not be permitted in the Inner Plains Zone - never intended in this zone where 4 hectare subdivision is permitted .

Subdivision of Inner Plains to 2 hectares should be as of right

Contribution to the Christchurch Covered Stadium should be made - have to fair - and should be a capitation sum paid by all surrounding districts from Ashburton to Hurunui

Address: 25 Cameron Crescent Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: As above

Phone (day): 033472672

Phone (mobile): 02102989326

Email: Hannahpfaff@hotmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

The pool that is built should be 50 metres long with the ability to be split into two 25m pools. It should have eating all round it suitable for large swimming competitions. It should be 10 lanes wide. I would also like to have hydrosides and more fun stuff for older kids like diving pit with diving boards. I don't think a gym is needed as there are already numerous gyms in rolleston.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Happy to have indoor courts. Good to have all options of sports in the area.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Yes I agree with chlorination of water when necessary.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: Kilkenny Close Lincoln 7608

Postal Address: 8 Kilkenny Close, Lincoln

Phone (day): 021-045-4154 

Phone (mobile): 021-045-4154 

Email: Megan62tm@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

There needs to be more lanes. Suggest a 50 metre pool which can be divided into 2 x 25 m pools.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer? (see pages 16–17)

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

I disagree with chlorination of public water. People can. House whether they want to filter their water within their own homes if they are concerned about health risks.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 262, Ridgens Road Christchurch 7671

Postal Address: 330, Ridgens Road

Phone (day): 021473166

Phone (mobile): 02102594047

Email: halj1962@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

AS a member of the Selwyn Dog Training club I feel that we have out grown the dog park (Foster) or the the growth of Rolleston has out grown the dog park. We are experiencing disruptions during classes of dogs that are not under control, running around. Classes have to stop for safety reason so that the owner/owners can retrieve their dog/dogs. We feel that for health and safety reasons we would need to fence off training areas at class times or offer alternative (additional) dog park during class times. There are a number of children within our club that are members, training as well as elderly members. To have a dog that is not under control or sometimes show aggression towards other dogs does cause concern.

For the long term planning for the future ,we would like to see an additional dog park offered to the residents of Selwyn. AS one member pointed out we wouldn't be allowed to run across a rugby match or training class, so it should be the same for dog classes.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 258 Whitecliffs rd Glentunnel 7673

Postal Address: 258 whitecliffs rd glentunnel

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 0276090900

Email: damien.volkner@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) Selwyn is such a large area It would be unfair to be made to pay more for a hall/reserve people properly wont visit. I.E live in castle hill paying for a hall upgrade in Horoata etc.
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) No, although I don't see myself using it I can see the benefits to the district.
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) No, cover as many sports courts as possible.
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) Yes, speaking for myself and others in the community who have passed their concerns onto me (I'm a committee member for the community there) Living in Whitecliffs most have really enjoyed the fresh spring water there and cant stand the taste now some choosing to buy bottled water as they cant stand the taste of it now. Most who have spoken to me didn't mind occasionally having to boil the water which was to be expected after floods etc. I would say that at least 70% of the community are against the chlorination and want it stopped.
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) As long as my rates aren't contributing for it in leeston and Prebbleton.
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) Seems big enough as it is ? Manage the space better its not a very old building size requirements come into the planning of it including forecasting future needs. I believe its to soon to be expecting rate payers to pay for office upgrades.
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) Whitecliffs to Glenntunnel Cycleway needs to considered for safty of children walking/riding to school, We having an increasing rate of children living there and due to the long very blind S-bend it is far to unsafe for kids to ride through there. Having a pathway would open up walking and riding opportunity's for family's
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 37 Hinterland Drive, Prebbleton 7604

Postal Address: See above

Phone (day): 021730963

Phone (mobile): 021730963

Email:

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

yes we need to be able to cater for all ages groups within our community. At the moment i believe we do not within Prebbleton and the hall we have is under resourced with a lack of land/ car parking.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

to train the athletes of tomorrow in our own background this would be vital.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

if Selwyn is going to grow then an indoor facility would be required. I would also like to see an extra hockey court

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

We need to ensure that those making \$\$ out of water are charged more than those using for residential purposes. I believe we need to ensure that we prepare for when water becomes harder to obtain by ensuring all new houses have a grey water recycling system.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

N/A

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

N/A

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

we really do need to have a propose built option within Prebbleton.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

all offices in one site always ends up more economical

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28-29)⁵⁰

This sounds great however is there a link from town to Prebbleton?

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Traffic and traffic safety is an issue within Prebbleton and i don't believe the new over passes will fix this. We need to update springs road to ensure it can handle volumes by using roundabouts or lights. There are too many accidents and lives are being put at risk daily.

Address: 8 Bluff Street RD1 7673

Postal Address: Coalgate

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: fairlightwilliams7@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

I support this. I hope that the long-term investment in these buildings will pay off as all of the older halls need maintenance as well as the new build costs, so it will even out over time. These centres, halls are reserves are central to community.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

I support the extension. I hope that any café would be leased to a small local independent business, or a business that is for social good (like Addington Coffee Co-op), i.e. something that adds to the culture and value of the place, rather than a chain like esquires etc.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

I believe that the people who will benefit the most from this will be those who live in the area, so I support a locally funded outline, i.e. Rolleston Pays.

I would prefer for Darfield and Leeston to also have smaller facilities for indoor sports (or for the Council to take on the Coalgate Indoor Sports Centre) to service the surrounding communities.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

I prefer limited chlorination based on a risk assessment, NOT universal chlorination.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

I think whatever is done with this, it needs to be better publicized and more accessible online.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Much needed. I am always supportive of community facility development.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

Counter proposal: instead of building extensions in Rolleston⁵², build extensions on both / either Leeston or Darfield Libraries and move some of the Council there. Part of SDC's problems are that they are too centralized and not in touch with regions. Decentralising might help SDC with some perspective and also help provide better services to the rest of the district.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28 –29)

I am supportive, BUT I also think that should be a bike path between Darfield and Glentunnel and efforts made to make cycling between Whitecliffs and Glentunnel safer. I've seen many near misses on the curves along that road.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

The rates increase averages look fine, BUT the breakdown looks like it will cause hardship on people's budgets. For people in Coalgate / Glentunnel / Whitecliffs to have rates rise 9+% two years in a row, while Lincoln gets a 0.7% reduction followed by a rise is crazy.

People moved to these areas knowing what the rates and costs were, and their budgets are set accordingly. Balance the increases to make it 6% (or something) EACH year rather than 9.2, 9.6, then 3.6.

It is easier for people to manage if it is more predictable. And to take 9+% on while Lincoln gets a reduction (even for one year) is insulting when Lincoln is getting \$18m invested, and we can't even get a bike path.

Address: 904 Waterholes Road Rolleston 7678

Postal Address: 904 Waterholes Road RD 8

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: simontcarolan@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) Yes, why should the community of Claremont be charged when there is no such centre or hall close by
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) Agree this is needed
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) Yes, we do not want this!!!!!!!
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100044] - Fulton Hogan Land Development Ltd c/- David Lovell-Smith Ltd Julie Comfort⁵⁴

Address: Not provided Not provided Christchurch

Postal Address: PO Box 679

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: julie.comfort@dls.co.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Comments on the Development Contribution Policy - see attached.

Submission to Selwyn District Council
Draft Long Term Plan – 2018-2028

Submission by: Fulton Hogan Land Development Ltd

Address: c/- Davie, Lovell-Smith Ltd, PO Box 679, Christchurch 8140

Submission Topic: Development Contributions Policy 2018-2028

1. Fulton Hogan Land Development Ltd is undertaking the Rosemerryn residential development in Lincoln. Rosemerryn provides for approximately 875 residential lots in Lincoln, of which 321 have already been constructed and released to the market.
2. The draft Development Contribution Policy contained in the draft Long Term Plan sets out the contributions payable for development in Lincoln. The latest policy contains reductions in the individual contributions payable for each form of Council supplied infrastructure in Lincoln. It is understood that these reductions reflect the quicker pace of development that has occurred within the District in recent years. This has in turn meant that incurred cost of providing the infrastructure has been paid off quicker.
3. Fulton Hogan Land Development Ltd supports this Council's policy of reducing the per lot development contribution charges, in particular in relation to the Eastern Selwyn Sewerage Scheme.
4. Fulton Hogan Land Development Ltd do not wish to be heard.

Request of Council: That Council retain the DC policy as notified.



On behalf of Fulton Hogan Land Development Ltd

17 April 2018

Date

Contact:
 Julie Comfort, Davie Lovell-Smith Ltd,
 julie.comfort@dls.co.nz
 ph: 379-0793

[100045] - Mainland Football Mr Martin Field-Dodgson

Address: 127 Cranford Street, St Albans Christchurch 8014

Postal Address: PO Box 21122

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 02040934297

Email: martin.fieldddodgson@mainlandfootball.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

As the body responsible for running Futsal in the region we acknowledge that the sport is severely restricted due to the lack of available court space provided by current facilities. The proposed hub at Foster Park would provide the only facility in the region with 4 courts (the next biggest facility we have access to has 2). This would provide the surrounding areas not only with access to a fantastic facility, but also the ability to attract major competitions such as Regional Championships, Secondary School Champs and Nationals.

The current proposal would also provide a range of supporting facilities that align with the quality of the sporting fields available. Changing and storage rooms, along with meeting spaces would add to an already impressive outdoor complex and would also increase the capability of the area to attract top quality outdoor competitions and tournaments.

Mainland Football fully supports the incredible work of Selwyn United and believe that in conjunction with other sporting codes add significant value to the community.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100046] - Ms Sok Chuey Lee-McDonald⁵⁷

Address: 182 Paige Place. RD8 Christchurch 7678

Postal Address: 182 Paige Place. RD 8 . Chc 7678

Phone (day): 033477145

Phone (mobile):

Email: mcdonaldsvc@yahoo.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

Community hall should be paid by the people of the district that are using them not by the community that do not use them. Ie try and save cost for the already overly burden rate payer.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

Yes. Do we need to over burden rate payer with extension of the swimming pool which alot of older people do not use and in the winter alot of kids do not use them. Isn't what we have suffice. Moreover, what rate payer pays in rate, they have to pay again when they use the swimming pool which is quite dear. For a serious leisure swimmer, to go few times a week just to keep fit , it break their budget. Alot of us in Selwyn District are has mortgages, children and on small pay. Sports group use the facilities to train and compete and rate payer is subsidizing them. Have a little thoughts for the average wage worker or the retirees! Yet helping to pay for the facilities, the rate payer has no equity shares in swimming pool. No logic in that.

Provide basic facilites like connectivity thru' access road that is not there. We don't have deep pockets. Elect council cannot continuing to increase rate with luxury that rate payer cannot afford.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Yes, you are asking the majority of non-sporting type to fund the cost of indoor sports hub for sporting group who then paid again to book to use the facilities. It does not make sense. Do not keep justifying increase in rates.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Yes. Selwyn District Council has been approving consent to business that has potential to pollute the underground water and the consent conditions does not seem to be working as the water quality (nitrate n nitrite level) has been on the rise since 2009 at a fastest rate than before. Ground water at 54m seems to be contaminated the most while those at 95m seems to be OK. Ecan monitors those acquifers but cannot do much because jointly they (SDC & Ecan) has approve alot of business/farms that has potential to pollute with conditions that is suppose to minimise the effect on environment but in reality the adverse effect on environment has been ignored on the excuse they cannot pint-point the violator. That is not fair on residents/ community and the country. Clean & uncontaminated Water is a scare resource yet Council is not doing enough to protect it. Chlorinating water should not have been a necessity if the water is clean. So the business community is dirtying the water and residents has to pay to drink water with chemical in it that has harmful long term effect.

Why can't we stop the pollution and spend money on stopping contamination instead?!

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the ⁵⁸current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

This appears to be a trick question. If property with a race that is already paying the \$300 and \$17 per ha is going to pay additional \$20 for std district rate, where is all this additional money going into?

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

Any rate payer funds that is utilised to support a community cause should be identifiable and accountable to rate payer and audited by independent group that is rate payer represented.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Why is there a need for a new community facilities.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

Why should SDC need so much spaces and paid vehicles and rate payer has to pay for them. Aren't the objective is to serve the betterment of ratepayer not keep burdening ratepayer to obtain better comfort for over-staff Council. Often seen some staffs leisurely talking among themselves at SDC office. Is this an indication SDC is overstaffs. If so, some staff cuts could save cost and make the need for extension unnecessary. Afterall, for all important technical opinion and comment, the experts is outsourced and not performed by SDC staff.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

There is no need to supplement National Party Cycle and Walk-way. There is need to provide a shorter path for properties along Larcomb and northwards coming from Rolleston Hubs to turn right into their properties. This is a genuine need as their access to main south road has been taken away from them thru' CSM2 expansion. Yet no council members has bother to look into this.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Pls provide access road for people in Paige Place sub-division to Weedons Road in your 10 year Plan. They have to travel 16.5km to send kids to school on their return trip one-way. There is need to cut an access road from paige place to weedon rd so that the can minimise their km run to use Rolleston Hub/schools/clinics/dentist/swimming pool/sport facilities.

How can you include this in your plan/budget?

Address: 4 Guinea Drive Prebbleton 7604

Postal Address: 4 Guinea Drive Prebbleton

Phone (day): 027 244 3314

Phone (mobile): 027 244 3314

Email: mary@equipc.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) No

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) I support this

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) I support this

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Yes I do. I strongly oppose any chlorination of water in Selwyn. One of the reasons I built a home here is due to the fact the water is not chlorinated. I would be devastated if this chemical was added. We have not needed it in the past so why would we need it now. Keep the status quo in this area please.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) I support this.

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

I support this

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

I support this

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) I support this.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) I support this.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? No - please just keep chlorine out of our water! Thanks

Address: 286 Brookside Road Rolleston 7678

Postal Address: 286 Brookside Road RD8 Christchurch

Phone (day): 021 144 3470

Phone (mobile): 021 144 3470

Email: jarakthomas@hotmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Chlorination has a negative impact on water quality, in terms of drinkability. When in the city (Burnside) recently for a meeting, I was immediately struck by the unpleasant chlorine smell when I got myself a glass of water. The odour made me feel like I was drinking pool water. The same comment was made by the others at my table.

The Dutch stopped chlorinating their water in 2005 through the use of alternate disinfection treatments, use of non-plastic PVC pipes for reticulation, and increased monitoring of the system.

Canterbury water is an amazing resource. Please protect it and keep it pure to our taps through careful management.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100050] - Greendale Community⁶¹ Trust Mrs Ruth Warren

Address: Warrens Road RD1 Greendale

Postal Address: Greendale

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: rwifwarren@gmail.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

Refer Attached document

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

The Greendale Community Trust agrees in principle with the proposed changes to the community grants process, being one contestable fund that allows a broadening of projects that can be funded.

The Trust agrees with the funding criteria that all grants should be given to projects that benefit the community.

It questions why the budget is drastically cut from \$719,000 (which includes staff time which should be a small proportion) to just \$140,000, when there has been underfunding of heritage sites for years. The fact that the present heritage fund was not well prescribed was because it was so limiting in its criteria meaning many heritage sites were left languishing and unable to be maintained by the community.

Selwyn's heritage sites will be lost forever if council does not take responsibility to fund and enable the communities to restore and protect them for future generations.

Restoring historic sites and making them safe for the community to enjoy is just the very first stage in maintaining our heritage sites. It is the ongoing maintenance to preserve our historic sites and to keep them safe and tidy that communities find difficult. This is evidenced in the state of so many unmaintained sites and the fact they require restoration by community groups. An example of this is the T. W. Adams Arboretum that has national significance. The lack of maintenance over many, many years has seen some sites lost forever.

Simple inclusion of maintenance such as regular mowing of pathways in these public places is the ongoing help that communities require. Much of this could be achieved by a simple change to the mowing contracts.

Please consider the points we have made when developing the criteria for community funding.

Address: 14 Chert St Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: As above

Phone (day): 021725309

Phone (mobile):

Email: ijustwantmyname@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

N/A

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
With two boys who frequent the pool on a casual basis, I feel this extension is much needed with the current capacity of the pool already stretched during peak hours.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) A facility with which this community absolutely needs.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) N/A

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 6c Henely Close Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 6c Henley Close Rolleston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 0275885377

Email: broomjk@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) NO
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
I think that this needs to go ahead, there is not enough space for all the children when there are swimming lessons are happening and other children are missing out and also so are the children are in lessons they are crammed into small spaces
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) I think that this is a great idea,
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) Please do not add any, i do not want to buy bottled water
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

NO
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Please make the Rolleston Library bigger with spaces to actually study at, there is not enough space for more than one person to sit at and do any work with space

[100053] - Broadfield Netball Club Miss Megan Carpenter⁶⁵

Address: Robinsons Road Broadfield 7614

Postal Address: 12 Stern Crescent, Rolleston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 0276417777

Email: bfnumpires@gmail.com

Submission

Broadfield Netball Club plays in the Selwyn Netball Centre competition at Lincoln Domain. The club has five senior teams, one masters team, two social teams and four primary team (approx. 102 members). A majority of the club train at Broadfield Domain courts, with the senior A & B team training indoors when facilities are available (e.g. Lincoln Event Centre). Both the Senior A & B team play inside the Lincoln Events Centre on a Saturday.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) proposal to develop indoor courts at Foster Park. The Senior A & B team would be keen to use this facility for their weekly trainings since they play indoors on Saturday. It is The Broadfield Netball Club support the proving difficult to book facilities in Selwyn for practices. If Selwyn Netball Centre were to use Foster Park for indoor matches on a Saturday, it would give more of our club members exposure to playing inside and the competition would not be effected by weather.

Address: 14 Dunlop Cres Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 14 Dunlop Cres Rolleston

Phone (day): 3474464

Phone (mobile): 0211675380

Email: traceycron79@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
I really support the need to extend the aquatic centre. My family use it a lot and it can get really busy. . I would like to see a deeper pool for aqua jogging, as I don't like touching the bottom of the pool as I can't jog upright then. It would be great to have another kids play area with a few slides going into the pool or a splash pad etc..
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) The foster park development is fabulous. Yes I support the need for some indoor sports courts. I would love to have a squash court, and indoor netball, futsal spaces. Nicer toilet facilities would be great, that can also be positioned close to the playground as well. The hockey field should have been built at full size.
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) I do not want chorline in my residential water supply please.
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) It is great that you have included tracks around foster park for walking/biking. Id love more of that sort of thing.
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 33 Burnham School Road Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 7614

Phone (day): 33478322

Phone (mobile): 021660448

Email: Numbereightwire@xtra.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
Make it a 50mtr pool and include a diving pool and hydro slide
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100057] - Mrs stephanie Broomhall⁶⁸

Address: 397 Trents road Prebbleton 7604

Postal Address: 397 Trents road

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 021778374

Email: stephaniemortimer@hotmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) community centres which nurture connections in Prebbleton are most welcome and encouraged to be able to retain our village and community.
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) It would have been really cost effective and meet more needs if school and community pools were kept and invested in, this creates a strong sense of community and provides a local facility accessible to all in the area. Where as one large facility does not.
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) This can not happen, a blanket practise for ease of managment does not suit the majority and seems just a slap in the face for common sense and common good. There should be no permanent chlorination schemes and if there is contamination a one off would be exceptable under community consultation. If an area has ongoing issues then individual filtration systems should be made available and cost effective to install. This was it is the consumers choice on how they filter their water.
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) Community facilities are lacking in Prebbleton in a rapidly growing area, and a huge growth in young families. Seems only sensible to invest in this area.
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) Springs road cycle way is needed. Promote healthy use of transport for Prebbleton residents to commute to work and the many many students that attend school in town from Prebbleton. Cycle way that connects to hornby, to the motor way cycle way and into riccarton through sockburn. It would really ease traffic congestion if we had a safe alternative other than to get into our cars or our children take the bus to school.
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100058] - WRPS Mrs Kelly Franks⁶⁹

Address: 282 brookside road Rolleston 7678

Postal Address: As above, postcode 7678

Phone (day): 021914675

Phone (mobile):

Email: Kellyyewen_849@hotmail.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
Yes, think this is funimentally important, included more family changing rooms, my husband often takes our little girl Swimming and they often have to wait to get showered And dressed

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Sounds. Like a great facility for the whole community

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 22 Quartz Drive, Stonebrook Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 22 Quartz Drive, Stonebrook, Rolleston 7614

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile): 0272426780

Email: thenewfuders@xtra.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

yes please - we need more room. This would be awesome for our local and wider community. Please do not put up the swimming lessons fees up too much. I and many people think they are quite expensive at the moment and most of the time it takes a long time for the children to move up a level because of different teachers and most of the time some teachers look like they cant be bothered being there.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Great Idea - cant wait for this.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

please no!! Friends in CHCH hate it - smell and taste is awful

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

i think you need to focus on the community first - you have a new building please spend the money where it is needed first - in our growing community.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100060] - Environmental Protection Agency Mr Allan Freeth⁷¹

Address: Level 10, 215 Lambton Quay Wellington Wellington
6140

Postal Address: Private Bag 63002 Waterloo Quay

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: info@epa.govt.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Refer to the attached letter



Environmental
Protection Authority
Te Mana Rauhi Taiao

180423002

SCANNED

File Ref: POL

April 18, 2018

David Ward
Selwyn District Council
PO Box 90
ROLLESTON
7643



Dear David

Feedback for your Long Term Plan

As many territorial and regional councils throughout New Zealand are currently consulting on their Long Term Plans, I wanted to take the opportunity to remind councils of their obligations under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.

Please consider these obligations whenever you are reviewing your plans and prioritising your activities.

Collectively, and alongside regional councils, New Zealand's 67 territorial authorities are our biggest field force of hazardous substances enforcement officers outside workplaces – responsible for hazardous substance compliance and enforcement in every public and private location within their boundaries, in situations not controlled by other agencies.

There are significant environmental and safety risks of not adequately resourcing these responsibilities.

I appreciate the difficulty in quantifying the size and potential impact of the risks, and the challenge of competing resources. Hazardous substances, however, span all of the outcomes that many councils already focus on – such as water quality, air quality, and sustainable resource management. Rather than address hazardous substance enforcement in isolation, you could consider improvements in relation to the other environmental and economic outcomes you are working towards. This might include, for example, distributing public education material on hazardous substance safety to your ratepayers, and/or you might decide to fund an in-house hazardous substances expert to support your enforcement staff.

Thank you for the role you play in safeguarding the health and safety of New Zealand's people and environment.

Yours sincerely

Allan Freeth
Chief Executive

Address: 5 Ryelands Drive, Lincoln Christchurch 7608

Postal Address: 5 Ryelands Drive, Lincoln, Christchurch

Phone (day): 033252522

Phone (mobile): 0272222742

Email: anna@prof.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

NO

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

YES. As a family who use the Selwyn Aquatic Centre on a regular basis, and also the parent of a competitive swimmer who uses this facility for swim meets etc, an extension to these facilities is much needed.

Firstly, I would suggest extending the current 25m pool to a 50m FINA approved swimming pool. I would recommend (similar to the main pool in Dunedin) that this be able to be separated with a dividing wall running the width of the pool. This can then split the 50m pool in to two separate 25m pools - enabling them to be used simultaneously for different activities (eg 1 half lane swimming, and the other half for recreational use).

Another important factor that needs to be seriously considered is the lack of seating around the main pool especially. There have been a lot of swim meets that wouldn't allow spectator entry, simply because there isn't the space. At the meets where spectators are welcome, it's most standing room only. Dunedin pool has a mezzanine floor with tiered seating that would easily seat more than 500 people. This is a fabulous addition!

I would also suggest extras such as a water-based playground and bombing tower (as Timaru Pool has) and a hydroslide if this is financially viable. The Timaru hydroslide is supervised by one staff member at the bottom only, as the top of the slides are run with a red/green light system and have camera's watching to make sure users are following the rules for their own safety.

A cafe would also be a good extra, but not essential in my opinion.

I would personally be more than happy for a rates increase to help cover the costs of this extension.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

YES. I believe both of these planned developments are much needed for the area, and will help attract people to the district - helping to put more money in our local pocket. I would support a rates increase to pay for this.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine ⁷⁴the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100062] - Mrs Emma WOOLFORD⁷⁵

Address: 12 Donatello Drive, Rolleston Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 12 Donatello Drive, Rolleston

Phone (day): 0211113573

Phone (mobile): 0211113573

Email: emmadanielw@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
Yes - I believe it's short-sighted to only create another 25 metre pool. A 50-metre pool would make complete sense and would give Selwyn a greater draw-card for holding sports events. I believe at the time the pool was created initially, submissions were put in for a 50-metre pool. The pool is way above capacity most of the time for training so it would make sense to do something like the old QE2 where you could modify a 50m pool to make 2-25m pools, then open it up for major events. A commonwealth games rep (Celyn Edwards) trains at Selwyn yet races in a standard 50m pool - why not spend the money outright and future proof the major pool facility for the Selwyn area?
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 25 Taylor Place Prebbleton 7676

Postal Address: As above

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: delys@corcoranfrench.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

I support the district wide rate provided the development of new community facilities at Prebbleton goes ahead. Prebbleton has a well maintained reserve (which I am happy to pay for), but very poor community hall / facilities.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

I strongly support the extensions to the SAC. A new 50m pool would be ideal together with a café (with indoor and outdoor seating).

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

I strongly support the proposal to develop the indoor courts complex and associated facilities. I prefer funding option one.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

If chlorination is not made mandatory, I prefer Option 1 - only introduce chlorination if required after a robust risk assessment process.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

I agree with the replacement of the current rating system for water races but consider the yearly rate % increase for \$20 public good rate should be 6% (not 10%) in line with % increase for water race users.

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

I prefer a transparent and understandable community grants funding scheme. If the Council can deliver on spending less on administration costs and more dollars to community groups, I support it.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

The new Prebbleton Community support facility is desperately needed and I strongly support it.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

I support the extension for the additional office space, BUT ⁷⁷NOT for additional car parking space. Private businesses do not provide car parking for their staff and extending the car parking is inconsistent with the Council's Walking and Cycling Strategy.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28 –29)

I strongly support adopting the draft Walking and Cycling strategy and major projects outlined.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

We have experienced significant roading disruption in Prebbleton which was worsened in the nearly 12 years we have lived here.

The roundabouts / traffic lights at certain intersections (Springs /Marshs, Shands / Blakes, Springs / Hamptons and Shands / Hamptons) need to be fast tracked. So do the road improvements next to Blakes, Hamptons and Trents.

Any improvements to Springs Road to allow children to cross it safely near the school are also welcome.

[100066] - Selwyn Dog Training Club⁷⁸ Ms Shelley Baikie

Address: Springston Rolleston Road Rolleston 7683

Postal Address: 347 Lake Road South RD3 Leeston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: outwestk9s@gmail.com

Submission

We at the Selwyn Dog Training Club have some ideas moving forward for how our club and the community can thrive with the new Long Term Plan (LTP).

As it stands now, we occupy the area known as Foster Dog Park. Our club membership is currently at 97 members, with growth to 130 by the end of the year projected. We are one of the largest dog training clubs in the South Island. When our club was established in 2003, the population of Rolleston was just under 2000. This was, of course, pre the Christchurch Earthquake, and the expected growth of Rolleston was projected to be significantly less than what has eventuated. The population as of June 2017 is currently 14,650. There was also an option to acquire the 5 acres which has now become part of Rolleston College.

We believe that as a club, we have outgrown the space we currently have. The public, and club members, are becoming more and more frustrated at the interruptions to our classes.

We train at the Foster Dog Park 3 nights a week, between 6pm and 9.30pm, as well as a small group on Sunday mornings. During this time, classes are held for members of the public to help them teach their dogs good manners, basic agility training, as well as competitive obedience and agility training. We hold our classes on our allocated part of the park, closest to the clubrooms, however this is not always respected by members of the public. We are constantly battling with loose dogs disrupting these classes, members of the public not having their dogs under control, dog attacks and abusive comments that we are 'taking over the park'. Many of our members have had their dogs attacked by others while training, which is a serious concern for us as a club, as well as the safety of the public.

As the Rolleston Reserves Committee are aware, the SDTC lease the land so we can hold classes at these times. We also book the park exclusively 12 days a year, where we can close the park to the public to hold events. We do our best to advertise the days that the park will be closed well in advance, through social media, flyers and notices on the gates as you enter the park. In our eyes, this is no different to a rugby club shutting a park to the public for games on a weekend.

We would like to propose the following to be considered for the next LTP:

A fence, with gates, be erected to cordon off our training area. This could be shut to the public during class training times and re-opened on completion of the classes. A second dog park for the community of Rolleston. There were plans for a second dog park but they are believed to have fallen through. Leeston recently gained a dog park, but it is 20 mins away and many people simply walk their dogs to the current dog park. While it was a great initiative by the SDC, we strongly believe a second park in Rolleston is well and truly overdue, and would take the pressure off of our club. Should a second park go in, it would possibly give us the option to have exclusive rights to Foster Dog Park on our training nights, while giving the public a space to safely exercise their dogs elsewhere.

We urge the council to consider a second dog park for the Rolleston area, which we firmly believe is overdue.

Address: 32 Kendon Drive Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: Rolleston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: akchapman@xtra.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

This would make sense as all towns have similar facilities, although of a different size.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

I support an extension to the pool only. No need for café/courtyard or fitness / wellbeing space as there are two new commercial fitness centres now in Rolleston.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

The Sports Hub is a great facility which I support. However, I note there is no provision for a bowling green in the plan. Bowls is now becoming a younger person's sport, particularly at the top level. The playing surface does not have to be grass (preferred), but could be synthetic.

Maybe the existing library building / car park would be a suitable if there is no space at Foster Park.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Consultation required on the amount of use it would get in each town.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

I support this in all areas. The sooner the better.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100069] - Glentunnel Community⁸⁰ Centre Mrs Pam Reynolds

Address: Glentunnel Library Post, Glentunnel 7638

Postal Address: Glentunnel

Phone (day): 03 318 2377

Phone (mobile):

Email: chair.glen.cc@selwyn.govt.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

Funds be available when required for the ongoing maintenance to ensure that the Community Centre - Glentunnel Building is kept at the current high standard.

Please find attached the long-term budget projects for the Community Centre, Glentunnel.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Community Centre - Glentunnel

Submissions to Selwyn District Council 10 year Plan April 2018

Projects	2018/2019 budget	2019/2020	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	2024/2025	2025/2026	2026/2027	2027/2028	2028/2029
Replace kitchen cupboard doors	\$5,000										
Outside sign above main entrance repairs	\$200										
4 LED lights & wiring for main hall & re paint ceiling panels as required	\$3,000										
Storage shed		\$38,000									
Polyurethane main hall floor or earlier if required			\$6,000								
urinal replacement with stainless splashback or earlier if required			\$10,000								
Varnish of interior wood work, walls ,doors skirting window frames				\$5,000							
Replace fridge and 2 ovens					\$3,500						
Interior repaint foyer & meeting room						\$1,500					
replacement of carpet							\$1,000				
reshingle carpark										\$9,000.00	
replace dishwasher and wall zip											\$5,000

[100071] - Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board⁸².. Christchurch West Melton Zone Committee Dr. Robert Wynn-Williams

Address: 914 Shands Rd Christchurch 7676

Postal Address: 6 R D CHRISTCHURCH

Phone (day): 03 3252155

Phone (mobile): 021 256 8804

Email: sga@farmside.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

no

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

no

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

no

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

no 5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

I agree that most of the water race network should remain for biodiversity purposes as de facto alternatives to the wetlands and small streams which have been destroyed in earlier times. However, the major beneficiaries are all the residents of Selwyn and beyond and they should pay. Our particular water race, and I presume others in the district, is now so unreliable that we have had to put in pipes and troughs as we can no longer rely on it for livestock. My estimate would be that our race has been running for at the most 4 weeks out of the last 3 years, that is hopeless for livestock and of little benefit for biodiversity. You can not charge for a service that is hopelessly unreliable so be certain when you strike the rate on individual properties that they in fact receive the 'service'. I know there a number of properties down stream from me which are theoretically on the network but have had no water for many years.

I imagine many farms will now have piped water and when you increase their water race rate sharply you will come under pressure to close more and more. I think you need to look more closely at how many farmers on the system actually rely on the water for livestock and irrigation. On this basis you will need to increase the proportion of funding from a public good rate.

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

no

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an ⁸³extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston?
(see pages 26–27)

Don't

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

- * Accelerate the cycleway programme

- * Delete Jones Road option as unnecessary with the Rolleston to Templeton link

- * Add a Lincoln to Tai Tapu link via Perymans Road

- * Work with KiwiRail and community groups to develop a cycleway from Arthurs Pass to Darfield. Then you would only need to add Kirwee to Rolleston to create another Alps to Ocean trail.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

No

Address: 6/456 Curraghs road RD6 Christchurch 7676

Postal Address: as above

Phone (day): 03 347 7419

Phone (mobile): 021048 0581

Email: nickthevet@gmail.com

Submission

There is obviously a lot of interest currently in the proposed Fulton Hogan quarry near Templeton.

One factor that seems crucial to this and other such large projects is the issue of setbacks. As I understand it the SDC currently does not have any requisite minimum setback between an activity requiring consent and neighbouring properties or rights of way.

A 1km setback is apparently the requirement in Australia and would be the gold standard for here, but even if the SDC introduced a blanket 500 metre setback then we would have no such conflicts.

It is also vital that setbacks are measured from boundaries and not buildings.

My second subject is the on-going use of herbicides. The SDC should stop spraying along rights of way, in parks and around children's playgrounds. Glyphosate (the active ingredient in Roundup) is carcinogenic - we should not be willfully exposing children to cancer-causing chemicals. A brushcutter is a perfectly sensible alternative.

[100075] - Coalgate Township Committee Mrs Jane Huggins⁸⁵

Address: 3024 Coaltrack Road Coalgate 7673

Postal Address: 3024 Coaltrack Road RD 1 COALGATE

Phone (day): 3188411 (work)

Phone (mobile):

Email: stovies@outlook.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

The committee supports this proposal, as long as community committees are retained and will play an important role in project decisions. We would also ask that the Council bear in mind the hardship any rate increases will cause to those on lower incomes.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer? (see pages 16–17)

We would like to see the existing private sports centre in Coalgate continue as a community facility. The property is for sale and we ask that the Council consider acquiring the property and/or supporting the new owners to continue running the centre.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

There has been a serious lack of timely and informative communication about this, especially the recent chlorination of the Malvern Hills supply.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Re Leeston rugby rooms, does the Canterbury Rugby Union make any financial contribution to this?

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Everett Street (east end), Coalgate: Several years ago, the Council undertook to complete the proper formation of this cul-de-sac. We ask that this project be added to the plan, to be completed in 2019.

Roving Committee Secretary: We were astonished to be advised that the cost of our secretary is between \$200 and \$250 per meeting. If this were to come from our discretionary fund, we would be left with very little for other projects. We are extremely pleased with the job our secretary does and we know (some of us from personal experience) how difficult it is to be a committee secretary, as well as a productive and contributing member of that committee. We therefore ask for increased resourcing so that we can continue to benefit from the services of our Roving Secretary.

Lawnmowing: We ask that the Council adds to its mowing schedule the area known as the Common on Bridge Street, opposite King Street, in Coalgate.

[100076] - Mrs Shelley Silverwood⁸⁷

Address: 18 Wilfield Drive, West Melton Christchurch 7618

Postal Address: 18 Wilfield Drive West Melton

Phone (day): 0273434510

Phone (mobile):

Email: shelley.silverwood@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

Yes, PLEASE think about including a 50m pool with this extension. It will prove its worth in many years to come. Finally CHCH will have a pool that can train elite athletes, hold international level competitions and I'm sure bring in revenue associated with this. Rolleston's population is only going to grow so a bigger aquatic centre is going to serve the town for many, many years.
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 3024 Coaltrack Road Coalgate 7673

Postal Address: 3024 Coaltrack Road RD 1 COALGATE

Phone (day): 3188411 (work)

Phone (mobile):

Email: stovies@outlook.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

I support this proposal on the understanding that community committees will be retained and will play an important role in project decisions.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

There is currently an indoor sports centre operating privately in Coalgate. It is up for sale and I would like the Council to consider acquiring this facility and/or supporting the new owners to continue its use as a community sports centre.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

I support the proposal but think the Council needs to provide better and more timely communication about when and why chlorination is happening. For instance, a public notice explaining the large initial dose in the Malvern Hills Water supply and information about options such as filters to mitigate the taste and smell of the chlorine.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

I am happy that the Malvern Community Board administers a fund for groups and individuals in the Malvern area, and would not like to see applicants competing with the whole of Selwyn District.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

I support this proposal.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

I support this programme.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other ⁸⁹ projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 12 Kildare Tce Lincoln 7608
Postal Address: as above
Phone (day): 03 325 3233
Phone (mobile): 027 240 1449
Email: cols@orcon.net.nz

Submission

10. This submission is on behalf of my seven fellow home owners in Kildare Terrace – the northern end between Gerald Street and North Belt. We wish to lodge an urgent plea for the Selwyn District Council to do something to restore our once attractive, green environment. We used to have a lovely green area across the road under the Oak Trees where families and rest home residents would picnic on hot, summer days. It was often referred to as “the jewel in the crown” as far as the Lincoln biosphere was concerned.> Now it has been turned into an area where huge construction trucks and school buses park, and local hooners in cars do “dust donuts” and delight in skidding their car tyres to burn off even more grass at all times of the day and night. We can’t open our windows on the street side because of the dust and cleaning them is a relentless job. The noise at night, often into the early hours of the morning, is so disturbing and the police have been called on two occasions. The area has also been under the surveillance of the Lincoln Community Watch at night and a resident has written to the Lincoln Community Council but had no response. When it rains the area turns into a quagmire!

I am attaching some photos of the area and my neighbour has some of the dust clouds! What do you think is the best way of tackling the problem Sam, as it is really getting us all down? Could a post and chain demarcation boundary like in Leicester Terrace, be erected at little cost to the Council, to stop further eroding of this green space? Regards, Colene Welsford

Hi All,

Thanks for the comments, I agree this area ideally needs installation of bollards/chain or wire rope along the entire length of the reserve but also considering provisions for parking and vehicle access for contractors to maintain the area. I have also informed the Lincoln community committee of this issue as consultation for their consideration.

Colene, as per the phone call this week you can put a submission in through council website for the LTP to secure funding, it is a project that will require budget allocation.

Regards Jonathan Crawford

Dear Sam and Jonathan,

Your latest correspondence (below) and recent telephone acknowledgement, state that the cheapest/most effective solution to this environmental and safety issue, is the installation of bollards/chain along the length of the reserve (as is the case in Leinster Terrace). We, as residents agree with your conclusion.

However, we cannot understand why, as rate payers, we need to put forward a submission to the Council when you have acknowledged the problem and this solution. The urgency of this restoration is evident before further denigration or accidents occur. You, as pivotal members of the Selwyn Council, have already acknowledged the need to restore the Reserve to its original state with the objective of maintaining the biosphere and ensuring paramount importance for the safety of school children who commute along the Reserve on a daily basis, and for recreational users alike.

Ideally, it would take the Council Contractors, little more than a week⁹¹ to install bollards and chain to restore and maintain this focal part of the Liffey Reserve. Therefore the funding should come from the on-going Council maintenance budget.

We would like to anticipate a positive, early response to our correspondence, Thanking you, Colene Welsford

[100080] - Mrs Averil Southward⁹²

Address: 76 Pacific Drive Rakaia Huts 7683

Postal Address: R D 3, Leeston

Phone (day): 3242118

Phone (mobile): 027 820 3045

Email: averilandbill@xtra.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

Yes - I agree that this is necessary

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

No - I do not agree on chlorination treatment in any form or any water supply.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

Yes - I agree that this is a good starter for a fund for the Arts but would have wished for a larger contribution.

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Yes - can only comment on the local Ellesmere district but agree that this is necessary for Leeston

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

[100081] - Selwyn Netball Centre⁹¹ Mrs Lyn Miles

Address: 6 Devine Drive, RD8 Christchurch 7640

Postal Address: North Belt Lincoln

Phone (day): 0272286292

Phone (mobile): 0272286292

Email: selwynnetball@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) no
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) no
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) yes
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) n
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23) n
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) no
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) no
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) no
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? no

Selwyn Netball Centre Inc.



SELWYN NETBALL CENTRE FEEDBACK RE FOSTER PARK

Ideal situation

- Ideally we would want both indoor and outdoor courts- minimum of 10 outdoor courts, and 5 or 6 indoor courts
- Potential to overflow to the college in the future if possible

There are many reasons why we want the centre to stay at one facility.

- A huge number of our volunteers have more than one 'job' at netball including, but not limited to: coach, umpire, selector, coach mentor, umpire coach, manager
- Families and clubs want to stay together, for example. mother and daughter both involved in different teams but need to be at the same venue, and clubs where people attend to jobs in the above point
- Representative selection is much more simple when selectors don't need to travel to observe different players, or players in different age categories can play at the same venue
- Umpire development- umpire coaches need access to all the umpires they are coaching at one venue
- Coach development- coach mentors need access to all the coaches they are mentoring at one venue

If indoor courts only

The courts would get a huge amount of usage from netball- see below.

- Club trainings
- Representative trainings
- Representative tournaments
- Junior programmes for years 1 - 4
- Social and masters competitions- during both day and night
- Summer leagues
- Coach workshops
- Umpire workshops

Point to note- there would need to be a minimum of 4 for national events to be held.

- We need a large amount of storage space- would this be catered to?
- We currently run a small shop out of our centre on a Saturday and at tournaments etc. Would this be a possibility at Foster Park – maybe in an area that we could hire ?
- What first aid facility would be available as we do have sponsorship for this ?

Address: 362 Hawkins Road Hororata 7544

Postal Address: P O Box 33 Hororata

Phone (day): 033180808

Phone (mobile): 0274182150

Email: kimber.fencing@xtra.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) No
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) I support this
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) I support this
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) Not entirely comfortable but would hope that correct assessments have been made to ensure health.
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) I support this
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23) No comment
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) I FULLY support the Hororata facility
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) If required then I support it
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) I support this
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? I respect that the council is acting appropriately and fairly.

Address: 614 Dalethorpe Road Sheffield 7580

Postal Address: 614 Dalethorpe Road, RD1, Sheffield 7580

Phone (day): 0274305986

Phone (mobile): 0274305986

Email: amacauley@xtra.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

This is my submission for the proposed chlorine in the water. On the Dalethorpe Intake, of which I have lived here for at least 25 years through the UV treatment plus more recently the chlorination treatment phase.

Healthwise I have suffered greatly in recent years from severe eczema on my skin causing numerous visits to the doctor. It is able to be smelt strongly in the shower and it has been brought to my attention (having previously lived on the farm where the intake is) that the water has been continuously chlorinated for some time, which would explain the skin conditions and the smell of the water at my current residence.

Having lived in the area I believe that there is an extremely low risk of harm to the residents on this line as there has been no long term affects pre chlorination to the residents. Many of whom have been here for 50+ years.

My concerns are health based: 1. People with inflamed skin conditions should not wash in toxic chlorinated water

Why is the Dalethorpe supply already being treated with chlorine on an ongoing basis when there is no need to. It was always in times of high turbidity that this would happen and this was always a last resort. It is not needed in our everyday life.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other⁹⁷ projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 41 Duncans Rd Hororata 7572

Postal Address: PO Box 55 Hororata 7544

Phone (day): 03 3180004

Phone (mobile): 022 3817606

Email: john@mckeage.co.nz

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

The Hororata Hall is in desperate need of replacement and a new community centre to replace the hall is very much needed in Hororata. 120 years of use combined with financial shortfalls and earthquake damage have made the current hall unfit for purpose.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Address: 47 Wrights road,, Sheffield Sheffield 7500

Postal Address: 47 Wrights road, Sheffield

Phone (day): +6433183647

Phone (mobile): 02102379328

Email: edengirl47@gmail.com

Submission

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

Number 4. The water is not the same taste now in Sheffield. tastes horrible and smells.

Address: 14 Maitland Crescent Rolleston 7614

Postal Address: 14 Maitland Crescent rolleston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: Melissapaigerussell@gmail.com

Submission

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)
Extending the pool is a no brainer, its always busy but, I think it's short sighted to only be putting in a 25m pool. A 50m pool would be much better, perhaps one that can be split into two 25m pools, the options of use are endless if this is done. Our athletes can train in selwyn properly, we could have diving or waterpolo facilities without compromising swimming lessons etc. We could hold competitions are bring people to the district. It just seems silly to only put in another 25m pool. Cafe is a great idea. A wet play area and hydrosides would be awesome too.

[100087] - Primary Sports Canterbury Mrs Lyn Miles

Address: 6 Devine Drive, RD8 Christchurch 8140

Postal Address: PO Box 2606 Christchurch

Phone (day): +64272286292

Phone (mobile): 0272286292

Email: info@primarysportscanterbury.org.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13) no
2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15) yes
3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17) yes
4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19) no
5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21) no
6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23) no
7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25) no
8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27) no
9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29) no
10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? no



PRIMARY SPORTS CANTERBURY SUBMISSION TO THE SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL LONG TERM PLAN

Background information on the Canterbury Primary Schools Sports Association

We are the body that organises and runs all major sporting events in many team codes and individual sports for inter-school competition in all primary and intermediate schools in Canterbury. This involves the thousands of children in the 235 Canterbury schools and 32 different sports.

The C.P.S.S.A. executive is a voluntary organisation with an executive of 15 who meet monthly and are appointed annually at an annual general meeting.

We have a full time salaried Sports Director and a part time Assistant Sports Director based at the offices with Sport Canterbury who are responsible to the CPSSA through a Management Committee made up of CPSSA members and a representative of the Primary and Intermediate Principals Associations.

Our annual sports events are high profile, and involving all city and country schools as well as Ashburton, and West Coast schools at Intermediate or Primary Schools events.

Children participate in these events at school level to qualify for entry to their zone events - of which there are 18 zones throughout the city and the country areas of Canterbury. Some of the events allow entries from schools individually whilst for others it is necessary to enter through the zones because of large numbers.

Before children enter the following sports they have to go through an elimination process for the four largest events (swimming, cross country, winter tournament and athletics) as the venues are not large enough to cater for the numbers without this process in place. This involves the zones running their sports as the preliminary event. There are, on average, between 12 and 20 schools in these zones.

For all the other events the schools can enter as individual schools. These "other" events are : triathlon, duathlon, kiwi league, rugby fun day, netball and rugby and cross country representative teams, gymnastics, aerobics/hip hop and dance competition, bowls, boccia, indoor tournaments (volleyball, basketball, squash, badminton, table tennis), summer tournaments (touch, tennis, softball, cricket, beach volleyball, golf, orienteering), winter weekly sport (hockey, football, rugby, rugby league, netball), summer weekly sport (touch, kiwi tag, kiwi volley, tee-ball, futsal).

With the above events we also have as many spectators (parents, grandparents, friends etc) as competitors, and sometimes even more spectators than competitors.

Our group is unique in comparison to sporting clubs in that there is not just one sport offered. With the advent of weekend work necessary for many parents this may be the only chance, through the schools, that children have to participate in sport. The children also have the opportunity at an elite level, as well as a competitive level, to compare their skills with others in the Canterbury area. There are also a South Island competition for Intermediate schools as well as tournaments for the primary schools rugby and netball schools representative teams (selected from the schools in Canterbury).

The emphasis on the opportunities for primary school children in sport has been enhanced by the recent sports competitive requirement from the government. It is really pleasing to see that children in Canterbury can be given these opportunities, particularly also with the health reports over the last two or three years which indicate that children are becoming less active. The children in Canterbury are extremely lucky to be given opportunities that others throughout the country do not have during school time. This means that parents' employment time, as well as lack of financial support, do not become an issue for them.

There is no other full time Sports Director of primary and intermediate schools in the country. Teachers at all schools are very appreciative of the time and effort that a Sports Director can save them with the concentration on the task of providing the sporting opportunities.

Reasons for the Foster Park development

This is to give you an idea of what we provide and the need for both indoor and outdoor facilities that would enable more children to participate in sporting events that we organise. At present there are not enough facilities to allow all those who want to participate in events to do so.

It is most important that the Foster Park development proceeds as soon as possible with a minimum of 8 indoor courts and as many outdoor in immediate proximity as is able to fit on this park.

Selwyn Aquatic Centre

The additions to the pool area would be appreciated by Primary Sports Canterbury too. We would prefer a 50metre pool so that it can accommodate more swimmers and allow for the many spectators who wish to view their children.

Whichever choice is opted for could you please ensure that there is enough area allowed for marshalling children for events, as well as accommodating their well wishers.

Lyn Miles MNZM
Sports Director

Address: 43 Leeston Road Springston 7616

Postal Address: 43 Leeston Road Springston

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email:

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

Refer attached document.

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

If it is totally necessary to extend, do a 50m pool, but no café or gym as this is taking business away from established café etc

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

Good idea. Option 2 seems to be the best option.

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

Do not do it unless absolutely necessary as it is another cost and if the wells are maintained and the water checked, shouldn't be necessary.

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

How many large community centres are necessary throughout the District? Should only be done as and when the money is available.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

Shrink the staff.

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28–29)

Nice to have, but not necessary. Not value for money

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

The advertising of this plan was poor. A black and white document with main points could have been in with the rates. The meeting times have been poorly advertised and are at unsuitable times and venues for working people or those with children to attend.

Could have been on the rates document and then you could have said with a clear conscious nobody was interested.

Juanita Phillips
43 Leeston Road
Springston

District –wide rating for halls. I do not support it as there are still more questions than answers.

.Benefits to smaller halls.

There is no indication of which halls may benefit or when, and I would suggest it will only be what is already in their maintenance plan and nothing more, but they will nearly all pay more than they do now and by 2019/20 most will be paying double the current rate. Maybe to impose a higher rate is out of the question in some communities so they have not done so, and if it was done do you not think they would enjoy using the money for their hall as opposed to it going in to a pool for a bigger township with more ratepayers to get a better hall and a reduced rate.

No benefit there.

Benefits to larger halls.

Reading the community plan it is obvious the bigger communities with larger or newer facilities will benefit two fold as their rate goes down and they have a more modern facility and in some cases paid staff.

Why do we need so many large facilities?

Benefit Here

What happens to the halls which are still community run and funded. I note that the council is not taking over responsibility for these halls, but are they paying district wide rates as are the rest of us, or do they not get the pleasure of funding the bigger projects on the table.

THE RULES FOR INCREASING RATES!

When the Springston hall tried to increase our ratable income to catch up on lost income from closures and repairs we were told you can only increase by 2.5% in any year which came to the princely sum of 58cents. The council is going to increase it now by about 400% in the first year alone. PLEASE EXPLAIN ! The district has 24,712 rateable properties so in the first year alone the income will be \$2,471,200 and in the second 75% more \$1,853,400 extra. The total for year two is \$4,324,600 most of which will go to the bigger communities.

Over \$6.7 million dollars out of ratepayer pockets in two years. This is just the Hall increase, and there are others. Could it be less in the second year and increase at a slower rate which may be more manageable to those on a fixed income, eg ACC, BENEFIT, PENSION, or just a family on a modest income and with a mortgage.

The council runs the risk of losing the confidence and support of the volunteers running the smaller halls by taking over all the income. At the moment we can do emergency repairs knowing we are in charge, but this will change and it will have to go through the council which if it is the weekend could prove difficult to get things done in a hurry. When you volunteer it is to save your community money, not to save the council money which it can then spend elsewhere.

Why would you volunteer when another venue has paid staff to do the jobs, and the money to pay them has come from your rates.

When all halls are paying the same rate do they have access to the same services e.g. staff to set up the hall for bigger events and clear away after. Someone to do the bookings and open and close the hall and set up or clean up between groups and people wanting to view the hall. This could be up to six times a day and at the moment is done by volunteers.

Who is going to administer the fund. At the moment it looks like an internal council group. Could it include a non council rep from each area so the smaller places feel they have a voice?

One of the reasons for the increase is the use by people outside the ratepaying area. There is a two tier system in place now of ratepayer or outside the area. If we all pay the same rate does that mean a Springston person can use the event centre for the same rate as a Lincoln ratepayer? If the change does not go through maybe a three tier system of 1 ratepayer, 2 Selwyn district and 3 outside. We could have a card similar to the library one sent

with the rates and this could also be used at the swimming pool thus enabling a higher charge for those outside the area.

The question of maintenance of the grounds at Halls and Reserves indicated that cost efficiencies across the district may be imposed. At the moment they could be very cost efficient as a number of jobs are done by volunteers for free or a token payment or a local who is cost effective.

It must cost more once you remove these options, or they are removed by the volunteer who can no longer see the point in doing community work as well as pay higher rates.

When will you consult directly with communities and explain how this will work?

It will be a large job to run and maintain all the Halls and Reserves and manage the money as well.

I think the council has underestimated how much is done in communities by the people themselves and now the council may end up doing it all.

Charges to hire facilities. These are adjusted by the committees to suit the individual group sometimes and maybe the council will not have a feel for this sort of thing and by making the charges more consistent we may lose small groups which keep the hall busy all the time. This one of the things where being involved helps to make a decision. I don't think there is anyone on the council who knows more about the Springston Hall than those who live in our community.

Could someone do a quick calculation on all the projects mentioned in the towards28 community plan and let us know all the new costs that are planned and increases in our basic rates as they stand now.

Basic			New Projects
Water	\$226 plus usage		Chlorination \$25
Sewerage			
Stormwater			
Drainage class E L2			
Annual General Fee			Community grants \$5
Transportation			
Refuse			
Swimming pool	\$87	extension	\$122
Library	\$190	exstension up to	\$235
Hall	\$23	district wide rate	\$100
Reserves x3	\$78	district wide	\$125
			about \$10
			\$77
			\$47
			Indoor courts \$70
			Council Offices Extension \$10
			Walking and Cycling Strategy \$4
			\$283

Approximate total in one year of extra rates in Selwyn

What percentage is this over and above my rates?

While sitting at the council mulling this over it may seem a trivial amount but to some in the community it may be huge on top of their rates and Ecan charges, and this is only in one year.

J Phillips
27/4/2018

CUPPA WITH SAM

Mayor Sam Broughton will be visiting some of the district's cafes to give residents an opportunity to find out more about key proposals in the district council's Long Term Plan 2018-2028. The next session will be held on Tuesday at Leeston Hillyers Store, 11-12.30pm. To view the full schedule go to <http://www.selwyn.govt.nz/home> ?

Address: not provided not provided not provided

Postal Address: not provided

Phone (day):

Phone (mobile):

Email: not provided

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

1. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce a district-wide rate for community centres and halls, and for reserves? (see pages 10–13)

2. Do you have any comments on the proposal to proceed with extensions to the Selwyn Aquatic Centre? (see pages 14–15)

These should all be self-funding from subscriptions, entry fees; and charges to the business groups who provide lessons at the pool. I disagree that the current pool system is struggling to accommodate customers as there doesn't seem such overuse whenever I have been there.

3. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop indoor courts and a sports hub at Foster Park? Which of the funding options outlines do you prefer?(see pages 16–17)

4. Do you have any comments on the proposal to introduce chlorination treatment for some community water supplies based on a risk assessment? (see pages 18–19)

5. Do you have any comments on the proposal to replace the current rating system for water races with a standard district rate across all three water race schemes? (see pages 20–21)

a. At least once a week I have to go to the end of our road to unblock the race. When I am too busy, I contact the ranger direct. My first submission is that we need a BETTER SERVICE, NOT MORE FEES. One elderly chap used to cover the whole area and never a problem. Now there are two.

b. My rates would incase by \$117.51, so clearly I am against this proposal.

c. Better, professional service is needed.

6. Do you have any comments on the proposal to combine the current community grant funding schemes into a single contestable scheme? (see pages 22–23)

Good idea. Fully support

7. Do you have any comments on the proposal to develop new community facilities at Hororata, Leeston and Prebbleton? (see pages 24–25)

Build them by all means, but not at a specific rate increase to rate payers not living in those areas.

8. Do you have any comments on the proposal to build an extension to the Selwyn District Council offices in Rolleston? (see pages 26–27)

Strongly against. Keep staff levels to a reasonable level. I am aware of one section at SDC which had one member only one or two years ago - now has four!

9. Do you have any comments on the draft Walking and Cycling Strategy and the proposed programme? (see pages 28-29)¹¹⁹

Strongly against. Several times a day I drive my car along the roads adjacent to the Rolleston / Lincoln cycleway and there is no-one at all cycling on them. An absolute waste of rate payers funds, al for a feel-good idea at the time.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters?

(a). Yes, on shingled roads in the district - refer ATTACHED

(b) SDC just has to note that a lot of rate payers are now on fixed incomes. We receive a rise of \$6 on our pension this year (\$12 per month). How in the hell is this going to cover even + two year's rates increase? Our small savings takes us a little over the rates-rebate allowance.

10. Other comments: Do you have any comments on other projects in this consultation document or on any other matters? Yes. Land use. I am disgusted that the Selwyn District Council permit the use of excellent, productive farming land as land on which to build housing. There is ample land in very close vicinity to the central Rolleston which is light land and ideal for building houses.

Take for instance the land bounded by Brookside Road/ Edwards Road/ Ellesmere Junction Road. This area is of very light Lismore Loam soil/ has the council reticulated water supply right along all of its boundaries and easy access to the sewage system. The SDC has already permitted a subdivision on Dunns Crossing Road halfway across to Edwards Road. My submission is that such land should be made automatically available for building of residential housing. I understand that the N.Z. Govt also support such land for housing.

1. SUBMISSION:

My submission is in the alternative:

- 1.1 Selwyn District Council seal all roads in the Selwyn District.
- 1.2 Subsidise the cost of spraying dust suppressant on unsealed roads in the Selwyn District where dust is a serious problem for adjacent homes.

2. RATIONALE:

2.1 Beyond any doubt at all, road dust in our district is a serious problem for anyone residing adjacent to our unsealed roads. Also beyond any doubt at all, the cost to seal such roads could be prohibitive. Some councils (e.g. The Far North District Council) have a policy on Community Funded Infrastructure that allows for cost sharing of sealing roads between the community and council; see Far North District Council policy no. 4112. Such policy would be fine for some residents but not financially viable for such as my wife and I and many, many others.

2.2 I refer to New Zealand Transport Agency Research Report 590 dated April this year on "Impacts of Exposure to Dust on Unsealed Roads" and quote in italics from such:

Territorial authorities have responsibilities for dust discharges under both the RMA and the Health Act 1956. Under the RMA they must consider the effect of land-use decisions on amenity and effects of the land transport system. They are also responsible for protecting public health and preventing nuisances under the Health Act. As such, territorial authorities employ environmental health officers to monitor and take enforcement action to abate conditions likely to be injurious to health or offensive, as well as to abate nuisances.

Clearly the above applies to the Selwyn District Council.

2.3 Northland Regional Council carried out monitoring in 2013 of PM10 within 50m of the roadside of four unsealed rural roads, which highlighted the potential health effects associated with exposure to dust from unsealed roads (NRC 2014). The monitoring indicated that the National Environmental Standard (NES) for PM10 was exceeded, and was related to dry weather conditions and peaks in traffic volume. The health effects of these particles for prolonged periods are predominantly respiratory and cardiovascular related, with symptoms including coughs, chronic bronchitis, exacerbation of asthma and post-neonatal respiratory mortality.

2.4 Once again I quote below from the N.Z.T.A. Research Report 590:

"The health effects, both short (acute) and long term (chronic), of PM2.5 and PM10 are well documented, and occur at concentrations well below current guideline values (WHO 2006; WHO 2013). Evidence is increasing for the adverse effects on health of fine particles (<PM2.5), with short-term effects of PM2.5-10 being observed independently of the effects of PM2.5. In addition, there is increasingly strong evidence linking long-term exposure to PM10 with health effects, especially for respiratory outcomes. Coarse and fine particles deposit at different locations in the respiratory tract, have different sources and composition, act through partly different biological mechanisms, and depending on the physiology and age of the person, result in different health outcomes. On the

whole, health benefits may be gained from the reduction in long-term mean concentrations of PM_{2,5} and PM₁₀ to levels far below the current guidelines."

2.5 So, so far there is **legal obligation** on councils in respect to road dust and now too supportive documentation that shows some possible **serious health problems** resulting from such inhalation of said dust; respiratory and cardiovascular related. (I side-track here to mention that my wife and I have lived here adjacent to our unsealed road and subject to horrendous clouds of road dust over the last 40 years. My wife now has a diaphragmatic hernia, one side of her diaphragm no longer functions and I now have cardiovascular disease. Hmmm!)

2.6 As an aside, to say that we moved here knowing that we would be living adjacent to an unsealed road is simply not relevant nor would it be for many, many others. The population of Rolleston/ Burnham/ Springston in those days (40 years ago) was of a negligible number as too were the number of sealed roads. Weeks could go by with the only traffic down Edwards Road being that of our own and dust not a problem. Now we have many, many cars and trucks thundering along our road billowing clouds of dust. I understand that in Kerrs Road, trucks caused such a problem that one woman lay down in that road in an attempt to slow down such traffic to mitigate such adverse climate. I am also aware from councillors with whom I have spoken, and some council staff employees, that they often get calls from residents/rate-payers requesting assistance to abate such climate and without success.

3. DUST SUPPRESSANT TRIALS:

3.1 My formal communication to Mark Chamberlain of the S.D.C. resulted in it being confirmed that I had as much chance of getting pregnant as I had of getting my wife and my road sealed; my choice of words. He did relate to me about a trial in that said Kerrs Road which was apparently rather successful. He concluded that the S.D.C. would give approval to the use by rate-payers of the recommended spray but that it would be at rate-payers financial cost!

3.2 I tried spraying our roadside with transmission oil or vegetable oil as suggested by Environment Canterbury. I would have to be very rich to utilise the transmission oil to any degree and the bit that I did apply lasted very briefly; the vegetable oil attracted flies and insects in hoards!

3.3 The Kerrs Road trial indicated that the product from Gravel Lock N.Z. Ltd called RDC was the best option. To cover 300 metres at 6 metres width using 2 litres at \$1.70 per square metre would cost \$3,060. An additional application would be needed 8 to 10 weeks later at a further cost of \$1530 making the total \$4590 BUT this sum does not include freight, application nor G.S.T.! This sum especially when including the extras, is and would be prohibitive to many, myself included.

3.4 In the first paragraph of this submission, I stated that the Far North District Council has a policy of cost sharing with their community the sealing of unsealed roads. The Selwyn District Council, not being in the mind frame of sealing such roads, should however assist with the cost sharing of the RDC product and its application.

3.5 *Vehicle speed has been shown to have a significant effect on the amount of dust discharged from an unsealed roadway. To reduce dust generated on mine or quarry haul roads, air discharge permit conditions frequently restrict vehicle speed to a maximum of 20km/h. From my 33 years service in the N.Z. Police, I can categorically state that trying to enforce such would be simply impractical.*

i. SUBSIDY:

4.1 I am aware that a roading subsidy would by no means be a first because the S.D.C. has already subsidised dairy farmers in our district the sum of \$10,000 each toward the cost of underground road tunnels. This probably isn't too well known at this time.

4.2 There will be some that claim that people moved to unsealed roads and as they did, so, their problem. Well that is completely against the findings as per paragraph 2.2. Please also see paragraph 2.6.

4.3 There will be some who claim that why should the general rate payer subsidise dust suppression when dust does not affect them. Well there are two aspects to such claim:

4.3.1 Should a resident suffering from health problems due to road dust pursue a legal remedy against the S.D.C. / rate payers, the legal costs for the S.D.C. / rate payers, would be very extensive indeed.

4.3.2 I, and many, many other residents of this district pay rates for activities and amenities which we do not utilise nor ever have any intention of so doing; a community hall/ the aquatic centre/ etc.

4.4 The subsidy should be for the application of Gravel Lock dust suppressant over 300 metre stretches adjacent to homes where there is a need for such dust suppression.

4.5 The subsidy could come from the same source as where the dairy farmers came from, see paragraph 4.1. The S.D.C. clearly has massive savings through no longer having a policy of sealing unsealed roads so use some of this for the subsidy. And from its many other funding sources such as that paid by the many subdivision developers in this district.

And of course it should come from the \$15 million a year estimated to be spent by the SDC on "Roading Improvements"

4.6 Bulk buying of the product and using council own vehicles to make application would also mean considerable savings.

ii. CONCLUSION AND FORMAL SUBMISSION:

That the Selwyn District Council comply with its legal and moral responsibility for dust suppression under both the RMA and Health Act, 1956, and either seal all unsealed roads in the Selwyn district or subsidise the application cost of their recommended dust suppressant by 75% and without undue delay.