LONG-TERM PLAN 2021–2031

SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL 2021–2031 Draft Long-Term Plan

SUBMISSIONS #100501 - #100530



[100501] - Dianne Westaway

Address: 3/1299 Courtenay Road Kirwee 7543

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: westaway45@gmail.com

Submission

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Avoid chlorination

It would be desirable to keep all drinking water free from chlorine. Use alternative methods.

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Support Proposal

This option will encourage consumers to conserve water and use it wisely.

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Support Proposal

Stage one for Kirwee should be for all proposed new subdivisions, followed by existing properties.

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Support Proposal

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Support proposed programme

Extending work on Hoskyns Road and seal from SH73 to Kirwee cemetery. More vehicles are using this route.

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Support construction

A community centre is the heart of a community. Builds good community spirit.

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action If this submission was accepted in full or in part, then the following would be

impacted:

Staff Comments The submitters preference for Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can

avoid chlorination is acknowledged. This option means additional funding and upgrades, with the specific purpose of avoiding chlorination, would need to be added within the 2021-31 LTP as additional projects. The additional cost of this option is estimated to be \$30M capex and an additional \$0.2M operational expense. The increase in the water rate would be around \$100 per year per property. It is proposed that an exemption from chlorination from Taumata Arowai would be required prior to spending additional money on our water

supplies.

Topic: BIG DECISION 2 - How we pay for drinking water supply (2021-2031) - Corporate

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 3 - Developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee (2021-2026) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submitters support for the Darfield Wastewater Proposal is acknowledged.

Topic: BIG DECISION 4 - Maintaining our roads (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 5 - Planning for future roading and transportation projects (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Previous LTP requests to seal Hoskyns Rd from SH73 to Kirwee saw this being

used as part of a series of test cases to inform the development of Councils current seal extension policy. Councils programme is to address specific network seal extensions on high $\sqrt[7]{0}$ lume main routes or connections is to assist in the movement of people and freight. Hoskyns Road is a local road that doesn't fall into that category, as SH73 already provides the main sealed road connection to Kirwee.

Topic: BIG DECISION 6 - Future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre (2025/2026) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

[100502] -Creative Communities Suzanne Pickford

Address: Not provided Not provided Not provided

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 0211576367

Phone (mobile):

Email: suzanne@pickforddesign.co.nz

Submission

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

The Selwyn Community is in desperate need of Arts Representation by a Councillor and by an Employee who can liaise with and advise local arts groups. We have a rapidly growing population and a vibrant community needs opportunities for many and widely varied activities and pass-times to benefit community cultural well-being. Creative Communities is a marvellous scheme and I have note that the regular meetings across a year really assists with clubs and groups. (Especially in relation to Christchurch for example, where the funding group only meet twice a year). However with insufficient support locals do not know what is available to them, if they did they might be encouraged to provide more events and activities especially on the small township level where the local sports hall (which have cost millions and are a real asset to sporting endeavours) can be used more fully by the artistic portions of the communities.

Creative Communities has more money to distribute and yet there are not many new events or activities utilising these funds. Too many small groups struggle to make ends meet and dwindle due to costs and lack of support. Encouraging locals to apply would be hugely beneficial. It is an important task,

Ng? mihi

Suzanne

Member of Creative Communities Funding Group

Topic: TOPIC: Arts and culture - Community Services & Facilities

Staff Recommended Action No recommended action made.

Staff Comments Submitter proposes that Council staff member work in arts area and a Councillor

also be involved in arts.

ACLL still has an Arts role and a Heritage role, although depending on the nature of the request, different team members of ACLL are responsible for different aspects of arts activity. A different role manages public art enquiries etc.

Submitter also suggests Council assist in providing advice on how to make funding applications for Creative Communities and promotion of Fund. Council has recently reviewed how it supports this Fund and promotion has been included in this consideration and planning for the way forward. Most customer facing roles of Council (e.g. Customer Services, Library / Service Centre staff should be able to assist residents to download application and understand criteria) as well as Community and Economic Development staff. Council managed and administered Community Funding Guidelines are currently being prepared that will include

some "how to" tips.

[100503] - Lyndsay Jacobs

Address: PO Box 73 Darfield 7541

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: lyndsaylorrainejacobs@xtra.co.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Keep status quo

I will provide a copy of my in-person comments on the day of the hearing

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

10

SUBMISSION FORM - LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-2031 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory	
Submitter details First name*	Town* Darfield Postcode* 1841
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?* Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Aftern Friday 14 May 2021 Morning Aftern	oon Evening
Questions 1. Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our	3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a
drinking water supply safe? Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?	new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee? Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? I will provide a copy of my imposon. Comments on the day of the heaving.
2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply? Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?	4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads? Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency. Indon't have a preference. Any other comments?

	12
5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.	
I don't have a preference	
Any other comments?	9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engagement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the consultation document?
	Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)
	Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.
	☐ I don't have a preference
Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.	
I don't have a preference.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Any other comments?	. /-
	10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other
	projects proposed in this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan, or any other matters. You can also
	provide feedback on our proposed well-beings (see p. 9) and
	climate change response (see p. 10-11).
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the	
Leeston Library and Community Centre? Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston	
Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.	•
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.	*
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
	-
8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Centre?	You can return this printed submission form by:
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Hororata Domain in 2023/24.	posting it to: Freepost 104 653
(This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of	Long-Term Plan Submissions PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
168m²) and provide new meeting spaces (125m²) for the community and playcentre (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	· scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.	 dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston, or to any Council library or service centre. Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.
I don't have a preference.	
	All ask last
2004	DISTRICT COUNCIL

www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031

Topic: BIG DECISION 3 - Developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee (2021-2026) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submitters opposition to the proposal to develop a new wastewater system in

Darfield and Kirwee is acknowledged.

Please refer Sub ID 100026 for Staff comments.

[100504] -Environment Canterbury Chair Jenny Hughey

Address: 200 Tuam Street Christchurch 8140

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 033539007

Phone (mobile):

Email: Governance@ecan.govt.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

Refer attached

30 April 2021



Mayor Sam Broughton Selwyn District Council PO Box 90 Rolleston 7643

Customer Services
P. 03 353 9007 or 0800 324 636
200 Tuam Street
PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140
E. ecinfo@ecan.govt.nz
www.ecan.govt.nz

Tēnā koe Sam,

Environment Canterbury submission on the Council's draft Long-Term Plan 2021-31

Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission on your draft Long-Term Plan 2021-31. In the current uncertain times, working together in partnership will continue to be critical. Our communities need a collaborative, joined-up approach and we look forward to working together to achieve this.

Canterbury Regional Forums

The Canterbury Mayoral Forum, and the regional forums and working groups that support it, provide valuable mechanisms for local government in Canterbury. The Mayoral Forum is also a key means of demonstrating a strong and unified voice on the priority issues for our region. With the current challenges facing local government through the three waters and resource management reforms and the evolving role of local government, the value of this strong and unified voice cannot be underestimated. We appreciate your continued commitment to working alongside Mayoral Forum colleagues for the benefit of Canterbury and its communities, and we look forward to continuing to work with your Council as we implement the Canterbury Regional Forums' work programmes, particularly the *Mayoral Forum's Plan for Canterbury*, over the remainder of this local government term.

We particularly value your leadership of the Mayoral Forum as its Chairperson. We thank you for ably guiding the Forum through the many complex and challenging issues it has tackled this term, including your work as chair of the Forum's Three Waters Steering Group.

We also thank Selwyn's Chief Executive for his dedicated leadership of the Canterbury Policy Forum and the Long-Term Plan working group this term.

Climate Change

The Canterbury Climate Change Working Group and the Mayoral Forum Climate Change Steering Group are key to developing a shared understanding of the implications of climate change across Canterbury. We would like to acknowledge your membership and contribution to the working group and look forward to continuing to collaborate with you in this work.

We also note and support your focus on putting climate change at the heart of Council decision making and your council's work on assessing its carbon emissions.

Enviroschools

As we work together towards adapting to climate change, Enviroschools, a national, evaluated programme that supports sustainable communities, is specifically designed to meet multiple council outcomes through authentic relationships with the community. There are 18 Enviroschools in the Selwyn district and we thank you for your support and ask that you maintain your investment in this proven programme. This will enable these schools to continue to empower young people to design solutions and take action for a more resilient, connected and sustainable community.

Canterbury Water Management Strategy and biodiversity

The Canterbury Water Management Strategy's recent Fit for Future project provided a platform to recognise the extensive work and investment from Canterbury councils that contributes towards achieving the goals for 2025. To support additional actions required to progress the goals, the project developed a work programme tailored for each Canterbury council. We note that Selwyn District Council has adopted the work programme and is implementing the Canterbury Water Management Strategy in areas of wastewater, drinking water, biodiversity and mahinga kai. Increased resourcing for biodiversity initiatives such as the restoration and enhancement of Tārerekautuku/Yarrs Lagoon is welcomed.

We support the Council's proposals to improve wastewater management in areas close to the Selwyn River/Waikirikiri and in the Ellesmere Ward. We strongly support the Council's proposal to develop a reticulated wastewater system for Darfield and Kirwee. We look forward to seeing improved environmental outcomes as these infrastructure projects are completed.

We would like to acknowledge and thank Council for the collaborative approach taken recently in the Hororata catchment, with our respective staff working together through challenging drainage issues to find a solution for our shared community.

With respect to the network of Council managed drains around Te Waihora, we note Council is preparing to transition to a district-wide drainage committee and will place increasing emphasis on environmental performance. We are pleased to see a commitment to increasing the involvement of Te Taumutu Rūnanga in decision making.

We support the Council continuing its work with Papatipu Rūnanga and other partners to protect and enhance biodiversity values. In particular, we look forward to continuing to work together as part of the strategic co-governance arrangement for Te Waihora and its catchment.

We also acknowledge your involvement in and support of the Canterbury Biodiversity Champions group and look forward to working together to develop shared regional approaches to key biodiversity challenges for the region.

We acknowledge the District Council's participation in, and support of, the Selwyn Waihora and Christchurch West Melton Zone Committees and the contribution to

implementing the Zone Committees' action plans, and thank the Council for your ongoing commitment to the Canterbury Water Management Strategy and your willingness to work collaboratively and share information with other councils.

Greater Christchurch Partnership

We wish to emphasise the value we place on the collaborative work undertaken through the Greater Christchurch Partnership to improve the wellbeing of our communities, and our appreciation of your continued involvement and investment in this work. This particularly includes current work to develop the Greater Christchurch 2050 strategic framework and plan, which will describe the Partnership's collective aspirations for the future of Greater Christchurch and the actions we need to take over the next thirty years to make it happen. There is the opportunity for us to begin to deliver on our communities' aspirations through this Long-Term Plan. As noted above, we also need to continue to work together as we learn more about emerging central government direction, including in relation to resource management, urban and regional planning, three waters and climate change.

Public transport infrastructure

Collaboration is key to the successful integration and delivery of public transport infrastructure and services in Greater Christchurch. We encourage the Council to commit through this Long-Term Plan to the investment programme agreed in the Public Transport Futures business case, both in terms of investment value and the timing of delivery.

We do wish to be heard in support of our submission. If you have any queries in relation to our submission, please contact Adrienne Lomax, Regional Leadership and Policy, on 027 561 0270.

Yours sincerely

Jenny Hughey

Juney Heeghey

Chair

Topic: TOPIC: Biodiversity / Environment¹⁸ Env & Reg Services

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments With regard to the submission points on Bio-diversity the ongoing support in the

space is appreciated, in particular Ecan officer roles in the District Plan Review

process, including the Bio-diversity Working Group.

Topic: TOPIC: Climate Change - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Council acknowledges the feedback and recognises ECAN as an important partner

in its efforts on Climate change response. SDC will continue to collaborate with

ECAN in all its future Climate Change related works.

Topic: TOPIC: Governance matters - CEO

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Council acknowledges and thanks Environment Canterbury for its comments to

our Draft 2021-2031 Long Term Plan.

We value the relationship that we have with Environment Canterbury and the opportunities to collaborate on a wide-range of activities that have both impact on

and benefit for our collective ratepayers.

Topic: TOPIC: Public Transport - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Council has included in its proposed LTP its share of funding required to

implement PT Futures in Selwyn, as identified and agreed through the combined business case process for Greater Christchurch and partners. The NZTA Board has to endorse the Business Case to enable funding to then be allocated in subsequent

National Land Transport Programmes, starting in 2021-24.

Topic: TOPIC: Water / Wastewater - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Support for the Council's proposals to improve wastewater management in areas

close to the Selwyn River/Waikirikiri and in the Ellesmere Ward is acknowledged.

The SDC / ECan collaborative approach taken recently in the Hororata catchment is acknowledged.

Support for Council transitioning to a district-wide drainage committee and placing increasing emphasis on environmental performance is acknowledged along with a commitment to increasing the involvement of Te Taumutu Rúnanga in decision making.

20

[100505] - John Priest

Address: 42 Aivary Road Not noted Not noted

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: ajpriest12@gmail.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Support Proposal

Avoid chlorine in water if possible.

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Support Proposal

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Support Proposal

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Support Proposal

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Support proposed programme

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

Plenty of green belt areas.

21042800

SUBMISSION FORM - LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-2031 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

Submitter details	Doiles
First name* 5000 Address* 42 ANARY Road	Last name* Postcode* Postcode*
Contact number* 27. 5347986 Email addre	Town*Postcode*
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes	3
If yes, please state the name of the organisation:*	
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?*	Yes Mo
Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning After	
Friday 14 May 2021 Morning After	
Questions	
Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe?	Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations.	Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option)
(This is the Council's preferred option)	Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.
Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination.	I don't have a preference.
I don't have a preference.	Any other comments?
Any other comments?	
Hoolo Cloude	
worker it bissible	
	Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply? Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric	Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option)
water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)	Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.	Indon't have a preference.
l don't have a preference.	Any other comments?
Any other comments?	

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.	
I don't have a preference	
Any other comments?	9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engagement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the consultation document?
	Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)
	Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.
	I don't have a preference
Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the	
10-year plan.	
Any other comments?	10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other
	projects proposed in this Consultation Document or in the
	draft Long-Term Plan, or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-beings (see p. 9) and
	climate change response (see p. 10–11).
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?	Plenty of Green
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. (This is the Council's preferred option)	belt-aveas=
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.	,
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.	
I don't have a preference.	•
Any other comments?	
	, Ba
8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Centre?	You can return this printed submission form by:
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Hororata Domain in 2023/24. (This is the Council's preferred option)	posting it to: Freepost 104 653 Long-Term Plan Submissions
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide new meeting spaces (125m²) for the community and playcentre (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643 scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.	 dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston, or to any Council library or service centre. Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.
☐ I don't have a preference.	
RECOVED PO	



Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Your support for Council to continue its current approach to maintain safe

drinking water is acknowledged. This option means additional funding and upgrades, with the specific purpose of avoiding chlorination, won't be included in

the 2021-31 LTP.

Topic: BIG DECISION 2 - How we pay for drinking water supply (2021-2031) - Corporate

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 3 - Developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee (2021-2026) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submitters support for the Darfield Wastewater Proposal is acknowledged.

Topic: BIG DECISION 4 - Maintaining our roads (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 5 - Planning for future roading and transportation projects (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: TOPIC: Planning questions or comments - Env & Reg Services

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments

The Selwyn District Plan is currently under review and has been out for public consultation. Through this process the growth of townships and the protection of the rural area will be considered.

[100506] - Michael Noonan

Address: 230 Dunsandel Road Brookside 7682

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: popmike66@gmail.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

25

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

Lack of funding for Leeston Heritage Park may put Ellesmere Historical Society archive at risk in the long term.

210425005.

SUBMISSION FORM - LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-2031 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory	
Submitter details	
First name* Michael L	ast name* Noonan
Address* 230 Dunsandel - Brookside Road	Town* Brookside Postcode* 7682
First name* Michael Address* 230 Dunsandel - Brookside Road Contact number* 3291724 Email address	ss* popmike 66 @ gmail.com
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes	
If yes, please state the name of the organisation:*	
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?*	√Yes No
Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Aftern	
Friday 14 May 2021 Morning Aftern	noon
Questions	
 Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe? 	3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations.	Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option)
(This is the Council's preferred option)	Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.
Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination.	On't have a preference.
I don't have a preference.	Any other comments?
Any other comments?	
	·
	Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?	Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)	Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.	I don't have a preference.
I don't have a preference.	Any other comments?
Any other comments?	
*	

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)	.*
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.	
I don't have a preference	
Any other comments?	9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engagement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the consultation document?
	Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)
	Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes. I don't have a preference
Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	7.
	10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects proposed in this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan, or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-beings (see p. 9) and climate change response (see p. 10–11).
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?	Draft Long Term Plan.
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. (This is the Council's preferred option)	Draft Long Term Plan. Lack of major funding for Lewton Heritage Park, may put
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.	Ellesmere Historical Society archive
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference.	at risk, in the long term.
Any other comments?	
8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Centre?	Vou and votive this printed submission form by
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Hororata Domain in 2023/24. (This is the Council's preferred option)	You can return this printed submission form by: • posting it to: Freepost 104 653 Long-Term Plan Submissions
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide new meeting spaces (125m²) for the community and playcentre (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643 scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.	 dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston, or to any Council library or service centre. Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.
I don't have a preference.	The state of the s
TONNO A PIOLOGIO DO LA TENENCIA DE LA TENENCIA DEL TENENCIA DE LA TENENCIA DEL TENENCIA DE LA TENENCIA DEL TENENCIA	
A COL	avides of the second
www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031	DISTRICT COUNCIL

Topic: TOPIC: Funding requests - Community Services & Facilities

Staff Recommended Action

No recommended action made.

Staff Comments

Submitter advocates for funding for Ellesmere Heritage Trust. This Trust has received some funding from Council in past 3 years from Strategic Partnerships Fund.

No budget currently allocated to this group in year commencing 1 July 2021.

Operational costs could potentially be considered by Council for "Strategic Partnerships" Funding. A Report to go to Council anchored to 4 wellbeings.

No current budget for capital costs/investment.

Council funding for community projects Selwyn Community Grants Fund (SCGF) is available and used for activities associated with heritage activities although not exclusively. Creative Communities is a national arts and culture fund that Council administers that does fund some heritage / historic projects but not exclusively. There is also a Selwyn Heritage Fund (heritagefund@selwyn.govt.nz) available to assist property and reserve owners with work required to maintain and enhance buildings and protected trees in the district. Aside from above, there is currently no specific funding pool that is available exclusively for "heritage/ history". Submissions received varied in regards to purpose / criteria for such a funding pool. Overall trend of submissions was away from funding for capital works and supportive of funding to support and retain volunteers and volunteers' operational activities.

Council capital building plans Planned Council building designs including Te Ara Atea and Leeston do give consideration for celebrating Selwyn heritage, however current plans support facilities that integrate history and heritage in to facilities (e.g. exhibition space and heritage display cabinetry) that also include other purposes such as a library, meeting rooms etc. No current capital expenditure planned for "single purpose museum only" building.

[100507] - Graham Morris

Address: 435 Cryers Road RD3 Southbridge 7683

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: g.e.morris@outlook.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Fund at NZTA Level

More and better planning of industrial and housing development across the district should be the main driver in road care, with emphasis on reducing road travel requirements. With also having better outcome on the environment and humans health and stress levels.

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Upgrade in 22/23

Existing library / service centre is under-utilised now and for future population growth and the present site blends in with the character of Leeston Township. Proposed new site bad choice - situated near heavy vehicle bypass, more traffic, industrial area, higher noise levels, dust, fumes, access by foot, bicycle, cars, more dangerous for all age groups.

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

29

SUBMISSION ON Long-term Plan 2021-2031





Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number 27MApril 2021 Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory Submitter details First name* Address* 43 Contact phone number* Email address* Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes If yes, please state the name of the organisation:* _ Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?* Yes No Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Afternoon Evening Friday 14 May 2021 Morning Afternoon Questions 1. Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe? Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?



2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, le keep septic tanks.
l don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
More ebetter planning of industrial and housing development across
the district should be main driver in road come, with emphasis
on reducing read travel requirements. With also having better
outcome on the environment and humans healthqstress
levels.

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
·
*
<u> </u>
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park.
(This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
existing library, service centre is under utilized now and for teams population
growth and the present site blends in with the character of Leesten Township.
growth and the present sire blends mouth the character of reested townships.
oposed new site bad choice situated near heavy rechile bypass, more traffic
ustrial area, higher noise level, dust, fumes, access by foot, bicycle, cars more
igerous for all age groups.

. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Centre?	this is the Council's proferred antion)
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Horor	rata Domain in 2023/24. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide new r (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new Ho	prorata Community Centre or renovate existing riali.
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
	<u>, </u>
9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engager	nent Policy and financial polices as outlined in the
consultation document?	
Option 1: I support the proposed changes: (This is the Council's preferred option)	1
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
•	*
	The second secon
10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects proposed in or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-being	this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan, gs (see p. 9) and climate change response (see p. 10-11).
	You can return this printed submission form by:
	· posting it to:
	Freepost 104 653 Long-Term Plan Submissions
	PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
, * ·	 scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
	 dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston, or to any Council library or service centre.
	Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.



Topic: BIG DECISION 4 - Maintaining our foads (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Integrated land use and transport planning is already occurring across Greater

Christchurch but will be updated to form the 2050 Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan. The Greater Christchurch partnership also has a Mode Shift Plan, Travel Demand Strategy and Public Transport Futures Business Case initiatives already in play for informing transport planning and meeting future travel needs,

sustainability and climate changes outcomes.

Topic: BIG DECISION 7 - Future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre (2022/2023) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

35

[100508] - Andrea Clemens

Address: PO Box 10 Kirwee 7543

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: imaclemens@actrix.co.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Avoid chlorination

No chlorine is preferred. Chlorine is a chemical and causes skin conditions. Sure, people can install water filters to remove chlorine, but not many people would do that. Chlorine is just another toxin in our bodies.

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Support Proposal

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Keep status quo

I would like to keep septic tanks. Otherwise they would be rendered worthless. Also, I could foresee a property value discrepancy emerging between properties that are on septic tanks versus properties on reticulated wastewater. This proposal should be reviewed at the next 10 year District Plan review. Septic tanks are a perfectly good treatment method.

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Support Proposal

With more people in the district comes more pressure on roading. Roads are a safety issue. We must maintain our roading infrastructure at all costs. Also, it is core business of a Council. Stick to the knitting.

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Support proposed programme

Same comment as no. 4

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

No preference

The Prebbleton community should have its own say.

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

No preference

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

SUBMISSION ON LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-2031



Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number
Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory
Submitter details First name* ANDREA Last name* CLEMENS Address* 6 WALTER PLACE7571 P.C.BOX10 Town* KIRWEE Postcode* Contact phone number* 03 666 0012 Email address* imaclemens @ actrix. co.nz Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes No
If yes, please state the name of the organisation:*
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?*
Questions 1. Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe? Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community
expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination. I don't have a preference.
No chlorine is preferred. Chlorine is a chemical and causes skin
conditions. Sure, people can install water filters to remove chlorine,
but not many people would do that.
Oldown Chlorine is just another toxin into our bookes.



2. Big recision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
I would like to keep septic tanks. Otherwise they would be rendered
workless. Also I could foresee a property value obscrepancy emerging
between properties that are on septie-tanks is properties on
reticulated waste water. This proposal should be reviewed
. at the next 10 year District Plan Review. Septic tanks are a perfectly good treatment method.
Septic tanks are a perfectly good treatment method.
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency.
(This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
with more people in the district comes more pressure on roading.
Roads are a safety issue. We must maintain our roading
inflastructure at all costs. Also, it is core business of a
council. Stick to the knitting.

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.
I don't have a preference.
A
Any other comments?
Same comments as No.4.
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
The Prebibleton Community should have its own say.
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?

8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community	ly Centre?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre of Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and pre (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct	ct a new Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall
☐ I don't have a preference.	sea now not order community control of fortovate existing field.
Any other comments?	
I along want to see the Hororata I	Domain built on. Going forward,
the District will enjoy the wide op	Domain built on. Going forward, as people do for Hagley Park, en space of the Domain, Open space
without buildings are healthy. L	arge unencumbered areas will
become treasures infuture as	people seek more and more to
take time out from suburble and	wifi.
What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and consultation document?	I Engagement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the
Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred opti	ion
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.	ory .
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects propor any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed we	posed in this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan,
I am against creating smaller allot	
of Kirwee is its semi-rural feel.	I vote for larger lot sizes over
smaller ones. There are plenty of	other places to build smaller lots
in Selwyn. It is unnecessary to	
change this particular	You can return this printed submission form by:
characteristic of Kirwee.	posting it to: Freepost 104 653 Long-Term Plan Submissions
	PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
	scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston,
	or to any Council library or service centre.
	Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.



I don't want to see the Hororata domain built on. Going forward, the District will enjoy the wide open space of the Domain as people do for Hagley Park. Open spaces without buildings are healthy. Large unencumbered areas will become treasures in the future as people seek more and more to take time out from suburbia and wifi.

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

No preference

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

I am against creating smaller allotment sizes in Kirwee. The beauty of Kirwee is its semi-rural feel. I vote for larger lot sizes over smaller ones. There are plenty of other places to build smaller lots in Selwyn. It is unnecessary to change this particular characteristic of Kirwee.

Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinfking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action If this submission was accepted in full or in part, then the following would be

impacted:

Staff Comments The submitters preference for Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can

avoid chlorination is acknowledged. This option means additional funding and upgrades, with the specific purpose of avoiding chlorination, would need to be added within the 2021-31 LTP as additional projects. The additional cost of this option is estimated to be \$30M capex and an additional \$0.2M operational expense. The increase in the water rate would be around \$100 per year per property. It is proposed that an exemption from chlorination from Taumata Arowai would be required prior to spending additional money on our water

supplies.

Topic: BIG DECISION 2 - How we pay for drinking water supply (2021-2031) - Corporate

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 3 - Developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee (2021-2026) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submitters opposition to the proposal to develop a new wastewater system in

Darfield and Kirwee is acknowledged.

Please refer Sub ID 100026 for Staff comments.

Topic: BIG DECISION 4 - Maintaining our roads (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Through the Safe Network Programme (SNP) and national Road to Zero

initiatives, Council and the NZTA is funding a major increase in road safety upgrades both on local roads and state highways in the District over the next ten years. The subsidised SNP is currently valued at \$32 million for Council local roads which do not include other upgrades funded directly by Council that have a

safety benefit as well.

Topic: BIG DECISION 5 - Planning for future roading and transportation projects (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 8 - Future of the Hororata Community Centre (2023/2024) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: TOPIC: Planning questions or comments - Env & Reg Services

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The Selwyn District Plan is currently under review and has been out for public

consultation. Through this process the growth of townships, their character and

amenity will be considered.

[100509] -Forest and Bird Nicky Snoyink

idai cos.	Address:	PO Box 2516 Christchurch 801
-----------	----------	------------------------------

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 039405522

Phone (mobile):

Email: n.snoyink@forestandbird.org.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

Refer attached



Christchurch Office PO Box 2516, Christchurch 8014 18 April 2021 New Zealand

P: +64 3 9405522 www.forestandbird.org.nz

30 April 2021

Selwyn District Council 2 Norman Kirk Drive Rolleston Canterbury 79435

BY EMAIL: longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz

Feedback on Selwyn District Draft Long Term Plan 2021/31

Forest & Bird wishes to be heard.

Introduction

- 1. Forest & Bird is New Zealand's leading independent conservation organisation. We have played an important role in preserving Aotearoa New Zealand's environment and native species since 1923. We are independently funded by private subscription, donations, and bequests. Our mission is to protect and preserve New Zealand's unique ecological values, flora and fauna, and natural habitats.
- 2. Forest & Bird has 47 branches throughout Aotearoa New Zealand. Our North Canterbury Branch and Selwyn based supporters have a long history of conservation in the Selwyn District. Forest & Bird have contributed significantly—and continue to contribute significantly—to conservation in the Canterbury region, as advocates for the environment through national, regional, and local planning processes; through our youth network; as an educator through our Kiwi Conservation Club; and in action through on-the-ground conservation work within our communities.
- 3. Forest & Bird has for many years had a strong interest and involvement in protecting and restoring nature on land, in freshwater and in the ocean in the Canterbury region. Our strategic vision for Canterbury which ties into our national Forest & Bird strategic objectives is as follows:

Climate Centred: Canterbury is resilient to the impacts of climate change. Activities or developments in the region must actively mitigate their contribution to climate change. People understand the threat and urgency of climate change and are supported in climate change practices.

Economy that Supports Nature: Canterbury's local economy and nature are interconnected. Unhealthy nature equals an unhealthy economy.

Vibrant Landscapes: Canterbury's terrestrial native flora and fauna are protected and enhanced in urban and rural areas. Canterbury's landscapes are free from pests. Development can occur without clearing and destroying landscapes and their respective natural ecosystems.

Oceans Alive: Canterbury people recognise the health of the marine environment is a direct result of on-land activities. The regions harbours return to their original, healthy states. Fishing and aquaculture activities follow ecosystem-based management principles. Canterbury's marine environment is protected through a network of no-take marine protected areas.

Energised Water, Rivers and Wetlands: Canterbury's groundwater, rivers and streams are clean, healthy and teeming with life. Wetlands are protected and enhanced.

4. Forest & Bird is grateful for the opportunity to provide feedback on the Selwyn District Council (SDC) Draft Long Term Plan 2021/31 (LTP). We have done so beginning with some general comments on issues of interest to Forest & Bird then with specific comments on leadership, climate change, indigenous biodiversity and biosecurity, freshwater, and waste management.

General Comments

- 5. Forest & Bird acknowledges the challenges that a year of COVID has placed on everyone, which will likely be felt for years to come. Forest & Bird generally supports the LTP including the council's strategic priorities and the development of well-being indicators. We strongly recommend including measures for the indicators, to ensure public accountability.
- 6. From the Greater Christchurch 2050 consultation, council heard that people wanted a sustainable, green, safe and affordable place to live that is easy to get around using public transport, walking or cycling, and where nature is protected and respected. That people were most concerned that not enough was being done to offset the impacts of climate change, that there are pollution and waste management issues, worsening traffic congestion, and that natural ecosystems and indigenous biodiversity will be threatened, among other social and cultural well-being challenges. These are not unreasonable demands.
- 7. The Selwyn district is fortunate to have many of the desired features by virtue of its geography and location, however these features, especially the natural ones, are not immune to the impacts of climate change and threats of inappropriate development, pollution, pest plants and pest animals. It is councils job to carry out the will of the people and to ensure the natural environment is protected and maintained. This underpins well-being.
- 8. Well-being is central to the Local Government Act and the focus is often on balancing the four: cultural, social, economic and environmental. The current environmental indicators for freshwater quality and quantity, and native plants and animals, loss of productive soils to urban sprawl and inappropriate land-use in the Selwyn district, suggest that the balance is totally out of kilter and short term economic well-being has prevailed. This is not sustainable.
- 9. Much is to be done to reset the balance, required action made all the more urgent by climate change. In Forest & Bird's view, if the natural environment is healthy, the

- people are healthy. This balance between the well-beings can be reset through good governance, prioritising environmental well-being, sound strategic planning and management, and in co-operation with other government agencies, and communities.
- 10. The remainder of this submission focuses on how to reset the well-being balance and suggests ways for council to improve its performance and achieve its desired well-being outcomes. Forest & Bird recommends now more than ever SDC needs to prioritize environmental well-being.

Leadership

- 11. Forest & Bird strongly encourage more co-operation and information sharing between the SDC, neighbouring territorial authorities and Environment Canterbury and other Government agencies on a Climate Change Strategy for the Canterbury region¹; on Canterbury freshwater issues, including the implementation of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) and Te Mana o Te Wai; on three waters reform; on an implementation plan for the Biodiversity Strategy for the Canterbury region and the proposed National Policy Statement for Biodiversity (NPS-IB); on Biosecurity; on environmental compliance, monitoring and enforcement; on a risk assessment of legacy landfills and other climate related risks; and on regional transport solutions, to help improve the wellbeing and resilience of Canterbury's communities.
- 12. Co-operation between local government and other government agencies with a common purpose to achieve environmental wellbeing will achieve greater efficiencies and better long-term outcomes for all rate and taxpayers of Canterbury. Forest & Bird recommends council continue to resource councilor or staff participation in groups that promote co-operation and joined up thinking for the greater good. ²
- 13. Forest & Bird strongly supports youth engagement. Participation by youth in the School Strikes for climate change suggests that there is a significant opportunity to channel that energy onto charting a more resilient future. We recommend the SDC, as a civic leader, fund and resource programs that promote the engagement of Selwyn youth in environmental programs and local democracy.

Climate Change

- 14. Forest & Bird agree, climate change is one of the most pressing issues faced by central and local governments worldwide.
- 15. Forest & Bird commends SDC for adopting its first climate change policy in December 2020 and pledging to officially put climate change at the heart of its work. However, it is not clear how this pledge will be implemented through this LTP. A significant proportion of council funding (39%) is allocated to transport and roading,

¹ We acknowledge the Mayoral Forum, Chair Mayor Sam Broughton and that SDC is a member of the Canterbury Climate Change working group.

² For example here are two such initiatives that we urge the CCC to support & seek participation in <u>Cr</u> <u>Barbara Gilchrist becomes Timaru's 'biodiversity champion' | Stuff.co.nz</u> and <u>Biosecurity Advisory</u> Groups | Environment Canterbury (ecan.govt.nz)

(ironically transport is one of the biggest contributors of greenhouse gases) while there appears to be no clear budget for climate change action to protect the natural environment.

16. This is despite the Tonkin & Taylor report³ highlighting that:

The natural environment presented the highest number of 'extreme' and 'major' elements at risk, with nearly 50%. The majority (94%) of elements at risk were identified as 'moderate' or above consequence.

And explained that the natural environment domain risks include the following key climate hazards:

Sea level rise, flooding, coastal erosion, fire, higher air temperature, drought, storms and wind, annual rainfall changes, reduced snow and ice, marine heatwaves, and changes in ocean chemistry.

And that these hazards are likely to affect:

Native terrestrial, freshwater, and marine biodiversity; ground and surface water availability and quality; water quality in marine, estuaries, harbours, lakes, and rivers; natural coastal habitats (such as dunes, estuaries, and rocky shores); coastal wetlands; lowland and coastal environments; mountain and hill country environments; alpine and high-country environments; and terrestrial, freshwater, and marine pests and disease.

- 17. Forest & Bird recommend that SDC prioritise climate change action and cast a climate lens across all council activities especially activities that have long term ramifications for nature and for communities, to ensure that the district is on a pathway towards an equitable future for generations to come.
- 18. We encourage the council to adequately resource participation in the Canterbury Climate Change working group. We strongly urge you to develop a climate strategy for the council itself and for the Selwyn district with own targets and milestones, that sits within a Climate Change Strategy for Canterbury. Targets and milestones are especially important for measuring progress and for accountability during election time.
- 19. Through strong leadership in local and regional government and from industry, New Zealand has the best chance of achieving its international climate obligations.

Biosecurity and Biodiversity

20. The rate loss of indigenous biodiversity in Selwyn district is shocking. Recently, Forest & Bird has observed loss of increasingly rare and significant dryland high country ecosystems to more intensive agriculture. We are disturbed by the lack of council action to stop this unlawful behaviour. The loss of native ecosystems and the intensification of farming activities is a driver of declining water quality.

³<u>Attachment-1-Canterbury-climate-change-risk-screening-interim-report.pdf</u> (canterburymayors.org.nz)

48

- 21. Forest & Bird acknowledge that SDC has two dedicated in-house biodiversity officers. We strongly support the work of Andy and Denise and encourage the council to utilise their collective expertise in all aspects of council's operation, to maintain, protect and restore the district's remaining indigenous biodiversity.
- 22. Forest & Bird urges SDC to increase its community biodiversity fund. We understand that the Selwyn Natural Environment Fund (SNEF) is currently \$75K - this is a meagre \$2.67 per household per year. Increasing this to \$10 per year per household would provide an additional \$205K to assist land occupiers to protect and maintain significant natural areas (SNA) in the district, though still not nearly enough to do what is required. Rates relief for SNA is also a necessary tool.
- 23. Forest & Bird commends the work of Environment Canterbury in its establishment of the Biodiversity Champions program. We acknowledge and support SDC councillor membership of this initiative. We strongly urge council to resource participation in this group and to establish a biodiversity working group to advise and support the champion and the council to achieve its statutory obligations.
- 24. The council has a statutory obligation to protect outstanding landscapes and features and significant indigenous biodiversity, and to control land-use for the purpose of maintaining indigenous biodiversity. The proposed national Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB) is likely to be gazetted later this year. The NPS-IB strengthens territorial authorities responsibility to identify and protect Significant Natural Areas (SNA).
- 25. Forest & Bird recommends that SDC increase funding to properly resource its environmental regulatory planning portfolio to implement the NPS-IB, to identify, map, protect and maintain SNAs and to meet its statutory obligation for indigenous biodiversity.
- 26. We also recommend adequately resourcing and upskilling the council's compliance, monitoring and enforcement capability.
- 27. Biosecurity is of increasing concern to Forest & Bird, and it is likely to be exacerbated by the impact of climate change. Pest animals, weeds and diseases are a risk to people and to nature. Environment Canterbury has established Biosecurity Advisory Group for mid Canterbury in which SDC representation would be helpful.
- 28. We recommend that SDC investigate and resource participation in the Environment Canterbury Biosecurity Advisory group for mid Canterbury. Participation in this group enables joined up thinking, access to hear community concerns and an opportunity to use scarce resources to manage biosecurity risks more efficiently.⁵

Freshwater

29. The discussion document opens with this statement: Selwyn is an awesome place to live, work and play – and the safest place to live in New Zealand. That's why more and more people and their whanau are choosing to move to Selwyn. (p1)

⁴ Based on 28000 households as indicated in the consultation document.

⁵ Illegal indigenous vegetation clearance under the guise of compliance with the Canterbury Pest Management Plan and management of domestic and feral cats are two issues which would benefit from an SDC presence at this table.

49

It goes on to say:

Selwyn just keeps growing! We know Selwyn is a great place to live and work, to do business and to raise a family. And it seems more and more people are getting the message and coming to join us.

Over the past 10 years Selwyn has been the second-fastest growing district in New Zealand, growing from 42,900 in 2011 to around 71,500. While this increase came initially from the Canterbury earthquakes of 2010–2011, in recent years we've continued to see steady internal migration, mostly from the greater Christchurch area. (p7).

- 30. Recently, Radio New Zealand reported on the nitrate contamination of Selwyn's water. The take home message is that while Selwyn is one of the fastest growing regions, it could easily become one of the fastest declining regions if its water supply is undrinkable.
- 31. Freshwater contamination is a significant issue for all of Canterbury. Water pollution is devastating for nature and for public health. Current freshwater issues will be exacerbated by climate change. Forest & Bird strongly supports SDC's commitment to the government's freshwater reform and the three waters program, especially for improving water use efficiency, for better controlling land use to protect drinking water sources and to improve ecosystem health of the district's waterways.
- 32. A good supply of clean and healthy water is necessary for all four well-beings. We are concerned that the SDC has not adequately considered the implications of, for example, nitrate contamination in drinking water supplies. We strongly recommend that the SDC ensure there is adequate funding to tackle this issue during the lifetime of this LTP.
- 33. Metering water is a one way to generate income to improve community drinking water infrastructure. Forest & Bird supports water charges so long as it occurs in an equitable way. We recommend that the council work closely with Environment Canterbury to recover costs from commercial users of water and water polluters, to ensure the cost of keeping community drinking water sources safe from contamination and improvements to freshwater ecosystem health are not borne entirely by the public.

Waste management

- 34. Forest & Bird strongly recommends an education program to further minimise waste to landfill, to improve recycling and to investigate new technology for waste disposal. Fly tipping of waste continues to be a problem in the district. This is both an environmental risk and a biosecurity risk. Increased affordable opportunities to dispose of large quantities of green waste and other waste are needed.
- 35. We recommend that the SDC allocate funding to urgently assess and understand its risk of legacy landfills in the Selwyn district. The funding must include the removal of the most at-risk landfills vulnerable to sea level rise or extreme storm events, before a Fox River styled clean-up operation is needed in this district. ⁷

⁶ Canterbury homeowners to get free water check for nitrate levels | RNZ News

⁷ Protecting Fox River from future rubbish spills could cost \$2.8 million | RNZ News

Conclusion

- 36. Forest & Bird recommend elevating the climate change policy as an overarching priority in the LTP so SDC can cast a climate lens over its entire work program, and we recommend council prioritise environmental well-being to reset that balance.
- 37. For efficiency and effectiveness, Forest & Bird strongly recommends interagency cooperation on climate and environmental well-being initiatives.
- 38. We recommend increasing funding for NPS-IB implementation and for the Selwyn natural environment fund and for implementation of the governments essential freshwater package.
- 39. We recommend setting targets and milestones for well-being indicators to monitor council progress and for accountability at election time.
- 40. We hope that our suggestions are helpful and that they will be reflected in the final Long-Term Plan.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit.

Nicky Snoyink
Regional Manager Canterbury/West Coast
Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc.

Topic: TOPIC: Biodiversity / Environment⁵¹ Env & Reg Services

Staff Recommended Action

That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments

With regard to the biodiversity points and protection of Indigenous Biodiversity, the submission has merit. The SNEF fund has been proposed to be increased but not to the extent outlined in the submissions. With the increase in subscriptions to the fund and the impending National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity, which will likely increase Councils responsibly and resource commitment in this area, a household funding rate has merit. This idea has been used elsewhere in the Country, including at the Waimakariri District Council. However, the amount proposed would be over the planned LTP budget for the SNEF fund.

The Council will work towards implementing the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity once that is gazetted and the final direction and implications fully understood, noting that Council has only seen a draft that was subject to submissions.

Council staff are aware that the NPS-IB will likely provide guidance and rules regarding the identification and management of SNA's. Council recognises the need to protect and manage indigenous biodiversity in the District through its SNA programme and as such has two staff working on this, and associated funding streams.

Council acknowledges issues of biosecurity and the threat that exotic weeds play to indigenous biodiversity. We are working closely with agencies and our community to develop more effective and joined up responses to biosecurity issues and threats.

Topic: TOPIC: Climate Change - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Council acknowledges and thanks Forest Bird for their feedback.

Council has been actively participating in the regional climate change working group. Council has initiated work on developing a Climate change response for the Council functions and will extend this work to include Selwyn region.

Topic: TOPIC: Governance matters - CEO

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Council acknowledges the submitter's comments and looks forward to meaningful

dialogue on a range of issues with Forest and Bird for the mutual benefit of our

district's residents.

Topic: TOPIC: Solid Waste - Infrastructure²

Staff Recommended Action

That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments

RE: Waste management 34. We agree. Education: Council has budgeted for a full time educator position based at the yet to be constructed Education Centre. This role will predominantly focus on waste related education, but also overlap with other environmental and sustainable living aspects. Tech advances: Council keeps abreast of technology changes in waste disposal, particularly with pyrolysis and gasification. Fly tipping: the roading department manages this. Increased affordable opportunities for Greenwaste disposal: Selwyn has one of the lower greenwaste disposal fees of the region. We also provide low cost greenwaste disposal at our community waste days in Ellesmere and Malvern. We welcome other suggestions as to how we could provide further opportunities.

35. Funding for assessing and removing at risk legacy landfills: Council has been underway for some time with assessments of old landfills in the district. ECan is also working in this area, particularly with climate change related risks to landfills. Both ECan and Selwyn are sharing the results of the different pieces of work that both Councils are doing. Prioritisation of any remediation work will be done when the assessments are completed.

Topic: TOPIC: Water / Wastewater - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action

That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments

Council regularly monitor nitrate levels within its water supplies. Sample results can be found on the Councils web page: https://www.selwyn.govt.nz/services/water/water-supplies/water-quality-in-selwyn-district/water-quality-tests-results

Setting of farming nitrate limits and discharge conditions sits with Environment Canterbury.

support for SDC's commitment to the government's freshwater reform and the three waters program is acknowledged.

Metering water is a one way to generate income to improve community drinking water infrastructure. Forest & Bird supports water charges so long as it occurs in an equitable way.

[100510] -Lincoln and Districts Historical Society Inc Rupert Tipples

Address:	399 Ellesmere Junction Road Springston 7616			
Postal Address:				
Phone (day):	00			
Phone (mobile):				
Email:	tipples49@outlook.com			
Submission				
Submission supplied a	s PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.			
Q1 KEEPING WATER SUI	PPLY SAFE			
No preference				
Q2 PAYING FOR WATER	SUPPLY			
No preference				
Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRV	VEE WASTEWATER			
No preference				
Q4 ROAD MAINTENANC	E			
No preference				
Q5 FUTURE ROADING A	ND TRANSPORT PROJECTS			
No preference				
Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE				
No preference				
Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY A	ND COMMUNITY CENTRE			
No preference				
Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE				
No preference				
Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY				
No preference				
Q10 OTHER COMMENTS				
Please see attached sheet r	re dangers to heritage sites in the Lincoln Area			

SUBMISSION FORM - LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-2031 CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031. Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

First name KUPERT ADDIEST 399 Ellesmere Junction Road	Last name* TIPPLES Town* Springston Postcode* 7616
Contact number 03 - 3295-634 Email addr	ress tipoles 49@ outlock com
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes No	
is sease state the name of the organisation: * Lincoln +D:	stricts Historical Society Inc.
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?	P* ☐ Yes ☑ No
Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Afte	rnoon Evening
Friday 14 May 2021 Morning Afte	rnoon
Questions	
 Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe? 	3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Cotion 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations. This is the Council's preferred option)	Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option)
1 1	Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.
Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination.	I don't have a preference.
don't have a preference.	Any other comments?
Any other comments?	
	Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply? Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric	Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport
water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)	Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by N
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.	Transport Agency. Idon't have a preference.
1 don't have a preference.	Any other comments?

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?	55 ny other comments?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (<i>This is the Council's preferred option</i>)	
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.	
don't have a preference	
Any other comments?	9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engagement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the consultation document?
	Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)
	Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.
	I don't have a preference
Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre	Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre? Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?	10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects proposed in this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan, or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-beings (see p. 9) and climate change response (see p. 10–11). Please In thackand Sheeth danger of davelopment to heritage Jites in the Lincoln area.
8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Centre? Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Hororata Domain in 2023/24. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide new meeting spaces (125m²) for the community and playcentre (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24. Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.	You can return this printed submission form by: • posting it to: Freepost 104 653 Long-Term Plan Submissions PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643 • scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz • dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston, or to any Council library or service centre.
I don't have a preference.	Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.



Submission from Lincoln & Districts Historical Society Inc. to Selwyn District Council's 2021-2031 Long Term Plan

29 April 2021

As President of Lincoln & Districts Historical Society Inc. I want to express our members' concerns about the increasing development around Lincoln and its long term effects on significant heritage sites. Two come immediately to mind and there are others.

- 1. First, there is the recent proposal to develop the land around Chudleigh Farm, off Springs Road, south of Lincoln University. That was the original Springs Station, the first European settlement in the Lincoln area. The current house is the third on the site and was built in 1878 following the destruction of the second by fire. It has a particularly fine staircase, and its grounds show off the springs of the area to advantage. The current state of the farm buildings could be tidied up, without loss of historic features, which would enhance the site of the original Springs Station as a memorial to early settlement of the area.
- Secondly there is the site of the original Lincoln mill and its millpond. These were key parts of the 19th century economy of the Lincoln area, and while no photographs seem to have survived of the mill, the site should be kept and have appropriate interpretation and information panels for the public's benefit.

Both of these sites are a representation of our local history and need to be guarded jealousy against the ravages of unthinking rapacious developers.

Dr Rupert Tipples, President. (tipples49@outlook.com)

Topic: TOPIC: Heritage Sites - Env & Reg Services

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The Selwyn District Plan is currently under review and has been out for public

consultation. This process involved identification and assessment of historic

buildings, which included a public nominations.

Chudleigh is listed in the Proposed Distrct Plan as a heritage item (H323 – 'The

Springs' O'Callaghan Farmhouse/'Chudleigh'). Submissions on the Proposed

District plan have challenged its inclusion.

Regarding the site of the old Mill and Mill Pond. If it this is the site near the old

Lincoln Community Centre then it is not listed in the District Plan.

58

[100511] - N & D McGrath

Address: 17 Cedric Place Darfield 7510

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 000

Phone (mobile):

Email: dale27@windowslive.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Keep status quo

Having spent many thousands on a new septic tank system we feel the expense of connecting to new wastewater line is out of reach and a double cost when what we have is extremely efficient with six monthly servicings to maintain this efficiency.

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

SUBMISSION ON LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-2031



Note to submitters

you.canimake,a suomission on this form coriby filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govrnz/thisway2031

Submissions/close/at/5pm/on/Friday/30/April/2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing questions to: Other comments.

If you need, extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002; Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

Submitter details

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory

First name*	70 N + D	mcGroth) las	st name*	c-Grath	
	17 Cedric Pl			Town*	arfield	Postcode*
Contact number	021-084C	· 8876	Email address*	dale 27	• .	slive.com
Are you submit	ting on behalf of an organis	ation?* TYes	r√No	•		
	tate the name of the organis	4004				
	attend a hearing to present		in person?* TYe	s No	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
•	Thursday 13 May 2021	Morning	Afternoon	Evening		
	Friday 14 May 2021	Morning	Afternoon			
Questions						
1. Blg decision	n 1: What is your view on i	now we should k	eep our drinking w	vater supply safe	?	
expectation:	support the proposal for the s and comply with regulatio prefer the Council to upgrad	ns. (This is the Co	uncil's preferred op	tion)	g safe drinking water sup	pplies, to meet community
☐ I don't have	a preference.					
Any other comr	ments?					
			-			
with white was a second of the			TTO THE SECOND S			
				10000000	· ************************************	
					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
				Company of the Publicance		
						Selwyn

2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston.
(This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks. I don't have a preference.
Land thave a preference.
Any other comments?
Having sport many thousands on a new spotic Tank system we
feel the expense of connecting to new wastenederline out of reach
and a double cost when what we have is extremely efficient
with 6 mently servicings to maintain this efficiency.
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency.
(This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.
l don't have a preference.
Any other comments?

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.
I don't have a preference
Any other comments?
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
According to the second O
Any other comments?
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park.
(This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
Il don't have a preference.
Any other comments O
Any other comments?

6. By decision 6: what is your view on the future of the Hororata Community C			
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the	he Hororata Dor	nain in 2023/24. (This	s is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	de new meeting	spaces (125m²) for the	ne community and playcentre
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a	new Hororata (Community Centre or	renovete existing half
I don't have a preference.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	community Certile Of	renovate existing nall,
Any gibbs on the state of			
Any other comments?			
•			
		÷	
	4	:	
		 	
			
What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Enconsultation document?	gagement Poli	cy and financial poli	ices as outlined in the
· ·			
Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)			
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.			
I don't have a preference			
Arry other comments			
Arry other comments?			
			
			
			
Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects propose or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on any other matters.	ed in this Const	ultation Document o	or in the draft Long-Term Plan,
or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-b	eings (see p. 9)) and climate chang	e response (see p. 10-11).
	····		
	1 8 2 2		
	You can i	return this printed sub	omission form by:
	· posting		
	Freepo	st 104 653	
	LONG I PO Bo	ferm Plan Submission x 90, Rolleston 7643	IS
			ongtermplan@selwyn:govt.nz
	1.05 SURFARE OF THE STATE OF TH	\$60.00 PM WARE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF T	STOPPEN ELECTIVE POPEN ELECTIVE PROCESSOR ELECTIVE SE ELECTIVE DE SECULIA DE SECULIA DE SECULIA DE SECULIA DE
	or to a	ng it off in person the ny Council library or s	Council offices in Rolleston, ervice centre
··· ··································	Proceedings and proceedings to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n Friday 30 April 2021.
		10.41-121-1-121-131-131-131	3 ()
··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- a management of building		and a second of the contract o



Topic: BIG DECISION 3 - Developing a ne $^{\widehat{W}}$ wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee (2021-2026) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submitters opposition to the proposal to develop a new wastewater system in

Darfield and Kirwee is acknowledged.

Please refer Sub ID 100026 for Staff comments.

[100512] - Reg Anderson

64

Address: 20 Homebush Road Glentunnel 7673

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 00

Phone (mobile):

Email: regandlyn1944@gmail.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

When on the Malvern Hills Water Supply Committee, we were seriously considering a deeper well at Hartleys Road. Suddenly our services as a Committee were no longer required and so the solution for the water problem did not go ahead. I think its got to be a good idea.

O2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

No preference

I believe in Malvern, we are the highest rated for water supply.

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Keep status quo

Use the farm in Creyke Road which the rate payers paid for through the Council years ago for this very problem.

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

No preference

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

If you would consider sealing the middle section of Wards Road, this the only section unsealed, this would be an excellent and almost direct route for the shopping in Rolleston for the convenience of Whitecliffs, Glentunnel and Coalgate. It would also help take the pressure off Telegraph Road which is quite a problem maintenance wise.

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Support proposal

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Support proposal

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

A number of years ago the Council set in motion to underground the electrical wiring in Glentunnel. They completed about half and stopped as three house owners complained. The rest of the village were very happy with the work so far and would like to see it finished. We are only a small village and as you know, we do not ask for much. Thank you.

210430012

SUBMISSION ON LONG-TERM PLAN 2021-203





Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option)

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory

Submitter details	
First name* REG	Last name* ANDERSON
Address* 70 HOMEBUSH ROAD	Town* ELENTONNEL Postcode* 767
Contact phone number* 0331 \$2 \$ 93	Email address * Regardlyn 1944 2 g mail.com
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?*	es INO
If yes, please state the name of the organisation:*	
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submiss	sion in person?* Yes No
Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning	Afternoon Evening
Friday 14 May 2021 Morning	Afternoon
Questions 1. Big decision 1: What is your view on how we shoul	ld keen our drinking water sunnly safe?

Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community

Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination.

Any other comments?

I don't have a preference.

WHEN ON THE MALVERN HILLS WATER SUPPLY COMMITTED

WE WERE SERIOUSLY CONSIDERING A DEETER WELL AT

HARTLEYS ROAD, SUBJENLY OUR SERVICES AS A COMMITTEE

WERE NO LONGER REQUIRED AND SO THE SOLUTION FOR

THE WATER PROBLEM DIDN'T GO AHEAD, IT THINK ITS GOT

TO BE A GOOD IDEA,

2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?				
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)				
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.				
don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
I BELIEVE IN MALVERN WE ARE THE HIGHEST ROTED				
FOR WATER SUPPLY!				
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?				
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston.				
(This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.				
I don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
USE THE FARM IN CRIKE RODD WHICH THE				
RATE PAYERS PAID FOR THROUGH THE COUNCIL				
YZARS AGO FOR THIS VERT PROBLEM				
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?				
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option)				
Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.				
on't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
;				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?				
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)				
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.				
I don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
IF YOU WOULD CONSIDER SEALING THE MIDDLE				
SECTION OF WARDS ROAD THIS, THE ONLY SECTION				
,				
UNSEALED, THIS WOULD BE AN EXCELLENT AND ALMOST				
THE PLANTS				
DIRECT ROUTE FOR THE SHOPPING IN ROLLESTON FOR THE				
CONVENIENCE OF WHITECHEES GLENTUNNEL AND COOLEATE				
IT WOULD ALSO NTOKE THE PRESSURE OF TELEGRAPH ROAD WHICH				
IS QUITE A PROBLEM MOINTENANCE WISE.				
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre?				
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)				
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.				
I don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?				
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park.				
(This is the Council's preferred option)				
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.				
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.				
I don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
, 6				

69 8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Centre	o?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Ho	
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide new (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new I don't have a preference.	Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.
Any other comments?	
•	
9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engage consultation document?	ement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the
Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)	F .
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.	<i>f</i> :
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
•	
· · ·	
40.00	
 Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects proposed in or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-being 	
A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO THE	COUNCIL SET IN MOTION
TO UNDERGROUND ALL THE PLECTAL	CAL WITUNG IN GLENTUNNEL
	5777 04 magas 41au 5
THEY COMPLETED ABOUT HOLF AND ST	DITTED AS THERE HOUSE
OWNERS COMPLAINED, THE REST OF	THE VILLAGE WERE VERT
HOPPY WITH WORK SO FOR AND	You can return this printed submission form by:
WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT FINISHED	posting it to: Freepost 104 653 Long-Term Plan Submissions
WE ARE ONLY A SMOLL VILLAGE	PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
	 scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston,
AND AS YOU KNOW WE DON'T ASK	or to any Council library or service centre. Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.
FOR MUCH, THONK YOU	
2001/6	

Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submission is acknowledged. This scheme is UV treated.

Topic: BIG DECISION 2 - How we pay for drinking water supply (2021-2031) - Corporate

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 3 - Developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee (2021-2026) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The submitters opposition to the proposal to develop a new wastewater system in

Darfield and Kirwee is acknowledged.

Please refer Sub ID 100026 for Staff comments.

Topic: BIG DECISION 5 - Planning for future roading and transportation projects (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 7 - Future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre (2022/2023) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 8 - Future of the Hororata Community Centre (2023/2024) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Topic: TOPIC: Infrastructure (general) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The undergrounding related also to the installation of street lighting. In a recent

attempt to complete the LED street light conversion for Glentunnel the residents again protested to Council on this intention and reminded Council to abide by the

previous agreement not to have street lighting in these areas.

72

[100513] - Peter Lemon

Address: 730 Drain Road Doyleston 7682

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 000

Phone (mobile):

Email: naomi.smith@selwyn.govt.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Avoid chlorination

I have supported the Central Plains Irrigation scheme in principal from the beginning. I do not support the practice of using our deep aquifer (pure, safe drinking water) for irrigation industries etc where water of a lesser quality would suffice.

I do not support the idea and practice of recharging our pristine aquifer with water of a lesser quality.

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Upgrade in 22/23

I have ticked option 2 as I think it is ridiculous to scrap the existing building (what would you do with the old building? what would you do with the site?) More of the new building would immediately be taken up with the library. It is very difficult to make an informed decision without a proposed plan and site layout, car parking, size of rooms, usage, sport etc.

I would like to look long and hard at the possibility of rebuilding the entire frontage and perhaps extending into park area. The building is large enough for ANZAC day and sports facility.

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

210430010.

LONG-TERM PLAN 202





Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory

Submitter details		
First name* Last name* Lemon		
Address* 730 Orgin Town* Doyleston Postcode* 7682		
Contact phone number* <u>93</u> <u>3243 922</u> Email address*		
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes No		
If yes, please state the name of the organisation:*		
Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?* Yes No 10 No 1 Yes You No 7		
Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Afternoon Evening		
Friday 14 May 2021 Morning Afternoon		
Questions		
Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe?		
Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option)		
Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination.		
I don't have a preference.		
Any other comments?		
I have Supported the Central Plains Irigation Scheme in principal from		
the beginning. I do not support the practice of using our deep		
aguiter (pure safe drinking water) for irigation industries etc		
where water of a lesser quality would suffice.		
I do not support the idea & practice of recharging our pristine		
louify with water of a losser quality.		
Selwyn		
www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031		

2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rolleston. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Transport Agency. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency. I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
7.5°

Option 2: I profer to ve	
Dobitori 2. i bielei to va	ry the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.
I don't have a preferen	ce.
Any other comments?	
Any other comments:	
6. Big decision 6: What i	s your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre?
	construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)
	roject to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan.
\prod I don't have a preferen	
Any other comments?	
,	
*	
/ Div decision 7. What is	
	s your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park.
Option 1: I support the	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option)
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's pa	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's pl Option 2: I prefer to up Option 3: I prefer to det	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. fer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's pl Option 2: I prefer to up Option 3: I prefer to det	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. fer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's properties of Option 2: I prefer to detail I don't have a preference only other comments?	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. Fer.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's properties of Option 2: I prefer to detail I don't have a preference only other comments?	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. Fer.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's properties of Option 2: I prefer to detail I don't have a preference only other comments?	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. Fer.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's properties of Option 2: I prefer to detail I don't have a preference only other comments?	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. Fer.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's part Option 2: I prefer to up. Option 3: I prefer to det I don't have a preference only other comments?	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. Fer.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's properties of Option 2: I prefer to detail I don't have a preference only other comments?	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. Fer.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's part of the Council of the Co	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. Fer the project to outside the 10-year plan. See. If would you do with the ald Building? We will you do with the site of taken up with Library and with the site? We building would imadigately be faken up with Library and the an importment decision without
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's part of the Council of the Co	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. for the project to outside the 10-year plan. re. d option 2 ns I think it is rediculous to samp the exist at would you do with the ald Building? at would you do with the 5ite? Were Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library and informed Secision without Plan. In 5ite Sayout. Car Parking Size of Rooms
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's proposed) Option 2: I prefer to up option 3: I prefer to detail of the council's preference of the council of	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. First the project to outside the 10-year plan. See. If a prior 2 as I think it is rediculous to scrap the exist at would you do with the add Building? A would you do with the 5ite? Were Building would inedigately be taken up with hist directly in a pake an informed decision without Plan. In 5ite Sayout. Ear Parking Size of Rooms
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's proposed) Option 2: I prefer to up option 3: I prefer to detail on the law a preference of the council of the law and l	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. For the project to outside the 10-year plan. The service and service centre building in 2022/23. The service centre on Leeston Park. The ser
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's proposed) Option 2: I prefer to up Option 3: I prefer to detail of the I don't have a preference on the comments? I have ticked building.	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. refer the project to outside the 10-year plan. re. I aption 2 as I think it is rediculous to scrap the exist at would you do with the ald Building? at would you do with the Site? Wey Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library and the site of the same an informed decision without flan in 5:te sayout. Far Parking Size of Rooms Tang term Plan for the Rugby stading in 2022/23.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's proposed) Option 2: I prefer to up Option 3: I prefer to detail of the I don't have a preference on the comments? I have ticked building.	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. refer the project to outside the 10-year plan. re. I aption 2 as I think it is rediculous to scrap the exist at would you do with the ald Building? at would you do with the Site? Wey Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library and the site of the same an informed decision without flan in 5:te sayout. Far Parking Size of Rooms Tang term Plan for the Rugby stading in 2022/23.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's proposed) Option 2: I prefer to up Option 3: I prefer to detail of the I don't have a preference on the comments? I have ticked building.	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. refer the project to outside the 10-year plan. re. I aption 2 as I think it is rediculous to scrap the exist at would you do with the ald Building? at would you do with the Site? Wey Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library Building would inedigtely be taken up with Library and the site of the same an informed decision without flan in 5:te sayout. Far Parking Size of Rooms Tang term Plan for the Rugby stading in 2022/23.
Option 1: I support the (This is the Council's proposed) Option 2: I prefer to up Option 3: I prefer to detail of the I don't have a preference on the comments? I have ticked building.	proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park. referred option) grade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. For the project to outside the 10-year plan. The service and service centre building in 2022/23. The service centre on Leeston Park. The ser

o. big decision of what is your view on the lattile of the Horotata community com	
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the H	Hororata Domain in 2023/24. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide r (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	new meeting spaces (125m²) for the community and playcentre
$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	w Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
This other commonte.	
9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Enga	gement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the
consultation document?	
Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
Any other confinents?	
	·
Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects proposed	in this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan
or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-bei	
	You can return this printed submission form by:
	posting it to:
	Freepost 104 653
	Long-Term Plan Submissions PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
,	Scanning and emailling it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
	scanning and emailing it to longlermplaneselwyn.govt.nz dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston,
·	or to any Council library or service centre.
	Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.



Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Acknowledged.

Topic: BIG DECISION 7 - Future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre (2022/2023) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

[100514] - Murray Heslop

78

Address: 10 Cunningham Street Leeston 7632

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 000

Phone (mobile):

Email: jenmur57@gmail.com

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

Support Proposal

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

The volumetric water rate should not be increased. In Leeston there is an ample supply of water and if used during the night hours to maintain lawns and gardens this will maintain the overall look of the township

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Support Proposal

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

Upgrade in 22/23

My main concern is that if a new community centre is built in the park, the Rugby stadium will become an embarrassment to the Leeston township. What alternatives to upgrading and modernising this building have been done and what were the costings compared to building a new centre. The stadium was built by community involvement, I do not see this happening with a new one.

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

210430011

SUBMISSION ON Long-term Plan 2021—2031





Note to submitters

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

For Council use: submission number

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory
Submitter details irst name*
yes, please state the name of the organisation:*
o you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?* Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Afternoon Evening
Friday 14 May 2021 Afternoon .
Duestions Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe? Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination. I don't have a preference. In other comments?
y V



2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?	
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion than the annual fixed rate. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same proportion.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
The volumetric water rate shou	ld not be
increased. In heeston there is	an ample
supply of water and it used du	
night hours to maintain lawns	a garden
this will maintain the overall look	
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield and Kirwee?	syskip:
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater treatment plant in Rollesto (This is the Council's preferred option)	on.
Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?	
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance above the level funded by NZ Train (This is the Council's preferred option)	nsport Agency.
Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	

Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? Set is globeles on the future of the new Prabbleton Community Centre? Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prabbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? Any other comments? Set is globelesion 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre? Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Lesston Park.
don't have a preference. Any other comments? 5. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre? Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?
Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre? Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?
B. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre? Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre? Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre? Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
I don't have a preference. Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Any other comments? 7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park.
(This is the Council's preferred option)
✓Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23. ☐ Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
My mais concern is that if a new community centre.
is built in the Park, the Rugby Stadium will be ome
an embarisment to the heeston Township
what alternatives to upgrading amodernising
his building have been done a what were
the costings compared to building a new
the costings compared to building a new entre. The stadium was built by community

8. Big decision 8: What is your view on the future of the Hororata Community Ce	
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the	
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	e new meeting spaces (125m²) for the community and playcentre
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a	new Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
2 What is a second in the second above to Company of Co	I D. II and a life on the land of the land
What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Eng consultation document?	gagement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the
Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)	/
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
	<u> </u>
*	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	•
0. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects propose	ed in this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan,
or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-b	peings (see p. 9) and climate change response (see p. 10-11).
	W
	You can return this printed submission form by:
	· posting it to:
	Freepost 104 653
	Long-Term Plan Submissions PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
	scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
	· dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston,
·	or to any Council library or service centre.
	Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.



Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Your support for Council to continue its current approach to maintain safe

drinking water is acknowledged. This option means additional funding and upgrades, with the specific purpose of avoiding chlorination, won't be included in

the 2021-31 LTP.

Topic: BIG DECISION 2 - How we pay for drinking water supply (2021-2031) - Corporate

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and

endeavours to keep rates increase to a minimum. Maintaining a safe supply of water is of utmost importance and therefore requires the necessary investment to

ensure you have safe water to drink.

Topic: BIG DECISION 4 - Maintaining our roads (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

Topic: BIG DECISION 7 - Future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre (2022/2023) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.

84

[100515] - R Wayne Thian

Address: 13 Carston Street Leeston 7632

Postal Address:

Phone (day): 0274317025

Phone (mobile):

Email: Naomi.smith@selwyn.govt.nz

Submission

Submission supplied as PDF or Word document, printed and attached as the following page.

Q1 KEEPING WATER SUPPLY SAFE

I would like to see less irrigators being allowed to use valuable drinking water.

Q2 PAYING FOR WATER SUPPLY

We should not be paying for drinking water - it's not Council's to sell.

Q3 DARFIELD AND KIRWEE WASTEWATER

No preference

Q4 ROAD MAINTENANCE

Contractors should be held accountable for poor workmanship.

Q5 FUTURE ROADING AND TRANSPORT PROJECTS

Q6 PREBBLETON COMMUNITY CENTRE

No preference

Q7 LEESTON LIBRARY AND COMMUNITY CENTRE

It is my belief that we should be incorporating the existing Rugby / Sports Hall into the proposed community centre, rather than building a brand new building. Saving the taxpayers / ratepayers, of which I am one, a lot of money.

Q8 HORORATA COMMUNITY CENTRE

Q9 SIGNIFICANCE AND ENGAGEMENT POLICY

Q10 OTHER COMMENTS

210430009

SUBMISSION ON LONG-TERM PLAN 202





Note to submitters

For Council use: submission number

You can make a submission on this form, or by filling in an online submission on the Council's website at www.selwyn.govt.nz/thisway2031.

Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.

You do not have to answer every question. You can make a general submission or submit on matters not included in the consultation document by completing question 10: Other comments.

If you need extra space for your submission use additional paper (please include your name on additional sheets).

All submissions will be considered by Council before making a decision.

Anyone can make a submission. All submissions, including the names and contact details of submitters, are publicly available, as required by the Local Government Act 2002. Submissions will be used only for the purpose of this consultation process.

Please note: all fields marked with an asterisk (*) are compulsory Submitter details Micen. First name* . carsten Street 1 eeston Postcode* Address*. 0274 317025 Email address* Contact phone number*. Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?* Yes If yes, please state the name of the organisation:* _ Do you wish to attend a hearing to present your submission in person?* $\$ Yes No Preferred time: Thursday 13 May 2021 Morning Afternoon Evening Friday 14 May 2021 Morning Afternoon Questions 1. Big decision 1: What is your view on how we should keep our drinking water supply safe? Option 1: I support the proposal for the Council to continue its current approach to maintaining safe drinking water supplies, to meet community expectations and comply with regulations. (This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer the Council to upgrade some supplies so that they can avoid chlorination. I don't have a preference. Any other comments?



2. Big decision 2: What is your view on how we pay for drinking water supply?				
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the volumetric water rate by a higher proportion to (This is the Council's preferred option)	nan the annual fixed rate.			
Option 2: I prefer to increase both the volumetric water rate and annual fixed rate by the same	proportion.			
I don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
We should not be paying for dunking water - It's not the councils to sell.				
	•			
· · · ·				
3. Big decision 3: What is your view on developing a new wastewater system in Darfield an	d Kirwee?			
Option 1: I support the proposal to connect the new wastewater system to the Pines wastewater				
(This is the Council's preferred option) Option 2: I prefer to keep status quo, ie keep septic tanks.				
don't have a preference.				
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Any other comments?	.19			
4. Big decision 4: What is your view on funding for maintaining our roads?				
Option 1: I support the proposal to increase the level of general rate-funded maintenance about (This is the Council's preferred option)	ve the level funded by NZ Transport Agency.			
Option 2: I prefer to fund maintenance at the level supported by NZ Transport Agency.				
I don't have a preference.				
Any other comments?				
Contractors should be held accountage	ble for poor workmanship.			
*				
•				

5. Big decision 5: What is your view on future roading and transportation projects?
Option 1: I support the proposed capital works programme for the 2021–2031 period. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to vary the programme under option 1 by starting some projects earlier or later.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
6. Big decision 6: What is your view on the future of the new Prebbleton Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the construction of a new community centre in Prebbleton. (This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer the project to be deferred to outside the 10-year plan. I don't have a preference.
Contriave a preference.
Any other comments?
7. Big decision 7: What is your view on the future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre?
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new combined Leeston Library/Service Centre and Community Centre on Leeston Park.
(This is the Council's preferred option)
Option 2: I prefer to upgrade the existing library/service centre building in 2022/23.
Option 3: I prefer to defer the project to outside the 10-year plan.
I don't have a preference.
Any other comments?
It is my belief we should incorporate the existing
The fire Sound The Control
It is my belief we should incorporate the existing Rugby Sports hall into the proposed Community Centre,
Office Sharp bilding Sound Head
rather than building a brand new building. Sowing the
taxpayers vates payers, of which lam one, a lot of
The part of the pa
monly.

o. Dig decision o. What is your view on the future of the florotata community control	
Option 1: I support the proposal to build a new Hororata Community Centre on the Ho	
Option 2: I prefer to renovate the existing hall (a current area of 168m²) and provide new (107m²) on the current site in 2023/24.	
Option 3: I prefer to keep status quo, ie maintain existing hall and not construct a new	Hororata Community Centre or renovate existing hall.
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
No. of the second	
The state of the s	:
·	
	
	The state of the s
9. What is your view on the proposed changes to Council's Significance and Engage consultation document?	ement Policy and financial polices as outlined in the
Option 1: I support the proposed changes. (This is the Council's preferred option)	
Option 2: I don't support the proposed changes.	
I don't have a preference.	
Any other comments?	
	<u> </u>
	and the second second
to a term of the second of the	Company of the State of the Sta
	, 4.
10. Other comments? You can provide comments on any other projects proposed in	n this Consultation Document or in the draft Long-Term Plan,
or any other matters. You can also provide feedback on our proposed well-being	gs (see p. 9) and climate change response (see p. 10-11).
	e de la serie de la companya de la c
·	977
	, A
	You can return this printed submission form by:
	· posting it to: Freepost 104 653
	Long-Term Plan Submissions
	PO Box 90, Rolleston 7643
	scanning and emailing it to longtermplan@selwyn.govt.nz
	 dropping it off in person the Council offices in Rolleston, or to any Council library or service centre.
	Submissions close at 5pm on Friday 30 April 2021.
	Submissions close at opin on Friday 55 riph 2021.



Topic: BIG DECISION 1 - Keeping our drinking water safe (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Acknowledged. The volumetric charge will help to promote sustainable water use.

Topic: BIG DECISION 2 - How we pay for drinking water supply (2021-2031) - Corporate

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments The purpose of water rates are for the supply infrastructure not the water

supplied. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increase to a minimum. Maintaining a safe supply of water is of utmost importance and therefore requires the necessary investment to

ensure you have safe water to drink.

Topic: BIG DECISION 4 - Maintaining our roads (2021-2031) - Infrastructure

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments Any substandard work is repaired by Council roading contractors at their cost

under contract provisions

Topic: BIG DECISION 7 - Future of the Leeston Library and Community Centre (2022/2023) - Property

Staff Recommended Action That the submission be acknowledged.

Staff Comments No comments made.