



Long-Term Plan

2024-2034

Selwyn District Council 2024-34 Draft
Deliberation Booklet

Submissions # 1 - 799



To view the submitters full submissions these can be found in the submission hearing booklets on the Selwyn District Council's website [Selwyn District Council - Long-Term Plan 2024-2034](#) Submission and hearing booklets

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
1	Natalie Taylor		1 - 4
2	Irvin Chew		5 - 10
3	Jan Thomas		10 - 13
4	Megan Foster		14 - 17
5	Libby Adams		18 - 21
6	Kishore Narayana Samy		21 - 25
7	Adam John		25 - 28
8	Anna McDonald		28 - 32
9	Adam Cahill		32 - 35
10	Name Withheld		35 - 39
11	Tracey Macleod		39 - 43
12	Samantha Divers		43 - 47
13	Melissa George		47 - 51
14	Name Withheld		51 - 54
15	Name Withheld		55 - 58
16	Jay Burgess		58 - 61
17	Lesley Geayley		62 - 65
18	Harvey Polglase		65 - 67
19	Anu Cherian		68 - 72
20	Stuart Buchan		72 - 75
21	Mike Power		75 - 78
22	Craig Reynolds		79 - 89
23	Erin Tretheway		89 - 92
24	Name Withheld		93 - 96
25	Name Withheld		96 - 100
26	Paul Weggery		100 - 104
27	Name Withheld		104 - 105
28	Josie McNee		106 - 107
29	Name Withheld		107 - 113
30	Parvesh Vishal Singh		113 - 115
31	Name Withheld		115 - 119
32	Name Withheld		119 - 121
33	Fauzan Mulla		121 - 124

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
34	Name Withheld		124 - 129
35	Lien No wu		129 - 133
36	Allison O'Neill		133 - 136
37	Name Withheld		136 - 140
38	Greaeme Tiltman		140 - 144
39	Richard Cookson		144 - 149
40	Terry Pierson		149 - 152
41	Ryan Hoskins		152 - 156
42	George Humphries		156 - 159
43	Name Withheld		159 - 163
44	Ian Denholm		163 - 168
45	John Ridgen		168 - 171
46	Name Withheld		172 - 179
47	Name Withheld		179 - 184
48	Name Withheld		184 - 185
49	Name Withheld		185 - 191
50	Name Withheld		191 - 195
51	Arthur Penaia		195 - 199
52	Jack Bone		199 - 203
53	Susan Carline-Wright		203 - 206
54	Name Withheld		206 - 212
55	Samantha Jordan		212 - 216
56	Gini geng		216 - 219
57	Name Withheld		220 - 224
58	Name Withheld		224 - 227
59	Name Withheld		227 - 232
60	Name Withheld		232 - 236
61	Name Withheld		236 - 240
62	Fiona Buchan		240 - 243
63	Bryan Pidwerbesky		243 - 247
64	Name Withheld		247 - 251
65	Josh Saville		251 - 255
66	Gemmill Gaynor		255 - 257

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
67	Sara Meredith		257 - 261
68	Name Withheld		261 - 285
69	Eilish Robinson		285 - 268
70	Name Withheld		269 - 272
71	Name Withheld		272 - 277
72	Name Withheld		277 - 284
73	Katie McCabe		284 - 290
74	Charli McBride		290 - 293
75	Karyna Thomas		293 - 297
76	Blair Thorpe		297 - 301
77	Nick Morgan		302 - 304
78	Shweta Ahuja		305 - 308
79	Submission Withdrawn		
80	Isabella Vekony		308 - 312
81	Norman Smart		312 - 316
82	Yvonne Lins		317 - 320
83	Andy Carter		320 - 325
84	Janine Millington		325 - 329
85	Name Withheld		329 - 332
86	Susan Atherton		332 - 336
87	Name Withheld		336 - 340
88	Michael Van Haastrecht		340 - 344
89	Kelsey Adams		344 - 346
90	Name Withheld		347 - 349
91	Pearce Matthew		349 - 352
92	Edward DeLilla		352 - 365
93	Phillipa Greig		366 - 369
94	Name Withheld		369 - 373
95	Name Withheld		374 - 377
96	Mitchell Phillips		377 - 381
97	Ken Wong		382 - 385
98	Name Withheld		385 - 389
99	Chris Ansell		389 - 392

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
100	Dan Colegate		392 - 395
101	Kevin Otton		396 - 399
102	Teryn Proracki		400 - 403
103	Kirsten Russell		403 - 407
104	Aidan Laby		408 - 411
105	Name Withheld		411 - 415
106	Lilli Cheeseman		416 - 419
107	Lee Sharland		419 - 423
108	Paul Rugg		423 - 426
109	Danielle Petrie		426 - 429
110	Name Withheld		429 - 432
111	Natalie Ball		433 - 434
112	Todd Meaclem		434 - 436
113	Jamie Threadwell		436 - 438
114	Joe Fusco		438 - 441
115	Renee Crosby		441 - 445
116	Name Withheld		446 - 447
117	Claudia Doidge		447 - 451
118	Olivia Hamilton		451 - 455
119	Jason Lemmon		455 - 458
120	Ashleigh Collier		458 - 461
121	Cheryl Schreier		461 - 465
122	Name Withheld		465 - 465
123	Laura Gilmour		466 - 467
124	Kristina Koole		467 - 472
125	William Green		472 - 476
126	Victoria Laine		476 - 479
127	Rebecca Dollery		479 - 484
128	Liezel Nel		484 - 488
129	Name Withheld		488 - 495
130	Andrea Jacobs		496 - 500
131	Angela Hamilton		500 - 503
132	Name Withheld		503 - 507

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
133	Amelia McKnight		507 - 511
134	Crystal Vercoe		512 - 513
135	Rachel Hope		514 - 517
136	Name Withheld		517 - 518
137	Name Withheld		518 - 521
138	Jason Dickens		521 - 525
139	Ryan Dodunski		525 - 529
140	Name Withheld		529 - 532
141	Hamish Clarkson		532 - 536
142	Name Withheld		536 - 539
143	Brett Kelland		539 - 540
144	Debbie Henderson		540 - 542
145	Name Withheld		542 - 546
146	Greg Stenhouse		546 - 550
147	Name Withheld		550 - 554
148	Chris Scott		554 - 557
149	Name Withheld		557 - 559
150	Andi Peterson		559 - 560
151	Paula McLeod		560 - 563
152	Michael van Ee		563 - 569
153	Rachael Lea		569 - 569
154	Alischa Story		569 - 573
155	Pip Deans		573 - 574
156	Name Withheld		575 - 576
157	Name Withheld		576 - 579
158	Andrew Bright		579 - 583
159	Name Withheld		583 - 584
160	Rosemary Nicholls		585 - 585
161	Name Withheld		585 - 589
162	Jeremy Wood		589 - 593
163	Matt Marquis		593 - 597
164	Amanda Geier		597 - 600
165	Elise Armstrong		601 - 601

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
166	Alan Coulton		601 - 605
167	Kate Worner		605 - 608
168	Name Withheld		609 - 615
169	Name Withheld		615 - 618
170	John Quigley		618 - 622
171	Kayla Croy		622 - 626
172	Name Withheld		626 - 630
173	Cameron Adams		630 - 633
174	Fliss Cox		633 - 637
175	Name Withheld		637 - 640
176	Sarah van Rens (McGrath)		640 - 644
177	Name Withheld		644 - 646
178	Name Withheld		647 - 651
179	Jonathan Leask		651 - 656
180	Phillipa Lamborn	Darfield Residents Association	656 - 657
181	Dan Mulholland		657 - 660
182	Name Withheld		660 - 669
183	Larbi Frizzell		669 - 670
184	Louise Davis		670 - 671
185	John Roper		671 - 674
186	Bridie Frost	Selwyn Youth Council	674 - 675
187	Name Withheld		675 - 676
188	Krista Kelland		676 - 676
189	Mark Rickwood		677 - 680
190	Caleb Morton		680 - 684
191	Scott Mcilroy		684 - 686
192	Name Withheld		686 - 690
193	Name Withheld		690 - 693
194	Name Withheld		693 - 697
195	Raewyn Baxter		697 - 698
196	Heidi Clark		698 - 702
197	Name Withheld		702 - 703
198	Megan Armstrong		703 - 706

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
199	Name Withheld		706 - 709
200	Katrin Lilla		709 - 713
201	Kate Lang		713 - 716
202	Liam Southall		716 - 719
203	Darren Wilson		720 - 725
204	Rebecca Pearce		725 - 728
205	Dor Chung		728 - 731
206	Taranpreet Singh		731 - 735
207	Keith Morrison		735 - 743
208	Leeza Stuart		743 - 745
209	Ciara Halkett		746 - 750
210	Name Withheld		750 - 753
211	Joseph OSullivan		753 - 754
212	Name Withheld		754 - 757
213	Becky Gerritsen		758 - 760
214	Melanie Johnston		760 - 765
215	Campbell McClimont		765 - 769
216	Krysta Lawrence		769 - 773
217	Name Withheld		773 - 777
218	Name Withheld		777 - 778
219	Jeremy Walter		778 - 779
220	Tom Manley		779 - 782
221	Samuel Hill		782 - 787
222	Name Withheld		787 - 792
223	Maryana Hamilton		792 - 797
224	Bevan Sutherland		797 - 801
225	Alex Robinson		801 - 806
226	Peters Natalie		806 - 808
227	Name Withheld		808 - 813
228	Name Withheld		813 - 816
229	Jason Day		816 - 819
230	Mandy McCaughan		820 - 820
231	Trudy Sykes		821 - 821

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
232	Regan Small		821 - 825
233	Ryan Gillespie		825 - 829
234	Name Withheld		829 - 833
235	Name Withheld		834 - 836
236	Amelia Jarvis-Hall		836 - 840
237	Blair Templeton		840 - 843
238	Amy Steven		844 - 848
239	Sheila Kibar		848 - 852
240	Dr Riza Kiar		852 - 855
241	Jennifer Butt		855 - 859
242	Ian Jackson		860 - 865
243	Anna Lee		865 - 866
244	Shannon Gilmore	Prebbleton Football Club	866 - 867
245	Name Withheld		867 - 869
246	Name Withheld		870 - 872
247	Jo White		872 - 873
248	Name Withheld		873 - 876
249	Telly Power		876 - 880
250	Name Withheld		880 - 883
251	Georg Mayr		883 - 890
252	Lauren McIlroy		890 - 891
253	Name Withheld		891 - 894
254	Name Withheld		894 - 898
255	Vanessa Moore		898 - 899
256	Name Withheld		899 - 902
257	Name Withheld		903 - 906
258	Tammy Chau		907 - 909
259	Name Withheld		909 - 912
260	Name Withheld		913 - 914
261	Jade Lee		914 - 915
262	Greg Hughes		915 - 919
263	Name Withheld		919 - 922
264	Courtney Williamson		922 - 926

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
265	Stephanie Woods		926 - 930
266	Name Withheld		930 - 931
267	Grant McLroy		931 - 933
268	Steve Free		933 - 939
269	Sophie Stuart		939 - 946
270	Nick Hallett		946 - 951
271	Matt Harris		951 - 958
272	Jacasta Brown		958 - 962
273	Ellen Cavanagh		962 - 965
274	Nicky Snoyink	Forest & Bird	end of booklet
275	Tom Black		695 - 968
276	Natalia Rietveld		969 - 970
277	Name Withheld		970 - 970
278	Lucy Mahuika		970 - 974
279	Jill Gordon		974 - 976
280	Peter Joyce		976 - 980
281	Jason Clemens		980 - 984
282	Name Withheld		984 - 988
283	Name Withheld		988 - 992
284	Adam O'Neill		992 - 995
285	Holly Johnstone		996 - 999
286	Name Withheld		999 - 1002
287	Name Withheld		1002 - 1006
288	Daryl Streat		1006 - 1014
289	Raymond Goldring		1014 - 1015
290	Name Withheld		1015 - 1022
291	Name Withheld		1022 - 1027
292	Name Withheld		1027 - 1030
293	Name Withheld		1030 - 1035
294	Name Withheld		1035 - 1041
295	Gary Martini		1041 - 1045
296	Stephen Vogel		1045 - 1049
297	Jude Will		1049 - 1050

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
298	Jude O'Connor		1050 - 1054
299	Paul Watson		1054 - 1060
300	Sam Hurley		1060 - 1061
301	Name Withheld		1061 - 1061
302	Tess Clark		1062 - 1064
303	Tiffany Cawte		1064 - 1068
304	Charles Merfield		1068 - 1072
305	Name Withheld		1072 - 1076
306	Steve Balchin		1076 - 1080
307	Debbie Tubb		1081 - 1084
308	Allison and Paul Rosanowski		1084 - 1088
309	Name Withheld		1088 - 1093
310	Caroline Burnett		1093 - 1096
311	Chris Stewart		1096 - 1100
312	Nicola Taylor-Marris		1100 - 1104
313	Name Withheld		1104 - 1108
314	LeeAnn Harlen		1108 - 1110
315	Name Withheld		1110 - 1114
316	Robert Murfitt		1114 - 1115
317	Name Withheld		1116 - 1119
318	John Sunckell		1119 - 1123
319	Submission Withdrawn		
320	Simon Wright		1123 - 1125
321	Sophie Wright		1125 - 1130
322	Name Withheld		1130 - 1134
323	Rochelle Barrett		1134 - 1135
324	Sarah Kenny		1135 - 1140
325	Name Withheld		1140 - 1144
326	Bryan Tubb		1144 - 1148
327	Jatinder Nagpal		1148 - 1150
328	Shweta Ahuja		1150 - 1152
329	Name Withheld		1152 - 1156
330	Deb Biddle		1156 - 1160

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
331	Robyn Fyfe		1160 - 1164
332	Tim Schurr		1164 - 1169
333	Alicia Tobias-Hendricks		1169 - 1170
334	Sharon Hayward		1170 - 1173
335	Mark Palmer		1173 - 1174
336	Genevieve Free		1174 - 1178
337	Leuan McLeod		1178 - 1179
338	Mark Mulholland		1179 - 1183
339	Helene Youngman		1183 - 1188
340	Marion Oeberg		1188 - 1192
341	Name Withheld		1192 - 1197
342	Edith Khaembah		1197 - 1198
343	Jill Allison		1198 - 1201
344	Name Withheld		1201 - 1204
345	Steve Muir		1204 - 1210
346	William Guy		1210 - 1213
347	Name Withheld		1214 - 1217
348	Name Withheld		1217 - 1223
349	Lance Emanuel		1223 - 1227
350	Ian Demsen		1227 - 1231
351	Rebekah Frampton		1231 - 1235
352	Name Withheld		1235 - 1239
353	Rebecca Spence		1239 - 1241
354	Name Withheld		1241 - 1244
355	Name Withheld		1244 - 1245
356	Name Withheld		1245 - 1250
357	Name Withheld		1250 - 1254
358	Name Withheld		1254 - 1254
359	Name Withheld		1255 - 1258
360	Fred Rohs		1258 - 1260
361	Lou Drage		1260 - 1261
362	Name Withheld		1261 - 1265
363	Name Withheld		1265 - 1269

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
364	Submission Withdrawn		
365	Linda Klok		1269 - 1274
366	Robert Barker		1274 - 1278
367	Lynley Shaw		1278 - 1283
368	Name Withheld		1283 - 1287
369	Jan Crossley		1287 - 1289
370	Name Withheld		1289 - 1292
371	Name Withheld		1292 - 1296
372	Lesley Bond		1296 - 1300
373	Tanita Otton		1300 - 1304
374	Name Withheld		1304 - 1307
375	Glenn Clark		1307 - 1311
376	Micheal Beynon		1311 - 1313
377	Naomi Smith		1313 - 1317
378	Name Withheld		1317 - 1321
379	Name Withheld		1321 - 1325
380	Mark Maddren		1325 - 1329
381	Rachel Barker		1329 - 1334
382	Danni Hodges		1334 - 1338
383	Rachel Barker		1338 - 1342
384	Hilary MacDonald		1342 - 1345
385	Name Withheld		1345 - 1348
386	Mou Basu		1348 - 1352
387	Li Tang		1352 - 1356
388	Zexuan Sun		1356 - 1359
389	Heidi Youard		1359 - 1363
390	Alec Wu		1363 - 1367
391	Colin Richards		1367 - 1373
392	Melissa Bamford		1373 - 1374
393	Name Withheld		1374 - 1379
394	Name Withheld		1379 - 1382
395	Donna Gillatt		1382 - 1391
396	Name Withheld		1391 - 1397

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
397	Julia McLean	North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group	1398 - 1402
398	Submission Withdrawn		
399	Russell Dalzell	Dunsandel sports Centre	1402 - 1406
400	Name Withheld		1407 - 1410
401	Name Withheld		1410 - 1415
402	Name Withheld		1415 - 1417
403	Name Withheld		1417 - 1420
404	Russell Dalzell		1420 - 1423
405	Warren Watt		1423 - 1427
406	Name Withheld		1427 - 1432
407	Name Withheld		1432 - 1435
408	Mãia Abraham		1435 - 1440
409	Scott Harvey		1440 - 1441
410	Rosalyn Everest		1442 - 1445
411	Laura Roberts		1446 - 1449
412	Ryan Jones		1449 - 1455
413	Neil Smart		1455 - 1462
414	Name Withheld		1462 - 1465
415	Erin Van Der Zwet		1466 - 1468
416	Name Withheld		1468 - 1471
417	Sharyn Dunn		1472 - 1481
418	Janet Burton		1482 - 1485
419	Nada Unterschuetz		1485 - 1490
420	Alan Miller		1490 - 1491
421	Sara Kross		1491 - 1496
422	Sarah Marshall		1496 - 1500
423	Name Withheld		1501 - 1501
424	Bev Kelly		1502 - 1506
425	Mitchell Parker		1506 - 1509
426	Name Withheld		1510 - 1513
427	Donnelle Glen		1514 - 1515
428	Krystal Paix		1515 - 1518
429	Michael Annear		1518 - 1522

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
430	Anthony White		1522 - 1523
431	Alana Srubar-Vernon		1523 - 1528
432	Ray Goldring	Castle Hill Community Association	1528 - 1530
433	Willem Tolhoek		1530 - 1535
434	Name Withheld		1535 - 1536
435	Lorraine Strowger		1536 - 1537
436	Ceridwyn Foote		1537 - 1544
437	Jos Unterschuetz		1545 - 1549
438	Name Withheld		1550 - 1551
439	Benjamin Souter		1551 - 1555
440	Patricia Hill		1555 - 1556
441	Name Withheld		1556 - 1560
442	Heather McKenzie		1560 - 1564
443	Megan Fraser		1564 - 1567
444	Karen Sunckell		1567 - 1571
445	Tania Osborne	Urban Effects	1571 - 1571
446	Melisa Rusbatch		1571 - 1578
447	Jan Fuller		1578 - 1585
448	Name Withheld		1586 - 1587
449	Name Withheld		1587 - 1591
450	Shanelle CarrollPudney		1591 - 1596
451	Lynn Anderson	Orana Wildlife Trust	1596 - 1596
452	Christine Fernandez		1597 - 1600
453	Sean Fernandez		1600 - 1605
454	Maddy Morhauz		1605 - 1609
455	Ronald Pankhurst		1609 - 1613
456	Graham and Averil Carpenter		1613 - 1614
457	Nicolas Koster		1615 - 1618
458	Richard Fowler		1618 - 1623
459	Derham and Daphne McAven		1623 - 1624
460	Syed Waleed Ahmad		1624 - 1624
461	Kevin Sarjeant		1624 - 1629
462	David Bradford		1629 - 1633

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
463	Angus Bailey		1633 - 1637
464	Brent Corbett		1637 - 1840
465	Tina Bond		1840 - 1645
466	Donald Wright		1645 - 1651
467	Name Withheld		1651 - 1655
468	Angela Berry		1655 - 1659
469	Name Withheld		1659 - 1663
470	Janel Hau		1663 - 1669
471	Arindam Basu		1670 - 1677
472	Claire Bunt		1677 - 1677
473	Sandra Robertson		1677 - 1684
474	Name Withheld		1684 - 1689
475	Name Withheld		1689 - 1691
476	Yvonne Lamond	Selwyn Central Community Care	1691 - 1694
477	Mark Tayles		1694 - 1698
478	Raymond Morgan		1698 - 1700
479	Isabel Kirk		1700 - 1704
480	Muriel Haines		1704 - 1709
481	Wayne Owens		1709 - 1714
482	Name Withheld		1714 - 1717
483	Leslie McAuley		1717 - 1720
484	Rory Deans		1721 - 1726
485	Elizabeth Middleton		1726 - 1729
486	Tiffany Eric		1729 - 1730
487	Chris Roper		1731 - 1734
488	Name Withheld		1734 - 1737
489	Name Withheld		1738 - 1743
490	Geoff Le Cren		1743 - 1749
491	Name Withheld		1749 - 1753
492	Jo Beswick	West Melton Netball & Tennis Club	1753 - 1755
493	Judith Pascoe		1755 - 1756
494	Amy Rock		1756 - 1760
495	Jess Wall		1760 - 1761

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
496	Name Withheld		1761 - 1762
497	Rebecca Lowery		1762 - 1764
498	MEGAN ADAMSON		1764 - 1765
499	Jeanette Neal		1765 - 1767
500	Sara Fairbrother		1767 - 1769
501	Laura Walker		1769 - 1769
502	Sophie Gillespie		1770 - 1770
503	Caroline Elmore		1771 - 1772
504	James Morris		1772 - 1775
505	Alyssa Thomas		1775 - 1776
506	Name Withheld		1776 - 1776
507	Caitlin Wall		1776 - 1776
508	Name Withheld		1776 - 1779
509	Lauren Thornhill		1779 - 1780
510	Name Withheld		1780 - 1781
511	Philip Strang		1781 - 1782
512	Anita Beswick		1782 - 1783
513	Linley Thomas		1783 - 1784
514	Name Withheld		1784 - 1788
515	Rebecca Prattley		1788 - 1790
516	Freya Ryan		1790 - 1790
517	Lexi Richards		1790 - 1795
518	Kelly McIlroy		1795 - 1797
519	Georgia Benny		1798 - 1801
520	Emma Wooding		1801 - 1806
521	Name Withheld		1806 - 1809
522	Name Withheld		1809 - 1813
523	Don Adams		1813 - 1816
524	Name Withheld		1817 - 1820
525	Muldoon Heather		1821 - 1821
526	Kate de Silva		1822 - 1823
527	Anthony Thwaites		1823 - 1828
528	Name Withheld		1828 - 1831

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
529	Taylor Allison		1831 - 1834
530	Rebecca Down		1834 - 1835
531	Susan Mayo		1835 - 1838
532	Name Withheld		1838 - 1841
533	Name Withheld		1841 - 1845
534	Gordon Hayward		1845 - 1847
535	Vanessa Araya		1848 - 1850
536	Sophie Owens		1851 - 1851
537	Leanne Shirtcliff		1851 - 1855
538	Lee Millen		1855 - 1857
539	Name Withheld		1857 - 1857
540	Emily Cammock		1857 - 1858
541	Jess Hanham		1859 - 1862
542	Blair Templeton	Leeston-Southbridge Cricket Club	1862 - 1863
543	Name Withheld		1863 - 1865
544	Sophie Moore		1865 - 1868
545	Stephen Phillips		1868 - 1872
546	Thomas Cockburn		1872 - 1875
547	Name Withheld		1876 - 1880
548	Name Withheld		1880 - 1883
549	Name Withheld		1884 - 1888
550	Angela Jones		1888 - 1892
551	Juliet Tibbotts		1892 - 1894
552	Mitch Prendergast	Selwyn Sports Trust	1894 - 1898
553	Name Withheld		1899 - 1901
554	Name Withheld		1901 - 1905
555	Name Withheld		1905 - 1910
556	Marilyn Ollett		1910 - 1916
557	Sarah Elcomb		1916 - 1917
558	Campbell Tuer		1917 - 1923
559	Name Withheld		1923 - 1924
560	Anne Owens		1924 - 1928
561	Frances Harrison		1928 - 1930

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
562	Sarah Kortegast		1930 - 1931
563	Hillary MOULDER		1931 - 1935
564	Name Withheld	Foodstuffs South Island Limited	1935 - 1940
565	Rob Naysmith		1940 - 1941
566	Name Withheld	Foodstuffs South Island Limited	1942 - 1947
567	Name Withheld		1947 - 1948
568	Name Withheld		1948 - 1951
569	Name Withheld		1951 - 1952
570	Name Withheld		1952 - 1954
571	Emma Wright		1954 - 1956
572	Murray Smith	Smiths Mitre 10	1956 - 1958
573	Nickie Tabukovu	PLAY Drama Workshops Limited	1958 - 1960
574	Tim Wright		1960 - 1962
575	Ellie Moe		1962 - 1963
576	Joanna Browne		1963 - 1964
577	Name Withheld		1965 - 1965
578	Name Withheld		1965 - 1969
579	Janiece Sullivan		1969 - 1970
580	Gaylene Barnes		1971 - 1978
581	Finley Stokes		1978 - 1982
582	Holly Barneyt		1982 - 1983
583	Diane Williams		1984 - 1988
584	Bryan and Carol Thomas		1988 - 1989
585	Name Withheld		1989 - 1992
586	Sukhjit Kaur		1992 - 1995
587	Name Withheld		1996 - 1999
588	Name Withheld		1999 - 2003
589	Hedy Van Zyl		2003 - 2004
590	Name Withheld		2004 - 2008
591	Name Withheld		2008 - 2010
592	Megan Lowen		2011 - 2012
593	Name Withheld		2012 - 2016
594	Sarah Radburnd		2016 - 2020

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
595	Hamish Hodgdon		2020 - 2025
596	Aleisha Crosbie		2025 - 2028
597	Shannon McAleer	Darfield Cricket Club	2028 - 2029
598	Hamish Mills		2029 - 2029
599	Kate O'Connell		2030 - 2034
600	Anna Morgan		2034 - 2035
601	Audrey Ross		2035 - 2038
602	Lucy Shaw		2039 - 2041
603	Vaughan Chaffey		2042 - 2045
604	Leonie Baker		2045 - 2050
605	Jade Collier		2050 - 2054
606	Name Withheld		2054 - 2057
607	Laura Wilson		2058 - 2058
608	David Galletly		2058 - 2059
609	Shannon McAleer		2059 - 2063
610	Name Withheld		2063 - 2067
611	Phoebe Thompson		2067 - 2070
612	Carina Schill		2071 - 2074
613	Howard Barrett		2074 - 2076
614	Mou Basu		2076 - 2077
615	Taylor Allison		2077 - 2081
616	Caelan Thomas		2081 - 2082
617	Name Withheld		2082 - 2085
618	Renee Joblin		2085 - 2088
619	Rex Walker		2088 - 2089
620	Dave Beatty		2089 - 2091
621	Denise Ellis		2092 - 2094
622	Judith Nickle		2094 - 2095
623	Name Withheld		2095 - 2098
624	Justin Cercado		2098 - 2098
625	Carissa Maitland		2099 - 2103
626	Kathryn Hayward		2103 - 2105
627	Name Withheld		2105 - 2107

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
628	Dave Fitzjohn	Lincoln Envirotown Trust	2107 - 2113
629	Julyan Falloon	Sport Canterbury	2113 - 2120
630	Bodie Hawkins		2120 - 2120
631	Name Withheld		2121 - 2124
632	Kylie Gallagher		2124 - 2125
633	Thomas Allison		2125 - 2129
634	Priscilla Powell		2129 - 2137
635	Luke Glendining		2137 - 2139
636	Georgia McKenzie		2139 - 2140
637	Lauren Beattie		2140 - 2142
638	Jo Maddren		2142 - 2145
639	Marcia Taylor		2145 - 2147
640	Bryan Pinches		2147 - 2151
641	Matt Deans		2151 - 2153
642	Andrew Bell		2154 - 2157
643	Judy McAuliffe Miller		2157 - 2160
644	Name Withheld		2160 - 2161
645	Peter Trezise		2161 - 2162
646	Name Withheld		2163 - 2164
647	Courtney Townsend		2164 - 2165
648	Rochelle Fraser		2165 - 2169
649	Margaret Vitel		2169 - 2172
650	Marianne Irving		2172 - 2175
651	Name Withheld		2175 - 2179
652	Sam Horner		2180 - 2181
653	Donna Nugent		2181 - 2185
654	Kerryn Steel		2185 - 2189
655	Emma Aker		2190 - 2193
656	Brian Donnelly		2194 - 2194
657	Name Withheld		2195 - 2198
658	Name Withheld		2198 - 2200
659	Amanda Seaton		2200 - 2202
660	Name Withheld		2202 - 2203

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
661	Name Withheld		2203 - 2207
662	Name Withheld		2207 - 2211
663	Paul Spence		2211 - 2218
664	Maria Macdonald		2218 - 2218
665	Brent Roffel		2219 - 2223
666	Matt Walker		2223 - 2227
667	Cleve Prescott		2227 - 2232
668	Sue Stokes		2232 - 2238
669	Bevan Mehrstens		2238 - 2242
670	Karen Gardener		2242 - 2246
671	Susan Carline-Wright	Kirwee Community Association	2246 - 2249
672	Samuel Teulon		2249 - 2250
673	Mike Ham		2250 - 2253
674	Michael Hern		2253 - 2258
675	Basil Meyer		2258 - 2264
676	Heather Hamilton		2264 - 2265
677	Name Withheld		2265 - 2268
678	Tegan Holdem		2268 - 2273
679	Eugene Harris		2273 - 2277
680	Mark Nugent		2277 - 2279
681	Ben Thompson		2279 - 2284
682	Lucy Wright		2285 - 2289
683	Sean Rooney		2289 - 2297
684	Edwin Meek		2297 - 2301
685	Campbell Barrett		2301 - 2305
686	John Moran		2305 - 2309
687	Alyse Boaz		2309 - 2312
688	Steven Moe		2312 - 2317
689	Warwick Owen		2317 - 2327
690	Name Withheld		2327 - 2330
691	Lee Kukard		2330 - 2331
692	Andrew Rowlands		2331 - 2332
693	Submission Withdrawn		

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
694	Simon Dakers		2332 - 2337
695	Name Withheld		2337 - 2338
696	Tim O'Neill		2338 - 2342
697	Jacqueline Wallace		2342 - 2346
698	Name Withheld	MJ Focus Group	2346 - 2351
699	Trevor Green		2351 - 2353
700	Ella Hunt		2354 - 2357
701	Name Withheld		2357 - 2361
702	Joy McLeod		2361 - 2362
703	Peter McLeod		2362 - 2363
704	Margaret Newton		2364 - 2366
705	Mark Alexander		2366 - 2371
706	Rebecca Ridgen		2371 - 2376
707	Yvette Cullen		2376 - 2377
708	Andrew Wright		2377 - 2379
709	Name Withheld		2379 - 2384
710	Karla Roberts		2384 - 2388
711	Alan Beasley		2388 - 2391
712	Mark Palmer	Springfield Community Association	2391 - 2393
713	Brian and Helen Coker		2393 - 2396
714	Name Withheld		2396 - 2397
715	Chole Dangen		2397 - 2398
716	Karen Scott		2398 - 2401
717	Sue and John Sheaf		2401 - 2403
718	Gail Scrivener	Canterbury Horticultural Society Garden Clubs	2403 - 2404
719	Ralph Brown	Greendale Residents' Committee	2404 - 2405
720	Sue Sheaf	Broadfields Garden Club	2406 - 2408
721	Kate Hawes		2408 - 2409
722	Name Withheld		2410 - 2415
723	Janelle Rea		2415 - 2417
724	Name Withheld		2417 - 2423
725	Name Withheld		2423 - 2427
726	Name Withheld		2427 - 2430

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
727	Imogen Brankin		2430 - 2431
728	Alice Low		2431 - 2435
729	Name Withheld		2435 - 2439
730	Letisha Ducharme		2439 - 2442
731	Laurie Watkins		2442 - 2445
732	Rachel Wiseman		2445 - 2451
733	Conor Boyd		2451 - 2456
734	Thea Hewitt		2456 - 2461
735	Name Withheld		2462 - 2466
736	Colin Eady		2466 - 2466
737	Julene Kelly		2467 - 2470
738	Name Withheld		2470 - 2474
739	Rachael Salter		2474 - 2478
740	Leanne Bainbridge		2478 - 2480
741	Eric Lundvall		2480 - 2485
742	Chris Brown		2485 - 2491
743	Monica Harris		2491 - 2494
744	Marie Stafford		2494 - 2500
745	Geoffrey Dunbar		2500 - 2502
746	Name Withheld		2502 - 2506
747	Name Withheld		2506 - 2511
748	Name Withheld		2511 - 2515
749	Roger Sheat		2515 - 2517
750	Name Withheld		2517 - 2527
751	Name Withheld		2528 - 2531
752	Maree Horlor		2532 - 2537
753	Maree and Stan Pycrof		2537 - 2542
754	Lloyd Clausen		2542 - 2546
755	Jonathon Bray		2547 - 2550
756	Name Withheld		2551 - 2551
757	Julie Pascoe		2552 - 2557
758	Pauline Sewell		2557 - 2561
759	Maureen Flattery		2562 - 2572

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
760	Nigel Reid		2572 - 2576
761	Diane Woodward		2576 - 2580
762	Micheal Beynon		2580 - 2582
763	Name Withheld		2582 - 2583
764	Alan Low		2583 - 2586
765	Name Withheld		2586 - 2587
766	Name Withheld		2587 - 2588
767	Brook Thorpe		2588 - 2592
768	Name Withheld		2593 - 2596
769	Ashley Neale		2596 - 2600
770	Kylie Leech		2600 - 2604
771	Jo Mills		2605 - 2608
772	Cass McGimpsey		2608 - 2613
773	Kevin Mills		2613 - 2617
774	Sharon Kirkwood		2617 - 2621
775	Jennifer McLaughlin		2621 - 2625
776	Linda Martin		2625 - 2628
777	Sue Ryan		2628 - 2631
778	Alex McLaughlin		2632 - 2636
779	David Martin		2636 - 2640
780	Daniel Burdett		2640 - 2643
781	Luke Thomas		2644 - 2647
782	Lieuwe Doubleday		2648 - 2653
783	Shannon Inns		2653 - 2657
784	Name Withheld		2657 - 2661
785	Pip Lodge		2661 - 2665
786	Cheyenne Webster		2665 - 2665
787	Name Withheld		2666 - 2669
788	Juliette Gross		2669 - 2671
789	Emily Scott		2671 - 2674
790	Nick Hanrahan		2674 - 2678
791	Name Withheld		2678 - 2679
792	Andre Goldsmith		2680 - 2682

Submission Number	Name	Organisation	Page Number
793	Brian Deans		2682 - 2686
794	Ariyah Missen		2686 - 2690
795	Name Withheld		2690 - 2694
796	Tammy Whyte		2694 - 2696
797	Sandra Robertson	Ellesmere Promotions Trust	2696 - 2699
798	Lindsay Robertson		2699 - 2703
799	Genna Bird		2704 - 2704
274	Nicky Snoyink	Forest & Bird	last 3 pages

Report: Summary of Submissions by Submitter Number/Name

Submitter Number:	1	Submitter:	Natalie Taylor
Point Number	1.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	1.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	1.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	1.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		

Point Number	1.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	1.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Return to Sheffield community to remain open		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		

Submitter Number:	2	Submitter:	Irvin Chew
Point Number	2.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I have attended a walk-in session and have lost confidence in the options presented by the council. The 3 'big decisions' is a distraction to the poor planning process that has been described to me. A real planning process would describe the need of each investment and acting on the need when it arrives. What essentially occurs now in the SDC process is basically a political stoush where whoever shouts loudest gets their project prioritised. I no longer support my decision and change my previous submission.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	2.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	2.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.			
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000			
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates			
Funding: Rates: 100%			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged		
Point Number	2.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	2.16	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	The development contributions policy should look at placing higher contributions to reduce impact of rates increase. If developers want to make money, they need to invest more into the community infrastructure.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The development contributions for all services have been reviewed and adjusted leading into the preparation of the draft LTP. This has included the introduction of a new development contribution for		

	community infrastructure. Based on the programme of capital works, A thorough and robust process is undertaken to calculate the development contribution amount that can be fairly and reasonably applied to the growth community for a project. The calculation is made in accordance with the Council's Development Contribution Policy and the legislation that underpins the policy as well as guidelines on administering the policy.		
Point Number	2.17	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I do not support any pet projects such as 'Rural walking and cycling improvements' which don't even tell us how much it costs nor does this process give us enough granularity to say we support it or not.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	2.19	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The S&E section is useless, it just says it will change but doesn't say change to what specifically. I will change in ten years time, and may or may not get grumpier.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	2.20	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The SDC needs to demonstrate what is a 'do-minimum' plan to reduce the impact of rates increase and general rise of cost of living instead of proposing pet projects. There is no evidence for 'increased' demand for a sporting facility. If you ask any community if they want something, of course they're gonna say yes....do you give a child a lollipop every time he/she asks for one?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		

Point Number	2.21	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Stop spending money. If businesses see an opportunity to expand let them spend the money.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the proposed allocation should be reduced. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount. Not all the money is new with some money coming from existing budgets.		
Point Number	2.22	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Learn to use an excel spreadsheet properly - I hear they are fantastic.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	2.23	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	In the walk-in, I was promised that a detailed list of projects are available under supporting documents. All I see is an outdated LTP done in 2021 which bears no resemblance to the proposed spend in the consultation document. At the end of the day, we need to prioritise renewals, safety improvements and justified growth investment (I'm talking about full on NPV business cases with options analysis). All pet projects that get the loudest voice needs to be canned. This needs to be		

Staff Recommendation	demonstrated in the consultation process and as such I have not seen any evidence of this. I point you to the Aurora Energy consultation document for CPP - that's what it should look like. Council acknowledges the submission. The supporting information was available on both our Council website (www.selwyn.govt.nz) and our Engagement Platform (www.yoursay.selwyn.govt.nz). Any questions or requests for additional information were able to be made through our facilities or call centre.

Submitter Number:	3	Submitter: Jan Thomas
Point Number	3.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	3.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	3.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	3.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is already proven that the facility in West Melton with one court is too small - need at least two to cover all sports, especially with the growing population. many have to travel at the moment to Rolleston which isn't ideal.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	3.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	3.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:		Still the need for local community newspapers and not just relying on social media.	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	4	Submitter:	Megan Foster
Point Number	4.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	4.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	4.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	4.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	4.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	4.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	5	Submitter:	Libby Adams
Point Number	5.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	5.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	5.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	5.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	5.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	6	Submitter:	Kishore Narayana Samy
Point Number	6.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 6.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	6.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	6.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	6.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	6.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	7	Submitter:	Adam John
Point Number	7.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	7.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	7.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	7.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	7.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	7.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	8	Submitter:	Anna McDonald
Point Number	8.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	8.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	8.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	8.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	8.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	8.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments

Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No
Staff Recommendation	

Submitter Number:	9	Submitter:	Adam Cahill
Point Number	9.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	9.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	9.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	9.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	9.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	9.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	10	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	10.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	10.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	10.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	10.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	10.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	11	Submitter: tracey macleod
Point Number	11.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>	

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Leeston is growing due to new subdivisions but nothing like the rate of Lincoln's growth Staff recommend that this submission be acknowledged. Population growth predictions have been taken into account in relation to this matter.
Point Number	11.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.
Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	11.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	11.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
	Comment: By approving unplanned subdivisions you have no choice but to provide the ancillary services required for these new homes and the rest of us to function well		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury who provides Metro Bus Services, needs to undertake more regular service reviews in Selwyn to take account of urban growth. Council on its part ensures that major new roads and intersections that are provided by developers in new subdivisions can support future bus routes and services should they be funded and introduced by Environment Canterbury in the future.		
Point Number	11.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
	Comment: Lincoln has no pool, is over capacity with sports fields and the netball courts are embarrassment. Getting SDC to clean down moss & algae each year is a mission.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Point Number	11.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	11.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	11.12	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Lincoln is horribly neglected but being grown at ridiculous speeds. We are bulging ubder over subscribed everything while Darfield gets		

Staff Recommendation	disproportionate facilities & upgrades. Prebbleton Rugby Club got a huge ratepayer subsidy while we don't have enough grounds here. Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	11.14	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	birches and springs road upgrades are not even mentioned and need to be in this ten year plan. A number of upgrades around Lincoln are not scheduled till 2034-38. This is a poor choice due to the large growth expected in Lincoln. The absence of Councillors from Lincoln is reflected in these very long dates for upgrades alongside the unsustainable growth pushed into Lincoln instead of spread evenly		
Staff Recommendation	An upgrade of the Springs and Birchs Road intersection to traffic signals is included in the draft LTP for 2031/32		
Point Number	11.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Protect HPL- we can't eat concrete- there is no housing crisis in Selwyn just senior staff and Councillor chest-beating at having the 'fastest growing' region in NZ.		
Staff Recommendation	The National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land provides clear direction and parameters for council in considering future housing growth in Selwyn		

Submitter Number:	12	Submitter:	Samantha Divers
Point Number	12.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 12.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Additional sports courts would be great for a growing community with very little infrastructure. We don't want to have to travel to rolleston for everything		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. Future local infrastructural requirements have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	12.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 12.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	12.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	13	Submitter:	Melissa George
Point Number	13.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	13.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	13.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: we need indoor netball courts to accommodate weeknight competitive games. Selwyn netball is at capacity and this would attract more to play local from all of the malvern area	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	13.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	13.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	we need a pool in darfield that is year round. It's ridiculous driving to rolleston for swimming lessons in the colder months. It would be used all year. Build it at rhe rec centre with the 2 new indoor courts. Like the Ashburton all in one facility. Sports groups would love it!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. An indoor pool complex is planned as part of the Infrastructure Strategy (Yr 11). Staff support the concept of hubbing such facilities together.		

Submitter Number:	14	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	14.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: we should have a public pool in Lincoln It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council			
	Point Number	14.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27			

	2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	14.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	14.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	14.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	14.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	15	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	15.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	15.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	15.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

Staff Recommendation		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	15.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield	
Point Number	15.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	15.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	16	Submitter:	Jay Burgess
Point Number	16.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 16.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	16.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	16.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	16.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	16.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	17	Submitter:	Lesley Geayley
Point Number	17.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	17.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	17.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		

		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Council just spent millions on a park at Prebbleton, which appears to be empty 99% of the time. In these times of hardship a lesser upgrade would seem appropriate
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	17.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I think in the current economic climate, these grandiose schemes should be delayed until the country's economic situation improves	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield
Point Number	17.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?	

		Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	17.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I think the Council should stick to its basic work providing necessary things - sewer, water, roading, libraries etc in this present day and age. There are a significant number of older residents in the district who would struggle to meet these proposed increases not to mention struggling young families who if having to pay increased mortgages and rates won't be able to use all these new facilities anyway. When the council meets its fundamental obligations, then remaining funds can be directed to grandiose schemes. Cut your cloth to suit your purse, please.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	18	Submitter:	Harvey Polglase
Point Number	18.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	18.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%		

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	18.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	18.6	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Council support for the provision of a water de-chlorination station in Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	18.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Agreed		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	19	Submitter:	Anu Cherian
Point Number	19.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Because of the current macroeconomic conditions (high inflation, low growth) translating into high cost of living, we expect council to judiciously plan budgeting for next 3 years. Spend only the minimum required for transport and other facilities, and defer larger investments until the macroeconomic conditions are in favor. We have started to hear layoffs and most of the households have tightened their budget to sail through the current difficult conditions, and we expect government and government bodies to do the same.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033. It hoped economic conditions will have improved and these projects remain affordable and relevant, but Council can review this further through subsequent Long Term Plan and Annual Plan Processes		

Point Number	19.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	19.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	19.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		

Point Number	19.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	In my opinion a realistic approach to strategic thinking and acting is required. When interest rates are so high why is council focused on increasing borrowing. Various nearby councils have taken an approach on minimum borrowing/spending for next couple of years. We expect our council to be more pragmatic.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		
Point Number	19.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Borrowing Levels
Comments:	Please differ long term plans until macroeconomic conditions are favorable.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	19.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	19.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	How do you explain \$1.3million a year to explore the most effective technologies? Is council building its own IT solutions or is it just for exploring the appropriate solution? It is such a staggering price for just exploring. Costs like this isn't justifiable in these economic conditions.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process and providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	20	Submitter:	Stuart Buchan
Point Number	20.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	20.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The proposed spending in Leeston, an area with a population of what, 2500 people, is just obscene.		
Staff Recommendation	Population growth predictions have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		

Point Number	20.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	20.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	20.5	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	21	Submitter:	Mike Power
Point Number	21.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	21.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	21.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	21.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	21.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	21.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	22	Submitter:	Craig Reynolds
Point Number	22.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Park N Ride sites need to provide a level of service that aligns with the increased bus services including bus parks, shelters of sufficient size, secure vehicle parking, bike stands, lighting and all-weather pavement surfacing. They have to be safe and follow CPTED requirements for potential 24/7 use. This type of level of service attracts increase patronage and use of bus services, which is the objective. Because of the cost of extending bus services, Park N Ride is more effective especially for direct to City services. Environment Canterbury and Council will be investigating 'On Demand' Services as another option within larger townships.		

Point Number	22.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Existing facilities should be maintained and retained - they've been good for 50 years with the same risk profile, why not another 50? I don't support the central hub model as it guts rural townships community assets - this needs to be treasured and supported rather than centralising. Prefer simple well maintained existing facilities rather than fancy new ones.		

Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. Maintenance and access issues have been taken into account in relation to Whata Rau. The Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan - Sept 2021 will be reviewed in 2024 - 2027 and the revised plan will also include sports building and pools.		
Point Number	22.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: If the Leeston/Ellesmere community want to contribute to this then all power to them. Per previous comments, maintenance keeps old things going and is usually more cost effective than replacement.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	22.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I live in Darfield, and think the existing facilities are good at the domain. I don't see the benefit in an indoor court given that the existing rec centre appears poorly utilised. Playing outdoor builds character. Would be keen to understand where the demand comes from, as from discussions with locals I'm yet to find anyone who desperately wants it (or wants their rates to go up for it!) If the local Malvern community is prepared to fund-raise (not by rates) and co-own the management of the facility locally then I could be persuaded it is a good idea.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	22.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	22.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I am in favour of Maori iwi/hapu engagement on issues affecting them but not special voting rights at council. The treaty does not have any concept of partnership, and partnership should be removed from the policy. This ongoing nonsense should end. We are a multi-cultural society and promoting one group over (bi-culturalism) another promotes division, not unity. Council is here for all, not to promote one group over the rest.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	22.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The pool is a memorial to those who have gone before. There is no public transport service to Darfield for kids so saying Darfield is 10 minutes away is unhelpful for a good portion of the community.		

	All kids should be able to learn to swim locally. All towns should have a local pool, ideally co-operatively managed with the local school to share costs. Surely a more cost-effective solution can be found. Perhaps the community could take over the running of the facility on a best effort basis with a contribution by council to running and maintenance costs.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	22.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Its not council core business. Don't do it.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and holds a view that it is not core business of Council. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	22.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	This should have a maybe option - I think that where there is a clear cost benefit to the ratepayer digital should be an option, but need to ensure we don't exlude those who don't do technology.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	22.13	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services

Comments:	Transport: More affordable public transport services to other towns would be helpful - perhaps a couple of return services a day to a hub like Darfield, Rolly or Leeston? The community cars are helpful for some, but not for commuting to Christchurch regularly. If you start driving to the closest town to catch a bus its easy to keep driving. The Darfield bus is great, but one return service is a bit painful. Any pressure you can put on Ecan would be good. The \$2 fares are the thing that got me out of the car most days of the week. Perhaps cut the community cars in favour of a few regular buses?		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		
Point Number	22.17	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	The cycle ways. I think the Alps to Ocean is wishful thinking and should be abandoned. Connect the major flat ground towns perhaps, along side the railway line? Gravel or compacted dirt not sealed. Do it cheap or not at all. How could communities be involved in this by providing time and materials?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	22.18	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I don't see in the consultation anything about the small community halls - I think all these should be retained and local communities operate or take them over with option for maintenance completed by council. Some may not be more than 33% EQ rated, but if the user group is happy they should be retained as is. I would use them more but its painful to book them - make it online and the prices transparent. (They seem high)		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Over the next 12 months Council will be reviewing the network of public-facing facilities across the Selwyn District (libraries, community centres, halls, sports centres and pavilions). This work will take a holistic look at all facilities to plan for short, medium and longer-term community needs. It is recognised that a number of Council owned halls and centres have an extensive history in Selwyn and it is also recognised that there		

are some halls / community centres that are now underutilised by the local community and may be facing large costs in maintenance and / or remediation. The review will help Council better understand issues, opportunities and barriers and to consider alternative options for the future.

Depending on the facility, options identified in the review may include, but not be limited to, the lease or sale of facility to a community group or organisation, the repurposing of facility from a general public hire facility to a dedicated activity facility for the district, co-location arrangements, etc. The intention of Council's public-facing facilities network plan is to ensure a reasonable and balanced spread across the wider district, with facilities that are relevant to the various communities.

Point Number	22.19	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Chlorination - would be nice if council could provide one bore tap in each township for those who want unchlorinated untreated water. Perhaps this can't be done under current regs.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for locations in the community where dechlorinated water can be obtained for household use is acknowledged. Staff have investigated options and can provide modified drinking fountains/bottle filling stations with cartridge filters to remove chlorine at key community hubs across major townships in the district. Use of off the shelf products will reduce costs and allow the number of filling points to be scaled with demand. The filling points are estimated to have capital installation cost \$20,000 (including concrete apron) plus \$20,000 annual operational cost. Staff recommendation is that dechlorination is best achieved at a household level where costs are met by those who wish to benefit from chlorine removal.		
Point Number	22.20	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Darfield Pool - great to have the redevelopment, perhaps a simple building could be constructed around the whole site to enclose and make it open year round?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	22.21	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Debt Levels
Comments:	Overall I would rather council spend less even if that resulted in reduced non-core services. Would prefer council to pay down debt rather than keep on borrowing.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	22.22	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	For climate considerations, I would rather retain Diesel machinery rather than spend mega bucks on electric alternatives. In the grand scheme NZ is a small global emitter. What we change won't make a bit of difference. We should just adapt to the changes.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. The Council is also developing an Emissions Plan and Sustainability Plan to identify actions into the future.		
Point Number	22.24	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	Leverage the Christchurch assets wherever we can - let them pay!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	22.25	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	Support the townships to thrive and have local community engagement on the things that matter to them. Get the people involved in activities like working bees for maintenance to facilitate community involvement and ownership of local assets.		
Staff Recommendation	Feedback has been noted by relevant team.		
Point Number	22.28	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	The Rolleston SH1 improvements would be good to have the highway continue without stopping if possible.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Both the SH1 project by NZTA and coordinated local road projects by SDC will have traffic management in place to manage traffic flow and safety.		
Point Number	22.29	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	The changes to intersections with much greater visibility of stop signs etc are good and should continue at trouble spots. Don't reduce the speed limits, but do continue to widen the major roads between towns (Wards/Telegraph between Rolly and Darfield for example).		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Telegraph road was widened a few years ago. Council has programmed widening key routes in the LTP.		
Point Number	22.30	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Maintenance - I am pleasantly surprised that the roads in Selwyn are generally of good condition compared to other adjacent districts. It would be good to ensure focus on high use roads rather than the tributaries. Gravel roads should remain gravel unless volume dictates otherwise. (There are good sealed arterials available mostly). Most of Selwyn is rural, so my expectations aren't high for most non-arterial/highway roads outside of towns.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission because the roads are managed to meet differentiated levels of service.		

Point Number	22.31	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	I don't understand why building a simple shelter and carpark costs so much. surely this can be done far more simply and affordably? If it can't be done cheaply (for example a gravel carpark) then leave the status quo. Alternatively extending the bus route to subdivisions could be more affordable if one large carpark isn't required?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The cost of Park n Ride includes cost for land acquisition. Extending bus routes makes bus travel longer and less attractive, so public transport planners need to strike a balance.		

Submitter Number:	23	Submitter:	Erin TRETHERWEY
Point Number	23.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: public transport is massively important, we need more buses into town and also locally around Rolleston		

Staff Recommendation	The 85 Rolleston to City Direct Service has recently had additional peak services added to it. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27 including investigating 'On Demand' Services as another option within larger townships.		
Point Number	23.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	23.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	23.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	23.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	23.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		

Staff Recommendation

Submitter Number:	24	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	24.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	24.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	24.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	24.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Stop allowing the building two story apartments that invade neighbour's privacy amongst large family homes. They don't fit in with the other houses on the street, look out of place, block sunlight and are a complete eyesore		
Staff Recommendation	The Resource Management Act outlines key direction and standards relating to medium density in populated towns (through the Medium Density Residential Standards) that the council must follow.		

If the direction changes, council will respond accordingly.

Submitter Number:	25	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	25.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	25.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	25.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	25.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	25.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	25.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submitter Number:	26	Submitter:	Paul Weggery
Point Number	26.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is shameful to ask people to fund non-essential projects in a cost of living crisis. In your 2021-2031 you had an average rates increase of 4.5% per year. I am totally appalled at what this council is proposing by asking us, the rate payers to fund a lot of 'nice to have projects. I work with the food banks and struggling families and your proposed rate rises will cost people their homes. The public transport project should be at least delayed.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. It is the intention by improving PT Services and corresponding infrastructure it will improve public access to additional and affordable transport options.		

Point Number	26.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is shameful to ask people to fund non-essential projects in a cost of living crisis. In your 2021-2031 you had an average rates increase of 4.5% per year. I am totally appalled at what this council is proposing by asking us, the rate payers to fund a lot of 'nice to have projects. I work with the food banks and struggling families and your proposed rate rises will cost people their homes.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged.		
Point Number	26.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: We can't afford it.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	26.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I can't believe that this council is proposing such

	irresponsible spending in a cost of living crisis.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	26.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	26.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	In a cost of living crisis, we need to prioritize spending on essential services and limiting rate rises. I am at the food banks helping out at least twice a week, plus collecting food, and I see how much people are struggling. I would ask the council to "look to the better angels of their nature" and remember that you exist to serve the people and make their lives better. We just had a government thrown out for rampant wasteful spending in favour of one that advocates for fiscal responsibility. I urge you to think of people who can't pay their bills or put food on the table, let alone manage a proposed 15.6% rate rise. We, the ratepayers, are watching.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	26.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Borrowing Levels
Comments:	I strongly disagree with the huge amounts of borrowing to fund		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	26.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I am appalled at all these projects being proposed and the rates in which you are expecting people to bear. Also, I don't believe that the council will keep to the average 8.5% increase over 10 years. This average is brought down by estimating the 2031/32 increase to be only 1.7% ^m , which is disingenuous. If it is non-essential (like libraries, more sports facilities and the proposed public transport upgrades) then we shouldn't spend money on it. We need to prioritize minimizing rate increases and spending money on essential services.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	27	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	27.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: Public transport around Greater Christchurch has always been the slowest compared to driving or cycling. MRT other than being expensive would help a lot. Once public transport is at least as fast as driving I will use it again.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The aim of PT Futures for Greater Christchurch is indeed to put PT services on the same of not better basis than private vehicle travel in peak times.		
Point Number	27.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	27.4	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Living in Prebbleton my main concern beyond rate increases is road and public transport upgrades. Also, general upkeep of the area. Making sure gardening is done and towns are presented in a tidy manner.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff notes the general support for road funding and the need for environmental maintenance.		

Submitter Number:	28	Submitter:	Josie McNee
Point Number	28.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	28.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	28.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	I am concerned at the amount of growth of Prebbleton and the lack of investment in community amenities compared to other parts of the district. Townships in other districts that are the same size as Prebbleton have way more facilities. I would like to see a proper plan for the development of Prebbleton to make it a great place for residents to live. There must have been substantial council contributions from all of the subdivisions, but this does not seem to have been invested in the township.		
Staff Recommendation	A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections. As each town grows, there is a need to understand its future, as well as upgrade its infrastructure and facilities. This is generally done through Strategic and Spatial Plans. However, as growth continues to exceed the projections, more projects are needed quicker to meet this growth.		

Submitter Number:	29	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	29.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Bus services are not well utilised, don't see any point in making ratepayers foot the bill for this when the buses are rarely more than half full now. Services are not reliable and unless you work at a mall or the middle of town, they are next to useless. Regardless of how much the council want people to choose the more climate friendly option, these factors are still going to stop people using them. During this difficult economic time for most families, I would think the majority would rather not have the added cost to their rates.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Bus patronage dropped significantly post Canterbury earthquakes, and then again from COVID. Levels have recently returned to pre COVID levels through a lot of hard work. The Greater Christchurch PT Futures initiative seeks to improve frequency and reliability of PT services, including services that are better targeted to demand, such as direct to City services that need to be supported by Park N Ride facilities.		
Point Number	29.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: As households need to cut their spending to meet their budget, council should be too. That being said, Leeston deserves to have some money spent on community facilities as the majority seem to have been built in Rolleston over the last few years.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged.		
Point Number	29.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 29.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: As per previous comment, time some of the other larger townships in Selwyn got some investment It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Point Number	29.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	Point Number	29.7	Category Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	29.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates

Comments:	Rates increases suggested are going to be unaffordable for many in the current economic conditions. I understand that costs are increasing for council as well but expecting ratepayers to be able to cover the increases suggested is pretty unrealistic. Particularly young families and middle-income families (pensioners obviously can get a rebate so not including them). All councils in NZ are trying to raise rates at these levels when perhaps they should have to take a leaf out of public service departments and look to be cutting their own costs by downsizing staff. Rates increases like this are more likely to drive people out of Selwyn - unless that's the plan?		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. That the Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	29.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	\$9 million dollars better spent elsewhere. None of the "economic development strategy" policy is necessary at a cost to ratepayers.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the proposed allocation should be reduced. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount.		
Point Number	29.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	Cost of living crisis - cut budget to NECESSARY expenditure and this is not necessary for anyone in our community except council employees.
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	30	Submitter:	Parvesh Vishal Singh
Point Number	30.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: rolleston needs a more social and meet up areas ,needs a mall in the area ,it's growing selwyn district needs to look into this ,it will grow the community and create more jobs in the community.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	30.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	30.4	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments

Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	30.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Rolleston needs a decent size mall which will create employment and closer to home and community, mall which is different, cinema retail shops, bigger than Riccarton Westfield, it will draw interest for people from around Selwyn and wider Canterbury to travel to Rolleston and shop.		
Staff Recommendation	Comments are noted. Local employment, retaining local spend and attracting spend from outside the district are considerations which will be addressed in the Economic Development Strategy Action Planning. 		

Submitter Number:	31	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	31.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

	Funding: To be determined Comment: Live in Darfield so no use to us		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. It is envisaged residents in the wider district can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City		
Point Number	31.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26		

	2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	31.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	31.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Darfield needs more facilities, no point building 1 court - build 2 from the start.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	31.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	32	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	32.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-		

funding			
Point Number	32.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	32.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	33	Submitter: Fauzan Mulla
Point Number	33.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	33.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	33.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	33.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	33.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	34	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	34.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	

Point Number	34.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Or make it a targeted rate as most ratepayers never go to Leeston		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Funding has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	34.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Or make it a targeted rate as most ratepayers never go to Leeston		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1. It is noted that Council funds the larger recreation parks on a district-wide targeted rate basis that recognises the benefit these spaces provide to the broader community. In this case some funding from development contributions received within the locality will be used to help fund the work.		
Point Number	34.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None		

	Funding: None Comment: Stop committing ratepayers money (ours, not yours) to nice to have projects! Or again, have it targeted. I don't travel anywhere near Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	34.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	34.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Not committing to removing chlorine from our water is a disgrace. Look at the other wasteful expenditure yet you can't look after your own constituent's water supply. I am frankly embarrassed by this council and were other districts not in the same position, I would consider shifting. Sort yourselves out, stop wasting MY MONEY		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		

Point Number	34.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	34.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	\$9000000!! Let businesses develop on their own without council overreach.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The submitter also holds a view that the proposed allocation should be reduced. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount.		

Point Number	34.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	What is the revised capped rate uplift? And adjusting rates fir CPI like an insurance company??		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	35	Submitter:	Lien No wu
Point Number	35.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: we need more bus routes to cover new subdivisions		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. Environment Canterbury who provides Metro Bus Services, needs to undertake more regular service reviews in Selwyn to take account of urban growth. Council on its part ensures that major new roads and intersections that are		

	provided by developers in new subdivisions can support future bus routes and services should they be funded and introduced by Environment Canterbury in the future.		
Point Number	35.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33		

	2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	35.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	35.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	35.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	35.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	36	Submitter:	Allison O'Neill
Point Number	36.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	36.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	36.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	36.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		

Point Number	36.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	37	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	37.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I would prefer to see any transport related funding used to improve our roads - i.e. less pot holes and rough/bumpy roads, widen narrow roads where needed to bring speed limits back to 100km, etc		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Well maintained roads also benefit bus routes.		

Point Number	37.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I already incur a significant amount of rates fees for buildings I will never use, so keeping any rate increases to an absolute minimum is my preferred option.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged.		
Point Number	37.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	37.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	37.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	37.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	37.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Sounds like a lot if the funds are only being used to 'explore' effective technologies but overall I am supportive of the concept of improving use of technology for long term efficiency		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology.		

	Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	37.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I don't use any public pools and would prefer we don't waste money on these - especially if they people who do use them have other options.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	38	Submitter:	GRAEME TILTMAN
Point Number	38.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

Staff Recommendation	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	38.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	38.3
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	38.4
	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	38.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	38.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Not much happening in Lincoln despite being second largest population centre. What is the actual programme for the town centre improvement works and the Boundary Road reserve development?		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. The extension of Meijer Dr to Boundary Road, along with the creation of adjoining reserves, is planned in 2025/26 budgeted at \$3.5M. In conjunction with this in 2026/27 a \$4M Park N Ride Facility to cater for improved public transport services planned by Environment Canterbury will be constructed opposite the Lincoln Events Centre.		

Submitter Number:	39	Submitter:	Richard Cookson
Point Number	39.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	39.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	39.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	39.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Darfield has an existing recreation center, with is perfectly adequate.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	39.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	39.7	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	39.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	At a time when central government ministries and agencies are reducing headcount, SDC has increased headcount and increased consulting costs over the past two years.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	39.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Council keeps engaging in functions and areas it has no basis or need for engagement		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	39.11	Category	Activity > Providing safe drinking water
Comments:	. It remains likely that three-waters will transition to some form of central government oversight and form of control.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	39.13	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	I remain concerned at Council's approach to buildings, and the lack of adequate planning for upkeep and maintenance in annual budgets. The condition of Council owned and controlled buildings over 10 years old is testament to Councils budgeting		

Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	39.14	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	. Finally Council staffing levels are appalling.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	39.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Only if there's a corresponding loss of headcount within Council to offset the costs and ongoing software support.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	39.16	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Roothing may follow to some form of centralized oversight.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Centralized oversight is currently provided by the Councils asset management team.		

Submitter Number:	40	Submitter:	Terry Pierson
Point Number	40.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Not necessary		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	40.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m		
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46		
Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 100%		
	Comment: The existing building does not display any effects from the supposed earthquake prone nature and should be repaired (if necessary) and the current site continued.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. Building condition, remedial repairs and seismic strengthening works have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	40.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		
Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		
	Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		
Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 100%		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	40.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	40.5	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	40.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Recommend rate payers attend SeniorNet to assist with their digital problems.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	41	Submitter:	Ryan Hoskins
Point Number	41.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	41.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are

Staff Recommendation	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	41.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	41.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield	
Point Number	41.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	41.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	42	Submitter:	George Humphries
Point Number	42.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	42.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	42.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	42.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

		Funding: None	Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	42.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	42.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	43	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	43.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	43.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m		
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46		
	Funding: Rates: 100%		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	43.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	43.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	43.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	43.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	please do not make mire houses if you can build (cant support) high school.		

Staff Recommendation	The council works with the Ministry of Education to help understand when and where growth will occur. The council cannot restrict the urban development solely because of the impact on schooling.		
Point Number	43.8	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I'd like to provide great education facility in our community.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	44	Submitter:	Ian Denholm
Point Number	44.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	44.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	44.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	44.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	44.6	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	44.8	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure.		
Point Number	44.9	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Where are the cycling/walking upgrades across Rolleston? When planning out Rolleston the Council missed a opportunity to Plan out connected walk/cycle ways away from roads all leading to the centre of Rolleston. There are too many narrow "Burm's" that should of been no Grass with wide areas all over Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has a footpath extension program to cover missing links. Major routes follow the walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		
Point Number	44.10	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	When Upgrading Rolleston Council Office, Get rid of the water area. It may have looked good on a plan but the ongoing cost of having it when the space could better used would be a better use of rate Payers Money. "WE" do not want to see flash upgrades when we are struggling to avoid rates.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	44.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	That is the roll of private enterprise, If there is a viable business case Business will find a way.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and has noted Council does not have a role in this space. As discussed at the Council briefing on		

March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	44.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Don't get trapped like other councils on wasting money on "APPS" that no body use.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	45	Submitter:	John Ridgen
Point Number	45.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	45.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	45.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	45.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

		Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	45.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	45.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The current rating system is unfair and overburdens rural landholders. We are not able to sustain rates increases as forecast by the council. At present our household on a medium sized farm pays \$550 weekly (\$28,600 per year) in combined Ecan and Selwyn district rates. The council needs to get back to basics and live within the districts means. I do support an increase in developer contributions, but at a higher level than currently proposed. I believe a system of user pays more than rating on capital value would be more equitable and sustainable. I strongly believe rural rate payers are subsidizing urban residents and property developers. This will need to change, or you will eventually face rate revolts. I understand central government has been placing more demands on councils, and these demands come with significant costs. To survive this the council needs to scale back or stop activities that are not essential.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	46	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	46.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	46.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	46.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	46.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	46.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	46.10	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	46.11	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	46.12 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	46.13	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	46.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	46.18	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Maori should not be given ability to influence or advise ANY council decisions		

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	46.19	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Forget the woke rubbish and maori input on decision making		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	46.20	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Maori should not be given ability to influence or advise ANY council decisions		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	46.22	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships

Comments:	Forget the woke rubbish and maori input on decision making		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of supporting Iwi participation. Council is committed to ensuring inclusivity and that ensuring everyone has an opportunity to benefit. Council considers this can lead to better outcomes for the whole community. For example, Iwi knowledge about local environments can be invaluable in planning and resource management projects. For Council to include Iwi contribution to count, by ensuring Iwi perspective has an opportunity to inform decision making on its committees, reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.		
Point Number	46.23	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	Focus on providing core services. Freshwater waste water storm water and rubbish collection.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comment is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to proceed with the Infrastructure and Property programmes as proposed in the LTP.		
Point Number	46.24	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	Focus on providing core services. Freshwater waste water storm water and rubbish collection.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comment is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to proceed with the Infrastructure and Property programmes as proposed in the LTP.		

Submitter Number:	47	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	47.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	47.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.		

Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	47.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23		

	Years 6-10: \$6.05		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	47.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	47.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	47.8	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	Improving the roads: Leading out of Lincoln township through to Greenpark, Springs Road is a main thoroughfare now. The road speed needs to be reduced from 100 km hour to 80 km, and road signage needs to be upgraded. Also, stop allowing diesel trucks to use the main road through Lincoln Township. They are noisy and dangerous.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has developed an interim speed management plan. Heavy vehicle access and speed management through the Lincoln township is part of the design for the Lincoln Twon Centre project.		
Point Number	47.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I disagree with it, as it treats a minority with higher regard than another race. Likewise, Administrative Challenges: Implementing the act could require significant resources and coordination, including updating land registries, consulting affected parties, and ensuring compliance with existing laws and regulations. Also, if a white		

	person owns land that falls under one of the categories defined in the act (such as General land or Crown land), they may experience changes in how that land is managed or regulated. For example, if adjacent land is designated as Māori customary land or Māori freehold land, there could be implications for land use or development rights.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. Council is required by s 108 Local Government Act 2002 to have a policy on the remission and postponement of rates relating to Maori freehold land. When developing this policy staff have considered its position regarding rates postponement and remission based on these legislative requirements.		
Point Number	47.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Swimming pools should be available to all communities that have youth and adults with disabilities and cannot access public transport.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	47.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Wifi and phone reception is crap in Greenpark, Halswell and farming areas where emergencies generally occur.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	48	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	48.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding

Submitter Number:	49	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	49.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public	

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I believe that Park and Ride facilities may be beneficial however, there is limited information to demonstrate the return on investment, and the impacts to the ongoing maintenance for these new locations. These facilities will not reduce the number of drivers on the road, will cause disruption, and are proven to not have a significant impact on climate emissions. Community has created other facilities for enabling the elderly access to transport and based on issues with safety, I wouldn't allow my children to use these facilities by themselves.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case identified Park N Ride facilities were needed to support the proposed additional direct to City PT services planned, and in the future MRT related district bus connection services. This will reduce commuter travel using single occupant private vehicles. A further business case process needs to be carried out by Council to satisfy NZTA funding approval requirements for its proposed Park N Rides.		
Point Number	49.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Do what we can with what we have. Again - there is no transparency about the use of the community facility and how it will make a return on investment. There is no clear view on how the facility could be used to help reduce rates in the future. Other councils long term plans are closing down facilities suggestive of hard times to come during a recession. I would love to see more creative ideas from council about how our infrastructure could be used more creatively to "make money" within our communities to support their improvement.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. Project outcomes have been identified and operational performance has been taken into account in relation to Whata Rau.		
Point Number	49.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
	Comments:	Point Number	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Whilst this is a great idea, we can be smarter during costly times. We have outdoor courts that can be used in the area that we could improve first, and provide some cover. We also have schools that have indoor courts that we could collaborate better with to seek to use their courts for certain activities. Again, the ROI on 11 million is not clear. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield

Point Number	49.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	49.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Whilst this is government mandated, it seems like this should already be done as part of a prioritisation exercise in our decision making. My concern is that if we don't already have policies around this, then we have limited formalisation of how we have been making decisions that benefit community and how to engage them. Surely we know this already. What concerns me most is the LTP does not provide any information about how we will be adopting these policies, and the impact to the rate payer. This is not as transparent as it could be and seems a bit ingenious about time and money spent by council.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	49.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Great choice.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	49.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I would like to know how the \$9mill is being spent. If it is for a strategic paper and monitoring of the strategy, I would be incredibly disappointed. These things get affected by government change and could easily become a waste of money.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and notes they would like to know how the proposed budget is being spent. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	49.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	In my mind, this may not be enough. The current digital services provided a lack luster.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	49.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I am also concerned about the lack of interest in Health within the keeping community informed. We have no discussion points about health care facilities and increased access to health care. Our enrolment and doctor retention issues in the district and reduce rural		

Staff Recommendation		access to health care services. There is no discussion about climate resilience in the region and improvements we could make to support climate change and adverse events in the region. We are incredibly infrastructurally focused despite the aspirations of the council. Feedback has been noted by relevant team.	
Point Number	49.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	I appreciate this communication. This could be provided in this format far more regularly to inform the community.		
Staff Recommendation		Council acknowledges the submission. Staff are reviewing the information we share with our communities and using this feedback to make some changes	

Submitter Number:	50	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	50.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	50.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: library's are an out of date requirement or for a small minority of people , most people use the internet or buy a book, I am tired of seeing library charges in rates		

Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. The growth in library membership, the increased use of library lending resources, the use of value-added services such as JPs in libraries and Council Service Centre and Central Government services, and the high levels of participation in lifelong learning programmes and events have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	50.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	50.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	50.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	50.7	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	Extension to the Rolleston's council building needs to be reconsidered, save some money.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	50.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Rates increase to double over the next 10 years is too high, it should be half of this, with more people moving into Selwyn there should be an offset via more household rate payers.		
	Reduce the spending and get costs under control.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	51	Submitter:	Arthur Penaia
Point Number	51.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	51.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26

	2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	51.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		

Point Number	51.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	51.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	51.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		

Staff Recommendation

Submitter Number:	52	Submitter:	Jack Bone
Point Number	52.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Should be 50/50 split on Park & Ride costs.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The NZTA Financial Assistance Rate (FAR) is set at 51% for all eligible activities funded from the National Land Transport Fund for most Councils.		

Point Number	52.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	52.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	52.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	52.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	52.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	53	Submitter:	Susan Carline-Wright
Point Number	53.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	53.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	53.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?		

Staff Recommendation		Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	53.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Support for new businesses by way of network groups would be good; do not support however more farmland being zoned for commercial/industrial purposes.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	53.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	However there are many older folk in Selwyn who do not have computers, do not use internet banking and struggle with technology so there needs to be a human face/alternative.		
Staff Recommendation	Face to face interaction will continue to be an important part of how we interact with our communities. Our digital approach is designed to make things more streamlined, connected and efficient.		
Point Number	53.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	The proposed removal of the Malvern Community Board is one such thing - in the proposed new Ward changes quiz there is no choice to keep the Board - both questions propose new wards and advise there will be no Community Board. Will we have a chance to have consultation on this?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The Representation Review is subject to a separate process and yes it does involve a consultation process.		

Point Number	53.14	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	In the current Significance and Engagement Policy there are many pages talking about consulting and listening to residents, but there is one line that says if the Council does not want to engage, it doesn't have to. One tends to be rather cynical as there has been a lot of lip service over the years and the Council has gone ahead and done what it wanted anyway, despite residents wishes		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	53.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Any major changes must be notified to residents via direct contact or via flyers/summaries (such as the one for this LTP) rather than hidden in 'proposed' District or Long Terms Plans - zoning changes are one area where Planners have amended zoning, put it in the proposed District Plan and not notified affected ratepayers hence it has gone ahead without discussion or submission from most affected people. Open consultation on all major changes is requested.		
Staff Recommendation	The District Plan Review impacts the whole of the district and consultation is open to all. Council aimed to use any and all channels available to communicate the process and potential changes that impact the community.		

Submitter Number:	54	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	54.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: We pay enough taxes as it is. The NZ Govt has an obligation to work for the people of New Zealand and do things that benefit us.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	54.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we		

	want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: The utility of a building and cost to rate payers is more important than a buildings architectural appeal.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged.		
Point Number	54.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	54.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Odd's are that your forecast population growth for Darfield is wrong. It will be cheaper in the long run to build a bigger facility today.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	54.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	54.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	No to climate change policy. Have you gone and quantified the global impact on CO2 emissions your new policy will have? Bet its stuff all. The Rating of Māori Freehold Land should be treated the same as any other freehold land. Why exactly does it need a special rating?		

	You guys going give them a discount because of their race? Wouldn't that meet the definition of racism?		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017, this being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The council has a Climate Change Policy, as well as an emissions target to assist with the development of the LTP. The Activity and Asset Management Plans with this LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to climate change and emission reduction. Council is required by s 108 Local Government Act 2002 to have a policy on the remission and postponement of rates relating to Maori freehold land. When developing this policy staff have considered its position regarding rates postponement and remission based on these legislative requirements.		
Point Number	54.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Since when has the council been responsible for local economic development. The council already dishes out enough money. Stop giving people handouts.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Point Number	54.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	No way. Why you ask? Because you'll end up doing a bad job. Digital solutions... what a vague way to waste rate payers money.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	54.12	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Chlorination is bloody awful. We all want it gone. Take the climate change budget and re-allocate to improving our drinking water.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	54.13	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	No to Fluoridate. The Govt made us all take the covid jab. Then they forced us all to water Chlorination. Last thing I want is for the council to throw more chemicals into my drinking water. You guys just love spending that rates money.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health.		

Submitter Number:	55	Submitter:	Samantha Jordan

Point Number	55.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	55.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	55.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	55.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	55.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	55.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	56	Submitter:	Gini geng
Point Number	56.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: public transportation should be upgraded and it is quite urgent. More direct bus from lincoln to centre save time and encourage more residents to use public transportation which can save environment It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury.
Point Number	56.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	56.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	56.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the	

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	56.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	56.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	57	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	57.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	57.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

Staff

Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

57.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	57.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	57.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	57.8	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	I agree that landowners and developers who gain value by rezoning and/or subdividing should contribute more to meet the financial costs of providing the infrastructure to meet the increased needs that the increased population brings.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure.		
Point Number	57.9	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities

Comments:	By population size Prebbleton seems to be underserved with community facilities. It is regrettable that including a library/service centre in one of the new shopping developments was not considered.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		
Point Number	57.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	I am very happy with the availability of my local councillor (Malcolm Lyall) I have always found him easy to contact via email or text and very responsive to my queries, and suggestions. The behaviour of some locals on the Community pages is appalling and efforts should be made to rein these people in. Much of this behaviour seems to be due to genuine ignorance. Perhaps this could be addressed by efforts for all local government groups to set up some kind of education for both children and voting-age persons to explain in clear and simple terms just what each group is responsible for. E.g Ecan, Selwyn District Council, Parliament etc. I am sure local schools and youth groups could be brought on board.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	58	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	58.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	58.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	58.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	58.5	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roding
Comments:	don't reduce the limit speed		
Staff Recommendation	Staff do not support the submission because Speed needs to be fit for purpose for each road. Council has developed an interim speed management plan focusing on schools and network critical sites within rules set out by NZTA.		

Submitter Number:	59	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	59.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

Staff Recommendation	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	59.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5%

	Development contributions: 19.5% *		
	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	59.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	59.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	59.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	59.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	59.9	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	Subdividers should have to pay a levy for the local schools per section to help prevent the current over crowding.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The submitter is advised that Council does not provide schools as this is an activity undertaken by the Ministry of Education. Therefore, Council cannot charge a development levy for providing schools and this is not currently allowed for under the legislation. The idea for a levy on developers for school provision is a matter for Central Government and the Ministry of Education to consider.		

Point Number	59.11	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Need a theatre similar to Court Theatre. Could double as a small boutique cinema like the laboratory in Lincoln or the silky otter in wigram. There isnt enough cricket grounds.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	59.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	need more cricket fields built with better drainage. Outdoor pool in Rolleston. purpose built gymnastics centre Should get a free concession card for the pool per rate holder.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		

Submitter Number:	60	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	60.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	60.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	60.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	60.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	60.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	60.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	we need to stop all unnecessary projects and get our rates increase to no greater than inflation		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.			
Point Number	60.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	nil		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	60.13	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I would like to see a dedicated performance space that will provide the existing arts organisations and businesses the opportunity to connect with our community in a way that isn't possible at the moment. It will inspire the next generation of artists. It will open the door to new arts groups which can't yet exist due to the lack of facilities. It will provide opportunities for the young, connection for the elderly, employment for many, and inspiration for all. It is time for the council to add a dedicated arts facility to its long-term plan - thanks.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	61	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	61.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	61.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46
	Funding: Rates: 100%
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	61.3
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	61.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	61.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	61.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments

Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No
Staff Recommendation	

Submitter Number:	62	Submitter:	Fiona Buchan
Point Number	62.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	62.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	62.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	62.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Submitter Number:	63	Submitter: Bryan Pidwerbesky
Point Number	63.2	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million	

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	63.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would		

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	63.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	63.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	63.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	63.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	Selwyn is effectively part of Greater Christchurch. All of Selwyn residents benefit from the new Stadium being built in Christchurch. We must financially support that development, for our benefit plus it is the fair & right thing to do.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	64	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	64.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	64.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are

Staff Recommendation	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	64.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	64.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	64.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the	

Staff Recommendation		Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	64.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	stop meaningless engagement, work like tickets on berms, phoning residents at night for surveys and do the important issues. Look at your current business for efficiencies to streamline services, you can save more		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	65	Submitter:	Josh Saville
Point Number	65.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	65.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	65.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	65.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	65.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		

Staff Recommendation

Submitter Number:	66	Submitter:	Gemmill Gaynor
Point Number	66.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	66.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	66.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None	
		Funding: None Comment: Darfield is a small town. Yes it may have some growth of people living there but they're aware of the lack of amenities before moving there.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	66.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	let us please have a break from rates increases. I've lived in Rolleston for 13 years and seen so much growth but being a pensioner is difficult enough with increase in living costs. My daughter and her family have just moved to Rolleston and have a large mortgage. How do you expect young people to settle and stay here with rates being so high and living costs increasing.		
Staff Recommendation		That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.	

Submitter Number:	67	Submitter:	Sara Meredith
Point Number	67.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: We need a more cohesive and integrated public transport system, especially with the construction of the new stadium underway. Central government has made clear its funding priorities for Waka Kotahi so I don't think co-funding can be relied upon, but this project should go ahead. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. It is agreed future PT Services provided by Environment Canterbury should take account of providing access to the new Stadium, including for specific events that people can use from the districts for traveling to the City.
Point Number	67.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

	Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	67.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	67.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	67.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	67.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	I agree that the Council shouldn't spend any more money seeking chlorination exemptions. There is no appetite from Taumata Arowai to issue exemptions and this would be a waste of staff time and ratepayer money.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health.		

Submitter Number:	68	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	68.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	68.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	68.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	68.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	68.7	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	68.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates

Comments:	In my opinion, I wouldn't mind a slight increase in rates so we are in 100% control of our projects and complete the project on time. There is a long list of projects and infrastructure improvements in pipeline and we need to act swiftly.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	68.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I agree to the proposed changes to Significance and Engagement policy and financial policies outlined as this will see Selwyn as proactive and more thoughtful space which benefits the residents and the businesses including the Māori freehold land.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	69	Submitter:	Eilish Robinson
Point Number	69.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	69.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27		

	2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	69.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	69.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	69.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	70	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	70.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	70.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	70.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	70.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	70.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	70.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:	Question: Do you wish to present your submission in person at a public hearing in the council chambers? No		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	71	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	71.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I do not use these park and ride facilities but those who do I assume are already budget conscious and trying to cut their travel costs so raising rates will affect these people the most. There are plenty of free parking areas in Rolleston already for those who use this option. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The existing Park N Ride at the Council HQ at Rolleston is well used, including on road parking around the vicinity. Further capacity is available in the unformed area north of Kidman Street used also for the Synlait Park N Ride. The timing of the two new Rolleston Park N Rides, planned between 2029 and 2033, is after the SH1 Flyover other major roading works in the area is completed using the remaining balance land available.
Point Number	71.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: I don't use any of the facilities in Leeston and we have had some massive improvements in the sports facilities in Rolleston over the last 5 years already so I don't believe we need to be increasing rates to cover facilities in Leeston that might only be used by relatively few people. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	71.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None

	Funding: None Comment: Too expensive a project for the benefit of few people. Councils need to focus on real "needs" and not "wants" - we can't afford to continue having our rates increase beyond the level of inflation for these "wonderful dreams".		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	71.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	71.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	As a general comment - the Rolleston area has grown massively in the last 5 or so years. I do not have the figures reflecting the number of new houses built here during that time but it must be in the thousands. For every new house completed the council is receiving \$3,000 to \$4,000 (at least) in rates per year. This seems to be to be an incredible amount of extra dollars flowing into the council coffers. I understand that these new houses will require services like water (which we pay for separately and which has seen some massive increases in the charge to us) and rubbish collection. I refuse to accept that the extra rates you receive is not sufficient to cover these services Therefore you need to reconsider the extravagant expenditure you are proposing and look for more cost cutting areas. Many of us in the community are on fixed incomes or		

	single incomes and the expect us to continually find the extra money to cover these rate increases is unreasonable. You are going to force people to move away from Selwyn to find somewhere cheaper to live. We have already considered doing this ourselves. Young families already face increasing childcare costs, mortgages or rent increases which is requiring both parents to work to cover these basic costs of living - not to mention the cost of food and power and everything else that is getting more expensive every year. Please help these families by reducing the wish list of projects you want to advance and focus only on what we have to have to service our current population - which I know will grow but then so does the income you receive from the extra rates each new house has to pay. I would support a rate increase of 5% annually but more than that I think is totally unreasonable.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	71.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Fluffy statements that mean nothing.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	71.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	If it helps to deliver current services for less cost then of course.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with		

more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	72	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	72.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Park and ride facilities should not be rate payer funded.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Councils preferred option is that co-funding is provided by NZTA Waka Kotahi.		
Point Number	72.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Upgrade existing Leeston buildings and retain existing names. Any facility with a Mari name should be funded by the Mari's and not the rate payers.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		
Point Number	72.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: Improvements only.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	72.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None		

		Funding: None Comment: Should be user pay. Not rate payer funded.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	72.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	72.8	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	7. The proposed increase to rates is totally unaffordable. Ratepayers income increase by less than 5% each year; but the rise in rates is triple this. Unaffordable.	
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.	
Point Number	72.9	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Borrowing Levels
Comments:	6.No to borrowing 4 x existing debt. Rate payers cannot afford rates now.	

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	72.10	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	1. The council need to cease all relationships with mana wenua, and stop wasting rate payer funds. The treaty is very clear and precise that all people on NZ are to be treated equally.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of partnerships. Building strong relationships with Iwi is vital for the Council to fulfil its obligations and effectively serve the entire community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with Iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of Iwi position and contribution to the discussion/ debate.		
Point Number	72.11	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	2. Water does not need `to be fluoridated; but needs the chlorine removed.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	72.12	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate

Comments:	2. Water does not need to be fluoridated; but needs the chlorine removed.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	72.13	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	3. No to public transport and cycleways.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	72.14	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	4. No to Rolleston council building improvements. NO to EV chargers as private firms such as the local BP can provide these.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	72.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	Also like to suggest that the councilor's including the mayor who have accepted bribes / gifts from the Mori that they wear around their necks should all be sacked.		

Staff Recommendation	Staff do not support the submission [because]...Staff recommend [recommendation]		
Point Number	72.17	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	4. No to involving Mori's in councils decisions. If Mori wish to be involved in council decisions than can run in the election. 5. Yes to charging the Mori's rates as per every other land owner.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	72.18	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	All pool costs in all areas should be covered by users paying for the facility and the upkeep.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	72.19	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Not a rate payer concern to fund business.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April		

2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	72.20	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	The consultation document is a disgrace. this should be in English only.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	73	Submitter:	Katie McCabe
Point Number	73.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: I would like to see the inclusion of areas such as Darfield, West Melton, and Springfield. This would be a fantastic asset to not only general public, but elderly and especially tourists. The existing bus is very limited and does not provide a link to greater healthcare, or airport services.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services can be provided across the wider District, further to what Environment Canterbury can provide. However it is expected these will need to be fully funded by users and ratepayers subject to further Council consideration through subsequent Annual Plan and Long-Term Plan processes.
Point Number	73.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Cultural Narrative is important in these buildings, having a facility is more important.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand. Recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.		
Point Number	73.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	73.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It would be great to involve a community gym in this space.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	73.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	73.8	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities

Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	73.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It is an outrage that this rural service is proposed to close. I have noticed that Sheffield and Springfield have had limited exposure in this plan, which is upsetting to rate payers in this area. The pool is a fantastic asset which is used for swimming lessons as well. The Darfield Pool is still a distance away from rural users and it is an important asset for the school and community. We plan to have children in the future and I would be incredibly disappointed for my future children that they are unable to use this asset that was around when my partner was younger. I would like to see more options for development in Sheffield/Springfield Communities even if it needs to be in a new location.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	73.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I would like to see more information about this spending before approving.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy with the current information that they have. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Point Number	73.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	I would like some more information and specifics on what this would look like. It is very broad and I am unsure what this would look like.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	74	Submitter:	Charli McBride
Point Number	74.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	74.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	74.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	74.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	75	Submitter:	karyna thomas

Point Number	75.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: if it is going to take few years for getting the funding from the other entity, would opt for the funding to be out of rates		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council.		
Point Number	75.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	75.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: darfield has a big potential and would benefit from the upgrade. we love to visit it often.

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	75.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	75.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	would love to have a better transport system, maybe getting a cheaper bus but have smaller gaps between busses. currently there is no direct bus to and from a city center after 6 pm. we work in it and cannot do typical hours like 8 to 5 that forces us to drive every day, when I would definitely opt for a bus rather than driving.		
Staff Recommendation	The current direct bus services are for the peak morning and evening commuter travel, where the higher patronage levels make this service viable to provide. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such as 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.		

Submitter Number:	76	Submitter:	Blair Thorpe

Point Number	76.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	76.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	76.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 76.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	76.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	76.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Supporting business is key to developing jobs in the local community and reducing the commuter nature of Selwyn, this will reduce pressure on regional roading infrastructure and contribute positively to climate change.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Submitter Number:	77	Submitter:	nick morgan
Point Number	77.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	77.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	77.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	77.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	77.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	78	Submitter:	Shweta Ahuja
Point Number	78.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	78.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	78.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	78.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.			

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Point Number	78.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	80	Submitter:	Isabella Vekony
Point Number	80.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	80.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	80.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	80.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	80.5
	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	80.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I am a teacher at Sheffield School. For the past 4 years I've gotten in the water with kids and taught them vital water confidence and safety skills. Seeing children be afraid of water to jumping off the diving board and begging to go swimming daily is the most amazing feeling! Ill have a second submission coming soon..... as a teacher though the kids LOVE the pool and it is vital they have access to a pool. Darfield is not a fesiable option for our whānau to pay bus costs, plus lessons not to mention the loss of academic learning travelling.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		

Submitter Number:	81	Submitter:	Norman Smart
Point Number	81.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	81.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	81.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: Your figures confuse me. How can "Year 1: \$143,000" and "Years 1-10: \$3.4m" have the same impact (none) on rates? I don't think you have this right.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	81.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	81.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	81.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Sensible move making chlorination permanent.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health.		
Point Number	81.8	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	More effort needs to be made to improve cycle routes between Rolleston and town - preferably a cycle track running more-or-less directly from Rolleston to the end of the current Southern Motorway cycle track. The current routes (along to Hornby then in nasty traffic down to Southern Motorway or down Boundary Road to Lincoln then through Prebbleton to Southern Motorway) will not encourage any new cyclists to cycle from Rolleston to Christchurch. This should have been done when the Southern Motorway was extended to Rolleston, it'll cost 5 times as much to do it now. . .		
Staff Recommendation	The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility. Cyclepaths along State Highways are planned by NZTA.		
Point Number	81.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Let businesses pay for their own development.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Submitter Number:	82	Submitter:	Yvonne Lins
Point Number	82.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	82.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	82.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	82.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	82.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	83	Submitter:	Andy Carter
Point Number	83.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: what is a park and ride facility? Just a basic bus stop and street parking is all that's needed. Save some of our money.	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. On street capacity is limited for long term parking and will not meet the future demand, or encourage people to use PT services by having more centralised safe and effective 24/7 facilities.	
	Point Number 83.2 Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau	

	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: community center in dunsandel is only 15 minutes away. Council owned halls in Southbridge and Doyleston that should be used. Save our money.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The location of other local facilities has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	83.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: I believe from a town meeting that repairing and strengthening the current facilities is not as big a job as council have said. Original builders of the rugby buildings were at the meeting. Not everything has to be pretty. It will function as is.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. In reference to the Rugby club building, council does not currently own the building or land.		
Point Number	83.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

Point Number	83.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	83.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	it says by law that you have to have a policy. I'm sure the council will come up with one that suits them.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	83.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	try letting the local take over the repairs first. Most will donate time and materials. Possibly fix it for less than your closing costs. Things went smoother when ran by local groups.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	83.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	\$9 million?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the proposed allocation is excessive. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount.		
Point Number	83.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	not enough detail of what you mean.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	84	Submitter:	Janine Millington
Point Number	84.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 84.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	84.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 84.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	84.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	cycleway between Leeston and Southbridge		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has a footpath extension program to cover missing links. Major routes follow the walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		

Submitter Number:	85	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	85.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	85.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would		

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	85.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	85.4	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
	Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	86	Submitter:	susan atherton
Point Number	86.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 86.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	86.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		
Funding: Rates: 100%		
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	86.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	86.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	87	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	87.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	87.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	87.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number	87.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	87.8	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Subject: Proposal for Improved Bus Service between Lincoln and Southbridge Dear selwyn district council I am writing to express my concerns regarding the current bus service between Lincoln and Southbridge and to propose some potential improvements. As a regular passenger on the afternoon bus from Lincoln to Southbridge, I have observed that the bus often operates with only a small number of passengers, typically around 15 individuals. I believe there is a demand for additional services, particularly on weekends, to accommodate the needs of our community. One suggestion is to introduce a weekend bus service specifically tailored for teenagers. Many young people in our area encounter difficulties accessing Christchurch during the weekends, and a dedicated bus route could provide them with a reliable means of transportation. I recommend scheduling the bus to depart from Southbridge at 9am and return at 5pm to align with typical weekend activities. Furthermore, I propose adjusting the timing of the current afternoon bus route. Instead of returning directly to Lincoln after its initial trip to Southbridge, it could extend its service to Lincoln in the late afternoon. This adjustment would facilitate easier access to afterschool jobs and extracurricular activities for students in our community. Additionally, I am aware that there is already a bus serving Upper		

	Riccarton schools to Lincoln; however, its current schedule does not accommodate students from my school due to its early departure time. Perhaps consideration could be given to adjusting the timing of this service to better suit the needs of all students in the area. I believe these proposed changes would not only improve transportation options for residents but also contribute to the overall convenience and accessibility of essential services and activities in our community. Thank you for considering my suggestions. I look forward to discussing this matter further and collaborating on potential solutions
Staff Recommendation	The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility. Bus service is planned and provided by Ecan.

Submitter Number:	88	Submitter:	Michael Van Haastrecht
Point Number	88.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: is not essential		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	88.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: is not essential to do more than the minimum		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	88.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: we can't afford these upgrades		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	88.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: we can't afford these changes		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	88.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	88.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	only spend money on core tasks, not on luxuries and keep rate increases at an absolute essential minimum. People are already struggling and you are contributing significant to this. Tighten the belt so you don't need to borrow or increase rates. Live within your means just as residents need to do.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	88.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	the wording is confusing and doesn't clarify the impact on residents in easy-to-understand language		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.		

Point Number	88.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	we can't afford this, only improve areas regarding security of it systems		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	89	Submitter:	Kelsey Adams
Point Number	89.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	89.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	89.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	89.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
	Comment: consider the areas that are more built up - establish a centre of Selwyn instead of creating a "centre" in each town.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	89.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	updating infrastructure should be completed when there are an increased number of bus services departing/arriving to Rolleston. Currently there are not enough regular bus services to make this worth it. Bus stops through the subdivisions should also be utilised, and a Rolleston bus route (for all) established.		
Staff Recommendation	With Councils Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033. The Lincoln Park N Ride set to coincide with Environment Canterbury's intention to improve Lincolns Direct to City PT Services first while the additional Rolleston Park N Rides will add to the existing facilities at Council HQ and Foster Park. Environment Canterbury is also investigating 'On Demand' PT Services as another option within larger townships.		

Submitter Number:	90	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	90.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	90.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	90.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Comment: With the size Darfield services for sports, it definitely needs to be two courts or it will be out grown before its built		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	90.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Darfield pool is very small and would not service all of the swimming lessons in the area. Too many kiwis are drowning because too many pools have been shit down over the years		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	90.8	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roding
Comments:	Why is Darfield missed out yet again. It is only getting bigger and a lot of people work in Rolleston and Christchurch.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Bus service improvements for Darfield is expected in May 2024. Road renewals and improvements are scheduled as needed on a priority basis.		

Submitter Number:	91	Submitter:	Pearce Matthew
Point Number	91.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		

Point Number	91.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	91.4	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	when upgrading existing road networks such as Lincoln Gerald Street, please consider if the continued use/existence of crossroads as intersections is suitable. These are the most dangerous type of intersection as there are 8 interaction points. As a cyclist every crossroad is a hazard and trying to pull out of a side road of a crossroads is dangerous and difficult, especially at busy times when traffic flow is constant through Lincoln. Please install roundabouts in Lincoln. Mini roundabouts are inexpensive and highly effective at managing traffic flow, reducing accident severity and improving road safety. Please also urgently upgrade the roads around the schools to include traffic calming such as speed bumps. Reducing the speed limits in these areas should also be a priority. Thanks		
Staff Recommendation	A series of 4 signalized intersections will be included along Gerald St as these are safest control to accommodate traffic, pedestrian and cyclists (and related cycle lanes). Roundabouts of the size needed will not fit within current road reserve and would require private land acquisition. The design of all works will undergo Independent safety audits and also post construction. Council has a programme to lower speeds for schools supported by the necessary infrastructure. Currently awaiting NZTA approval.		
Point Number	91.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Please consider locations carefully and look to incorporate road upgrades that can redirect heavy traffic from Lincoln town centre Gerald Street is classified as an arterial road and consequently needs to handle significant traffic of different types. Lincoln is relatively unique as Gerald St is also used by agricultural vehicles and equipment. A Southern Bypass of Lincoln had been considered before and discounted by Council due to the unwillingness of developers to accommodate it, comparatively low use and high cost. The redesign of Gerald St will reduce vehicle speeds through the township which may in turn redirect through traffic onto other routes.
Point Number	91.6 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Include provision for future expansion
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	91.8	Category Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Please see attached document relating to the upgrade of Lincoln town centre and surrounding area	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	91.9	Category Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roding
Comments:	when upgrading existing road networks such as Lincoln Gerald Street, please consider if the continued use/existence of crossroads as intersections is suitable. These are the most dangerous type of intersection as there are 8 interaction points. As a cyclist every crossroad is a hazard and trying to pull out of a side road of a crossroads is dangerous and difficult, especially at busy times when traffic flow is constant through Lincoln. Please install roundabouts in Lincoln. Mini roundabouts are inexpensive and highly effective at managing traffic flow, reducing accident severity and improving road safety. Please also urgently upgrade the roads around the schools to include traffic calming such as speed bumps. Reducing the speed limits in these areas should also be a priority. Thanks	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The proposed upgrade of Gerald St will include traffic signals at 4 main intersections. Roundabouts of sufficient size to cater for traffic volumes are difficult to retro fit into an existing narrow road corridor such as Gerald St and would require private property purchase. The traffic signals will include specific pedestrian crossing facilities and integrate the control the cycle lanes planned along Gerald St. Council is planning to reduce speed limits around schools to 30km/h and is awaiting NZTA Waka Kotahi approval. Further upgrades around some schools are identified but require NZTA co-funding approval.	

Submitter Number:	92	Submitter:	Edward DeLilla
Point Number	92.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: The current Park and Ride by the Council offices has not reached capacity, with parking spaces still available. Once the current facility has reached near capacity, then the decision can be taken regarding additional Park and Rides.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The existing Park N Ride at the Council HQ at Rolleston is well used, including on road parking around the vicinity. The new Park N Ride facilities at Rolleston are planned for 2029-33, at which time additional capacity will definitely be required to align with the additional PT services planned by Environment Canterbury over this time		
Point Number	92.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Given the current state of the Council finances, this is a luxury which the rate payers cannot afford. The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are

Staff Recommendation	paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Given the current state of the Council finances, this is a luxury which the rate payers cannot afford. Only general maintenance and safety related works should be done. The old equipment should be retained until the Council is showing a budgetary surplus. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
	Point Number 92.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Given the current state of the Council finances, this is a luxury which the rate payers cannot afford. No new Capital works should be considered until the budget is in surplus.

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	92.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Not until the council finances are in surplus. This is another luxury which is presently unaffordable. Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	92.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: duplicate to 92.1		
Point Number	92.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: This is a luxury which the Council cannot currently afford. It is a luxury which should be deferred until there is a budget surplus.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Point Number	92.10	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: This is a luxury which the Council cannot currently afford. It is a luxury which should be deferred until there is a budget surplus.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	92.11	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: This is a luxury which the Council cannot currently afford. It is a luxury which should be deferred until there is a budget surplus. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	92.12 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Comments:
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	92.14 Category Questionnaire > Other comments Comments:
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	92.16	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Major transport spending needs to be rationalised and better managed. Comparing the graph showing the significant Transportation spend over the past several years with what can be seen as far as actual works, should that the funds are being mismanaged. The roads are in a terrible state, and the money is disappearing with little or no evidence that work is being done. Also, the quality of the pothole repairs is substandard and an embarrassment to the Council.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Additional budgeted funding in the LTP will help catch up on road renewals and prevent potholes.		
Point Number	92.17	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Given the state of the economy, Rate increases are too high. Rate payers cannot afford the continued unnecessary spending. Capital and Operating expenditures must be cut to the bare minimum. If this means delaying or cancelling projects, so be it. Also, all "fat" must be cut from all departments. Consultant costs must be cut with only used where absolutely necessary. "Managing Consultants" is an excuse for staff to SIT AROUND AND DO NOTHING! Remove the "Democracy" Operating Cost. This should be included in the job description of staff anyway. Cut Facilities, waste and transport Operating Cost. The services are inefficient and not providing value for the funds spent.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	92.18	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:			

In closing, the council is guilty of frivolous spending, pandering to the wants of special interest groups and living beyond its means. It is time for "tough Love". The rate payers are not a bottomless pit of cash to be wasted by their elected officials. The Council needs to remember that they serve the rate payers, not the other way around.			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	92.21	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The annual Rate Caps are too high. Given the current inflation, the rate payers cannot bear the burden of such significant rate 9increases. Until the budget is in surplus and the economic environment improves, any rate increases should be limited to match inflation.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	92.22	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The "Democracy" cost should be eliminated. This should be SOP for all departments.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	92.24	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Facilities, waste and tranport Operating costs need to be cut and the performance of contractors needs to to be managed to provide value for money		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	92.25	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	These are luxuries which the Council cannot currently afford. They should be deferred until there is a budget surplus.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	92.26	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	All frivolous spending needs to stop. The rate payers can not afford to continue to be used a a bottomless bucket of money to be squandered on pet Council projects. The council has spent millions on sports facilities, cycleways and a new Community Centre/Library purely for the benefit of the sporting minority, cyclists, pubs owners, restaurateurs, Shop owners and other special interests. This has been done at the expense of the roads, potable water, waste water and sewage, among any number of other necessary services. As a consequence, the council is now up against its debt ceiling and is for all intents and purposes broke. The rate payers can no longer continue to sacrifice their standard of living to support a Council that is addicted to over spending.		
	IT HAS TO STOP NOW!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	92.27	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Not until the council finances are in surplus. This is another luxury which is presently unaffordable.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		

Point Number	92.28	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Not until the council finances are in surplus. This is another luxury which is presently unaffordable.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the council cannot currently afford this action. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount.		
Point Number	92.29	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	To be totally inclusive you need to help fund those that support those in need. Digital Exclusion excludes the old, the disabled, the poor, those that struggle with literacy, and those for who have English as their second language.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together		
Point Number	92.30	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	In closing, the council is guilty of frivolous spending, pandering to the wants of special interest groups and living beyond its means. It is time for "tough Love". The rate payers are not a bottomless pit of cash to be wasted by their elected officials. The Council needs to remember that they serve the rate payers, not the other way around.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	92.31	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	Infrastructure, (specifically roading), while consuming exorbitant amounts of money, has fallen into serious disrepair. An independent, in-house (not consultant) investigation needs to be made into the award, performance and management of these services. Something is not right and needs to be corrected.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Asset management and operational improvements are addressing these issues.		
Point Number	92.33	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	The current Park and Ride has not reached its capacity. In the future, as the current facility nears capacity, a decision regarding additional facilities can be made. At present is it not a priority investment.		
Staff Recommendation	The existing Park N Ride at the Council HQ at Rolleston is well used, including on road parking around the vicinity. The new Park N Ride facilities at Rolleston are planned for 2029-33, at which time additional capacity will definitely be required to align with the additional PT services planned by Environment Canterbury over this time		
Point Number	92.34	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	The current Park and Ride by the Council offices has not reached capacity, with parking spaces still available. Once the current facility has reached near capacity, then the decision can be taken regarding additional Park and Rides.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The Kidman Street Park n Ride will be coordinated with the flyover. Decisions can be revisited in 27-37 LTP cycle.		
Point Number	92.35	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	All transport spending needs to be audited and any and all unnecessary or discretionary spending cut. The Performance of all Contractors should be audited internally (no consultants) to ensure that contracts		

	are being tendered, awarded, and managed as cost effectively as possible. There is currently no evidence that these contracts and services are providing value for money.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. There are both internal and external audits of council's expenditure. Contracts are tendered competitively and managed to achieve value for money.		
Point Number	92.36	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The annual Rate Caps are too high. Given the current inflation, the rate payers cannot bear the burden of such significant rate 9increases. Until the budget is in surplus and the economic environment improves, any rate increases should be limited to match inflation.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and the negative impact that the increased cost of living is having on the public. The Council also acknowledges that this trend is not only limited to New Zealand, but is widely spread in many other countries worldwide. The Council endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum and continue to proactively look for opportunities to minimise costs.		
Point Number	92.37	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The Council has a history of wasteful spending. This must stop! It has run up against its borrowing limit with very little to show except sports facilities, cycle trails and a Community Centre. These facilities, funded by the rate payers, only serve the interests of the sporting minority (who have to pay to use them), pubs, shops and restaurants. To paraphrase Austin Powers, "I'd love a gold -plated toilet, but it's not in the cards"!		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum by constantly improving processes and proactively looking for opportunities to reduce waste. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		

Submitter Number:	93	Submitter:	Phillipa Greig
Point Number	93.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Cost of living is a all time high and keeps rising, you should sort funding before even suggesting it. People cant afford to have their rates increased alot to cover this. Otherwise people are struggling to live and afford stuff especially when payrises are not a thing		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	93.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we		

	want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Use what we have if its safe! Such a waste of time and money building something new if there is already space and a building for this		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	93.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	93.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option

	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	93.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	93.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	if not many people go then fair it gets closed		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		

Submitter Number:	94	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	94.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	94.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number

94.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	94.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	94.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	95	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	95.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: West melton needs public transport to and from Darfield, Rolleston and to Christchurch city. All day every day. Just in the morning and afternoon is absolutely ridiculous!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. It is intended that the Park N Ride facilities will be sized to enable residents from the wider district to travel to them and park to access PT services.		
Point Number	95.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	95.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	95.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

		Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option	
Staff Recommendation		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Point Number	95.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	96	Submitter:	Mitchell Phillips
Point Number	96.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: I personally would want to see this project go ahead regardless of funding from Waka Kotahi. Have the plans for park and ride facilities, particularly in Lincoln accounted for potential growth of vehicles that would need to use the car park from Tai Tapu and Springston?
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The Park N Ride facilities will be sized and provided to enable use from the adjoining townships so residents can drive and park to access the PT services.
Point Number	96.2
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces

that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.

Project cost:

Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$53.14

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:** I personally think option 3 would allow for future growth in the area while also opening the option for Leeston to hold some of the larger council events that Rolleston and Lincoln have access to.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number

96.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	96.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	96.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	96.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	N/A		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	97	Submitter:	Ken Wong
Point Number	97.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	97.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	97.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		
Funding: Rates: 100%		
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	97.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	97.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	98	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	98.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Staff Recommendation	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Point Number 98.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	98.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 98.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	98.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	99	Submitter:	chris ansell
Point Number	99.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	99.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	99.4 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an

Staff Recommendation	economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	100	Submitter: Dan Colegate
Point Number	100.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-	
Staff Recommendation		

funding			
Point Number	100.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	100.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	100.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	100.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	101	Submitter:	Kevin Otton
Point Number	101.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	101.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

101.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	101.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	101.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	101.6	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Its a bloody war memorial pool and you as a council are liable for its up keep and for the last 15yrs you have done nothing to stop it getting to the state it is now. Those boys paid the price of this pool with there lives and it was gifted to the commuity as a remembrance for their sacrifice!!! shame on you in you don't replace it or build a new one in the domain as a Memorial pool!! What message are you sending out to the New soldiers of today if you don't care about respecting Memorial built in their Honour!!	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.	

Submitter Number:	102	Submitter:	Teryn Proracki
Point Number	102.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Invest in light rail to the city before upgrading any current infrastructure that is currently in place		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. Councils planned Park N Ride facilities together with additional express and direct bus services will be critical to make these connections to the MRT service. The MRT Business Case process has yet to determine what mode MRT services will take i.e. rail or bus.		
Point Number	102.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	102.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	102.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	102.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	102.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I support the changes outlined.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	103	Submitter:	Kirsten Russell
Point Number	103.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Point Number	103.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
			Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

	Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number 103.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	103.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	103.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	103.7 Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	With the Prebbleton community hall upgrade it would be good to consider a library as well. There is such a large family base here and this would be widely used in the community. Especially with the projected growth in the area. With the Kakaha upgrade it would be great if there was a pool or sports facility here to service Ladbroke, Prebbleton and Lincoln. Rolleston pool facility is further away and having one in this key location would be highly used. As a teacher in Lincoln I see the amount of youth and children that get bored in the area after school and on the weekends. This ends in behavioural issues such as shop lifting etc... it would be great to have youth areas in Lincoln to support this. Have the pool at Kakaha Park would also be another boredom buster that kids could get to easily on their bikes.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	104	Submitter:	Aidan Laby
Point Number	104.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	104.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	104.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	104.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	104.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	105	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	105.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	105.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	105.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	105.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	105.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	105.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	Stop taking the land we love this small community and I'm not happy on the amount of land being taken over to build so many houses all crammed together. At least give the next one's bigger sections. will the growth ever stop or slow down? We moved here 4 years ago and built out beautiful home for a smaller community vibe. A town that reminded us of home on the west coast where life is slower and easier than the city. Now the developers are tearing up the beautiful land around us and cramming in 100's of small houses. The centre of town will soon be too busy to drive around, and something will need to be built down the back of Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	The National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land provides clear direction and parameters for council in considering future housing growth in Selwyn. The size of sites is a District Plan function, not the Long Term Plan. Population projections are not predictions, rather they indicate probable outcomes based on assumptions. A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections and this help provide confidence in investment, including the expansion of town centres.		

Submitter Number:	106	Submitter:	Lilli Cheeseman
Point Number	106.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	106.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	106.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	106.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	106.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	107	Submitter:	lee sharland
Point Number	107.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 107.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *		
	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	107.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	107.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

Point Number	107.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	108	Submitter:	Paul Rugg
Point Number	108.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

Staff Recommendation	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	108.2 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	108.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	108.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	ok so Canterbury Cricket are currently looking at supporting Canterbury Country Cricket along with the Waimak Council in building a second cricket facility in Rangiora. I spent 5 years on the country cricket board and in that time it was in the 3 year strategy to develop a facility (predominantly for training) for the players who lived south of the Waimak River Selwyn and Banks Peninsula. However this was shelved and never put back in (conveniently in my opinion) as the focus has always been on having something close to the home for the CEO who lives in Rangiora. I'm the chairman of Weedons and cricket in the Selwyn region is unbelievably strong. Current Black Caps Kyle Jamieson, Henry Nicholls, Daren Mitchell and Henry Shipley are all registered members of clubs in the Selwyn region. There's also Zac Foulkes, Harry Chamberlain, Blake Coburn and Jesse Frew who have all featured in the Canterbury Squads along with Robbie Foulkes and Cam Paul who both have represented New Zealand under 19 this past season. Junior numbers are strong (almost twice that on Waimak district). I know for a fact that Canterbury Cricket can't afford to play at Hagley Oval and that in my opinion main power Oval in Rangiora is under resourced. Now is the time for Selwyn to build a cricket facility similar to Saxton Oval in Nelson or even Bay Oval in Mt Maunganui where first class cricket can be played (and potentially women's internationals or under 19 world cup games) which would bring in a huge amount of profile to the district along with a financial boost to the local businesses. We could have it as a real base for junior cricket in the		

Staff Recommendation	region and potentially it could even be a rugby ground in winter and host a club game each week. Id be happy to be contacted for a meeting about this but just not sure who to speak to. Paul Rugg (apologies for grammar and spelling I've written this on my phone) Submission has been acknowledged by council. A cricket stadium has not been considered at this point and has not come up as a key point for stage 1 of the District Park development. Given the other available grounds in the Canterbury region we do not see this as a sustainable option in the short term, but it is something that could be considered in planning work going forward.

Submitter Number:	109	Submitter: Danielle Petrie
Point Number	109.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Public transport links need to be drastically improved in the more isolated rural towns of Selwyn to connect to the larger hubs, before a park and ride infrastructure is developed in the larger more populated areas. Darfield needs more public transport options immediately.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment	

Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. The Park N Ride facilities will be sized and provided to enable use from the adjoining townships so residents can drive and park to then access the PT services. It is not viable to provide PT services within smaller townships.			
Point Number	109.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	109.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: A community recreation and sports facility at Darfield is long overdue. This should include a year round swimming pool option and large gym. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Point Number	109.4 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	109.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I feel the councils plan is unfair to rate payers, increases are too high too soon during the current economic period, most families are already struggling with increased costs of living. Especially when it feels like all the money is spent in the larger hubs and the smaller towns are not having the basics looked after.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	109.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Make Darfield pool a year round facility.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	110	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	110.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	110.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	110.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	110.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	110.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	111	Submitter:	Natalie Ball
Point Number	111.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	111.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Consider building a gymnastics facility in Rolleston or provide land to Affinity for the creation of a purpose-built facility previously committed to investigate in the last Long-Term Plan (April 2021) The club is a huge part of the Selwyn Community and personally to my family for my Son. The club goes above and beyond, and the support of the Selwyn District Council is essential in helping its continued success and sustainability for the community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Submitter Number:	112	Submitter:	Todd Meaclem
Point Number	112.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	112.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The public consultation document that has been released by Selwyn District Council has no mention of gymnastics within the 64-page document. I understand this was also raised during the 2021-2031 LTP process. Gymnastics is globally recognised as the foundation to all sports. Affinity Gymnastics Academy is the second largest sports club by membership in the Selwyn District and is the only gymnastics club that caters for all levels, ages and abilities, six days a week. The club currently leases a building which is not fit for purpose as a gymnastics club. A larger purpose-built facility would enable the club to grow and offer more sporting options for the public of Selwyn. Affinity is already the largest sports club and could grow much further if we had the space to add other gymnastics codes (e.g. rhythmic, trampoline & parkour) and complimentary sports (e.g. Olympic fencing, aerial skills/cirque). The council owns large amounts of reserve land that can easily accommodate a purpose-built facility that can provide all gymnastic codes as well as other complimentary sports that both a recreational purpose and competitive pathways.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with		

gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	113	Submitter:	Jamie Threadwell
Point Number	113.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	113.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	113.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	113.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The Council needs to build a gymnastics facility in Rolleston or provide land to Affinity for the creation of a purpose-built facility. Affinity is one of the few places where young people in Rolleston can go to participate in structured, physical education. It is crucial for the health of our youth and the safety of our community to provide places like this. The fact that there is no heating in winter and no cooling in summer in		

Staff Recommendation	the current facility is outrageous for a sporting location, and the fact that the Council agreed that they would look to support gymnastics provision based on the last LTP process, but appears to have not honoured their previous commitment to the community is terrible. Rolleston seriously lacks things for young people to do, especially supervised, and this would go some of the ways towards fixing that. The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	114	Submitter: Joe Fusco
Point Number	114.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to	

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	114.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	114.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	114.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Would be good to improve and increase public transport from Darfield etc. Could we start to consider rail improvements and start using rail as a form of public transport?		

Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		
Point Number	114.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Could we look at doing more for the Malvern area? Such as building a gym facility within this complex? Darfield lacks places to maintain fitness during the winter months. If we want a healthier Malvern for years to come, I would like to see a better health and fitness sector. That doesn't involve trekking into Rolleston for this. Giving Darfield a well-resourced Gym and in the future an indoor year-round swimming centre would be great.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		

Submitter Number:	115	Submitter:	Renee Crosby
Point Number	115.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	115.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	115.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	115.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	115.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	what has happening to the support of community facilities & initiatives - Affinity Gymnastics & the Rolleston Toy library??? These are highly beneficial to our community however get no council support. I want to see both facilities having their own building with council support.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Submitter Number:	116	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	116.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Build bigger and better for a sustainable option for rapid community growth. If you build small, it'll soon be outgrown and more money will have to be spent upgraded to more courts. Just like the swimming pool in Rolleston. Future proof it and build bigger		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	116.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	116.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	build an indoor pool in Darfield that can be used all year round and make sure it's a big enough pool to be accessed by all Malvern schools for swimming lessons for all Malvern tamariki.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	117	Submitter:	Claudia Doidge
Point Number	117.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	117.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	117.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	117.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	117.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	117.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Support GYMNASTICS!! we need your help to grow the biggest and best club! STOP ignoring us. The children need an indoor sport that can run all year around.		
	WE NEED a new facility to run from that is purpose built		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as		

part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	118	Submitter:	Olivia Hamilton
Point Number	118.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	118.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	118.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	118.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	118.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	118.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:	build a gymnastics facility in Rolleston or provide land to Affinity for the creation of a purpose-built facility.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	119	Submitter:	Jason Lemmon
Point Number	119.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 119.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	119.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	119.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.			
Project cost: None			
Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			
Funding: None			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	119.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	120	Submitter:	Ashleigh Collier
Point Number	120.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	120.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	120.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Thank you for your submission to Selwyn District Council's Long-Term Plan 2024 - 2034. Your preference to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool is acknowledged. It will play an insightful role in the deliberation process regarding the proposed closure of the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	120.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Comment: Is 2 courts going to be enough? Looking at West Melton with only 1 court it definitely is not enough. 3 would be better as we are a growing community.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Population predictions have been taken into account as part of the budgeted 2 court build.		
Point Number	120.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I would love more busses from Darfield. Into city centre at different times, through to Rolleston, Lincoln, Hornby. I use the bus most days travelling to town, and it is always full (on a double decker too). More options on this would be fantastic.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there including connections to the City.		

Submitter Number:	121	Submitter:	Cheryl Schreier
Point Number	121.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 121.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	121.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number Comments:	121.4 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	121.7 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities a purpose-built gymnasium as described by Affinity Gymnastics is urgently required in the Rolleston area. The club is unable to expand due to the limitations of their current building. We should be encouraging people to take up gymnastics and associated sports for the health and wellbeing of the Selwyn community. The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with

gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	122	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	122.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Thank you for your submission to Selwyn District Council's Long-Term Plan 2024 - 2034. Your preference to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool is acknowledged. It will play an insightful role in the deliberation process regarding the proposed closure of the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	122.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	I support having a purpose-built gymnastic club built to accommodate affinity gymnastics. Their current building is unfit for purpose (we experienced the high temperatures in the building during my daughters birthday party) and believe it would be an incredible asset to the community to have a more suitable building. Gymnastics is a truly fantastic sport that has so many benefits and skills. The options to expand their current classes is very exciting! Please support the club, just like other clubs in Christchurch are supported by their council!		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Submitter Number:	123	Submitter:	Laura Gilmour
Point Number	123.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	123.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Closing the Sheffield Memorial Pool will be removing a community asset from our current residents and future generations. The local school children use this pool most school days when it is open, and it gets used regularly outside of school hours. As a parent with young children in Sheffield, we try to use this asset as often as we can and make the most of the convenience. The argument that Darfield pool is only a 10 minute drive is irrelevant given the convenience will be removed, therefore those using the Sheffield Memorial Pool would not necessarily take the trip to Darfield. Living in a rural community with many surrounding rivers and waterways, it is important for children to be given the opportunity to gain confidence in and around water. Not all families can afford to		

	pay for swimming lessons, given the drive to another facility as well as the lesson cost. Closing the pool will take away the swim lesson opportunity that is currently provided both through school and privately. Lastly, I do not believe it is appropriate to compare the use of the Sheffield Memorial Pool with facilities that are surrounded by a larger community. I very strongly DO NOT support the closure of Sheffield Memorial Pool, unless Council can come up with another solution to maintain a pool facility in Sheffield.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	124	Submitter:	Kristina Koole
Point Number	124.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 124.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	124.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	124.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Point Number	124.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment:		
	Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	124.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Rates are insanely expensive in Selwyn, if it goes up that much each year maybe things need to be cut out.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	124.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Affinity Gymnastics needs a purpose built building or new land to do so. This is a well-used venue and really needs updating. If it is council owned, you should see the disgusting non-working aircon units. Never been cleaned!! The staff are incredible, and this is a super busy place that is needed to be listened too. So many of our youth attend.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Point Number	124.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Community pools are disappearing so fast, these were the best growing up. Protect them, get community to do fundraising		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	124.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Would need more information to agree to this.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	125	Submitter:	William Green
Point Number	125.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	125.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Is this an absolute need in these troubled financial times? The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: A need or a want? It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: An absolute need - not a want Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number 125.5 Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number 125.7 Comments:	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates These tips for first home buyers could well be the council's mantra: 1. SORT OUT YOUR FINANCES - are they too great, if so then trim them! 2. NEEDS vs WANTS - how many of our long-term plans are needs, how many wants - sort them into order.

Staff Recommendation	3. DO YOUR HOMEWORK- stop blaming earlier Councils and rate rises for putting up rates to 1 and 2.
	4. RECOGNISE YOUR LIMITS - new towns in the area do they need extra - do the core things well forget the "frilly" bits.
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	126	Submitter: Victoria Laine
Point Number	126.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: other suburbs outside Lincoln and rolleston NEED more public transport options.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.	

Point Number	126.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: the. Building of a new community center should be placed on hold. Locals are struggling enough already with the cost of living increases. We should be curbing all non essential spending!		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	126.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: same as per leeston community center. Shelve the non essential projects.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	126.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	127	Submitter:	Rebecca Dollery
Point Number	127.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 127.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	127.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	127.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	More than anything, I want to see a commitment by this Council to the future. The development seems to be granted by Council so I want to see measures in place to protect the future for our children alongside the revenue this will bring. I envisage this as being more capital and operational funding in the environmental and health/community sector, whether in Council or outsourced in the form of grant funding. Lincoln can no longer be termed an enviro town with the lack of environmental initiatives - Te Whariki landscaping is the closest we have to any sensible design. I want to see more incentive from the Council to develop in a sustainable way - not just building up but building sensibly. Permeable paving, green roofs, green walls, pollinator paths along footpaths, community spaces that reflect the indigenous landscape rather than a European representation. I am aware that you have brilliant ecologists working within Council and would like to see them have an input on planning matters, consents, landscape designs. I am happy to pay for this across the whole region. We need to do more - my children tell me they are worried about the choices that are being made with the natural environment and the precious resources this country has to offer. I want to see health, of people and environment, prioritised over economic health. The former feeds the latter.		

Staff Recommendation	There are opportunities to encourage sustainable design within public and private projects. Within Council's capital projects, such as the Lincoln Town Centre Plan and the upgrade of Gerald Street for example, Council is taking direction from in-house mana whenua representatives Te Pou Mataaho on the incorporation of Te Mana o te Wai in the form of raingardens and kai gardens. It is envisaged that the community will be consulted as to how public space in the town centre can be used for amenity and function. One of the projects main objectives is to enable safe non-motorized transport modes within the township thus encouraging walking and cycling in our communities. Council is also planning to construct a new Park N Ride facility to cater for the further bus services Environment Canterbury is planning for Lincoln, which includes direct to city services, which will assist in improving transport sustainability and emission reduction for Lincoln. Council will also be extending Meijer Drive through to Boundary Road, installing new sports fields, a new Dog park, walkway and cycleway links, and native plantings. We will also be retaining the area of established native Beech trees on site and planting more native plants, especially along the upper reaches of the Liffey (which is a beautiful vista).		
Point Number	127.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	127.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:			
I make this comment but am not a resident of Sheffield. I think targeted consultation would be better than LTP. Are there options for the local community to pay a little more to support the pool if it is a valued resource?			

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	127.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	I would like to see better access and usability. I would also like to see better digital reporting		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	128	Submitter:	Liezel Nel
Point Number	128.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%			
Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	128.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5%		

	Development contributions: 19.5% *		
	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	128.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	128.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	128.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	129	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	129.9	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: <u>what is the point in putting more transport on roads that cannot cope with volumes at present. Fix the roads properly instead of patching every other week.</u>	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Additional buses, and in particular electric buses that are heavier, can and adversely affect road pavements.	
Point Number	129.10	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:**Staff****Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

129.11

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: <i>reduce the number of staff at SDC spend money and fix the roads</i>		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	129.12	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: <i>reduce staff numbers at SDC, fix the roads, stop wasting money on constantly patching them</i>		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	129.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	129.16	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I do not believe in racist policies that divide communities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	129.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	129.18	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	129.19	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	129.20	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission acknowledged. 		
Point Number	129.21	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	129.23	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield war memorial must be preserved.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	129.24	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	reduce the number of staff at SDC, repair the Sheffield war memorial swimming pool.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	129.25	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	it is not a community pool. It is a designated war memorial. SDC has been negligent in its maintenance of this war memorial for a number of years. Fix the war memorial, the community wish to have their war memorial repaired		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	129.26	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield pool is a war memorial, therefor consultation should have taken place with the ministry of veteran's affairs, heritage NZ, Returned Service Association NZ and any past or current service personnel. Having contacted all four I am advised that no consultation has taken place at time of writing. The war memorial does not belong to SDC, it belongs to the community, they built it with a combination of government subsidy and local donations. What plans do SDC have to ensure that some type of war memorial remains in place for future generations should the pool 'tub' be removed? Apart from just closing the pool to save money what alternatives have been considered, by council, to ensure this valuable war memorial and community asset remains in place?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	129.27	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	The Sheffield Waddington area will grow over the next few years, so an economic strategy makes sense.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has said no, however, in the comments has noted the Economic Development Strategy makes sense in the Sheffield and Waddington Area. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	129.28	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	providing those services have real benefits to the community and are not just put in place to make life easier for council employees.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	129.30	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	<i>Reduce the number of staff at SDC and focus on roading infrastructure before anything else. Our roads are a disgrace and overseas visitors must wonder why on earth they are the not of a higher standard. Continuous patching over and over again cannot be cost effective.</i>		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff note the support for prioritising roading infrastructure. Catching up on renewals delivers value for money in pothole prevention.		
Point Number	129.31	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Speed Management
Comments:	Reduce speed limits on Wiamakariri/Oxfrod road running through waddington.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Speed reductions on Old West Coast Rd were recommended through our full speed management plan, however this was not supported through consultation with significant opposition. Council is awaiting central government direction on how speed limits are to be managed and update our full speed management plan accordingly.		
Point Number	129.32	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	fix our roads properly, reduce speed limit on SH73 through Sheffield. Put up more signage advising people that there are children and others walking through both sheffield and waddington.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Speed limits on State Highways are managed by NZTA. Council has made submissions supporting the speed reduction through these locations. Council has submitted to NZTA for additional crossing points for our townships based on SH. Additional signage is not typically successful as research shows the majority of road signs are ignored by drivers.		

Submitter Number:	130	Submitter:	Andrea Jacobs
Point Number	130.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	130.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

130.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

Project cost:

Years 1-10: \$3.4m

Estimated impact on rates :

Included in current rates

Funding:

Rates: 71.4%

Development contributions: 28.6% *

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number

130.7

Category

Questionnaire > BD3 -
Malvern Rec and Sports
Facility

Comments:

Comment: There is a massive need for a decent indoor sports facility. Darfield High School basketball court isn't full size so it would be awesome if the rec centre could provide a full court, like West Melton.

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Point Number

130.11

Category

Questionnaire > Matters
For Community Guidance

Comments:

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	130.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Could we look at doing more for the Malvern area? Such as building a gym facility within this complex? Darfield lacks places to maintain fitness during the winter months. If we want a healthier Malvern for years to come, I would like to see a better health and fitness sector. That doesn't involve trekking into Rolleston for this. Giving Darfield a well-resourced Gym and in the future an indoor year-round swimming centre would be great.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		
Point Number	130.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It is a great pool and a wonderful alternative to Darfield. Darfield pool gets too full of people. Alternatively, make Darfield indoor pool open year-round.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	130.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	1.3 million isn't a lot. It won't go far, needs to be more.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with		

more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	131	Submitter:	Angela Hamilton
Point Number	131.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	131.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	131.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	131.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	131.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:	We need to have a purpose-built gymnastics facility in Rolleston or have land made available to build a facility - we have the largest gymnastics club in the South Island that has now reached its maximum in numbers due to facility constraints. The mayors welcome letter in 2021 after the last LTP stated" Following your calls we will build artificial turfs to support Hockey and Football, New Gymnastics facility," the first 2 were ticked off but there has been nothing done about the Gymnastics facility and no information provided at all to why this has not progressed. Affinity are the largest sports club and registered charity offering sports and recreation in the Selwyn district but receives no support from Council.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	132	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	132.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	132.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	132.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	132.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	132.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	132.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Not happy about chlorinated water, it smells like a swimming pool and tastes disgusting.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be		

	used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	132.10	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	Against fluoridated water. Why would you fluoridate water to protect teeth when the reason kids teeth are rotting is because they are not drinking water. They are drinking juice and other sugar filled rubbish. It's bad parenting, educate the parents.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	132.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I have concerns about the additional time and costs associated with implementing this consultation policy. Especially in an era of ongoing rate rises and red tape. Seeking guidance or input from Ngāi Tahu is encouraged but this should be at no cost to rate payers with no time delay and be non-binding. Ngāi Tahu are a very wealthy and successful business who do not require rate payer support. Any decisions impacting ownership transfer of council / rate payer owned assets. Or reduced / restricted access to assets needs to be democratically voted on.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the concerns raised. However this policy is a legal requirement. All councils in New Zealand are obligated by the Local Government Act 2002 to adopt a Significance and Engagement Policy.		

Submitter Number:	133	Submitter:	Amelia McKnight

Point Number	133.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	133.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number

133.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3	
Point Number	133.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.	

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number Comments:	133.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	133.6 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool Continually closing community pools has a negative effect on small communities and how they operate. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	134	Submitter:	Crystal Vercoe
Point Number	134.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	134.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: one court is too small. Two courts is minimally more each year, but provides more opportunities for our growing community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	134.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	134.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	it would be sad to see it go, but that's a really big price for something under utilised.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	135	Submitter:	Rachel Hope
Point Number	135.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	135.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	135.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	135.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Point Number	135.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	136	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	136.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	137	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	137.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: why do we need this ? What issue is this going to fix	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	137.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	137.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	137.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
	Point Number	137.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	138	Submitter:	Jason Dickens
Point Number	138.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			
Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.			

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 138.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	138.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 138.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	138.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	139	Submitter:	Ryan Dodunski
Point Number	139.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million			

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	139.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would		

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	139.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		

Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	139.4
Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	139.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?

Staff Recommendation	Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	Point Number	139.6	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	I support it but the budget of \$1.3m appears exorbitant		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	140	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	140.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	140.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	140.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	140.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	140.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	141	Submitter:	Hamish Clarkson
Point Number	141.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	141.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46
	Funding: Rates: 100%
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	141.3
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	141.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	141.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	142	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	142.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	142.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number	142.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	142.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	142.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Build a gymnastics facility, or provide land for a gymnastics purpose built facility which can help the community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Submitter Number:	143	Submitter:	Brett Kelland
Point Number	143.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	144	Submitter:	Debbie Henderson
Point Number	144.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	144.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	144.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	144.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	145	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	145.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	145.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	145.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	145.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	145.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	145.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	stop wasting money on things that should be 100% user pays. We all pay for pools for the few that use them. We get no wage growth yet are expected to come up with 8% increase per year for council to waste on funding loss making community facilities, unnecessary capital expenditure for things that could be private sector run now spending our "tax" to pay for feel good politically correct rubbish. .		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	146	Submitter:	Greg Stenhouse
Point Number	146.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: A large rates increase is unacceptable		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	146.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: A large rates increase is unacceptable, and there is limited growth in Leeston to justify this investment		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. Population growth predictions have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	146.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	146.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Current facilities are fine, a single court is ridiculous and offers no real benefit. A large rates increase is unacceptable		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	146.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	146.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Please work hard to keep rates rises to a minimum, even 10% is too high. You need to cut wasteful spending and focus on core services that benefit the higher population areas of Rolleston and Lincoln who pay the most		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	146.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	A large rates increase is unacceptable		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	146.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	We need more businesses here, that will ultimately benefit residents and future funding for council		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	146.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Yes, the council needs to stay current and engage with the community in better ways		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	147	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	147.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	147.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	147.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	147.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	147.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	148	Submitter:	Chris Scott
Point Number	148.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-		

funding			
Point Number	148.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	148.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	148.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

	Funding: None	Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	148.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	149	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	149.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	149.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	149.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	149.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Rates are unaffordable as is and cannot go up this much. If you think they can then you are paying yourselves too much. Tighten up like the rest of us are having to and stop spending to meet what can be afforded right now. Don't just steel our savings a grocery money. It's not ok for criminals to do and it's not ok for councilors to do.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	150	Submitter:	Andi Peterson
Point Number	150.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: this should be located near or at Darfield high school so the facility is well utilised.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	150.3	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	we need transport from Darfield to Rolleston. A Selwyn connector, only once or twice a day. Our young people can get to youth hub in Christchurch, if they get 1 bus from Darfield at 7am. Yet they can't get to the new youth hub in Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. Council is aware that this needs to cater for younger and older residents to access services that are being consolidated around our main townships like Rolleston and Lincoln, including the links they provide with PT services to the City.		

Submitter Number:	151	Submitter:	Paula McLeod

Point Number	151.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Would be good if Darfield was included in this. But ultimately affordable public transport should be a bigger priority		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there including connections to the City.		
Point Number	151.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		

	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: If the demand is increasing rapidly, it would be silly to only build one indoor court. Two courts minimum and then the facility built in such a way that a third can be added at a later date. The courts should also be multiuse for multiple sports.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	151.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	151.5	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I'd also like to highlight the need for public transport between Darfield and Rolleston eg the Health Hub for teenagers in Rolleston is amazing but currently inaccessible for our Darfield students		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. Council is aware that this needs to cater for younger and older		

residents to access services that are being consolidated around our main townships like Rolleston and Lincoln, including the links they provide with PT services to the City.			
Point Number	151.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	You close this pool and those people have to travel further to another pool, not to mention the Darfield pool can be very busy, especially with all the community sessions added in and limiting the public use. The upgrades to the Darfield are debateable as to whether they were necessary given a roofed facility that could open year round would have been a better option.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	152	Submitter:	Michael van Ee
Point Number	152.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Comment: I am a big supporter of public transport systems however Canterbury's busing network is so sub par that this facility will no doubt be under utilised. Why would anybody drive any distance to a park and ride facility then park up their car in order to effectively double their commute time. According to google a bus trip from Rolleston to the cbd is 1hr in peak traffic and only 30 minutes by car. Who would spend an extra hour commuting per day so that they can use the bus and that is assuming you destination is somewhere close to the bus route, some would but not many. I would support a park and ride at the train station if there was a commuter train from Rolleston to the CBD that stopped in Hornby as it would not share the same congested roads as a bus does but a bus park and ride makes no sense whatsoever. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The proposed Park N Rides, and the success of these needs to be paired with the uplift in PT Services required to be provided Environment Canterbury, in particular the direct to city services that do not stop often like the current 85 Rolleston to City Service. Environment Canterbury is planning to increase the frequency of the 5 Metro bus service and investigate additional direct and express PT services to the City, and eventually connecting to MRT in the City. The location of one of Councils Rolleston Park N Ride facilities is next to the main rail line should in future rail passenger transport be considered viable.
Point Number	152.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	152.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	152.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	152.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	152.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	The decision not to pursue chlorine free status is very disappointing. I am currently spending more on water filters and medication to counter the negative effects of chlorine and would happily pay for the rate increase to remove this substance from our water supply. Surely the new government would open to changing the rules to more reasonable standard.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		

Point Number	152.9	Category	Activity > Providing safe drinking water
Comments:	ECan's continued mismanagement of Canterbury's water is a disgrace. It will no doubt cost ratepayers dearly in the not-too-distant future.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comment is acknowledged.		
Point Number	152.10	Category	Activity > Rolleston Transport Improvements
Comments:	Bus based transportation solutions to reduce climate impact and reduce congestion are a waste of time and money, they are far too slow to be a reasonable replacement for car transportation. Busses are an important community service for those who need it but is simply too uncompetitive to be a realistic substitute for car transportation. Direct cycleways from Rolleston and Lincoln to Christchurch's cycle network would be better money well spent than a park and ride facility which is a laughable bad idea.		
Staff Recommendation	The Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case identified that further investment in improved PT services and infrastructure will have positive benefits. This will reduce the use of single occupant private vehicles that in that in turn will reduce emissions and congestion and provide people with an affordable transport option. With the move to a fully electric bus fleet this will also assist in emissions reduction. The aim of PT Futures for Greater Christchurch is to put PT services on the same of not better basis than private vehicle travel in peak times.		
Point Number	152.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	no comments		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	153	Submitter:	Rachael Lea
Point Number	153.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	153.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I think sheffield pool in invaluable to the community, it helps teach our children water safety and how to do this safely which is very much needed		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	154	Submitter:	Alischa Story
Point Number	154.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	154.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	154.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 154.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	154.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	154.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I agree		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	155	Submitter:	Pip Deans

Point Number	155.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: This would be an amazing asset to our community and surrounding villages. It is long overdue, the demand for indoor space at Selwyn Sports Centre and Rolleston is nearly at capacity and it is hard to get court time. Not having to travel to Rolleston for sport would also save costs while increasing physical participation in our community. Inside court space allows activities to flow and not be cancelled. It would be great for the Schools to also have access rather than having to travel to Rolleston and would save a considerable amount of money on bus hires. With the increase in population this would be a valuable asset to have access to.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Submitter Number:	156	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	156.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	156.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: This would be very sad to see closed and is a hub for the Sheffield community. The school would not have access to a swimming facility, meaning travel and time out of the classroom to effectively teach vital swimming skills. Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	157	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	157.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option	

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	157.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	157.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	157.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	157.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	157.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I believe the council has deliberately not maintained upkeep or appropriately advertised this awesome community swimming pool as there has been intention to close for some years now. This facility needs to remain open to service the community. There is a strong support in the community for this facility to remain. The Darfield pool redevelopment was not well thought out as the high school couldn't even properly run their swim sports due to a platform blocking off a lane. Whoever designed this needs to realise and learn from their faulty design process and this strange part of the design needs to be removed.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	158	Submitter:	Andrew Bright
Point Number	158.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding.		
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	158.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	158.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million		

Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	158.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	158.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	158.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Our number one priority needs to be passenger rail from Rolleston to Christchurch to Rangiora. I haven't researched what the problems are getting this up and running (deliberately - I don't think there's any point in getting mired in all the technical details), but it seems to offer too many advantages to present and future generations to accept that we're not working on this. I know there are buses into the city and beyond, but they add more to road congestion than trains would. It seems nuts that this service isn't under construction already.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network connecting Rolleston to Rangiora. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		

Submitter Number:	159	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	159.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	159.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	160	Submitter:	Rosemary Nicholls
Point Number	160.2	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	The mixed rural/town nature of the Selwyn District and our more recent housing development choices have increased car dependency. If we are serious about a sustainable Selwyn, valuing what we have, and being recognised for good community design, then creating a range of efficient and appealing alternative transport options is essential - for connecting people within our towns, between our towns, with Christchurch city, and with the outdoors. The Park and Ride facilities described could contribute to this if the advantages of using them were made clear.		
Staff Recommendation	It is intended that the proposed Park N Ride facilities are positioned and integrated into townships to support higher density and sustainable living outcomes sought by Council. They are perhaps better referred to as future 'transport hubs'. Council is planning that these improve access to core PT services accessible by the wider district.		

Submitter Number:	161	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	161.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	161.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number 161.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	161.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	161.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	162	Submitter:	Jeremy Wood
Point Number	162.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: So \$11.6 Million for a carpark?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Park N Ride sites need to provide a level of service that aligns with the increased bus services including bus parks, shelters of sufficient size, secure vehicle parking, bike stands, lighting and all-weather pavement		

	surfacing. They have to be safe and follow CPTED requirements for potential 24/7 use. This type of level of service attracts increased patronage and use of bus services, which is the objective.		
Point Number	162.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31		

2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	162.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	162.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

	Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: Why does it cost so much? \$11 Million for a large shed?
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	162.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	162.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Why does it cost so much to fix? is it falling down the hill?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	162.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	But 1.3 million?		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	163	Submitter:	Matt Marquis
Point Number	163.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: if you are making Rolleston the 'hub' of Selwyn (which makes sense), Rolleston needs to be accessible from other areas. You can't get a bus from Darfield to Rolleston?? Makes no sense. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there including connections to the City.
Point Number	163.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	163.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	163.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation Point Number	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option. 163.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	164	Submitter:	Amanda Geier
Point Number	164.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-		

funding			
Point Number	164.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	164.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	164.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	164.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	165	Submitter:	Elise Armstrong
Point Number	165.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	165.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It is vital to our young people and especially teenagers to keep this pool open. We live in Springfield and the access to activities (outdoors and indoors) and socialising is extremely limited, costly and time consuming. This is their local activity with their local friends. Rural communities should not be expected to always go to main centres at the cost of the individual, plus it would reduce the load on darfield. We need to support more remote communities. Our children love this pool. It is a life saver for all of us. The diving board is the main attraction.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	166	Submitter:	Alan Coulton

Point Number	166.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	166.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	166.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4%

		Development contributions: 28.6% *	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	166.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	166.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	166.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Rates increase instead of a major rate rise this year why not increase rates @ 8% for next 5 years still get the same result but spread out over a longer term. What you are suggesting at present is very high and there will be a mass exodus of population.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	167	Submitter:	Kate Worner
Point Number	167.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		

Point Number	167.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	167.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	167.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	167.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	168	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	168.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Please include more of Selwyn than just the main areas! It's getting ridiculous. You'll find a notion in most of the smaller, untouched communities that the big centres get absolutely everything and yet smaller communities just get things shut down (Eg. Proposed shut down of the Sheffield Pool). There is option for a Selwyn Bus Network to allow for travel around the district, even just small routes like Springfield - Darfield, Darfield - Rolleston, Hororata - Darfield, Lincoln - West Melton etc. May be nice to actually cater for every community in this district instead of blowing the budget on the big centres. Great, they are growing, but not everything should be focused around them. This network would significantly improve financial availability in Selwyn, allowing those who aren't keen on Driving everywhere to be able to bus into the communities they wish to travel into for a low fare. This can be communicated back to ECAN, so I would suggest that both SDC and ECAN collaborate on		

Staff Recommendation	this network to ensure that every community is getting SOMETHING out of this Long Term Plan. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. Council and Environment Canterbury are working closely together on how to improve PT in the district.		
Point Number	168.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *		

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	168.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	168.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	168.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	Secondly, focus on your minorities. Leeston and Rolleston will grow and that's fantastic, but whgenda. That was two years ago. I'm sure if we actually uat about West Melton, Sheffield, Springfield, Arthur's Pass, Castle Hill, Darfield, Kirwee, Coalgate, Whitecliffs, Hororata, Glentunnel, Greendale, Burnham, Dunsandel, Doyleston, Southbridge, Springston, Tai Tapu, Windwhistle? All these communities that are CONTINUOUSLY undermined and given zero attention by the council apart from when the voices are so loud they cannot be ignored anymore. There's minor things you've done, but these are things that HAVE to be done, like the water piping in		

	Springfield or the Malvern Rec Centre in Darfield. I question again, where's the public transport network. There is no buses, there is no rail even that is just sitting there being used for coal and tourists. A lot of people in these underserved communities are frustrated because all their rates just go to Rolleston, Lincoln or Prebbleton and they get nothing in return. Make use of it, give these communities the services they need before you prioritize new things in the main centres. Healthcare centres, where are they? Arthur's Pass, Castle Hill, Springfield and Sheffield residents all have to drive to Darfield to get medical care and all the way into Christchurch for Hospital care. Why isn't this a priority? The ambulances tend to take 30 minutes to an hour to reach some of these rural communities and by that point someone could've lost their life! Education could also be something to look into, with significant numbers of Selwyn residents choosing to enrol their children in Christchurch based High Schools. If we really want to grow this district, we need to look at our Schools. Rolleston College is suffering immensely with their upgrade being delayed, and as the only other High School alternative, kids flock away from Darfield High after Year 7 and 8. Again I question why the council hasn't been communicating with the government and pushing for change, because this could be a driving factor for people to leave the district or choose not to move here in future.		
Staff Recommendation	Council continues to identify improvements for each town in the district. Council works with government to advocate for better health and education services for its residents. Council will be investigating what further PT services can be provided across the wider District, further to what Environment Canterbury can provide. However, it is expected these will need to be fully funded by users and ratepayers subject to further Council consideration through subsequent Annual Plan and Long-Term Plan processes. It is envisaged residents in the wider district can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City		
Point Number	168.9	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Let's stop threatening to close public spaces, like what was attempted with the community halls. Focus on what people actually need. That is NOT spending \$300,000 on improving relations with Mana Whenua. All well and good you want to do that, but there is absolutely no need to spend such a ridiculous sum of money on improving relations. That is NOT providing expenditure of \$143,000		

		"removing objects at Leeston Park" as a option for people to vote for. I question where these figures come from, because there is absolutely no way such a significant sum of money is spent on removing objects and improving relations	
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council is committed to responsible use of ratepayer funds. Investing in relationships, and actively working with Iwi, is not just the right thing to do under Te Tiriti o Waitangi, it is also an efficient way to achieve positive outcomes for all Selwyn residents.	
Point Number	168.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	168.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:		Just why? If you're going to close the pool, then use the \$1m to upgrade things around Sheffield instead! Have you thought about Sheffield School? Because basically closing this pool limits their access to Swimming Sports and PE lessons in the pool, so unless you're proposing to give the school funds to build a new pool in school grounds, what's the point? This will stretch the school's budget on the basis they'll need to hire buses and such just to transport kids to the Darfield pool, which is also going to need to be hired!	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	

Point Number	168.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Firstly, let's stop the mickey and stop closing community services. Of course, the Sheffield pool is used less than other pools, it's got a tiny population! Is that an issue? No!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	169	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	169.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46
	Funding: Rates: 100%
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	169.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	169.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	169.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	169.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	Plant the Native trees that you said you would		
Staff Recommendation	Council staff are involved in a number of native restoration planting projects across the district on both private and public land. It is anticipated that the number of native plants installed with Council funding and support will increase in future years.		
Point Number	169.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	does not need to change. All segregation policies to be removed. After the 2019 apathied act.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	170	Submitter:	John Quigley
Point Number	170.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Increase train services from Selwyn to Chch It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.
Point Number	170.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	170.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	170.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	170.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	171	Submitter:	Kayla Croy
Point Number	171.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	171.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	171.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	171.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.			
Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)			
Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91			
Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	171.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	171.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This community pool is a assett for the Sheffield community. The council have recently built brand new bathrooms and toilets for the pool. It is one of the last local pools which is used for the local school to use and for our community to use. I would think if you asked the		

	community to help with the maintenance of getting the pool up to scratch you would get a lot of good feedback. Sheffield is small and this pool has been a wonderful place to go after work and weekends for a swim to clear your head. Sheffield doesn't get much we have a pie shop and a school. The running of the pool was a way to connect with community I think the pool should keep open
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	172	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	172.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: it's annoying for such an increase of rates for a system so much of the district cannot use/won't use.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. It is envisaged residents in the wider district can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City		

Point Number	172.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	172.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	172.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: there is a private owned indoor court at coalgate that maybe the council could work with them It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number Comments:	172.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number Comments:	172.6 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool I use this pool every year. Its a great asset to the community. With darfield getting massive and no plans it seems to make that pool

Staff Recommendation	facility bigger, we need more pools. Getting rid of this pool would be a dick move by the council, proving they don't care for us rural folks It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	173	Submitter: Cameron Adams
Point Number	173.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council	
Point Number	173.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	173.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	173.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	173.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	173.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I'm not reading that. Make something fun to do in Rolleston as well.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	174	Submitter:	Fliss Cox
Point Number	174.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	174.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	174.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	174.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	174.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	174.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Sheffield school students should be able to use a pool facility. Water safety is of enormous importance to nz kids - i suggest the pool either be repaired or a new pool built at Sheffield school by way of partially funded SDC and partial fundraising. Driving to darfield is not an option for all residents either (plus not even open in winter).		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	175	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	175.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: by the time you will do park and ride, there would be something else that needs attention. better to do a monorail type project that is long term		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. After assessing the options, it identified that either a bus or light rail		

modes were the most appropriate. This will be confirmed through the further MRT business case phases.

Point Number	175.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	175.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	175.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	175.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	175.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Waste of money in red tape, these won't work after few years.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	176	Submitter:	Sarah van Rens (McGrath)

Point Number	176.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	176.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	176.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	176.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	176.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	I grew up in Sheffield,
	Its not feasible for parents and general public to have to travel to Darfield,
	Darfield already doesn't have enough space for the public to use with mutiple bookings and lanes closed of.
	I drowned at the river when I was 5 & the Sheffield pool is essential for teaching the community how to swim and prevent deaths or serious complications from drowning.
	More needs to be down for Sheffield & Darfield communitys not just Rolleston,
	Rolleston pools are always booked out and even though paid my entry fee I got booted out of the only available lane because someone wanted to have a teaching session in it.
	Thank you for your submission to Selwyn District Council's Long-Term Plan 2024 - 2034. Your preference not to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool is acknowledged. It will play an insightful role in the deliberation process regarding the proposed closure of the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	177	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	177.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:	
	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.	
	Project cost: None	

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		
	Funding: To be determined		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	177.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	177.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	177.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	Why are the Selwyn huts people being made homeless, these are historical buildings some of them from pioneers, one was a schoolhouse etc. always a good place to take children to enjoy the last 60 years for me and my kids, and the hunting and fishing, what a waste, it's a beautiful wee community, along a riverbank, why does the good old kiwi batch have to suffer,		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		
Point Number	177.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: The Library in Rolleston is a disaster as it being used as a meeting place for unruly bullying children, I see this could be the case for Leeston. The submission is acknowledged. The safety of staff and customers have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		

Submitter Number:	178	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	178.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Why waste money with uncertainty		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	178.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: No need to build another facility and take up greenspace.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	178.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	178.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Nothing over this side of the district. Leeston is close proximity to Lincoln. Don't need more facilities in Ellesmere. Malvern is under supplied. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Point Number	178.11	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Cycling solutions that have been provided in the past are not fit for purpose and obviously designed by people who do not cycle. Alignments do not make sense. Recreational cycleways need to be treated as a recreational asset. Not planned for by roading engineers.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Shared use paths within road reserves are responsibilities of council's transport team.		
Point Number	178.12	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Why is there nothing on strengthening tertiary education relationship?		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises the value and importance of Lincoln University in Selwyn and through the Economic Development Strategy and activity Council seeks opportunities to increase the presence and role of tertiary education providers and training delivery in Selwyn. Council has been negotiating an agreement / partnership with Lincoln University. Council will continue to pursue a more sustainable and increased presence of tertiary education provision in Selwyn.		
Point Number	178.14	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	No details are provided within these pages, just stating summary will be provided in LTP. Hard to comment without actual information.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	178.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	178.16	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This is a memorial pool. If this facility is to shut the Council should explore funding a portion of a new pool on the school site.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	179	Submitter:	Jonathan Leask
Point Number	179.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	179.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
	Point Number	179.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	179.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Where possible dip deeper into reserves and contributors to offset rates.		
	They are there for the rainy-day situation and for your ratepayers this - - three years of 15% increases - is shaping as the rainy day.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	179.10	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	If Selwyn ratepayers are paying for public transport it should be for public transport in Selwyn, not subsidising families to transport there children into Christchurch for schooling.		
	All the services head to Christchurch, why is there no "loop" option in Rolleston or between Lincoln and Rolleston?		
Staff Recommendation	Council is funding the infrastructure needed to support and increase the use of PT in the district and beyond as part of an agreed collaborative approach across Greater Christchurch. The existing 820 Metro bus services links from Burnham to Lincoln, including the townships in between. Environment Canterbury is also investigating 'On Demand' PT Services as another option within larger townships.		

Point Number	179.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	179.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Pools lose money so having one 10 minutes away is no major loss to the community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	179.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Stick to roads and water and maintain assets first, which the LTP suggests the council is missing the mark on in some areas Until the core roles are under control, leave the business to the businesses.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy whilst there are other issues that they view as more pressing. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Submitter Number:	180	Submitter:	Phillipa Lamborn for Darfield Residents Association
Organisation:	Darfield Residents Association		
Point Number	180.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	As a member of the Darfield Residents Association I have been asked to write to the council on behalf of our group with regards to two areas interest we have needing road crossings. ONE area that desperately needs a road crossing, for the safety of the children at both Darfield High School and Darfield Primary, is at close to the Cardale/Greendale intersection. We have already tried to contact the council regarding this and was declined on the basis of an upcoming overall roading plan, which has since thankfully been scrapped by the new government. With no set roading plans we are asking for a pedestrian crossing, or at the very least, an island on Greendale Road to be placed at the sidewalk that goes along the past the Darfield Pool. This crossing is used a lot by students of both schools and parents who are sometimes accompanied by child under 5. Given a corner in the road that is very close to this proposed crossing and the speeds at which some travel this road we would like this crossing to have signage and believe a pedestrian crossing is necessary. TWO there is a second area of pedestrian concern. The corner of Bray and Cardale Street. This should be set on the South Terrace side. There are 3 companies at least that frequently have truck and trailer units on this road. I realise that the council has no control over pedestrians alongside South Terrace but it has full jurisdiction of Bray and Cardale. Along with the constant flow of truck and trailer units there are often large trucks parked on bray street blocking the view of children and parents at this intersection.		

Staff Recommendation	Both of these intersections seem to only have two busy periods during the day and the Darfield Residents are NOT asking for a speed reduction zone.
	Thank you for your consideration of these two proposals. Phillipa Lamborn Member of the Darfield Residents Association.
	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has applied for \$2.6m to improve safety infrastructure around schools within Selwyn, 24-27 NLTP. Our Interim Speed Management Plan is still focused on lower speeds around schools, through variable 30km/h.

Submitter Number:	181	Submitter:	Dan Mulholland
Point Number	181.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	181.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	181.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	181.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	182	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	182.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are			

Staff Recommendation	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: please keep costs to a min do not spend for the sake of it The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	182.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000

Staff Recommendation		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: please do not spend It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	182.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: please don't spend money on thinbs like this	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	182.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Point Number	182.12	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	please please please don't spend public money for the sake of it - imagine if a council gave money back if there was a surplus given the rate of growth in Selwyn. Please always check cost benefits before going ahead with projects. Infrastructure is the most important not community facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	182.13	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
	Comment: Please don't spend		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council		
Point Number	182.14	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: please don't spend money unnecessarily		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	182.15	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: please save money - pretend its your own		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	182.16	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: please save money		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	182.17	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: please dont spend money on thinbs like this		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	182.18	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	182.20	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	182.21	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	unnecessary		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	182.22	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	182.23	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	please please please consider all fubds like its your own money and check if you can afford things. Rates increases cant just go up and up. Stop all unnecessary spending - check everything and budget like a household has to. Please just stop and think get creative with ideas and solutions for funding - public private partnerships etc		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	182.24	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	anything to save money and stop rates going up		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	182.25	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	be creative join up with chch or do something to reduce costs its not necessary		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and, instead, consider different options. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	182.26	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	would agree with this if it saved money or headcount		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	182.27	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Save costs.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	182.28	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	this isnt really necessary		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that it is not necessary. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic		

	development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	182.29	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	only do this if it saves costs elsewhere - need an idea of cost saving benefits		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	183	Submitter:	Iarbi Frizzell
Point Number	183.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
	Point Number	183.2	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Thank you for your submission to Selwyn District Council's Long-Term Plan 2024 - 2034. Your preference not to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool is acknowledged. It will play an insightful role in the deliberation process regarding the proposed closure of the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	184	Submitter:	Louise Davis
Point Number	184.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?			

No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	184.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Please see my attached supporting document.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		

Submitter Number:	185	Submitter:	John Roper
Point Number	185.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: As per previous comments. Reduce spending. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 185.3 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: You just dont get it. Council spending has to stop. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number	185.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Delay any expenditure on capital until the Council restructures and reduces their costs inline with central government. In short you are treating ratepayers as a portable ATM. Stop it.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	185.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	185.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This is a Memorial Pool well utilised by locals. As for a million dollar spend, this is absolutely designed to push your own broom.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	185.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Curb any spending, we are in a recession in case youve missed that.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	185.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Totally unclear of any outcomes.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	185.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	If I thought, it would make any difference then id commit more time however pretty disappointed in your performance.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	186	Submitter:	Bridie Frost for Selwyn Youth Council
Organisation:	Selwyn Youth Council		

Point Number	186.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	We would like to engage with our peers on the LTP and then present our submission		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	187	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	187.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	187.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This needs to stay open, have repairs done and partial covering so it can stay open longer each year.		

Staff Recommendation	Darfield also closes winter also, so we have to travel 35 mins to Rolleston. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	188	Submitter: Krista Kelland
Point Number	188.1	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	

Submitter Number:	189	Submitter:	Mark Rickwood
Point Number	189.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

	2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	189.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	189.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	189.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	189.6	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I would also focus on the potential of train transport for future.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		

Submitter Number:	190	Submitter:	Caleb Morton
Point Number	190.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Staff Recommendation	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Ideally the park and ride options would work alongside possible Mass Transport options such as rail or light rail. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The location of one of Councils Rolleston Park N Ride facilities is next to the main rail line should in future rail passenger transport be considered viable.		
	Point Number 190.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: I'd prefer to invest in the permanent building now, rather than it not being fit for purpose in 10-15 years time due to growth in the region. Building a building with better amenities will benefit the wider community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	190.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: The ~\$6m additional cost for option 3 doesn't make economic sense and I would prefer the money be spent on the building, not the carparking or outdoor facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	190.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: I think it is important to invest in infrastructure early, so that the community can make the most of the facilities early on. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
	Point Number	190.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	Point Number	190.8	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments: Agree with the proposed.			
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	191	Submitter:	Scott Mcilroy

Point Number	191.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	191.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	191.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I do not Support the closure of the Sheffield Community pool we need a place for our Community to swim and also a place to teach		

	the 100 students at Sheffield school to learn to swim and also Springfield School I dont think your 1 million is correct to upgrade it this is well over priced. cant you give this back to the Sheffeild Community along with the 290K so they can fix it and look after it from then on ? Also why take all the water out of the pool? the best way to stuff a pool is take the water out ? is that why its been done ? Can your other community pools fit in 100 more kids for swimming leassons ? I have head Darfield kids battle to get space in the Darfield pool is that correct? Please don't shut the pool.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	192	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	192.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	192.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27		

	2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	192.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	192.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	192.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	193	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	193.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council	

Point Number	193.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option		

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	193.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	193.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	193.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	194	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	194.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	194.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	194.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	194.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	194.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	194.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	We pay enough in rates for not much in return. Paying for facilities not where we live. How many underutilized facilities do we need to continue to pay for.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.

Submitter Number:	195	Submitter:	Raewyn Baxter
Point Number	195.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	195.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	195.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	communities need pools		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	196	Submitter:	Heidi Clark
Point Number	196.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	196.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to		

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	196.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	196.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%		

Staff Recommendation	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: Build close to the high school so that the facilities can be used by the students		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	196.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	197	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	197.3	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	We are keen to learn more about funding options that would benefit all people who live in Rolleston and possible less impact on ratepayers. I suggest to Selwyn and Christchurch Council to focus fund to public transport first such as more bus and possibly a train station line that would connect North and South Canterbury to Central Christchurch rather than building more car parks in town.		
Staff Recommendation	The Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide		

	as a Metro service. How the wider region is serviced by PT is a matter for Environment Canterbury under the Regional Public Transport Plan. Environment Canterbury will be investigating a possible regional passenger rail service. The InterCity bus service can provide wider regional and national bus service connectivity.		
Point Number	197.6	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Would love to hear more about the policy changes and hopefully to have a wider campaign to spread more awareness about the changes and long-term plan to keep Rolleston residents and of course the rest of Selwyn district informed		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		

Submitter Number:	198	Submitter:	Megan Armstrong
Point Number	198.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number Comments:	198.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	198.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	198.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	198.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It is the only reasonably sized swimming pool open for public use in the Springfield/Sheffield area. You do very little for our communities as it is please don't take away one of the few facilities we do still have.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	199	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	199.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 199.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	199.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4%		

Staff Recommendation	Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Submitter Number:	200	Submitter: Katrin Lilla
Point Number	200.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding	

Point Number	200.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	200.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	200.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	200.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	200.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	we are in the need for a larger Gymnastic facility so that the growing community demand can be met		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Submitter Number:	201	Submitter:	Kate Lang
Point Number	201.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	201.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	201.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	201.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	201.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation			Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	201.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	unsure		
Staff Recommendation			Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	202	Submitter:	liam southall
Point Number	202.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	202.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	202.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	202.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	202.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	203	Submitter:	Darren Wilson
Point Number	203.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: wait to see a more coherent view on public transport. I don't see it as a Funding priority given your proposed rates increases.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch including that required for Selwyn District.		
Point Number	203.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: not a priority given your current proposed rates increases		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	203.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	203.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	203.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	203.7	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	203.9	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	(as per previous comment). Taihoa on your council building upgrades - make do like the rest of us.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	203.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Move to more user pays instead of general levies in rates. Let the market decide if the service is of value to rate payers.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	203.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The average proposed rates increase cap is misleading given you are proposing to front load with big increases and then slow down. The impact of compounding percentage increases will be devastating to older people on fixed incomes. Overall, you need to be really clear about what you should be doing and what are nice to have - I'm not sure you are. For example, upgrading council buildings for more office space' tells me you haven't really read the room and ignoring the impact on rate payers. In terms of other policy changes, there is no requirement to wear increased costs for maori consultation. The crown has a treaty with maori - local government doesn't. Don't waste money being PC. In terms of climate, regional council are already hitting us for mitigation ,don't hit us twice. Another example of not reading the room was the mayor fronting for the local council NZ and giving a partisan view on maori ward referendum requirements. We should be saving money by exiting that lobby group and telling the mayor to butt out. Finally, developers are privatizing all the gains and the public is wearing all the costs of turning green field sites into subdivisions. They need to wear a lot more of the costs given this governments view on housing.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	203.14	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Charge developers the cull whack for developing - don't let them privatize all the gains of developing a site and leaving us with the costs. Most of them don't even live hear so don't contribute to rates ongoing.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	203.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	these can be funded out of operational efficiencies that new tools can deliver. Sharpen up your service design, tighten your operating model around must haves and tool around that.
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	204	Submitter:	Rebecca Pearce
Point Number	204.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	204.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	204.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Don't make the same mistake that happened with the pool in Rolleston. Spend the money now, make it a decent sized building and plan for the growing population. Two courts are required.

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	204.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	204.6	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Transport would be amazing out our way in Darfield/Kirwee as well. Kids only have an option of going to Christchurch at 7 something am and returning at 5 something pm.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		

Submitter Number:	205	Submitter:	Dor Chung
Point Number	205.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

	to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	205.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	205.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	205.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	205.6	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	would like to add more bus direct to city(extend service hour for 85)		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27 including investigating 'On Demand' Services as another option within larger townships.		

Submitter Number:	206	Submitter:	Taranpreet Singh
Point Number	206.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	206.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	206.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	206.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

Point Number	206.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	207	Submitter:	Keith Morrison
Point Number	207.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Of course it would be preferable to obtain funding from Waka Kotahi, but if it isn't available I believe the council should still proceed.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	207.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	207.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	207.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	207.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	207.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts

Comments:

First, I wish to congratulate the council on taking the necessary bold step to address the inevitable effects of climate change on the Upper Selwyn Huts community. Moreover, I commend the council on acting wisely to maximise the resilience of the waste management system by choosing to put in a pipeline, for the council's compassion to be willing to be the banker for the costs incurred, and for the council's decision to only seek partial recovery of the costs from the affected residents. I believe that the council is on the right track but consider, based on my international expertise as a researcher into climate change adaptation of communities, that there is room to improve the council's plan, by tweaking it in places. I humbly make the following suggestions about how to do so, along with my main reasons. The suggestions have to do with how to best ensure the well-being of the community as they face the inevitable changes coming to them.

My first overarching point is that the inevitable change being forced onto the Upper Selwyn Huts community is not of their own making. They share no more responsibility for climate change than other residents of the district, and indeed of New Zealand. Notwithstanding that as a concerned resident I have been trying largely unheeded for 15 years to alert the community to the inevitable changes afoot, Upper Selwyn huts community members are victims of climate change and cannot be merely thrown to the wolves, and especially not criminalised if they react to trauma they are incapable of coping with due to their vulnerability. I know of no other country in the world that currently treats its climate change victims in such a callous manner. It would be far from international best practice. I am certain that New Zealand will come to see it as unacceptable behaviour by territorial authorities once climate change adaptation policies are fully developed. Therefore, I believe the council must be careful to avoid unwittingly doing so during this period of learning and exploring ways to address the need to assist communities adapt to climate change.

There are five main groups of affected licensees: speculators, recreational hut owners, young families, elderly retirees, and those with health issues. My concern is for the well-being of the latter three groups, whose well-being risks being grievously affected by the current plan, but which a slight adjustment to the plan could address. The effects on speculators and recreational hut owners are addressed first.

(i) Speculators

Several licensees have made irrational business decisions out of ignorance. Unfortunately, the earthquake disaster and subsequent council (unwise in my opinion) decisions to allow all residents to stay for 12 months of the year, to allow renting, as well as to allow people to obtain more than one licence to occupy Springston South Reserve, resulted in speculation by new and absentee licensees. I

put the grievances of these affected pretend 'landlords' to the side. They made unwise business decisions. I do not believe that the council should be overly concerned about these licensees' failures to carry out due diligence. These licensees sought to exploit a loophole that enabled them to seek exorbitant rents for cheap 'properties' outside the mortgage market and got caught out. There has nevertheless been a clear breach of responsibility by both the council and real estate agents to have allowed such a situation to develop. It is not my place or concern to comment further, except to say that the historically unwise decisions (in my opinion) by the council have resulted in overcrowding of huts that are very close together and will make transitioning toward abandonment of the settlement difficult because of some licensees trying to maximise their investments.

(ii) Recreational hut owners

Some families have held licences over generations. They are who the licence was originally designed for. They were not affected by the original licence that required residents to vacate their baches for at least 3 months of each calendar year. They all have homes elsewhere. It is a sad loss of heritage for these families that they will not have their ancestral bach to visit any longer, but they are well able to adapt. I also put their grievances to the side.

(iii) Elderly retirees

For many decades the licence allowed up to 12 licensees to live for the full 12 months of each year in their hut. These licensees tended to be retirees because there was a waitlist. With the relaxing of the licence to allow all licensees to stay for 12 months of the year there has been an increase in such retirees who value the peace and quiet of the Springston South reserve and the adjacent natural environment. For many, who are poorer members of society, they spent all their capital to be able to do so. As it stands, with a 15-year horizon, a recent retiree will at 80 years of age be made homeless and largely destitute, having become evicted from their home, with their only major capital asset demolished at their own expense, and forced out of their social support and connections they have established within Selwyn District. This will have a drastic effect on their well-being. As a recent retiree, who now carries out volunteer work as a research associate professor mainly supervising Pasifika doctoral students and writing up research for publication, as well as volunteer support for youth with special learning needs at a local high school, in 15 years I will have spent 55 years of my life in the Selwyn district, with 40 of those at our bach at Upper Selwyn Huts. As a Samoan family our priorities are to our extended family and village obligations, not maximising our capital. Even though my wife could return to our family ancestral village in Samoa, I will not be able to and will be forced, homeless without capital, to leave the

Selwyn district and my support networks. If, however, the horizon is extended to 30 years, it would enable this group to live/die as we have planned in good faith, to maintain our well-being.

(iv) Children/youth of younger families

There have always been younger families who sought to make the most of access to excellent schools and local universities, and who were happy to follow the rule of vacating their hut for 3 months each year. They rationally determined that by doing so they could also seek to escape the poverty trap facing lower-income families having to pay for rental accommodation. They planned to eventually purchase a property as they managed to save money for a deposit, made possible without the rental burden, or enable their children to do so while they hoped to retire in their bach at Selwyn Huts on the waitlist. With the relaxing of the licence to allow all licensees to stay for 12 months of the year, there has been an increase in younger families who have obtained licences for these excellent reasons. My family is an example from the period when we still had to vacate for three months each year. It has worked well for us, especially as we had a family ancestral home in Samoa to return to for a period each year. We remain grateful for the opportunity it has given our children. Our eldest is now a dean at Scots College in Wellington and a New Zealand rep. elite sportsman, mentoring New Zealand's young up-and-coming elite sportsmen and sportswomen. However, with the currently planned 15-year horizon, children and youth of younger families will become torn from their support networks, schools, and plans to attend university from home. But once again, with a tweak to a 30-year horizon, it would allow all current younger families to ensure their children can have the best opportunity to develop into independent successful adults through maintaining their current support networks within the Selwyn district.

(v) Those with health issues

Several licensees have inherited licences from families who used their baches for recreational purposes. These licensees suffer from health issues, and for them their licences are lifelines. An extension of the horizon to 30 years will most likely ensure that all of these current licensees are able to spend their days in the best possible well-being, by maintaining a connection not only to their childhood memories, but also to the benefits from a relatively safe community environment and established district-wide support networks.

In conclusion, I argue that there are only three tweaks to the current plan that are necessary, namely: to increase the horizon to 30 years, to stop renting, and to stop the opportunity to hold more than one licence. The current plan to charge a targeted rate for 15 years to cover the cost of the wastewater upgrade through a pipeline should

be kept. Also, the plan to stop the transfer of licences after 10 years to other than one's own immediate family, should also be kept, or perhaps extended to 15 years. If renting were stopped, those currently with more than one licence would most likely transfer their extra licences to others, and absent speculators would likely divest themselves of theirs. This will take pressure off the community and enable graceful transitioning toward the inevitable abandonment of the settlement.

I also wish to make three comments. My first comment is on a reason given by the council for the current plan; that of the inordinate time and cost to the council to manage the reserve. This appears to be the reason for the currently planned 15-year horizon. Both climate change and the upgrade to a pipeline for waste management would enable a longer horizon. The reason given by the council is nonetheless understandable. As a victim of bullying and vandalism within the community, I can well understand the council's frustration, as it also brings despair to me to see it continue: the latest being the removal and dumping of no-parking signage from along the stop bank. But I wish to suggest that the council risks carrying out inappropriate collective punishment if this is a/the reason to implement a 15-year horizon rather than say a 30-year horizon. I suggest that the main cause of the bullying and vandalism that has wasted so much of the council's time and resources was the relaxing of the licence and the subsequent emergence of speculation, which the council has to take some responsibility for. With the removal of the opportunity for speculation, I argue that the inappropriate use of the reserve will also evaporate because once again the community values of family life, peace and quiet, and recreation and conservation, will reign, in keeping with the long-term vision that Ngai Tahu and the Department of Conservation have for the area. I argue that this would be the best possible transition and would not need to be rushed through a 15-year horizon. A 30-year horizon would be fine.

My second comment is on the planned investigation to ensure all huts associated with licences are compliant. This is mainly relevant to ensure rental 'properties' are up to standard and renters are not being taken advantage of by licensees. Of course, if the council decides to not continue to allow renting, this rationale becomes obsolete. But I believe it is crucial for the council to recognise that if the investigation becomes focused instead on the huts of the elderly, younger families, and those with health problems, they do so to help these vulnerable people enable their huts to come up to standard, and NOT to do so as a means by which to evict them, make them homeless and destitute; having to leave the district and their support networks. To evict such vulnerable people would only throw them into further ill-being and impose unnecessary serious social and psychological hazards onto them. It would be contemptuous behaviour on the part of the council to do so and be a failure to live

	up to basic tenets of cultured and civilised life. Rather the council should use an investigation into compliance of the huts as a basis with which to plan how to best assist these most vulnerable members of the Selwyn district community. It will most likely be to assist with them getting their huts up to standard. My third comment is on the planned inclusion of a code of conduct clause into the licence. This is well overdue. It will empower the council to address the bullying and vandalism that has plagued the community since the relaxing of the licence. Care will however be necessary in the implementation of the clause because of the inevitable attempt by those who are currently bullying to use the clause to further their bullying of vulnerable people. The council will need to seek professional guidance about how to proceed best to investigate complaints that are made. Staff Recommendation Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		
Point Number	207.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	I believe it is necessary but should be complemented by an equal focus on development of kanohi ki kanohi interaction. i believe the two complement to support each other. As digital solutions are developed it will open up opportunities for better kanohi ki kanohi interactions better suited by this communication.		
Staff Recommendation	Face to face interaction will continue to be an important part of how we interact with our communities. Our digital approach is designed to make things more streamlined, connected and efficient.		
Submitter Number:	208	Submitter:	Leeza Stuart

Point Number	208.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	208.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	208.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	i support gifting the pool back to the community for us to fix and continue to use. We have 5 kids that love the pool, but Darfield is too far away for a quick dip, or to drop the older kids there while i continue jobs at home. We will only use the Darfield pool via our swimming lessons with Teagan. the local teenagers from Sheffield and surrounds come and enjoy their day or at least multiple hours at the pool. Where are they meant to now go? Sheffield and surrounding areas need the Sheffield pool to remain usable.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		

Submitter Number:	209	Submitter:	Ciara Halkett
Point Number	209.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	209.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	209.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	209.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	209.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	209.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	this is the only community assest we have left in our area where the community come together and meet / interact with other locals and our kids get to play together. Its also our childrens school pool where educational swimming which is part of the ciriculum and swim sports takes place. Its so important that all kids learn to swim specially in this beautiful country we live in to prevent these horrid drownings. This is also a momorial site which honors the local people who fought for this wonderful country.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	209.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	its seems that the only areas the council want to do this in is Rolleston. This is definetly nit shared through the wider communities throughout Selwyn. We want our rates used for our local community assests. Boggest Wxample the Sheffield Momorial Pool		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Point Number	209.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	put this money towards more important things like keeping community assets in their communities. Biggest example the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	210	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	210.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	210.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	210.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	210.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

	Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	210.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	210.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	stop wasting our money!!!!		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	211	Submitter:	Joseph OSullivan
Point Number	211.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	211.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	212	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	212.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	212.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	212.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	212.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: There is no point of having one court if your going to build a whole new indoor facility. Indoor Courts require 2 from my point of view and experience previously when going to other indoor centers. Either 2 courts or no new facility.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	

Submitter Number:	213	Submitter:	Becky Gerritsen
Point Number	213.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Bus routes should be considered from Springfield and Sheffield and Darfield should be considered as a park & ride hub.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services can be provided across the wider District, further to what Environment Canterbury can provide. It possible that additional bus services for Darfield could result but unlikely beyond this in the foreseeable future. Currently Darfield has a lot of on street capacity to cater for bus Park N Ride, but a future facility could be warranted paired with a possible rail PT service if this could eventuate as part of a wider initiative for a regional passenger rail service.		

Point Number	213.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Darfield and satellite rural townships are growing so there is need for 2 indoor courts/larger indoor facility rather than building only 1 and having to build again in the near future.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	213.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	213.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The pool needs refurbishment to get local patronage again and be the hub of summer community collective activity again. Darfield pool is already very busy and the Waddington, Sheffield, Springfield and Oxford Road locals would use the Sheffield Pool again if it were refurbished and improved.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	214	Submitter:	Melanie Johnston
Point Number	214.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: We can't afford to wait. We have to reduce carbon emissions now. Petrol prices are going to continue to increase. Public transportation offers a straightforward and immediate strategy to contribute to the reduction in emissions. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council
Point Number	214.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: If the standard plan design is similar to the Lincoln Library and Service Centre then it would be fit-for purpose as well as aesthetically pleasing.			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	214.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 214.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	214.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	214.8	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	The \$3.7 million budgeted for Rolleston Council office improvements should not be funded. After covid we should have greater flexibility for working from home. As for additional office spaces, why not access all the great meetings rooms in all the council owned facilities around the district? This will not only ensure these facilities are being used but also allow people working at headquarters to get out and see the district they are working for.		
Staff Recommendation	The \$3.7 million could then be used for more essential services or development, like the sports facility in Darfield, or library and council community centre in Leeston. While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	214.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Agree with proposed changes.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	214.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	It isn't possible to comment based on the information provided. What was the wording in the previous policy and what is it being changed to. The only comment I will make is that we need to ensure that mana whenua and iwi Māori become more involved in governance. This is crucial to creating a more equitable society. Their involvement needs to be more than just tokenism, it needs to allow mana whenua a voice in how we go forward as a bicultural society.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	214.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	This is not a central activity for the council. Not when our water, environment and social wellbeing should be prioritised.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy whilst there are other issues that they view as more pressing. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Submitter Number:	215	Submitter:	Campbell McClimont

Point Number	215.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	215.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	215.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	215.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: two courts minimum, its long overdue, and needs to be done to future needs of the community. One court is 10 years ago. Consider planning an indoor pool and rooms to be used for functions, meetings and shows.

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Population predictions have been taken into account as part of the budgeted 2 court build.

Submitter Number:	216	Submitter:	Krysta Lawrence
Point Number	216.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	216.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: no one asked for this community centre. We live here and we don't need it. We have many other viable options and the cost is extremely excessive. I do not understand why repairs (while expensive, still not the cost of a new build) cannot be carried out on the existing library and doctors		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Maintenance, repairs and strengthening options have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	216.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	216.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: when I was a kid we played sport outside. Yes, even in winter. Why the heck would you build a brand new one in darfield and leave the Lincoln netball courts deplated like they are!? This makes no sense		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	216.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	216.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	common sense		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	216.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	yet another waste of money		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Submitter Number:	217	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	217.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

Staff Recommendation	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	217.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	217.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 217.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	217.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	217.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Please build a gymnastics facility in Rolleston or provide land to Affinity for the creation of a purpose-built facility.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.		

Submitter Number:	218	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	218.2	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services

Comments:	Can we please have more busses to and from Leeston please to Christchurch and other places eg Methven.
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. How the wider region is serviced by PT is a matter for Environment Canterbury under the Regional Public Transport Plan.

Submitter Number:	219	Submitter:	Jeremy Walter
Point Number	219.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	220	Submitter:	Tom Manley
Point Number	220.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	220.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	220.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	220.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	220.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	221	Submitter:	Samuel Hill
Point Number	221.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: All of these options involve the outlay of capital that the council does not have. I reject the premise of the question here - the council needs to provide an option that is "no funding"
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	221.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I do not support the notion that it's the councils role or function to provide this at this time.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	221.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I do not support the notion that it's the councils role or function to provide this facility at this time.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	221.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	221.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	SDC needs to critical slash its scope and remit within the district instead of parasitising the taxpayer and delivering mediocre half-baked services without accountability. I wish to see severe austerity measures imposed on the council to ensure that rates and debt levels are kept as low as humanly possible.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	221.10	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I do not support the notion that it's the councils role or function to provide public transport services. I strongly object to the state or local providing of services that cannot operate as a functional profit-generating business that returns meaningful dividends that translates into meaningful long-term self-financing and development. I am sick		

	to death of rates rises, incompetent spending and the use of the local taxpayer as an endless pit of funding without consequence for the incompetence that has operated in local government for too long. For these reasons, I am strongly opposed to the council providing any services beyond the following areas and wish to see the scope of 'services' provided by the council seriously and meaningfully diminished. - Roading - Rubbish Collection - Water - Sewage - Consents		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. By means of national legislation Regional Councils fund and provide PT services, whereas City and District Councils provide the supporting PT infrastructure. Councils can possibly apply to establish "exempt services" which are funded directly by them beyond what can be provided by a Regional Council.		
Point Number	221.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I do not support any policies that exceed or go beyond the mandate of providing simple and essential services (roading, rubbish collection, water, sewage and consents). The current financial plan proposed by SDC sees an untenable rise in rates, whilst adopting critical amounts of debt that will burden the district and taxpayer for decades to come. It's time for austerity and a sharp reduction in services. I'm strongly opposed to 'woke' policies that see DEI or similar veins of ideological extremism pushed into local and central government. One person, one vote - regardless of their skin color or cultural heritage.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	221.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	Consolidation is critical in these times of financial hardship. I support both the closure and sale of this facility.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	221.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I only support this if a comprehensive business plan and analysis provides a strong relationship between investment and return.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	221.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	The council must modernize its platforms, but only where a successful business case can tangible generate a return-of-investment where efficiency is gleaned (i.e. reduction in staff, increase in capacity).		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	222	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	222.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	222.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	222.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	222.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	222.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	222.11 Category Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The level of details provided on the proposed changes in the document is not sufficient to form a view of any kind. The document only informs of the "changes" without explaining what those changes are going to be...
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.
Point Number	222.12 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	This is to support Affinity Gymnastics Academy, the largest sports and recreation club in the Selwyn District, in their quest for a purpose-built club facility. Affinity plays a vital role in our community, and it is imperative that they have the necessary infrastructure to continue serving their members effectively. As highlighted in their proposal, the current building used by Affinity is not suitable for their needs, particularly as a gymnastics club. This limitation hampers their ability to provide quality programs and services to their members. As a parent of young gymnasts, I know that the children have to endure high temperatures in Summer and cold in Winter during their training sessions. Affinity has demonstrated remarkable growth in revenue and membership, reflecting their significant impact on the district. The Council's previous commitment to investigate the feasibility of a new building following the 2021 Long-Term Plan was a promising step. However, it is disheartening to learn that this initiative did not progress further. Now, as the Council embarks on the 2024-2034 Long-Term Plan process, we have a renewed opportunity to address this pressing issue. I urge the Council to prioritise the provision of a council-owned building or suitable land for Affinity to develop their own facility. This investment would not only benefit the club and its members but also

Staff Recommendation	contribute positively to the overall sports and recreation landscape in our district. I strongly believe that supporting Affinity's proposal aligns with our community's values of promoting active lifestyles and providing adequate resources for our local organisations. I trust that the council will consider this submission favourably and take decisive action to support Affinity in their pursuit of a purpose-built club facility. The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	223	Submitter: Maryana Hamilton
Point Number	223.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	223.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

	2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	223.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	223.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	223.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	223.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	VERY disappointed about the continued chlorination of our water in Rolleston! Ridiculous that we pay so much money in our rates to continue having chlorination, despite prior hopes raised for		

Staff Recommendation	exemptions. Now will have to fork out thousands of dollars for filters - and still continue paying for chemical-laced water regardless! The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. Staff recommendation is that dechlorination is best achieved at a household level where costs are met by those who wish to benefit from chlorine removal.
Point Number	223.8 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	BUT need more specifics about what the council will do with the money.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy if more information is provided about how the proposed budget will be spent. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail.
Point Number	223.9 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Not at that price and NEED SPECIFIC DETAILS to understand how the money will be used exactly.
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology.

Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	224	Submitter:	Bevan Sutherland
Point Number	224.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	224.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment: Given the level of capex for leeston specific infrastructure, i would encourage you when reviewing feedback to take into account where it is coming from in the district.

Staff Recommendation

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number

224.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	224.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	224.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	224.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	it is unjustified to burden already struggling people in the district with such rates risen over the next 10 years. I would encourage you to reflect on how much i should ever bother reading this document when what you are proposing is materially different than what was proposed in the previous 10 year plan.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	224.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	this is just words. What are you planning to actually do. 1.3m can be chewed up by consultants rather quickly		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Point Number	224.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	finally the document is a succinct read but lacks the transparency of the previous long term plan which is unacceptable. Massive numbers and no detail.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. The full Long Term Plan document will be available once adopted in late June.		
Point Number	224.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	in respect of capital spend the 2021 long term plan had 687m over the 10 year period (which you have been unable to deliver). You are proposing a 111% increase on this to 1.5b in a cost of living crisis. I note that within the consultation document you state bridges are 38 percent more expensive roads and water supply systems are 27% more expensive and sewerage is 30% more expensive. Not sure how we get a 111% increase on the back of these highly debatable statistics. there is nothing in this consultation document around what you are doing to reduce costs within council, where savings can be made, what programmes are not working. That is simply unacceptable. The council seems like it is living in a bubble unaware of the economic times that ratepayers are dealing with. Review your capex, its ridiculous and prove that you have robustly reviewed current spend within council.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged. A large portion of the capital program relates to meeting the needs of a growing district. The growth related / portion of projects is funded through development contributions. The capex program has been reviewed and amended to enable deliverability.		

Submitter Number:	225	Submitter:	Alex Robinson

Point Number	225.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	225.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	225.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		

		Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000	
		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 100%	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	225.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: the council should pursue making all current and future sports facilities user funded rather than ratepayer funded.		
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	225.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
	Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	225.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	is it possible for the pool to be user funded through admission fees? If local residents were willing to pay per use and that amount could fund the pool then keeping it open would be a good idea		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	225.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	While the amount sounds high and the statement is vague I think there are substantial opportunities to both improve council services and improve revenue from the councils facilities. For example, the council has a first class sports facility and swimming pool in Rolleston that don't have any way to purchase access to or sign up for online. There would be substantial opportunities to generate revenue by selling class access, pool passes and billed memberships online. There are a number of commercially available systems to do this easily—including some developed right here in Christchurch. Implementing a facility management system like this would also substantially improve the user experience.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through		

automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	226	Submitter:	Peters Natalie
Point Number	226.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25		

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	226.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Darfield and Malvern are set to increase in population with many new subdivisions; the two court option is very much needed already.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	226.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	226.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Darfield needs an indoor pool, and Sheffield pool should remain as a summer only option. People use outdoor pools differently and the community would be worse off to only have indoor pools.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	227	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	227.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Council has no money and keeps deferring projects forward from previous 10 year plans and needs to stop. Finish projects already agreed to. Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	227.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to

	be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Council has no money and keeps deferring projects forward from previous 10 year plans and needs to stop. Finish projects already agreed to.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	227.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Council has no money and keeps deferring projects forward from previous 10 year plans and needs to stop. Finish projects already agreed to.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	227.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Council has no money and keeps deferring projects forward from previous 10 year plans and needs to stop. Finish projects already agreed to.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	227.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	227.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Council has no money and keeps deferring projects forward from previous 10 year plans and needs to stop. Finish projects already agreed to. Anything that is over the original budgeted price should be cancelled. Procrastination shouldn't cost rate payers more money because the council keeps putting projects back. Also a restructure that created 63 new jobs at the same time as a cost of living crisis hits? Unbelievable. No wonder the rates are going up fast.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	227.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Sounds like the council is bound by law change so the changes will have to be made.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	227.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Council has no money and keeps deferring projects forward from previous 10 year plans and needs to stop. Finish projects already agreed to. Business can sort out their own economic development. Council needs to stick to its core responsibilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as		

possible to benefit the whole community.

Submitter Number:	228	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	228.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	228.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

	to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	228.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park		

	furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	228.4
	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	228.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	229	Submitter:	Jason Day
Point Number	229.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: there is no need for another park in this area , public transportation need to be on rail not buses!		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury. The existing Park N Ride at the Council HQ at Rolleston is well used, including on road parking around the vicinity. Further capacity is available in the unformed area north of Kidman Street used also for the Synlait Park N Ride. The timing of the two new Rolleston Park N Rides, planned between 2029 and 2033. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		
Point Number	229.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to		

	be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: not required to build new facilities just repaire what we have		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	229.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: not required.. money iis better spent elsewhere		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	229.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: not required at this stage		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	229.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	230	Submitter:	Mandy McCaughan
Point Number	230.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: As a player and coach and ratepayer in Darfield I believe the Council needs to look at more courts at least three so that teams have areas to warm up, depending on their sports. If you fail to do this now it is only going to cost more in the future. We don't want to be like West Melton where there isn't any room to do anything. The wider Malvern area uses the sports facilities in Darfield and to offer the indoor area for them would be a huge benefit for them. I feel you need to do things right from the beginning and consult with those users as well. I would urge you to look at more than two courts for this facility.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Submitter Number:	231	Submitter:	Trudy Sykes
Point Number	231.2	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	My long-term plan for the district would be to somehow work with the council on how we keep the Selwyn huts, I do not want to see them be demolished in 15 years as the council has purposed. This is a beautiful well-kept historic large community from all walks of lives young and old that looks after and cares about each other. Residents have spent their life savings on these homes. How do you remove 96 homes with the way the housing shortage is? Where will these old residents and young families go? Emergency housing is not an option there are tens of thousands on the waiting list now let alone in 15 years. Some families have been here 4 and 5 generations. The whole hut community contributes financially to the businesses in the district. There has to be a way we can move forward together which would include managing climate change figuring out the best way for the sewage system.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024. Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		

Submitter Number:	232	Submitter:	Regan Small
Point Number	232.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 232.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

Staff Recommendation	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	232.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	232.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	232.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	232.7 Category Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	do we have any train access coming in the 10 year plan?
Staff Recommendation	No this is not expected. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.

Submitter Number:	233	Submitter: Ryan Gillespie
Point Number	233.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None	

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined
	Funding: To be determined
	Comment: dont spend any money
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	233.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: stop wasting money on maori names for a start , not everything has to be a cultural design ,

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		
Point Number	233.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	233.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: dont build it , it can wait ,		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	233.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	233.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	waste of money to have it open , close it down ,		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	233.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	what ideas do you have , nothing in that statement means anything ,		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	233.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	lots of maori stuff on that , hope we aint paying for the right to use it.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	234	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	234.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: upgrade the public transport to darfield I.E. more buses from Christchurch instead of just one		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury is responsible the 86 direct to city Metro service, which is currently justified for commuters where patronage levels are the highest. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		
Point Number	234.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting		

with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

Staff Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

234.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	234.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option		

Staff Recommendation	Comment: This will also let the schools be able to hold competition sports close to home which will allow parents some relief of running their kids all the way into town It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	234.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Comments:
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	234.6 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	each town has a right to have a pool. This is a war memorial given to the community to remember those who fought and died for NZ and the communities NZ holds. Its will be very disrespectful to close something that is ment to be a cheerish by all.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	235	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	235.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Only support this if the rates are targetted to the residents of Lincoln and Rolleston. I can't see the point in the rest of Selwyn paying for other people to have good public transport where there is virtually no way to access it for others. Oh for the railway station to be serviced.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Council could consider a targeted rate, but the facilities proposed have a wider District benefit as residents beyond those townships can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City		
Point Number	235.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It would be great to be local to the two schools in the township. If located at the rec centre, this is too far to be used during the school day for students.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	235.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	235.5	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Better connected townships with public transport bus/rail.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services can be provided across the wider District, further to what Environment		

Canterbury can provide. However it is expected these will need to be fully funded by users and ratepayers subject to further Council consideration through subsequent Annual Plan and Long-Term Plan processes. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.			
Point Number	235.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	So important to have local pools.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	236	Submitter:	Amelia Jarvis-Hall
Point Number	236.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Comments:	Point Number	Category
		236.2	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
		Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m	

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	236.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	236.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	236.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	237	Submitter: Blair Templeton
Point Number	237.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council	
Point Number	237.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment: Ellesmere is desperately lacking a space such as this

The submission is acknowledged.

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	237.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: The park is unsightly, dangerous and does not fulfill the needs of the sports clubs. Traffic needs to be removed so it is safe for all sports to play		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3. Both Options 2 & 3 will address a number of H&S concerns including traffic circulation.		
Point Number	237.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	237.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	238	Submitter:	Amy Steven
Point Number	238.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	238.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:**Staff****Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

238.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: reassess in 5 years time

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	238.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	238.6	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Every new centre / facility cost to maintain, service and staff. It is very short term thinking to spend so much money over such a short period of time (ie 20 years) building new facilities, increasing costs to rate payers so steeply, then these facilities are likely to need upgrading at the same time in the future. Why not think long term for our children - don't make it so expensive for them to live in selwyn!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	238.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Our communities cannot afford the rate hike that is being proposed. We do not <u>need</u> these things. Maintenance is important, but right now the council should be looking at <u>needs</u> ie flood protection, water etc, not huge new 'would like' facilities that we need to pay to use anyway! A large increase in rates will mean some		

Staff Recommendation	families will not be able to afford to use the facilities we already have! ie pool, subs for sport - or the fuel to get to fields. That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.

Submitter Number:	239	Submitter: Sheila Kibar
Point Number	239.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: only if funds available.	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	239.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	239.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	239.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	239.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	239.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	Please do not let Rolleston grow so much that the infrastructure is inadequate and quality of life declines.		

Staff Recommendation	Population projections are not predictions, rather they indicate probable outcomes based on assumptions. A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections. As each town grows, there is a need to understand its future, as well as upgrade its infrastructure. This is generally done through Strategic and Spatial Plans. However, as growth continues to exceed the projections, more projects are needed quicker to meet this growth.		
Point Number	239.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Positive.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	240	Submitter:	Dr Riza Kiar
Point Number	240.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: There are sufficient green areas for the next decade. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	240.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	240.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: There are sufficient green areas for the next decade.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	240.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Selwyn Sports Centre is large enough to provide additional service to community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	240.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	241	Submitter:	Jennifer Butt

Point Number	241.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	241.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

Staff Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

241.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	241.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91	
		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	241.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	241.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Would Council consider rezoning Maddisons Road to five-acre blocks? These road lies between two industrial parks and the demand is high for smaller blocks. More revenue re rates!		
Staff Recommendation	Rezoning does not occur through the Long Term Plan. The process for a rezoning is through a Plan Change. The council has recently worked through its review though there are opportunities for private plan changes. There will be processes in the future that long-term growth areas can be discussed.		

Submitter Number:	242	Submitter:	Ian Jackson
Point Number	242.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Consider using a small bus on the Lincoln Burnham run. Seldom more than a handful of passengers.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury is responsible for the 820 Metro service. There are not the savings perhaps seen as evident using smaller buses as fixed costs remain the same such as needing a driver, fuel etc. Using smaller buses risks not having the capacity on		

	those occasions when it is needed that cannot be determined easily in advance.		
Point Number	242.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29		

	2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	242.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	242.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	242.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	242.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Cycleways to be placed on all major roads into centre of towns eg Springston Rolleston Road.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Major routes follow council's walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		
Point Number	242.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Under the Social Wellbeing and Economic Wellbeing areas include the affordability of rates for low-income groups such as seniors, and people living on other benefits.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	242.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Agree with proposed changes.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	242.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Place medium density housing near park areas to provide outdoor space for residents.		
Staff Recommendation	Rezoning does not occur through the Long Term Plan. There will be processes in the future that long-term growth areas can be discussed. The Resource Management Act outlines key direction and standards relating to medium density in populated towns (through the Medium Density Residential Standards) that the council must follow. If the direction changes, council will respond accordingly. The Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, which Council approved, sets this as an overarching direction. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges.		

Submitter Number:	243	Submitter:	Anna Lee
Point Number	243.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: could sote share with high school or build adjacent to the school. Costs would be shared with ministry and bith school and community reap the benefits. Or develop a multipurpose venue so performances and shows can be hosted at the events centre Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	244	Submitter: Shannon Gilmore for Prebbleton Football Club
Organisation:	Prebbleton Football Club	
Point Number	244.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined	

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	244.3 Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Re Prebbleton. Prebbleton is lacking a community common room. It is the clubs thoughts that a common room that could be used as a clubrooms and community meeting place would be best suited at Kakaha Park stage 2. This can be funded privately if supported by council policy to implement. This space would be used during the year by the Prebbleton Football Club and could be made available to the community.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	245	Submitter: Details Withheld

Point Number	245.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	245.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: close to the high school would allow the increasing many sports teams to use full size courts, host home games. Also will be used more by groups, holiday programs can be offered		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	245.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	245.5	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Public transport between Darfield and Rolleston would be appreciated		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there, and connections to the City.		

Submitter Number:	246	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	246.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	246.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	246.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield Memorial Pool is currently used regularly by two rural primary schools, and used by the community during the opening summer time period, it is an integral part of both Sheffield and Springfield school sports education and swim learning, and the wider rural community that is not just these two visible townships/villages, the rural communities spread out to hard working Rural farms and further to our Selwyn mountain communities that also makes this their closest pool. The drive to Darfield Pools is also not 10 minutes, plus this facility is small and crowded by the use of the Darfield Community. No supporting public transport exists to accommodate age-appropriate kids to travel safely to Darfield pools or Rolleston Aquatic Centre, which makes this a safe option for many families who's children can make their own way to the pool either by walking, ride bikes, or with friends. SDC also need to consider is that this is a MEMORIAL pool, it was built in memory to the fallen in WW2. in Australia and England where I originate - we remember the fallen. Amongst the practicalities of both schools' uses, it would also seem to be incredibly disrespectful to remove this		

	resource in a rural community when it is serving its purpose for the community it was meant for.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	247	Submitter:	Jo White
Point Number	247.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	247.3	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I have great concerns about the taking away of Prebbletons Community Hall in the future with no replacement option or funding in the long term plan. Selwyn Council needs to consult the community on this. We have a hall that is well used and maintained. It is a hub for our township. Please reconsider options like strengthening the building or providing a new community centre at Kakaha park. We really appreciate a local hall and it would be well used. Thank you Jo.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26.		

A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	248	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	248.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	248.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	248.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	248.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

Estimated impact on rates (per year):	
None	
Funding:	
None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	248.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	249	Submitter:	Telly Power
Point Number	249.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: i thought the park and ride was supposed to be done a long time ago to be honest? I cant see why we can't improve services within Rolleston better at tye same time		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The existing Park N Ride at the Council HQ at Rolleston is well used, including on road parking around the vicinity. Further capacity is available in the unformed area north of Kidman Street used also for the Synlait Park N Ride. The new Park N Ride facilities at Rolleston are planned for 2029-33, at which time additional capacity will definitely be required to align with the additional PT services planned by Environment Canterbury over this time.		
Point Number	249.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment: Anything that allows better access and ability to public transport is a small win

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number

249.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development

Staff Recommendation	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None

	Funding: None	Comment: Does Malvern REALLY need this?	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	249.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	249.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	More community input		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Submitter Number:	250	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	250.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	250.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	250.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	250.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	250.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	251	Submitter:	Georg Mayr
Point Number	251.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	251.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	251.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	251.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	251.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83		

	Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	251.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	251.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	251.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	251.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	251.12	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	We need a facility for the arts. For dance, music, theater, maybe a hire photo studio,... There is so many sports facilities around already and it only keeps getting even more. But there is nothing in Selwyn for arts.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	251.13	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	We need a facility for the arts. For dance, music, theater, maybe a hire photo studio,... There is so many sports facilities around already		

Staff Recommendation	and it only keeps getting even more. But there is nothing in Selwyn for arts. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	252	Submitter: Lauren McIlroy
Point Number	252.1	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	252.2	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield pool is so important to retain as our children need somewhere to learn to swim.	

	Yes Darfield has an upgraded pool but it is already hard to find a spot for lessons with all the other schools needing to use it. It isn't big enough to support the Malvern area alone. The pool is also a war memorial with significant meaning to members of our local community. Please don't close it.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	253	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	253.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	253.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	253.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number 253.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	253.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	254	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	254.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding.		
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	254.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	254.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	254.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	254.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	254.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	I support not pursuing de-chlorination of water supplies. Unlikely to be approved, so a waste of money.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health.		
Point Number	254.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Supportive		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	255	Submitter:	Vanessa Moore

Point Number	255.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: There is a high demand for indoor facilities, for all sports & community events in the Malvern area. With the population growing by the month its important this because a priority to be able to provide sports options for everyone & with climate change indoor facilities is the perfect answer. I believe it would be a valued asset to our area.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Submitter Number:	256	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	256.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	256.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	256.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	256.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	256.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	257	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	257.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	257.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	257.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	257.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	257.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	257.7	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Many sporting codes in Lincoln due to continued growth have now outgrown their facilities and playing fields etc. This needs to be addressed by council in the long term plan.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	258	Submitter:	Tammy Chau
Point Number	258.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Instead of a park and ride, to have more bus stops available. This makes it more appealing for people to walk straight from home onto the bus		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Environment Canterbury who provides Metro Bus Services, needs to undertake more regular service reviews in Selwyn to take account of urban growth and provide additional bus routes, and therefore bus stops that would then be provided by Council. The Park N Rides primarily support the direct to City services and a township PT hub to transfer to and from.		
Point Number	258.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	258.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.			
Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	258.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	259	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	259.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 259.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	259.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	259.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	259.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	260	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	260.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: There is absolutely no point in improving the infrastructure in Rolleston unless there are more buses. I am a daily user of park and ride, and if I'm lucky enough to be let on the bus in the morning I definitely have to stand.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Additional buses were added recently to the 85 Rolleston direct to City service. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such as 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.		
Point Number	260.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	261	Submitter:	Jade Lee
Point Number	261.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	261.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%		

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	261.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	262	Submitter:	Greg Hughes
Point Number	262.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:		Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	262.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

Staff Recommendation	2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	262.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number	262.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	262.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	262.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Under the rural walking and cycling improvements the cycle way from Rolleston to West Melton needs to be extended to Kirwee and to Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Major routes follow council's walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		

Submitter Number:	263	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	263.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	263.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: If the Leeston building is Earthquake prone, why are we still using it now? Why are we awaiting to do upgrades in 10 years, if staff and the community are using it now it obviously isn't a risk or concern for the council.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Maintenance, repairs and strengthening issues and options have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	263.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	263.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

Staff Recommendation	Funding: None Comment: We do not need another community recreation and sports centre in Darfield. The one we have is not utilised fully now. We have hired it a few times now, and it needs a total overhaul, it is very dated. Maybe if we were to update it inside, more of the community would use it. We also have the High School and Primary School that have facilities which could be hired out to groups or sports clubs, this would also bring in much needed income for the schools. We are all having to budget and reduce our spending, the council needs to do the same. We don't always need the big fancy buildings, if we just kept the ones we have in good repair. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Submitter Number:	264	Submitter: Courtney Williamson
Point Number	264.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%	

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 264.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Comment: The only reason I didn't pick option two is that I feel I would need to see data on usage or proposed uptake of usage of new facilities		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Activity growth has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	264.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	264.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	264.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	265	Submitter: Stephanie Woods
Point Number	265.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council	
Point Number	265.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [	
Point Number	265.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	265.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	265.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	265.7	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Lincoln town plan - this desperately needs to go ahead to improve Gerald Street safety. Would be more than happy to pay increased rates to facilitate this.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	266	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	266.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	266.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	266.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This pool services not only the school but the whole community i have had many many special times at this pool and i want my kids and their kids to be able to do the same.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	267	Submitter:	Grant McLroy
Point Number	267.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	267.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: The outlying areas north-west of the main road, require an even spread of new infrastructure allowing these areas to grow accordingly with the younger generation benefiting.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	267.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?		

	No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	267.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	We have to support our smaller villages in the Malvern district the Sheffield pool is a great asset to the school and the community we often take our grandchildren to the pool and we see, that it is a focal area for the youth and the families of Sheffield and wider areas to connect making Sheffield a caring community while helping with the personal well being and looking after each other if you take the pool away you will lose this community spirit.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	268	Submitter:	Steve Free
Point Number	268.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 268.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: I would prefer the option of a standardised building, it does not need to be anything too fancy, but I believe the size of the current library is sufficient.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	268.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	268.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	268.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	268.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Community funding /grants
Comments:	As a member of the community deeply invested in preserving our heritage and fostering cultural enrichment, I am writing to express my strong support for allocating more funding towards heritage and culture in the council long-term plan. Heritage and culture are vital components of our community's identity, shaping our collective narrative and enriching the lives of residents and visitors alike. However, I have observed that current funding levels for heritage and cultural initiatives are inadequate to meet the growing needs of our community. Investing in heritage preservation not only safeguards our past but also lays the foundation for a vibrant future.		

Preserving historic sites, monuments, and artifacts ensures that future generations can connect with their roots and understand the journey that has led us to where we are today.

Additionally, supporting cultural programs and events fosters a sense of belonging and inclusivity, celebrating the diverse tapestry of traditions and customs that make our community unique.

By allocating more resources to heritage and culture, the council can:

Preserve Our Legacy: Funding will enable the conservation and restoration of important heritage sites, ensuring they remain accessible for generations to come.

Cultivate Cultural Understanding: Increased support for cultural programs will promote cross-cultural exchange, fostering empathy, understanding, and appreciation for diverse perspectives.

Stimulate Economic Growth: Heritage tourism and cultural events have the potential to drive economic growth by attracting visitors, creating job opportunities, and supporting local businesses.

Enhance Community Well-being: Engagement with heritage and culture has been shown to have positive effects on mental health and well-being, fostering a sense of pride and connectedness within the community.

I urge the council to prioritize heritage and culture in the long-term plan by allocating additional funding to support initiatives in these areas. By doing so, we can ensure that our community remains vibrant, resilient, and culturally rich for generations to come.

**Staff
Recommendation**

Council will consider community funding which includes support for heritage and cultural activities through its deliberations.

Council acknowledges the importance of promoting and protecting heritage as it improves community wellbeing by connecting people and building the identity of Waikirikiri Selwyn. In 2023 Council approved the Selwyn Strategic Heritage Plan 2023-2027. The purpose of the Heritage Plan is to ensure there is a focus on improving support for heritage activities and supporting the work of local history groups and residents who are strongly motivated to collect, protect and enhance local place-based heritage.

	Council has two community heritage funds as part of a wider community funding programme. These are: • Community History Fund which is to support kaitiaki (guardians, conservators, and protectors) communities and community groups for various history projects (and the operating costs of community history groups). • Heritage Protection Fund which is to encourage and assist owners with work required to maintain and enhance heritage buildings in the District as well as work required on protected trees. Cultural activities can be supported via the Selwyn Community Fund and Selwyn Creative Communities Scheme. More information is available on the Council's website at https://www.selwyn.govt.nz/services/funding-And-grants

Submitter Number:	269	Submitter:	Sophie Stuart
Point Number	269.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Ideally it would be great to have the funding from Waka Kotahi, but the cost to rates is not that much for the second option. This infrastructure MUST be built now, the public transport system in Lincoln is off-putting to use. This would ensure that I feel more confident using public transport in the future.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury. The timing of the two new Rolleston Park N Rides is planned between 2029 and 2033.		
Point Number	269.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28		

	2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	269.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option		

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	269.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: I think its important to improve sport access in the smaller communities. But hope that more funds do go into lincoln		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	269.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	269.8	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	I strongly support the council continues to include iwi in all stages of council decision making as guided by principles of te tiriti o waitangi.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	269.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	I support the chlorination of the water and fluoridation.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health. The submitters preference to fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. The cost to fluoridate supplies is estimated at \$7.9m over the LTP period. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health.		
Point Number	269.10	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	I support the chlorination of the water and fluoridation.		

Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health. The submitters preference to fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. The cost to fluoridate supplies is estimated at \$7.9m over the LTP period. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health.		
Point Number	269.11	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	I also want to ensure water is free from nitrates.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	269.12	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I strongly support rural walking and cycling improvements. I use the little river rail trail all the time as I am an endurance athlete. I hope that there could be a cycle trail that connects Arthurs pass to the east coast. as well as the creation of new cycle trails anywhere!!!!!! I would be great if there was a cycle trail that went from Haswell to Lincoln along Ellesmere road, as this road is incredibly dangerous for cyclists or people running the roads.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Major routes follow council's walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		
Point Number	269.13	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	I strongly support Lincoln town upgrades - we are overrun at the moment and hope that another supermarket could be built.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	269.14	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	It would be great also to do soft plastic kerb recycling too.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. The machinery at the recycling processing plant where Canterbury's kerbside recycling is sent to cannot process soft plastics. The Packaging Forum have their own separate soft plastics recycling scheme with drop-off points located at a number of supermarkets. You can find your closest drop-off point on their website: https://www.recycling.kiwi.nz/store-locator		
Point Number	269.16	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I strongly support ensuring that there is a strengthened partnership with mana whenua. I support everything else within the policies.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	269.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	This is imperative to do now so the SDC is not behind technologically.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	269.18	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	I strongly support the council commits to prioritizing and enduring that climate change is at the core of all planning and decision making processes.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate		

Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions.
The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges.
The Council is also developing an Emissions Plan and Sustainability Plan to identify actions into the future.

Submitter Number:	270	Submitter:	Nick Hallett
Point Number	270.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	270.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option		

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	270.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	270.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	270.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	270.8	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC

Comments:	With the rapid addition of new builds by developers, SDC should 100% be seeking additional DC's from developers to fund Community Facilities, but also increasing/new models for the DC's for Infrastructure e.g. roads (not just within a few hundred meters' from developments, need to consider the wider impact on our trunk roads)/ 5 waters/ and waste facilities that all are impacted as the population increases. Growth needs to be controlled (throttled) more in line with current Infrastructure. We need more satellite Commercial Zones (particularly in Rolleston/Lincoln) to reduce need to travel to the Town Centers.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure. In terms of development contributions for other infrastructure, Council does take development contributions for headworks for five waters and upgrades to the transportation network where demand from growth is contributing to the need to undertake the works. More satellite commercial areas have been identified in the growth plans (Outline Development Plans) in many of the proposed new developments in both Lincoln and Rolleston and will be provided as those developments proceed.		
Point Number	270.9	Category	Activity > Strategic Investment Strategy
Comments:	Strategic Investment Strategy is Critical, we need to be think more commercially around revenue streams, partnerships, and investments to offset the doubling of rates over the next 10 years. Do we look at joint funding/sponsorships of our facilities, more onus on developers to co-fund/build facilities outside of DC structures.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. Council's strategic investments are based around building a strategy that will meet the needs of our communities and our businesses to thrive in the medium to long-term period.		
Point Number	270.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	270.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Fully supportive		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	270.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	The LTP is lacking a strategy on how we can move Selwyn forward as a tourist location, how we celebrate our natural environment more (aside from cycleway plan), encourage arts etc etc		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Submitter Number:	271	Submitter:	Matt Harris
Point Number	271.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

	to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	271.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	271.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	271.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	271.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	This (more buses) is a poor cousin out come to against the light rail / MRT that was promised for the area - Look at how beautifully the Hutt connects to Wellington with the rail cars, they are different adjoining councils as well but they clearly work together better that Greater Christchurch does - We have perfect flat land between Rolleston and CHCH / Hornby also comparing to the rugged coast line they built their rail network on, clearly much more forward thinking up there.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. Councils planned Park N Ride facilities together with additional express and direct bus services will be critical to make these connections to the MRT service. The MRT Business Case process has yet to determine what mode MRT		

services will take i.e. rail or bus.			
Point Number	271.9	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	2. Turn the footpath on Goulds from Longore Rd to Dynes Rd to shared cycle path extending the ability to cycle from Suth-west Rolleston to the town center 3.Create a shared path along Brenley Drive, Fairburn Rd, Marliece St and Hepburn place to link to the Gould Rd shared path that runs in to central Rolleston 4.There are a lot of food shops in central Rolleston / Tennyson St but in my opinion there isn't much foot traffic, I think this is because the layout of the town and the lack of easy cycle options from some areas forces people into their cars, and then once in the car they keep driving into CHCH. I think what Rolleston needs is research in how to get more people walking in town		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has a footpath extension program to cover missing links. Major routes follow the walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		
Point Number	271.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	5. I'm concerned a mistake might have been made allowing development of apartment / high density town house living in the South-west of Rolleston (Farrington Oval) I might be (really hope I am) mistaken but it appears the only amenity will be a solitary café - I don't think people can live like that, Five Mile in Queenstown comes to mind as an example of Apartments built alongside a shopping center in a complimentary way giving the apartment dwellers the amenities on the doorstep that they need - in five mile they may be cooped up in an apartment but on the other hand they can get Korean fried chicken or a host of other shopping options in less than 2 minute walk, I hope I am mistaken but from the plans I've seen it looks like Selwyn is pioneering rural apartment living which is a bold trend I don't think anyone asked for – the proposed apartments are a long way from the new town center where I feel you would sensibly want them.		

Staff Recommendation	Faringdon Oval contains a neighbourhood centre, which will have a range of shops. There are other opportunities for commercial development within Faringdon as well.		
Point Number	271.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	7.Plant Trees every where you can in Rolleston - can you plant more trees on existing streets? - how about getting residents involved in planting drives on their streets? I think this is a key problem for the character of Rolleston - So few trees it's either too way windy or excessively hot - when a new development goes in the first thing taken out is the shelter belt - I think we need more trees on our streets - if you're worried about maintenance there plenty of NZ natives around that won't drop their leaves in winter... Could residents / schools literally help plant the new park?		
Staff Recommendation	Council recognises the importance of tree canopy cover within urban areas for the many benefits trees provide. Council is working towards setting targets for tree canopy cover within its urban areas through reviewing its tree policy, as well as strategic work related to a Blue Green Network plan. Funding has been allocated within the 2024-34 LTP through an 'urban greening' fund via the general Township general ledger.		
Point Number	271.12	Category	Activity > Rolleston Transport Improvements
Comments:	6. Push the case for light rail / a system like the Hutt / Wellington line - I used that rail line all the time when I lived in the Hutt valley, you'll never catch me dead on a bus.		
Staff Recommendation	The proposed multi modal Rolleston Flyover will provide connectivity between Councils planned Rolleston Park N Ride sites and improve bus access and routing across SH1 to PT key routes.		
Point Number	271.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	1. The new Community facility needs to be for Affinity Gymnastics (noting in draft LTP you mention putting a new facility in South-West Rolleston.)		

	In the last long term plan the Council agreed to build a new Gymnastics facility to lease to Affinity, the scope for this was to be prepared by December 2021. The Mayor even mentioned the new Gymnastic facility in his introduction of the 2021. The council has fully funded the construction of sport facilities for other sports, soccer fields to Fifa pro standard, astro turfs and softball diamonds and these are fully maintained by the council. Affinity is the largest sports club in Selwyn in terms of revenue, it is not for profit and is a registered charity – in terms of total membership it is second only to the Soccer club. There are 700 registered members of all ages, our 3-year-old son is one of them. The club currently operates in a commercial warehouse that is not fit for purpose in the industrial area. Affinity has always been prepared to pay a commercial lease to the council for a fit for purpose facility, The current facility is teeming with families every morning, Detroit Dr out in the industrial area is the busiest part of town on a Sunday morning, busier than the town center, sometimes food vendors set their trailers up out there to cater to the crowd cramming into the Gymnastics classes - you should move that activity closer to bricks and mortar hospitality businesses. Further, I think such a facility provides an even greater opportunity, Affinity is keen to share the building with other disciplines. Perhaps we could also have a clip and climb here? What about a foam pit for aspiring ski jumpers to toss themselves in to, a fencing club? NZ's top Cyclists move to Invercargill for their velodrome in pursuit of their Olympic dream. Perhaps Selwyn with a suitable facility could become the desirable place to live for our aspiring future athletes Staff Recommendation The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.
Point Number	271.14 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	I think something needs to be done to improve foot traffic in the new central area, at times the area just seems dead. I feel like Rolleston has been allowed to grow in a way that encourages people to get in to their cars, e.g. the new apartment / townhouses in Farigndon Oval will be right on the far edge of town 40+ minutes walk to the town centre, to get anywhere they will have to get in cars and once in the car they might not stop till they are in CHCH. Further, in town all the restaurants are in one area, the cloths shops in another and tech shops somewhere else, you don't casually walk past the thai resturant on your way to Noel Leeming, they are a 20 minute walk apart, I think people "wander around" more when they are window shopping / browsing a variety of shops / when this diverse variety of shops are close and easy walking distance together. Can you improve cycle access from the new subdivision in South West rolleston to the central business area, ie. extend the shared path further down Goulds Rd? For example when I think of the new town centre at the moment I think restaurants and Library, I wouldn't wander around there I would drive there if I explicitly want to eat out of get a book, I think the resturants there would do better if there was a mixture of shopping on that block, that area really needed to throw a macpac/ jbhifi and a few others in there and get some people window shopping until they start thinking about lunch.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning and comments have been noted by relevant team.

Submitter Number:	272	Submitter:	Jacasta Brown
Point Number	272.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	272.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	272.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	272.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	272.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	273	Submitter: Ellen Cavanagh
Point Number	273.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding	

Point Number	273.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	273.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	273.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	273.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	275	Submitter:	Tom Black
Point Number	275.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	275.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	275.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	275.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	275.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	276	Submitter:	Natalia Rietveld
Point Number	276.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	276.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	276.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The darfield pool, with the latest upgrades, is not large enough to cater for the needs of a rapidly growing Darfield let alone the wider community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	277	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	277.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	278	Submitter:	Lucy Mahuika

Point Number	278.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	278.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number 278.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	278.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	278.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	I most definitely do not support leaving chlorine in Darfield and other areas water. Our water was totally fine prior to the chlorine being added and the safe drinking standards thresholds are way to low.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		

Point Number	278.8	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	No to fluoride being added to our drinking water.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	278.9	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	It would be great to have a more regular bus service from Darfield to Christchurch or to Rolleston. Bus would be great in the weekends too from Darfield to Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there including connections to the City.		

Submitter Number:	279	Submitter:	Jill Gordon
Point Number	279.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		
	Funding: To be determined		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	279.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	279.4	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:			
Please do not carry out the intended upgrade to Lincoln Centre. The plan was consulted on with the community several years ago and is now out of date. The plan does not take into account the impact Lincoln South and other subdivisions are having on the centre of Lincoln and traffic movements. It is also incredibly expensive and does not address the traffic flow issues currently being experienced. Common sense needs to be applied. Lincoln needs a speed restriction through the village like Prebbleton. It needs pedestrian crossings so elderly can safely access the services and businesses in the township. It needs some form of traffic control on the streets leading onto Gerald St so that residents can actually get out onto Gerald St without long waits.			
Staff Recommendation	The type of outcomes the submitter seeks are part of the plans for the upgrade of Gerald St. This includes speed restrictions, signalised intersections and safe pedestrian crossing points. The upgrade has become more relevant with the additional traffic generated by growth as the current road pavement has been a state of failure for some time and needs to be replaced.		

Point Number	279.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Also with all of the capital projects being put forward, the landscaping component needs to be rationalised. The new sports park at Prebbleton is an example of an over planted landscape which results in driving up maintenance budgets. With SDC stating rates need to go up, there needs to be cost cutting at the project level with a view to the impact the project will have on ongoing maintenance.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff are aware of the need to balance the quality and extent of new plantings with ongoing maintenance requirements. As part of the process in preparing landscape plans for new park developments they are guided by the Engineering Code of Practice and reviewed in terms of maintenance efficiencies for both design and plant selection.		

Submitter Number:	280	Submitter:	Peter Joyce
Point Number	280.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	280.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	280.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 280.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	280.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	280.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	i think the SDC needs to be more active in addressing climate change, including resilience to extreme weather events, and in reversing biodiversity loss. These are of major global significance, and all Councils need to be more actively addressing these 'big' issues.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017, this being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The council has a Climate Change Policy, as well as an emissions target to assist with the development of the LTP. The Activity and Asset Management Plans with this LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to climate change and emission reduction.		

Submitter Number:	281	Submitter:	Jason Clemens

Point Number	281.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	281.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	281.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	281.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: please do this properly, we have already seen enough half ass builds in the district. Needs to be 2 x full size basketball/netball courts to get the value out of it.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	281.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	281.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	agree with not seeking chlorination exemptions, seems like a costly exercise for reverting back to the previous risk of unchlorinated water.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health.		

Submitter Number:	282	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	282.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	282.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	282.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	282.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	282.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	282.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	When I moved here there was no chlorine in the water, it was since added when we didn't want it and now if we want it not added you are going to charge a large some of money, annually.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	282.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	It seems the only we you plan to pay for things is to raise rates. Please look into other ways to fund maintenance, improvements and projects. The ratepayers aren't an endless source of money.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	283	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	283.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	283.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: leeston doesnt need anything fancy. Rolleston is the hub. Dont want to pay for things in leeston when i live in rolly		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	283.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	283.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	283.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	284	Submitter:	Adam O'Neill
Point Number	284.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	284.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	284.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	284.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	284.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	285	Submitter:	Holly Johnstone
Point Number	285.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	285.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

Staff Recommendation	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	285.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	285.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	285.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	285.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I'll get the job done for 250k, call me 0273014234		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	286	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	286.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	286.2
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	286.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	286.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	286.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	287	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	287.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Point Number	287.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	287.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	287.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I think there is more of a need to have more facilities closer to Rolleston and Lincoln for indoor courts. This would then mean they could be used in the selwyn competitions for weekend netball as there is not enough courts for the size of the region.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	287.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	287.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Makes sense to use Darfield pool and to use upkeep money on the Darfield pool in the future		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	288	Submitter:	Daryl Streat
Point Number	288.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	288.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	288.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	288.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	288.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	288.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	288.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31		

	2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	288.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	288.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	288.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	288.12	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	the level of investment in lincoln, including the main access roads in and out of the town, has been woefully inadequate. Now that the proposed Lincoln South plan is going ahead, the town will grow at a rapid pace for an even longer period of time. The existing infrastructure and amenities are already strained, it pains me to think what will happen if more subdivisions go ahead without addressing this infrastructure deficit. The Lincoln Town Plan was taken up almost a decade ago, and still nothing has happened. If lincoln is viewed by SDC as one if the primary towns in the district, then it is about time that it showed this with some serious infrastructure investment. Perhaps also consider flood planning for the new subdivisions that get built... The planning done for recently completed subdivisions seems woefully inadequate given the flooding in the past 2 years.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway . The extension of Meijer Dr to Boundary Road, along with the creation of adjoining reserves, is planned in 2025/26 budgeted at \$3.5M. In conjunction with this in 2026/27 a \$4M Park N Ride Facility to cater for improved public transport services planned by Environment Canterbury will be constructed opposite the Lincoln Events Centre		

Submitter Number:	289	Submitter:	RAYMOND GOLDRING
Point Number	289.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	289.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	As part of the "Environmental Well Being" element of the LTP - there is a need to fund the removal of pest conifers (D. Fir & larch) as per the Regional Pest Management Plan. These wilding seed-source trees are located on SDC reserves in Castle Hill Village. They are currently spreading their seed onto adjacent private properties which is contrary to the requirements of the RPMP. The seed from these trees are spreading onto farmland which has had considerable tax-payer funds and effort used to remove wilding seedlings from this land. Currently, the Waimakariri Ecological & Landscape Restoration Alliance (WELRA), ECan and MPI have spent in excess of \$10.000,000 in the Waimakariri Basin to remove wildings from that catchment. The pest trees on SDC reserves in Castle Hill Village are one of the few remaining sources of this wilding-spread. Commitment to undertake the removal work and securing the funds need to be urgently considered and implemented.		
Staff Recommendation	Council recognizes the environmental risks of weed spread from all conifers in the high country, including those on Council reserves in the Castle Hill village (whilst noting the significant stands of conifers on other land surrounding the village). As such Council staff have been working with village residents and ECan to remove pines from the Council reserves and look at planting suitable native plants to provide form and cover. All the contorta species have been removed and a draft Reserve Management Plan has been prepared to guide management of the reserves. Staff agree that suitable Council funding should be available to complete the pine removal and associated replanting project.		

Submitter Number:	290	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	290.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: By the same logic that the Sheffield pool is closing and residents can just drive 10min to Darfield to use the pool, so too could the Leeston residents could just drive 20min to use Foster Park and Te Ara Atea. Community buildings should prioritise function over form and wasting ratepayers money on architectural excess. A floor to ceiling glass atrium or some cultural narrative have never been a deciding factor for me to go to a library of use a rec centre.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Point Number	290.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	290.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Darfield's location and existing facilities make it the central meeting point for most of Malvern, it makes sense to develop facilities here in proximity to their catchment, instead of building facility after facility concentrated in Rolleston & Lincoln. The greater Christchurch belt of Selwyn has easy access to multiple facilities and options.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	290.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	290.8	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Could the park-n-ride facilities have some way to give non-Lincoln and non-Rolleston residents priority in their use. Potential commuters from Tai Tapu, Kirwee, West Melton etc have no internal bus routes that link to either of these bigger towns, so have no option than to drive there to catch the public transport.		
Staff Recommendation	That would not be possible to give satellite townships priority. However, the facilities will be sized to provide sufficient capacity for satellite township use as well.		
Point Number	290.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Borrowing Levels
Comments:	Disagree with the increase to the borrowing limit from 160% of revenue to 220% of revenue. Disagree that council thinks rate increase limits of 4x16% then 6x10% (124% total increase potential over 10 years) can be justified. Only options given for feedback are whether or not to build new stuff, where are the options to reduce service levels in some areas? eg could we accept mowing the grass in parks every 4 weeks instead of every 3 weeks. Could we heat the swimming pools 0.5 a degree less? Can we switch to sweeping our own gutters on alternate rounds to the trucks...		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	290.11	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Give the community halls you want to close back to the local residents who council confiscated them from in the first place. Leave it to the community if they want them to fund them.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council has previously determined it needs to take a direct, future focused, and whole of district approach to planning for community centres and reserves across the district. Council has determined it needs to take more direct operational oversight of how centres and halls operate to ensure compliance with relevant legislation, improved access (not limited to certain groups communities as previously) and to better manage costs. Reasons behind Council taking increased responsibility in regard to Council Committee managed centres / reserves include poor levels of facility maintenance, underutilisation by residents of centres and halls, little / no revenue generation returned to Council, and problems for Council controlling the nature and cost of		

	the invoices generated by Committees under the previous arrangements.		
Point Number	290.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Council needs to follow the same catchment geography to all proposed developments.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	290.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Costings not provided, targets not defined or tied to drivers that are not under councils control. Sounds like a slush fund for marketing consultants. The proposed \$9m would fund the majority of one of the "big decision" projects with a demonstrable beneficial output.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy without more information provided. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. There will be a strategy action plan formed.		
Point Number	290.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Costings not provided, targets not defined. Sounds like a slush fund for digital consultants. The proposed \$1.3m/yr would fund the majority of one of the "big decision" projects with a demonstrable beneficial output.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with		

	more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	290.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Why not divest the gravel pits if they make a yearly loss totalling \$2m over 10 years. Why not divest the forestry if they make a yearly loss totalling \$1m over 10 years. Rental housing is making a \$50k loss over the coming 10 years, divest them		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged by Council. Council is working through a process to divest gravel reserves that are surplus to requirements. This is expected to generate around \$1.17 million in revenue. This is a long-term process that is reliant on the Department of Conservation being resourced to undertake the Reserves Act disposal procedures. Council is also transferring the management of some pits for clean fill under lease agreements. With over 200 gravel reserves there is still a requirement to look after the remaining sites and to undertake remediation works so they can be used for an alternative purpose. Forestry Blocks have also been considered for divestment (noting that some are located on Gravel Reserves). This is also a long-term process as Council has had to replant many blocks that were de-stocked as a result of wind damage, and they need to reach a certain age before they can be considered for disposal to avoid ETS liabilities. Because of the relatively young age of the forest there is minimal harvesting to occur over the 10-year plan period. Forestry has mainly been used as a land management tool for land that has few other uses and because of the block sizes (mostly less than 2 hectares) production forestry with reasonable returns is not viable. Rental housing is mainly comprised of houses purchased on land for strategic purposes and these properties will be progressively developed or sold over time and are rented as an interim measure to offset costs. There are some legacy houses that are located on reserve (mostly on Crown land) that cannot be readily sold and are rented to obtain revenue to offset costs.		

Point Number	290.18	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	How can 1 roundabout cost more to build than an entire park-n-ride facility?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Cost for infrastructure works includes land acquisition and construction. They are largely dependent on market conditions.		
Point Number	290.19	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Don't spend the \$700,000 for District and Township Signage Implementation 2026/27 bilingual entry signage to township and District Boundaries. Google maps tells me when I'm at my destination, not some flakey sign hidden by overgrown plantings.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	291	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	291.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Comments:	Point Number	Category
		291.2	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	291.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	291.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	

Point Number	291.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	291.8	Category	Activity > Fees and Charges
Comments:	Also, save money by not ticketing people for how they park outside their own houses.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	291.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Can you please add more lights to the far end of foster park rugby fields, provide more parking and prune the trees next to fields 7 & 8 so they can get enough sunlight to not turn into a bog. This rugby club has grown so quickly and could really do with the council support.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The sports lighting programme was prepared on a priority basis with a number of factors considered including health and safety, condition, lighting performance, and utilisation levels. The Foster Park lighting extension is scheduled for years 2027/28 and 2031/32. Car park capacity at Foster Park has been an issue and, in response to this, a budget has been set aside for additional parking at Foster Park including along the Goulds Road frontage (\$1.5 million in 2025/26). An ongoing budget for tree maintenance and management is provided at Foster Park and Reserves Operations staff will check		

the trees noted in the submission to establish what work is required to reduce shading.

Submitter Number:	292	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	292.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	292.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	292.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.

		Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000
		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates
		Funding: Rates: 100%
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	292.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	292.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an	

Staff Recommendation		economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	292.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	In terms of roading on SH1 Rolleston: Don't allow subdivision to progress between Dunns crossing road, Burnham road and Burnham school road without first planning several points of entry and exit to SH1 in this area. This will alleviate pressure on the SH1/Walkers/ Dunn's crossing intersection and reduce likelihood of accident. It will also reduce traffic past West Rolleston school on Dunns crossing road where most parents drop their children off..		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff notes the need for coordinated transport and land use planning, and coordination with NZTA.		

Submitter Number:	293	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	293.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Leston is a very small community, Selwyn has alot of these. Growing up in residential Manukau Auckland, quite a big place, but at the time no community facilities other than a library on a floor of the shopping centre. (but no upkeep to pay, because they're renting it). I had to travel to Papatoeroe 30 mins away or Manurewa, (both older suburbs) just to go to the nearest Tennis club. The church, other club rooms, primary school or high school can be used for clubs to hire space during hours they're sitting empty. Or if schools are smart about it, even suring class time when staff rooms or librarys are sitting empty. Thats what we did, sports, crafts and music lessons, brownies were at various schools or other venues after hours.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The proximity to other facilities and services has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	293.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
	Point Number	293.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Darfield has a high school, the community can utilise that for indoor sports. The gym and or hall can be hired out. We need to start thinking smarter. Also the government pays for works to schools, not rate payers, and then you have no upkeep costs either. Its a small community, why double up on facilites, when existing ones sit empty alongside.

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	293.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: . Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	293.8	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	a public bus shelter at west melton school would be nice. Theres about 30 people that wait every morning for the bus there, and no shelter		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. This can be considered in Councils annual Bus Stop Upgrade Programme		
Point Number	293.9	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Leston is a very small community, Selwyn has alot of these. Growing up in residential Manukau Auckland, quite a big place, but at the time no community facilities other than a library on a floor of the shopping centre. (but no upkeep to pay, because they're renting it). I had to travel to Papatoeroe 30 mins away or Manurewa, (both older suburbs) just to go to the nearest Tennis club. The church, other club		

	rooms, primary school or high school can be used for clubs to hire space during hours they're sitting empty. Or if schools are smart about it, even during class time when staff rooms or libraries are sitting empty. That's what we did, sports, crafts and music lessons, brownies were at various schools or other venues after hours.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	293.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Borrowing Levels
Comments:	you are intending to borrow significant larger sums of money. How are you intending to pay that back with rising interest rates? Looks like spending is out of control. The more you create the more you have to maintain.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		
Point Number	293.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	you are a council providing services to the community. Not sure how this fits into businesses? That's what local business groups are doing, then they come to you with proposals. When you're cutting projects like proposing to close Sheffield pool, or Leeston community centre, this money is better spent on tangible things, not ideas.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has made reference to Council not having a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Comments have been noted by relevant team.		

Point Number	293.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	why don't you talk to other councils, see what works for them. Or better yet pair up and use the same system. No need to explore and waste money and reinvent the wheel just do it based on something already proven to work for the same type of organisation elsewhere		
Staff Recommendation	We are collaborating with other Councils within our region on technology solutions.		
Point Number	293.14	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	footpath down West Melton road is great, looking forward to be able to go for walks and bike rides		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff notes the support for the recently completed West Melton to Rolleston Cycleway.		
Point Number	293.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	Rather than each council spending money individually coming up with an engagement process, why don't you get together with like minded councils and put together a joint document, to share consultant costs for putting this together		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	294	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	294.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I prefer Option 1 but given the uncertainty in funding from NZTA, I choose Option 3. However, we don't have to wait until the next Long Term Plan if funding from NZTA is approved earlier. Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	294.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	294.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: Option 2 at this point but with additional trees and walking paths. It's a middle ground between Option 2 and Option 3 as the other extras at this point.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and staff acknowledge the support for some addition features outlined in Option 3.		
Point Number	294.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	294.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	294.9	Category Activity > Chlorination
Comments:		1. I support chlorination and flouridation in our water supply and at the same time considering low nitrate water sources.
Staff Recommendation		The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health. The submitters preference to fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. The cost to fluoridate supplies is estimated at \$7.9m over the LTP period. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health.

The submitters preference is for low nitrate water sources. Staff recommendation is to undertake further investigations into centralised sources of low nitrate water as budgeted in the LTP.			
Point Number	294.10	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	1. I support chlorination and flouridation in our water supply and at the same time considering low nitrate water sources.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	294.11	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	1. I support chlorination and flouridation in our water supply and at the same time considering low nitrate water sources.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health. The submitters preference to fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. The cost to fluoridate supplies is estimated at \$7.9m over the LTP period. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health. The submitters preference is for low nitrate water sources. Staff recommendation is to undertake further investigations into centralised sources of low nitrate water as budgeted in the LTP.		
Point Number	294.12	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	2. Although not included in the plan, cycling paths connecting Leeston and the rest of Ellesmere to the the major transport hubs like Lincoln should be considered in the future. Leeston Road with cars running at 100Km/h is not safe for sharing with bikers. This stretch of road is now busy especially with the increased population in Leeston and Southbridge.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Major routes follow council's walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		
Point Number	294.13	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	3. I agree that organics kerbside collection should be made compulsory. However, there should be an option for a smaller organics bin as some households do not need the 240L bin, especially because they are collected weekly.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. There are no immediate plans to offer a smaller organics bin, however, this will be considered in more detail closer to when the compulsory collection takes effect. A smaller organics bin would likely not be less expensive than a 240L as the cost is associated more with the provision of the service, and less with the processing of the collected material.		
Point Number	294.14	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Information provided in pp 54-55 of the consultation document is not sufficient for me to make an informed decision.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.		

Submitter Number:	295	Submitter:	Gary Martini
Point Number	295.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Just fix the roof Thats all it needs and will only cost a small amount ... Common Sense . Also, stick with ENGLISH names for all buildings. Staff Recommendation The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders. Building

condition, remedial repairs and seismic strengthening works have been taken into account in relation to this matter.			
Point Number	295.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	295.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: There is already a sports centre at Darfield, plus a second one at Kirwee...Remember, you acn not spend money you have not got and continue on the road to bankrupting rate payers who have no way of fighting back .		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	295.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	295.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The SDC, like all local bodies in NZ, need to tighten their belts (just like we are all forced to do at present) and stop: 1. Hiring more & more staff which is the biggest Operational expense you have, continually blowing out . With technology available, like other large organisations you should be able to do more with less staff..		

Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	With the rapid growth of the district the workload has increased significantly. The Council has endeavoured to keep the headcount to an optimal level.		
Point Number	295.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	What is wrong with what you already have ..		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	295.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	Listen to the rate payers, although as many suspect, the planning decisions have already been made and this type of public consultation is purely a PR exercise.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	296	Submitter:	Stephen Vogel
Point Number	296.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 296.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	296.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	296.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	296.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the	

	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	296.7	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Honestly, i just came here to say how poorly the Selwyn treats Lincoln. I understand rates increases but 16% is on the nose when all SDC does is carve up the land for more rate payers to milk and for what? A park and ride which is just a car park. It takes over an hour to get to town on the bus anyway so why would anyone bother. 16 years I have been in Lincoln and i have seen it turned from a nice village to a sprawling mass of homes with no infrastructure to match. Now with the proposed 60% increase in housing to be built it will become unliveable. Progress is fine but 16% to systematically destroy Lincoln while simultaneously using its residents as a cash cow will ultimately see us leave the area. The schools, the roads, the doctors, everything is jam packed. So either invest in Lincoln and we will pay our fair share or continue down the current path of turning Lincoln in to housing estate. I used to be proud to say i lived in Lincoln. But not anymore, its all been down hill since Sam Broughton came along.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will be starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. The extension of Meijer Dr to Boundary Road, along with the creation of adjoining reserves, is planned in 2025/26 budgeted at \$3.5M. In conjunction with this in 2026/27 a \$4M Park N Ride Facility to cater for improved public transport services planned by Environment Canterbury will be constructed opposite the Lincoln Events Centre. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury. The district is fortunate to have such a great Mayor.		

Submitter Number:	297	Submitter: Jude Will

Point Number	297.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Submitter Number:	298	Submitter:	Jude O'Connor
Point Number	298.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	298.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	298.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	298.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	299	Submitter:	Paul Watson
Point Number	299.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Clearly co-funding from Waka Kotahi is preferable but if this is not forthcoming then 100% rate funding the park and ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston and upgrading the public transport infrastructure is preferable to delaying to the next long term plan. We can't keep on putting off important infrastructure that will help reduce green house emissions and make our roads safer. It would be great to see the Council advocating and budgetting for light rail (mass rapid transport) from Rolleston to Christchurch		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. Council submitted for the new Government Policy Statement for Transport that MRT should extend out to Rolleston from Hornby.		
Point Number	299.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *		

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	299.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	299.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	299.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	299.8	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	I support increasing the contributions required of developer of new developments. There contributions should reflect and provide for the costs of new community facilities to meet the cost of new community infrastructure required because of growth from their developments.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure.		
Point Number	299.9	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Mana whenua and iwi Māori must continue to be included at all stages of the council decision making		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	299.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	The climate change policy needs to be strengthened. Climate change mitigation and adaptation must remain central to the councils planning and decision-making. The council must extend it's planning and decision making beyond a 10 year horizon to pay serious consideration to the impacts of those decisions on at least the next generation. We must take more action now to ensure the long term impact of climate change on the sustainability of the Selwyn district is not compromised by our failure to act now. The council must move to protect te 4 waters, our natural rivers, streams and lakes, drinking water, storm water and waste water. These 4 waters need to be considered together.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate		

	Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges.		
Point Number	299.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	The Prebbleton Central Stormwater Reserve has the potential to be a beautiful asset for the Prebbleton community, native insects, and native birds as well as serving its core function. To realise this potential it needs to be landscaped with native planting. The Stormwater Reserve is a great opportunity to increase the biodiversity, native plantings, and habitat restoration for native insects and birds in the area. Native planting is a way to reflect cultural identity with the area for Ngai Tahu. Native planting will also enhance the views out for those living around the storm water reserve and for those who transition through the area. The stormwater ponds are close to some of the highest density housing in Prebbleton and planting with natives would improve the recreational amenities in the area and provide a better link with the storm water basin in the Prevailes subdivision. It would be nice to see the edges of the Stormwater ponds planted with species such as Kakaha, Kanuka, Kowhi, Mikimiki, harakeke, Toe toe, cabbage trees, lancewoods, muehlenbeckia, coprosma, and corokia. It would also be nice to see a stony environment for native insects such as skinks near the north facing water inflow grate of the stormwater pond with suitable plant food sources such as Kakaha near by. There is support from the community to landscape this area with native plants (see recent post and replies on the Prebbleton Community Facebook page by Scotty Beatson). There are community members happy to work together with the Council to make the Prebbleton Central Stormwater Reserve a more beautiful environment for the local community, native insects and native birds.		

Staff Recommendation	The council in making decisions about the 10 year plan must actively work to avoid the inverse care law. This is the principle that the availability of facilities and services tends to vary inversely with the need of the population served. So the council must insure that the poorest areas with the most need have the easiest access to quality facilities and services. Council Reserves staff are scoping our planting on both sides of the basin and considering allocating the budget accordingly - it is likely that the planting will be done in stages. There may be opportunities to do community planting days to assist with this as well. As potential plans are developed the community and stakeholders will be engaged with.

Submitter Number:	300	Submitter: Sam Hurley
Point Number	300.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I think that these facilities should be made	

Staff Recommendation	regardless of whether or not the co-funding with Waka Kotahi is received. A co-funded model would be preferred. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council

Submitter Number:	301	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	301.1	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	

Submitter Number:	302	Submitter:	Tess Clark
Point Number	302.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	302.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	302.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		

Submitter Number:	303	Submitter:	Tiffany Cawte
Point Number	303.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	303.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	303.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	303.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	303.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	304	Submitter:	Charles Merfield
Point Number	304.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	304.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	304.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	304.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	304.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	304.7	Category Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:		I am happy with the proposed changes
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	305	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	305.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	305.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number	305.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	305.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.			
Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	305.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	306	Submitter:	Steve Balchin
Point Number	306.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	306.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	306.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	306.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	306.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	306.8
Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	West Melton requires two core things. The first is a small path in and out of the Laird place walkway into the Cats PJs. It allows our smallest children to be safe, our primary kids going to pre and post school care to be safe, and remove transiting of the car park. This path right now is grass and becomes well worn and muddled in winter. Second big issue for West Melton is the growing population requires more cricket facilities. West Melton has grown in population base over the years as you well know. Weedons Cricket Club catchment is West Melton. Unlike many parks, schools and facilities in Rolleston, Lincoln, Kirwee and Darfield we do not have any cricket nets. Over the 4 years my Son has played cricket in WM, it has grown from 2 Weedons Junior teams, to 4 weedons teams. Current facilities is one community supplied resource being the cricket pitch on school grounds. Whilst there are no facilities to train in nets for children, or teams and they often clash on the school grounds. The proposal would be to work with WMS as its a open community facility and it would complement the current sports trainings on the field. Toilets are not an issue to consider, and it would provide more ability for Tamariki to engage in sport in a safe environment, for even longer durstions. Toilets are available and provided by the school on game days. The alternative suggestion would cost more, to be placed at the domain as youd want an open cricket pitch installed also so you can cater for trainings. Doing nets, and a new domain wicket would allow for the growing sport in West eMelton, and more teams to play locally rather than having a West Melton based side having to travel for home games to Rolleston, Weedons or Kirwee.
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.

Submitter Number:	307	Submitter:	Debbie Tubb
Point Number	307.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	307.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Point Number	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD
307.3	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD	Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [Staff Recommendation

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	307.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	307.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: just keep the amount you spend on consultants down Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	308	Submitter:	Allison and Paul Rosanowski

Point Number	308.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	308.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	308.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	308.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: <u><i>Darfield needs a indoor pool, all year round facility, so that people do not have to commute to Rolleston for swimming - built alongside new courts so that changing and admin facilities can be shared. Should be located close to primary and high school.</i></u>

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	308.7	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Selwyn Sister City Committee requires additional funding to keep pace with 6 year's inflation, plus to link businesses from Selwyn with Sister Cities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn Sister Cities operates in Selwyn as a community-based and community - led initiative. Council's role has been limited to providing a modest level of funding annually to contribute to the Selwyn Sister Cities community group and, from time to time, practically assisting with itinerary development/ hosting for visiting international groups. While well-meaning and likely useful for those individuals directly involved, there is no particular alignment with the activities of this group and the strategies / strategic priorities of Council. Many community groups are experiencing financial pressures, and the various Council provided pools of funding for community groups are available for local groups and local efforts. If, in the future, Council saw merit in an enhanced alliance between business sector partners in a particular international locality with those in Selwyn, and there happened to be a Sister City relationship in that locality, Council would be open to connecting with the local Committee at a project level.		
Point Number	308.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Selwyn Park in Rolleston should proceed with a variety of uses.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	309	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	309.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	309.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number	309.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	309.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	309.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	309.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I support this decision based on the long term expectation that Darfield will have an aquatic center at some stage.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	310	Submitter:	Caroline Burnett
Point Number	310.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: We cannot afford to be frivolous with rates payer monies so I think it is prudent to be patient and wait until funding options are more clear.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	310.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

I think adding libraries at every small town is creating a luxury which rate payers cannot afford. Nowadays, the internet has allowed improved access to such resources. I am also not in favour of building expensive town halls. or community centres, with the associated ongoing maintenance and insurance costs. Leeston is close to Rolleston and the larger selwyn towns resources should be more utilised and developed as a resource hub for the region. Times are changing and things are much more expensive. The Selwyn District Council needs to be more responsive to the financial impact of their decisions on ratepayers. Climate change also needs to be considered. Concentrating community resources in the more populated areas may help mitigate some of the impacts.

In terms of the Leeston Community Hub, I believe if the current building is not safe for purpose, then decommission it. The alternative is there is a pub out there, a rugby club etc. If the community afford it from their own rates then it should not be expected that these facilities will be provided from other ratepayers within the region. Councils need to check their lofty ambitions and utopia scenarios where we can provide all facilities to all towns. We simply cannot afford it.

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The proximity to other facilities and services has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	310.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: See earlier comment		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	310.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: See earlier comment pertaining to Leeston. Darfield also have a fabulous rugby club. Again existing facilities should be used and resources concentrated in the main hubs of Lincoln and Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	310.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	310.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Shocked at the projected rates increases. There is an aging population plus young families with mortgages so how does the council expect these residents to afford such rates?		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	311	Submitter:	Chris Stewart

Point Number	311.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	311.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

	construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	311.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	311.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	311.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	312	Submitter:	Nicola Taylor-Marris
Point Number	312.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Public Transport is vital for the community and it is hard to know what central government support will be so I		

	think we need to just get on with it and hope Waka Kotahi can help out with costs.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	312.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30		

	2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: Leeston is growing and needs a central community space which will meet future needs as well.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	312.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	312.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	312.6	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	I support a strong relationship and consultation with Mana Whenua.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	312.7	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	I support looking at 1 low nitrate source for water.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	312.8	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	I support upgrading the Lincoln town center		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	312.9	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I support looking at more public transport services.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	312.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Need to keep up with effective and up to date technologies to be able to deliver good customer service which is efficient and easy to access		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	313	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	313.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	313.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	313.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	its a safe place to learn to swim and for families. Change the pool level of thats the issue. Having the facility will have saved lives. Kids get warm they will swim id prefer it in a proper facility. The water race is far more dangerous and i knkw its qhere some will head. all 3 of my children learned to swim there with parental supervision.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	314	Submitter:	LeeAnn Harlen
Point Number	314.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Need to have options for West Melton area as well to be included		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. For the foreseeable future based on limited demand West Melton residents would use the planned Rolleston Park N Ride facilities beyond what can be accommodated by the current 86 Metro Darfield to city service that		

	stops at West Melton, and any further additional services Environment Canterbury could consider on this route.		
Point Number	314.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

	2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	314.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	314.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

	Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	314.5
	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
	Comments: Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	314.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	We are in the Inner Plains so currently unable to subdivide so when are we able to look at sub-dividing or able to have up to 90sqm anywhere on the 4hect please. Also we still have gravel road and we are adjoined to Old West Coast Road-Bells Road one end and adjoined to Halket Rd/Sandy Knolls. Is there a plan for these?		
Staff Recommendation	Rezoning does not occur through the Long Term Plan. The process for a rezoning is through a Plan Change. The council has recently worked through its review though there are opportunities for private plan changes. There will be processes in the future that long-term growth areas can be discussed. Council no longer undertakes seal extensions unless these have been determined to be of a strategic benefit. Bells Road does not fall into that category. However, Council provides the ability for residents to fund and undertake a seal extension to an approved Council standard which can then be transferred to Council for ongoing maintenance and renewal after 10 years.		

Submitter Number:	315	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	315.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	315.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.		

	Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:	
	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	315.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 315.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	315.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	316	Submitter:	Robert Murfitt
Point Number	316.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	316.3	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	In general, I am appreciative of the recent activity by SDC in the Castle Hill Village area. The Hall, extension, Tennis Court		

upgrade, infrastructure upgrade and support of the art work project are notable examples.			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	316.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	However, I raise the question as to whether the time is reached for creation of a formal Community Board to represent the interests of the village community. It is increasingly difficult to recruit active participation in Village Committee and the administration of the Village since the Town Committee status was removed. Greater investment is likely if the status of the Committee is upgraded.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The Representation Review is subject to a separate process and yes it does involve a consultation process.		
Point Number	316.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	I also register concern that the escalating cost of public work projects is significantly added to by undisciplined expenditure on H&S services. It is always a sensitive matter to criticize expenditure on Health and Safety because it is a clearly laudable goal. However , even laudable goals are vulnerable to excessive expenditure. Minor road works are commonly undertaken with an oversupply of roadwork staff and the almost omnipresent cones in vastly excessive numbers. When traffic management is allowed to account for a third of the cost of a project, there is obvious room for economizing. I urge that more rigorous control over this expenditure is required from staff and contractors.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council's contractors are following national guidelines on Temporary Traffic Management, which is moving towards a risk-based approach to economize.		

Submitter Number:	317	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	317.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	317.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	317.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	317.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	317.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	317.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Debt Levels
Comments:	The council's debt level is unacceptable, you cannot keep borrowing to get yourself out of debt. The world financial market is already in trouble, this is a time to bunker down and reduce debt.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		

Submitter Number:	318	Submitter:	John Sunckell
Point Number	318.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding.		
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	318.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [	
	Point Number 318.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates	

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 318.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	318.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	320	Submitter:	Simon Wright
Point Number	320.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	320.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	320.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	To Whom It May Concern, I am writing to vehemently advocate for the preservation of the pool facility in Sheffield. The pool is not merely a recreational space; it serves as the beating heart of our community, where families and friends come together to connect and enjoy a cherished amenity that has been a cornerstone of our town for generations. In our rural area, vital resources like the pool are often overlooked in favor of investments in larger urban centers. However, depriving us of this asset further exacerbates the disparity between rural and urban communities.		

	Additionally, the pool is an indispensable resource for our school, which is obligated to provide swimming and water safety programs to our students. Closing the pool would force students to travel to Darfield, adding undue financial strain and travel time to an already struggling educational institution. We implore you to recognize the immense value the pool holds for our community and to stand with us in preserving this invaluable resource. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Simon Wright
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	321	Submitter:	Sophie Wright
Point Number	321.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	321.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22		

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	321.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 321.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	321.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	321.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I am writing to express my wholehearted support for the retention of a pool facility in Sheffield and to highlight the invaluable benefits that rural facilities like this bring to our community. Sheffield Pool serves as a vital hub for our community, providing a space for families, friends, and individuals to engage in recreational activities, fostering a sense of camaraderie and unity. Its presence will contribute significantly to the overall wellbeing of our residents, both physically and socially. On rural areas, access to recreational facilities is often limited, making Sheffield Pool an essential asset. It not only promotes a healthy exercise lifestyle by offering a place for exercise and relaxation but also acts as a catalyst for community integration. In rural settings, these facilities play a crucial part in reducing isolation and ensure that residents have a place to connect and build relationships. Furthermore, Sheffield Pool serves as an educational resource, offering swimming lessons and water safety programmes that are particularly beneficial for families in rural areas where natural bodies of water are a particular hazard. With the retention of a swimming facility in our		

	community, the Sheffield School Board of Trustees demonstrates a commitment to the well-rounded development and safety of our community members, especially our children. I urge you to support the Sheffield Board of Trustees in retaining a pool facility in the area, recognising its role in enhancing the quality of life for residents and contributing to the unique fabric of our rural community. Your support for this facility will undoubtedly have far-reaching positive effects for generations to come. Thank you for your time, consideration, and dedication to the betterment of our community
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	322	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	322.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	322.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	322.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	322.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

	Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	322.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	322.7	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Please just focus on keeping rates as low as possible. It is just sad how hard it is getting for everyone.	
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.	
Point Number	322.8	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	What a waste of money. This is NOT the role of the council. They are not needed to be Grandmothers for businesses.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the	

Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	322.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Definitely not. What a ridiculous waste of money. More than \$1 million a year to "explore" technologies. It's laughable. Use money on essential items only. Stop bleeding the people dry. Many, many people are under crippling financial stress. Have pity. We don't need this kind of wasteful spending.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	323	Submitter:	Rochelle Barrett
Point Number	323.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	323.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	WE DO NOT WANT TO CLOSE THE SHEFFIELD MEMORIAL POOL FACILITY This is an icon to our community, that has been mismanaged due to the lack of maintenance that should have been done by the council. I am a former committee member who was ignored when we discussed putting a liner or fibre glass options on the table in 2016, 2017 and also 2018 We had talks with it being applied on the long term plan but was completely sidelined. I was there when the pool was last painted in 2016 WHERE it failed and the Council didn't make the company repair it or try to make ammends. I believe that the lack of respect of our community has endured because of the lack of maintenance is really negligible on the council part. I feel that if the pool was returned to our community we would get it to the standards that will give life back to the pool. We have been successful in the past at running this asset. This pool has the heart and soul of Sheffield and it has been heartbreaking seeing it in such disrepair We as a Community want to see the Memorial Pool come back to life for our future Tamariki to learn to swim, meet and focus on the fun that this pool holds. I am passionate about this and bringing life back to the pool and I know that im not the only one feeling like this thank you please save our pool		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	324	Submitter:	Sarah Kenny

Point Number	324.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	324.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	324.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	324.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%		

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	324.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	324.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:			
	i am dissapointed snd so frustrated that their is no plan for a large indoor facility for affinity gymnastics to have a fit for purpose building in goeing sport. They hvsve huge snd incresing membership numbers snd also wanted to increase availability of not only gymnastic classes but cheer leading, trampoline and aerobics to name a few.		
	it is not ok that my children have to train in a freezing cold shed in the winter snd in summer evenings it is not unusual for it to be 35C plus.		
	the gymnasts have to ' put the appartatus to bed' with blankets and foam noodles so that the consenation does not dampen them to the point of not been safe and unsuitable for use.		
	selwyn desperately needs an large indoor gymnastics facility		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as		

part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	325	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	325.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	325.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	325.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Point Number	325.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	325.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

**Submitter
Number:**

326

Submitter: Bryan Tubb**Point Number**

326.1

Category Questionnaire > BD1 -
Transport - Park and Ride**Comments:**

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding

Point Number	326.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	I want to support the Submission that is being formulated by the Leeston town Community committee, option 4 gives the best chance to get the building that the Community actually want. The budgeted option just isn't fit for purpose. The submission is acknowledged.		
	Point Number	326.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: As a member of the Leeston Smallbore Rifle club Inc. I would like to see the Leeston park Pavillion either replaced with a new building that can easily incorporate the new toilet block and cricket changing facilities. There is a 1.4 million dollar budget for the toilet block this			

	could easily cover the cost of a new multiuser building, potentially indoor cricket, netball, basketball, soccer and even archery, all in one side of the building with a self contained rifle range in the other half. There is a building at Nelson's Saxton Park that could be replicated to accommodate all these activities. Otherwise I believe that for less than the cost to demolish the park pavilion, the building could be both earthquake strengthened and modernized. We use this Building for around 50 nights a year, a local craft group use it for 44 days a year and the cricket club use it during their summer season. This is a very well utilized building.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. The Masterplan report stated that a further study into the future of the Rifle Range building would need to be carried out to assess the various options (Demolish, Strengthen, Rebuild, Relocate). Staff recommend that the study on the Rifle Range building is undertaken and that staff work with the club to assess opportunities to co-locate with Ellesmere Archery at Osborne Park (See their submission). Having target sports all in one location may also encourage further activation and efficiencies in the long term.		
Point Number	326.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	326.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	327	Submitter:	Jatinder Nagpal
Point Number	327.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	327.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park		

Staff Recommendation	furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.
	Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Submitter Number:	328	Submitter: Shweta Ahuja
Point Number	328.1	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	328.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	328.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Submitter Number:	329	Submitter: Details Withheld

Point Number	329.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	329.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	329.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	329.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	330	Submitter:	Deb Biddle
Point Number	330.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Years 4–10: \$19.52			
Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%			
Our budgeted option			
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number 330.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau			
Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m			

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	330.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05		

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	330.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	330.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	331	Submitter:	Robyn Fyfe
Point Number	331.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	331.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	331.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	331.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	331.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	332	Submitter: Tim Schurr
Point Number	332.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: This makes so much sense for commute options for residents in these areas. It's a large investment, but in my opinion wholly worthwhile.	
	Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-

	funding		
Point Number	332.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I want to support this initiative, but it seems far too expensive. Let's just repair.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The existing Leeston Library/Service Centre has significant defects which require remediation, in addition to any seismic strengthening works that would be required to prolong the life of the building.		

Point Number	332.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	332.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: We can save a stack of expense by reducing this build to one court. Let's plan the facility so it could be expanded in 10 - 15 years time.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	332.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	332.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This is our favourite public pool. We travel 25 mins to get to this pool because it's such a destination. Where else in New Zealand can you		

	swim in a pool set on a grassy bank, amongst mature trees and with a view of snowy mountains? Our family loves this pool and would hate to see it go. Surely just advertise the pool and put the project in the black again?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	332.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	This costs as much as a sports stadium. I'm not convinced economic development is required for growth. Selwyn is growing just fine without it. For the majority, Selwyn is a great place to live and grow a family while working in Christchurch. Why not focus on supporting this with trains, buses and cycle access instead?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	332.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	This is incredibly expensive. I work in technology and could make a completely new SaaS product line for this cost. This proposal only explores options! I'd support this if the price was a quarter what's proposed.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	332.10	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services

Comments:	I don't believe it's in SDC's control, or perhaps SDC has some influence on this, but busses through West Melton need another look. We have a double decker bus which comes once a day, packed to the rafters. Strongly suggest we switch to two or three busses on this route, targeted around morning and evening commute.
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Environment Canterbury provides PT Services. Council advocates on behalf of residents for additional services. It is aware of the demands on the 86 Metro Darfield to city service and will continue to raise this with Environment Canterbury.

Submitter Number:	333	Submitter:	Alicia Tobias-Hendricks
Point Number	333.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:
	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Submitter Number:	334	Submitter:	Sharon Hayward
Point Number	334.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number Comments:	334.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation	334.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	334.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	334.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	as I get older, I, and others in my age group need somewhere close to do non weight bearing exercise. Driving to Darfield is not always an option.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	335	Submitter:	Mark Palmer
Point Number	335.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	I moved to Springfield in April 2021. A month after the township had a major flood event. I had to evacuate my house, fortunately it was not impacted, however several households were. As a result of this, the council improved our water supply with new tanks and backup supply from Sheffield, we really appreciate this upgrade. However the issue with the actual flooding and the stormwater - nothing has progressed - and there has been very little or no attempt by the council to keep the community informed. With ever changing weather and storm events increasing, I am very disappointed that there is nothing in the council plan to address our issues here. My (along with other residents) House Insurance premiums have gone up significantly due to this flooding event. I hold council responsible for these increases due to their lack of action.		

Staff Recommendation	Council will have been aware of these issues prior to the 2021 event, in yet nothing as been done. People in this township want to feel safe and to be reasonably confident that there are things in place to improve the flood resilience of our beautiful town. We need to look after what we have and prepare for future events. A Concept Report has been developed on options to reduce flood risk in the Springfield township. Staff recommendation is to await the outcome of the Environment Canterbury Long Term Plan consultation process with the Selwyn community about an additional targeted rate for district wide flood and river resilience work. It is recommended that Council work with ECan, landowners and other Partners on the concept. Further design and consenting work is budgeted in year 10 of the LTP with construction in years 11 and 12. Regular maintenance of the network within the township will be continued.

Submitter Number:	336	Submitter: Genevieve Free
Point Number	336.1	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

i understand that there is apparently a huge 'no' from most of selwyn for leeston to get a community centre. Everyone is allowed an opinion of course, but do you really think people outside of ellesmere are going to vote yes? The basis of our population is in rolleston and lincoln, which means the rest of selwyn has to contribute to all of their developments. Yes, of course the rest of us have access too, but they are not necessarily easy for us to access. Leeston is such a big town to have no community centre. Even people in ellesmere are pushing back - but because, incorrectly, they think that if we dont get a community centre our rates wont go up again. This is obviously a joke. There is no way our rates arent going to go up. However the increases in the last 2 years are far more than justified. Leeston deserves a community space. A practical community space. Not an ugly triangle.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number

336.2

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	336.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	336.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	336.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	10 mins drive is far. The beauty of our districts pools was you used to be able to walk to them. For me this is the same as asking if the rest of the district supports shutting the pool in leeston because		

Staff Recommendation	southbridge pool is 10 mins drive away. I would be absolutely gutted if this happened. So no! I do not support closure. Of course this pool is used less, the population of sheffield is little. Does that mean they dont deserve any facilities? Apparently. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	337	Submitter: Ieuan McLeod
Point Number	337.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	337.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	338	Submitter:	Mark Mulholland
Point Number	338.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	338.2
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26

	2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: All areas are growing and need better facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	338.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Build a facility for the future and not just today's needs. Malvern is becoming a heavily populated area with huge residential growth about to explode.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	338.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	338.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Totally agree with thoughts.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	338.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Think of the cost of a replacement pool? How many lives have been saved by learning to swim at this pool. We cant all go to Rolleston to swim. It will be overcrowded in no time.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	338.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	I am appalled at the money wasted and overruns of current projects. Darfield Sewer system still not running and Robsons carting it to Rolleston. Should be penalties applied to contractors for non-completion in a set timeframe.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comments are acknowledged. The roll out of the Darfield wastewater network to service new development is continuing in FY23/24 into FY24/25. As the pipe network is being rolled out, wastewater may need to be trucked to Pines for short periods until a sufficient properties are connected to achieve the required velocities to prevent wastewater becoming septic. Roll out to the existing areas of the township are budgeted in FY27/28 to 29/30 and 2034/35 to 37/38. Staff recommendation is to engage with the community on how servicing costs for the existing community can be met.		
Point Number	338.12	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	Should use well chosen private contractors. Chord is a joke and costing rate payers far too much. McLaughlin's road water extension over a month of partial road closure to lay 700 meters of pipe. A competent contractor would have laid it in a few days with minimal interruption to locals. There needs to be an overhaul on the human resources the Council are paying for. There seems to be a lot of people hanging off the Council for the sake of. Engineers, and consultants for instance. Contract out more. Save money here rather than hefty rate increases.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged		

Submitter Number:	339	Submitter:	Helene Youngman

Point Number	339.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	339.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number

339.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: t is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	339.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	339.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	339.9	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	I am concerned about water quality in Selwyn especially the nitrate levels and support any plan to mitigate the increasing nitrate levels in our water supply.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	339.10	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I am a strong supporter of public transport improvements in Selwyn. In order to reduce emissions we need to have a viable public transport system that connects the Selwyn townships to each other and a system that connects us to Christchurch. We have existing rail lines to the likes of Darfield and Rolleston is there not an option to have some sort of rail link plus electric bus service?		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service that also included other Malvern townships too. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile. Environment Canterbury are intending to have a fully electric bus fleet in time.		
Point Number	339.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I support the proposed changes to the Significance and Engagement Policy.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	340	Submitter:	Marion Oeberg
Point Number	340.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	340.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are

	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	340.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	340.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%		

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	340.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	341	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	341.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million			

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I support option 1 too if that is the majority. I just think this needs to go ahead ASAP.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	341.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26		

	2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	341.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: I am sorry that the people of Leeston will have to wait 15		

		years for this massive project to be completed, but it is overdue and needs to be done.
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	341.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	341.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Absolute necessity in the 21st century Comment: Comment: Duplication of services is wasteful. I am sorry that the people of Sheffield will need to drive a bit further, but there being a pool close by should meet their needs.			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	341.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I would like to acknowledge the work that Mayor Sam and the council do in trying to navigate the unprecedented and complex environment of managing the Selwyn District, and trying to meet the needs of the residents. It is impossible to satisfy everyone, so I am sure there will be many complaining about the proposed rate increases. I understand that although many of your decisions involve an increase in rates, I am accepting of that if my rates are going to these projects as well as maintaining essential services. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	341.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Duplication of services is wasteful. I am sorry that the people of Sheffield will need to drive a bit further, but there being a pool close by should meet their needs.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	341.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	Absolute necessity in the 21st century
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	342	Submitter:	Edith Khaembah
Point Number	342.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	342.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	343	Submitter:	Jill Allison
Point Number	343.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-		

funding			
Point Number	343.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	343.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	343.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	343.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	344	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	344.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	344.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Invest in Lincoln for the same reason as previously made		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	344.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	344.5	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	They need to be putting investment into Lincoln not the other areas, as Council has just approved large scale housing developments in Lincoln with no supporting infrastructure.		
Staff Recommendation	Council is putting a very large transport investment into Lincoln with this draft LTP. Council will be starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. The extension of Meijer Dr to Boundary Road, along with the creation of		

adjoining reserves, is planned in 2025/26 budgeted at \$3.5M. In conjunction with this in 2026/27 a \$4M Park N Ride Facility to cater for improved public transport services planned by Environment Canterbury will be constructed opposite the Lincoln Events Centre. Developers are required to provide new infrastructure to vest in Council, plus upgrade existing as established through consent conditions were able to be achieved.

Submitter Number:	345	Submitter:	Steve Muir
Point Number	345.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Why build new park and ride facilities when each town already has spare parking available for the majority of bus users i.e. Rolleston has plenty of parking available at the Sports Centre / Aquatic Centre that is not fully utilized during most working days? Lincoln has the events Centre with parking available, Prebbleton has parking and public toilets available at the new Karaka Sports Ground. Several bus timetables and users lend itself to smaller buses for greater efficiency.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. For Rolleston those sites are not positioned on what will become the core PT Transport hubs and routes and will lack the size or level of service needed		

including bus parking, shelters of sufficient size and other facilities. Competing with existing parking demands will jeopardize their use and attractiveness for the intended purpose. Karaka Park has been initially assessed but has not been designed to accommodate bus drop off and pick up internally. Environment Canterbury is also investigating 'On Demand' PT Services as another option within larger townships.

Point Number	345.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Fully utilize the existing facilities better. If you build a new Library, call it a Library so EVERYONE knows what it is.		

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		
Point Number	345.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	345.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	345.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	345.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	Climate change - SDC is doing little. Why have ALL new dwellings being built NOT required to install water collection tanks and or the reuse of grey water for outdoor cleaning and irrigation use. Why has every new house not got a requirement to include solar panels for its long-term use. What has SDC done it the last 5 years as an example to conserve water, electricity or reduce CO2 remissions?		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. While we cannot require water collection or solar panels through the Resource Management consenting process, council can explore sustainable solutions for residents through its Sustainability Plan.		
Point Number	345.10	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Lincoln Township Upgrade. This seems a lot of money for little benefits over a small area of Lincoln. What about Edward Street upgrade I'm sure the traffic volume of this street is increasing especially with new / additional subdivision coming on Springs Road. Where are the costs associated with upgrading Southfield Drive because of increased traffic. Get rid of the trees on the road shoulders, provide better/safer cycle lanes, improve intersection Edward Street / Eastfield Drive. Why have all the main arterial roads (Eastfield Drive, Southfield Drive, Vernon Drive) in Lincoln NOT got painted center lines? Similar streets in Rolleston all have painted center lines and shoulder parking eg Brookside Road, Lowes Road, Springston Rolleston Road. New street lighting in Gerald/Edward Streets why? The existing lighting including pedestrian crossings in Gerald St is only three years old surely these fixtures and some columns can be reused they have an expected life of 20 and 40 years respectively. What will the cost to rate payers be for the upgrade/improvement to Springs Road from Verdecos Blvd to Edward St RAB, currently there is non-complying streetlighting, poor		

Staff Recommendation	footpaths or pedestrian access, no cycleway and dangerous overhead reticulation poles, will the rate payers of Lincoln bear these costs, or will the developer of new subdivision provide ALL this? Any new undergrounding of existing overhead reticulation in Gerald Street should be at Orion's cost after all it is improvements to their asset or do the residents pay because SDC partially owns Orion? The Lincoln Town Centre upgrade consists of the whole length of Gerald St. Edward Street had been upgraded some time ago and currently no further requirements have been identified. Some roundabouts could be installed in the future as traffic volumes increase like at Southfield Dr and Ellesmere Road. Traffic signals are planned at James St end as part of the Gerald St upgrade. Like many older streets in Selwyn overhead lines remain as these are very expensive to underground. Orion will only contribute to around 20% of this. A series of upgrades are required to Springs Rd south of Gerald St, but the majority are developer required related to ODPs. Collector and arterial roads can have wider carriageways which allow center lines to be safely used.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	345.11 Category Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other Too much attention is being paid to Māori Policies we are one country with multi-races where is the Chinese Policy or Asian Policy. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	345.12 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development Stick to your core business, if a private business has a need, desire or economics stack up they will fund it themselves. It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in

economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	345.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	1.3m seems a lot to "explore" that can easily become wasted. If there is an opportunity private business will see the opportunity and do it better / more efficiently than any council can.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	346	Submitter:	William Guy
Point Number	346.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	346.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27		

	2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	346.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	346.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	346.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	347	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	347.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: None of these options would be of any benefit to me in Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. It is envisaged residents in the wider district can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City		
Point Number	347.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake		

	prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Again, no relevance or benefit to me in Darfield. Leeston is too far for us to travel and utilise these facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	347.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 347.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	347.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	347.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I do not know enough about this or understand it to have an opinion. And do not have the time to learn.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	348	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	348.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	348.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	348.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	348.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	348.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	348.9	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	1. Lincoln Town Centre: Scrap proposed plans, including traffic lights. (I live in Lincoln and bike along Gerald St each day). \$46m is simply unacceptable. Instead, consider lower speed limits within the four belts (inc Gerald St) to 40 - like in Prebbleton - which gives more time for traffic to enter flows.		
Staff Recommendation	Lowering the speed limits is one of the mechanisms but needs to be established with the required supporting infrastructure to get those outcomes consistently. While speed is a factor it is the density of traffic that makes turning difficult and volumes and density of traffic along Gerald St will increase which is why traffic signals can best achieve this.		
Point Number	348.10	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	2. Long distance cycle trail from Arthurs Pass to ocean: Yes, proceed with feasibility study		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	348.11	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	3. As it's law, keep chlorine in water		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health.		
Point Number	348.12	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	4. Ka pai re organics collection.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We're pleased that you're supportive of the upcoming change.		
Point Number	348.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	5. I'm sure others are say this loudly too, but let me add my voice: DO NOT PLAN ON RAISING RATES BY MORE THAN INFLATION. I know it's hard, I know we need to catch up, I know the funding model is broken. Yet my own income has not gone over the rate of inflation, so if you expect me to pay proportionally much more for SDC services, what do I cut - food? heating? We ALL have to cut our cloth to match, and I expect leadership from SDC officials and councillors to demonstrate SDC is desperately reducing expenditure, and this is easiest by looking at the large, expensive, 'nice to haves' (eg the proposed Lincoln town centre upgrade - don't do it!!!!).		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	348.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Financial policies: Change rating structure from a mix of general & targeted rates to just general rates, to simplify and to make it much fairer to all - ie more expensive properties pay more and less expensive properties pay less.		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

**Submitter
Number:**

349

Submitter: LANCE Emanuel**Point Number**

349.1

Category Questionnaire > BD1 -
Transport - Park and Ride**Comments:**

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding

Point Number	349.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	349.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number	349.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	349.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	350	Submitter:	ian densem
Point Number	350.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Fix the roads		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	350.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: What we have now is adequate, repair the old building. Fix the roads.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	350.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	350.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Dont build what the community can not afford, keep the rates cost down		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	350.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	350.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Council should only be involved in Water, Sewerage, Rubbish, library & roads. Dog parks, you would have to wonder.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	350.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	there are only 33 pages in the document so how can you read pages 54/55 ? Council cannot even get this right.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	350.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	Been OK for the community for a long time now,keep using it the way it is. Too many woke people overthinking what is good for every one.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	350.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Business owners know more about business than council, keep your noses out of it.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	350.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	let others reinvent the wheel then follow them		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	351	Submitter:	Rebekah Frampton

Point Number	351.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	351.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number

351.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	351.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	351.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	352	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	352.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	352.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	352.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 352.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None

		Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	352.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	353	Submitter:	Rebecca Spence
Point Number	353.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	353.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.
	Project cost:
	Years 1-15: \$9.49 million
	Estimated impact on rates:
	Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05
	Funding:
	Rates: 71.4%
	Development contributions: 28.6% *
	Comment:
	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Submitter Number:	354	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	354.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06	

	Years 4–10: \$19.52		
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	354.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	354.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	354.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	354.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	355	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	355.2	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	The percentage of people who wanted our drinking water system upgraded to stop chlorination was high yet the council has chosen to stick to what they are doing. In comparison to other projects where peoples votes were similar either way they have chosen to go ahead with projects. Would love to see decisions reflect what our people are asking for.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	355.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Also i see a lot of concerns around the increase in rates and the councils reply re increasing infrastructure to provide for the new developments. I question the rate of new developments being approved by the council at the rate of demand? less new developments less cost to rate payers.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	356	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	356.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	356.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	356.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	356.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	356.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	356.9 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	There are many in our community who are under immense financial strain. I do not see this improving in future years. I understand that the council receives many requests by individuals with their own agenda's in mind but we have to work towards the greater good, the future. Many in the Selwyn district are on a limited income, all the capital spending just adds to the burden of these families. Many of the consultants that the council employs are on very high incomes, how can they possibly know what the ordinary person requires or needs. I think that all capital spending needs to be stopped unless absolutely necessary. live within our means and NO more borrowing. I was brought up in the generation where you saved up before you spent. Still do. The council need to revert back to the same. There is so much talk regarding mental health the increase in rates just adds to that in families. Focus on what is available in our community at present and support those before trying to re-invent the wheel. Look what is out there first. I understand there is talk regarding the creation of gardens in Lowes Road, why not approach the government and lease the land out for them to build a hospital. Our mayor recently commented on national television that our population is set to equal that of Dunedin in 2050, they have a hospital. Selwyn has the population of Timaru at present, they have a hospital. Ashburton has a population of Rolleston, they have a hospital. I know that hospitals come under national government but maybe local government could be proactive in this. It would decrease transport out, it would bring people into Rolleston and maybe spend in our local shops. Create it with a garden around it so you could merge it into one. That way you would be receiving income from the lease and service to the community. We do not require any more unnecessary expenditure. Happy to discuss y views any time.
Staff Recommendation	The council continues to work with the government to advocate for more services, including health and education. The Long Term Plan outlines what council intends to deliver and when to respond to the growing population.

Point Number	356.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	it is time for the council to keep their spending under control. No more borrowing as it will affect the ratepayers of the future. All decisions should be made by keeping future generations in mind		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and the negative impact that the increased cost of living is having on the public. The Council also acknowledges that this trend is not only limited to New Zealand, but is widely spread in many other countries worldwide. The Council endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum and continue to proactively look for opportunities to minimise costs.		
Point Number	356.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	. Only elected members should be able to vote. Cetraily ask for other opinions but we pay and elect our councillors. If anyone wishes to have their voice heard then stand for council. Do not take it as an automatic right.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	357	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	357.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: stop the empty busses with pollution and are bad for traffic. use smaller vans		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Environment Canterbury are intending to have a fully electric bus fleet in time. There are not the savings perhaps seen as evident using smaller buses as fixed costs remain the same such as needing a driver, fuel etc. Using smaller buses risks not having the capacity on those occasions when it is needed that cannot be determined easily in advance.		
Point Number	357.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	357.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100%		

Comment: stop the spending			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	357.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: who needs it?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	357.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	358	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	358.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	358.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	its an awesome pool, i lived in sheffield for a few years my daughter used the pool with school and was there most afternoons. its such a quiet pool that we still go even not living in sheffield because it doesnt get crowded and you have the freedom to swim with out it being absolutely packed. if your going to close it down. gift it to the school, they use it so much in summer time it will be a shame to take it away from the kids and have them travel further to a pool instead if across the road. all kids should be water smart and learn how to swim		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	359	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	359.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	359.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

Staff

Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

359.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: do it once and do it right!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	359.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	359.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	360	Submitter:	Fred Rohs
Point Number	360.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: I doubt that parking problems really stop people from taking a bus if that's what they think is a good way to travel.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	360.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	360.4	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	The transport plan does not mention anything except car parking; what about the need for new routes from outlying areas. Lincoln needs a bus via Hornby Hub to complement the 80 service to Westfield for easier shopping. I cannot see anything in the LTP on this subject.		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury is responsible for providing PT services. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.		
Point Number	360.5	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	I am unhappy that the draft plan for the Lincoln focusses on the village centre only. Nothing is planned for the rapidly expanding edges of Lincoln. Shopping for basic living needs is ignored (except		

	for a small New World with a small carpark). Before the existing land is swallowed up by hundreds of new homes, where is there scope for additional commercial centres for present and future needs. The fast growing suburbs in the north of Lincoln ie Rosemerryn, Greenstead, Flemington etc are not well served by one supermarket in the south, not to mention the extra traffic this causes through the village centre.
Staff Recommendation	Supermarket chains decide where best to provide supermarkets. This is not a role of Council apart from the regulatory requirements under the District Plan and Resource Management Act to assess these.

Submitter Number:	361	Submitter:	Lou Drage
Point Number	361.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	I strongly support programmes to protect and enhance biodiversity on Council land, including indigenous plant restoration with community. Across the Selwyn District there is less than 0.5% indigenous vegetation remaining. Council needs to take immediate action to increase available habitat for indigenous wildlife. Hearing native bird song in local parks and reserves is a key part of community wellbeing. Community, including schools are keen to participate in native tree planting days but need assistance from community groups, such as Te Ara Kākāriki Greenway Canterbury Trust. Pest species are causing severe damage to the remaining natural environment and indigenous biodiversity. Feral pig and deer control on the Port Hills requires ongoing funding. Landowners across the Selwyn District require education and funding support with pest control. I would like Selwyn District Council to introduce a bylaw requiring pet cats to be microchipped and registered. Spaying should be encouraged to decrease the feral cat population.		
Staff Recommendation	Councill environmental staff are working hard to reverse the decline in our native species and Council funding is available to enable indigenous biodiversity regeneration and restoration projects. Managing our natural environment is an ongoing challenge and it's important that we have suitable funding available, and		

	work in partnerships with our community, iwi, landowners, and agencies to develop and enact suitable responses to ecological challenges as they arise. Council recognizes the significant efforts of community groups such as Te Ara Kakariki to protect and restore our natural environment. Pest and weed species present a significant and ongoing threat to our native flora and fauna and it's vital that Council has associated funding available to initiate, lead and contribute to projects (including agency and industry partnerships).		
Point Number	361.4	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Mana whenua and iwi Māori should be included in all stages of Council decision making. It is extremely important that Council work to mitigate climate change and biodiversity loss, through restoring and protecting ecological areas.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		

Submitter Number:	362	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	362.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 362.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	362.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	362.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	362.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	363	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	363.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Wait until additional funds are injected into the economy - execute the action correctly the first time. Avoid unnecessary expenses during a period that requires cost reductions.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	363.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Simplify the construction and lower building expenses. There is no requirement for an architecturally elaborate structure. Why include cultural narrative in the design? One again, this results in unnecessary additional costs for the construction. Add in culture heritage from locals instead of impacting build cost.		

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary.
Point Number	363.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number	363.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	363.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	363.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The current proposed rate hikes are unreasonable for even those with modest incomes - tough decisions and cutbacks are essential to make life somewhat more affordable for the average New Zealander, of which includes a multitude of nationalities. Besides our mortgages, rates represent the second largest financial commitment we must fulfill. I would prefer to see sacrifices made in elaborate designs, and non essential initiatives until the country reaches a point where it is feasible to keep up with the continuously rising inflation and cost of living expenses.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	363.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Hard time = hard decisions to be made. With access to alternatives, this seems like the most appropriate solution at present.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	365	Submitter:	Linda Klok
Point Number	365.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Point Number	365.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	365.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
	Point Number 365.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	365.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	365.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Debt Levels
Comments:	All of us are currently in financial difficulties. This country will take more than 10 years to pay off our huge debt and many companies will close. Keep the cost to live in Selwyn affordable for all.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	365.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	All of us are currently in financial difficulties. This country will take more than 10 years to pay off our huge debt and many companies will close. Keep the cost to live in Selwyn affordable for all.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	365.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Use local companies to do this for you in exchange of a rate rebate		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	366	Submitter:	robert Barker
Point Number	366.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	366.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

	Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	366.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

		development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	
		Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.	
		Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000	
		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 100%	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	366.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	366.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	366.8	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	I believe Lincoln has played a poor cousin to Rolleston for main town center development and poor bus services		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. The extension of Meijer Dr to Boundary Road, along with the creation of adjoining reserves, is planned in 2025/26 budgeted at \$3.5M. In conjunction with this in 2026/27 a \$4M Park N Ride Facility to cater for improved public transport services planned by Environment Canterbury will be constructed opposite the Lincoln Events Centre. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.		
Point Number	366.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Bring Disc golf parks to Rolleston and Lincoln helps people exercise and brings people that use the local cafes. It the scheme of budgets this is a very inexpensive investment, Requires minimal investment and benefits a wide range of community.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	366.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Pickleball can be a great sport and is aimed to a wider range of older and younger players on outdoor courts. They can be used on any existing tennis court area with the area being approx 1/2 the size of a Tennis court. Pickleball offers great social aspects and can be played by the very young learning tennis or older persons or older groups who have played tennis. Especially as Selwyn has a growing aging population the ability to have out New outdoor courts in developments. Also, Tennis courts have a max of 4 persons playing with long games, where as Pickleball in the same area could have up to 8 people playing. The games are shorter, the plastic ball is less affected by Moisture so it means far better utilization of a under utilized tennis court. Pickle ball courts need to be in New developments and available thru all the regional towns		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	367	Submitter:	Lynley Shaw
Point Number	367.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	367.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Given the increased borrowing costs - it is a time to tighten belt and if spending \$3 mill gives us ten years then that is what we should do. Borrowing \$19 mill at current interest rates costs \$1.5 mill per year so its a no brainer to wait until interest rates drop again.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	367.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	367.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Just not the time to be borrowing.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	367.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	367.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The Selwyn District Council needs to run like a business. You are proposing rate increases that are not sustainable to the average person. You must treat the council books like any other viable business and learn to live within its means of income and sticking to national inflation only.		
Staff Recommendation		That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.	
Point Number	367.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	You do not provide enough information on the changes you are proposing to actually form an opinion. This appears to be a "tick boxing" item and not clear and informative		
Staff Recommendation		Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision noninformation will be considered for future consultations.	
Point Number	367.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	\$9,000,000 is too much for an unknown result. Everybody is suffering from tough times and the SDC needs to buckle in its belt and no spend anything apart from on the key things it needs to do - water, waste, roads,		
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted the proposed allocation should be reduced. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is	

	involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount. Council can revisit the allocations in year 3 of LTP. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall.		
Point Number	367.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	But only if recorded savings can be identified		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	368	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	368.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	368.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	368.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: as a junior member of the leeston rifle club i would like to see some funding for the strengthening of the facility using funding that would be required to demolish the existing building so that I can continue my sport for years to come in leeston		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. The Masterplan report stated that a further study into the future of the Rifle Range building would need to be carried out to assess the various options (Demolish, Strengthen, Rebuild, Relocate). Staff recommend that the study on the Rifle Range building is undertaken and that staff work with the club to assess opportunities to co-locate with Ellesmere Archery at Osborne Park (See their submission). Having target sports all in one location may also encourage further activation and efficiencies in the long term.		
Point Number	368.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Point Number	368.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	369	Submitter:	Jan Crossley
Point Number	369.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	369.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: .		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	369.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	this facility should be retained and passed to the school for their continued use maybe returning to community voluntary supervision for key holder usage		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.		
	The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council.		

The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool.

Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.

Submitter Number:	370	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	370.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option		

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	370.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29		

	2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It makes sense to respond to the initial feedback regarding design and what the communities wants and needs are. Spending the money now, even with the increase in rates is forward thinking, if it is left for another 10 years it will be substantially more expensive and in the meantime the community misses out.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	370.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	370.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	370.6	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Agree with proposed changes to improve clarity.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	371	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	371.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	371.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

	construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	371.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	371.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	371.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	372	Submitter:	Lesley Bond
Point Number	372.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Lincoln has expanded greatly since I first came to live here 36 years ago but the bus route remains the same! In order to get more people taking public transport they need bus stops closer to where they live. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury who provides Metro Bus Services, needs to undertake more regular service reviews in Selwyn to take account of urban growth. Council on its part ensures that major new roads and intersections that are provided by developers in new subdivisions can support future bus routes and services should they be funded and introduced by Environment Canterbury in the future.
Point Number Comments:	372.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	372.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	372.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	372.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	372.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Sounds good!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	372.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	All look reasonable.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	373	Submitter:	Tanita Otton
Point Number	373.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	373.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	373.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	373.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	373.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	373.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	Its all we have left in the Township!! you are killing out township. Also its a War Memorial!!! and it will offend the local Veterans!!
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.

Submitter Number:	374	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	374.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	374.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting		

with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

374.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	374.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	374.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	374.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	As before. So many are losing jobs and everyone is struggling. I think everything apart from essential and repairs needs to be put on hold.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	374.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	we need to tighten purse strings and only do the basics for everything to keep costs down to homeowners		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	375	Submitter:	Glenn Clark
Point Number	375.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Stop spending money that's not yours		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	375.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Please stop spending and reduce rates, some of the		

	names of places I don't understand. Listen to your voters, we have no more money to bleed from us. Get Maori to pay for some of your spending as they don't pay taxes. That will help. What I've learnt from watching your projects is that most interfere with daily life. And the re-work of road works and cones all over the place when nothing is happening. not too efficient I say.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	375.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Already have facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	375.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an		

	economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	375.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	You should only be collecting rubbish, mowing grass, providing water and human waste, fix roads, made them more productive and efficient. get down to basics. Stop wasting money		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	375.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Get back to basics and do them well. Stop spending my money and others.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	375.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	There is no climate change, it's an agenda.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions.		
Point Number	375.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other

Comments:	Maori should have no influence over decisions by you. I travel a lot and I no longer can relate to your naming of facilities etc. Even changing names. You cannot change history or kiss bottom to minorities.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate. Selwyn District Council prioritizes inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi), is a founding document of New Zealand. Recognizing Te Reo Maori (Maori language) and incorporating Te Reo Maori in communication is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of Treaty partnerships. Council considers recognizing Maori language is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.

Submitter Number:	376	Submitter:	Micheal Beynon
Point Number	376.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are		

collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	376.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	376.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	I would like some land in leeston to start a community garden in leeston		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. There is limited opportunity in Leeston on existing Council owned land for location of a community garden. It is noted that the A and P Association have significant land holdings in Leeston and there may be a possibility of utilising a small part of that land for community gardens. Further exploration of the idea could be taken up with the A & P Association and the person/group promoting the proposal.		

Submitter Number:	377	Submitter:	Naomi Smith

Point Number	377.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	377.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

377.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 377.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	377.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Comments:
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	377.7 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates Comments: Please keep rates rises affordable and in step with the cost of living. I appreciate all you do to keep our communities ticking along, but please consider affordability for all as best you can.
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.

Submitter Number:	378	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	378.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	378.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Understand that there is a need, but impact on rates due to this project is the reason why the cheapest option is chosen		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	378.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	378.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	378.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	378.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	No more increase to rates please. Can business somehow pay this?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has questioned if the proposed allocation could be reduced. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount. Council can revisit the allocations in year 3 of LTP. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall.		
Point Number	378.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	This should be BAU not an increase costs to rates. More technology smarter??		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	379	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	379.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	379.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	379.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	379.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	379.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?	

		Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	379.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Lincoln already has the population it was forecast to hit in 2041, and you've just voted to increase its size by 40%. Why does nothing in the longterm plan reflect this massive change of direction, from 'preserve the village character' to 'grow as quickly as possible'. Where are the plans to upgrade our roads and intersections, beyond the Lincoln Town Centre plan which was put together ten years ago. For years we heard "Rolleston is the centre of growth" and that's why so much of our rates was spent there. Lincoln's population is the same as Rolleston's ten years ago - where's our second supermarket? Our pool? What is the plan for accommodating the thousands of extra vehicles on our already substandard routes to Christchurch?		
Staff Recommendation	Population projections are not predictions, rather they indicate probable outcomes based on assumptions. A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections. As each town grows, there is a need to understand its future, as well as upgrade its infrastructure. This is generally done through Strategic and Spatial Plans. As growth continues to exceed the projections, more projects are needed quicker to meet this growth.		

Submitter Number:	380	Submitter:	Mark Maddren
Point Number	380.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	380.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are

	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: I like the idea of a more cost-effective, standard-shaped build that utilises the space better.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	380.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: The fields already have new lighting; what would this look like? It would be nice to have a high quality area for our community and I am happy to pay for it in the rates.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3. The lighting is currently being updated due Health and Safety concerns. Staff do not anticipate further spend on lighting will be required.		
Point Number	380.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Bigger is better and Darfield is bigger than West Melton and they have a single court as well as close access to Rolleston. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	380.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	380.6 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Handy for the school and for those with no transport. Maybe the upgrades will encourage more to use it?
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	381	Submitter:	Rachel Barker
Point Number	381.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	381.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	381.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	381.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	381.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	381.9	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	My main concern is the Lincoln Town Centre plan. Of course if any work is delayed long enough the costs will rise significantly, as proved by the latest tally being \$46 million instead of \$21.4 million. Work needs to start NOW. Specifically work relating to traffic in the older area - Gerald Street shops and Central Square. This is a busy area with cars reversing out of carparks onto Gerald Street and cars travelling at 50km/h (if not faster!). The first thing should be slowing down traffic in the area and improving the parking situation. This cannot wait up to 9 more years as proposed - presumably that could well be delayed again. The council must be receiving a lot more rates from this area with all the new housing but little is being spent actually to improve infrastructure within Lincoln. Roading budget for our area needs to be increased. With so many more cars in our area, the roads need vast improvement - specifically Ellesmere Road. It is now being used by a lot more cars so needs widening and surface improvements and safer intersections.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will be starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. Stage 1 being currently designed between the Liffey and West Belt along Gerald St and includes new public car parking areas to the north and south. The widening and safety upgrade of Ellesmere Road is included early in the draft LTP		

Point Number	381.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The link above did not take me to the specific pages. It is very hard to ascertain what exactly the changes are.		
Staff Recommendation	Council notes the concern regarding the level of information provided. However, it is noted that others have been able to access the document but improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	381.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	Again the link is not taking me to the specific document - the document it links to is only 33 pages long so there are no pages 44-51. Any consultation with rate payers seems to be so long winded/misleading that most people probably give up!		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. Unfortunately, it is not clear from the response what specific document is mentioned here. The intention of the Consultation document was to break the Long -Term Plan up into smaller sections to assist the community's understanding. Staff recommend that the public is encouraged to provide feedback on how we can better achieve this via communications@selwyn.govt.nz		

Submitter Number:	382	Submitter:	Danni Hodges
Point Number	382.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	382.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	382.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	382.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	382.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	382.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	i would like to address the issue of evicting people from the Selwyn huts. I was a resident down there for almost a year and in this time found it to be an amazing community where values and respect for others was a common thing. I have read the councils proposed plan and to say i am disgusted is an understatement. These are people's lives we are talking about and with the housing shortage in Canterbury you are expecting 100 households to uproot their lives and try and find affordable housing elsewhere. I feel the council need to go and visit these people who have chosen to live at the huts and see what a health and natural environment they live in.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		

Submitter Number:	383	Submitter:	Rachel Barker
Point Number	383.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	383.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	383.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	383.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	383.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	383.8	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	My main concern is the Lincoln Town Centre plan. Of course if any work is delayed long enough the costs will rise significantly, as proved by the latest tally being \$46 million instead of \$21.4 million. Work needs to start NOW. Specifically work relating to traffic in the older area - Gerald Street shops and Central Square. This is a busy area with cars reversing out of carparks onto Gerald Street and cars travelling at 50km/h (if not faster!). The first thing should be slowing down traffic in the area and improving the parking situation. This cannot wait up to 9 more years as proposed - presumably that could well be delayed again. The council must be receiving a lot more rates from this area with all the new housing but little is being spent actually to improve infrastructure within Lincoln. Road budget for our area needs to be increased. With so many more cars in our area, the roads need vast improvement - specifically Ellesmere Road. It is now being used by a lot more cars so needs widening and surface improvements and safer intersections.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will be starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. Stage 1 being currently designed between the Liffey and West Belt along Gerald St and includes new public car parking areas to the north and south. The widening and safety upgrade of Ellesmere Road is included early in the draft LTP		
Point Number	383.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The link above did not take me to the specific pages. It is very hard to ascertain what exactly the changes are.		
Staff Recommendation	Council notes the concern regarding the level of information provided. However, it is noted that others have been able to access the document but improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		

Submitter Number:	384	Submitter:	Hilary MacDonald
Point Number	384.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	384.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	384.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	384.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	385	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	385.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Proposed rate increases are unacceptably large. The Council needs to operate within budget. New investment isn't acceptable until your current opex and capex are within budget.	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	385.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	385.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Proposed rate increases are unacceptably large. The Council needs to operate within budget. New investment isn't acceptable until your current opex and capex are within budget. New facilities and investment needs to come from existing budget limits. Cancel unnessecry council office roles and bureaucracy which has ballooned in the last decade. Office expansions need to be cancelled if you can't afford key rate payer infrastructure. When you're budget is under control please request guidance from the community based on what we can afford. The rate payers cannot afford the proposed rate increases during the cost of living crisis where all the rest of living costs have raised considerably and many in our community are struggling. Please listen to your community who you were elected to represent.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.			
Point Number	385.9	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	Cancel unnessecry council office roles and bureaucracy which has ballooned in the last decade. Office expansions need to be cancelled if you can't afford key rate payer infrastructure. When you're budget is under control please request guidance from the community based on what we can afford.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	385.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Why haven't you budgeted long term appropriate maintenace to keep key community services like this available? Very poor leadership and planning.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	385.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	WE can't afford this right now - get your budget under control		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the Council cannot currently afford this action. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first		

years having a lower allocated amount.

Point Number	385.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	No - get your budget under control and deliver services in this area with your existing resources. You have a large IT department you can leverage.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	386	Submitter:	Mou Basu
Point Number	386.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

	Funding: To be determined Comment: Selwyn is a big district. You need to look at other areas		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	386.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26		

	2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	386.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	386.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	386.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Point Number	387.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	387.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	387.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	387.4
Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	387.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments: Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?	

Staff Recommendation	Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	388	Submitter: Zexuan Sun
Point Number	388.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	388.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:**Staff****Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

388.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	388.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	389	Submitter:	Heidi Youard
Point Number	389.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Point Number	389.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	389.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	389.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million)

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Point Number	389.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	390	Submitter:	Alec Wu
Point Number	390.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	390.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	390.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	390.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	390.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	391	Submitter: Colin Richards
Point Number	391.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	391.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Change the proposed name to something English, we are sick of these Maori names which means nothing to the very great majority of us.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.

Point Number	391.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	391.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	391.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Communities need something of their own to be proud of. This facility has been a historic asset for Sheffield for generations, it needs saving. Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	391.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters

Comments:	Just like the government's employee cost savings being made, I consider a similar need in your council make up. I do not want the Malvern Ward to be disbanded, it is working well for us & must remain.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. However, the Representation Review is subject to a separate process that does have a separate consultative process.		
Point Number	391.10	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	391.12	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	The refusal to call Rolleston's new library a library, further displays the arrogance of SDC in using Maori names over English.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		
Point Number	391.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	I would like to think that under these financially challenging times, SDC concentrates on the core needs of the community. How much		

Staff Recommendation		is spent on organizing social events in the district in terms of organizing, sponsoring & communication. Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	391.14	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I do not agree with engaging with any Iwi over any council business or creating ties with them. I find this policy racist & want it to stop & revert back to every ethnicity having an equal opportunity in consultation. I do not want Maori representation on the council to continue for the same reason. I do not agree with putting Maori names first. The vast majority of rate payers do not read, speak or understand Maori, so English needs to come first. It is time to represent our needs as a mixed race community & not concentrate on the needs of one small group.		
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.	
Point Number	391.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Keep your hands off anymore community facilities like the Kirwee Community Hall, the community created & paid for it, it should remain a community asset & controlled by us rate payers.		
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	
Point Number	391.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	I would like a public apology over the abuse of the Kirwee/Darfield proposed waste pipe consultation process. The contract to construct		

Staff Recommendation	this waste facility was signed during the consultation process & represented pure arrogance on behalf of the SDC. Consultation on wastewater servicing for Darfield and Kirwee was undertaken as part of the 2021 Long Term Plan process. After consultation Council decided to develop the wastewater system for Darfield and Kirwee in stages. Stage 1 was the completion of the pipeline to the Pines using \$10.66 million of government funding as part of the post COVID-19 Water Stimulus Package and service new developments and Main Street in Darfield. Connection of the existing residential properties was planned to come after a review of how the scheme serving existing properties would be funded. Council approved the award of the construction of the Darfield to Pines pipeline on 28 July 2021 and the construction contract was signed on 3 August 2021, after the LTP was approved.		
Point Number	391.17	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Please consider all my comments in section 6, included here.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	392	Submitter:	Melissa Bamford
Point Number	392.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.
	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
	I think this would be a fantastic resource for sports in Malvern. As a rate payer in Darfield and an active Netball player in Darfield this would be a wonderful facility and the ability to host indoor games with benefits for lots of other sports, not just netball. An indoor sports facility would greatly promote sport and recreation activities at all ages for our growing community. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	393	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	393.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:	

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I cannot support this as apart from school hours everytime I see a bus yhere would be lucky to be 1 to 3 people on it. Also living here and working in town unless you dont mind going to and from work and tranferring 2 to 3 busses and no stops and spending ages on the bus it is a very inefficent way to travel. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury. The new Park N Ride facilities at Rolleston are planned for 2029-33, at which time additional capacity will definitely be required to align with the additional PT services planned by Environment Canterbury.
Point Number	393.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: fix our roads and give us back clean water first		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	393.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	393.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	393.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation		Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	393.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	please stop with the cycle lanes and public transport when the roads are a mess case in point the road from lincoln to selwyn both the lincoln and rolleston ends its in very poor condition.		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	393.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Regarding the water we have great water in most of selwyn and it has been ruined with chlorine. It not only tastes bad but causes a range of skin complaints. Its time you srood up got the exemptions in if you must and remove the chlorine. We want our great tasting water back.. stop mucking about just sort it		
Staff Recommendation		The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.	
Point Number	393.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	As an elected council (by the people who pay your wages) we expect standards for our infrastructure and things to maintained (water, sewage, roading) before things such as cycle lanes, art, renaming things in maori (majority of the population dont speak it and shouldnt have it forced on them. Its a choice to learn) and other non essential items. Once the essentials are done then you can look at other items.		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

**Submitter
Number:**

394

Submitter:

Details Withheld

Point Number

394.1

CategoryQuestionnaire > BD1 -
Transport - Park and Ride**Comments:**

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding

Point Number	394.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	394.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

Project cost:

Years 1-10: \$3.4m

Estimated impact on rates :

Included in current rates

Funding:

Rates: 71.4%

Development contributions: 28.6% *

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Staff Recommendation

Point Number

394.4

Category

Questionnaire > Matters
For Community Guidance

Comments:

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No **Question:** Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No **Question:** Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes **Comment:** **Comment:** **Comment:**

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	394.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It is a invaluable resource to Sheffield School students learning to swim		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	395	Submitter:	Donna Gillatt
Point Number	395.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Where is the option for non of the above or other????? Stop all non essential spending. We are in a recession.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	395.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Where is the option for non of the above???? I had to select one, but believe none are appropriate. There are other places for people to gather that are already owned by the community, use those.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Access to other facilities and services has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	395.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Use other community facilities.			
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	395.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

		Funding: None Comment: This would be non essential spending in a time of recession. Use other community facilities including those at schools.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	395.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	395.7	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I oppose all capital non-essential spending. Debra Hassen made it very clear at the community drop in meeting this week that the long term plan is really only a 3 year plan, and i applaud her for speaking out. This means we can only look at rates increase over the next 3 years and not look at the long term 10 year forecast. Its an absolute disaster waiting to happen and I demand that these costs are not imposed on the rate payers.	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	395.9	Category Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	While I can appreciate the desire for walking and cycle safety, there are much better alternatives than spending hundreds of millions of dollars on unsafe and unneeded cycleways. We are lucky (not) to	

	have the perfect example of how what not to do in Christchurch mid-city on the likes of St Asphah and Colombo Streets. What a nightmare this has turned into. Near missed all the time. Cyclist still using the car lanes and cycleways are too dangerous, and whats the point of 2 car lanes when you can only fit one car down at a time, let alone trying to get a bus along these roads. Christchurch weather is not conducive to all year cycling and this is blatantly obvious from the pitiful numbers using the lanes. At horrendous costs for cycle lanes I believe it is time for cyclists to start paying road user charges. BTW I am a cyclist from time to time.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	395.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	There is constant mention of growth however, the world population is decreasing and with increased unknown death rates, dropping fertility rates, the need for much of this expenditure will diminish.		
Staff Recommendation	Population projections are not predictions, rather they indicate probable outcomes based on assumptions, including birth rates, death rates, and internal and international migration. A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections for each town.		
Point Number	395.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I oppose all capital non-essential spending. Debra Hassen made it very clear at the community drop in meeting this week that the long term plan is really only a 3 year plan, and i applaud her for speaking out. This means we can only look at rates increase over the next 3 years and not look at the long term 10 year forecast. Its an absolute disaster waiting to happen and I demand that these costs are not imposed on the rate payers.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	395.13	Category	Activity > Chlorination

Comments:	I utterly oppose the use of Chlorine and Fluoride in our water system. This is illegal and immoral forced medication on the public and must cease immediately. I have not consented to this and it goes against our human rights.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	395.14	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	While I can appreciate the desire for walking and cycle safety, there are much better alternatives than spending hundreds of millions of dollars on unsafe and unneeded cycleways. We are lucky (not) to have the perfect example of how what not to do in Christchurch mid-city on the likes of St Asphah and Colombo Streets. What a nightmare this has turned into. Near missed all the time. Cyclist still using the car lanes and cycleways are too dangerous, and whats the point of 2 car lanes when you can only fit one car down at a time, let alone trying to get a bus along these roads. Christchurch weather is not conducive to all year cycling and this is blatantly obvious from the pitiful numbers using the lanes. At horrendous costs for cycle lanes I believe it is time for cyclists to start paying road user charges. BTW I am a cyclist from time to time. The new cycle lane down Hoskyns and West Melton Roads is a recipe for disaster. Vehicle crossings are used to see what is coming before exiting properties, so how will motorists see who is on the cycle lane from behind their fences and plantings? Many cyclists and walkers wear headphones, what do you think is likely to happen? I would like to propose that all cycle ways and walk ways in the Selwyn District are also open to horse riders. I would also like to see more community equestrian specific facilities available such as 2 or 3 more parks like the West Melton Equestrian Forest. More road		

	signage in rural areas to make people aware of horse riders rights to use the 'carriageways'.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. For the West Melton to Rolleston cycleway, additional safety measures at vehicle crossings have been put in place to avoid the conflicts mentioned by the submitter.		
Point Number	395.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	There is constant mention of growth however, the world population is decreasing and with increased unknown death rates, dropping fertility rates, the need for much of this expenditure will diminish.		
Staff Recommendation	Population projections are not predictions, rather they indicate probable outcomes based on assumptions. A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections.		
Point Number	395.17	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	The new cycle lane down Hoskyns and West Melton Roads is a recipe for disaster. Vehicle crossings are used to see what is coming before exiting properties, so how will motorists see who is on the cycle lane from behind their fences and plantings? Many cyclists and walkers wear headphones, what do you think is likely to happen? I would like to propose that all cycle ways and walk ways in the Selwyn District are also open to horse riders. I would also like to see more community equestrian specific facilities available such as 2 or 3 more parks like the West Melton Equestrian Forest. More road signage in rural areas to make people aware of horse riders rights to use the 'carriageways'.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Equestrian facilities are planned by Parks and Reserves. Placement of signage on roads must consider costs and distractions they may introduce.		
Point Number	395.18	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements

Comments:	I would like to propose that all cycle ways and walk ways in the Selwyn District are also open to horse riders. I would also like to see more community equestrian specific facilities available such as 2 or 3 more parks like the West Melton Equestrian Forest. More road signage in rural areas to make people aware of horse riders rights to use the 'carriageways'.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Additional space needed and cost for accommodating horses on shared use facilities and footpaths would make many infeasible due to cost.		
Point Number	395.21	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Significance and Engagement Policy is just fancy words that mean nothing and allow councils to spend more rates payers money. How about keep things simple. Most people dont have time to waste on trying understand the mean of this. How about just saying it as it is and being transparent. There are either Essential or Non-Essential Services. Let the rate payers decide then call them as they are.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	395.22	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The Financial Policy is just farcical. Clearly the plan is for local and national government to take ownership of the rate payers land and they become unable to afford the imposed land tax. If all of the non-essential spending is stopped, where is the real need to increase rates? Accounting 101, don't spend more than you earn, and done borrow to leave our and future generations with a noose around our neck that will eventually collapse, or is that the intention. Agenda 2030 is well known now and clearly SDC are well on board with this scheme.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	395.27	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	This is a long standing existing facilities that should have been better maintained and upgraded over the years. This is a key facility for this community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	395.28	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Yes, however, this needs more public consultation regarding the distribution of these funds. Selwyn District is a farming district and our food supply, so majority of money needs to go to development in this area.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	395.29	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Technology is not the answer to better outcomes, in fact it is the opposite. Look at everyone sitting around on phones rather than actually communicating and taking action. Technology is leading us down a very slippery slope to track and track and invasion of privacy.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	395.30	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Why is it that we can get and MRI scan in Rolleston but there are no blood test facilities? We should have multiple units in the Selwyn District.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged. 		

Point Number	395.31	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Why is it that we can get and MRI scan in Rolleston but there are no blood test facilities? We should have multiple units in the Selwyn District.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning. 		

Submitter Number:	396	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	396.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Have less public transport or make it user pays		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Public Transport services are theoretically funded up to 50% by users, unless subsidised or incentivised in other ways.		

Point Number	396.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: This would be a hub for Leeston not Ellesmere		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Point Number	396.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Save some rates money on this one		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	396.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	396.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation			Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	396.8	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:			Make budget cuts on the strengthening relationships section.
Staff Recommendation			The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	396.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Remove chlorine from our water, get an exemption. Put it in the plan to spread out the cost. Fluoridate the drinking water.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. The submitters preference to fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. The cost to fluoridate supplies is estimated at \$7.9m over the LTP period. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health.		
Point Number	396.10	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	Have more publicly available reporting on drinking water nitrate levels.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	396.11	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Less bus services especially to Southbridge I do not want to subsidise these services just for near empty busses to drive around burning diesel, additionally report on usage Vs capacity and actual cost per passenger on each service.		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury provide PT Services. The 87 Southbridge to Lincoln service is not well used and maybe discontinued.		

Point Number	396.12	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Less cycling improvements and more road improvements, stop reducing speeds unless its around a school. Don't need the nice to have cycle trails		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	396.14	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Reduce the amount of upgrades for the Lincoln town centre		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	396.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	Get rid of the parking wardens in rural communities		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	396.18	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Hold roading contractors responsibly for some of their poor workmanship		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. All failed repairs under the road maintenance contract are remediated at the contractor's cost. There are a lot of other contractors working on the road and increased oversight is being provided.		
Point Number	396.20	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Agree with the developers paying more towards the community.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	396.21	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Put a Southbridge combined sports facility in the long term plan. To combine Rugby, netball, tennis, bowls, cricket, touch. Sell off the existing bowls and tennis land to offset costs and include compulsory fundraising element to the cost of the project.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission has been acknowledged by council.		
Point Number	396.22	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Be more specific on what you want to use our money on		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	396.23	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	If your putting in EV charging at the office ensure it runs at a profit. Reduce what is being spent on the council building, this money could be spent in better places like local rugby clubs that have buildings that leak and are falling apart (Southbridg		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		

Submitter Number:	397	Submitter:	Julia McLean for North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group
Organisation:	North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group		
Point Number	397.3	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	We request inclusive shared path trails (existing and future) that provide safe spaces and places for people to actively participate in, and enjoy, their chosen recreational activity. NCEAG asks that the Selwyn District Council develop a Walking and Riding Strategy that is supported by an advocacy group made up of key community and advocacy representatives in horse riding, walking, and cycling. An example of this in working is the Hurunui District Council's Hurunui Trails Trust.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	397.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Did you know? There is no definition in the New Zealand Transport Agency literature to define Vulnerable Road User. "According to the World Health Organization, a "vulnerable road user" (VRU) is any "non-motorist" road user in the role of a pedestrian, a highway worker, a person riding an animal, a stranded motorist, a skateboarder, roller skater, a scooter, or a cyclist, to name a few (Ameratunga, Hajar et al. 2006)." We ask that Selwyn District Council to seek clarity on behalf of the equestrian community on 'vulnerable road user' status. As it stands, the New Zealand Transport Authority labels horses as 'other road user' and consequently horses are not listed as a user group of shared pathways. Pedestrians and cyclists are treated as vulnerable road users. Alternative shared pathways are provided for by the NZ Transport Authority. The horse community therefore relies on the goodwill of regional and local councils to fund bridleways or give permission for pathways to be shared use.		
Staff Recommendation	The generally accepted definition of a vulnerable road user in NZ is safely providing for people walking, biking, or on other wheeled devices. It does not include horse riders as they have choice whether they wish to ride on roads or not.		

Council has in the past have adopted bylaws preventing horses being ridden or trotted on Councils formed shared use paths. This relates to how incompatible this is with its primary intended use by pedestrians and cyclists that can be scared by horses (and vice versa) plus the damage caused and inconvenience from horse droppings etc. As a large rural district, Council encourages horse riders to use low volume rural roads to ride alongside rather than busy arterial roads which poses a safety risk to all road users or use dedicated riding parks.

The NZTA does not provide co-funding to support horse riding on roads for any purpose as this is not related to transport. The proposed Selwyn District Park could include for such activities but contact needs to be made within Council with those planning the park to discuss opportunities.

Point Number	397.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	There is no co-funding arrangement that exists between Waka Kotahi and councils to provide safe alternative pathways for horse riders as there is for cycling and walking projects and programmes. Sadly, this has led to many councils Walking and Cycling Strategies not including bridleways or providing for horse riders in their communities. Bridleway is a physically separated off road path for horse riders for which motor vehicles do not have access. Cyclist and pedestrians may have shared access. It is here NCEAG wishes to acknowledge the vision and planning that has gone into spaces and places like Baynons Brake Horse Park, Cust Domain, Hanmer Springs Heritage Forest, Hororata Domain, Pegasus Bay Coastal Reserve & Waikuku Beach, Rangiora Show grounds, Se on Domain, Eyreton Domain, Silverstream Reserve, St James Conservation Park, and West Melton Forest Horse Park. These spaces are either specifically created for, or welcome horse riders. Horse riding promotes health and wellbeing. It is an active, healthy, inclusive and a social recreational pursuit chosen by many in both North and South Canterbury. In addition, it allows people of all ages and abilities to explore and enjoy our great outdoors. Conclusion It is important to the equestrian community that North Canterbury remains a place where we can continue to value and engage in the recreational pursuit of horse riding. To be able to continue this we need to be included in all planning of parks, open spaces and any connections between our individual communities and coastline. Thank you for the opportunity to introduce our group to you and to share our areas of focus, and passion. We sincerely hope you will welcome this opportunity and our efforts to engage with Selwyn District Council elected members and staff. We trust this will be the start of a positive and productive relationship and that we will all see the benefits of the recreational horse-riding community being included and taking an active		

		ve role in decision making. Kind Regards, North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group Chair Julia McLean Secretary Calan Leyendecker Working Group members Andrea Rigby, Karen Legg, Maree Clapham, Roisin Magee and Jhonathon Appleby	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	397.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	NNCEAG ask that the Selwyn District Council take a proactive role in ensuring unformed legal road access is retained and to work with the equestrian community on any identified areas of improved public access		
Staff Recommendation	The generally accepted definition of a vulnerable road user in NZ is safely providing for people walking, biking, or on other wheeled devices. It does not include horse riders as they have choice whether they wish to ride on roads or not. Council has in the past have adopted bylaws preventing horses being ridden or trotted on Councils formed shared use paths. This relates to how incompatible this is with its primary intended use by pedestrians and cyclists that can be scared by horses (and vice versa) plus the damage caused and inconvenience from horse droppings etc. As a large rural district, Council encourages horse riders to use low volume rural roads to ride alongside rather than busy arterial roads which poses a safety risk to all road users or use dedicated riding parks. The NZTA does not provide co-funding to support horse riding on roads for any purpose as this is not related to transport. The proposed Selwyn District Park could include for such activities but contact needs to be made within Council with those planning the park to discuss opportunities.		
Point Number	397.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	397.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	There is the opportunity for councils to explore the economic development of equestrian tourism within the Tourism Strategy. We know there is an untapped and unique tourism opportunity for the Canterbury region. It could be as easy as creating a place for travelling horse riders to stay, ride and explore. The horse-riding community brings much needed revenue to farmers, feed merchants, saddlery outlets, vets, trailer/float/truck companies, farriers, haulage companies, fuel stations, car dealerships, construction companies for barns and stables - strengthening local economies.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has not selected an option as to whether the Economic Development Strategy should progress. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Comments are noted by the relevant team.		
Point Number	397.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	CEAG ask that the Selwyn District Council support road safety messaging to educate the public and keep horses and their riders safe on roads. This to be included in the Regional Transport Plan. A campaign to educate and advise drivers of the importance of passing horse riders slowly (20km) is recommended. NZTA Road to Zero 2020-2030 has no men on of horse riders.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Road Safety can accommodate this within existing advertising and promotion budget.		

Point Number	397.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	The new cycle lane down Hoskyns and West Melton Roads is a recipe for disaster. Vehicle crossings are used to see what is coming before exiting properties, so how will motorists see who is on the cycle lane from behind their fences and plantings? Many cyclists and walkers wear headphones, what do you think is likely to happen? I would like to propose that all cycle ways and walk ways in the Selwyn District are also open to horse riders. I would also like to see more community equestrian specific facilities available such as 2 or 3 more parks like the West Melton Equestrian Forest. More road signage in rural areas to make people aware of horse riders rights to use the 'carriageways'.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged by Council. Appropriate use and safety signage will be visible for all users of the shared pathway.		

Submitter Number:	399	Submitter:	Russell Dalzell for Dunsandel sports Centre
Organisation:	Dunsandel sports Centre		
Point Number	399.2	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Dunsandel has a waste problem. Since the install of the new public toilets near the Sports centre these toilets have attracted a lot of public traffic to this area. It seems the toilets are now in an ideal location for the general public to use including camper vans and freedom campers. The wheelie bins that the Sports Centre have are within sight of the toilets and the public view these as public waste bins. Consequently both the red and yellow bins are being filled with general waste. This is a real issue for the sports Centre as the recycling bins are being filled with general		

Staff Recommendation	rubbish including used nappies. We have now padlocked the yellow bins so hopefully this will deter people. However it has been noted that if the bins are full they will just leave on ground beside the bins. Because this location is an ideal last stop for people to clean out campervans before returning them we believe that a suitable waste skip needs to be supplied near the toilets. The waste is not the responsibility of the Sports Centre so this needs to be urgently addressed by Council. I have spoken to Craig Westoby (hope I got that spelling correct) and he said the best course of action is through this format. Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. I have spoken with Craig and have passed this to the community facilities team who are in charge of the park and public toilet block to investigate installing a public bin in this area. In the meantime, we recommend that the Sport's Centre keep their kerbside wheelie bins in a gated compound, or otherwise out of site to the general public. Alternatively, the Sport's Centre might want to consider getting a skip from a commercial waste collection company which can then be locked.
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride 399.3 Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: There is no way a rate payer in Dunsandel should have to pay for this. If we have to get in car to get to Rolleston it makes much more sense to continue to Chch. The motorway has made the trip from Rolleston to Chch so much quicker

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	399.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	399.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	399.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

	Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	399.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	399.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	As long as this doesn't increase charges to rate payers.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	399.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I see nothing in this document of how you are going to better communicate with those other than Maori. We in Dunsandel are no longer in the loop as to regard Community development. Since most Committees have been disbanded from Council there is no clear path of how we connect and collaborate with Council		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the concerns raised. But considers that this policy is ensures that Council have the right conversations with the right people about the right issues, before making significant decisions that consider and reflect the views of the community. It sets out Councils making processes and how the community can participate in them.		

Submitter Number:	400	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	400.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	400.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	400.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		
Funding: Rates: 100%		
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	400.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	400.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	400.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	A bus from leeston to rolleston would be Wonderful alot of people that cant drive or donot have a car would llve to be able to go to rolleston for shopping swimming and to use the sport Centre-myself Included. Thankyou		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		

Submitter Number:	401	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	401.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents.So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Park and ride facilities are not helpful for people without cars who do not live in Lincoln & Rolleston. Darfield needs better more frequent public transport options for residents without cars - I will not pay for any proposal that does not address this. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. The Park N Rides will be linked to Councils major cycleway networks were possible.
Point Number	401.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: not sure what the difference is between Option 2 and Option 4 - this is not made clear.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	401.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: keep spending within current rates It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	401.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option			

	Comment: Facility required but keep costs & impact on rates minimal		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	401.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	401.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Use money to help low-income householders		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	401.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	Again, focus on low-income householders & keep costs to minimum		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	401.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	why no mention of Darfield wastewater system in plan? Why are you trying to mandate this for small households - those who can least afford it - while others, such as those with larger sections, are excluded? Large sections can only "sustainably manage onsite treatment" if small households are forced to stop using septic tanks. I.E. forcing the change onto smaller households is benefitting those with larger sections. ALL residents must contribute to the connection costs across the community. Otherwise, what financial help will you provide to householders who are being forced to pay for a change they don't want and can't afford?		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comments about reticulation of wastewater for Darfield and Kirwee is acknowledged. Reticulation of the existing township has been budgeted in the LTP between 2027 and 2038. Staff recommendation is to engage with the community on how servicing costs can be met.		

Submitter Number:	402	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	402.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	402.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	402.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	my kids go to the Sheffield pool every day after school and almost wvery weekend as well through summer. They take lessons in		

Staff Recommendation	sheffield pool and has been a house staple every year. I have even started to swim every after noon myself through summer It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	403	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	403.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Car is still the primary mode of transport, the funding from rates should be minimum	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	403.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Please delay
	Staff Recommendation The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	403.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	403.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

		Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	403.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation			Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	403.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	OPEN UP LAND FOR DEVELOPMENT IN WEST MELTON, ALLOW 10 acres lifestyle block to be subdivided easily, more amenities inWestMelton		
Staff Recommendation	Rezoning does not occur through the Long Term Plan. The process for a rezoning is through a Plan Change. The council has recently worked through its review though there are opportunities for private plan changes. There will be processes in the future that long-term growth areas can be discussed.		

Submitter Number:	404	Submitter:	Russell Dalzell

Point Number	404.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	It has been suggested that a dedicated left turn lane from Browns Rd (5) going south will help but will in fact make the problem worse. There is no issue turning left here but a dedicated left turn will make issues further down where multiple trucks stop for food at the Stop Shop see (4). They would have to cross over the dedicated left turn. The first thing I recommend is remove parking between (2) and (3) Second thing would be to reduce the speed to 50km And the third thing would be to install traffic lights. This would provide pedestrians better access and enable much safer vehicle crossings. Kind regards Russell Dalzell		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council is working with NZTA and are supportive of safety improvements in our townships along state highways, which is managed by NZTA.		
Point Number	404.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Lawn Bowling Green at Rolleston I wish to give my views on why a bowling green a Rolleston is needed. Bowls is a fast growing sport that can be enjoyed by anyone of any age. The opportunities for playing bowls in Selwyn are limited especially in Rolleston. A bowling green was mooted for Foster Park originally but got dropped for some reason. Bowls is a very non-discriminatory sport that can be played by school children, through to the elderly and handicapped.		

	Dunsandel here for example has a very stable membership and has approximately 150 paid up members. These members are made up with the core serious bowlers, Community Bowlers and Social farmer bowlers. We also run invitational tournaments where a further 100 players are involved. Add to that the bowlers who come from other clubs on a home and away basis and the total is quite extensive for such a small community. It is pretty much the same across other Ellesmere and Malvern Bowling clubs. Rolleston needs to develop their own bowling club. The new park setting on Maddisons Rd would be a possible location for this. I know financial constraints at the moment are not conducive to spending large amounts of money on a project like this but could you at least consider a proper needs analysis and or feasibility study. I know Ellesmere Bowling Sub Centre would like this issue addressed but because of time constraints I have been unable to get an official letter of support from them as they only meet twice a year. also Malvern, New Zealand Bowls and Canterbury Bowls could be approached for support I would be happy to work with Council on taking this matter further but need the initial buy in to the proposal from Council. Kind regards Russell Dalzell		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		
Point Number	404.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	Regarding the intersection between State Hwy 1 and Browns Rd, Dunsandel. This intersection is incredibly dangerous and because of the proposed changes on SH1 with some right turns being closed this intersection is going have traffic funneled into it from the likes of Frasers Rd. This sort of traffic will include tractors, trucks and other farm equipment. They would normally cross SH1 at little used side roads but will now have to use Browns Rd as a crossing point. I am a secondary school bus driver and have experienced two close calls in recent months. The second I documented to my employer as it was a very close call and could have resulted in my bus being		

Staff Recommendation	clipped and spun sideways. Luckily it was a near miss. There is too much happening at this intersection and a lot of cars will nip across with little thought to us slow movers. Add to that tractors and more trucks and it is a catastrophe waiting to happen. Add to that the fact there are no barrier arms on the nearby rail crossing could potentially add to a disaster. Imagine someone just having had a close call and are driving with that deer in the headlights tunnel vision and drive straight into the path of a train. I realise this is an NZTA situation but I implore you as Council to lobby those appropriate to look at this situation especially the funneling of more traffic into this area. The first thing I would suggest is that no parking be allowed on the eastern approach as this creates a very big blind spot. see area between (2) and (3) on appendix 2 It's not a matter of if but when. Also the only dedicated pedestrian crossing if you can call it that is at (1) on appendix 2 pic. That means if children want to use domain or playground this is the only somewhat safe area to do so. The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility. Council is working with NZTA and are supportive of safety improvements in our townships along state highways, which is managed by NZTA.

Submitter Number:	405	Submitter:	Warren Watt
Point Number	405.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	405.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

Staff Recommendation	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	405.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	405.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	405.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	406	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	406.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:		
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Referral needed		
Point Number	406.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Leeston is not sufficient in scale to warrant new facilities to this level at this time.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	406.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: address unsafe equipment and keep spending to a minimum.			
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	406.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None			

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: the only reason to build a large facility in Darfield is if you can generate some growth to this area on a similar scale as rolleston, for that you need a faster and reliable public transport system to Darfield and buses are not sufficient.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	406.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	406.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Referral needed	
Point Number	406.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	more technology does not translate to better service.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	406.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	The rolleston dog park should not be allowed to be restricted to residents and should at all time have half fully open to the residents.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Staff concur that there is a conflict between Selwyn Dog Training Club use of the site and use by the wider public especially when club events are in progress. A submission has been received from the Selwyn Dog Training Club looking for an alternative exclusive site so they can run their activities and the existing dog park can be free for public use. Staff support exploring options for relocation of the Selwyn Dog Training Club. It is also noted that there are likely to be additional dog-friendly spaces provided as part of the first stage of the District Park development in Levi Road, Rolleston.		
Point Number	406.11	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	there is too much spent of transport, you don't need more unused pedestrian crossing, speed restrictions lead to frustration and traffic signals (with exception by proposed Pack & Save) will cause more delays and frustration. Stop spending money on new junk and start fixing the roads, potholes and failed digouts are a safety risk, can cause damage to property and more unsafe than many of the issues being presented. Any safety projects should be fully justifiable by ACTUAL CRASH data and not someones dream to spend money and then financially verified by NZTA economic manual.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Multiple modes compete for use of road space and council manages spend on various programs through evidence based approach, as detailed in the asset management plan.		
Point Number	406.12	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing

Comments:	Don't build facilities for bus services that are becoming less reliable and force transport to consider heavy rail from Darfield to Christchurch, it will be faster, more reliable and can increase capacity. Building for buses is an out dated policy and need to think about growing Selwyn connections to central christchurch city.
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Feasibility for regional rail has been studied in the MRT business case.

Submitter Number:	407	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	407.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	407.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	407.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

		development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	
		Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.	
		Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000	
		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 100%	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	407.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	407.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	407.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Build a new community centre in Prebbleton as promised.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		

Submitter Number:	408	Submitter:	Māia Abraham
Point Number	408.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: i think transport options are an important investment into our future. More opportunities to travel between the Christchurch city and other Selwyn towns will mean less cars on the road and more flexibility for people who rely on public transport. Will be good to see Selwyn explore the most sustainable options out there when it comes to transport development.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		
Point Number	408.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Libraries and community spaces are so valuable and important to communities. Especially ones like ours in Selwyn that is diverse and growing with an increase of families. The services provided through libraries are essential to the health safety and wellbeing of the community and id encourage the council to continue to invest in

	libraries because of ths awesome work they do. Staff are amazinf and the community will really appreciate t		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	408.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	408.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	408.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	408.8	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Partnerships with Ngāi Te Ruahikihiki seem to be going well so well done! Id like to see an increase in provision for services to and for Māori communities in the district.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	408.9	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Leep investing in our libraries aas they are such inportant hubs for our communities. They hold the stories of this place and betond which is such a privilege to have access to. Te Ara Ātea is a world class facility that you wont find anywhere else in the world because of its integrated Māori design whcih tells the stories of this place.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	408.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	good to see an internal bicultural strategy being created for rhe council. Need more visibility on things Maori and Mana Whenua in the district.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	409	Submitter:	Scott Harvey
Point Number	409.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Hi there. Feedback on a couple of opportunities in Rolleston;		

	1. The stadium has proven a fantastic facility for the sporting community. However, it desperately misses a clubrooms/cafe/restaurant, somewhere families and supporters can stop for a bite and a drink during trainings, post-match. Would also serve as a much needed venue for prizegivings and other events. In my experience, everybody talks about this missed opportunity, and believe the addition would not only serve the community well, but would be viable commercial venture for any private or public entity.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	409.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Lowes Road Rolleston development. I've heard the paddock on the shoulder at the northern end of Lowes Rd is up for development. I'd love to see a facility that includes something for families, and the avid sportsperson/golfer. The golfing population continues to grow (all ages and sex), Weedons GC (not to mention other near-by clubs) continue to enjoy packed membership. So, I wish to propose a golf driving range, mini-putt, accompanied by a cafe/bar. Such facilities are proving immensely popular worldwide, and importantly cater to both the sporting and non-sporting community. We could go big, really help put Rolleston on the map for out of town visitors (visit the TOPGOLF website for an idea of what I'm envisaging, these are amazing, and again cater to a very wide audience). Of note, a squash court is something Rolly misses too, perhaps any such facility at Lowes Rd could incorporate a squash club. Thank you for taking my feedback, noting I'm really invested in seeing Rolly continue to grow & prosper, so I'd happily be involved/represent the community/give my time to help get such an initiative off the ground. Regards, Scott Harvey		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		

Submitter Number:	410	Submitter:	Rosalyn Everest
Point Number	410.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	410.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	410.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	410.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	410.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	410.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Its good to keep things like this in the rural communities if possible.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	411	Submitter:	Laura Roberts
Point Number	411.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	411.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

411.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	411.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None	
		Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	411.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	412	Submitter:	Ryan Jones
Point Number	412.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I would recommend maintaining a 'holding pattern' on the creation of park and ride facilities and investment in mass road transport. The bus services that are funded by taxes and rates across Greater Christchurch are underutilised. Time should be taken to understand the motivations of potential users combined with the increased prevalence of hybrid working. Most people that drive into Christchurch will prioritise flexibility and freedom of movement over the availability of public road transport. To make bus route more efficient to the extent that the public will choose to use them over their own vehicles would require significant investment in creating dedicated bus lanes. A more future proof investment may be in other methods of transportation, such as commuter rail. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch. Environment Canterbury will be investigating a possible regional passenger rail service.
Point Number	412.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The previous decision (LTP21-31) stated that the cost to build the new community centre was \$8.9m, with an increase in targeted rates of \$19/yr. The proposed cost in this LTP is nearly double. The council should make the existing building safe and compliant and prioritise other works. The utilisation of the existing building should be properly understood prior to making a decision that affects all ratepayers for a small town of around 2,000 people.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Building condition, remedial repairs and seismic strengthening works have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	412.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		

Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The investment proposed is disproportionate to the rate paying population base and potential amenity utilisation.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	412.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: With around 4% of the district's population coming residing in Darfield there is little justification to make any significant investment on this project at the current time. Darfield has a perfectly functioning and fit for purpose community hall. West Melton has a new facility which is 17 minutes drive from Darfield with Rolleston only 25 minutes drive.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	412.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	412.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I would like to learn more about the proposed changes to policies, communicated in lay terms so that the public can be clearly informed		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	412.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The proposal to close the pool makes commercial sense.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	412.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	The proposal to close the pool makes commercial sense.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	412.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	The council should look to stimulate economic growth by making it attractive to new businesses to move into the area, particularly to Rolleston (Izone). There are few industrial units available to lease or buy in the area.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	412.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The growth in under-represented sports continues to perform strongly. It is disappointing to read this long-term plan with no mention of gymnastics facilities. The last long-term plan concluded in a decision to support the growth in gymnastics and investigate building a purpose-built facility for Rolleston to cater for the sport, yet it appears to be conveniently forgotten. The charity, Affinity Gymnastics Academy Incorporated, is the Selwyn Districts largest charity sports club with a very large membership base. Three years ago numerous submissions were made to council requesting their support. The council committed to undertake a feasibility report for a new building for Affinity that would be subject to a lease. This was never done and the council ignored repeated requests. The club currently pays \$160,000 per year in rent to a private landlord for an unsuitable building. Despite this, the council obviously finds it acceptable to build more floodlit sports pitches for smaller clubs at in Prebbleton for \$11M and an artificial hockey and football pitch in Rolleston for \$4.3M, all to be used by much smaller clubs. The council should either commit to a new purpose-built gymnastic sports facility in Rolleston for the Selwyn District or provide land on reserve land as an alternative option to allow the club to fund its own building.		

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	413	Submitter:	Neil Smart
Point Number	413.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	413.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	413.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	413.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	413.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	413.8	Category	Activity > Providing safe drinking water

Comments:	Council to design and bring forward the installation of a permanent water treatment system for Arthur's Pass village. The existing temporary WTP has 16no. storage tanks in a residential area and is an eyesore as well as taking up a large chunk of flat land next to our Community Centre.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters support for water treatment upgrades in Arthurs Pass is acknowledged. During periods of high rainfall, the source water quality deteriorates significantly making treatment systems ineffective. Stored water is needed to continue to supply the village and meet mandatory Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules. As part of the Long Term Plan the final stage of upgrades to the treatment plant have been budgeted for in 2026 to 2029 and will investigate treatment efficiency. Pending the outcome these works may include treatment and storage upgrades. Staff recommendation is to budget for these works as planned in the LTP.		
Point Number	413.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Speed Management
Comments:	Council to implement 30 km speed limits on all of the council-managed roads in the village and work with Waka Kotahi to lower speed limits on the highway through the village.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has been supportive of and lobbied for lower speed limits through the Arthurs Pass village, however the SH is NZTA responsibility, also the central government is yet to disclose its intentions around setting of speed limits.		
Point Number	413.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	Council to support conservation efforts being undertaken in the Arthur's Pass area in particular the protection of kea, an iconic and endangered species. This would include passing a by-law that prohibits the feeding of kea in the village, and enabling the Council Biodiversity team to work with the voluntary organisation in the Arthur's Pass area.		
Staff Recommendation	Councill environmental staff are working hard to reverse the decline in our native species across the district. They recognize that kea protection efforts need to be supported and focused, and as such staff are engaging with stakeholders regarding the kea feeding bylaw proposal.		
Point Number	413.11	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities

Comments:			
Council to increase support for heritage activities in Arthur's Pass. This would include advocating for the protection and development of the Park Headquarters/Visitor Centre building managed by the Department of Conservation. Park Headquarters used to a great resource for explaining the history, geology, biodiversity, etc of Arthur's Pass to the public and especially for our children. Would like to see that resource restored.			
Staff Recommendation			
The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council acknowledges the importance of promoting and protecting heritage as it improves community wellbeing by connecting people and building the identity of Waikirikir Selwyn. The Selwyn Strategic Heritage Plan 2023-2027. ensures there is a focus on improving support for heritage activities and supporting the work of local history groups and residents who are strongly motivated to collect, protect and enhance local place-based heritage. Council has two community heritage funds available: the Community History Fund, which is to support kaitiaki (guardians, conservators, and protectors) communities and community groups for various history projects (and the operating costs of community history groups); and the Heritage Protection Fund, which is to encourage and assist owners with work required to maintain and enhance heritage buildings in the district as well as work required on protected trees.			
•			
Point Number	413.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Submission is acknowledged by Council.			
Point Number	413.16	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:			
Agree with the proposed changes.			
Staff Recommendation			
Submission is acknowledged by Council.			

Point Number	413.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Council to promote a “Dark Sky” designation for the village and the surrounding area.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and would like to see Selwyn progress towards Dark sky accreditation. Dark sky considerations will be part of the development of Action Plans associated with the Economic Development strategy.		
Point Number	413.19	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Council to ensure the Council-managed gardens in the village are maintained to a high level		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is Acknowledged by Council. The gardens are maintained on a routine contract schedule and the standard is to a garden grade of specification in the contract. This submission does not state the village location, Castle Hill ? so cannot give further site specific details of gardens in our schedule .		
Point Number	413.21	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Council to cover the open gutter beside the road on Brake Hill.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Piping would be very expensive because of the topography. And considering the amount of water coming down the drain, piping may not be our best option. Council staff are discussing with roading contractor.		
Point Number	413.22	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Council to bring forward the installation of a new fire in the Community Centre		
Staff Recommendation	Staff do not support the submission. A significant amount of work has been carried out by Council in recent years in upgrading the building including installation of heat pumps, insulation and new flue for the log burner. A new heating appliance was		

considered but as this would trigger a Building Consent substantial budgets would be required to carry out compliance works (disabled access toilets, alarm system, accessibility improvements) it did not proceed. The reasons for not proceeding were communicated to the Arthurs Pass Community Association. Staff are of the view that the significant cost of this work is not warranted at this point but have allowed for heating replacement and associated works from 2029-30 (when the existing fire appliance is expected to be at end of life). Staff recommend that the submission to have a new solid fuel heating appliance installed at Arthurs Pass Community Centre is declined but the condition and performance of the existing solid fuel heater is monitored over the next few years.

Submitter Number:	414	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	414.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Please provide public transport for households without a car and without access to Lincoln & Rolleston		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		

Point Number	414.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	414.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	414.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	414.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	415	Submitter:	Erin Van Der Zwet
Point Number	415.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	415.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	415.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	415.5	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Provide a chlorine free filtered water station in the centre of Darfield - particular because we have already been subjected to chlorination and we are last in line for exemption consideration		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine and not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Chlorine is required to be added to our drinking water under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes requires an exemption from Taumata Arowai. To get an exemption we would need to do		

infrastructure upgrades at significant cost and additional ongoing monitoring and testing. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently.

Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.

Council has not been instructed by the to add fluoride by the Director General of Health and Selwyn District Council do not fluoridate drinking water supplies. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if Council is directed to add it to supplies.

Submitter Number:	416	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	416.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	416.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	416.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	416.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	416.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	416.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Stope building expensive sealed cycle trails		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	416.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	do what every business and hoisehold has to do whijc is deal with cost pressures by cutting existing expenditure (including your own staffing and other expenditure).		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	417	Submitter:	Sharyn Dunn
Point Number	417.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	417.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	417.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I think until the economy has improved, these sorts of extras, although nice to have, are not essential. Hopefully, by the next 10 year Long Term Plan, NZ's economy is in a better shape. Most ratepayers cannot afford increased rates at this time, so it is the Council's responsibility to curb its spending to the "must have's" not the "nice to have" or "ideal to hvae" categories.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	417.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Again, same thoughts as per Ellesmere. I live near		

		Darfield, so I totally agree that Darfield, especially with its rapid growth, needs the new community recreation and sports facility, but I just feel that extra cost is not essential at this time. Even \$20 per year adds up, on top of all of the other proposals.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	417.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	417.8	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:		Once again, it is clear that the Council is going well past what is essential into areas that are almost ideological. I am part-Māori but strongly disagree that we need consultation with Māori over everything. I think as a Council you need to do this referendum that this new government has brought in, to obtain a mandate from your ratepayers as to whether they think that sort of consultation and representation, which is not democratic, should be happening or not.	
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged.	
Point Number	417.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability

Comments:	I also do not think we need to spend money on climate change issues.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions.		
Point Number	417.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	We need to stick to the basics of why you exist, especially in a recession.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	417.11	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Living in Sheffield, I feel we get very little value from the Council. I commute to CHCH for work each week day and the quality of the road along the Old West Coast Road has been appalling. I have lived here for three years, and it is a joke how many times the same parts of the roads get re-sealed. Clearly the sealant is low quality, as in a heavy rain, the pot holes return, and we are back to square one. The quality of the road closer to Sheffield is particularly bad.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. This is being addressed by targeted reconstruction as funds permit.		
Point Number	417.12	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	Regarding the new provision of green waste collection, it does not extend to Sheffield. I asked about it, and was told no, it only goes as		

Staff Recommendation	far as Darfield. So another example where we as a small community on the margins of the Council are ignored and left out. Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. Extensions to the kerbside organic collection must be balanced between sufficient demand for the service in the area and collection vehicle resources, emissions and cost. Demand for kerbside organic collections in rural townships is much lower than in urban areas, this combined with the long distances means that unfortunately it is not currently viable to extend to Sheffield.
Point Number	417.13
Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Now you want to close the pool, and have signalled you may do the same with the Hall. That was not a surprise with this Council. In order to gain some positive thoughts from our community, you should gift both back to the Community, to a Trust or to the School, and allow us to maintain and use both facilities locally. I tried the Library in Darfield, and it was dreadful. They have put a table tennis table into the library, and it was noisy and I got hit on the head twice by the ball. I was so annoyed I said to the librarian about it, and she said they were prioritising offering something to do for local school children. I said to her if you end up getting no one coming to the library you only have yourselves to blame. That building is big enough to house a table tennis table in another room. I have been to that library now four times, and that time was my last, and I will not be going again. Each time, the book selection was poor. Not one author I wanted was there, and very few books in the non-fiction categories were of interest. I then looked online at the catalogue, and saw that the entire Selwyn Library catalogue was of poor and limited quality.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	417.14
Category	Activity > Providing safe drinking water
Comments:	Out here at Sheffield our water was of a good quality before you added that stuff to our supply. You are not obliged to keep doing this, so please stop.

Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine and not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Chlorine is required to be added to our drinking water under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes requires an exemption from Taumata Arowai. To get an exemption we would need to do infrastructure upgrades at significant cost and additional ongoing monitoring and testing. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. Council has not been instructed by the to add fluoride by the Director General of Health and Selwyn District Council do not fluoridate drinking water supplies. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if Council is directed to add it to supplies.		
Point Number	417.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Final summary is I think you need to prioritise basic things first - the stuff councils are meant to provide: roads, water, sewerage, and rubbish collection. Then look at parks and facilities. However, be aware many ratepayers never use even those, so at that point, you need to survey your ratepayers as to what is actually a priority - you are elected representatives of the ratepayers. We are into a recession, and the nation has huge debt. This ten year plan should be about consolidation. Ensuring the basics are provided, but not a lot else. Aim for a more positive next ten year period, when hopefully the economy is stronger and more vibrant, and then the extras, like parks and facilities, can be expanded. Maybe work in with schools, like Papanui High School has with the Council with the Graham Condon Centre. Share the costs.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	417.16	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities

Comments:	Next complaint is about names. Please put English first. I am quite proficient in Māori but all New Zealanders, including immigrants, know English. Not many know Māori. I have done one trip to Rolleston in the three years I have lived here, and couldn't even find the new library, as it had a Māori name, and no English signage saying it was the library. I teach mainly Pasifika and immigrant students, and they are very positive about our indigenous culture and respectful of our language, but are barely coping with English, so to make things more complicated by prioritising Māori over English lacks common sense. I have no issue with Māori signage, but think the English should come first.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand. Recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.		
Point Number	417.18	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I went over a breakdown of my rating bill, and thought of how many of those costs are completely irrelevant to me. As I commute to CHCH, I don't ever go to Rolleston, and only go to Darfield once or twice per month, at the most, and then it is just to get petrol or groceries. Nothing else. I have lived in five council areas in my adult life as a ratepayer, and this is certainly the worst experience I have had of a council: in the lack of what is provided just because I live in a more rural location within the council, and in the sense of ideals of the Council being prioritised over the basics, without consultation. In fact, it has been such a negative experience living out here regarding how the Council is, with the roads, the water, the lack of green waste collection, and now the pool closing, I am planning to sell when I can, to move. The main reason is just how badly we are treated by the Council - it makes for a miserable existence out here. It really feels like the Council simply does not care. Rolleston then Lincoln are the priorities, and to a lesser extent, Darfield and Lesston, but the rural ratepayers are just almost irrelevant.		

Staff Recommendation	I am not normally a negative person, but truly, these three years out here, with one thing after another, it has been a very negative experience with the Council, without one positive aspect I can think of. That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.
Point Number	417.19 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I live at Shffield. It was gifted to the Council, and so I feel strongly it should be gifted back to the Community, and to the School. Yes, we are only 10 minutes away from Darfield, but we get virtually nothing from the Council specific to this locality. We are not Darfield. We are Sheffield. We too are growing, with new housing going up, and farmers getting permission from Council to subdivide further, so within ten years, this village will be much bigger. The school is growing, and the school children use that pool all of the time. So gift it back to the community, and grant a community body, say the school, the \$290,000 that you would use to close it, for the school to upgrade it, and for the community to use.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.

Point Number	417.20	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Again, I just wish the Council would stick it the basics of what a local Council ought to do. Top priority is the services we need (not want): good quality roads, rubbish collection, and water. Then next layer, are things like a hall or community building. These things listed are nice ideas, but not essential. They do not affect most ratepayers, so are not necessary.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	417.21	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Next complaint is the water. Please stop whatever is in it! It tastes horrific. I have got to pay for bottled water, and I am saving up for a filter. Everyone I know out here has filters now installed. The plumbers must be happy! You did not consult if we wanted that. It is no longer required by the government, so please stop adding fluoride or chlorine, or whatever it is that tastes awful, smells awful, and makes everything go yellow		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine and not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Chlorine is required to be added to our drinking water under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes requires an exemption from Taumata Arowai. To get an exemption we would need to do infrastructure upgrades at significant cost and additional ongoing monitoring and testing. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. Council has not been instructed by the to add fluoride by the Director General of Health and Selwyn District Council do not fluoridate drinking water supplies. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if Council is directed to add it to supplies.		

Submitter Number:	418	Submitter:	Janet Burton
Point Number	418.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: P & R only useful over and above own transport use if can delivers passengers to their destinations as quick or quicker - ie town CHCH city - Hornby. The Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case identified that further investment in increased PT services and infrastructure will have positive benefits. The aim of PT Futures for Greater Christchurch is to put PT services on the same of not better basis than private vehicle travel in peak times.		
Point Number	418.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: noted under option one ----- restrictive New Rolleston Library is not too far away and offers a lot of room and activities for other people use.			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Proximity to other facilities and services has been considered in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	418.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: I'm not living in Leeson to know the uses that well The submission is acknowledged		
Point Number	418.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: I'm not living in Leeson to know the uses that well Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	418.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	418.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	Use of train lines could be better used for public transport carriage into town? - quicker than bus - could be used by elderly and younger ones - however, cost factor could be a problem - put on during summer months to test out the popularity?		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network connecting Rolleston to Rangiora. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		
Point Number	418.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Makes sense due to population in Sheffield tho it wouldn't affect me. Can't really say		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	418.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	N/A not experienced to answer		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is noted.		

Submitter Number:	419	Submitter:	nada Unterschuetz
Point Number	419.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: We need train between Rolleston and Christchurch.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2023 Greater		

Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network connecting Rolleston to Rangiora. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.

Point Number	419.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	419.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	419.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	419.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	419.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	419.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	The only extra rates I'm willing to pay is to remove chlorine from the water. Thanks.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	419.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Spend less, don't increase the rates.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Point Number	419.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Supportive of Climate Change Policy. Not supportive of Māori Contribution of Council decision making.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	420	Submitter:	Alan Miller
Point Number	420.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: People + housing affordability		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	420.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	I live at Upper Selwyn Huts and believe the long term plan 4 them is very bad. People and housing is a very important part of the area. The selwyn huts provide an essential place in the area as low cost housing & accommodation for alot of people any house is better than no house and climate warning affects are there but the huts are way above lake Ellismere lots others flood lot		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		

Submitter Number:	421	Submitter:	Sara Kross
Point Number	421.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: I'd like to see developers contributing more to the costs of projects like these.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	421.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park		

	furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: I'd like to see developers contributing more to the costs of projects like these.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3 and note funding preferences. Development contribution funding can only cover project elements required to extend capacity to meet demand from growth. As this project is primarily an upgrade of the park to improve levels of service only a minor part of the cost can be funded by development contributions.		
Point Number	421.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: I'd like to see developers contributing more to the costs of projects like these.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	421.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	421.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	I am concerned that the council is falling behind on provisioning of infrastructure and services to the ever-growing population of Selwyn. The Lincoln town centre plan is considerably out of date and was written with a population of about 10,000 people in mind- which the recently approved subdivisions in the area will double in the near future. If it takes the council 10 years to even get projects started, then we should be seeing much more proposed for Lincoln in the		

	current long-term plan, including a swimming pool and more playing fields and public recreation facilities. I don't see evidence of a clear plan for dealing with the increased traffic that comes with the growing population- especially the burden that will be placed on Prebbleton and the southern suburbs of Christchurch with twice as many cars traveling through their neighbourhoods.		
Staff Recommendation	A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections. As each town grows, there is a need to understand its future, as well as upgrade its infrastructure. This is generally done through Strategic and Spatial Plans, which are underway. However, as growth continues to exceed the projections, more projects are needed quicker to meet this growth.		
Point Number	421.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Nor is there a clear plan for making it safer for cyclists and pedestrians within our towns- we have excellent bike paths in some areas (for example, I commute via the rail trail from Christchurch through Prebbleton and to Lincoln), but the paths virtually disappear in the towns themselves where cars have priority over bikes. I know that the school my children go to has constant problems with traffic created by parents dropping kids off, and that feedback from parents has been that they don't feel the roads leading to the school are safe enough for their kids to ride bikes- thus leading to more traffic and even more unsafe conditions. I'd really like to see our towns planned in such a way that there are safe bike paths (that give the bikes right of way over cars on side streets), especially leading to schools and the town centre.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission because safe bike access to schools and within town centers are council's priorities for walking and cycling. The recently completed Rolleston school's shared use path contribute to that outcome.		
Point Number	421.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Pools are essential for our communities and we shouldn't be asking people to drive into hubs like Darfield and Rolleston to use them. This is removing a cost from the council and adding it to individual households (and probably schools). \$1 million is a drop in the bucket		

		of all of the other costs proposed. We should be building MORE community pools and facilities.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	
Point Number	421.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I think this is a vague strategy. Use this money to fix the Sheffield pool.		
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. There will be an action plan formed. Feedback is noted by relevant team.	
Point Number	421.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	I think this is a vague strategy. Use this money to fix the Sheffield pool.		
Staff Recommendation		The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.	

Submitter Number:	422	Submitter:	Sarah Marshall

Point Number	422.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	422.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	422.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	422.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	422.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	423	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	423.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: the community in malvern will traly benwfit from this. Basketball is very popular at the high school and will allow for home games. Would this gaciloty be able to be used for community group fitness classes too (pump, hiit, spin etc? There are no council classes here and it is not always oractical to go to west melton/rolleston. Based on the community facebook page and the number or private bootcamps there is a large market for this		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Submitter Number:	424	Submitter:	Bev Kelly
Point Number	424.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	424.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	424.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	424.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Darfield is growing, better to go bigger from the start rather than having to extend in future.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	424.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	424.7	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources

Comments:	Please keep working on finding low nitrate sources of water for communities. Please don't send Darfield water to Coalgate - but if you must, please ensure the township is fully informed so that we can install nitrate filters.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	424.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It will impact Sheffield school if it closes. With better advertising, more people will use the pool - as a Coalgate resident I would prefer to head Sheffield on a hot day than go to Darfield pool which gets crowded very quickly.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	425	Submitter:	Mitchell Parker
Point Number	425.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council
Point Number	425.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	425.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	425.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	425.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	425.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	better connectivity to Christchurch via roads.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	425.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	should be more push for high density housing and less urban sprawl		
Staff Recommendation	The Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, which Council approved, sets this as an overarching direction. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges.		

Submitter Number:	426	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	426.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	426.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	426.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	426.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	426.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	427	Submitter:	DONNELLE GLEN
Point Number	427.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Sheffield Swimming Pool		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	427.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	427.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The community needs this pool for children in the district to learn to swim, Darfield is already crowded! With a growing population in the area, this pool will be used for years to come. If Sheffield school kids have to be transported to Darfield for compulsory swimming lessons, this is taking into their learning of numeracy and literacy time, adding to the costs of transport and logistics for teachers, parents and impeding more importantly the learning of the students. Primary school children only have a limited learning concentration period per day, if that is taken up with a logistical 2 hr round trip to go for swimming lessons 3 x per week, where do fit time for the actual learning?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	428	Submitter:	Krystal Paix
Point Number	428.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	428.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to		

meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

This upgrade is really important. i run the Leeston Drawing Club out of the Leeston Library we are a not for profit registered charity who employ Ellesmere College Students each term to teach art classes to nuerodiverse children and those with complex needs.

As a ratepayer it is disheartening to see all the money funnelled into Rolleston and the small rural farming towns (which are growing at an swift rate and will soon be bulging like Rolleston). If we don't keep up with infrastructure in these growing towns we will be faced with the same problem that Rolleston has with the school. Services which have not planned for sustained growth.

The Leeston Library is the hub for the local community, many clubs, groups and families gather. As a planner myself it would be very disheartening to see the council not keep their word on this. Keep the heart and soul of the small community alive by providing adequate infrastructure.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	428.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	429	Submitter:	Michael Annear
Point Number	429.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	429.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
	Point Number 429.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates	

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	429.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	429.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	430	Submitter:	Anthony White
Point Number	430.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	430.3	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Council must include provision for an upgrade or full replacement of the Prebbleton Community Hall in the long term plan. This is a vital part of our thriving community and central to so many community activities within Prebbleton.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		

Submitter Number:	431	Submitter:	Alana Srubar-Vernon
Point Number	431.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Get a one car carriage train to bring Springfield, Sheffield, Darfield and Kirwee residents into Rolleston. The train line is under utilised and think of the cars taken off the roads. Often more than once a day train and buses to Darfield.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service that also included other Malvern townships too. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		
Point Number	431.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake			

	prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Leeston is expanding, think more than immediate		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	431.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	431.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		

Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Darfield is getting more massive. It needs proper public transport as does Kirwee. Look at population growth and support more than Rolleston.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	431.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	431.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	Develop services along SH73. Kirwee and Darfield have almost doubled in 3 years. Stop ignoring this. Use the rail line but on multiple services. Link our communities better.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Environment Canterbury provides PT Services. Council advocates on behalf of residents for additional services. It is aware of the demands on the 86 Metro Darfield to city service and will continue to raise this with Environment Canterbury. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network. This could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service that also included other Malvern townships too. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		

Point Number	431.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	Some people also need to think about more bush/tree reserves.		
Staff Recommendation	Council staff are involved in a number of native restoration planting projects across the district on both private and public land. It is anticipated that the number of native plants installed with Council funding and support will increase in future years, including on Council reserves.		
Point Number	431.11	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Link the mobile library to go to Kirwee more than never!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	432	Submitter:	Ray GOLDRING for Castle Hill Community Association
Organisation:	Castle Hill Community Association		
Point Number	432.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	GREEN WASTE The permanent residents of Castle Hill Village have no facility for the disposal of green-waste in the Village. With the Village becoming more "forested" with the planting of beech trees, and with existing beech trees getting larger, snow and heavy wind events often break branches off which need disposing of. We request that a green-waste facility, possibly a dedicated open-top container, be located adjacent to the recycling/rubbish skips in the Village.		

Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. There are no immediate plans to establish a permanent green waste drop-off site at Castle Hill as costs to residents of Castle Hill to provide this (in particular transport costs) is prohibitively expensive. Furthermore, an open top skip in a unstaffed facility would invite tipping of construction waste and other bulky waste - contaminating the green waste. Staff have suggested previously that Council would consider assisting the community association with a community hire of a garden chipper once or twice per annum.		
Point Number	432.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	WILDING SEED AGENT TREES The Canterbury Regional Pest Management Plan designates Douglas fir and larch as pest conifers. Currently the Castle Hill Village reserves have a number of these wilding seed-source trees still growing there. These trees are spreading their seeds onto adjacent properties in breach of the RPMP. We request that the remaining wilding seed-source pest trees on Castle Hill Reserves be removed immediately.		
Staff Recommendation	Council recognizes the environmental risks of weed spread from all conifers in the high country, including those on Council reserves in the Castle Hill village (whilst noting the significant stands of conifers on other land surrounding the village). As such Council staff have been working with village residents and Environment Canterbury to remove pines from the Council reserves and look at planting suitable native plants to provide form and cover. All the contorta species have been removed and a draft Reserve Management Plan has been prepared to guide management of the reserves. Staff agree that suitable Council funding should be available to complete the pine removal and associated replanting project.		
Point Number	432.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	DARK SKY Castle Hill Village is located in the mountains and is void of any close town of city light-influence. It is an ideal location to view the stars and milky-way. However, a few years ago the street lights were changed from standard globes to LED. It was assumed the LED lights would shine down onto the street only - but alas - they still		

Staff Recommendation	shine out onto the adjacent properties as well resulting in light-pollution preventing the unencumbered viewing of stars. We request that the Castle Hill Village be designated a "Dark-Sky" zone with suitable street lighting to prevent light polluting residents' viewing of the night sky. It is acknowledged the submitter has not selected an option as to whether the Economic Development Strategy should progress. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning. It is also acknowledged that the submitter would like to see Castle Hill Village progress towards Dark sky accreditation. Dark sky considerations will be part of the development of Action Plans associated with the Economic Development strategy.
Point Number	432.8 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	VILLAGE ENTRANCE The Village entrance posts and railings are rotting and unsightly and need replacing. It is requested that the Village entrance be rebuilt in keeping with the original design and theme.
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Entrance signage upgrade is being arranged through the developer with design pending approval from NZTA.

Submitter Number:	433	Submitter: Willem Tolhoek

Point Number	433.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	433.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	433.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
	Point Number 433.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	433.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	433.8	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	With the council approving the massive development of land in Lincoln. Many of the roading network upgrades will need to be brought forward to meet the increase traffic congestion that will occur. Lincoln will be effectively doubling in size. Traffic lights that have been proposed will need be brought forward. The roading improvements for Birches, Ellesmere and Springs road to Prebbleton will need to occur. These roads are already very unsafe due to the increases degradation of the roading surface due to already high vehicle use. These roads have not been upgraded in the past 30 years.		
Staff Recommendation	The planned upgrade of Gerald St is to accommodate the additional traffic expected however a project of that magnitude cannot be done overnight and has to be staged. Council has invested heavily in upgrading key arterial intersections of Shands and Springs Road with roundabouts at Prebbleton to cater for the confluence of traffic growth from Rolleston and Lincoln and access to the motorway and/or city. The widening and safety upgrade of Ellesmere Road is included early in the draft LTP. Both Springs Rd and Shands Rd were widened previously.		
Point Number	433.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The council needs to be more financially disciplined at this stage of the economic cycle. The council can't keep raising rates above inflation. Developers should make more of a contribution towards community		

Staff Recommendation	and roading infrastructure. This should come at the expense of developers profits (they need to be happy with making a large profit from land sales, not over the top profits). Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	434	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	434.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council	
Point Number	434.5	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Community funding /grants
Comments:	You need to continue to fund the organisations that support everyone regardless of age, gender, ethnicity, finances Citizens	

Staff Recommendation	Advice Bureau are there for everyone. Because they are independent they rely on funding to exist. The Submission is acknowledged. The Council's community funding programme supports a wide range of community organisations with projects and activities in Waikirikiri Selwyn.		
Point Number	434.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	To be totally inclusive you need to help fund those that support those in need. Digital Exclusion excludes the old, the disabled, the poor, those that struggle with literacy, and those for who have English as their second language.		
Staff Recommendation	Face to face interaction will continue to be an important part of how we interact with our communities. Our digital approach is designed to make things more streamlined, connected and efficient.		

Submitter Number:	435	Submitter:	lorraine strowger
Point Number	435.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: we need to encourage sport participation as much as we can and an indoor facility would most certainly help this. Have long believed that the pond should go now and that area be used to build 2 indoor courts for netball and basketball and gym area at least. Parking is already there which will be an advantage.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	436	Submitter:	Ceridwyn FOOTE
Point Number	436.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: The ideal is to have this co-funded however if this doesnot appear, then move forward with option 2. the logic would be funding from Waka Kotahi otherwise they have to build more and more roads!!!! It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	436.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: I am not from Leeston, so that community input would have great value than mine		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	436.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	436.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%

	Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Very much in favour of this. Are two courts enough??? What's the saying / movie - 'Build it and they will come' - I assume the option of one or two courts is based on the perceived need now, by the time this is built it could already be too small. A larger facility also has the capability to attract patronage from outside the Malvern area ie as a competition venue, Oxford, Methven etc teams.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	436.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	436.8	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	strategic Relations: as stated before I fully support a strong, equitable partnership with mana whenua.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	436.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Water: I'm not opposed to chlorination if needed. Exempting some communities from this would require vigilant monitoring. An opps,		

	and putting out a boil water notice is too late, and has consequences as we have seen. Same with fluoride, the benefits speak for themselves, especially for the underprivileged. sounds like a sensible strategy in place for the monitoring of nitrate. Just have to hope Ecan requirements have the effect they hope.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health. The submitters preference to fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. The cost to fluoridate supplies is estimated at \$7.9m over the LTP period. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health. The submitter supports investigation of low nitrate water sources. Staff recommendation is to undertake further investigations into centralised sources of low nitrate water as budgeted in the LTP.		
Point Number	436.10	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Comment: Transport: this one is very important to me. I understand that some of this is not necessarily under council control, however council professes to want Selwyn to be self sustainable. This can not happen when it's people do not have the means to move around the district - apart from climbing in a car - We are all talking 'climate change', ' environmental footprint' however at this point to get to one township to the next there is NO OTHER OPTION. I live in Darfield, I have no way to access any of the facilities in Rolleston apart from getting in the car. The Youth of Darfield, the elderly or those with no vehicle are stuck, no where to go, no means of getting there. The facilities and services offered by the Selwyn community and council are worthless if the people do not have a public transport option to get to them. I see this as a priority!!!!!!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would		

	include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there, and connections to the City.		
Point Number	436.11	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	Organics collection: yup, have a bin already, huge need, totally agree, especially with urban sections getting small, people these days dont have room for a compost bin not to mention the skills or inclination. Pines resource centre is great. Key to its use by the wider community is keeping the cost as low as possible. it must be remembered for most of us we are travelling a significant distance to get to it so the cost is a factor.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We're pleased that you're supportive of the upcoming change. Organic disposal prices at the Pines Resource Recovery Park are kept as low as reasonably possible whilst making sure to cover processing and operational costs.		
Point Number	436.13	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Policy changes and updates are often dictated by other rules, laws, policy changes by others, often central govt, not to mention the passage of time. Looks they are all woven together and just need to happen.		
Staff Recommendation	I fully agree that mana whenua and iwi need to have a seat at the table and be included at all stages of council decision making. Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	436.14	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Property Assests: Development contributions - I have been involved in subdivisions in Darfield. Paying more 'contributions' I do not agree with. the cost of council contributions is already a significant portion of development costs and I am yet to see where these contributions are spent in the community. ie in our last development we expanded an existing reserve as well as paying a significant reserves contribution, the 2022 annual plan had money set aside to enhance and develop this reserve - to date there is no sign of this. It is to be remembered council is gaining a number of rate payers from these developments and this is where these 'new' contributions should be		

		coming from. This proposal would create a yet another barrier to development and the growth of the council ratepayer client base.	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	436.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	
Point Number	436.16	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:		Not sure I fully understand what is proposed however this is the future. it's better to be ahead or at least keeping pace rather than playing catchup.	
Staff Recommendation		The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.	
Point Number	436.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:		This a hard one, However, if the pool has reached the end of its life, this would be a replacement not refurbishment. Unless other funding options ie MOE contribution, commercial partner, I dont think there is an option.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	

Submitter Number:	437	Submitter:	Jos Unterschuetz
Point Number	437.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: The community needs a commuter rail option that can get you from Rolleston to Christchurch city centre in 30 minutes. Hour long bus routes are not a feasible commuter option.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case e determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. It considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service.		
Point Number	437.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:** Over the long term Option 1 is simply the most expensive option as the existing building is earthquake prone and needs replacement. Option 3 is significantly more expensive. The cost difference between option 2 has the advantage of being already designed.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	437.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: In order to better manage and minimise impact on rates, please consider delaying these upgrades until developers are either able and willing to contribute more or we have returned to a more moderate rates increase		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1. Development contribution funding can only cover project elements required to extend capacity to meet demand from growth. As this project is primarily an upgrade of the park to improve levels of service only a minor part of the cost can be funded by development contributions.		
Point Number	437.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			

	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Please consider delaying the start of this project to better manage the impact on rates. But I am generally supportive of the project as fitness in the community is poor and we have a health epidemic in NZ.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	437.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Please do more to moderate rate increases, Last year my rates increased 14%. This year 16% is forecasted. Rate increases should be more in line with inflation in general.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	437.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Please upgrade our water infrastructure to meet chlorine-free requirements. I have read the advice on cost in the consultation document and would willingly accept the impact on our rates. This is vital infrastructure.		

Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	437.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I support the climate change policy. I oppose co-governance and treating NZ Citizens differently on the basis of ethnicity. Democracy is built on one person, one vote. Therefore I am opposed to Māori getting a special seat at the table on Council decision -making		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	437.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	I am opposed to the proposal to build a stadium on the out skirts of Rolleston. Rate payers will bear the loss of this either directly through contributions or indirectly through increased demands on roads and infrastructure. There are other improvements we need more urgently.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	438	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	438.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	438.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Submitter Number:	439	Submitter: Benjamin Souter
Point Number	439.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.	
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52	

	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	439.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23		

	Years 6-10: \$6.05		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	439.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	439.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	440	Submitter:	Patricia Hill
Point Number	440.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		

Point Number	440.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	441	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	441.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 441.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	441.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		

Point Number	441.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	441.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

**Submitter
Number:**

442

Submitter:

Heather McKenzie

Point Number

442.1

CategoryQuestionnaire > BD1 -
Transport - Park and Ride**Comments:**

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment: Can there be a ride from Leeston Dunsandel & Southbridge to Lincoln or Rolleston too

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what

Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.			
Point Number	442.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30		

	2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	442.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	442.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

	Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	442.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	442.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	No Pay increases for Councillors unless there is an achievement in their department to help fund all these ventures...		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	443	Submitter:	Megan Fraser
Point Number	443.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: please put forward to Ecan for regular bus services into town from the newer subdivision eg extend #5 to include the faringdon bus routes as a bus from faringdon only regularly goes to Lincoln not town or even rolleston township		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury who provides Metro Bus Services, needs to undertake more regular service reviews in Selwyn to take account of urban growth. Farrington has a number of bus services for different trips such as the 820 and 85 that can link to the 5 Metro Service.		
Point Number	443.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	443.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	443.4	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	444	Submitter:	Karen Sunckell
Point Number	444.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 444.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	444.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	444.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	444.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	445	Submitter:	Tania Osborne for Urban Effects
Organisation:	Urban Effects		
Point Number	445.3	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	Providing information on products they can offer Selwyn District Council for street scaping.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility.		

Submitter Number:	446	Submitter:	Melisa Rusbatch
Point Number	446.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	446.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces			

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	446.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		
Funding: Rates: 100%		
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	446.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Lane pool	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	446.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	446.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:**Staff****Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

446.7

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments:	446.8 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: Lane pool		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	446.10	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
	Comment: Covered pool complex at Darfield please		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		

Submitter Number:	447	Submitter:	Jan Fuller
Point Number	447.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			
Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding.			

	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	447.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01		

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	447.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	447.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	447.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number Comments:	447.6 Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council
Point Number Comments:	447.7 Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	447.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would		

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.		
	Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01		
	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *		
	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	447.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	447.10 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	448	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	448.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	448.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

		2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3	

Submitter Number:	449	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	449.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	449.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

Staff Recommendation	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	449.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	449.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	449.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	450	Submitter: Shanelle CarrollPudney
Point Number	450.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	450.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	450.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	450.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option		

Staff Recommendation	Comment: Provided there is an option to extend the building in due course when the population continues to grow without having to completely re-design/change the new building. Forward thinking. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	450.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	450.7 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	As a rate payer in a smaller community I do wonder what my \$ really pays for in my local area with the proposal of numerous halls & pool being expected to close. A very expensive rubbish & recycling bin. We put up with many many years of unsuitable water from the council (Springfield Scheme) thus resulting in having to take matters into our own hands - a very costly experience.
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.
Point Number	450.9 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:	The traditional "oh just travel to Rolleston" to the use the facilities is not always viable. Costs are through the roof for living and I believe people would rather see less over priced and poorly designed facilities being built over the next 10 years and rates staying at a more sustainable level. Yes we need to grow and move with the times but I feel it needs to be thought through as if it was "you" paying for the building/facility etc - not someone else's money.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	450.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	With the closure of many local facilities this would be very poor form on the council's behalf. Where are the local children expected to learn to swim. Darfield and Rolleston are already at capacity and the amount of time taken out of the classroom for the children to travel would be over and above what is acceptable. The community ran this pool successfully for many years and they should be given the opportunity to be gifted it back along with the funds allocated to decommission to bring it up to an acceptable standard to be continued and enjoyed for many years to come.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		
Point Number	450.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	I believe this is for businesses to work towards together - not rate payers money.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.

Submitter Number:	451	Submitter:	Lynn Anderson for Orana Wildlife Trust
Organisation:	Orana Wildlife Trust		
Point Number	451.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Community funding /grants
Comments:	Seeking funding \$190,000 per year from Selwyn District Council approximately \$8 each year or \$0.67 each month per rate payer.		
Staff Recommendation	This request will be considered by Council within the Long Term Plan Deliberations. Strategic Partnerships are part of the Council's Community Funding Programme and any arrangements with groups are generally made for three years, subject to performance and delivery. A submission to Council's Long-Term Plan process, plus strong alignment with Council's strategic direction and role is required for consideration for this fund. The activities funded are generally activities that, should they not be delivered, might reasonably be expected to be the responsibility of Council or impact an aspect of the District's wellbeing.		

Submitter Number:	452	Submitter:	Christine Fernandez
Point Number	452.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	452.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	452.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	452.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Accessibility to sport plastic recycling		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We are unsure of the nature of your submission. If it relates to soft plastic recycling, currently The Packaging Forum provides soft plastic recycling		

	receptacles at various locations. These can be found via this link https://www.recycling.kiwi.nz/store-locator		
Point Number	452.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	Future proofing of Selwyn District from damaging floods.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters request for additional planning and upgrades to reduce flooding is acknowledged. Environment Canterbury has recently completed a Long Term Plan consultation process with the Selwyn community about an additional targeted rate for district wide flood and river resilience work. Staff recommendation is to proceed with the stormwater capital works programme as budgeted.		
Point Number	452.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Awareness (more awareness) re sustainability/ recycling ie: awareness drives		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. Our dedicated waste and sustainability education centre (named ReDiscover) located at the Pines Resource Recovery Park opened last year and we offer free programmes for schools and community groups, as well as outreach programmes to early childhood education centres. Council also regularly hold public workshops and talks about waste minimisation, support Lincoln Envirotown's Responsible Business Assessment and award programme, and publish information on social media. Recycling bin auditing continues to be a successful way to provide information about recycling and contamination. We would be keen to hear ideas for different ways we can promote our waste minimisation education.		

Submitter Number:	453	Submitter:	Sean Fernandez

Point Number	453.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	453.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.

Project cost:

Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$53.14

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

453.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	453.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the			

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	453.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	453.8	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading

Comments:	Improve country roads and intersections due to larger volume of traffic now and minimize major flooding during heavy rains.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The roading team is making the roading network more resilient to all weather events. Rural intersection upgrades and drainage renewals have been programmed.		
Point Number	453.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Selwyn to be Selwyn not be a supercity		
Staff Recommendation	The community outcomes in the Long Term Plan seeks to describe the future of the district. Some relevant outcomes are growth that consolidates and intensifies our towns as well as ensuring each town's identity is promoted.		
Point Number	453.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Improve Ellesmere Lake so it will be a destination in the future. Make it a destination for everyone like the other popular lakes.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Te Waihora is a significant feature in the district. As Council develops the Blue-Green Plan as part of Future Selwyn the lake and its environs will be considered. The lake is of special significance to Ngai Tahu and local Runanga and there are already programmes in place to help restore the lake and surrounding tributaries. Lake restoration and developing opportunities for interacting with the lake are the responsibility of multiple agencies including Runanga , Environment Canterbury, Department of Conservation, Waihora Ellesmere Trust, Selwyn District Council and Christchurch City Council. Council has relatively small land holdings around the lake (Lakeside Domain) and is working on programmes to improve these sites.		

Submitter Number:	454	Submitter:	Maddy Morhau

Point Number	454.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	454.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	454.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	454.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Upgrade Rolly pool and put a new outdoor pool in Jelly park. Also a cafe would be lovely at the pool.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The requirement to enhance Aquatics offerings will completed as a wider piece of		

community facility provision work post LTP. The request for a cafe at the Selwyn Aquatic Centre is supported by staff.

Submitter Number:	455	Submitter:	Ronald Pankhurst
Point Number	455.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	455.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	455.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$143,000

Estimated impact on rates:

Included in our current rates

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Staff Recommendation

Point Number

455.4

Category

Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:

Question:

We want to hear from you - should we:
 Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Project cost:

None

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

None

Funding:

None

Comment:

It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number	455.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	455.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	455.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Growth
Comments:	Slow down Rolleston growth (it is getting ridiculously large and unpleasant). Reduce rates by slowing down buildings/ parks etc. Remember many people in Selwyn pay the rates but never use the facilities or live miles away.		

Staff Recommendation	Population projections are not predictions, rather they indicate probable outcomes based on assumptions. Council needs to respond to growth and have statutory time frames to meet. A key assumption for the Long Term Plan is the population projections. As each town grows, there is a need to understand its future, as this improves their self-sufficiency.		
Point Number	455.9	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Please don't continue to use Māori names for places, events etc. No one understands them and these lead to confusion and often anger.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand. Recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.		

Submitter Number:	456	Submitter:	Graham and Averil Carpenter
Point Number	456.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	456.3 Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities Prebbleton has waited long enough and is in need of a new facility now. The need for a multi purpose (not sport facility) which would accommodate social activities and special area for drama and the performing arts. Not all people are sport inclined The submission is acknowledged. Plans for a new Prebbleton Community Centre are still included in the current Long-Term Plan. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	456.4 Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities . Also we have been involved with Prebbleton Heritage for over 30 years. A new facility must be able to have an area to hold all this heritage info and available for the public to view displays etc The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signaled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	457	Submitter:	Nicolas Koster
Point Number	457.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: park and ride bus is essential with a exoress into Hornby. Alternatively there could be a park n ride train service that could do the same thing		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. The location of one of Councils Rolleston Park N Ride facilities is next to the main rail line should in future rail passenger transport be considered viable. A primary purpose of the Park N Rides is to support additional express and direct bus services		

planned by Environment Canterbury. Council is advocating Park N Ride is also provided at Hornby as part of the MRT project.			
Point Number	457.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	457.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	457.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

		Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option	
Staff Recommendation		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Point Number	457.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	458	Submitter:	Richard Fowler
Point Number	458.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	458.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces			

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	458.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.			
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000			
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates			
Funding: Rates: 100%			
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	458.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	458.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	458.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Referring to page 51, I very strongly support that the replacement of the Prebbleton Public Hall at 617 Springs Road be included in the Selwyn district long term plan 2024 - 2034 with work to begin during 2025/2026 to provide a community centre for the ever growing community of Prebbleton to provide a reasonable return on significant rates paid by residents to provide an enhanced facility with the likelihood of even more hall hire bookings (to cover expenses by 2035) to prevent the loss of the recent hall because of earthquakes.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26.

A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	459	Submitter:	Derham and Daphne McAven
Point Number	459.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	We are distressed to see that once again a new community centre facility for Prebbleton has been relegated back to the planning stage. In the last 10 years, SDC has employed at least 2 consultants to gauge the needs of the community and make recommendations. Local residents attended meetings and presented submissions to these 'consultants' without any action - all ignored. And now we read more planning and investigations. Prebbleton needs its own community meeting facility - a place where locals can congregate. While we acknowledge that there are many demands on SDC to provide services over the whole region, it appears that once again Prebbleton's community facility has been side stepped in spite of residents paying in their annual rates for such a venue.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was		

easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	460	Submitter:	Syed Waleed Ahmad
Point Number	460.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	My family is a regular user of the Prebbleton community which is earthquake prone and must be out of public use by 2034. Please consider providing an adequate replacement to meet the aging needs of the local community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		

Submitter Number:	461	Submitter:	Kevin Sarjeant

Point Number	461.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	461.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	461.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.			
Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000			
Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates			
Funding: Rates: 100%			
Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	461.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	461.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	461.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Put Prebbleton Hall replacement back into the long term plan		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning		

and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	462	Submitter:	David Bradford
Point Number	462.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: They don't need it. There are other things that should be more important in Selwyn.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	462.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.

Project cost:

Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$53.14

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:** Do this and it would help everyone in the District.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	462.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: The park is a very good park that just needs some love and attention. Leeston is a growing town and lots of traffic that moves through.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	462.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Money would be better spent else where, sorry Darfield.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	462.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	462.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I'M sorry but I don't know enough about it. I would like more information on those documents.		

Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	462.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	I would like to say that I am a proud Leeston local and in my view we are all wasting one of the best assets in Selwyn which would be the A&P show grounds. If you wanted to up grade this park, it wouldn't cost that much and it would be a great asset for all in the Selwyn district. Thank you		
Staff Recommendation	Staff do not support the submission because Council is already planning to invest in existing parks in Leeston over the next 10 years and does not see the need for developing additional land that it does not manage or own. Staff recommend that Council does not invest in the development of the Leeston AP Grounds at this point.		

Submitter Number:	463	Submitter:	Angus Bailey
Point Number	463.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Years 4–10: \$19.52			
Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%			
Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	463.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	463.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

Funding: Rates: 100%		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	463.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	463.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	464	Submitter: Brent Corbett
Point Number	464.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	

Point Number	464.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	464.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million)

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	464.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	465	Submitter:	Tina Bond
Point Number	465.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:		Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting	

with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.

Project cost:

Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$53.14

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:** It seems wasteful to be creating an entire new space when there is a building with similar functions on the opposite side of the field. I would like to see the existing football club take on some of the funding above to upgrade & maximise use for the community. To be able to use the entire space in this area of town send like a much more viable option, keeping the sports noise away from the study / meeting rooms & spreading parking requirements.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged. Proximity to other facilities and services has been considered in relation to this matter.

Point Number	465.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: Please take into account that this is a very busy section of the road. I bike to work past this area every day & it is already a concern for me when i can't access the footpath & have to continue on this stretch of road with so many large & often fast vehicles. Increasing foot traffic & vehicle entry/exit on Leeston road will be even more dangerous.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3. This option would address the safety concerns raised,		
Point Number	465.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Could you put funding into the school's sporting areas for extended community use instead? Many small townships make the most of established facilities throughout the community instead of doubling up on space & operational costs.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	465.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	465.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	With the sole focus on park & ride facilities, there is no mention of securing public transport to Leeston/Southbridge. Instead of parking perhaps extend the bus routes to include further stops so commuters wouldn't feel the need to drive to their bus stop. I would particularly		

Staff Recommendation	like some consideration & stability for the public transport access to Leeston.		
	Environment Canterbury provide PT Services. Because of the cost of extending bus services across expanding urban areas, Park N Ride is more effective especially for direct to City services. Environment Canterbury and Council will be investigating 'On Demand' Services as another option within larger townships. The 87 Southbridge to Lincoln service is not well used and maybe discontinued. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		
Point Number	465.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	What's the percentage of people from the town using the pool? Could council propose a donation & have the school & Township fundraise the remainder? I think this should initially be put to Springfield to see the interest & capacity of keeping their pool rather than the district as a whole who have nothing to do with this area, of course people are going to say close it when it has no impact on them!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.		
	The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool.		
	Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff		

	response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		
Point Number	465.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I think that the increasing rates / water charges are not reflective to the gain / facilities or support that we're seeing in our community & that we're largely supporting other more flourishing areas of Selwyn.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	466	Submitter:	Donald Wright
Point Number	466.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Whilst public transport is important for the urban folk it should be user pays and expenditure adjusted as to how many people are using the systems. Maybe smaller more frequent service or indeed rail considering the railway line is already in Rolleston.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Public Transport services are theoretically funded up to 50% by users, unless subsidised or incentivised in other ways. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such as 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. It considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		
Point Number	466.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: A fifteen year plan is probably more appropriate. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	466.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	466.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	We are operating in financially difficult times. This type of expenditure needs to be reduced to the bare essentials.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	466.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The Selwyn district and indeed NZ is financially struggling. I oppose your projected rate increases over a single digit figure. Your list of 'wants' or 'over the top' projects have got to be stopped in their tracks. I realize this is a 10 year plan but due to the country's current low profitability (especially rural and Selwyn is largely rural) then I recommend a 2-5 year plan that would make common sense. The council has to look at cost savings especially with roading contracts and the number of internal personal. The community given the right lead may well be able to assist here. We certainly have in the past. Come on SDC show some leadership as to how we can do better.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	466.9	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Regarding roading, we need to have five or so kilometers of metal roads sealed every year. I put this above sealed cycleways, curbing etc in rural areas. How about considering those that live outside our towns west of SH 1.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. This can be achieved if there is a significant increase in available funding.		
Point Number	466.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Lastly a general comment Remember the basics the council are responsible for, remember a large proportion of businesses and a lot of residents are struggling now and into the foreseeable future including established farmers / businesses'.		

Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	466.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	There should be a new covered pool built in the Sheffield School grounds utilizing all the existing changing rooms etc It is not considered a war memorial as we have an existing cenotaph in Sheffield. The school children would be safe abd the community would be able to have a key to access at their leisure.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		
Point Number	466.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Simply cant afford it in the short term.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the council cannot currently afford this action. Councils' contribution to		

	economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount.		
Point Number	466.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Cant afford it		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	467	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	467.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	467.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Leeston really needs these spaces as a community, the town is really growing and expanding a lot and there are no such spaces in the this community			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	467.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23		

	Years 6-10: \$6.05		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	467.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	467.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	468	Submitter: Angela Berry
Point Number	468.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	468.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

Staff

Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

468.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	468.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 -
			Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	468.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	468.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	468.8	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	The Prebbleton community urgently need a new community centre. This was proposed in the last long term plan, but plans have been paused. The current hall is not fit for purpose and is set to be		

Staff Recommendation	demolished by 2035. This means that the community will have no indoor facility for local community groups to meet. This could be funded (or partly funded) by development contributions.		
	The submission is acknowledged.		
	A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26.		
	A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		
Point Number	468.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Many Selwyn ratepayers cannot afford the huge rates increases that you are proposing. There needs to be a focus of maintaining core services and prioritizing projects that are actually necessary. Other projects, which would be nice to have but are not necessary, could be delayed until they are affordable.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	469	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	469.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 469.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	469.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	469.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Point Number	469.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	470	Submitter:	JANEL HAU
Point Number	470.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public			

Staff Recommendation	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I only agree with a new park and ride at Lincoln; I think two is sufficient at Rolleston at this point. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033 to meet Rolleston demands expected at that time.
Point Number	470.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: I don't think there is a need to build sport facilities as it is all there at Rolleston, which is only a 20/30 mins drive.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	470.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: Can you PLEASE consider putting in a disabled playground - please consult with the Kapiti Council who did this properly. Also a proper disabled toilet - not just something that takes wheel chairs, but also a fold out table that can take the weight of an adult. Often disabled people needs are not considered because 'it costs too much'!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. Currently, council has spread elements of accessible equipment around various parks and reserves around the district. Staff recommend that a dedicated accessible playground could be considered for a future Park/Reserve in Selwyn, or at an existing Park/Reserve that is being upgraded. This inclusion of a fully accessible toilet could also be considered, if a fully Accessible Playground design was approved.		
Point Number	470.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Without good technology you cannot thrive in this world. Comment:			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	470.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I would rather see money go towards other bus options: a more connecting Selwyn bus link with all our main district areas: Lincoln, Leeston, Springston, Darfield, Kirwee, West Melton. And then this Selwyn bus link connect with the Rolleston one into town. Also looking at the type of buses used e.g. highly used like Darfield to Christchurch having a long bus like in Auckland or a double decker bus. And less populated routes having van like services. Maybe looking into electric buses.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. Environment Canterbury provide PT services including the type of vehicle best suited for the role.		
Point Number	470.10	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	2. Either Selwyn Council or if it is not domain put immense pressure on the agency it is, to: - where it becomes one lane at the end of the motorway just before the lights, put it has two lanes. There is enough space there! It becomes such a bottle neck when travelling back from Christchurch, especially 5-6pm week days. Its no better taking the turn off to go down Levi road. - create the cycle path to go along side the motorway. A lot of people would commute to work in Christchurch if this was there. The current cycle way goes via Templeton or Prebbleton, which adds at least 10km to your already 20-25km journey. It is also extremely unsafe e.g. you have to travel down Selwyn road if you want to avoid		

	the extra distance to Lincoln the cycle track takes you that way, or with the Templeton way you have to cross a double roundabout against the traffic!		
Staff Recommendation	The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility. Cyclepaths along State Highways are planned by NZTA		
Point Number	470.11	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	1. I would like to see rail utilised from/to Rolleston as a fast link to Christchurch; with maybe one stop at e.g. Hornby and then people being able to use their ticket to continue to their destination by bus.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. It considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service.		
Point Number	470.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I don't understand anything on these pages. Is there information sessions for the public to explain these in details? I would attend if there were, as going by the titles they seem very important, and hence something I should know about in detail.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	470.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	3. I would like there to be squash courts added to the sports complex.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the submission is acknowledged but the funding requested is not approved. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different		

	community facility types, often requesting significant capital input, Squash being one of them. Staff note that existing facility utilisation has not been outlined in the submission. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project.		
Point Number	470.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Though I would like it explained (in detail with figures) why it costs so much to close it? Surely there is enough landfill lying around to fill it in!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	470.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	But I'm curious HOW you are going to do this? Is there another document with more detail information on the HOW? Please no more food businesses, we have more than enough. Whether it is Selwyn district or the country as a whole, we have to be more innovative and develop more niche markets that are required and in demand. There is still not enough work out here for either my partner or myself to be able not to travel into Christchurch for work.		
	Also more assistance for young people to get employment locally whether it be full or part time.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy if more information is provided. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. There will be an action plan formed. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning and comments have been noted by relevant team.		

Submitter Number:	471	Submitter:	Arindam Basu
Point Number	471.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Public transport is absolutely necessary.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	471.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment: Bring the community along for decision making.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	471.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	471.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	471.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	471.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability

Comments:	At the moment, climate change mitigation and adaptation is an existential crisis and sustainability needs to be in the main focus. While the strategy does lay out policies pertaining to some of the SDG (sustainable development goals), it needs to put emphasis on how the council would like to address ALL of the SDGs. It was encouraging to read in Asset and Service management strategy section that the council is focused on maintaining networks and implement upgrades. This is where refurbishment of community assets like Sheffield Pools (rather than dismantling and rebuilding elsewhere, a cost effective refurbishment in collaboration with the community) can be a star example of maintenance and upgrading AND at the same time addressing pressing needs of the country and community (with respect to SDG and climate sensitivity).		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges. The Council is also developing an Emissions Plan and Sustainability Plan to identify actions into the future.		
Point Number	471.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Sheffield memorial pool is an ABSOLUTELY IMPORTANT community asset that needs to be preserved for the following reasons: * This provides the safest and best opportunity in the community members and children in particular to learn how to be water safe, to meet the goals so that we can work collectively to instill respect for the water, and encourage safe behaviours/tikanga by all people in Aotearoa New Zealand so that drowning fatalities and injuries are reduced. * If the community pool is maintained, it will bring the community around to make most use of it (take ownership of the pool, use it) * An open pool provides a natural offset for global warming (climate change mitigation), as per Environment Ministry of NZ, see https://environment.govt.nz/publications/climate-change-		

[and-communities-what-the-national-adaptation-plan-means-for-you/#adapt-and-thrive-building-a-climate-resilient-new-zealand](#)

* It's highly cost effective in terms of life saved as a community pool allows for water related activities for children, adults, and elderly that keeps people fit and healthy. Our own estimation of the values indicate that several millions of dollars will be saved in terms of avoiding costs of arthritis and heart disease related deaths and disabilities if people (elderly or those above 65 years) were to regularly use a swimming and water facility.

* Sheffield, in particular, is on the way to West Coast and receives a large volume of traffic and it also has a "pie shop" known well in the country: having a pool where tourists can even use will boost Sheffield's and Selwyn's tourism potential even more.

* A community pool open to the public would MITIGATE against LONELINESS, this is particularly effective for elderly population in and around Sheffield, Springfield, and Darfield region. A open and freely accessible community pool would also mean Sheffield would be a welcoming community where people of all ages would have a chance to meet everyone around the poolside

* Aotearoa New Zealand already has an excellent culture around water sports, water related entertainment (swimming and hot tub); presence of a community pool managed by the local community would further boost this important community resource.

* Being a memorial pool, it should enjoy a special status as a mark of respect to the war veterans. It should be a matter of national and community pride to keep it open and be made accessible to the community members for now and posterity

* Sheffield pool in its present location is highly accessible, being right on a major highway SH73, and therefore, this accessible pool is a rich community asset that can be maintained and embellished.

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.

Point Number

471.11

Category

Questionnaire > Matters
For Community Guidance
> Digital Solutions

Comments:	Absolutely essential. While Selwyn District Council is already very good with technology, to keep up with the cutting edge, it needs to invest in better technology solutions. There is really no end to it, and as time progresses, as per Moore's Law, the costs will come down further so any money planned to be spent is well spent.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	471.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	On the whole, the long term plan is very proactive, but it misses on two big issues: Climate Change response and mitigation and addressing sustainable development goal commitment of Aotearoa New Zealand. These two important points need to be addressed urgently. As an example, while there have been some statements about increasing public transport and cycleways (these are lauded, good examples), there needs to be more. For example, think of a cycleway and establishment of a public transport system (busway and regular bus service between Darfield and Christchurch: one exists but it is only once a day and we need more such service or more frequent runs). Likewise, Canterbury being practically the farming capital of New Zealand, we need sustainable farming friendly proactive policy that addresses sustainable development goals tailored to our region. Perhaps policy statements on regenerative farming practices to be encouraged around the region, or how we might bolster to bring down greenhouse gas emission to the lowest levels could be incorporated in the policy. I was also expecting to see Selwyn's commitment to Carbon market or climate friendly practices. Selwyn needs to lead New Zealand on this. We need to see evidence of this in the policy document. It is expected that we in Selwyn will be New Zealand's most populous district and therefore there are newer challenges to which we need to prepare the district. We need evidence of that thinking in the longer term policy documentation.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions.		

	The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges. The Council is also developing an Emissions Plan and Sustainability Plan, as well as an Economic Development Strategy to identify actions into the future.

Submitter Number:	472	Submitter:	Claire Bunt
Point Number	472.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	We would love to see a dog park in Lincoln.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission as the need for a dog park in Lincoln has already been identified and a budget was provided for this as part of the 2021-31 LTP process. However, it has been difficult to find a suitable site in Lincoln for this. With the acquisition and planned development of the land to extend Lincoln Domain there is an opportunity to provide a dedicated space for dogs and this has been built into plans. Staff recommend that a dog park is included in the development of the extension to Lincoln Domain, and this is covered by development budgets allocated in the draft LTP supplemented by the carry forward of the existing budget from 2023/24 (\$160,000).		

Submitter Number:	473	Submitter:	Sandra Robertson

Point Number	473.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Something needs to be done to improve the ability for those in Southbridge and Leeston to get access to public transport. The current bus service does not work for working people, or for the elderly.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Environment Canterbury provide PT Services. The 87 Southbridge to Lincoln service is not well used and maybe discontinued. It was established for workers/commuters. Elderly also have access to Community Trust Vehicle services in places.		
Point Number	473.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we		

want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

I support the submission from the Leeston Community Committee supporting Option 4 (as marked above).

A plan needs to be developed for Leeston which allows for growth and keeps the vibrancy of the town, rather than hap hazard decisions being made.

I do not believe the new library/community centre should be built beside Leeston Park. This is on the heavy transport bypass making the area noisy (meaning extra expense in soundproofing the building) and of even greater concern is the danger crossing here poses to children and the elderly accessing the library. It is an accident waiting to happen! I fully support the idea of building the new community centre / library on the current library site. I feel this will give us a sports end of town and a civic hub at the other end, with less than a 5min walk between. This will help maintain the

	vibrancy of the town centre. It will also reduce the amount of soundproofing required on the new building leading to a great reduction in cost. The concept plan is not a useable building. Triangles are expensive to build and have too much waste space inside. The building needs to be a standard shape that can be added to when the population increases and is a repeatable design. I agree it does not require a 'commercial' kitchen - but it does require a workable kitchen with a decent fridge/freezer and oven. It is easy to say that people get caterers now days - but if the facilities are not adequate then they have no choice but to get caterers. This will make using the facility less appealing as many would be happy to do their own catering. The hire cost of the venue would then not be such a barrier. It is disappointing there has been little consultation with the residents of Leeston on the project. The majority of consultation has been done at times when working people could not attend. It is also disappointing the council has chosen not to provide information on the outcomes of the consultation they have done to the Leeston Community Committee and other interested parties in a timely manner. We have basically been given 6 weeks to gain input from the residents and put in the submission. While I have no problem with the name - it needs to also include 'Library & Community Centre'. It appears more consultation has occurred with the Runanga than it has with the other residents of Leeston and surrounds.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Location options have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	473.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: With regards to upgrades to Leeston Park - there is no urgent need to upgrade the toilets at the park. These are perfectly serviceable. Of more urgent need is a pavilion that can be used by all of the sports groups using the park. It would be great if the council would allow the community to be involved with any landscaping / planting in the park. There are many who would take pride in helping out with this, and it would help to increase the feeling of community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and the submitters preference for a central HUB pavilion for sports user groups (E.g. indoor court) rather than what is proposed (new toilet block with changerooms). Staff support the suggestion of community planting days and recommend including community planting days as part of any Leeston Park improvements undertaken. This could be organised similar in a similar way to the planting days held when Foster Park was constructed.		
Point Number	473.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Leeston also does not have indoor sports facilities. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number Comments:	473.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation Point Number Comments:	Submission is acknowledged by Council. 473.9 Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities You appear to have done away with all the volunteers - e.g. the hall committees, library volunteers - and replaced these with 'paid' employees. These paid employees do not have the community local buyin that the volunteers had, and are costing the district a lot. This could significantly reduce the operating costs for the council, but also help to increase use of council facilities and the feeling of 'community' the council says it wants.

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged. Council values and encourages the role of volunteers and is actively and positively working with large numbers of volunteer sports clubs, heritage groups, arts groups, and NGOs delivering social services and community groups to support their roles in communities. Many Council teams continue to actively work with and utilise volunteers in various forms and we continue to look for further opportunities for these volunteers / voluntary groups to take a leadership role in contributing to community wellbeing.		
Point Number	473.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	From an environmental / climate change perspective, the council should be passing a by-law to ensure all new builds in the Selwyn District include Solar Panels to reduce the burden on electricity. This does not need to include the installation of batteries, as these are expensive. Any excess power generated by the panels can be put back into the grid. Adding panels when the building is being built is far more economical than installing them later.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. While we cannot require solar panels through the Resource Management consenting process, council can explore sustainable solutions for residents through its Sustainability Plan and will work with power generators for options.		
Point Number	473.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	New Zealand's drowning rates are horrific. This is largely due to the reduction in the number of pools available for children to be learning to swim during their school years.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Point Number	473.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	It is good the council are working with businesses on this. However, it would also be good if the council provided more support to the volunteer organisations in the district that are trying to bring Event Tourism into the district. Some of this requires support from the council until it is established, and this can take 2-3 years (minimum).		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	473.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	I would like to see the council implementing a system whereby 2 quotes are required for any repairs before any work is carried out. It appears currently that decisions are being made, and money being spent that could be done far cheaper.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged by Council. All work follows approved and audited Tender principles to ensure value for money and compliance with the task sought.		

Submitter Number:	474	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	474.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: We should proceed with this build, with or without NZTA support. Our climate cant wait until a change of central government Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 474.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Might as well build a new and big building now rather than delaying it into the future, it will be more expensive			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	474.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: Might as well build a new and big building now rather than delaying it into the future, it will be more expensive It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	474.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	474.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Comments:

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	474.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	-Change the way we rate. Use Land Value rating to generate more funds		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	474.10	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	-Charge a development contribution or pollution contribution from big polluters in the district, we can use it for climate change mitigation		
Staff Recommendation	The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility. Council does not have the legislative mandate to apply a levy for high polluters or high carbon emitters. This would be a Central Government responsibility.		
Point Number	474.12	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I support the climate change policy and Maori land policy		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	474.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	-Collect a special rate for the redevelopment of Christchurch Cathedral, its a cathedral for us all in Canterbury anyway		

Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	475	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	475.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	475.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	475.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better		

		technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	475.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Go back to the key system, allow the residents of sheffield, Waddington and surround areas to buy a key for the season. It will get more use this way. That's how it was done just over 11 years ago and everyone used it		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.		
The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool.			
Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.			

Submitter Number:	476	Submitter:	Yvonne Lamond for Selwyn Central Community Care
Organisation:	Selwyn Central Community Care		

Point Number	476.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	476.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation		Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	476.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	All productive land should be rated at the same universal rate, with no exemptions.		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	476.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	As a community organisation we are concerned at the grandiose spending and ideology of requiring "world class facilities" in providing our community facilities. Keep within a modest budget and have a regard for those paying rates. The old adage "live within our means" should apply to all council spending.		
Staff Recommendation		That the submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	476.9	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	More importantly a more improved bus system , one that will cater for those that are off the existing routes. Maybe shuttles within Rolleston. Have a bus depot and supply a Park and Ride there.		
Staff Recommendation		Environment Canterbury is planning to investigate 'On Demand' Services within larger townships. It is also planning to increase the frequency of the 5 Metro bus service and investigate additional direct and express PT services to the city in conjunction with the planned Park N Rides.	

Point Number	476.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Community funding /grants
Comments:	With the aged population increasing within our district there should be a significant budgeted contribution in the LTP to support the community organisations that can deliver social benefits to the community in the most cost effective and supportive way.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council are currently developing Te PaePae, a strategy for ageing positively in Waikirikiri Selwyn. The associated action plan that will be developed later this year will identify Council's priorities and role going forward. The submitter's points will be forwarded to the appropriate Council team for consideration in the strategy action plan.		

Submitter Number:	477	Submitter:	Mark Tayles
Point Number	477.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	477.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46
	Funding: Rates: 100%
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	477.3
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	477.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	477.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	478	Submitter:	Raymond Morgan
Point Number	478.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Please use both ENGLISH and our indigenous		

		peoples language in all signage. I find it exceptionally difficult to understand what is being spoken or referred to.	
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		
Point Number	478.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	478.3	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	478.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	478.6	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	I am in agreement with policy which improves traffic flow and safety of our roading network.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff note the general support for road funding.		
Point Number	478.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	The use of digital services to reduce staffing numbers. Staff increase over the past two years must have had a significant in the salaries budget. Follow the example of central government in this regard.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	479	Submitter:	Isabel Kirk
Point Number	479.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	479.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	479.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	479.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	As a local resident and looking at the long term plan I would suggest the council purchasing Broadfield Gardens for community use would be money well spent as it is a national treasure and is close to Rolleston which is growing at such a pace that a beautiful botanic garden would benefit the community in many ways in the long term without having to take productive land and starting from scratch. Do not miss this opportunity for the district as a whole just look at how well visited the city botanic gardens are. The opportunities for events at the gardens would enhance the council portfolio.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge the quality and significance of Broadfield Gardens and the opportunity it presents as a formal garden to complement the existing open spaces. However, the proposal does have some costs and risks that need to be weighed up alongside other options. This includes the cost of purchase (and how that could be funded), the cost of additional infrastructure to enable public use, the on-going cost of maintenance and potential revenue opportunities. There are also some limiting factors such as proximity, accessibility and connectivity. It is noted that Council is considering development of a formal garden at the District Park as part of stage 1		

development: that would focus on 3 main types or components of formal gardens: botanic plantings (including natives, exotics and floras); sister city garden opportunities; community gardens. Although the acquisition of the garden presents an opportunity for Council to provide a special area of open space that is not replicated anywhere else in the District, staff are of the view that the proposal is difficult to support at this time given the costs and other factors mentioned above. Staff recommend that Council does not purchase Broadfield Garden at this point in time but considers what other mechanisms are available or support it could provide to retain the garden for the district's benefit.

Submitter Number:	480	Submitter:	Muriel Haines
Point Number	480.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Because like any responsible household, council and government we all need to make cuts in our spending in order to pay for the essentials. This project is a nice to have but not a need.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	480.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Use the income the council gets from development costs and the final payment to council on the sale of each section to fund the upgrades. That's what it is there for.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Funding has been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	480.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Use the income the council gets from development costs and the final payment to council on the sale of each section to fund the upgrades. That's what it is there for.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1. Development contribution funding can only cover project elements required to extend capacity to meet demand from growth. As this project is primarily an upgrade of the park to improve levels of service only a minor part of the cost can be funded by development contributions.		
Point Number	480.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Cut spending. These are all nice to have. What really matters to each household paying rates, stop the increases so people can enjoy where they live.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	480.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:			
		Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	480.6	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	480.8	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC

Comments:	The addition of a new development contribution. No because you already have two hits at getting funding from the developer and then the purchaser indirectly when the section is sold. All this does is make sections even more expensive. Even I can fathom that out.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff note the opposition to the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure. Council has not previously charged a development contribution for this activity as allowed for under the Local Government Act but has decided that it is fair and reasonable to pass the appropriate portion of the cost of new community facilities to the growth community where they gain a direct benefit.		
Point Number	480.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The Council should prioritise on spending to water, roads, sewer and maintaining public places. That is what our rates are essentially for. Stop growing the employees in Council. We are in tough financial times and we all need to make sacrifices to pay back debt. Not increase it for another time to pay back.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	480.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	One voice one vote please. Māori do not need to have an extra voice on council. This will end up where we only have the voice of Māori and very little from the general public		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	480.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	Because we need to cut the growing role of Council and the increasing number of people you employ. Stick to the essentials.		
	This is a nice to have extra.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	480.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Do with what you have already. You need to save money, not spend it.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	481	Submitter:	Wayne Owens
Point Number	481.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Please see general comments at the end of the submission. SDC must limit rate rises to no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years and operate within the resulting budget It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 481.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Please see general comments at the end of the submission. SDC must limit rate rises to no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years and operate within the resulting budget The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	481.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Please see general comments at the end of the submission. SDC must limit rate rises to no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years and operate within the resulting budget It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	481.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Please see general comments at the end of the submission. SDC must limit rate rises to no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years and operate within the resulting budget		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	481.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	481.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Households across Selwyn do not have the luxury of creating a spending plan that takes no account of income and then going to their employer and saying "I've worked out what I would like to spend money on in the coming year (10 Years) and I don't have enough money so you as my employer are now responsible for increasing my salary accordingly". Sounds ridiculous but this is exactly the way in which SDC are approaching this 10 year plan.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	481.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Please see general comments at the end of the submission. SDC must limit rate rises to no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years and operate within the resulting budget		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	481.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Please see general comments at the end of the submission. SDC must limit rate rises to no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years and operate within the resulting budget		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Point Number	481.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	This whole 10 year plan consultation has been done completely the wrong way around. Rather than asking what people would like and then working out the costs and raising rates to cover it, the approach should be "we are committing rates rises at no more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years. We will work within the resulting budget to deliver that which we are legally obliged to deliver. If we have any surplus please let us know which projects you favour".		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	481.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The proposals are far too ambitious resulting as they do in significant rate rises. Councils have a monopoly position which should not be abused. Like any business they should budget based on planned income. From the rates, that planned income should be restricted to annual rises at no more than the rate of inflation. Resulting budgets should be used firstly to do what Councils are legally obliged too do, any surplus income can then be used for the most beneficial projects. Such projects SHOULD NOT include such farcically overblown developments such as that which has appeared at the Pines reclamation centre in Rolleston. Who is responsible for this white elephant in the making???		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	482	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	482.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Park and ride is good but not really the ideal option. An improved transport system would be the best option to discourage cars. The more roads you build, the more cars you get. Riding is not always the greatest thing in wet weather		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Improving the uptake of PT will reduce car use over long distances in conjunction with Park N Ride facilities and improved PT services		
Point Number	482.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

	construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	482.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	483	Submitter: Leslie McAuley
Point Number	483.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	483.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: We are currently in a cost of living crisis and the council continues to spend money it does not have and increasing rates. Our ratepayers are already struggling.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	483.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	483.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	483.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	483.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Borrowing Levels
Comments:	The high long term debts levels of projects and overruns of projects outweigh the medium to long term debt that are rested upon ratepayers. Ratepayers and businesses alike could not sustain to run their homes or businesses, like the council is spending money. Ratepayers are already hurting with increased interest rates and council rates. At some stage something has to give. With a cost of living crisis that the country is currently experiencing and minimal income increases, interest rate increases with families with mortgages, will continue to make it difficult for homeowners to pay rates. These proposals are not going to alleviate the ongoing increases to medium to long term debt that all councils throughout NZ, including CCC are struggling to pay the interest on. Making it easier to pay for the debt does not change the fact the some of the projects proposed by the council, does not reduce the debt.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		

Submitter Number:	484	Submitter:	Rory Deans
Point Number	484.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	484.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	484.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	484.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	484.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	484.9	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Like why is it going to cost millions to NOT adding chlorine to water supplies. If you don't do it it costs nothing!!! Explain, explain, explain.		
Staff Recommendation	Under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules there is a requirement to add a small dose of chlorine to the piped network after treatment. To be able to move to intermittent chlorination (for eligible schemes) an exemption from Taumata Arowai is required. Exemption will only be granted by the regulator if infrastructure and systems can lower risk sufficiently. Having obtained an exemption for Rakaia Huts, Council has sought independent advice on the infrastructure upgrades required to obtain exemptions for 17 potentially eligible schemes. Without continuous chlorination, additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	484.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Keep changes to a bare minimum and smallest cost. Do only as little as may be required by law. Push back against Govt offloading cost & projects onto council. Stick to core business of roads, rubbish etc & minimise touchy feely nice stuff. Costs too much.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	484.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Don't close the pool. Hand it over to a community run organisation		

Give them \$200K to continue running it.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		
Point Number	484.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Stick to core business.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	484.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Manage with what you have. Everyone else has to.		

Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	484.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	Keep us better informed by explaining why council makes the decisions it does. Things happen that we have no idea why.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. Staff are working on making this more accessible. In the meantime, the public can access any council meeting either through livestream or as a recording through our You Tube site - https://www.youtube.com/@SelwynDistrictCouncil		

Submitter Number:	485	Submitter:	Elizabeth Middleton
Point Number	485.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	485.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28

	2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	485.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	485.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	486	Submitter:	Tiffany Eric
Point Number	486.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	486.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	486.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The council needs to be more responsible with planning and budgeting. Families cannot afford a 16% rate increase to their annual rates with the increasing costs of living. The population and residential house builds in numerous new subdivisions around the district provide additional income for the council, yet we have increasingly have rate increases that make it unaffordable to live here.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	486.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The council needs to be more responsible with planning and budgeting. Families cannot afford a 16% rate increase to their annual rates with the increasing costs of living. The population and residential house builds in numerous new subdivisions around the district provide additional income for the council, yet we have increasingly have rate increases that make it unaffordable to live here.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	487	Submitter:	Chris Roper
Point Number	487.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	487.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	487.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 487.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	487.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	487.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	How can the council even consider closing a piece of local history? This is a war memorial pool thats been serving the community for decades, if it was in Rolleston or Lincoln there'd be no question on if it'd be repaired or not.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		

Submitter Number:	488	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	488.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	488.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	488.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.

	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Indoor courts would be an important addition to the Malvern community. My specific interest is in netball - I've played netball outdoors at Darfield for almost 30 years - it a right of passage to play netball in extreme weather conditions in winter, however for the future sustainability of our sport we need to move with the rest of the country and provide better access to facilities. Two indoor courts not only allows those hardened players and supporters to continue to play, but would also encourage a new generation of players and supporters out of the cold wind, rain, and sleet! If we were able to train indoors too (rather than outside on a frosty evening), it would encourage more to attend and would extend the playing career of others. It also provides certainty to the draw and length of season as netball will not need to be called off due to the weather. From a health and safety perspective, we will not longer have the mop the courts before netball and remove the frost. Last season my team mate slipped on the icy court and injured her ankle right in front of me - it was not nice to watch and it could have been me. For someone my age that would be a career ending injury (she was 18 so lucky!). Another aspect is around the skill level of play that is able to be achieved playing on an indoor court - without the fear of asphalt burn to limbs, players play at a higher skill level - which we need to be able to do to compete with players from other areas. At Malvern we've been fighting hard and have been innovative about how we can continue to move forward with netball and not be left behind - two indoor courts are vital to this continuing.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	488.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	489	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	489.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	489.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: There is not quite enough population to justify this. The idea of using repeatable designs across the district is a good one, especially for the more sparsely populated rural areas. Option 1) is the correct decision for now and this should be reconsidered in the next LTP. The design could proceed over the life of this LTP however. However Option 4 should be the basis for approaching the designs.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Population growth predictions have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	489.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 489.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Push this out to the next LTP. Not enough use or population to warrant this.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	489.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	489.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	In common with pretty much every council (I worked in local authorities for many years), SDC has a base problem with a fundamentally broken funding model that does not reflect the increasing roles being asked of it by central government. SDC should continue to advocate for reform to allow other means of generating revenue (or reclaiming it from central government). It also has an issue with capex carry-forwards from over-promising and then being unable to carry out the work. Increased discipline in this space is a high priority. Simply stop trying to do so much. While capex doesn't have such a large impact on overall rates, loan and interest repayments do, so while reducing capital projects to more manageable levels doesn't have a huge impact, reducing the overall debt burden does. Opex will have the greatest impact on rates. I am		

	not one of those who decries and disrespects council staff. You need people to do work if you want to get work done. They also need to be paid properly if you want to get work done properly. However, there will be areas of opex - say running repairs to roads for example that could be tightly reigned in, either by doing less - or perhaps by exploring alternative materials or supplies to ensure. New roads should be being paid by developers of housing - along with all the rest of the horizontal infrastructure. Water supply and treatment and flood defences unfortunately will need to continue and these are big-ticket items.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	489.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I support the proposed changes as outlined in the consultation document.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	489.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Given closeness of Darfield pool, this makes good sense.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	489.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	By all means spend a few thousand by muffins to facilitate business coming up with their own strategies but business will prosper if core infrastructure is taken care of, so people want to move and live here, so they spend money here, so then they can get jobs here...		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others		

	and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	489.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Seems sensible to me...		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	490	Submitter:	Geoff Le Cren
Point Number	490.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 490.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	490.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	490.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	490.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	490.9	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	The concept of "bi-cultural" is abhorrent. It precludes all other cultures that make up Selwyn. We seem obsessed with only one which is ripping our community apart. Understanding and consideration should be always welcomed but not, as it appears now, to be the current council's absolute enforcement of expecting the ratepayers of Selwyn to have maorification thrust down their throats at every turn. No consultation, and no thought to the ongoing and ever-increasing rejection of this forced adherence to one culture only.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Biculturalism is generally recognised as positively impacting multiculturalism in several ways including reducing stereotypes, promoting inclusivity and enhancing cross-cultural communication. Biculturalism in New Zealand demonstrates the commitment to the Treaty of Waitangi and contributes to the well-being of both cultures as well as supports and strengthens the wellbeing of the broader multicultural environment.		
Point Number	490.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Reviewing is one thing but without clarification of detail a rate payer should not be asked to support carte blanche all the policies. For example, the requirement of full maori input into all; any race based input should be welcomed but not restricted to any specific group. The concept of unelected maori wards for example is not democracy. There should be no variation or special rating for maori or duality of house ownership as inferred in the policies. Fair and reasonable rating should have no consideration of specific ethnicity nor of family makeup.		

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate. Council is required by s 108 Local Government Act 2002 to have a policy on the remission and postponement of rates relating to Maori freehold land. When developing this policy staff have considered Council's position regarding rates postponement and remission based on these legislative requirements.		
Point Number	490.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Stick to the councils core, and let private enterprise develop without ratepayer funding		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	490.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Opened ended costing should never be used. Better to work within a fixed costing and develop technologies within the funding limits.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through		

automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	491	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	491.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	491.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	491.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	491.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	491.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	491.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	No specific view		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	491.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	Only where it is cost effective to do so, investing for the sake of having the latest technology if it is going to add to ongoing operating costs only makes the issue of ever increasing rates bigger		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	491.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Although upgrades and new facilities are always going to be required, there needs to be a point where rates are at a sustainable level and ratepayers are aware of the cost implications of nice to have wishes (much like the earlier questions in this submission). Some people may assume that rates 'covering' certain things means current rates and not understanding the full extent of decisions		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	492	Submitter:	Jo Beswick for West Melton Netball & Tennis Club
Organisation:	West Melton Netball & Tennis Club		
Point Number	492.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	492.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Club rooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievements and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Club rooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Thank you for taking time to review our submission. Nga Mihi Nui, Jo Beswick President West Melton Netball Club		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other		

options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored.

The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	493	Submitter:	Judith Pascoe
Point Number	493.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None		

	Funding: None Comment:		
	This funding would be better used to upgrade the well-used Darfield Swimming Pool facilities by covering and so extending the swimming season.		
	Where is the evidence to support a new covered court facility?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	493.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	494	Submitter:	Amy Rock
Point Number	494.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	494.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	494.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	494.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	494.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?	

Staff Recommendation	No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	495	Submitter: Jess Wall
Point Number	495.3	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.	
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other	

options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored.

The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	496	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	496.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed.		

Staff Recommendation	The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	497	Submitter: Rebecca Lowery
Point Number	497.3	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:

The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed.

The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.

Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	498	Submitter:	MEGAN ADAMSON
Point Number	498.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and		

	memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	499	Submitter:	Jeanette Neal
Point Number	499.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	499.5	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	i would like to advocate for a bus service that connects Darfield, Kirwee and West Melton to Rolleston. There is a great service that connects Rolleston to the city but as residents in the outer parts if Selwyn we are unable to access these buses unless we drive ourselves or our children to Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there, and connections to the City.		
Point Number	499.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	I would like to make a submission to the council regarding the West Melton netball and tennis club rooms. It is exciting to hear new courts are in the plans as the current netball courts are not up to standard. I would like for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the		

	history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	500	Submitter:	Sara Fairbrother
Point Number	500.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for

multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	501	Submitter:	Laura Walker
Point Number	501.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I'm writing as a member of Selwyn's performing arts community to ask the council to consider funding for a better performing arts space in Selwyn. I am the co-owner of Pivot Dance Fitness, as well as a teacher at Aurora Dance Studio, and a member of both CentreStage Rolleston and Kirwee Players. We have an exceptionally talented community of actors, singers, dancers and creatives who choose to call Selwyn their home, and it is an incredible shame that we are limited by a lack of good performance spaces in the community. As a dance teacher at Aurora Dance Studio, an ever growing school with 200+ students, it is a struggle to fit all of our students into one performance at Rolleston College, our current venue for end of year shows. Our only other option would be to move our shows to a theatre in Christchurch which we are reluctant to do as we want to keep our performances accessible within our community. This venue also doesn't have an adequate stage for large performances. I can only imagine what our very talented community could do with a purpose built performance venue, rivaling the likes of Burnside's Aurora Centre, or Rangiora Town Hall. I would urge the council to consider the arts in their plan, and guarantee funding to make Selwyn a premier performance community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	502	Submitter:	Sophie Gillespie
Point Number	502.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	503	Submitter:	Caroline Elmore
Point Number	503.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3		

of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	504	Submitter:	James Morris
Point Number	504.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	504.2 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	504.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	504.6	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Selwyn provides many excellent sporting facilities, some of which I use regularly. Many millions has been well spent on these facilities. I am also involved and interested in the Arts however it seems that the facilities and council support for the arts in Selwyn is limited. More support for venues (e.g. performance spaces), operating costs (e.g. for galleries) and promotions, would be an investment worth making in the wellbeing of our community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	504.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	OK		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	505	Submitter:	Alyssa Thomas
Point Number	505.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Selwyn desperately needs a dedicated arts facility. For the high number of young people in schools across Selwyn, representational support for arts and creativity is not appropriate as it is. It is not that the art sector is less sought after in Selwyn, it is that the community groups are constantly compromising on facilities to enable it to be present at all. Not only young people, but the entire population of Selwyn has rapidly grown and continues to do so, with people across all demographics involving themselves in the art sector. Supporting a facility for this would certainly build the capacity and capability of our community and cater to greater skills and abilities across Selwyn.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	506	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	506.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	It would be amazing to see some more focus on the arts as a central and important part of the human experience and building community. I wish there were more arts venues, more funding toward the arts and more focus on the arts by the council.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts,		

events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	507	Submitter:	Caitlin Wall
Point Number	507.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	My biggest passion is the Arts, i personally have felt that my music and theatre have made me who i am and helped me through some really hard times. We need a place where we can express ourselves and practice in a way that can hep us improve. Having our own arts facility would be so beneficial to so many people. To give us a real stage where lights dont have to be taken down every night for rehearsals, where we can have proper private dressing rooms for those involved, to provide us with a more professional environment because for me this the stage is my home and its where i want to be in my future.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	508	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	508.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	508.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 508.3 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
	Do it once and do it right. One court is not enough, that has been proven in the West Melton vacility.		
	Build 2 courts		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	508.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	509	Submitter:	Lauren Thornhill
Point Number	509.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the		

Staff Recommendation	following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	510	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	510.3	Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Rolleston alone has twelve football fields, six cricket pitches, a hockey turf, two 25-metre pools, a synthetic athletics surface, eight netball courts, and a \$21.5 million dollar indoor sports facility. There are zero dedicated arts facilities in all of Selwyn.	

	There are no less than five multi-million dollar sports facilities in Rolleston, and the council is planning for yet another one in Leeston. There is no current plan for a dedicated arts facility anywhere in Selwyn. The complete and utter disparity in support is disappointing. Frustrating. Maddening. A dedicated performance space will provide the existing arts organisations and businesses the opportunity to connect with our community in a way that isn't possible at the moment. It will inspire the next generation of artists. It will open the door to new arts groups which can't yet exist due to the lack of facilities. It will provide opportunities for the young, connection for the elderly, employment for many, and inspiration for all. It is time for the council to add a dedicated arts facility to its long-term plan.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	511	Submitter:	Philip Strang
Point Number	511.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	511.3	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	It appears Council is committed to providing facilities and resources to permit residents' enjoyment of outdoor activities. Page 50 of the long term plan states (to provide) : “open spaces in the townships, along streams, together with the larger parks in rural areas, provide opportunities for people to exercise, relax and enjoy the natural surroundings as well as creating a sense of identity and support biodiversity”. There is a 'paper' road leading from Gerkins road Tai Tapu a distance up the spur of the Port hills. I understand this is a legal accessway walkers and cyclists can enjoy. I request consideration be given to proving some signage that will dispel any argument that this is a publicly available accessway and cannot be unreasonably blocked or obstructed by adjoining landowners.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The operations team is aware of the issue and is considering options.		

Submitter Number:	512	Submitter:	Anita Beswick
Point Number	512.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs		

	achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	513	Submitter:	Linley Thomas

Point Number	513.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I would like to see a greater focus on arts in Selwyn, and the development of a dedicated space for theatre and arts performances. I currently teach a community choir of adults (80 members over 2 hubs, Rolleston and Darfield), as well as several school choirs, and when we look to perform, our only option is the school theatre at Rolleston College. If we are prepared to travel into town, there are any number of specific, fit-for-purpose, and inspiring venues to choose from. In this fast-growing district, surely it's time for a space for this type of event, along with theatre, dance and dramatic arts, which are also a growing area in our community. For all of Rolleston College's willingness to host us, their auditorium is not a dedicated space, it is not always available, the resources and fittings are becoming less suitable over time due to use in the school setting, and the supporting spaces (changing, waiting, preparation) are less than ideal for serious productions. Research shows over and over that participation in arts (singing, drama, dance etc) is beneficial for mental health for children and adults of all ages. Being involved in performing arts supports confidence and connection with others. Please consider adding more emphasis to the arts and the requirements of arts groups in our district, who are working hard to establish opportunities in the arts for our residents, but who consistently come up against the limitations of facilities in our area.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	514	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	514.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			
Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.			
Project cost:			
Years 1–3: \$4.2 million			
Years 4–10: \$11.6 million			
Estimated impact on rates:			
Years 1–3: \$7.06			
Years 4–10: \$19.52			
Funding:			
Rates: 49%			
NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%			
Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	514.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are			

collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	514.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number	514.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	515	Submitter:	Rebecca Prattley
Point Number	515.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	515.2 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Two courts are needed to run netball competitions, and coach young children inside. For safety. We had many dangerous injuries one needing an ambulance on the outdoor court. The pool lady lay on the cold concrete for what seemed like an hour one night. Malvern is growing and feel that it is our turn to have indoor courts as Rolleston and Westmelton are full with their own community. It would be best suited at the sports domain for the whole community to use. If was at the highschool there is less parking and would be limiting for use. It needs to be in our sporting hub area.

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	515.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	516	Submitter:	Freya Ryan
Point Number	516.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	we need a performing arts space in selwyn!! When i went to lincoln high i was heavily involved in the arts, unfortunately my opportunities were few and far thus not allowing me to persure my passion		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	517	Submitter:	Lexi Richards

Point Number	517.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	517.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	517.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The reason I have voted to undertake no upgrades to Leeston Park is due to the large disparity between sports and performing arts in the Selwyn District. As someone who grew up playing both sport and musical instruments, I always had far better access to sport over musical and artistic ventures. This was before the council invested significantly in the sports facilities within Selwyn. Think about how much bigger the gap between the arts and sports is now! I have spoken more on this topic in section 7.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1 and acknowledges the submitters support for performing arts.		
Point Number	517.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I have voted against investing in indoor sport facilities in Darfield due to sports vs arts disparity. Sport can be played outside, whereas performing arts and music require spaces inside year around to practice. I am not against indoor sports facilities but I feel that an arts facility should take priority next given how many new sporting facilities have opened in Selwyn.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	517.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	517.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	It has been brought to my attention that "Sport" is mentioned 26 times in the long term plan, and "Arts" is mentioned twice. As someone who grew up in Selwyn and partook in both sports and arts, I know how important they are to everyone in the community. The disparity between the two is incredibly surprising to me and I cannot understand why the council does not value the arts more. There are already several establishments putting on shows in Selwyn such as the Kirwee players, Centre Stage rollesto, and more. These shows attract hundreds of attendees and draw people into the Selwyn region from Christchurch City. "Rolleston alone has twelve football fields, six cricket pitches, a hockey turf, two 25-metre pools, a synthetic athletics surface, eight netball courts, and a \$21.5 million dollar indoor sports facility. There are zero dedicated arts facilities in all of Selwyn." How are our youth supposed to become engaged in music and the arts when all of our funding goes towards sports. Think of the young talent that could be going unnoticed due to never receiving the chance to try it.		

Staff Recommendation	A dedicated arts space provides existing groups the opportunity to connect with our community in a way that isn't currently possible. It brings together the young, the elderly, and people with disabilities. I propose that the council adds a dedicated arts facility to its long-term plan. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.
Point Number	517.8 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	i am more interested in positive environmental change regarding public transportation than in economic benefits.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.

Submitter Number:	518 Submitter: Kelly McIlroy
Point Number	518.1 Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	518.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: To be built alongside the netball courts at the domain that already exist so we have 1 functional sports facility		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	518.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	518.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The sheffield pool is such an asset to the community and especially the school. Such a fundamental thing for kids to have close to learn how to swim		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	519	Submitter:	Georgia Benny
Point Number	519.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	519.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	519.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
	Point Number	519.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	519.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	519.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	dont need lifeguards waste of time and money people under age shpild simply be with a parent		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	520	Submitter:	Emma Wooding
Point Number	520.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
	Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	520.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	520.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	520.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	520.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	520.8 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able

to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	521	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	521.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	521.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	521.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: build an ice rink		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	521.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	521.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	build an ice rink		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development

Submitter Number:	522	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	522.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Understand the locals don't like the design and while the		

Staff Recommendation	council may need to spend developers funds, it would be better to consult with the community properly, and do something they really want. And what is wrong with calling it the Leeston Community Centre. Council cannot afford to spend this amount of \$'s. The submission is acknowledged.
Point Number	522.2
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number	522.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: We can't afford. Everyone else is tightening their belts, and so should the council.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	522.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	522.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Rates increases should be held to no more than 1% more than Inflation.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	522.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	A couple of years ago the Council did a restructure and employed a lot of middle managers, which has increased the wage costs to the ratepayers. As per the Government, trying to live within its means, SO SHOULD THE SELWYN COUNCIL. Drop wage costs by 6%. If not, you risk making rates unaffordable to many. The CEO obviously does not understand budgeting, like the ratepayers of this district. We all have to live within our means. The mayor is saying that the rating system is broken, is rubbish, he just thinks that money grows on trees! And paying \$20,000.00 to Iwi for the name of the Rolleston Library, was a stupid waste of money, which we didn't have. We were told it was gift, clearly not. And as for consulting the community, he does not!		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	522.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Can't afford it.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to not progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the council cannot afford this action. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall. Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a		

lower allocated amount.			
Point Number	522.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Do this at a later date.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	523	Submitter:	Don Adams
Point Number	523.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	523.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	523.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	523.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million)			

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	523.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	523.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The Cost of Living is taking its toll on our community We need to keep rates to a minimum. Water Roads Sewerage		
Staff Recommendation		That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.	

Submitter Number:	524	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	524.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	524.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	524.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: get the government to build the blooming school and then make the facilities accessable to the community It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	524.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57%

	Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	524.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	524.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I am sick to death of driving to anywhere but Selwyn for anything to do with the Arts. There are no council supported Arts, Music or Performing Arts facilities any where and it is a disgrace! We have sport coming out our ears and zero culture. Making a population of Selwyn duller than slugs. You have a diverse population. Not just the next All Black or Silver Fern. People need culture, arts and facilities that support this. Otherwise the result will be a mass of backwards, uncouth, mediocre sporting, ignoramus.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	525	Submitter:	Muldoon Heather
Point Number	525.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	525.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I regularly use this pool and travel from Oxford to do so. It is a simple pool but one the children love and enjoy and have spent alot of time at. I DO NOT want it to closed and submit my application towards this outcome.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	525.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Do Not closed sheffield pool		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	526	Submitter:	Kate de Silva
Point Number	526.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	526.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored.		

The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	527	Submitter:	Anthony Thwaites
Point Number	527.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Provide the basics		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	527.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Ratepayers can not afford any of these at this time		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	527.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	527.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None			

	Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	527.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	527.8	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	Keep expenditure below the rate of inflation and defer projects until the ratepayers can afford to pay higher rates.	
	Consentrate expenditure on the essentials particularly roading.	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	527.10	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	I strongly believe that the Malvern District must retain at least two Councillorsand should not have Westmelton included in the Malvern District.	

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	527.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Let the local community run the pool without unnecessary rules and regulations.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		
Point Number	527.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Business and developers will fund any necessary development without the Council being further involved. Rolleston has such momentum business wise that things will continue to be developed without further ratepayer involvement. I do however commend the Council involvement that got this momentum going.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Point Number	527.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Further technology developments are always important but these do not need to involve radical of overly expensive projects.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	527.14	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Unlike this , Central Government realize that charging taxpayers and ratepayers way beyond what people can afford is unsustainable and if continued will result in the collapse of the system .		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	528	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	528.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: I think there is already adequate parking for park n ride It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	528.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	528.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	528.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	529	Submitter:	Taylor Allison
Point Number	529.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		

Point Number	529.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	529.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	529.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?		

Staff Recommendation	Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	530	Submitter: Rebecca Down
Point Number	530.3	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.	

Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	531	Submitter:	Susan Mayo
Point Number	531.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Years 4–10: \$19.52			
Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%			
Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	531.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	531.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Submitter Number:	532	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	532.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	532.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	532.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	532.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		

		Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	532.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	532.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	i don't believe the darfield pool is big enough to cope with sheffield people coming down. Darfield has grown so much recently that its already busy enough!!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	533	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	533.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	533.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	533.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	533.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	533.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	533.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Speed Management
Comments:	can we add a request for a roundabout/speed bump/ traffic light on corner of Craig Thompson Drive and O'Reilly Road.. we have had so many accidents with people failing to stop at the Stop sign..its a serious accident waiting to happen. We really need some sort of policing or council intervention here. Thanks		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has an intersection prioritisation framework for upgrades. Enforcement of intersections is the responsibility of NZ Police		

Submitter Number:	534	Submitter:	Gordon Hayward
Point Number	534.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.

	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: i think this is very important to build for the future of young persons in the area.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	534.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	534.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	If its going to cost that much to close its better to keep it open and actually get some value for your money.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	535	Submitter:	Vanessa Araya
Point Number	535.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: rates are expensive already. Increase rates with the high living cost and mortgages with a high rate it will be worse for us.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	535.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	535.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	535.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	535.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	the best option to keep the rates same.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	535.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	more details need it to know if that tecnology will be a positive impact and it will reduce other cost/time.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	536	Submitter:	Sophie Owens
Point Number	536.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		

Submitter Number:	537	Submitter:	Leanne Shirtcliff
Point Number	537.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:			
Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.			
Project cost:			
Years 1–3: \$4.2 million			
Years 4–10: \$11.6 million			
Estimated impact on rates:			
Years 1–3: \$7.06			
Years 4–10: \$19.52			
Funding:			
Rates: 49%			
NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%			
Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	537.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are			

collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	537.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	537.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	537.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	538	Submitter:	Lee Millen
Point Number	538.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	538.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	538.5	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities

Comments:	I dont see any investment in the arts. Having a space or hall dedicated for local talent to perform in is critial for the future. A space dedicated to learning music. There would be so many opportunities for our local people.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	539	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	539.2	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	Road safety for our children - Put clear 20 km per hour speed signs on school bus signs. This should be a relatively very cheap project that would be a very clear and timely reminder for drivers. This will add to the great idea of 30 limits around schools , but wont forget those same children when they get off the bus out in the country.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council is working through this currently with MOE, schools and NZ Police.		

Submitter Number:	540	Submitter:	Emily Cammock
Point Number	540.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	541	Submitter:	Jess Hanham
Point Number	541.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	541.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	541.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05		

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	541.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	541.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	541.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Darfield Pool upgrades were shit. I prefer to travel past the Darfield pool and out to Sheffield.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	542	Submitter:	Blair Templeton for Leeston-Southbridge Cricket Club
Organisation:	Leeston-Southbridge Cricket Club		
Point Number	542.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Hi, When the council funded and managed the installation of a synthetic cricket wicket at Southbridge Domain, damage was done to the existing grass wicket block. Making this unplayable, except for junior cricket. We request that funding be made available to reinstate the grass wicket block so senior and representative cricket can be played on it.		

	The estimated costs are - Heathcote Cricket Wicket Clay - \$5,400+GST. Preparation - sewing, maintenance, fertilising etc - \$960.00. We have been unable to obtain a quotation for spreading and laser levelling, however the council relationships with local contractors would mean this will be easy for council staff to organise. Please contact myself direct if you have any questions Thank you
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission as budgets have been set aside for cricket wicket renewals/upgrades in the draft LTP and staff were already aware of this work which can be accommodated within the budgets set aside. Staff recommend that the upgrade work to the Southbridge Park cricket wicket are undertaken in 2024/25 utilising the budgets set aside for cricket wicket renewals/upgrades (total \$250,000 over 10 years).

Submitter Number:	543	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	543.1	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None	

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number Comments:	543.3 Category Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities Selwyn has a thriving, talented, and growing arts community. Yet, the arts is barely mentioned in the Long-Term Plan. Rolleston alone has twelve football fields, six cricket pitches, a hockey turf, two 25m pools, a synthetic athletics surface, eight netball courts and a \$21.5m indoor sports facility. Yet, there is no dedicated arts facility in all of Selwyn. This is a disservice to all the Selwyn residents whose interests and talents lie off the sports field. Selwyn is home to numerous dance studios, drama schools, and community arts groups. Why are these people forgotten? Why must they consistently struggle of find venues to perform, or facilitate their events? Do they not deserve the same support as those who wish to pursue sports? Should groups looking for a venue be forced into a place ill-fitted for their events, because their own region seems to have no interest in providing for them? Selwyn is in <u>desperate</u> need of a dedicated arts facility. A place where the community can come together, for numerous events and performances, with facilities that can be utilised by all kinds of groups - a place to perform, hold showcases, host talks and conferences, display art, a venue for touring arts and concerts, and other commercial uses. A place where the arts community can feel welcomed and at home, and provide existing arts organisations and businesses an opportunity to connect to their community in a way that isn't feasible currently. A home to inspire the next generation of artists and performers, and encourage the creation of new groups to further the ever-growing arts community in Selwyn. There is only benefit and inspiration to be gained by having a dedicated arts facility in Selwyn.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions,

public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	544	Submitter:	Sophie Moore
Point Number	544.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	544.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: There are already so many sports facilities in selwyn! We dont have any place dedicated to performing arts. There are so many of us in selwyn who love to perform and teach theatre but dint have a venue so have to pay so much to hire out venues to do so. The community love watching theatre as peoven in a multitude if sold out shows with the company centrestage rolleston. Please consider this!		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	544.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	544.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	544.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	544.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	There are already so many sports facilities in selwyn! We dont have any place dedicated to performing arts. There are so many of us in selwyn who love to perform and teach theatre but dint have a venue so have to pay so much to hire out venues to do so. The community love watching theatre as proven in a multitude of sold out shows with the company centrestage rolleston. Please consider this! There is so much funding for sport. The arts ALWAYS miss out and we have to make do on our own with smaller budgets and we are enclosef into a box. Even 1 venue with a stage and 200-300 seats+ would be nice to see....		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	545	Submitter:	Stephen Phillips

Point Number	545.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Please advocate to ECAN to improve the bus service frequency to Rolleston. Half hourly service is inadequate particularly during busier times.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such as 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.		
Point Number	545.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	545.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number	545.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: If the facility is built are costs spread across the district or do they rest with the Malvern Community? If it's the latter I have no problem with the building of the facility. Please don't forget that the capital cost is only part of the cost. Ongoing operational costs can't be ignored.		
	Staff Recommendation	 It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1,	do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	545.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	546	Submitter: Thomas Cockburn
Point Number	546.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.	
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%	

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 546.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	546.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	546.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	546.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	547	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	547.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	547.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Point Number	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD
547.3	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD	Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [Staff Recommendation

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	547.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	547.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	547.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport

Comments:	Suggest a light rail commuter train option using existing infrastructure to connect Rolleston and Christchurch. Additionally, need more commercial facilities around Westwood area. It seems isolated from the town centre.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. It considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.		
Point Number	547.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Consult with the local community how they feel about it.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	547.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Bring more sensible shopping options with parking available.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Submitter Number:	548	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	548.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	548.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	548.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1

Point Number	548.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	548.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	549	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	549.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: This needs to be co-funded by NZTA or delayed.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	549.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	549.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	549.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	549.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	549.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Please consider further low-cost investment into Castle Hill community infrastructure targeting outdoor skills eg a mountain bike obstacle course (similar to McLeans Island) near the Village Green and Pump Track. This would be a wonderful addition for the community and for visiting families to compliment our world-class forest tracks and trails and build safety and skills for kids, especially when the tracks are closed. Relatively speaking, obstacle courses are not a large cost to build and the community could support with labour and landscaping etc. If there is no budget then perhaps could an area of the Village Green be set aside so the community could initiate the project with some keen builders.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Transport staff have forwarded the request to open spaces team for further consideration as part of future reserve management plans		
Point Number	549.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I do support active development but ensure ROI is completed as development agencies across NZ are often well intended but only good at 'chat'		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Submitter Number:	550	Submitter:	Angela Jones
Point Number	550.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	550.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number	550.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Staff Recommendation	Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	550.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	550.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	550.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I would like to push the New community centre for Prebbleton forward not back - complete the consultations asap and install one		

	with room for small groups and arts at kakaha park - with the use of buses . I would like to say that a public toilet needs to be installed in the shops in Prebbleton - it should have been in the conditions for the 2 developers to pay for , its crazy to have two large old people homes in prebbleton and now no toilet - if they are shopping . Personally i have witnessed an embarrassed person - who didnt make it to fresh choice . I dont think fresh choice should have to provide the only toilet. it looks like in your council reports the toilets have not been assessed for needs since 2018 in Prebbleton ?		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		
Point Number	550.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	I would like bright red slow down 40 writing on the road - on springs road - as you enter the village - additional speed bumps at the entrance to prebbleton - just past the round about before you get to the crossing - the crossing is still unsafe and people need to slow down. A cross in front of the roundabout for school children would also be a great addition .		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council is unable to introduce speed reduction infrastructure like speed bumps etc, until it is clear from central government what is to happen with speed limits. Communications from the minister is that there could be some speed limits that need to be raised. Council is aware of the concerns with the Springs Road crossing. Crossing upgrades are prioritised and upgraded accordingly.		

Submitter Number:	551	Submitter:	Juliet Tibbotts
Point Number	551.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark		

and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	552	Submitter:	Mitch Prendergast for Selwyn Sports Trust
Organisation:	Selwyn Sports Trust		
Point Number	552.2	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Dear Selwyn District Council, Please see attached the Selwyn Sports Trust submission for Strategic Partnership as part of the Long Term Plan for 2024 - 2034. If you require any further information then please do not hesitate to contact me. Kia pai tō rā Have a great day Mitch Prendergast mitch@selwynsportstrust.org.nz 0220618671		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Point Number	552.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	552.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Comment: Please see attached our letter of support for an indoor facility with two courts.		

Malvern Indoor Facility			
We fully support a two court facility in Darfield. This would open up many opportunities for multiple sports and activities to be able to be run here. Benefiting the Malvern community exponentially Firstly it would ensure the longevity of Malvern netball being able to have indoor options. From the Selwyn Sports Trust point of view, we would use this facility to host activations in the district and would be able to host small tournaments. These would be done during school time and wouldn't clash with other sports. This would enable smaller Malvern schools like Windwhistle to be able to attend without the expensive barrier of travelling to Rolleston or Christchurch which is prohibitive for them. It would also open up the opportunity for other court based sports and recreation to be able to be played in Malvern eg. badminton, pickleball, table tennis. These sports are vastly growing in popularity nationwide and it would be fantastic for the residents of Malvern to be able to take part in these too. Having more diversity in sports and recreation opportunities within Malvern will enable more people to be active and contribute to both a prosperous and healthy community. Sports/recreation are also a great way to build a community and inclusion. Having only one court wouldn't be big enough to warrant hosting tournaments/competitions and we fear it wouldn't get used enough to warrant the expense. A two court facility opens up many more options for engagement from the Malvern community and beyond. Mitch Prendergast Operations Manager Selwyn Sports Trust			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	552.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

	Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Please see our attached document supporting this option.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend this submission be acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signaled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that

	the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		
Point Number	552.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Submitter Number:	553	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	553.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	553.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	553.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	553.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	553.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	554	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	554.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	554.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are		

	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	554.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
	Point Number 554.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	554.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	555	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	555.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	555.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	555.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 555.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million)

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *	
		Our budgeted option	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	555.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	555.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: focus on arts within the community centre, due to the way that art facilities need to be present more throughout selwyn to bring those in the community together through arts. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Point Number	555.8	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Create artistic spaces for creativity, music and performance arts as this is just as important as sports and sporting communities. Fund and develop more arts facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	556	Submitter:	Marilyn Ollett
Point Number	556.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 556.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	556.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	556.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: There should be more information about the 'recreation' aspect of the building. We all know what an indoor court looks like but recreational space could mean anything!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	556.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	556.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I am dismayed that so much of the focus on the long-term plan is around provision of sporting facilities. Where is there any mention of the Performing Arts? Yes, of course sport is important for the health of our community but let's get this straight. Performing Arts IS a Team Sport! The participants – actors, directors, dancers, choreographers, singers, orchestras, and conductors all train (rehearse) for many, many hours for their game of two halves (performance). Not to mention the hours put in to by lighting and sound technicians, wardrobe mistresses, props masters, set designers and builders. And - just as a rugby players need a field, cricketers the pitch, netballers a court - so performers need a performance space. And – just as a cricketer cannot be expected to bowl well on a rutted rugby field – so a performer cannot do their best work in a (to quote the Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan 2021) 'general-purpose space for social gatherings, meetings and public assembly'. These facilities simply do not meet the needs of Performing Arts sector. Why is meeting the needs of the Performing Arts sector so important? There are numerous academic papers identifying the benefits of the Performing Arts, either as an active participant or passive audience member, on the health and wellbeing at both individual and community level. It's value is even recognised by the World Health Organisation so who are we to argue? (Referenced snippets attached) Active participation in the Performing Arts promotes life skills that are transferable to the work environment. These include discipline and structure, teamwork and collegiality, autonomy and accountability, creative thinking and problem solving, resilience and adaptability. In a world where social media is destroying social skills, we need to provide opportunities for these qualities to be developed and that is in the P erforming Arts. In local communities, people attend performing art events not just to be entertained, but to support and celebrate the achievements of family and friend participants, thus strengthening community cohesion. It brings people of all ages together. It generates a sense of belonging and pride in our community. I also refer you to the recommendations in the Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan 2021 (page 5) 3. That Council, based on sound feasibility and evidence of community need, invests strategically in new and upgraded Community Facilities with the following considerations: a. Provide opportunities for community organisations, willing to work with Council in collaborations and partnerships that lead to high level of community activation, within Council facilities. b. add specialised activity spaces into the network c. add complementary activity spaces (within a particular geographical area, cluster) that reflect		

	community demand d. consolidate spaces within a geographical area (cluster), or introduce new provision to address an identified gap e. allow for the devolution of ownership of Council owned community facilities to community-led organisations when this is assessed as best value for the community and Council 11. For Council to work to rebalance the Network through fewer new builds of generic community space and more special purpose spaces targeted at particular specialised needs or specific age-groups and involve these groups in the planning of these spaces. If Selwyn District Council does not include a dedicated Performing Arts facility to its Long Term Plan, I fear it is condemning Selwyn to being a cultural waste land.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	556.10	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Please see attached document regarding the need for a performing arts facility Marilyn Ollett 28 Armack Drive RD 7 Rolleston 7677 23 April 2024 Selwyn District Council 2 Norman Drive Rolleston 7643 To whom it may concern I am dismayed that so much of the focus on the long-term plan is around provision of sporting facilities. Where is there any mention of the Performing Arts? Yes, of course sport is important for the health of our community but let's get this straight. Performing Arts IS a Team Sport! The participants – actors, directors, dancers, choreographers, singers, orchestras, and conductors all train (rehearse) for many, many hours for their game of two halves (performance). Not to mention the hours put in to by lighting and sound technicians, wardrobe mistresses, props masters, set designers and builders. And - just as a rugby players need a field, cricketers the pitch, netballers a court - so performers need a performance space. And – just as a cricketer cannot be expected to bowl well on a rutted rugby field – so a performer cannot do their best work in a (to quote the Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan 2021) 'general-purpose space for social gatherings, meetings and public assembly'. These facilities simply do not meet the needs of Performing Arts sector. Why is meeting the needs of the Performing Arts sector so important? There are numerous academic papers identifying the benefits of the Performing Arts, either as an active participant or passive audience member, on the		

health and wellbeing at both individual and community level. It's value is even recognised by the World Health Organisation so who are we to argue? (Referenced snippets attached) Active participation in the Performing Arts promotes life skills that are transferable to the work environment. These include discipline and structure, teamwork and collegiality, autonomy and accountability, creative thinking and problem solving, resilience and adaptability. In a world where social media is destroying social skills, we need to provide opportunities for these qualities to be developed and that is in the Performing Arts. In local communities, people attend performing art events not just to be entertained, but to support and celebrate the achievements of family and friend participants, thus strengthening community cohesion. It brings people of all ages together. It generates a sense of belonging and pride in our community. I also refer you to the recommendations in the Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan 2021 (page 5) 3. That Council, based on sound feasibility and evidence of community need, invests strategically in new and upgraded Community Facilities with the following considerations: a. Provide opportunities for community organisations, willing to work with Council in collaborations and partnerships that lead to high level of community activation, within Council facilities. b. add specialised activity spaces into the network c. add complementary activity spaces (within a particular geographical area, cluster) that reflect community demand d. consolidate spaces within a geographical area (cluster), or introduce new provision to address an identified gap e. allow for the devolution of ownership of Council owned community facilities to community-led organisations when this is assessed as best value for the community and Council 11. For Council to work to rebalance the Network through fewer new builds of generic community space and more special purpose spaces targeted at particular specialised needs or specific age-groups and involve these groups in the planning of these spaces. If Selwyn District Council does not include a dedicated Performing Arts facility to its Long Term Plan, I fear it is condemning Selwyn to being a cultural waste land. Yours sincerely Marilyn Ollett

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	557	Submitter:	Sarah Elcomb
Point Number	557.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	557.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield pool is very important to the people of Sheffield particularly the children and the school. Swimming is a vital skill for young people. Sheffield has few other activities for young people what will replace this as an activity for future generations?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	558	Submitter:	Campbell Tuer
Point Number	558.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: These ideologically based projects should be done away with to save cost. If people want to use public transport it should be funded by charging the users. New Zealand is not a communist state I thought.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	558.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	558.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	558.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Maybe a small refit of existing facility is all that is needed		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	558.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	558.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	The Council seems to be obsessed with ideology and big spending based on things that may or may not happen in the future rather than dealing with what we know. There is a move to every one paying for things that should be user pays eg public transport.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	558.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	Environmental issues are not for Selwyn District Council these are Ecan issues.		
Staff Recommendation	While ECan are responsible for air, soil, and water quality, the impacts of land-use activities that the District Council manage do have an impact. ECan and SDC need to continue to work together on these issues.		
Point Number	558.10	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	There has been a lot of money spent on cycle ways and paths etc mean while our roads are in disrepair.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	558.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Currently we pay \$25000 in rates to selwyn district council on our own property and a further \$45000 in rates on land we lease. We receive very little for this large cost. The Council should be limiting rates rises to the rise in inflation which has been around 6% and is currently dropping. There is large amounts of waste going on in Council spending away from core responsibilities of roading, water and waste removal. There is also large amounts of waste by contractors to council you only need to observe a work site for a small amount of time to observe this.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

The Council is proactively looking for opportunities to minimise waste of resources, this process is constantly improving.			
Point Number	558.13	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I disagree with these racist policies it is time to move forward as one people with out reference to race		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	558.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I think the cost to keep open have been grossly over stated. It should be left to the community which I'm sure would be able to find solutions much cheaper		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.		
The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.			
Point Number	558.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	It is not the councils place to subsidize businesses
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.

Submitter Number:	559	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	559.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	559.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	As a restaurant owner, is getting harder and harder to compete with the influx of new hospitality businesses coming to Rolleston. It isn't just competing with other restaurants that is a challenge but every business is finding it harder to make enough money to get by due to		

Staff Recommendation	the fact that there is no reason for anyone to come to Rolleston. The only times we seem to get a an increase in business is when Centerstage Rolleston are putting on a production that brings people in from Christchurch. People need things to do other than just eat. Movie theatres, bowling alleys, AN ARTS CENTE, these are the things that benefit the community by bringing more business from outside of Selwyn. The arts play an absolutely critical part in supplying our dying local businesses with the trade that they desperately need. It is acknowledged the submitter has not selected an option as to whether the Economic Development Strategy should progress. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.

Submitter Number:	560	Submitter: Anne Owens
Point Number	560.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined	

	Funding: To be determined Comment: Happy to agree with Park and Ride infrastructure being upgraded in principal as long as this does not increase rates beyond the rate of inflation for the next 10 years		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	560.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The council need to stay within existing rates budget and any new build will likely have cost blow outs and will be unlikely to		

		remain within planned budgets. The rates should not increase more than the rate of inflation for the next 10 years	
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged.	
Point Number	560.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	560.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	560.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	560.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	In general I believe that the council like us all need to work to only spend within the budget they have. There should not be any increases beyond the rate of inflation for the period of the long term plan. My wages and my husbands pension are extremely unlikely to even increase yearly to match the rate of inflation . Everyone is		

	currently struggling with the cost of living still being high and any increases above inflation will cause genuine hardship to those already struggling. In the last few years the population of Selwyn has risen, so may new commercial properties and residential homes so purely by numbers there must be increasing amount of revenue being generated anyway without putting significant financial burden on each individual household.
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	561	Submitter:	Frances Harrison
Point Number	561.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	561.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	561.4	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Selwyn has plenty of sports facilities but is woefully inadequate for cultural and performing arts. Need a dedicated cultural and arts centre where groups could perform, musicians could get together - dance/music/theatre/ AFFORDABLE meeting rooms for community groups		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	561.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Have to save money somewhere. Businesses are responsible for their own success		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in		

economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	561.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Can't afford such a luxury right now. Don't trust council to make good decisions now let alone using technology more.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	562	Submitter:	Sarah Kortegast
Point Number	562.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs		

	achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	563	Submitter:	Hillary MOULDER

Point Number	563.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	563.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: please consider a space for the arts and a theatre in selwyn		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	563.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	563.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	563.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	563.8	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	There is little no consideration for the arts and theatre in this community. There are a hub of working professionals in the industry that live in Selwyn and could provide much needed benefits to people wellbeing through theatre, dance and movement based theatre. We have enough aquatic, sports and field facilities that are sufficient. Build a space for creatives and see how the community and businesses will thrive.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	564	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Organisation:	Foodstuffs South Island Limited		
Point Number	564.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Foodstuffs South Island Limited is a key business partner and ratepayer in the Selwyn District. We have stores in four key neighborhood zones in the region. In order to provide fair and equitable access to services across the region, we believe that it is critically important to have strong transport links to, and within the District. Public transport is the key primary driver to enable this to all members of society.

Big Decision 1 questions whether to endorse the building of additional infrastructure ASAP with funding from NZTA (Waka Kotahi), or whether Selwyn DC should 'go it alone', or defer the decision until later.

As a ratepayer, keeping the inevitable rate increase as low as possible is preferred, so obtaining funding from NZTA is naturally the preferred outcome. However, in any case, we believe that building the infrastructure will encourage increased patronage of bus services, and make it more convenient for the users of these services, who are also our customers.

We endorse the decision of the Selwyn District Council to implement the Long Term Plan in its current format, and support Option One of Big Decision One.

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	564.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31		

	2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	564.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	564.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	564.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation		Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	564.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Making policies simpler, clearer and in plain English is a good decision. In addition, its a requirement of the LGA.		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	564.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	We support the changes proposed on pages 44-51 of the Consultation document.		
Staff Recommendation		Council acknowledges the submission.	

Submitter Number:	565	Submitter:	Rob Naysmith
Point Number	565.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Lack of Performing Arts Space in Selwyn. I am disappointed that no thought has been given to a Performing Arts space in this plan. I am the Principal of a local primary school and struggled to find a suitable space to hold our school production. I have made do with a space that is not fit-for-purpose but we can make work. When I was living and working in Christchurch City we had a number of options for performance spaces/theatres that were extremely well utilised by many different groups and schools.		

Staff Recommendation	Granted, some of these were high schools (Cashmere High Performing Arts Centre - Burnside Highs Aurora Centre) however it is unlikely that Rolleston College will have a theatre built to a suitable standard with the Ministry of Educations downgrading of school infrastructure spending. The district can't and shouldn't rely in schools to provide Performance venues, just like we don't expect schools to provide all sporting venues and facilities.
	Neighbouring districts have fantastic performing arts facilities such as Ashburton (Ashburton Events Centre) and Waimakariri (Rangiora Town Hall) and Christchurch city. I feel that the people of Selwyn are missing out and Selwyn will be 'left behind' by not having an Arts facility to encourage creativity, excellence and enjoyment in the Arts. If Selwyn is to be a destination for all, we need to include infrastructure that supports The Arts, not just sport. I am not suggesting sport isn't important especially for young people, but we also have a lot of talented actors, dances musicians, supported by fantastic dance/drama schools and instrumental tutors, as well as fabulous theatre companies, choirs and bands. All these organisations as well as local schools, music groups, choirs and visiting artists etc would benefit from having a designated performance space. If designed well it could also be used as a movie theatre and possible conference venue!
	Wellbeing and culture is part of the focus of the LTP however there is no provision to develop these things through The Arts. If a performance space was to be built it would have benefits for local restaurants and bars, bring people to the area, enabling Selwyn locals to enjoy Arts/theatre/concerts in their neighbourhood. It would also provide a performance space for the many schools in the area to use as well as dance/drama schools and theatre companies.
	Millions has been spent on sports facilities, which are fantastic. I think some balance needs to be found and an Arts Facility considered to ensure Selwyn continues to meet the needs of all its residence and ensure a vibrant culturally rich district.
	Rob Naysmith Arts Advocate and Local Primary School Principal The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	566	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Organisation:	Foodstuffs South Island Limited		
Point Number	566.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Foodstuffs South Island Limited is a key business partner and ratepayer in the Selwyn District. We have stores in four key neighborhood zones in the region. In order to provide fair and equitable access to services across the region, we believe that it is critically important to have strong transport links to, and within the		

	District. Public transport is the key primary driver to enable this to all members of society. Big Decision 1 questions whether to endorse the building of additional infrastructure ASAP with funding from NZTA (Waka Kotahi), or whether Selwyn DC should 'go it alone', or defer the decision until later. As a ratepayer, keeping the inevitable rate increase as low as possible is preferred, so obtaining funding from NZTA is naturally the preferred outcome. However, in any case, we believe that building the infrastructure will encourage increased patronage of bus services, and make it more convenient for the users of these services, who are also our customers. We endorse the decision of the Selwyn District Council to implement the Long Term Plan in its current format, and support Option One of Big Decision One.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	566.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to		

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	566.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	566.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	566.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	566.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Making policies simpler, clearer and in plain English is a good decision. In addition, its a requirement of the LGA.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	566.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	We support the changes proposed on pages 44-51 of the Consultation document.		

Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.

Submitter Number:	567	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	567.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	567.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	567.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Submitter Number:	568	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	568.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28		

	2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	568.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	568.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	569	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	569.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	569.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	569.4	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Selwyn needs a dedicated place for performing arts. A lot of investment is going in to upgrading and creating sports facilities, but the arts are being neglected.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	570	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	570.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	570.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	570.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	571	Submitter:	Emma Wright
Point Number	571.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding	
Point Number	571.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Comment: 1 indoor court isnt enough. I currently play netball in the West Melton indoor facility and 1 court is annoying for umpires as they spend time sitting around between games whilst we complete a decent warm up on court to reduce injury. Umpires are valuable volunteers and their time needs to be treated as so. Not to mention the parents that will be travelling 20 plus minutes for their children to use this sporting facility. More than 1 court would allow for siblings to be training and playing at the same time.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	571.6	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the	

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	571.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	We live within the Sheffield community and we are fortunate enough to have been in the position to use the sheffield pool over the summer months and visit other pools over the winter months. Many in the community are just not in this financial position. I fear with the pool gone more will frequent the Waimakariri river which is very dangerous unless you are a competent swimmer in my opinion. Lives are at risk here.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	572	Submitter:	Murray Smith for Smiths Mitre 10
Organisation:	Smiths Mitre 10		
Point Number	572.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will		

	be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	572.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	572.4	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Being a retailer, who hopes to open in Rolleston in the near future. Having a easy to ease public transport makes it a lot easier for not only the surrounding customer, but also our team members. Unfortunately at present, its probably easier to get to our Hornby store by bus than areas in Rolleston?		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury is planning to investigate 'On Demand' Services within larger townships. It is also planning to increase the frequency of the 5 Metro bus service and investigate additional direct and express PT services to the city in conjunction with the planned Park N Rides.		

Submitter Number:	573	Submitter:	Nickie Tabukovu for PLAY Drama Workshops Limited
Organisation:	PLAY Drama Workshops Limited		
Point Number	573.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		

	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	573.3	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Investing in the arts in Selwyn is not just about fostering creativity; it's about building a vibrant, connected community where every individual has the opportunity to thrive. While sports receive considerable attention and funding, it's crucial to recognize the equally important role that the arts play in enriching our district. Firstly, let's consider the impact on our youth. The performing arts provide a platform for self-expression, confidence-building, and personal growth. Through programs like ours, which teach over 200 children each term, young people are given the chance to explore their talents, develop essential skills, and form lifelong passions. By nurturing these budding artists, we not only contribute to their individual development but also to the cultural vitality of our community. However, despite the enthusiasm and talent of our youth, the lack of dedicated performance spaces in Selwyn presents a significant obstacle. Currently, we're forced to make do with makeshift venues like Rolleston College, Lincoln Events Centre, and Kirwee Village Hall. While these spaces serve a purpose, they come with limitations that hinder the quality and accessibility of our performances. We have to haul in our own sound and lighting equipment, which not only adds logistical challenges but also strains our resources. Imagine the possibilities with a designated performance space in Selwyn. Such a facility would not only alleviate the burden on local arts organizations like mine but also benefit schools and the wider community. It would provide a professional-grade venue for showcasing talent, hosting events, and fostering collaboration. Schools would have a dedicated space for their students to rehearse and perform, enhancing their educational experience and encouraging participation in the arts.		

Staff Recommendation	Moreover, a dedicated performance space would attract artists, performers, and audiences from across Selwyn and beyond, boosting tourism and economic activity in the area. It would be a hub for cultural exchange, creativity, and innovation, strengthening the social fabric of our district and fostering a sense of pride and identity among residents. Investing in the arts is not just an investment in creativity; it's an investment in the future of Selwyn. By providing dedicated performance spaces, we can empower our youth, support local artists, and enrich our community in ways that extend far beyond the stage. It's time to recognize the paramount importance of the arts and take action to ensure that Selwyn remains a vibrant, inclusive, and culturally rich place to live and thrive. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	574	Submitter: Tim Wright
Point Number	574.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million	

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	574.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It needs to be 2 because Darfield is a growing community.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	574.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	574.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	This is vital for those learning to swim and is currently a place of congregation in the community. I view it as a community hub over the summer.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	575	Submitter:	Ellie Moe
Point Number	575.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	It would be absolutely wonderful to have a purpose built facility for the Arts, somewhere community group can come together and produce exhibitions or shows that reflect the talent and passion in		

	Selwyn, and match the extensive sports facilities! Not everyone likes sport! The arts are an area where anyone can contribute regardless of your size or firmness level. It allows people to express themselves, and challenges people to think about more than the season stats! I really hope the council will consider a significant way forward in this area.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	576	Submitter:	Joanna Browne
Point Number	576.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate		

the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed.

The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.

**Staff
Recommendation**

Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored.

The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	577	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	577.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	577.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The pool is significant to the Sheffield community. It is a memorial. It also gives students at Sheffield primary easy accessibility to a pool to enhance their swimming skills; this aligns with the curriculum. The pool should not be shut down.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		

Submitter Number:	578	Submitter:	Details Withheld

Point Number	578.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	578.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

578.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the

Staff Recommendation	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 578.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *
	Our budgeted option
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	578.5
	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	579	Submitter:	Janiece Sullivan
Point Number	579.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	579.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Hello, As a frequent user of the Sheffield pool. My family would love to share how much we love the pool. Sheffield pool has so many memories. It has been a fantastic asset to our community. My children learnt to swim there. We invite friends from town who are amazed to see we have a local pool and really enjoy coming. It has been so wonderful to have a pool so close where me and my family can just walk down. We do not have much as it is in sheffiled and it would be a real shame to have it taken away closed down forever. It would also be hard for the sheffield school children to do swimming lessons without a pool and they would miss out. Having the school have the pool or having a different option where we can still have it in our community would be amazing Please don't shut it down forever it would be so so sad. If they get rid of the diving board it would make a good community statue type memorial thing to put in the community as it has so many memories attached to it. I have been in this community since I was 8 and to see the pool gone is seeing part of me gone. It means alot to the community. Even though Darfield swimming pool is up the road it is nice to have a pool close and the point is the children of Sheffield need a pool for their swimming lessons. With Sheffield growing in the future I think keeping the pool will be super important.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	580	Submitter:	Gaylene Barnes
Point Number	580.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	580.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

Staff Recommendation	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	580.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	580.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	580.10	Category Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	This is not an easy item number to negotiate, as the links you provided to the LTP are unclear. In terms of consultation : I understand you support and pay Mahaanui Karataiao Limited, a Rūnanga-owned entity and	

Staff Recommendation	Consultancy which has been established specifically for the purpose of engaging with local government. I understand this Consultancy firm operates from Christchurch City with people who do not necessarily reside in Selwyn. Selwyn Council should not be taking advice on Selwyn matters from people who are not Selwyn Ratepayers. If you wish to continue to take advice from this service that is paid for by Selwyn Ratepayers, then you also need to support the establishment of and pay independent Selwyn Ratepayers groups to consult with you, outside of the functions of elected Council. I recommend a Cultural Selwyn Ratepayers Consultancy (paid) to consult with you on all social and cultural wellbeing matters; an Economic Selwyn Ratepayers Consultancy group (paid) to consult with Council on all economic matters and to provide independent overview of the budget and borrowing; an Environment Selwyn Ratepayers Consultancy group (paid) to consult with Council on all Environmental matters that affect ratepayers. These people will be the intermediaries between Council and Ratepayers, on a limited 3-year, full-time paid employment with defined outcomes and roles. They will come from all walks of society and bring different perspectives, ethnicities, characters and skills. They will network with the community and engage with Council on behalf of Ratepayers. Tick-boxes on websites are insufficient for the challenges ahead. The purpose and role of Mahaanui Kurataiao is to assist and improve the recognition and protection of; Rangatiratanga, mahinga kai and taonga species; within the respective takiwa of each Papatipu Runanga. The geographic scope of Mahaanui Kurataiao which includes Selwyn District extends from the Hurunui River in the north, to the Hakatere/Ashburton River in the south, and inland to Ka Tiritiri o Te Moana (the Southern Alps). Mahaanui Kurataiao facilitates engagement between council, crown and private organisations or individuals. This engagement is coupled with independent analysis of any technical information and documentation. Mahaanui Kurataiao charges the Council for the services that undertakes then where it can Council passes on those costs to the applicants involved the relevant resource consent applications
Point Number	580.10 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments:

Comment:

This is not an easy item number to negotiate, as the links you provided to the LTP are unclear.

In terms of consultation : I understand you support and pay Mahaanui Karataiao Limited, a Rūnanga-owned entity and Consultancy which has been established specifically for the purpose of engaging with local government. I understand this Consultancy firm operates from Christchurch City with people who do not necessarily reside in Selwyn. Selwyn Council should not be taking advice on Selwyn matters from people who are not Selwyn Ratepayers.

If you wish to continue to take advice from this service that is paid for by Selwyn Ratepayers, then you also need to support the establishment of and pay independent Selwyn Ratepayers groups to consult with you, outside of the functions of elected Council. I recommend a **Cultural Selwyn Ratepayers Consultancy** (paid) to consult with you on all social and cultural wellbeing matters; an **Economic Selwyn Ratepayers Consultancy** group (paid) to consult with Council on all economic matters and to provide independent overview of the budget and borrowing; an **Environment Selwyn Ratepayers Consultancy** group (paid) to consult with Council on all Environmental matters that affect ratepayers. These people will be the intermediaries between Council and Ratepayers, on a limited 3-year, full-time paid employment with defined outcomes and roles. They will come from all walks of society and bring different perspectives, ethnicities, characters and skills. They will network with the community and engage with Council on behalf of Ratepayers.

Tick-boxes on websites are insufficient for the challenges ahead.

Staff Recommendation

It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility.

Point Number

580.11

Category

Activity > Walking and cycling improvements

Comments:

Transport.

Where you have identified spends, please stick with it. The current 'Cycleway' from Rolleston to West Melton was not scheduled until 2031, but has been implemented at a cost of 1.5m before the widening of Hoskyns Road, which was scheduled to happen beforehand. This work may need to be destroyed in order to

	complete the widening of the road. ? It feels there is a lack of coordination and respect for the LTP the community have agreed to.		
	Please prioritise fixing dangerous, narrow and gravel roads as well as bridges used for produce and business. Cycle Paths for leisure should be low priority. I recommend Council has an in-house roading team, and do not rely on expensive contractors.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The West Melton to Rolleston Cycleway was included in the 23/24 annual plan and delivered accordingly. The cycleway design has taken future widening into consideration and it will not be destroyed.		
Point Number	580.12	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	Development Contributions		
	Agree that these should cover new facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure.		
Point Number	580.14	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	Where you have identified spends, please stick with it. The current 'Cycleway' from Rolleston to West Melton was not scheduled until 2031, but has been implemented at a cost of 1.5m before the widening of Hoskyns Road, which was scheduled to happen beforehand. This work may need to be destroyed in order to complete the widening of the road. ? It feels there is a lack of coordination and respect for the LTP the community have agreed to.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	580.15	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Please prioritise fixing dangerous, narrow and gravel roads as well as bridges used for produce and business. Cycle Paths for leisure should be low priority. I recommend Council has an in-house roading team, and do not rely on expensive contractors.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Repairs and improvements are addressed by priority and available funding.		
Point Number	580.16	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	Agree that these should cover new facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure.		
Point Number	580.18	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	580.19	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Vague. If you need \$9m to identify "priority actions" - then you should not be playing an active role in economic development. Let business do this, and give them the space to do it		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as		

possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	580.20	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Please use local contractors		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	580.21	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Rate Increases. Community Facilities seem the largest cost and contributor to rates increases. We do not need to replicate facilities. ie. Darfield can go to West Melton or Rolleston. Why do the Rural and "Lifestyle without Water or Sewerage" have the highest rate increases, when they have the least services??		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	581	Submitter:	Finley Stokes
Point Number	581.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	581.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	581.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	581.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	581.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	581.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	As my child will attend Sheffield School I think the pool is a huge asset to the school and the community. It would be a shame to see it closed.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	581.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I support the economic development of selwyn but seems very broad and would like it to be clear at the 9 million was used for. Would it be targeted at rolleston or include smaller communities?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. . Every cent of spend will be scrutinised and planned for to ensure outcomes and returns are maximised from the spend. Action plans will outline this in detail.		

Submitter Number:	582	Submitter:	Holly Barneyt
Point Number	582.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: if your building one then better off doing the two for not much difference in cost		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	582.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	583	Submitter:	Diane Williams
Point Number	583.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	583.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	583.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	583.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	583.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	583.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management

Comments:	i don't like how much everything is costing. Considering we have more people living here now, it is so expensive. We have more but does everything have to be built at the top level. We want things that are good quality and built to last but do they need to cost so much?
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	584	Submitter:	Bryan and Carol Thomas
Point Number	584.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Thank you for the opportunity to add our voice to the submissions relating to the consultation document for the long-term district plan. In your long-term proposal you state: "One of the main purposes of local government is to improve the social economic and cultural well-being of our residents and communities. Almost everything we do as a council contributes to these well-beings." Under the heading of Cultural Well-Being you state: Indicators include preservation of historic places including wahi tapu places of tribal significance and promotions of local history and celebrations of arts and culture." The latter is the focus of this submission. We have lived in Selwyn for over fifty years. For the last ten years we have lived in Rolleston and have witnessed phenomenal growth and amazing new facilities spring up, most noticeably in the area of sporting facilities. All very positive, all great assets to the community. In view of the large emphasis that has been placed on providing excellent sporting facilities in the district, we were both surprised and disappointed to find that, going forward, the very obvious lack of facilities for the arts is still not being addressed. We would like to request that the Council has some robust discussion around including a theatre in the long-term plan. The population of Selwyn is projected to be around 110,000 by 2034. That's a lot of people, enough to warrant a dedicated facility for the performing arts, and we certainly have the talent here to warrant one. In addition to providing a venue for local productions it would		

Staff Recommendation	also have the potential to be a venue for national/international artists to use. Rolleston would be the obvious location, given its burgeoning population and easy access from the city, and I'm sure the numerous eateries in town would welcome the extra trade created by out-of-towners wanting dinner before a show. The council submission document for the long-term plan speaks about its commitment to celebrating arts and culture. So how about we make celebrating the arts a reality, and not just words, by including a commitment to build a facility where we can actually celebrate the arts in Selwyn, in particular the performing arts. Please could you have a good think about this one. This is a ten year plan you're looking at, which presumably means it will be another ten years that the arts have to wait to get a share of the cake, if no provision is made in this plan. Yours very sincerely, Carol and Bryan Thomas The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	585	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	585.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased	

	construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	585.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	585.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	585.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Comment: Number of stops in Rolleston needs to increase. We have to travel quite a distance within Rolleston's boundary to get to the closest bus stop.		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury who provides Metro Bus Services, needs to undertake more regular service reviews in Selwyn to take account of urban growth and provide additional bus routes, and therefore bus stops that would then be provided by Council.		
Point Number	585.8	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	Selwyn needs to prioritise nitrate monitoring and reduction in drinking water		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	586	Submitter:	Sukhjot Kaur
Point Number	586.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	586.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>		

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	586.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	586.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	586.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	587	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	587.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	587.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	587.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	587.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None	
		Funding: None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	587.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	588	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	588.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined
	Funding: To be determined Comment: Doing fune as is snd the money and time will be much better spent elswear
	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	588.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	588.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	588.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million)		
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	588.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	588.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	As a resident, I wish to express my ardent wish and support for the continued operation and maintenance of the Sheffield Memorial Pool. It is a key asset to our rural community. It has greatly assisted in connecting members of the community, relieved feelings of isolation by having a place that is walking distance when driving has not been a viable option (due to cost of petrol or having young children), and a learning ground for our school children to learn to swim. Please enable the school to continue to remain open until a feasible long term plan is firmly established. It is such a critical asset for our rural community... travelling to Darfield is not a viable and realistic option for many in this community. I have recently learnt that this particular pool is unique in its features and the only one from Christchurch to the west coast that meets the Government's swim safe objectives. I am open to a pool being built somewhere else in the Sheffield township (the school, domain etc) or to be repaired in the current location. In any case, having a pool in this rural setting is crucial for promoting both physical and mental wellbeing, as well as enabling the community of all ages to connect. Thank you		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	589	Submitter:	Hedy Van Zyl
Point Number	589.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:
	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined
	Funding: To be determined Comment: fix the roads before spending money on nonsense buildings
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP

Submitter Number:	590	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	590.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: commuter rail It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. It considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. But with small projected patronage, large investment needed for rolling stock and infrastructure (on a rail network managed by others), and that there is no CBD connection makes this currently not worthwhile.
Point Number	590.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	590.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 590.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	590.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	591	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	591.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	591.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	591.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

	Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	591.4 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	592	Submitter:	Megan Lowen
Point Number	592.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	592.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	592.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	we love Sheffield pool. We travel from Oxford to this pool rather than using the Oxford pool because its that much better how it is! We love the versatility of the depth range, the divinb board the bank yo lie on with the seats under shelter for having lunch. Please do not close it but promote it instead!!!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	593	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	593.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	593.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	593.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	593.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	593.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	593.7	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other

Comments:	Do not agree.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	593.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Having a local pool is critical to our rural community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	593.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Cut the red tape and make it simpler. There are too many entities such as having to go through Maori advisors for each consent - that just adds the ticket and make it costly and inefficient. Keep it simple and get stuff done - not useful having more and more red tape and wasting rate payers money.		
Staff Recommendation	The District Plan Review process identified in what areas or what kind of activities require consent. If the consent is in an area of Outstanding Natural Landscape, or Historic Heritage, or Sites of Significance to Maori then these will require a consent.		

Submitter Number:	594	Submitter:	Sarah Radburn
Point Number	594.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	594.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	594.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	594.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	594.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	595	Submitter: Hamish Hodgdon
Point Number	595.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>	

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I think thd money void be used in better places. Lets conserve our funds for now and consider further invedtmrnt down the trsck if still needed. I also think it should be xsll ee a community centre not a maori name so that people know exactly what it is.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand and recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.
Point Number	595.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: conserve funds for now. Focus should be on making rates affordable for families and not spending unnecessarily. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	595.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: these should be funded directly through developers contributions and started once these are received Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	595.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Comments: Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	595.8	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	I dont agree with the park and ride concept for busese. I think public transport investment should be looking more long term and we should be looking at light rail options instead.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts. It considered a wider passenger rail service using the existing rail network could have merits in the future if combined with a wider regional passenger rail service. The location of one of Councils Rolleston Park N Ride facilities is next to the main rail line should in future rail passenger transport be considered viable.		
Point Number	595.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Agree that good engagement is important although i think sometimes too much money is spent in these areas. I would also question the amount of Māori engagement required and the cost of this.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The Local Government Act 2002 provides guidance to councils regarding their role as stewards of Treaty Partnership on behalf of the Crown. Councils are required to create systems and pathways that contribute to inter-generational well-being through partnership, representation, and meaningful consultation with mana whenua (Maori tribes) and wider Maori communities		
Point Number	595.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	if the infrastructure is slready there then use it. The costs to vloseat is nesrly a third of the upgrade costs and you will never be able to build another one for less. Having pools in as many communities as possible is important and kids being ableto access these freely and easily is important.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	595.12	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	I think this plan is lacking quite a lot of value for money for young families such as ours. Our rates continue to climb and i see very few benefits for us. We are a young family in Lincoln and although I understand the council has to do whats best for all of selwyn i dont see much good investment going into Lincoln.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	595.13	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	Our roading is poor and with significant new developments going in we need decent infrastructure (highways) to support all the extra vehicles on the road. Would love to see investment into light rail into the city or a plan to do something along these lines.		
Staff Recommendation	The Christchurch Southern Motorway has been recently completed to Rolleston. Council has a programme to upgrade key urban routes and intersections in conjunction with that required to be provided by developers. The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch.		
Point Number	595.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Would also like to see more strategic land investment to enable prosperous communities and good future planning. I would also like to see the council partnering with communities and businesses more to help community initiatives to be delivered. E.g. 18 hole golf course in Lincoln, and possibilities around the council owning the land but investors investing in the club and courses development. These type of partnerships are more common in other parts of the world but something we are not utilising as effectively as we could.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their		

feedback in strategy action planning.			
Point Number	595.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	healthcare access is poor, often only able to get 15 minute appointments and present 1 or two issues at a time and thats if you can get in in the first place.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	595.17	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Crime/district safety
Comments:	Crime seems to have increased yet we have less police stationed here (why?)		
Staff Recommendation	Your submission is acknowledged however while the Council is in regular contact with the police about the levels of crime and service, they provide the extent of policing in the district is ultimately determined by NZ Police.		

Submitter Number:	596	Submitter:	Aleisha Crosbie
Point Number	596.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	596.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	596.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	596.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	597	Submitter:	Shannon McAleer for Darfield Cricket Club
Organisation:	Darfield Cricket Club		
Point Number	597.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million)		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *
	Comment: An indoor facility should include at least 2 dedicated cricket lanes and storage facility. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	598	Submitter:	Hamish Mills
Point Number	598.1	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	598.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	The arts are extremely important to me and many people I know. Selwyn puts so much emphasis on sports, whereas expenditure is extremely lacking in the arts space. Please consider giving us a cultural arts space where community members can perform, come together and share performance art.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	599	Submitter:	Kate O'Connell
Point Number	599.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	599.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	599.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	599.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	599.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	599.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	would like to see more playgrounds in the district have shade covers put over them like the kirwee playground as they are just too hot in the heat of summer		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission because the need to provide additional shade in playgrounds has been identified through independent assessment of Council's playgrounds and as a measure in response to the likelihood of hotter and drier conditions as a result of climate change. Given this situation a budget has been included in the draft LTP for installation of shade structures. Staff recommend that the		

budget in the draft LTP for shade structure installation (total \$175,000 over 7 years from 2027) is retained.

Submitter Number:	600	Submitter:	Anna Morgan
Point Number	600.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a shared Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Netball & Tennis facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Netball & Tennis share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focussed environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs and the community. What we are asking for is a Hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of Netball & Tennis but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Netball Balls, Gear Bags, Hoops, Training Equipment, Tennis Nets, Tennis Poles, Tennis Balls and Trolleys. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making Tea & Coffee and Afternoon Tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able		

to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	601	Submitter:	Audrey Ross
Point Number	601.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	Category
601.2	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	601.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option		
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	601.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	601.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Staff Recommendation			

Submitter Number:	602	Submitter:	Lucy Shaw
Point Number	602.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	602.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 602.3 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *
	Our budgeted option
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	602.4
	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	602.5
	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	the reason its not utilised is because its cold and outdoor! It needs upgrading then it willget plenty of use. Its closest pool for a lot of the selwyn area west of darfield. The council cannot expect people to drive to darfield or rolleston. More traffic on the roads means more damage to our already terrible selwyn roads!
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	603	Submitter:	Vaughan Chaffey
Point Number	603.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	603.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	603.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	603.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	603.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	604	Submitter:	Leonie Baker
Point Number	604.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

Staff Recommendation	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: But what will happen if we do not get the funding? It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	604.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22

	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	604.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 604.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	604.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	604.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Keep it simple. Keep it succinct.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	604.9	Category	Activity > Compulsory organics collection
Comments:	Green Bins-I question the need for compulsory green bins for all. Surely if you are on a reasonable sized section you can compost your green waste yourself? Why should I be penalised for this?		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We do acknowledge that this is an additional unwanted burden for those who already compost their own food scraps and garden waste at home. This regulation is outside of Council's control. The Ministry for the Environment has issued a directive that households in all urban areas with a population of greater than 1,000 residents must have a food scraps/organic collection by 1 January 2027. Every Council in		

	New Zealand is obligated under the Waste Minimisation Act to comply with this.		
Point Number	604.10	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Roading need- The traffic build up on Levis/Lowes Road is incredible. Shouldn't there be another way for drivers to get home to Farrington, etc? Could Broadlands Drive be extended or a feeder road from Weedons/Levis intersection that angles towards the more populated area.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Traffic lights and widening Levi Road (both programmed) should help here.		
Point Number	604.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Are there any plans to purchase Broadfields Garden and develop more of a green area for Selwyn?		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge the quality and significance of Broadfield Gardens and the opportunity it presents as a formal garden to complement the existing open spaces. However, the proposal does have some costs and risks that need to be weighed up alongside other options. This includes the cost of purchase (and how that could be funded), the cost of additional infrastructure to enable public use, the on-going cost of maintenance/operations and potential revenue opportunities to offset costs. There are also some limiting factors such as proximity, accessibility and connectivity. It is noted that Council is considering development of a formal garden at the District Park as part of stage 1 development that would focus on 3 main types or components of formal gardens: botanic plantings (including natives, exotics and floras); sister city garden opportunities; community gardens. Although the acquisition of the garden presents an opportunity for Council to provide a special area of open space that is not replicated anywhere else in the District, staff are of the view that the proposal is difficult to support at this time given the costs and other factors mentioned above. Staff recommend that Council does not purchase Broadfield Garden at this point in time but considers what other mechanisms are available or support it could provide to retain the garden for the district's benefit.		

Submitter Number:	605	Submitter:	Jade Collier
Point Number	605.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	605.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	605.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment: There has been a change in how many people participate in local sport due to the lack of facilities we have in Malvern. This has resulted in a lot of families taking their children elsewhere and making it harder for the local clubs to keep members due to lack of facilities. With being able to offer this I believe we would be able to grow the sports culture in Malvern and also be able to provide a lot more activities to the locals who deserve to have this built and not have to travel far for it		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	605.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	605.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services

Comments:	there should be more buses put on from darfield. One going to rolleston and hornby every week day would help a lot that travel and work in those areas		
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. This would include linking larger townships like Darfield to Rolleston and PT services based there, and connections to the City.		
Point Number	605.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	selwyn residents pay alot in rates and all we see is it going back to rolleston area to develop there. I believe there needs to be development in malvern area as it is a growing community and desrve to have facilities put there		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		

Submitter Number:	606	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	606.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	606.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	606.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	606.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: add in indoor cricket nets		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	606.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	607	Submitter:	Laura Wilson
Point Number	607.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Rolleston needs a building for theatre arts. There are so many talented performers out here that need a space to perform and give the community some great shows to attend. We shouldn't be only about providing facilities for sport. Dance & theatre are important too.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	608	Submitter:	David Galletly
Point Number	608.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: please consider the option within the two courts for 2 dedicated indoor cricket lanes. Darfield Cricket run accademy training for youth cricketers and currently have to pay to use Lincoln facilities. This can be used year round for indoor cricket leagues and training for both youth and senior cricket. &nbsp;It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	609	Submitter: Shannon McAleer
Point Number	609.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million	

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	609.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m		
	Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46		
	Funding: Rates: 100%		
	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	609.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: improve the cricket oval and facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. Options 2 and 3 both include installing a new change		

	room building and toilets and a covered outdoor area which will be beneficial for Cricket.		
Point Number	609.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: build 2 x dedicated cricket lanes with storage area.		
Staff Recommendation	 It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	609.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	610	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	610.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding	

Point Number	610.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33		

	2033/34 Comment: Leeston definitely need a central hub for the community. The current building design is wasteful with respect to how furniture can be placed within the building. The design doesn't need to be fancy it needs to be practical so the space can be utilized. It would be great to see separate spaces for Council staff and for the community such as the kitchen. A commercial kitchen and function space. The function space can be multipurpose and used as meeting rooms with divides to make smaller spaces or one open space. It would be nice to have an office space for staff to work from that are local to the area (who are not in the Community & Development Team), especially as space in HQ is limited. The library is a great space and it would be lovely to have this by the park, especially for the younger children so those visiting have the option to go to the park and then the library right beside each other. It would be nice to have somewhere local that offers a new space to use for private events. It would be unfair for Leeston to miss out on this opportunity; as a growing area this space will be welcomed. Look at all the other new buildings other areas have, it is Leeston's turn.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	610.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23		

	Years 6-10: \$6.05		
	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It would be nice to see a fenced off playground (like Doyleston) so that those of use with younger children feel safer, especially being so close to the road. Extra carparking would be beneficial especailly when events are on. The toilets are outdated and in need of renewal.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3 and note that the points raised by the submitter would be addressed if this particular option was pursued.		
Point Number	610.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	610.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	611	Submitter: Phoebe Thompson
Point Number	611.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	611.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	611.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	611.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None		
	Funding: None		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	611.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	611.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	There needs to be council investment in the arts. There is a developing theatre community with no available council space to put on performances etc. Relying solely on private or school spaces as venues. These are already undersized for the size of the drama, theatre and dance groups in Selwyn. Please invest in the arts not just sport. Our kids need to be provided with a range of options. Not everyone strives to be an all black		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	612	Submitter:	Carina Schill
Point Number	612.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	612.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

612.6

Category

Questionnaire > BD3 -
Malvern Rec and Sports
Facility

Comments:

	Comment: Two indoor courts would allow for several games to be played at the same time making weekday evening and weekend sports more accessible for Darfield and the surrounding community. For me personally (and my family) the 30+min drive to Rolleston to play sports is a significant cost and time barrier. However, I would suggest a simple, cost-effective design for these indoor courts.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	612.8	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I am very much in favor of developing a cycle trail connecting Arthurs Pass to the east coast. What a great idea! There are currently very limited options for residents living in the direction of Darfield or beyond for cycling off-road. Creating such a trail would benefit everyone from kids through to elderly to safely enjoy riding their bike and/or go for a nice walk. It would also enable the nearby towns to benefit from increased tourism.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	612.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	612.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The council needs to work together with Sheffield school and community to determine a solution that would allow the students and community to keep using the pool (could be via a key) or replace it with another one on Sheffield school grounds. The pool is an important community asset for Sheffield, Springfield and surrounding rural areas. The Darfield pool is for many not a '10min drive' but an 'extra 10min drive' away. Furthermore, if we are aiming to reduce our driving for climate change, congestion and road maintenance closing the pool will not help.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		

Submitter Number:	613	Submitter:	Howard Barrett
Point Number	613.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	613.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	613.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	DO NOT SHUT OUR POOL This pool means a lot to me and my family. We havent been here for 100 years like some of Community, but we are passionate about this issue and just want to see the pool back to its former glory. This pool has nutured many of our tamariki to learn how to swim and just be a hub for our community to meet. Many a celebration, birthday has occurred there and so many memories. This pool is an asset not just to our community of Sheffield but the surrounding areas such as Springfield and even Darfield families have there swimming lessons at Sheffield. It is a Memorial pool and now have a sub division opening up with 80 sections just think of the impact that will take away from the community if you remove this amazing asset. Do not		

	close this pool let the community work with you to get it back to the way it was		
	thank you		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	613.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	DO NOT CLOSE SHEFFIELD POOL		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	614	Submitter:	Mou Basu
Point Number	614.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	614.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Last Sunday we went to see the pool. Pool was not being maintained by council for last 6 years. Pool was not being painted over last 6 years and condition of the pool at present is poor. This could have been avoidable. This pool has long history and everyone who lived in Sheffield for years benefitted from here. We need to protect our history and our assets. Demolishing this pool can not be an option. Every asset needs to be maintained and we all need to stand up together to protect our assets. Elderly people with health challenges like arthritis and mobility problem can use the pool for their fitness, they do not need to go to other towns to access similar facilities. The pool is highly accessible. We have been living here in Sheffield for last 12 years and when we came here, other members of community welcomed us to join the pool. We went swam, socialize with local ladies and developed a lovely bonding. I personally started knowing others through pool and I value those connections. It was my first social hub in Sheffield in 2012. What we have we have to protect it. We all need to stand up together to protect this pool. When cost of living so high, we as family would like to benefited from our local community rather than giving business to Darfield and Rolleston. A new sub division is coming up here in near future., i.e- 70 new houses will be here. Pool will attract more families and will generate money to maintain the running cost s etc. There is always great possibilities of improvement rather than disestablishing the existing one.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	615	Submitter:	Taylor Allison
Point Number	615.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	615.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are		

	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	615.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None

	Funding: None		
Staff Recommendation	None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	615.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	616	Submitter:	Caelan Thomas
Point Number	616.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	My name is Caelan Thomas, and I am the current Chair of the Executive Team at CentreStage Rolleston and the co-Musical Director of the Selwyn Community Choir. In 2024, CentreStage Rolleston will embark on our biggest year yet. We will welcome approximately 5,000 audience members to our shows, enabled by 400 local volunteers and approximately 25,000 volunteer hours. The Selwyn Community Choir is an 80-strong choir which rehearses in both Rolleston and Darfield each week, and performs at community events such as the Rolleston and Lincoln ANZAC services and many community Christmas events. However, CentreStage Rolleston and the Selwyn Community Choir are only part of the massive arts community in Rolleston. Rolleston is home to a brass band, three choirs, dozens of businesses working in the arts, and thousands of children and young people who take lessons in music, dance, or performance.		

Staff Recommendation	We cram into cafés, hire school halls and classrooms in the limited holidays, and travel all over Christchurch and beyond to find appropriate facilities. While the council does have hybrid and multi-use facilities, the fact that these are seldom used for the arts shows that they are unfortunately not currently fit for purpose. Rolleston alone has twelve football fields, six cricket pitches, a hockey turf, two 25-metre pools, a synthetic athletics surface, eight netball courts, and a \$21.5 million dollar indoor sports facility. There are zero dedicated arts facilities in all of Selwyn. “Sport” is mentioned 26 times in the council’s draft long term plan. “Arts” is mentioned twice. There are no less than five multi-million dollar sports facilities in Rolleston, and the council is planning for another one. There is no current plan for a dedicated arts facility anywhere in Selwyn. The complete and utter disparity in support is disappointing. Frustrating. Maddening. The arts enchant us, and inspire us, and comfort us, and heal us. The arts teach understanding, promote forgiveness, and breed compassion. The arts connect us on an utterly fundamental level; they are both a home within, and an escape from the world. The arts are where we go to share and experience what it means to be human; to feel; to journey; to find meaning. The most impactful change the Selwyn District Council can make for the arts in Selwyn in the next century would be to build a dedicated, permanent, and fit-for-purpose arts space. A dedicated performance space will provide the existing arts organisations and businesses the opportunity to connect with our community in a way that isn’t possible at the moment. It will inspire the next generation of artists. It will open the door to new arts groups which can’t yet exist due to the lack of facilities. It will provide opportunities for the young, connection for the elderly, employment for many, and inspiration for all. It is time for the council to add a dedicated arts facility to its long-term plan. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	617	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	617.1	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	617.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	617.5 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments: Staff Recommendation	Great that the West Melton netball and tennis are getting new courts, but they require a clubhouse to store gear, changing facilities etc. With this addition i feel for the growing community also requires a squash court that could be added also. We wanted one added when the community centre was build a few years ago, so now would be the perfect time to include this. Thanks. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being

financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	618	Submitter:	Renee Joblin
Point Number	618.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	618.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30		

2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	618.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	618.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	619	Submitter:	Rex Walker
Point Number	619.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	619.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I think it would be a great loss the health of my community, Sheffield/Waddington if the Sheffield Memorial Pool were to be closed. I can't understand why it would be thought a good thing to deprive the pupils of Sheffield school and the people of the area of		

Staff Recommendation	such a necessary facility. As for the pool being a drowning danger this is laughable when you consider that we live with an open water race that flows strong and deep through our area. Please do not take away this community enhancing facility from us. I think it would be best for the pool to be run through a combination of our community and the council . It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.

Submitter Number:	620	Submitter: Dave Beatty
Point Number	620.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.	

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	620.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	620.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	620.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	621	Submitter:	Denise Ellis
Point Number	621.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	621.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: <i>Before implementing this scheme, has anyone surveyed residents to ensure this is a viable option. Seems to me this might not make a huge difference to the majority. I am aware there is an area already being used (near council buildings) for those to park before catching the bus to town. Why the need then for an upgrade now ?</i> The LTP Big Decision on this topic is seeking this feedback. With Councils Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033. The Lincoln Park N Ride set to coincide with Environment Canterbury's intention to improve Lincolns Direct to City PT Services first while the additional Rolleston Park N Rides will add to the existing facilities at Council HQ and Foster Park.		

Point Number	621.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Comment: <i>Can this not be done with through fundraising . Of course, earthquake proofing is important, but UT is not necessary at this stage to build new.</i>		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	621.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Comment: How bad is this park ? Could this be in the next 10 year plan?		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged		
Point Number	621.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	My main concern is the impact these rate rises will on single home owners , low incomes families in an already economically stressful environment. I am aware of the rate rebates, which I use as a casual worker. These rate rises will become crippling for many. How do we achieve balance in this economic pressure pot?		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Point Number	621.11	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
	Comment: Not necessary at this stage at all		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Submitter Number:	622	Submitter:	Judith Nickle
Point Number	622.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	622.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I have lived in this area on and off for a number of years and the Sheffield Memorial Pool has played a part in my family's enjoyment of life to a great extent during that time. I believe that the children who live in and around Sheffield and Waddington should be able to use their local swimming pool to both learn to swim and to have fun in. The Darfield pool is too far away from here to be within easy		

	reach to offer an impromptu family outing on a summer's day. Also, the Darfield pool has always been too full to offer a family fun outing during most hot days. If there were to be a natural disaster, then surely it would be a great advantage to the community to have the advantage of access to a large body of water such as the swimming pool.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	623	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	623.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	623.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	623.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	623.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	623.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	624	Submitter:	Justin Cercado
Point Number	624.2	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	wish to see more available bus times. For example, a bus every 15-20 minutes. Or a bus every 20 minutes specifically in the Acland Park area.		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Additional buses were added recently to the 85 Rolleston direct to City service. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.		

Submitter Number:	625	Submitter:	Carissa Maitland
Point Number	625.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: My concern isn't about the infrastructure, it is about having better, more regular and frequent public transport services.		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury is responsible the 86 direct to city Metro service, which is currently justified for commuters where patronage levels are the highest. West Melton residents can use the planned Rolleston Park N Ride facilities beyond what can be accommodated by the current 86 Metro Darfield to city service that stops at West Melton, and any further additional services Environment Canterbury could consider on this route. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		
Point Number	625.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I would prefer money to be spend upgrading the Rolleston Community Centre so it can be used as a multi use venue. This could service wider Selwyn. As a parent of performing arts kids there is currently no performing arts spaces suitable to meet the needs of the Selwyn community (the Lincoln events centre doesn't seem to be utilised for this purpose, and Rolleston College auditorium is too small and not able to cater to the high end productions.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	625.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		
	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	625.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	625.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	625.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	In terms of community facilities, I see absolutely nothing about performing arts facilities. The sole focus is on sports. Sports are important but performing arts is just as important. There are a huge amount of talented performing artists and groups in Selwyn,		

Staff Recommendation	including up and coming young people. My children have to travel to Christchurch or further afield to participate in what they love. With the scaled back Rolleston College 2nd campus the performing arts spaces are woefully lacking. I believe Rolleston Community Centre could be strengthened and turned into a multi use venue that had a dedicated performing arts space, possibly with movie theatre. Look at Rangiora Town Hall as an example of what can be done. This is a fantastic venue and one of only 2-3 venues in greater Christchurch capable of hosting dance competitions and high quality productions The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	626	Submitter: Kathryn Hayward
Point Number	626.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%	

	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	626.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Point Number	626.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	626.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Whilst it is 10mins from the Darfield pool, the Darfield pool is less than adequate. They have to fence half of it off for the slide and diving board. We have to get in the car to go to a pool and will go to Sheffield.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	627	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	627.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	627.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	627.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Also a disc golf course would be a great addition to Rhodes Park in Tai Tapu under the trees by the old campground area.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		

Point Number	627.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	Can you please look to increase sewage capacity for Tai Tapu region.		
Staff Recommendation	Council is working with Christchurch City Council on a temporary increase to wastewater discharges to the Bromley Treatment Plant. Staff recommendation is to proceed with the planned upgrades to the network that will allow Tai Tapu to discharge to the Pines treatment plant in 2028/2029.		

Submitter Number:	628	Submitter:	Dave Fitzjohn for Lincoln Envirotown Trust
Organisation:	Lincoln Envirotown Trust		
Point Number	628.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: As mentioned previously Lincoln Envirotown Trust regards park space as of the utmost importance and indeed we are concerned how the low-density housing model is usurping land areas leaving very little accessible space for recreation. Recreational space is being pushed further and further from housing. We would recommend that a study be conducted to check that all residents live within walking distance of recreational space. We also hope that in any development of these areas of free space that Council will always look to include some native forest and “wider” areas. Again, we would go with the Council budgeted option; Option 2.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and acknowledge submitters comments. It is noted that Council has a distribution criteria for access to recreation space for residents in towns of within 500 meters or 'easy walking distance' and this is applied to all new developments.		
Point Number	628.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: We would again re-iterate the importance of Recreation and Sport to the wellbeing of Selwyn's populace. Also we would re-iterate that these spaces be freely available to all for most of the time and include natural areas which carry no designated activity and are simply places of relaxation.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	628.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Comment: Lincoln Envirotown Trust sees the Long-Term Development of our Public Transport Network as essential for the environment and for our communities. Public transport will only be used and provided if the infrastructure is there to support it. Therefore the provision of these park and ride facilities are of very high priority where distances to bus stops and train stations are not within anything like walking distance of people's homes.		

	The Trust does not feel it should suggest rating models for the public therefore we would opt for the Council Budgeted Option 1 .		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury.		
Point Number	628.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
	Comment: Lincoln Envirotown Trust make much use of Community facilities and believe wholly in communities coming together to enjoy any variety of events and social gatherings. Libraries are particularly good gathering places with their educational role and encouragement to find information in a relaxed environment. The Trust also believes that outdoor recreational space is important and therefore the location next to Leeston Park is significant. Option 2 seems to be the only option that includes a library, therefore this choice.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	628.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability

Comments:	Lincoln Envirotown Trust sees the importance of the policy areas of significance and the need to adapt these. Such issues as reviewing Maori input into decision making must be ongoing.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges. The Council is also developing an Emissions Plan and Sustainability Plan to identify actions into the future. Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of supporting Iwi participation. Council is committed to ensuring inclusivity and that ensuring everyone has an opportunity to benefit.		
Point Number	628.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	We would expect the Selwyn District Council to make frequent announcements regarding new policies regarding the environment and community inclusivity in the issues and decisions which face us all.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	628.12	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades – This issue is, of course, seen as very important to Lincoln Envirotown. We are concerned that the growth of housing that has been permitted around		

Lincoln has outpaced any ability to keep a character town and services fit for purpose. The proposed upgrades are to be commended but they will need to be implemented rapidly. Our Trust would suggest that in the interests of keeping the character and environment of Lincoln, more far-reaching solutions such as diverting traffic away from the town centre will be needed within 10 years. Staff Recommendation A Southern Bypass of Lincoln had been considered before and discounted by Council due to the unwillingness of developers to accommodate it, comparatively low use and high cost. The redesign of Gerald St will reduce vehicle speeds through the township which may in turn redirect through traffic onto other routes. Councils roading programme includes a future project to form Collins Rd to Ellesmere Rd to provide another route for Lincoln South development traffic other than Springs Rd. This attempted to be pinned to the Plan Change outcomes but was not agreed to.			
Point Number	628.13	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	New Public Transport initiatives are going to be particularly important to Lincoln and Rolleston which have already become too congested by motor vehicles, and currently transfer this congestion to Christchurch.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council		
Point Number	628.14	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	The Trust unequivocally supports the compulsory kerbside organics collection services.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We're pleased that you're supportive of the upcoming change.		
Point Number	628.15	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Whilst Lincoln Envirotown Trust can fully see the importance of local government developing the best ties with businesses, this		

	should not be at the cost of reducing oversight and regulation. Everything stated in the Long-Term Plan in regard to this issue seems to show only employment and profit as the overriding concerns. Once this mind set is established community well being and environment can be easily ignored, and indeed on a national scale look to be sidelined. Lincoln Envirotown is an Environmental and Community charity and therefore would ask council always to maintain their role in ensuring regulation of location of businesses and adherence to environmental and community social benefit as well as private business benefit.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	628.16	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	See full report included with this submission.		
	Lincoln Enviortown Trust is requesting a strategic partnership and funding with Council The Lincoln Envirotown Trust appreciate the privileged position we enjoy as a recipient of “strategic partnership” funding. We are very much aware that it would be impossible to provide what we do without the generous long term support we receive. We believe we deliver many services, events, and other forms of contribution to our Selwyn community and certainly intend to keep doing so. We see a growing importance of our work to protect environment and support community in the present-day difficulties presented by growing population and business activity in our District. We hope for and look forward to a continued productive partnership with Selwyn District Council.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Submitter Number:	629	Submitter:	Julyan Falloon for Sport Canterbury

Organisation:	Sport Canterbury		
Point Number	629.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: see attached document		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	629.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: see attached document		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	629.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	629.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:			
Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	629.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Comment: Big Decision 3 – Malvern Recreation and Sports Facility Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand commend Council's approach to undertaking a needs analysis for the Malvern District. Current facilities are nearing 50 years old and are no longer deemed fit for purpose. We support the alternative option presented by GLG, with a view to providing long term sustainability in the area, of building a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Artificial sports turf has the ability to increase capacity and address condition concerns. With non-water based artificial turf on the horizon, this will also reduce water consumption across the city. We highly recommend that environmental sustainability is considered in the design, construction, and selection of turf product. Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand commend Council for planning to develop high quality artificial sports turf via the Sports Field Network Plan.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	629.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Comment: Comment: Comment:			

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	629.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Big Decision 2b – Leeston Park improvements Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand commend Council for the ongoing investment in the maintenance of sport and recreation facilities to ensure they are fit-for-purpose. With Council identifying the benefit of redevelopment of Leeston Park over the next 15 years, Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand commend Council for the foresight to build a new community facility and associated landscape improvements. The allocation of funds to extend playing fields, replace and upgrade playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark will provide the community with improved spaces and places to be physically active. We highly recommend that environmental sustainability is considered in the design, construction and operations of the park		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		
Point Number	629.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Thank you for maintaining your investment in sport and recreation across many areas during some extremely tough economic times. The benefits are real, human and long lasting for the people of Selwyn and we acknowledge that while Council has had to 'tighten its belt' financially, sport, active recreation and play have fared well compared to some other areas within Council. Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand commend Council for the priority given to expanding and resourcing the Sports event and Bookings team in recent years. The prioritisation of this service has had a significant impact on the sport and recreation community within the Selwyn District, as has been evidenced in your own stakeholder engagement survey. Play, Active Recreation and Sport Strategy Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand acknowledge the collaborative approach taken by Council to work with us to develop a district wide Play, Active Recreation and Sport (PARS) strategic action plan. Our engagement in the process has provided insight into the additional funding required to implement some of the identified outcomes. Sport		

Canterbury and Sport New Zealand support Council's commitment to investment in, and prioritisation of, several initiatives that support play, active recreation and sport, in particular:

- Investigate opportunities for existing underutilised Council spaces suitable for PARS functions including change of use and/or hubbing.
- Identify opportunities for economic development within PARS by continuing research with a particular focus on Active Recreation and Play.
- Understand PARS barriers and needs for whānau Māori, people living in areas of higher deprivation and people with disabilities.
- Review provision and programming (including energy and efficiency audits) against the Council's sustainability management planning to ensure alignment with Council goals.
- Create engagement channels that gather information to gather data and insight into current and future issues in the PARS area to inform facility, open space, activity requirements and future resource requirements.
- (\$ • Develop and complete an all-encompassing, long-term PARS strategic plan in preparation for the 2027–2037 LTP.
- Update the Council's website to include information on the District's PARS network to enable people to make informed choices according to their needs.
- Enable Council with the tools to build PARS advocacy and promotion plans and to advocate for and activate accessible PARS spaces and opportunities.
- Activate inclusive and accessible PARS opportunities with a particular focus on women, young people, and rural communities.
- o Activate a rural outreach programme for PARS.
- o Activate youth-specific programmes for PARS.
- o Activate specific PARS programmes for women and girls
- Establish necessary resource, including budget, to activate plans to reduce barriers and grow participation in PARS for rural communities, women and girls, and youth. And, to enable PARS events, have-a-go opportunities, and reactive consultancy work. (\$45k pa)
- Implement a centralised sports field booking and allocation system. (\$70k)

8 In addition, play is key to a child's development, supporting development of spatial awareness, considered risk taking, self-confidence and social skills. Placement of safe and attractive play spaces can also promote a sense of vibrancy and community in a neighbourhood. We are grateful to enjoy a tri-partite relationship with Selwyn District Council in the area of Play. Through this relationship and our investment into the Selwyn District Council Play Advocate role (Adele Orangi), we are well placed to continue under a shared recognition that Play is an everywhere activity. Adele is currently working across council teams on the development of a wide variety of facilities, spaces and places- which will enable generations of Selwyn residents to play and be active together. We are enjoying working with Adele and colleagues to ensure that this work and its impact can endure. Accordingly, Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand advocate for Councils consideration for investment in the Local Play Advocate Workforce and supports Council's ongoing commitment to investment in both destination and neighbourhood play spaces.

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		
Point Number	629.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	see attached document for full submission Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand: 1. Thank Selwyn District Council for maintaining investment in sport, active recreation and play across many areas during some extremely tough financial times. 2. Support Council's prioritisation of investment in the completion, redevelopment and development of a number of key sport and recreation facilities in the first four years of this LTP period. 3. Advocate for: • Option 2 with regards Big Decision 2b, allowing for landscape improvements and upgrade of playground equipment and associated infrastructure at Leeston park • Development of a two court indoor facility in Darfield • Closure of Sheffield Memorial Pool • Allocation of funding to support proposed outcomes in the Play, Active Recreation and Sport strategic action plan 4. Support Council's commitment to investment in and prioritisation of a number of initiatives that support active recreation and play, including consideration of investment into the Local Play Advocate Workforce 5. Advocate for Council to consider the changing needs of participants from a formal sports approach to a more informal active recreation and play approach when developing facilities, sports park and play spaces		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by council.		
Point Number	629.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	see attached document		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	629.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	Sheffield Memorial Pool Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand acknowledge the economic costs to the Council associated with the delivery of aquatic spaces, places, programmes and 7 activities. The Sheffield Pool requires significant investment to keep running and usage does not necessarily align with the required expenditure. We also acknowledge the proximity to Darfield Pool which has recently had significant investment and redevelopment. As such, Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand support the closure of Sheffield Pool
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development

Submitter Number:	630	Submitter:	Bodie Hawkins
Point Number	630.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Comment: As a committee member of Darfield Cricket Club I would like this indoor centre to be able to have two cricket lanes suitable for all weather training. This is becoming more of an issue for us as the closest suitable indoor venue is at Lincoln uni, and this year the lanes have been booked out already for pre season training in September. This severely limits our options for training going forward as we try to find other venues albeit that they are further away if they have availability.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		

Submitter Number:	631	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	631.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	631.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	631.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	631.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	631.7	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Changes to Development Contributions - New development, i.e. developers, should meet the actual cost of infrastructure upgrades not the existing rate payers as at present.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	631.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Chlorination - now that a cost has been put on chlorinating water supplies rate payers should be asked what level of risk is acceptable to avoid increased rates. Roading maintenance and renewals - I support increased funding. The Council should be more prudent with its planned expenditure.		
Staff Recommendation	Under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules there is a requirement to add a small dose of chlorine to the piped network after treatment. To be able to move to intermittent chlorination (for eligible schemes) an exemption from Taumata Arowai is required. Exemption will only be granted by the regulator if infrastructure and systems can lower risk sufficiently. Having		

obtained an exemption for Rakaia Huts, Council has sought independent advice on the infrastructure upgrades required to obtain exemptions for 17 potentially eligible schemes. Without continuous chlorination, additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period.

Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.

Submitter Number:	632	Submitter:	Kylie Gallagher
Point Number	632.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis		

Staff Recommendation	poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	633	Submitter: Thomas Allison
Point Number	633.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	633.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are		

	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	633.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number	633.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	634	Submitter:	Priscilla Powell
Point Number	634.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: With a cost of living crisis and a recession, it seems counter intuitive to spend money of things that could have its costs blow out and be even more expensive, due to global or environmental conditions.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. It is the intention by improving PT Services and corresponding infrastructure it will improve public access to additional and affordable transport options.		
Point Number	634.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: If there is an earthquake, there will be repairs to buildings and infrastructure as a result. Some people can't afford these rate rises and need our support as a community to help them by not giving them a huge bill. If these projects do go ahead, can		

	there be public consultation to bring down the costs of these projects please? There seems to be a pattern that companies tender for the projects and after attaining them, the costs are reassessed and are always more costly than first anticipated. There needs to be more security in the prices that companies give, so that further increases to our rates don't happen.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	634.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	634.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

Staff Recommendation	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: There are funds from the last Long-Term Plan that has already been made from our rates so these should be utilised for what the money was set aside for. Keep in mind that the money the shire is spending is the communities money and make sure costings are accurate and won't blow out.		
	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	634.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an		

	economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	634.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Firstly, the Climate Change Policy is good to have if it isn't driven by alarmist and extremist ideas. Climate changes and always has done. I like that there is a regular review process but I think that there should be consultation with people who are independent of government or globalist ideas of doom and gloom. There needs to a calm and considered approach to climate change and I don't think it should be a core focus in all decisions. This will allow control over future plans and movement forward maybe stalled and more money wasted as we need more approvals and red tape. Carbon needs to be in our environment so that trees can complete the process of making oxygen for us. There is no need to reduce carbon. It makes up 0.04% of the overall carbon in our atmosphere. Depending on which expert you listen to, there is belief that there is no need for concern and then the complete opposite is expressed. Who do you listen to? I would say that in hearing all sides of the debate, a common sense approach should avail.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017, this being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The council has a Climate Change Policy, as well as an emissions target to assist with the development of the LTP. The Activity and Asset Management Plans with this LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to climate change and emission reduction.		

Point Number	634.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Policies haven't been finalised, so there isn't anything concrete to give feedback on. All I can say is that in your endeavour to give the rate payers what they are saying they want, you are putting them at risk of not being able to pay their rates. It doesn't make sense to me.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	634.11	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	In regards to adding Chlorine to the water and potentially Fluoride, if you read articles about the high court case about adding Fluoride to the water in Hastings, Nelson and various other places, the mandates have been held up because the Director General of Health didn't consider the BORA test (Bill of Rights Act) when mandating these councils add it to the water. It has been proven to be a neurotoxin that lowers the IQ levels in children. If you look at the website fluoridefree.org.nz you will see all the information you need.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comments regarding fluoridation of community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	634.12	Category	Activity > Providing safe drinking water
Comments:	People of the district has said that safe water is a high priority to them		
Staff Recommendation	Under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules there is a requirement to add a small dose of chlorine to the piped network after treatment. To be able to move to intermittent chlorination (for eligible schemes) an exemption from Taumata Arowai is required. Exemption will only be granted by the regulator if infrastructure and systems can lower risk sufficiently. Having obtained an exemption for Rakaia Huts, Council has sought independent advice on the infrastructure upgrades required to obtain exemptions for 17 potentially eligible schemes. Without continuous chlorination, additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be		

	used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	634.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	There are members in our community who would benefit from using a quieter pool that isn't frequented by a lot of people. I'm thinking of the people who have sensory issues and need the quieter place to swim. This pool is also a memorial pool. What was it made to remember? Sheffield has character and the pool is a fond part of the community and its identity.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool and highlighted the Memorial aspects of this facility as a key Council consideration for the future of this site.		
Point Number	634.14	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Its good to co-design this with Selwyn businesses as they are the people who live this each day at the grassroots. Things will change dramatically over the next 10 years and the council needs to keep an open mind and respond accordingly, with a measured and consulting way, not with an extremist and alarmist way. They need measured support and calm guidance using a common sense approach.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	634.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Better, but not more! Please consider that there are parts of our community who won't have the knowledge to keep up with digital change. Always have cash for people to pay with and honour that we have a past that people have chosen to stay with for their well being. The council needs to be inclusive of all people and not judge		

	or force people to change the way they operate. I am concerned with digital identity and relying on technology to make real world choices and decisions. There always needs to be a human and kinesthetic focus when moving forward with digital ideas and solutions.		
Staff Recommendation	Face to face interaction will continue to be an important part of how we interact with our communities. Our digital approach is designed to make things more streamlined, connected and efficient.		
Point Number	634.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Debt Levels
Comments:	Borrowing money at 220% is very extreme in my opinion. There needs to be some consideration given to the state of the world at the moment. We are in a recession, cost of living has never been higher, there is war happening and we just got through a pandemic which saw the collapse of businesses and peoples livelihoods. I can't believe with all of this being considered that the council thinks its okay to borrow at the this rate. If the council goes bankrupt for what ever reason, the person, people or organisation (who are you borrowing this from?) has the right to seek the money through doing what ever they want to our rates. The council is okay to put their rate payers at this risk? My question is if the council can be so foolhardy at doing this, who are you really working for? It doesn't seem like it is for the people who pay the rates. If it was, I would think that money and safeguarding its rate payers would be foremost in the decision making.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		
Point Number	634.17	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	and there was a great deal that didn't want Chlorine in the water too. I would like to know where the figure \$82.6m came from? I know there will need to be changes to infrastructure, but surely with people valuing Chlorine free water, they would pay to have the infrastructure to make that happen and water to be monitored. If the council could be transparent about the \$82.6m price tag and how it is this expensive, surely we can bring the costs down with a bit more thought.		
Staff Recommendation	Under the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules there is a requirement to add a small dose of chlorine to the piped network		

after treatment. To be able to move to intermittent chlorination (for eligible schemes) an exemption from Taumata Arowai is required. Exemption will only be granted by the regulator if infrastructure and systems can lower risk sufficiently. Having obtained an exemption for Rakaia Huts, Council has sought independent advice on the infrastructure upgrades required to obtain exemptions for 17 potentially eligible schemes. Without continuous chlorination, additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period.

If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.

Submitter Number:	635	Submitter:	Luke Glendining
Point Number	635.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	635.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32		

	2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	635.4	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	there is not enough emphasis on the arts. We need spaces for music and performing arts to give young and old the opportunity to express their talents without having to travel. There are plenty of sports facilities. It's time to invest in the arts that bring communities together		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	636	Submitter:	Georgia McKenzie
Point Number	636.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
	Comment: We have growing numbers of netball participants in the Malvern District and our ambitious sports players are having to go outside our area to play competitively. As a parent I want my		

Staff Recommendation	children to have the opportunities in our back yard. Having a minimum of 2 indoor courts would be great. Games could be scheduled & played without weather interruption for all ages, and skill sets. I would hope to see the building as a multi functional sporting facility to be enjoyed through out the year by many. It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	637	Submitter: Lauren Beattie
Point Number	637.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	637.2	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	637.3
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	637.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	I do not support the closure of the pool. Water safety is a massive need for children in NZ and so having a local pool is so important for our children to learn to swim and be comfortable and safe in and around water.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		

Submitter Number:	638	Submitter:	Jo Maddren
Point Number	638.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	638.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	638.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	638.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	639	Submitter:	Marcia Taylor
Point Number	639.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	639.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	639.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	640	Submitter: Bryan Pinches
Point Number	640.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	640.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	640.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	640.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	640.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	640.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Insufficient information to allow comments to be made on this topic and previous.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	640.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The Selwyn Times reported that the Selwyn Mayor had reported to the NZ Government that the rates system is broken. It is not. Only the Selwyn implementation is broken. The local council needs to reduce the level of spending in these difficult economic circumstances, however desirable particular projects may appear. The Long Term Plan is only a wish list subject to funding and not automatic authorisation for all listed items to be implemented. The council should be trying to keep rates increases to a minimum and should request separate permission from the residents for anything which leads to an increase, rather than announce a rise of more than 15%.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Point Number	640.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	It may only be a 10 minute drive from Sheffield to the Darfield pool but is there a bus service?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development		
Point Number	640.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	There is too much provided as supporting documentation. I have spent several days trying to understand all aspects and cannot afford more time to read and understand any more. That is what the council workers are paid for. I am not paid to read it all.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission.		

Submitter Number:	641	Submitter:	Matt Deans
Point Number	641.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined
	Funding: To be determined
	Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	641.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Point Number	641.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	641.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	642	Submitter:	Andrew Bell
Point Number	642.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	642.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	642.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	642.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	642.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
	Comment: on the matter of the new Darfield court's I am strongly in favor of adding two lanes of cricket nets for indoor training. This		

Staff Recommendation	whole indoor facility would get alot more of the malvern kids and adults involved in sport and staying active. Build for the future, the council got it wrong with the pool upgrade don't get it wrong with this facility. Submission is acknowledged by Council. If the project continues into further phases indoor cricket lanes can potentially be included as part of scope development

Submitter Number:	643	Submitter: Judy McAuliffe Miller
Point Number	643.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	643.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31		

	2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	643.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		

Point Number	643.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	644	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	644.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: the money should be invested in an arts venue/space as there are no current venues or even spaces dedicated to the arts. Stop wasting the our tax paying dollars on sports. There's no need for new sporting infrastructure. Most sports played in NZ just need a paddock and some goal posts.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	644.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	644.4	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	top. Wasting. Money. On. Sports. Facilities. Invest into arts and other recreational infrastructure. Rugby is a dying sport and everywhere you look in NZ theres money going down the drain where theres no need and sports infrastructure is one of them.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	645	Submitter:	Peter Trezise

Point Number	645.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	646	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	646.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: What are the considerations to integrate Darfield-Kirwee-West Melton to the proposed Hornby transport hub in CCC's LTP?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Existing and upgraded PT Metro services scheduled to stop at Hornby will be accommodated in the new hub, however further express bus services from Selwyn will need to be established as part of the connection needed to the proposed City MRT system at Hornby.		
Point Number	646.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	647	Submitter:	Courtney Townsend
Point Number	647.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	648	Submitter:	Rochelle Fraser
Point Number	648.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	648.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces		

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Our rates are already high. The cost of living is going up every day..we need to be careful about what we spend our money on.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	648.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: We need to wait for building costs and materials to reduce or stabilize. Costs are only increasing weekly. We need to prioritize what needs doing. If it's not urgent then we should delay this.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	648.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Building costs are too high. Delay until our economy stabilizes and living costs reduce. Please don't spend what we don't have.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	648.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	648.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	If you are going to consult with the general public about what should and should not happen in the future..then following through with listening and acting on how the public feel should be acted on. Not ignored.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	648.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I don't want rates increased. Our costs of daily living are high & keep rising. Please be wise in your decision making.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	648.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	It's a sensible thing to do. Especially when money has already been spent on upgrading local swimming facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	648.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	We need to hold off spending more money. The economy is not good.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	649	Submitter:	Margaret Vitel
Point Number	649.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	649.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	649.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	649.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for		

multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	650	Submitter:	Marianne Irving
Point Number	650.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25		

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	650.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	650.5	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities

Comments:

The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed.

The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.

West Melton Tennis Club

[Unsubscribe](#)

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored.

The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	651	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	651.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: What about West Melton???? More buses - times to and from the city are absolutely needed!! You would fill a bus with school kids alone. Earlier return to west melton is needed for students. West Melton is growing rapidly and the infrastructure will not keep up at this rate.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Environment Canterbury is responsible the 86 direct to city Metro service. West Melton residents could use the planned Rolleston Park N Ride facilities beyond what can be accommodated by the current 86 Metro Darfield to city service that stops at West Melton, and any further additional services Environment Canterbury could consider on this route. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be		

provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.

Point Number	651.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

	2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	651.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	651.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	651.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	651.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	652	Submitter:	Sam Horner
Point Number	652.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: we would love an indoor facility for winter sports, us and our kids would get slog of use out of it		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	652.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

**Submitter
Number:**

653

Submitter:

Donna Nugent

Point Number

653.1

CategoryQuestionnaire > BD1 -
Transport - Park and Ride**Comments:**

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding

Point Number	653.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	653.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number	653.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	653.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

**Submitter
Number:**

654

Submitter: Kerry Steel**Point Number**

654.1

Category Questionnaire > BD1 -
Transport - Park and Ride**Comments:**

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding

Point Number	654.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	654.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number	654.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	654.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	654.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	655	Submitter:	Emma Aker
Point Number	655.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	655.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	655.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	655.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	655.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	655.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Where is the support for the arts!?! Come on Selwyn, support our marvellous kids and community in continuing having opportunities in the arts. Its not visible in your long term plan!		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	656	Submitter:	Brian Donnelly
Point Number	656.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	the Sheffield memorial baths hold a significant place in the hearts of the wider community area and the thought of its closure has caused unnecessary anxiety. It is a designated war memorial and since its inception has been held in high regards not only by those residents who raised funds to build it but allso by their children, grandchildren and in some cases their greatgrand children. The value of this community asset should not be underestimated. The ability of local children learning to swim in a safe secure environment has no doubt saved many from drowning in rivers, lakes and water races. The educational value of learning to swim properly strengthens the ties and bonds of the school children that grow up together in our communities. The memorial pool has, over the years been a meeting place for families where they can gather, thus strengthening that community bond.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	656.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Selwyn District Council must be held to account for their lack of overall maintenance of this war memorial. It is all too easy for them to claim the pool tub is at the end of its useful life and it begs the question as to whether or not this would be the case had Selwyn district council undertaken regular upgrading of the pool over the number os years sinse they took it from the community under the pretext of '<i>doing it better.</i>' it is sad day when an elected body, elected by itscommunities starts to ignore the wishes of those communities. The Sheffield War memorial should not be considered a disposable asset, itis so much more than that. Councils recent suggestions to enter into some sort of Council/Commnity management agreement is laughable. The blame for the deteriorating state of this treasure war memorial lies squarely at the feet of SDC. Refurbish the memorial to the high standard it held before council took it over. It is the least you can do.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	657	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	657.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	657.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

Staff Recommendation	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000

Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	657.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	657.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	657.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	rates increases are way to high in the early years and you are trying to mislead public by decreasing the numbers later as we know this wont happen. Stop wasting money		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	658	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	658.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

		Our budgeted option	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	658.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	658.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes
Staff Recommendation	Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	659	Submitter:	Amanda Seaton
Point Number	659.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	659.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

	Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	659.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
	Point Number	659.4	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	660	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	660.2	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	It would be great for our community to have a dedicated Arts facility. Our Selwyn community already caters well to a vast range of sports with considerable facilities available for users. As great as all of these sporting facilities are, it would be wonderful		

Staff Recommendation	to have just one facility that is focused on providing for our arts community. The impact of such a space would be nothing short of amazing and would serve Selwyn well as an alternative space for learning, growth, connection and artistic expression. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.

Submitter Number:	661	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	661.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	

Point Number	661.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	661.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	661.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	661.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	661.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community		

Staff Recommendation	focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	662	Submitter: Details Withheld
Point Number	662.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment: West Melton continues to grow and has near-zero public transport options, it would be great to see the council consider this before approving more developments.

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury is responsible the 86 direct to city Metro service. West Melton residents could use the planned Rolleston Park N Ride facilities beyond what can be accommodated by the current 86 Metro Darfield to city service that stops at West Melton, and any further additional services Environment Canterbury could consider on this route. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.

Point Number	662.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	662.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None

		Funding: None Comment: I feel this does not present great value. Rolleston is only 20 minutes away.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Point Number	662.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	663	Submitter: Paul Spence
Point Number	663.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None	

	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: The purpose of a park and ride facility is unclear given that we have bus routes within walking distance of most parts of Lincoln and Rolleston.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case identified Park N Ride facilities were needed to support the proposed additional direct to City PT services planned, and in the future MRT related district bus connection services. This will reduce commuter travel using single occupant private vehicles. It is intended that the proposed Park N Ride facilities are positioned and integrated into townships to support higher density and sustainable living outcomes sought by Council. They are perhaps better referred to as future 'transport hubs'. Council is planning that these improve access to core PT services accessible by the wider district.
Point Number	663.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for

	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It beggars belief that a town of some 2000 inhabitants would require a new facility of this description at a potential high end cost in the order of tens of millions. There are excellent near new facilities already available in Rolleston, Lincoln and Tai Tapu. Residents of small rural towns, who contribute minimally to the ratings base, cannot expect gold-plated facilities in this economic environment. Compromise solution. Extend the baseline budget to include earthquake protection and refurbishment of the existing facility. Absolutely no more sports facilities and spaces for "cultural narratives".		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Population growth predictions, and the location of other facilities, have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	663.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	663.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: The district is already over-catered for with sports facilities. People move to remote rural towns knowing full well that not all of the services and facilities of the city and large towns will be available. Whilst it no doubt improves the re-election prospects of		

	certain members of the Council, it is not fair to expect other ratepayers to subsidise these facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	663.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	663.9	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Selwyn District has a great future. But some of the decision-making is out of touch with the realities of the economic and environmental challenges we currently face as a society. For example proposing a \$20M community facility option for a town of 2000 inhabitants is patently absurd.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Population growth predictions have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	663.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	Subdivision development across the district has gone unchecked over the last decade, with costs socialised to the existing community		

	and profits accrued to a small number of developers. With the exception of Te Whariki, where development was moderated by the involvement of Ngai Tahu and Lincoln University, most of the recent subdivisions across the district have been unsympathetic to the environment. Canterbury as a province is almost entirely devoid of native trees, much to our shame. Almost every development results in a loss of habitat including trees of all sorts. This seems incredible given the acknowledged climate crisis and the role plants play in sequestration. Yet little is done in the way of replanting, as the requirements on developers are minimal. The response has been to promote a few native plantings of shrubs in public places such as Reid's Pit, a remote quarry site that few people know about. Reintroduction of native flora into new subdivisions must become a wholesale requirement of any future consents.		
Staff Recommendation	Council recognises the importance of tree canopy cover within urban areas for the many benefits trees provide. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges. Funding has been allocated within the 2024-34 LTP through an 'urban greening' fund via the general Township general ledger.		
Point Number	663.11	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Finally, I see no specific mention of the Lincoln Town Centre upgrade project in this submission page. Recently I witnessed a young student cyclist knocked over by a car whilst attempting to navigate one of the pedestrian crossings. There have been numerous cyclist injuries and motor vehicle collisions along Gerald Street between the shops and the petrol station. This project has been postponed for years and needs to be a priority before there is a fatality! There is a growing perception that Lincoln does not receive its fair share of attention. Council has no problem fast tracking yet another monolithic subdivision in Lincoln South, yet the township cannot have a main street redevelopment or an additional supermarket. This is not an acceptable situation.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will be starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway. The dedicated cycle lanes are intended to encourage and support safe cycling along Gerald Street including the traffic signals to move cyclists through busy intersections.		

Point Number	663.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Where is the economic development strategy and who gets to input?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Action plans will outline this in detail and public communications about the strategy will be made.		
Point Number	663.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Subject to technology development and consulting work being let only to New Zealand based service providers.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	663.14	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	Too much to digest here, so I will focus on the financial policy on developer levy. It is very clear that subdivision developers contribute minimally but profit enormously. The Council has adopted a policy of streamlining consenting and approvals for developers at the expense of existing community views and needs. Across the district, this has resulted in enormous swathes of ugly housing monoculture, devoid of character and with minimal landscaping and native tree plantings. Developer levies must increase to ensure the long term well being of the communities that are impacted. There is insufficient developer contribution towards ensuring adequate roading, drainage and liveable environments. Why should existing ratepayers be burdened with the additional costs of unfettered and poorly imagined housing developments?		

Staff Recommendation	The development contributions for all services have been reviewed and adjusted leading into the preparation of the draft LTP. This has included the introduction of a new development contribution for community infrastructure. Based on the programme of capital works, a thorough and robust process is undertaken to calculate the development contribution amount that can be fairly and reasonably applied to the growth community for a project. The calculation is made in accordance with the Council's Development Contribution Policy and the legislation that underpins the policy as well as guidelines on administering the policy.

Submitter Number:	664	Submitter:	Maria Macdonald
Point Number	664.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: all courts are currently outdoors, and games frequently get affected by the winter weather		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		

Submitter Number:	665	Submitter:	Brent Roffel
Point Number	665.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	665.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	665.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
	Point Number 665.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	665.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	665.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	Please remove chlorination from Springstons water supply. A better water source that contains lower nitrate levels.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies. The submitters preference is for low nitrate water sources. Staff recommendation is to undertake further investigations into centralised sources of low nitrate water as budgeted in the LTP.		
Point Number	665.9	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding

Comments:	A safer road crossing for Springston school (an actual pedestrian crossing or lights) - Completely unsafe during school starts and finishes. Springston school also needs temporary 30km school zone signs during school starts and finishes. There is currently no school zone signing!!!! The return of the speed indicator signs to Springston as its been removed. These signs actually make a big difference!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. We now have a school safety coordinator to address these. New variable speed signs are being installed around all schools in the Selwyn District.		
Point Number	665.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	No opinion		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	666	Submitter:	Matt Walker
Point Number	666.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
	Point Number 666.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	666.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	666.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	666.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	667	Submitter: Cleve Prescott
Point Number	667.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: don't hold back, the longer you leave it the more it will cost, then there will be no savings. make provision for park and ride for regional rail which must be a future option to be considered.	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033 to meet Rolleston demands expected at that time. Park N Ride sites need to provide a level of service that aligns with the increased bus services including bus parks, shelters of sufficient size, secure vehicle parking, bike stands, lighting and all-	

	weather pavement surfacing. They have to be safe and follow CPTED requirements for potential 24/7 use. This type of level of service attracts increase patronage and use of bus services, which is the objective.		
Point Number	667.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29		

	2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34	Comment: Do ot right do it once please.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.	
Point Number	667.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3	
Point Number	667.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: do it now, its not going to get Cheaper, Look at how Christchurch council were able to more than double the cost of their Stadium by not being able to make rational decisions
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	667.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Staff Recommendation Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	667.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I don't understand what your trying to achieve?		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	667.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Resource recovery and waste management at Pines resource, May I suggest council in conjunction with the major supper markets form an alliance for the collection and share transport costs to transport of soft Plastics the Future post soft plastic processing plant in Blenheim, where the Plastic is turned into Plastic posts, that can be recycled when damaged, as opposed the treated timber post currently used by council that litter the country side in their thousands due to the Tanalised treatment ,and are difficult to recycle safely think of your children and grand children, do some research into the products made from and the use of this product please.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. The Packaging Forum run this soft plastics recycling scheme with drop-off points located at a number of supermarkets. We have previously contacted The Packaging Forum to request that a drop-off point be located at the Pines Resource Recovery Park however they advised that they are only focusing on partnerships with supermarkets and retailers and indicated that they were not willing to expand the programme to other locations at that stage.		
Point Number	667.10	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	Also I would like to see conversations started in to the use of regional passenger rail, as transport cost rise preparation for cheaper alternate transport systems will be needed, Rail has got to be looked at for the future.not as one person said, I don't like rail so why use it, use it because its a sensible alternative for the future.		
Staff Recommendation	The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch. Environment Canterbury will be investigating a possible regional passenger rail service.		

Point Number	667.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	only a 10 minute drive is a lot for families that can not afford fuel, Like Rolleston's 25mtr pool, where it should have been 50mtrs, now Rolleston residents travel to Christchurch, at great cost for training. don't make the same mistake again.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	667.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	this fund should be near \$15 Million, covering for inflation.nothing will get cheaper.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		

Submitter Number:	668	Submitter:	Sue Stokes
Point Number	668.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents.So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Once again, the council focus is aimed mainly at Rolleston and towns on this side of the railway are being ignored. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. It is envisaged residents in the wider district can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.
Point Number Comments:	668.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing

Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:** The council's track record of looking after community facilities is very poor and therefore can not be entrusted with expensive new buildings. Until the council can show that it can care for the facilities it already has investment in new facilities doesn't make sense.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number

668.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: The council has allowed parts of this facility to become unsafe proving again they can not be trusted to do required maintenance.		
	Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. All options have been designed to address Health and Safety concerns.	
Point Number	668.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: If this project goes ahead, we would like to see some strong evidence that the council will maintain this facility.			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	668.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield Pool is a war memorial and as such, the council has failed in it's legal obligations to care for this facility. It is imperative that community pools are maintained and access is available to facilitate safe access to water and for children to learn aquatic skills in a safe environment. If this pool closes people will simply swim in the river or lakes as the Darfield facility is already running at capacity.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	668.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	I believe it should be made more difficult for the council to increase rates especially as they are not managing their core businesses of roading, water and maintenance of current facilities very well. Until the council can get the basics right, I feel they shouldn't be able to increase rates to build more facilities, cycleways, etc when they are failing at their core business.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Point Number	668.10	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	I believe the council should not add fluoride to the water as it is a neurotoxin and they have not provided us with studies to show the combination of fluoride and chlorine is safe. On toothpaste packets, it says do not swallow because of the known toxic effects.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comments regarding fluoridation of community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	668.11	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I believe the council maintenance of community facilities to be woeful and as many of our local halls are useful during emergencies, they should not be considered for closure. this would be in keeping with the government's new plan for emergency management. It has been shown clearly that concentrating such facilities does not work in emergencies such as earthquakes as access is limited.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	668.12	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	It seems ridiculous to force people in certain townships to have a green bin when other townships do not get a choice. Surely it makes more sense to have more local dumps that are cheaper and easier to access than to force people to have bins they may not want nor need.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We do acknowledge that this is an additional unwanted burden for those who already compost their own food scraps and garden waste at home. This regulation is outside of Council's control. The Ministry for the Environment has issued a directive that households in all urban areas with a population of greater than 1,000 residents must have a food scraps/organic collection by 1 January 2027. Every Council in New Zealand is obligated under the Waste Minimisation Act to comply with this. Adding new local transfer stations is expensive		

both in capital cost and the ongoing operational cost. Consenting of new waste facilities typically also attracts significant community opposition.

Submitter Number:	669	Submitter:	Bevan Mehrrens
Point Number	669.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: <u>keep it simple. Provide land for parking and a basic bus stop similar to the existing one in Rolleston and expand as or when demand requires.</u>		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033 to meet Rolleston demands expected at that time. Park N Ride sites need to provide a level of service that aligns with the increased bus services including bus parks, shelters of sufficient size, secure vehicle parking, bike stands, lighting and all-weather pavement surfacing. They have to be safe and follow CPTED requirements for potential 24/7 use. This type of level of service attracts increase patronage and use of bus services, which is the objective.		

Point Number	669.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: unnecessary expenditure		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	669.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: unnecessary expenditure
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	669.4
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: push the date out 6 years

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	669.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	669.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	get back to the core services of roading, water etc that we expect our rates to be spent on.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	669.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water

Comments:	the council need to act immediately on flooding mitigation in areas already identified as at risk of future damage. Example, Springfield Township.
Staff Recommendation	A Concept Report has been developed on options to reduce flood risk in the Springfield township. Staff recommendation is to await the outcome of the Environment Canterbury Long Term Plan consultation process with the Selwyn community about an additional targeted rate for district wide flood and river resilience work. It is recommended that Council work with ECan, landowners and other Partners on the concept. Further design and consenting work is budgeted in year 10 of the LTP with construction in years 11 and 12. Regular maintenance of the network within the township will be continued

Submitter Number:	670	Submitter:	Karen Gardener
Point Number	670.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 670.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	670.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	670.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	670.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	670.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	please keep the upper selwyn huts as these houses are part of our community history. They were built there for a reason. Just dont scrap them dont bulldoze our history dont bulldoze history		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		

Submitter Number:	671	Submitter:	Susan Carline-Wright for Kirwee Community Association
Organisation:	Kirwee Community Association		
Point Number	671.3	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	2. The intersection of SH73/West Coast Road and Courtenay Roads is now very busy with the increased number of cars coming from Darfield and within Kirwee itself (due to population growth), and the addition of cars accessing the carpark of Thirsty Acres restaurant		

	and cafe. We would ask for provision (along with Waka Kotahi) of either a. traffic lights b. a roundabout or c. a right-turning lane in SH73 (heading West) into Courtenay Road as we feel there will be a fatality unless traffic can be controlled in some manner. (map attached).		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	671.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	3. We would like the Council to assist us in asking Waka Kotahi to lower the speed limit on SH73/West Coast Road through Kirwee to 50km/h (from 70km/h). There are several hundred PO Boxes housed in the Kirwee Challenge building and cars pull in and park, and then have to turn around across SH73. There are also many pedestrians (including children and dogs) that use the footpath from the township to the Challenge and as many drivers (especially trucks) do more than 70km/h it is becoming less safe on this stretch of road. (map attached as above).		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Speed limits on State Highways are managed by NZTA. Council has made submissions supporting the speed reduction through these locations. Council has submitted to NZTA for additional crossing points for our townships based on SH.		
Point Number	671.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	On behalf of the Kirwee Community Association we wish to submit the following for consideration in the Long Term Plan: 1. There is provision in the financials for Stormwater remediation in Kirwee, but we request that a proper study by an engineer be made of potential risk areas in Kirwee, and that stormwater remediation be targeted to those areas. For instance, a larger culvert pipe for the water race beside 1485 Courtenay Road - the current water race culvert pipe under the road is inadequate and floods every year, creating flooding in the grounds and garage at 1485 Courtenay Road, and also across the road itself. We also would like the study to include the water race in Hoskyns Road opposite the Courtenay A&P Grounds as we feel this is inadequate especially where it turns and runs under the road opposite 1750 Hoskyns Road.		

Staff Recommendation	The submitters request to undertake an assessment of the Kirwee stormwater network is acknowledged. Stormwater generated from within the township is disposed to ground. No stormwater capital upgrade works are currently budgeted in the LTP. In large rainfall events, parts of the township are impacted by surface flows from rural areas entering the township, which are not related to performance of the stormwater network. A district wide flood model is being developed that can be used to assess surface flows. Staff recommendation is to increase stormwater investigation budgets to undertake the requested assessment and budget for the upgrades as part of future Annual Plan processes. If Council wish to support this request an additional \$30,000 in year could be added. As part of their Long Term Plan, Environment Canterbury has recently consulted with the Selwyn community about an additional targeted rate for district wide flood and river resilience work.		
Point Number	671.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	5. We also have been asked to comment on the quality of the consultation - many have found it confusing with questions worded in a leading manner (the Representation Review being a prime example). We know from previous consultations that the Council always has a favoured option despite asking for feedback, so could we suggest that in future there be three options, with the Council declaring which option is their preferred (rather like the ECAN submission process). It would then be much more clear, honest and open.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	671.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	4. We are currently fundraising to build an ANZAC Memorial in the Kirwee Reserve and have been advised to submit for part of the cost, being \$20,000, to be included in the Long Term Plan. Currently we have no gathering place to hold an ANZAC Day service in Kirwee or a central place to display the names of local soldiers lost in both World Wars, so a centralised Memorial has become a focus of our community.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff are of the view that, before committing to any contribution from Council that the full cost of the project is known and the proportion that is to be provided by fund raising. Staff support this project as it is associated with the broader programme of works to improve and develop Kirwee Reserve but were of the understanding this would be funded from local fund-raising. Subject to further information being provided on the cost and funding plan for the project Council may consider an appropriate contribution.

Submitter Number:	672	Submitter:	Samuel Teulon
Point Number	672.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	672.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:	
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	673	Submitter:	mike ham
Point Number	673.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	673.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	673.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

Staff Recommendation	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None

		Funding: None	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	673.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	673.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	completely ridiculous rate rises ,cut your costs to the same as inflation, work to your budget just like we have to . people are struggling like never before .		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	674	Submitter:	Michael Hern
Point Number	674.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Adding shelters and additional park and rides facilities is essential as it will encourage people to park-up and take the bus into the city.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council is also funding a bus stop improvement programme that includes shelters and real time information systems
Point Number	674.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased

	construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	674.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

		Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m	
		Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *	
		Our budgeted option	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	674.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	
		Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
		Project cost: None	
		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None	
		Funding: None	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	674.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	674.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	No need to spend extra money changing current policies and practices.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	674.11	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Please prioritise expenditure on adding more street lights to existing streets, tar sealing main roads, and adding a set of traffic lights onto state highway 1 southern Rolleston Drive road (which leads to state highway 1).		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. All these will be considered when funding allows.		
Point Number	674.12	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Rate payers and residents vote for councillors to make decisions. Having unelected advisors, irrespective of what vestige groups represented, advising council is undemocratic.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of supporting Iwi participation. Council is committed		

to ensuring inclusivity and that ensuring everyone has an opportunity to participate. Council considers this can lead to better outcomes for the whole community. For example, Iwi knowledge about local environments can be invaluable in planning and resource management projects. Council is trying to ensure Iwi contribution counts by including Iwi in decision making on its committees.

The current arrangement at Council meetings allows Iwi participation in the discussion, but at a Council meeting the Iwi representation does not have voting rights. Selwyn Council has previously discussed and considered Maori representation on Council, including provision of a Maori ward. Council has chosen not to pursue Maori ward establishment at this time. Rather, Council has chosen an approach that allows for Committee delegation by Council to Committees that are all of Council Committees. On Committees, provision has been made for Te Taumutu Runanga and Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga representation.

Submitter Number:	675	Submitter:	Basil Meyer
Point Number	675.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49%		

	NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Specific target rates for Rolleston and Lincoln residents and businesses because they are the beneficiaries of these facilities. Arthurs' Pass village residents highly unlikely to use it. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council could consider a targeted rate, but the facilities proposed have a wider District benefit as residents beyond those townships can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City		
Point Number	675.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: I do not support another Recreation Facility when there is a new facility 10 minutes away at West Melton.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		

Point Number	675.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	675.6	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	I Support the Policy change that new development will need to contribute to community facilities and infrastructure.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure.		
Point Number	675.7	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	1) Strategic Relationships: NO: \$ 300K is excessive / lacking details		

Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of responsible use of funds: The Council is committed to responsible use of ratepayer funds. Investing in relationships, and actively working with Iwi, is not just the right thing to do under Te Tiriti o Waitangi, it's also an efficient way to achieve positive outcomes for all Selwyn residents.		
Point Number	675.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	2) Chlorination: Support, due to cost of alternatives		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to continue to chlorinate community drinking water supplies and seek no further exemptions from Taumata Arowai is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue to chlorinate community supplies to reduce risk to community health.		
Point Number	675.9	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	3) Low Nitrate: \$ 5.3Mio over 10 years investigating feasibility of only ONE SOURCE, Why the need for a 10 year study?		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	675.10	Category	Activity > Potential Requirements To Fluoridate
Comments:	4) Fluoride: NO		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference to not fluoridate community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to only budget for fluoridation if directed by the Director General of Health		
Point Number	675.11	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	5) Exploring new transport services: The cost of \$ 75,000 is excessive, find more cost efficient way.		

Staff Recommendation	The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch.		
Point Number	675.12	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	6) Council Rolleston Office Improvement: I DO NOT SUPPORT		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	675.13	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	7) Development/Planning of further community facilities: I DO NOT SUPPORT; DELAY		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	675.14	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	LIVE WITHIN YOUR MEANS. BORROWING LIMIT: From \$ 155Mio to \$ 364Mio is ridiculous bordering on recklessness. Do not increase rates beyond annual inflation! Key Message: - Cut spending - Cut red tape - Implement wage freeze on all council staff for the next 2 years, and councillors/mayor for 4 years - Make more use of targetted rates (User Pays)		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	675.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Recognize contributions by rural landowners who look after Council assets (water race, mowing roadsides, collecting rubbish) via a rates discount.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	675.16	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Darfield has a newly refurbished Swimming Pool.		
	If Sheffield wish to retain their pool, then handover the pool to the Sheffield residents for them to maintain and take ownership of it.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	675.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	No details provided as supporting documents.		
	Supporting economic development can happen by cutting red tape.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy without more information provided. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	675.18	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions

Comments:	NO! Not at \$ 1.3 Mio. per year
	Find more cost effective alternatives.
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.

Submitter Number:	676	Submitter:	Heather Hamilton
Point Number	676.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield Memorial Pool is not only an important part of Sheffield's history, but also its future, as a place children can learn to swim, so by keeping it as a part of the community, children of the future are being supported. As a part of its history, it is imperative that everyone strives to protect it as we need to remember its not just a pool, it is a memorial built for the returning servicemen; a fact that everyone who supports the pools abolishment seems to forget. It would be so callous to take away such an important part of history, especially when it was made for the intent of helping those who made such great sacrifices. I feel the need to address the comment about the lack of use of the pool stated above. We are a small community mainly composed of working age people with a few retirees and kids here and there, so when a pool opens from 3pm to 6pm, the council might not know this but most people are working or studying during those hours, so the pool does not get as much use as it otherwise would. This was not as much of a problem a few years ago before life guards replaced parents as supervisors for parents, a decision unsupported by most of the community. Second point is that the Darfield pool is at capacity, why propose that people should have to drive 10 minutes down the road to a pool that would likely be full when they can have their own memorial pool within walking distance. There are also whispers of a new block of		

	what, 70 houses to be built, whcih would bring likely 70 families, how is darfield going to cope then? Overall it is disgraceful that a council would let such a valuable asset to the community, especially a war memorial, get to the state that it is currently in. If the pool hadn't been so neglected by the council, whose care it was put under, then maybe it wouldnt be costing so much to renovate. Don't be the people that take this pool away the from the community.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.

Submitter Number:	677	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	677.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	677.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	677.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	677.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	678	Submitter: Tegan Holdem
Point Number	678.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option	

Staff Recommendation	Comment: I wish more things were considered for the wider community (Darfield, Sheffield, Springfield to name a few) instead of focusing mainly on Rolleston. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.
Point Number	678.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	678.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4%		

		Development contributions: 28.6% *	
		Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3	
Point Number	678.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	678.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Not at all. Sheffield Memorial Pool is such a huge community asset and Sheffield would lose it's main drawcard in the Summer if the pool closes down. Darfield Pool is not fit for the extra capacity plus members of Sheffield community who do not drive or have limited funds to make their way to Darfield will be severely inconvenienced		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	678.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I do not understand most of these policies and the wording confuses the matter even more.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	678.10	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	Why do homes in certain areas HAVE to have a green bin but they do not even offer the option of having a green bin in other areas?		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We do acknowledge that this is an additional unwanted burden for those who already compost their own food scraps and garden waste at home. This regulation is outside of Council's control. The Ministry for the Environment has issued a directive that households in all urban areas with a population of greater than 1,000 residents must have a food scraps/organic collection by 1 January 2027. Every Council in New Zealand is obligated under the Waste Minimisation Act to comply with this.		
Point Number	678.11	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I do not support a cycleway. The roads are already badly maintained, lets not add in other things that will need to be maintained until we have been successful in the more important areas first.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	678.12	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services

Comments:	Public transport is very limited in smaller communities and by taking away community assets (Sheffield Pool, community halls), you are limited people to what they can do within walking distance of their homes. Why not introduce buses that runs between smaller communities to large ones if assets continue to be taken away?
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. There are not the savings perhaps seen as evident using smaller buses as fixed costs remain the same such as needing a driver, fuel etc.

Submitter Number:	679	Submitter:	Eugene Harris
Point Number	679.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	679.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	679.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	679.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	679.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	679.7	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I do not understand this. Simplifying the language you use in these booklets would help communities make informed decisions.		

Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	679.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	As a resident of Sheffield, I wish to express my support of the continued operation and maintenance of the Sheffield Memorial Pool. I also support opening for the 2024/25 season while community consultation and planning happens. I support a keyholder approach once decisions are made and support a reduced depth for this to be achievable.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	680	Submitter:	mark nugent
Point Number	680.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: Population is going to grow - the area will get more aged residents -		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	680.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants,		

	playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.		
	Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	680.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	681	Submitter:	Ben Thompson
Point Number	681.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: There's a lack of public transport around Rolleston/Lincoln anyway so this should be undertaken.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	681.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to		

	Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	681.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	681.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	681.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	681.7	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	It's not clear whether developers are having to pay their fare share in the upgrade of roads etc.		
Staff Recommendation	Developers are required to pay development contributions in addition to providing the new infrastructure to establish their developments and upgrades as identified through consenting and engineering approval processes.		
Point Number	681.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters

Comments:	May not be the best place to write this but I'm appalled at the Mayor's handling of the Lincoln South decision - not turning up to the decision meeting along with not expecting your own councillors to know the rules - it's almost grounds for a resignation. Councillors get paid a fee to do their role and democratically elected. If you can't do that job correctly, should you be in the job? I am on a Trust as a volunteer and have to make financial decisions so this shouldn't be too hard to get right.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. However, it is noted that this is not a matter that the Long-Term Plan cannot address		
Point Number	681.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	Where is the scope for safe crossing across Springs Road, coming off Verdeco Park where we live? I've previously emailed the CEO and told it's not their plan or scope or similar. Appalling response. I've nearly been hit going for a run at 5am in the mornings - one day a kid will get hit. When will the council acknowledge a safe crossing is required (traffic island or similar). Should a person be hit without a crossing being in place, at this stage, the council would be a liable party for that person hit as there's no crossing planned I'm aware of.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council is aware of requests regarding the Springs Road crossing. Crossing upgrades are prioritised and upgraded accordingly.		
Point Number	681.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	I work in technology, of course tech will help and recommend not being cheap about it - do it once, do it well.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	682	Submitter:	Lucy Wright
Point Number	682.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: This is something that benefits the profits of the transport companies, again a luxury in a time of austerity. People will still use public transport even without flashy park and ride facilities. I guarantee the Council has not costed basic facilities but has gone for the deluxe option,.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch. Environment Canterbury competitively procures PT services from suppliers.		
Point Number	682.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting		

with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

Staff Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

682.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: In a time of increased cost of living, these facilities are luxuries rather than essential Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Point Number	682.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	682.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	Not at all. Sheffield Memorial Pool is such a huge community asset and Sheffield would lose it's main drawcard in the Summer if the pool closes down. Darfield Pool is not fit for the extra capacity plus members of Sheffield community who do not drive or have limited funds to make their way to Darfield will be severely inconvenienced How does it cost nearly \$300,000 to close the pool? This is astronomical and outrageous, yet again an example of Council mismanagement.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	682.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Having said that, I implore the Council to be financially prudent for the first time in their history and limit the rates rises. 50% rates rise over 3 years is not warranted or sustainable. As per my previous submission on the last LTP, the Council should be adopting a zero base budget where everything is off the table and then added in to fit within budget. This means delaying or cancelling shiny 'vanity' projects and focusing on core services - something the Council seems unwilling or unable to do at present. In a time of a cost of living crisis it is irresponsible and reckless of the Council to even be considering the degree of rates increases that are proposed. As elected representatives they should be working FOR the people of Selwyn to minimise cost increases - rates rises should be pegged to CPI but yet every year the Council increases rates in excess of CPI - with the current proposal being almost 4x the current CPI figures. Households are hurting, the Selwyn Council is one of the most lucrative in the country and yet this pervading view that the ratepayers have no say remains. It is lazy that the ratepayers should be asked 'what don't you want' - the Council has many employees, including a highly paid CE, who should have done the work to determine this. I challenge the Council for the first time ever to actually be fiscally responsible, limit rates rises in line with CPI and focus on core business. I have no doubt this submission will be ignored and the Council will do as it chooses, thus undermining democracy yet again and serving themselves, not the people of Selwyn.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	682.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	It is like most government documents - wordy, obtuse and does not outline the issue.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	682.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Firstly I would like to note that I have no faith in the submissions process and do not believe that this submission or any submissions that don't agree with the Council's grandiose and out of touch spending decisions will have any impact on what is made regarding the exorbitant rates hikes that are proposed. This is borne out by the fact that the last consultation on the Long Term plan resulted in 75% of submissions being in favour of a lower rates rise, however the Council's preferred option was adopted as, according to one of the elected councilors 'it was not about the quantity of submissions but the quality'. This flies in the face of the fundamental principle of democracy but sadly seems to be par for the course for Selwyn Council.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	683	Submitter:	Sean Rooney
Point Number	683.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Comfortable and reliable bus services are vital if we are going to be serious about reducing traffic congestion and single occupancy private vehicle trips. You haven't provided any detail on where the new park and ride facilities will be located and what extent of infrastructure development there will be. The current park and rides are woefully inadequate particularly in inclement weather conditions. Environment Canterbury needs to be pressured to provide better, suitable and more reliable metro services. Overcrowding and not running to timetable are continuous issues.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. With Council's Preferred Option the first Park N Ride at Lincoln is planned for 2026/27, while the two new Rolleston Park N Rides are planned between 2029 and 2033. The proposed Lincoln Park N Ride is opposite the Lincoln Events Centre, at Rolleston one is on Kidman St and another on the corner of Jones and Hoskyns Road next to the main PT route and railway line.		
Point Number	683.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are		

	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	683.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the		

	beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 683.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	683.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	683.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Changes to Development Contributions Policy are needed as infrastructure is not keeping up with growth, particularly Rolleston. You could always slow down the rate of growth, take a breathing space and let infrastructure catch up.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	683.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other

Comments:	Rather than strengthening partnerships with just mana whenua, how about strengthening your relationship with all your rate payers?		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.		
Point Number	683.10	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	1. Cycleways - how about finishing the current ones before building more e.g. It is very unsafe to cycle directly from Rolleston to Christchurch unless you go via Lincoln (adds an additional 5km to the trip). The Rolleston - Lincoln cycleway could to with some safety improvements at the major intersections and is too narrow for the number of cycles that use it. You talk about wanting to encourage cycling - make it so.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Major routes follow council's walking and cycling strategy which will be updated with suggestions from LTP submissions taken into account.		
Point Number	683.11	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	2. \$3.7M for Rolleston Council offices improvements is an unnecessary spend in the current economic environment. Tighten your belts like everybody else and make do with what you have.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	683.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	4. There is no mention on plans for social and/or affordable housing development in Rolleston. Or is it deliberately hidden so we can't find it?		

Staff Recommendation	The Council has not identified any funding for developing social or affordable housing itself. Council will continue to help facilitate social and affordable housing providers within the district so that we can meet a key Community Outcome - we have access to housing which suits the changing needs of the diverse demographics within Waikirikiriri Selwyn.		
Point Number	683.15	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	6. Has the \$17.8M allocated for Road to Zero been removed or reallocated?		
Staff Recommendation	Referral needed		
Point Number	683.16	Category	Activity > New Public Transport
Comments:	7. The community needs to be provided with more information on the Rolleston Flyover and proposed plans/timelines.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	683.17	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	8. Funding needs to be continued for increasing natural biodiversity on the Canterbury Plains and continued support of organisations that are doing this.		
Staff Recommendation	Councill environmental staff are working hard to reverse the decline in our native species and Council funding is available to enable indigenous biodiversity regeneration and restoration projects. Managing our natural environment is an ongoing challenge and it's important that we have suitable funding available, and work in partnerships with our community, iwi, landowners, and agencies to develop and enact suitable responses to ecological challenges as they arise. Council recognizes the significant efforts of community groups such as Te Ara Kakariki to protect and restore our natural environment especially on the Canterbury Plains. Its vital that Council has associated funding available to initiate, lead and contribute to projects (including agency and industry partnerships).		

Point Number	683.18	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	5. Rolleston lacks reserves and green-space. Yes, there is the new park, but it is not where people live and spend their day.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission because there is already a programme provided in the LTP to provide more parks and greenspaces in Rolleston and continue with redevelopment and enhancement of existing spaces. Rolleston has a good level of greenspace already provided that is consistent with targets for neighbourhood park development (1.2 ha/1,000 population) and this is supplemented with larger spaces such as Foster Park and Brookside Park. Council will continue to develop the balance of Rolleston Reserve in the town centre as a high amenity park alongside the planned public square. Open space areas will be provided in new residential subdivisions (around 10 ha over 10 years) and the programme to develop some existing reserves is continuing. It is also planned to start the first stage of the District Park (35 ha) which will include many new and distinct facilities, spaces and features. Staff recommend that the reserve development programmes for Rolleston currently included in the draft LTP are supported (Rolleston Town Square and Reserve - \$5.3 million; New Neighbourhood Reserves Development - \$4.2 million; District Park Development \$25.9 million)		
Point Number	683.19	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	If you close it where is the community supposed to go? Ridiculous suggesting that they travel to Darfield. You cannot keep reducing facilities in outlying townships.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	683.20	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	\$1.3M seems rather a lot. How about spending some time and developing the council website into something more user friendly that relevant information is easier to find.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through		

	automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	683.21	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General
Comments:	3. An increase in charges for Property Information Requests from \$10 to \$35 is extortionate		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	684	Submitter:	Edwin Meek
Point Number	684.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: do you really need new parks? There are a heap of them around Selwyn, and everytime you go there its not busy. You will also have up keep on these as well. I think money can be spent in better places.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP.		
Point Number	684.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: i think do the repairs are best. The way technology is going libraries may phase outs by the year 2035.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Point Number	684.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	684.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	684.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	684.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community		

Staff Recommendation	focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	685	Submitter: Campbell Barrett
Point Number	685.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:
	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	685.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.
	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	685.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		

Point Number	685.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	685.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	685.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The sheffield pool has been a staple in the community for generations and shutting the pool would mean 100 students dont get to learn how to swim and that just including Sheffield school, the pool has been a war memorial so really should not be touched, the new changing rooms which where over 300k would be an utter waste to remove that investment which has been removed from our rates. The pool has been left to deteriorate under your leadership and that is the main reason the pool is in such a state now, it would simply cost too much for the council to keep control so the only option i see fit is that you give the pool back to the community so we can restore the pool back to its former key holding glory, with diving board may I add. With 70 houses potential being built the growth sheffield would see renders a pool for those families to use to swim in over the summer, darfield is at complete capacity and with over 1 million spent on it, it is not going to get bigger any time soon, so if you want to rip sheffield of its rights to bare a swimming pool, don't you think you will see a rise in the river being swum in, which is a far more dangerous enviroment for people to be swimming in. Is the only place is selwyn as well where it is safe to do manus off of the diving board.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	686	Submitter:	John Moran
Point Number	686.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	686.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	686.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	686.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	686.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	687	Submitter: Alyse Boaz
Point Number	687.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	687.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

Staff Recommendation	to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	687.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	687.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	687.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	687.7	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Insufficient information provided in pages 54-55 to support any changes.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	687.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	These are facilities the community once created. To travel to Darfield Pool for a swim is a cost to residents long term and the Sheffield community has lost a facility they once had.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	688	Submitter:	Steven Moe
Point Number	688.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: What about a local bus route eg an “orbiter” around Rolleston itself?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. Environment Canterbury is investigating 'On Demand' PT Services within larger townships such as Rolleston.		
Point Number	688.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

688.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
	Point Number	688.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	688.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	688.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Dont forget about the Arts - there are lots of references to Sports in the papers but the Arts (Writing, Painting, Music, Drama, Podcasting etc) and encouraging those matter as well.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		
Point Number	688.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Co-working hub?		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.

Submitter Number:	689	Submitter:	Warwick Owen
Point Number	689.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Why do an economic development when you export the work force to help - duh		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	689.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Why build an inefficient triangle shaped building		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	689.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Project cost:

None

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

None

Funding:

None **Comment:**

Staff

Recommendation

It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number

689.4

Category

Questionnaire > Matters
For Community Guidance

Comments:

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?
Yes **Comment:**

Staff

Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number

689.5

Category

Questionnaire > Matters
For Community Guidance

Comments:

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?
Yes **Question:** Do you support the continued development of an

		economic development strategy? No Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	689.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:		Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Why do an economic development when you export the work force to ChCh - duh !	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	689.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:		Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces	

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Do not build an inefficient triangle sloped building		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	689.9	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	689.10	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	689.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	689.12	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Why do an economic development when you export the work force to ChCh - duh !			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	689.13	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Why build an inefficient triangle sloped building		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	689.14	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	689.15	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None			

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	689.16	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	689.18	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Your current rates take 16% of my superannuation, new rate 25%, unsustainable		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	689.20	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Do they work. or are you generating more staff ? Why are there 118 projects being carried over, smacks of inefficiency in planning / management. If you didn't finish them they can't be important.?		

	Stop living beyond your means
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.

Submitter Number:	690	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	690.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	690.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next		

	to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	690.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

		Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.	
		Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000	
		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 100%	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	690.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	690.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	691	Submitter:	Lee Kukard
Point Number	691.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		

Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	692	Submitter:	Andrew Rowlands
Point Number	692.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club		

Staff Recommendation	members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	694	Submitter: Simon Dakers
Point Number	694.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.	

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	694.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	694.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	694.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		

Point Number	694.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	694.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	I would like to propose that we open the Cemetery Pit between Leeston and Southbridge. I would like to develop the Cemetery Pit into a dirt jump / mountain bike area. I am a teacher at Southbridge School and when we put in a small and inexpensive track bike track we saw bike skills improve, an increase in physical activity, children challenging themselves on the jumps, and increased interest in mountain biking. I am also the father of a very keen 14 year old son. Him and his friends have been looking for places to build jumps around Southbridge without much luck. They find it hard to entertain themselves around Southbridge and often end up playing video games when they would much rather be outside jumping their bikes. The Cemetery Pit sits half way bewteen Leeston and Southbridge so it would be a great resource for both towns. It is also an easily bikable distance from both towns. It would be a very cheap project. All we would need to get started are piles of dirt and a digger to put in the dirt jumps. There are many people around our community that have these things and would happily help with this project. They would be progressive jumps meaning that there would be smaller jumps for beginners moving all the way up to expert jumps. Once these were in I would like to make a mountain bike track through the trees. This facility would be suitable for all ages. This area would then provide something for our younger children to do. It would connect them to nature and provide excellent physical activity. It would help them push themselves out of their comfort zone and in turn would support their mental health. It would give		

	them a sense of community and responsibility as they look after the facility. It would give them something to be proud of. I have talked to Shane Epiha about this project and he fully supports opening the Cemetery Pit and then developing it into a recreational area. Thanks for considering this, Simon Dakers
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission as the restoration and redevelopment of Cemetery Pit has been a long-standing project that was started some years ago but not completed due to site contamination issues that have now been resolved. The site has potential for active recreation given its size and site features and budgets have been set aside in the draft LTP to recommence development work. The first action is to obtain the necessary consents for change of use. Staff recommend that Council supports the budget programme in the draft LTP for redevelopment of Cemetery Pit for recreation purposes (total \$781,100 over 10 years).

Submitter Number:	695	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	695.2	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Debt Levels
Comments:	I won't comment on most topics due to potential conflict. However, I have concerns regarding how the Council has been managing debt. Basically the baseline has been moved every year and things just getting worse: LTP 2018 We started with \$0 debt It said that by 2021 we would have approx. \$70M of debt and from 2023 we would start to reduce the debt		

Staff Recommendation	LTP 2021:
	We started 2021 with approx. \$100M of debt (43% more than what was said in the last LTP)
	Planning to have approx. \$180M of debt in 2024 and start to reduce the debt by 2027
	LTP 2024:
	We started 2024 with approx. \$280M of debt (56% more than what was said in the last LTP) and now are planning to start reducing debt only in 2030
	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.
	The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.

Submitter Number:	696	Submitter:	Tim O'Neill
Point Number	696.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
	Point Number 696.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	696.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	696.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	696.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	697	Submitter: Jacqueline Wallace
Point Number	697.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	

Point Number	697.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	697.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	697.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	697.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	697.7	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	fixing out roads would be great. Not signing them off until inspection has been done. Road between Chamberlains bridge and Irrwell and top end of High Street was reserviced to a poor standard. You wouldn't even know they had been done. Need to stop spending money on sub standard jobs		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Work is inspected and signed off at all stages of construction. This road has been identified		

and will have remedial work completed (at no cost to the Council) in the 24/25 year.

Submitter Number:	698	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Organisation:	MJ Focus Group		
Point Number	698.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	698.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting		

with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment: All options have not been explored yet. Current facilities adequately service our needs. Leeston recently had 2 businesses close. We struggle to support small businesses even with a growing population. How can we justify a large community centre that will not be well used? Building codes are about to change. The current library/ medical centre may well meet the new code. The Ellesmere community needs more consultation re this project and the future development of the area.

Staff Recommendation

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number

698.3

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This

Staff Recommendation	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Consultation with the user groups needs to be had It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1. Initial consultation on the draft Master Plan has completed with park user groups. Further consultation will be carried out prior to the implementation of any chosen option.		
	Point Number 698.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	698.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	698.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Refer "Federated Farmers" newspaper March 18, 2024. 23% of arable farmers said they were making a profit, yet they continue to pay rates based on thier CV. This system is flawed and unfair. It's time we moved to a differentiated rating system.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	698.9	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	The Cultural narrative needs to be revisited. This should describe what is unique about the place and the people. There are many families in the Selwyn district who feel they are not well represented.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		

Point Number	698.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Resource Recovery & Waste Management: Organic Curbside Collection. We should be able to opt out of this scheme. Eg St Davids Place residents (Leeston- retirement flats) would only need 1 bin between them. Many residents compost and have alternative, acceptable ways of dealing with their green waste. This would be just another unnecessary cost for many.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We do acknowledge that this is an additional unwanted burden for those who already compost their own food scraps and garden waste at home. This regulation is outside of Council's control. The Ministry for the Environment has issued a directive that households in all urban areas with a population of greater than 1,000 residents must have a food scraps/organic collection by 1 January 2027. Every Council in New Zealand is obligated under the Waste Minimisation Act to comply with this.		
Point Number	698.11	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades. This plan needs to be revisited. Local contractors need to be fairly considered for works (this has not been the case). These costs need to be dramatically reduced. Amend your plan to fit the budget.		
Staff Recommendation	Any works will be competitively tendered to ensure ratepayers get the best value for money in accordance with Councils procurement policy		
Point Number	698.12	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Any "Gifts" ie building names, should be interpreted in dual languages/ signage.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council prioritises inclusivity and cultural respect in our community. Te Tiriti o Waitangi, the Treaty of Waitangi, is a founding document of New Zealand. Recognising Te Reo Maori (the Maori language) and incorporating cultural design elements in public facilities is one way Council chooses to uphold the spirit of the Treaty partnership. Council considers recognising Maori language and culture in public spaces is not only appropriate but also necessary. It reflects our commitment		

	to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.		
Point Number	698.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Matters
Comments:	Sam Broughton made No 7 on The Press Powerlist. It's time to stand up and use this "Power" as Mayor of Mayors, and prove you understand the issues and challenges faced by our communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	699	Submitter:	Trevor Green
Point Number	699.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	699.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	699.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	699.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	700	Submitter:	Ella Hunt
Point Number	700.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	700.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are		

collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	700.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 700.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	700.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	701	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	701.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	701.2
Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Staff Recommendation	

Point Number	701.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	701.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	701.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	701.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our		

Staff Recommendation	community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	702	Submitter: Joy McLeod
Point Number	702.3	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis &	

Staff Recommendation	Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	703	Submitter: Peter McLeod

Point Number	703.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	704	Submitter:	Margaret Newton
Point Number	704.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Comment: The same as question 3. Children can enjoy themselves without extravagant park – There is a perfectly good park/playground there now. Quite enough for children – wait until the main things have been repaired eg. water, sewerage, roads, etc.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged		
Point Number	704.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Comment: Build it in a few years when the economy has recovered from the effects of Covid and the inflation has reduced		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	704.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	704.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Live within your means at this stage please.		
Staff Recommendation		The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.	
Point Number	704.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Live within your means at this stage please		
Staff Recommendation		The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.	
Point Number	704.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	I think that the SDC should focus on the main functions during this period of inflation after the Covid eg. Water, roads, sewerage, power.		
Staff Recommendation		That the submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Point Number	704.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	All these new homes in SDC should have to have tanks to collect rain water like some in Willfields.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters comment is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to proceed with the implementation of the One Water Strategy as proposed in the LTP budgets.		
Point Number	704.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	These big extravagant builds should have to wait until NZ building inflation comes down.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	704.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	There should be a stop to urban sprawl and more 2 storied homes built – plus more small homes allowed for single people and not be dictated to by developers.		
Staff Recommendation	The Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, which Council approved, sets this as an overarching direction. Council is also developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges. Further, the Resource Management Act outlines key direction and standards relating to medium density in populated towns (through the Medium Density Residential Standards) that the council must follow.		

Submitter Number:	705	Submitter:	Mark Alexander

Point Number	705.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	705.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment: Please ensure that the facility is not over designed or over engineered so that the best value facility can be delivered for the community.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	705.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	705.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: As much as possible reuse the existing Darfield Rec Centre before building a new building. Ensure that all community sports groups have fair access to the indoor courts. Don't let one sport get all the good times.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	705.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	705.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	Stand firm on your decision regarding the Upper Selwyn Huts.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024. Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		
Point Number	705.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	The council should be working with a housing provider to deliver "social housing" on some of the Helpet site in Rolleston as there isn't "social housing" available in Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation	The Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan and the associated Housing Action Plan aims to coordinate a joint response to delivery of social and affordable housing and how council can facilitate this.		
Point Number	705.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	The proposed \$900,000 per year is excessive. Start with \$200,000/year and review in the next LTP.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that the proposed allocation should be reduced. The \$9m is over a ten-year period, and not all the proposed spend is the same across the years with the first years having a lower allocated amount. Council can revisit the allocations in year 3 of LTP. Councils' contribution to economic development is alongside others who are expected to contribute significantly more overall.		

Submitter Number:	706	Submitter:	Rebecca Ridgen
Point Number	706.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	706.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:
	Staff Recommendation The submission is acknowledged
Point Number	706.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park Improvements			
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	706.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.			

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	706.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	706.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Greendale hall is barely being used since the council has taken over management of it. I suggest that it goes back to the previous community run management, to keep it utilised for non profit gatherings.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan - Sept 2021 will be reviewed in 2024 - 2027, with the revised plan also including sports buildings and pools.		

Point Number	706.8	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Rooding
Comments:	There is need for the Greendale Adams Rd intersection to be fixed to make it a safe usable intersection The school is on a 100km road, it'd enable children and adults alike to get to the school or domain if there was a cycle pathway from dip road to adams road alongside Greendale road		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. This intersection has not been identified as being dangerous. There is some overhanging vegetation affecting sight distance - this is being addressed now. Cycleway depends on priority and available funding.,		

Submitter Number:	707	Submitter:	Yvette Cullen
Point Number	707.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		

Staff Recommendation	West Melton Tennis Club Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	708	Submitter: Andrew Wright
Point Number	708.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None	

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	708.2 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number Comments:	708.3 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an

Staff Recommendation	economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	708.5 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	For over 10 years I have made submissions on this Council's inability to control it's costs. Instead of putting out a document asking whether or not residents want to fund certain projects the Council should be going through its budget with the aim of keeping rate increases no higher than the rate of inflation. To do this the Council should rank it's expenditure from essential to desirable and only fund those desirable projects that can be met without substantial rate increases. In 2021 the Council signed off on a 10 year plan that the Council said would involve a 4% to 5% average rate rise over the 10 years. Yet here we are 3 years later with a new 10 year plan with a rate rise of 16% proposed this year and substantial rises over the next two years supposedly falling away after that. Based on past performance this is completely unbelievable. Councillors seem to have forgotten that the legal ability to compel citizens to pay for services is a power that should be exercised with restraint. No other business or service has the ability to recover it's costs by compelling payment. Councillors will always face pressure from staff and interested residents for more expenditure. The Council should resist this pressure and live with in it's means Staff Recommendation That the submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	709	Submitter: Details Withheld

Point Number	709.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is important that SDC keeps to the planned 2025/26 build of a Community Centre for Prebbleton. If funding park and rides makes this impossible then they need to wait.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	709.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Do nothing in Leeston until you have identified and bought land if necessary and started to build a community centre for Prebbleton, which has no library and no service centre at present.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Plans for a new Prebbleton Community Centre are still included in the current Long-Term Plan.		
Point Number	709.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Minimum money should be spent on other projects until		

Staff Recommendation	SDC has kept to its plan to build a community centre in Prebbleton in 2025/26. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1 and acknowledges the submitters support for a community center in Prebbleton		
Point Number	709.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Prebbleton has only one council owned and run property in Prebbleton, the Cottage, which can hold up to 30 people. Darfield already has much better community facilities. Keep to the 2021-2031 long term plan and build a community centre in Prebbleton in 2025/26		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	709.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	709.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Hard to know what to say without the actual policies, the devil is in the detail.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	709.9	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	See supporting document regarding the Prebbleton Community Centre and the opt-out provision for organic bin and collection.		
Opt-out of organic collection bin			
	Along with other suburban ratepayers I have done my best to minimise waste. I have a compost bin which transforms my garden waste and kitchen scraps into a valuable soil improver. While it is one thing for SDC to be required, along with other Councils, to provide and collect organic bins, it is quite another to force people who don't need or want them to pay for them anyway. This goes completely against encouraging people to be responsible for their waste. Selwyn District Council should not cancel the opt-out arrangement it currently provides.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We do acknowledge that this is an additional unwanted burden for those who already compost their own food scraps and garden waste at home. This regulation is outside of Council's control. The Ministry for the Environment has issued a directive that all urban areas with a population of greater than 1,000 residents must have a food scraps/organic collection by 1 January 2027. Every Council in New Zealand is obligated under the Waste Minimisation Act to comply with this. This regulation will not apply to the community centre, only to individual households.		

Point Number	709.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Pools are important but if spending money on this one prevents the planned community centre for Prebbleton being built in 2025/26, then, my vote is don't spend the money.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	709.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Same old same old. Spend the money on the planned community centre for Prebbleton.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community. Comments have been noted by relevant team.		
Point Number	709.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Sorry, but an unreasonable council, constantly postponing the community centre build in Prebbleton warrants an unreasonable ratepayer response.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	710	Submitter:	Karla Roberts

Point Number	710.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	710.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing		

	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	710.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Malvern will outgrow 1 court straight away. Will be more cost effective to go 2 now than only 1 and try to increase in the future. Focus on multi use courts to increase productivity and use of courts too.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	710.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	710.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	We are seeing less and less consideration for our smaller townships and its facilities. Yes it sees less use, because its there for less people. But that doesn't make it less needed and wanted for the local community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	711	Submitter:	Alan Beasley
Point Number	711.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	711.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	711.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	711.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	711.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Make them clear and unite the community.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		

Point Number	711.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Community funding /grants
Comments:	with an aging population we will require more focus on the increasing numbers of people living with dementia. Providing support, education and reducing stigma could provide Selwyn the opportunity to lead the way nationally in creating dementia friendly communities.		
Staff Recommendation	The Council are currently developing Te PaePae, a strategy for ageing positively in Waikirikiri Selwyn. The associated action plan that will be developed later this year will identify Council's priorities and role going forward. The submitter's points will be forwarded to the appropriate Council team for consideration in the strategy action plan.		
Point Number	711.12	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Planning further Community facilities: The new park on Levi Road is not required in the near future. Continue to lease out the land and make some income form it until such time as it is required. I ask that the Selwyn District Council examine their costs, spending and budgets , as we are all having to do at present, to make savings where possible. Use the cavernous hallways of the council building to place the new offices for example. Look carefully at efficiency.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	712	Submitter:	Mark Palmer for Springfield Community Association
Organisation:	Springfield Community Association		

Point Number	712.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Community funding /grants
Comments:	I head up the focus group on behalf of the Springfield Community Association for Township signage Long Term Plan Submission 2024 Springfield Township signs Townships signs located on the eastern & western side of Springfield. Nau mai tauti mai (Welcome to) SPRINGFIELD Finished artwork attached The Springfield Community Association is a not for profit group representing the township and it's residents working with the council and other relevant bodies/authorities to promote and sustain a positive and safe environment for all residents who live in the area. Residents of Springfield feel the town requires identity in the form of a sign either side of the town. A focus group was set up to work on developing a concept and details for such a sign. There was no suitable areas available of placement of a sign on the road reserves due to lack of space. Suitable placement locations have been secured on the eastern & western sides on private land to ensure excellent visibility and maximum exposure to oncoming traffic. Advice has been provided by the Selwyn District Council Cultural advisor of the correct and most suitable use of Te reo. The signs are to be produced and installed on site by Taege Engineering , Sheffield. The signs have been engineered to be suitable for the extreme weather conditions that we endure. Integral in their design is low maintenance and a design that relates to the Mt Torlesse mountain range which is the prominent view entering the town from the east.		

Staff Recommendation	The association believes these signs will be a very professional and positive addition to Springfield and give the township the prominence and identity it deserves.
	The community has been kept informed during the process of developing the project and will be informed of the funding at our monthly meetings and recorded in our minutes that are available for all residents to view on our community facebook page.
	Confirmation of the project being signed off and the signs completed and in place will be published in The Malvern News & Selwyn Times
	The Association would like to acknowledge the following:
	The approval and generosity of the land owners for access and the use of their land to erect the signs.
	Springfield Lime Quarry - provision of lime boulders to place around the base at no cost
	Curle Contracting - for collecting and locating the lime boulders on site at no cost and for installing the signs at a much reduced cost.
	Taege Engineering Sheffield are manufacturing most of the sign at a considerably reduced price and outsourced work at cost.
	The Association are requesting a sum of \$6000 from the Selwyn District Council to help fund the total cost of these signs.
	The submitter's comments are noted and will be forwarded to the appropriate Council team for information.

Submitter Number:	713	Submitter: Brian and Helen Coker
Point Number	713.3	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves

Comments:

We wish to submit the following in respect to the Long-Term Plan which is currently open for submissions.

We believe that the submission relates directly to the planning of further community facilities on page 51 of the LTP.

We are writing to urge the SDC to consider the purchase of Broadfield Garden as a community garden and park for the benefit of the residents of Selwyn.

Selwyn deserves to have a botanical garden and park.

Broadfield Garden (an internationally recognized garden) is the botanical jewel in Selwyn's crown and with David Hobbs, the garden's visionary creator, now retiring, the property is for sale and Selwyn risks losing this once in a lifetime opportunity.

We believe that SDC should purchase this established park and garden and have it available to visit for free.

The garden has been created over the past 30 years and Selwyn does not have any comparable public space.

The importance of this garden was also recognized by the Royal New Zealand Institute of Horticulture appointing David Hobbs as an Associate of Honour of the Institute.

Selwyn is one of the few local authorities in New Zealand which does not have a botanic garden.

One of the main purposes of Selwyn District Council is to improve the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of the residents and communities.

We believe that the purchase of Broadfield Garden as an established botanical garden and park for residents will assist in attaining all of these aspirational aims.

- **Social well-being** will be improved through access to a calm and peaceful well-designed garden to enable residents to connect with nature.
- **Environmental well-being** will be enhanced through preserving and maintaining an important botanical environment containing a huge collection of New Zealand indigenous plants.
- **Cultural well-being** will be enhanced through acquiring a garden which has been recognised by the NZ Gardens Trust as being of international significance and which contains a collection of plants, many of which are rare or uncommon in general cultivation.
- **Economic benefit** for the district will come from the many visitors which the garden will continue to attract including many international visitors and tours. Those visitors will support other businesses in the wider Selwyn District .

To secure this property the Council must act now as the property is on the market. There are many advantages with such a purchase and as there is no home on the property therefore the Council would not be encumbered with a dwelling which it did not require.

Whilst we are unaware of what the purchase price is likely to be we believe that it would be a fraction of the cost of the Council establishing a similar resource for the community from scratch with the advantage of also acquiring 30 years of mature plantings.

Brian and Helen Coker

Stoneycrop Garden	
West Melton	
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge the quality and significance of Broadfield Gardens and the opportunity it presents as a formal garden to complement the existing open spaces. However, the proposal does have some costs and risks that need to be weighed up alongside other options. This includes the cost of purchase (and how that could be funded), the cost of additional infrastructure to enable public use, the on-going cost of maintenance and potential revenue opportunities. There are also some limiting factors such as proximity, accessibility and connectivity. It is noted that Council is considering development of a formal garden at the District Park as part of stage 1 development: that would focus on 3 main types or components of formal gardens: botanic plantings (including natives, exotics and florals); sister city garden opportunities; community gardens. Although the acquisition of the garden presents an opportunity for Council to provide a special area of open space that is not replicated anywhere else in the District, staff are of the view that the proposal is difficult to support at this time given the costs and other factors mentioned above. Staff recommend that Council does not purchase Broadfield Garden at this point in time but considers what other mechanisms are available or support it could provide to retain the garden for the district's benefit.

Submitter Number:	714	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	714.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Within the annual plan there is a clear requirement in section 7.2.9 that there needs more space for dogs to exercise off leash in Rolleston, however the dog club that pays near nothing for facilities blocks the public for 12 days a year stopping residents access to the park they pay for. There should not be any allowance for this to occur. The dog training group should not be allow so much direct access to the park restricting residents during the week either. I understand it is now 4 days a week restricting half of the park 6pm-9:30pm. The fence through the middle cost Council \$11,115.		

Staff Recommendation	The club only pay \$300 a year to lease the facility and rates of \$261. So for \$561 a year the club is abusing the ability of the residents to use the park. Keep in mind registration fees from dogs Council gain \$485,000. So residents should be a priority over a club. The Rolleston Dog park should at all time have half available for residents. The dog training club should have their lease cancelled and change to limit the area to only half Rolleston dog park space. The club should be required to use the Prebbleton dog area more frequently to reduce the demand on the Rolleston park. The submission is acknowledged. Staff concur that there is a conflict between Selwyn Dog Training Club use of the site and use by the wider public especially when club events are in progress. A submission has been received from the Selwyn Dog Training Club looking for an alternative exclusive site so they can run their activities and the existing dog park can be free for public use. Staff support exploring options for relocation of the Selwyn Dog Training Club. It is also noted that there are likely to be additional dog-friendly spaces provided as part of the first stage of the District Park development in Levi Road, Rolleston.

Submitter Number:	715	Submitter: Chole Dangen
Point Number	715.2	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Road Safety
Comments:	I just wanted to express my concerns to you about Ellesmere Road. I have recently returned home from living outside of Chch for many years and I now live in Rosemerry, Lincoln. I drive Ellesmere Road every day and I'm concerned of the state of the road, the drivers on the road and the lack of action to improve the safety of this Road. I have attached a small amount of comments from other Lincoln residents on one of the community Facebook pages expressing my same concerns.	

Staff Recommendation	I see the Long term plan has a road widening in 2038 and combined with the 1700 homes approved development starting in Lincoln shortly, surely there should be an immediate action and change to bring this timeframe forward. Submission is acknowledged by Council. Ellesmere widening is scheduled for 24/25. Council funds road safety promotion, however, concerns regarding enforcement of poor driver behaviour need to be addressed with the NZ Police.

Submitter Number:	716	Submitter: Karen Scott
Point Number	716.3	Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	I just want to complain about the fact that the Rolleston Dogpark has been closed ALL WEEKEND AGAIN! I am disabled, and the dog park is the safest and easiest way for me to exercise my beloved dog. The amount of times that it is closed or that you have to keep your dog on a lead, is abysmal. Also, part of my disability means I need easy and fast access to the toilets,	

which is difficult while they are doing events and I am trying to get my dog back on a lead, get through a gate, and negotiate my wheelchair into the toilet with my dog.

As a rate-paying resident of Rolleston, I expect better. Why should people who aren't members of the infernal dog club become second class citizens at one of the community amenities? Especially when daylight saving has ended, and safe times to exercise my dog are shorter.

The members of the dog club (some, but not all), can be very exclusionary and often ask people to leave. I always feel unwelcome there now- even when the dog club isn't there.

The dog park is also very inadequate for the dog population of Rolleston. When my husband is home, he can drive us to another dog park- such as Halswell Quarry or Prebbleton (which

are both superior options to Rolleston these days!) when the dog club is doing stuff, but going to other dog parks are not an option for me if he is not here.

At the same time, I want this noted as a submission for the long term plan 2024-2034. I am glad I know about the request for submissions this time, because usually the "consultation periods" are hidden and nobody knows about them- or they are set over the Christmas period (like one of the dog park consultation periods), when people have a lot on their minds.

Also, could I please have information about who built the building in Foster Dog Park? I have received two different stories from the SDC, and I want to clear it up.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged. Staff concur that there is a conflict between Selwyn Dog Training Club use of the site and use by the wider public especially when club events are in progress. A submission has been received from the Selwyn Dog Training Club looking for an alternative exclusive site so they can run their activities and the existing dog park can be free for public use. Staff support exploring options for relocation of the Selwyn Dog Training Club. It is also noted that there are likely to be additional dog-friendly spaces provided as part of the first stage of the District Park development in Levi Road, Rolleston. Staff understand that

the club building was purchased by the Selwyn Training Dog Club, relocated to the site and upgraded all at the cost of the club who own the building. Council built the toilets at the park.

Submitter Number:	717	Submitter:	Sue and John Sheaf
Point Number	717.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	We urge the Selwyn District Council to purchase this established garden as an asset for Selwyn residents, a place where all Selwyn residents can visit on a regular basis, or utilise for family and community events. Broadfield Garden (an internationally recognized garden) is the botanical jewel in Selwyn's crown and with David Hobbs, the garden's visionary creator, now retiring, the property is for sale and Selwyn risks losing this once in a lifetime opportunity. We have been living on Birchs Road, Prebbleton, for 7 years now, and establishing a garden on our property over the last 6 years has meant we have looked to the Broadfield Gardens as THE major botanical garden and park in our area for ideas and inspiration. It is not only an internationally recognised garden, but it takes pride of place as a tourist venue for visitors to Christchurch, and is utilised by the Selwyn Community as a venue for fundraiser and major events such as weddings. In 2023, for instance, the Spring Gala was attended by a large proportion of our community and raised a huge amount of money for Community Care Lincoln, one of our biggest carers of vulnerable people in our community. There is no comparable public place in the whole of the Selwyn district. It is also important to note that Selwyn is one of the few local authorities in New Zealand that does not have a botanical garden.		

One of the main purposes of Selwyn District Council is to improve the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of the residents and communities.

We believe that the purchase of Broadfield Garden as an established botanical garden and park for residents will assist in attaining all of these aspirations:

- ***Social well-being** will be improved through access to a calm and peaceful well-designed garden to enable residents to connect with nature.
- ***Environmental well-being** will be enhanced through preserving and maintaining an important botanical environment containing a huge collection of New Zealand indigenous plants.
- ***Cultural well-being** will be enhanced through acquiring a garden which has been recognised by the NZ Gardens Trust as being of international significance and which contains a collection of plants, many of which are rare or uncommon in general cultivation.
- ***Economic benefit** for the district will come from the many visitors which the garden will continue to attract including many international visitors and tours. Those visitors will support other businesses in the wider Selwyn District.

We believe that the submission relates directly to the planning of further community facilities on page 51 of the LTP.

To secure this property the Council must act now as the property is on the market. There are many advantages with such a purchase and as there is no home on the property the Council would not be encumbered with a dwelling which it did not require.

Staff Recommendation	Whilst we are unaware of what the purchase price is likely to be, we believe that it would be a fraction of the cost of the Council establishing a similar resource for the community from scratch with the advantage of also acquiring 30 years of mature plantings. Sue and John Sheaf Staff acknowledge the quality and significance of Broadfield Gardens and the opportunity it presents as a formal garden to complement the existing open spaces. However, the proposal does have some costs and risks that need to be weighed up alongside other options. This includes the cost of purchase (and how that could be funded), the cost of additional infrastructure to enable public use, the on-going cost of maintenance and potential revenue opportunities. There are also some limiting factors such as proximity, accessibility and connectivity. It is noted that Council is considering development of a formal garden at the District Park as part of stage 1 development: that would focus on 3 main types or components of formal gardens: botanic plantings (including natives, exotics and florals); sister city garden opportunities; community gardens. Although the acquisition of the garden presents an opportunity for Council to provide a special area of open space that is not replicated anywhere else in the District, staff are of the view that the proposal is difficult to support at this time given the costs and other factors mentioned above. Staff recommend that Council does not purchase Broadfield Garden at this point in time but considers what other mechanisms are available or support it could provide to retain the garden for the district's benefit.

Submitter Number:	718	Submitter:	Gail Scrivener for Canterbury Horticultural Society Garden Clubs
Organization:	Canterbury Horticultural Society Garden Clubs		
Point Number	718.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	This is a submission in support of an approach to the Selwyn District Council by David Hobbs as the owner and developer of the Broadfields Gardens @ 250 Selwyn Road to purchase the property for the benefit of the residents of the Selwyn District as well as the wider community and visitors to the area. This submission is made by Gail Scrivener - a resident of Tai Tapu - in the Selwyn District - and in her capacity as President of the Canterbury Horticultural Society Garden Clubs Representatives.		

Staff Recommendation	The Canterbury Horticultural Society Garden Clubs are an affiliation of 31 Clubs with some 3000 members whose prime interest is in gardening and general horticulture. The various clubs have monthly meetings and organize trips to numerous gardens ranging from Council owned Botanical Gardens down to private home gardens. The highlight of many of those clubs has been and is-- a visit to the Broadfield Gardens, it is appreciated by the members for its diversity of plantings and the tranquility of the large and generous site. The quality and ambience of the Broadfield Gardens has been written about in respected gardening journals New Zealand wide and some overseas publications-- and is on a par with many Council-owned Botanical Gardens throughout New Zealand The club's members unanimously would like to see the gardens retained intact and be maintained and further developed under the stewardship of the Selwyn District Council. It is believed that with the burgeoning growth of Rolleston and now the massive potential increase in size of Lincoln and Prebbleton that it is imperative the mature area of Broadfield Gardens be purchased by the Selwyn District Council for the future of the district's residents. By way of enhancement the Council could allow the development of a suitable cafe style eatery on the site to increase the commercial aspect of the property. Staff acknowledge the quality and significance of Broadfield Gardens and the opportunity it presents as a formal garden to complement the existing open spaces. However, the proposal does have some costs and risks that need to be weighed up alongside other options. This includes the cost of purchase (and how that could be funded), the cost of additional infrastructure to enable public use, the on-going cost of maintenance and potential revenue opportunities. There are also some limiting factors such as proximity, accessibility and connectivity. It is noted that Council is considering development of a formal garden at the District Park as part of stage 1 development: that would focus on 3 main types or components of formal gardens: botanic plantings (including natives, exotics and florals); sister city garden opportunities; community gardens. Although the acquisition of the garden presents an opportunity for Council to provide a special area of open space that is not replicated anywhere else in the District, staff are of the view that the proposal is difficult to support at this time given the costs and other factors mentioned above. Staff recommend that Council does not purchase Broadfield Garden at this point in time but considers what other mechanisms are available or support it could provide to retain the garden for the district's benefit.

Submitter Number:	719	Submitter:	Ralph Brown for Greendale Residents' Committee

Organisation:	Greendale Residents' Committee		
Point Number	719.4	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Submission from Greendale Residents' Committee The Council recognised our residents' committee in September 2022. The committee was elected by residents at a well-attended public meeting.) The Committee plans to provide a full submission to staff conducting a review of community halls. This submission to the Council's Long-Term Plan provides a brief outline of our case. We request an opportunity for a representative to present our case to the Council in more detail. Greendale Residents' Committee asks the Council to return day-to-day management of the Greendale hall to the community. We see returning the management of the hall to the community as win/win for the Council and Greendale residents. The hall has not been well used since the Council took over and raised the hire fees. We believe that returning the management of the hall to the Committee and allowing it to set hire fees would help the Council meet its commitment to social well-being as described in the draft LTP 2024-2034. (We have many ideas for community activities that would not be feasible even with the current community hire fees.) The change to council management caused considerable resentment in Greendale. The community paid for the hall and residents have been paying for the renovation of the attached pavilion through a targeted rate. Our hall committee managed the hall and pavilion successfully for many years.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Over the next year Council will be reviewing the network of public-facing facilities across the Selwyn District (libraries, community centres, halls, sports centres and pavilions). This work will take a holistic look at all facilities to plan for short, medium and longer-term community needs. It is recognised that a number of Council owned halls and centres have an extensive history in Selwyn and it is also recognised that there are some halls / community centres that are now underutilised by the local community and may be facing large costs in maintenance and / or remediation. The review will help Council better understand issues, opportunities and barriers and to consider alternative options for the future.		

Submitter Number:	720	Submitter:	Sue Sheaf for Broadfields Garden Club
Organisation:	Broadfields Garden Club		
Point Number	720.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	This is a submission from the Broadfields Garden Club. Club details: President: Zelda Court Treasurer: Sue Tucker Secretary: Sue Sheaf contact details for the club: sheaf@mac.com or 0220259900 Snail mail can be addressed to Sue Sheaf, 142 Birchs Road, Prebbleton RD4, ChCh 7674 We urge the Selwyn District Council to purchase this established garden as an asset for Selwyn residents, a place where all Selwyn residents can visit on a regular basis, or utilise for family and community events. Broadfield Garden (an internationally recognized garden) is the botanical jewel in Selwyn's crown and with David Hobbs, the garden's visionary creator, now retiring, the property is for sale and Selwyn risks losing this once in a lifetime opportunity. The Broadfields Garden Club has been established in this area for more than 30 years, and like many Selwyn individuals and groups, considers the Broadfields Gardens to be THE major botanical garden and park for the Selwyn area. It is not only an internationally recognised garden, but it takes pride of place as a tourist venue for visitors to Christchurch, and is utilised by the Selwyn Community as a venue for fundraisers and major events such as weddings.		

In 2023, for instance the Spring Gala was attended by a large proportion of our community and raised a huge amount of money for Community Care Lincoln, one of our biggest carers of vulnerable people in our community.

There is no comparable public place in the whole of the Selwyn district. It is also important to note that Selwyn is one of the few local authorities in New Zealand that does not have a botanic garden.

One of the main purposes of Selwyn District Council is to improve the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of the residents and communities.

We believe that the purchase of Broadfield Garden as an established botanical garden and park for residents will assist in attaining all of these aspirational aims:

***Social well-being** will be improved through access to a calm and peaceful well-designed garden to enable residents to connect with nature.

***Environmental well-being** will be enhanced through preserving and maintaining an important botanical environment containing a huge collection of New Zealand indigenous plants.

***Cultural well-being** will be enhanced through acquiring a garden which has been recognised by the NZ Gardens Trust as being of international significance and which contains a collection of plants, many of which are rare or uncommon in general cultivation.

***Economic benefit** for the district will come from the many visitors which the garden will continue to attract including many international visitors and tours. Those visitors will support other businesses in the wider Selwyn District .

We believe that the submission relates directly to the planning of further community facilities on page 51 of the LTP.

To secure this property **the Council must act now** as the property is on the market. There are many advantages with such a purchase and as there is no home on the property the Council would not be encumbered with a dwelling which it did not require.

Whilst we are unaware of what the purchase price is likely to be we believe that it would be a fraction of the cost of the Council establishing a similar resource for the

Staff Recommendation	community from scratch with the advantage of also acquiring 30 years of mature plantings.
	Sue Sheaf
	Secretary
	Broadfields Garden Club Staff acknowledge the quality and significance of Broadfield Gardens and the opportunity it presents as a formal garden to complement the existing open spaces. However, the proposal does have some costs and risks that need to be weighed up alongside other options. This includes the cost of purchase (and how that could be funded), the cost of additional infrastructure to enable public use, the on-going cost of maintenance and potential revenue opportunities. There are also some limiting factors such as proximity, accessibility and connectivity. It is noted that Council is considering development of a formal garden at the District Park as part of stage 1 development: that would focus on 3 main types or components of formal gardens: botanic plantings (including natives, exotics and floras); sister city garden opportunities; community gardens. Although the acquisition of the garden presents an opportunity for Council to provide a special area of open space that is not replicated anywhere else in the District, staff are of the view that the proposal is difficult to support at this time given the costs and other factors mentioned above. Staff recommend that Council does not purchase Broadfield Garden at this point in time but considers what other mechanisms are available or support it could provide to retain the garden for the district's benefit.

Submitter Number:	721	Submitter: Kate Hawes
Point Number	721.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:	

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	721.3	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	I am saddened that the lack of a dedicated Performing Arts facility has, yet again, been omitted from the Long-Term Plan consultation document. We have such excellent groups here in Selwyn who would greatly benefit having a local performance space. Two of my three children are not sports people, but all three are very much involved in music, dance and theatre - it is their way of community and team involvement yet appropriate space for their events to take place is unfortunately lacking. TIn comparison other outlying Canterbury towns Ashburton and Rangiora have dedicated performance venues (Ashburton Event Centre and Rangiora Town Hall) that are well used and draw many performance groups from outside the region to use their facilities, this is an asset that is absolutely lacking in our town.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	722	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	722.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: The theme of my submission is about cost-savings during a time when we have a cost-of-living crisis. We simply cannot afford to spend what we do not have.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	722.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Same comment as per previous page. We need to minimize our spend as much as possible.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	722.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates		

		Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Same comment as per previous page. We need to minimize our spend as much as possible. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	722.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: As stated before, we cannot spend what we do not have, especially for "nice-to-have" things (facilities). Can a recreation and sports facility ease hunger?	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	722.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	722.8 Category Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	We are all New Zealanders, regardless of ethnicity...we should all be equals.
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognizes the importance of partnerships; building strong partnerships with iwi is vital for Council to fulfill its obligations to the entire community. Te Tiriti O Waitangi (Treaty of Waitangi) is a founding document of New Zealand and establishes a partnership between the Crown and Maori. This partnership requires us to work together for the collective benefit of all. Partnership with iwi, to have any meaning, requires consideration of iwi position and contribution to discussion/debate.
Point Number	722.9 Category Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	The Council's office in Rolleston, specifically the entranceway, is fine as it is. As I stated at the start of this submission, we should aim to save on costs. Function first, before beauty. Consider any beautification activities when we shall have gone over the cost-of-living crisis.
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.
Point Number	722.10 Category Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	On setting up EV charging stations - i would disagree with this. What's the demand for this facility? Or is this nice-to-have again? I would rather save the \$3.7m (i.e., remove this from the plan) to minimize rates increases.

Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	722.11	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	1/ I believe the Selwyn District Council (SDC) needs to review its expenditure to assess if these provided value to the ratepayers. For example, as the ultimate holding entity of CORDE, why is it necessary for CORDE employees to be driving expensive utes? Are these employees tradies such that providing a Toyota Yaris is impractical?		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	722.15	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	None		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	722.16	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	There is no clarity what this is about. What are its measurable outcomes? I do not support continuously spending over a 10-year period that does not even have a requirement to review outcomes on the first year and decide if the spending is to be stopped if nothing comes out of development strategy.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy without more information on outcomes. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Action plans will outline outcomes in detail.			
Point Number	722.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Unlike the item preceding this (Economic Development), this Digital Solutions requires reconfirmation of funding. We cannot keep on spending money without a deliverable that brings benefits.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	723	Submitter:	Janelle Rea
Point Number	723.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	723.4	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the		

utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	724	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	724.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: We are in a Recession, and are not in a position to be spending any finances on Capital Projects.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	724.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: As stated before, minimum money should be spent on "Capital Spending" until Inflation is brought back under control and the Selwyn District Council has been able to balance its finances each year.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	724.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments: Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Do nothing. Wait until the Selwyn District Council has it's own financial books balanced. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility 724.4 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: We, as a Council, are living way beyond our

	Budget. We cannot continue to raise rate Demands "ad lib" to pay for these exhorbitant expenditures!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	724.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	724.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	In years 2024 to 2025; to years 2027 to 2028, all show significant Rate increases well into the mid "double digits"of between around 15.5% (Residential), to around 20.1% (Rural) in years 2024-2025. These rate hikes are very significant, especially for farmers who are trying to produce crops and meat for Residential persons. The Rate "hikes" are also causing problems with Retired people like myself, with only a limited income.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council.		
	The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	724.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity

Comments:	1. The planting of native plants along stream/river edges. This costings should be passed onto local Businesses as Carbon Credits. Ratepayer's should not have to fund this.		
Staff Recommendation	A number of organizations contribute to the planting of native plants on riparian margins, and often the landowners fund the projects themselves. Given that waterways usually function as biodiversity corridors, and are sites with high biodiversity and cultural values, Council continues to support sustainable waterway management initiatives.		
Point Number	724.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	2. I have a real concern for the additional staff the Selwyn District Council took on in LTP 2022-2023. (Around 89 staff member's, I believe). As we are actually in a recession, surely the Council should be looking to "trim back" its staff numbers to a minimum number in order to reduce expenditure?		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. With the rapid growth of the district the workload has increased significantly. The Council has endeavoured to keep the headcount to an optimal level.		
Point Number	724.11	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	3. The current Capital Works plan for the Rolleston District Council Buildings is not necessary, as yet, in my opinion. This would be unnecessary Capital Expenditure.		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	724.12	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	4. I fully agree with the relational strengthening of mana whenua within the Selwyn District. HOWEVER, I disagree equally strongly with the \$300,000 "set aside" for this relationship to continue. It certainly looks like mana whenua will be given a representation at "decision making" tables within the "Council" and separate		

Staff Recommendation		"Committees". That is un-democratic, and is not the way this Country or this District Council should be run. The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of supporting Iwi participation. Council is committed to ensuring inclusivity and that ensuring everyone has an opportunity to benefit. Council considers this can lead to better outcomes for the whole community. For example, Iwi knowledge about local environments can be invaluable in planning and resource management projects. For Council to include Iwi contribution to count, by ensuring Iwi perspective has an opportunity to inform decision making on its committees, reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.	
Point Number	724.13	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	5.Selwyn does NOT need a cycle-way from Arthur's Pass to Rolleston, or the coastli		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	724.14	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	6.Community groups within Selwyn should be allowed to run their own Community Centres. A "free hire", and an improved booking system would also be utilized to ensure maximum efficiency of each Community Centre.		
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged. The Community Centres, Halls and Libraries Network Plan - Sept 2021 will be reviewed in 2024 - 2027, with the revised plan also including sports buildings and pools.	
Point Number	724.15	Category	Activity > Rolleston Transport Improvements
Comments:	7. I am opposed to the proposal to upgrade the LED lighting in Rolleston, and other towns within Selwyn. We have no need to have "Smart" street lighting operating at night.		
Staff Recommendation		Referral needed	

Point Number	724.16	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Businesses should pay for developing their own Businesses within Selwyn.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	724.17	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Once again; if this means more IT staff to operate these machines, then I strongly oppose that. If not, then this IT technology is very expensive. I think cheaper options should be looked for.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	725	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	725.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public		

	transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	725.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	725.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	725.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	725.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	725.7	Category	Activity > Chlorination

Comments:	take the chlorine out of the water its a waste of money and time.
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.

Submitter Number:	726	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	726.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	726.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	726.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

Staff Recommendation	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.	
Point Number	726.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.	

Submitter Number:	727	Submitter:	Imogen Brankin
Point Number	727.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	728	Submitter: Alice Low
Point Number	728.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06	

	Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: public transport should be made more of a priority. I would love to see a direct bus service from leeston that runs from early morning till late. If an early bus went from leeston to the hospital i would use it to get to work 5 days a week. I dont enjoy driving & only do it because I have to		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury provide PT Services. The 87 Southbridge to Lincoln service is not well used and maybe discontinued. The proposed Lincoln Park N Ride can provide a direct link to the City from there at least.		
Point Number	728.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	728.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

		Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m	
		Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *	
		Our budgeted option	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	728.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
		Project cost: None	
		Estimated impact on rates (per year): None	
		Funding: None	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	728.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	728.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I would like to see a footpath built on the other side of High Street in Leeston. Its a busy street & the side that has no footpath provides access to the bowls club, library & primary school. Thank you		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Council has a footpath extension program to cover missing links. Transport staff has recorded this for future consideration. Projects are prioritized within annual budget allocation.		

Submitter Number:	729	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	729.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	729.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *

	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	729.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		

Point Number	729.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	729.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	730	Submitter: Letisha Ducharme
Point Number	730.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	730.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed	

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.

Project cost:

Year 1: \$16.01m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$44.22

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

**Staff
Recommendation**

Point Number	730.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	730.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Point Number	730.6	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Rolleston community needs more arts. There is already plenty of sports facilities, but nothing for the arrs community. We also need an adult education program, similar to risinghlom, where people can gather to meet like minded community members. The library does offer some things like this, but they book out early clearly indicating the need is there!!		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. In relation to the Arts, Selwyn District Council will develop an Arts Strategy in 2024. Council supports arts experiences throughout the district by way of, and not limited to, performing arts, events and programmes, permanent and temporary exhibitions, public artworks, live music, and theatre events. New facilities, such as Te Ara Atea and Whata Rau, are designed with the flexibility to accommodate arts experiences.		

Submitter Number:	731	Submitter:	Laurie Watkins
Point Number	731.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: To be determined Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number Comments:	731.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Staff Recommendation Point Number Comments:	731.3 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Staff Recommendation		Comment: Delay construction of 3 until next 10 year plan It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	
Point Number	731.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation		Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	731.6	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:		berms, when is the Council going to ban vehicle parking on their attractive pieces of turf. Not what they were designed for.	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff note the need for additional parking spaces in areas such as around foster park on weekends.	
Point Number	731.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:		But why is this going to cost so much to close it	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.	

Point Number	731.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	I attended the meeting Darfield Library 8 April at 1700. I couldn't hear what Sharon was saying because of her pleasant but soft spoken voice. Nor could I read the information on the screen because of the screen material. However, I was able to learn all relevant information through the general discussion. After Council has worked on what projects will proceed what happened during this 10 year period when costs change, which they will - that action has to be when on projects budget.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. Any changes to the Long-Term Plan will be discussed in the Annual Plan which is consulted on during the years between Long Term Plans. If the public wish to be informed of when this happens, they can feedback on this and register their details on www.yousay.selwyn.govt.nz and staff will be in touch as this process happens.		

Submitter Number:	732	Submitter:	Rachel Wiseman
Point Number	732.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	732.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	732.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	732.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	732.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	732.8	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I also see there is an ambition to develop a cycle trail that connects Arthurs Pass to the east coast (p49). This sounds like a fabulous opportunity for the region that would showcase the stunning natural landscape and also provide a significant economic boost. It should tie in to the existing trail network around Castle Hill Village. This should be more than an 'ambition', it should be a necessity!		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	732.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Please consider the following for Castle Hill village: A garden waste disposal system for the Village. There is zero available at present. Most Village residents do not have the ability to drive their garden waste to the nearest disposal area.		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. There are no immediate plans to establish a permanent green waste drop-off site at Castle Hill as costs to residents of Castle Hill to provide this (in particular transport costs) is prohibitively expensive. Furthermore, an open top skip in a unstaffed facility would invite tipping of construction waste and other bulky waste - contaminating the green waste. Staff have suggested previously that Council would consider assisting the community association with a community hire of a garden chipper once or twice per annum.		

Point Number	732.13	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Please consider the following for Castle Hill Village: Progression towards a dark sky area, either with a trial of a lights off initiative or dark sky compliant street lighting		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and would like to see Castle Hill Village progress towards Dark sky accreditation. Dark sky considerations will be part of the development of Action Plans associated with the Economic Development strategy. Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	732.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Other Water
Comments:	Please consider the following for Castle Hill Village: I am pleased to see a wastewater treatment plant upgrade is included and fully support this		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters support for Wastewater upgrades is acknowledged. Staff recommendation is to continue with the 5 waters capital programme as proposed.		
Point Number	732.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club		

Staff Recommendation	members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	733	Submitter: Conor Boyd
Point Number	733.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.	

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau 733.2 Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	733.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67		

Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	733.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	733.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	A garden waste disposal system for the Village		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. There are no immediate plans to establish a permanent green waste drop-off site at Castle Hill as costs to residents of Castle Hill to provide this (in particular transport costs) is prohibitively expensive. Furthermore, an open top skip in a unstaffed facility would invite tipping of construction waste and other bulky waste - contaminating the green waste. Staff have suggested previously that Council would consider assisting the community association with a community hire of a garden chipper once or twice per annum.		
Point Number	733.10	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	The Castle Hill Community has worked hard over the last 15 years to develop the Craigieburn Trails network of dual-use trails. It would be great the see the wider Selwyn community in the shape of SDC indeed work to develop a cycle trail that connects Arthurs Pass to the East Coast.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	733.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I would like to see progression towards a Dark Sky area in the Castle Hill basin, either with a trial of a lights off initiative or dark sky compliant street lighting within Castle Hill Village.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter would like to see Selwyn progress towards Dark sky accreditation in the Castle Hill Village. Dark sky considerations will be part of the development of Action Plans associated with the Economic Development Strategy.		
Point Number	733.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Upgrade of the signage and fencing at the Village entrance		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Entrance signage upgrade is being arranged through the developer with design pending approval from NZTA.		
Point Number	733.14	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club		

Staff Recommendation	members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	734	Submitter: Thea Hewitt
Point Number	734.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding.	

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Guys - seriously? We're trying to reduce car usage and our NZ carbon emissions - apply for co-funding absolutely but don't wait around until it comes through and don't not do these upgrades if it doesn't come through. Get going on this vital aspect of greening up Selwyn pronto please. If there's a quick, direct service into chch from Lincoln and Rolly 4 times a day return, I think that get's two thumbs up! It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. Environment Canterbury is considering developing a business case for additional direct services for Rolleston and Lincoln in 2024-27. Improving the frequency of other key Metro PT services such 5 (Rolleston) and 80 (Lincoln) is also being planned.
Point Number	734.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	734.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: The Leeston community can fundraise and pay for the added bonus upgrades, as they are the ones who will be benefitting from it - i'll never use these facilities but realise they are important. Hence option 4 and option 2 choices. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and acknowledge their funding model preference. It is noted that Council funds the larger recreation parks on a district-wide targeted rate basis that recognises the benefit these spaces provide to the broader community. In this case some funding from development contributions received within the locality will be used to help fund the work.
Point Number	734.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.
Point Number	734.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance Comments:
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	734.10 Category Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other Comments:
Staff Recommendation	Hah - well, it depends on who is deciding what 'significant' is, doesn't it. Plan 69 was pretty significant and you didn't really give us a choice on discussing the long term costs vs benefits for that, so will this new policy stop the Council being able to significantly boost the Carter group when people protest the plan? Council acknowledges the submission. "Significance" and "significant" are defined under the Local Government Act 2002. Staff developed assessment criteria to assess the level of significance taking account of these definitions.

Point Number	734.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I don't believe this is where Council should be spending its money sorry. Let business take care of business without financial input from tax payers via the Council and let the Council take care of us with council services that keep the place ticking over pretty please.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		
Point Number	734.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	Nope - face to face is what humans really need. I believe we have enough tech in the libraries etc. already. Please budget for paying humans who are interacting with the public the living wage and keep the level of tech we have already.		
Staff Recommendation	Face to face interaction will continue to be an important part of how we interact with our communities. Our digital approach is designed to make things more streamlined, connected and efficient.		
Point Number	734.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	Nope, I'm pretty impressed with how much you do keep us informed and generally am pretty impressed with the Council (apart from that wee Plan 69 bit)! Keep up the great work y'all.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. Staff work really hard to make sure our communities know what is happening and welcome any feedback the public may have in the future.		

Submitter Number:	735	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	735.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	735.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	735.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.

Project cost:
Years 1-15: \$9.49 million

Estimated impact on rates:
Years 1-5: \$10.23
Years 6-10: \$6.05

Funding:
Rates: 71.4%
Development contributions: 28.6% *

Comment:
It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Staff Recommendation

Point Number	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
735.4		

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Project cost:
None

Estimated impact on rates (per year):
None

	Funding: None	Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	735.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	735.7	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	i disagree with the proposed changes.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	735.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Stick to providing and maintaining the public facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in		

economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	735.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	to vague, not sure what you are even proposing to spend the money on?		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		

Submitter Number:	736	Submitter:	Colin Eady
Point Number	736.2	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Financial management
Comments:	are yiu mad increasing borrowing from the current 154 million to a proposed 624 million given the interest rates. such poor financial decision making gives you no mandate to run selwyn		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The debt is being closely monitored by the Council and is kept at a level that allows sustainable operation across all service areas.		

Submitter Number:	737	Submitter:	Julene Kelly
Point Number	737.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	737.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

Staff Recommendation	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	737.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	737.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	737.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	738	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	738.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Really needed light rail, (park and ride. As a long term plan as population grows		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. The 2023 Greater Christchurch Mass Rapid Transit (MRT) Business Case determined that MRT was viable in the city, but not in the surrounding Districts.		
Point Number	738.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28		

	2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	738.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option		

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	738.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	738.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	739	Submitter: Rachael Salter
Point Number	739.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option	

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	739.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	739.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	739.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	739.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	739.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	i am surprised there is no funding for gymnastics facilities within Selwyn district in consideration of the amount of members and how popular the sport is within our community. I hope to see this revisited to support the ongoing need of expanding facilities		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Council recognises that well over 150 submissions have been received across 11 or more different community facility types, often requesting significant capital input. This excludes existing or proposed Council facilities as part of the Long Term Plan. Staff recommend that this facility be included as part of a wider community facility funding and planning project with gymnastics as a potential individual case study along with other		

facilities such as a performing arts and a military museum or similar to provide a variety of examples.

Submitter Number:	740	Submitter:	Leanne Bainbridge
Point Number	740.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	740.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	740.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	740.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	741	Submitter:	Eric Lundvall
Point Number	741.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

would be nice to see other parts of the selwyn district benefit from these park n rides with a better public transport system to towns like DUNSANDEL LEESTON SOUTHBRIDGE as these towns always get over looked and they are growing very quickly and they are RATE PAYERS as well so it's time for you pen pushers and get your head out of the sand and start remembering the small towns like said mentioned.

Back in the 80s there was a bus service from cathedral square to southbridge run by the christchurch transport board. And a workers bus monday - friday glentunnel to Christchurch was operated by leopard coach lines.

I live in dunsandel and its past time for public transport out this way there could be a loop run

Chch-dunsandel-southbridge-leeston-dunsandel-chch

X4 from chch

X4 dunsandel daily monday to friday

X2 chch

X2 dunsandel Saturday

X1 christchurch

X1 dunsandel sunday

Staff Recommendation	I used to drive buses long distance and serviced timetable runs in christchurch and wellington. I can hear and see it now every excuse under the sun that this wouldn't work oh yea hang on its not rolleston. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. It is envisaged residents in the wider district can drive to the Park N Rides to then access PT services such as direct to City e.g. Dunsandel residents can use the Rolleston Park N Ride.		
Point Number	741.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre.		

	Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	741.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Staff Recommendation	
Point Number	741.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	741.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	742	Submitter:	Chris Brown
Point Number	742.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined			

Staff Recommendation	Comment: Look into working with Chch council and installing a cable car system. Please talk with Doppelmayr as they build these and can present solutions that are growing in popularity globally. This will be worth the long term funding and will see more people use this form of public transport. With a small fee and more people using it we will see it paid for much sooner than other public transport solutions. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch relating to the agreed growth allocations across the area, including Selwyn townships.
Point Number	742.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	742.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05		

		Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	742.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Staff Recommendation		
Point Number	742.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	742.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity
Comments:	Selwyn has made plantings of natives in reserves a low priority, yet climate has been said to be the heart of any decisions		
Staff Recommendation	Council staff are involved in a number of native restoration planting projects across the district on both private and public land. It is anticipated that the number of native plants installed with Council funding and support will increase in future years, including on Council reserves.		
Point Number	742.11	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Secondly the James street paper road in Coalgate needs to be a formed gravel road, the 6 properties that front on to it will happily do this at their own cost if they are gifted 5meters of extra land at the front of their property as the paper road area is twice as wide as a normal road as it stands. This will mean a solution is found for this road at no extra cost to council. This solution makes sense and is fair.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Staff note the request and will check feasibility.		

Point Number	742.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	make sure money spent is accounted for and used wisely. Too much money is wasted on ideas that were never going to work.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	742.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Coalgate reserve has a barren paddock and the current walking track that requires planting		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission as planting and landscape development at Coalgate Reserve was identified in planning work and budgets have been allowed for in the draft LTP for this work. Staff recommend that the budget (total of \$55,000 over 5 years) for planting and landscape development at Coalgate and Glentunnel Reserves is supported.		
Point Number	742.14	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Lets get this and a public toilet at the reserve finally done		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission because there is a demonstrated need for a public toilet in this location and this project was already approved during the 2021-31 LTP and is planned for installation in 2026/27 of the draft LTP. Staff recommend that the budget to install a public toilet at Coalgate Reserve in 2026/27 is supported.		
Point Number	742.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves

Comments:	Coalgate reserve has a barren paddock and the current walking track that requires planting
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission as planting and landscape development at Coalgate Reserve was identified in planning work and budgets have been allowed for in the draft LTP for this work. Staff recommend that the budget (total of \$55,000 over 5 years) for planting and landscape development at Coalgate and Glentunnel Reserves is supported.

Submitter Number:	743	Submitter:	Monica Harris
Point Number	743.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	743.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	743.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.

Project cost:

Years 1-10: \$3.4m

Estimated impact on rates :

Included in current rates

Funding:

Rates: 71.4%

Development contributions: 28.6% *

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2

Point Number

743.4

Category

Questionnaire > BD3 -
Malvern Rec and Sports
Facility

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	743.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	743.6	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	743.8	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roading
Comments:	Plan ahead and only fix the roads once, not every year the same road !		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. We are now having effective asset management and improved operational delivery to address this.		
Point Number	743.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Playgrounds need rubbish bins and toilets. Put half pipe back at Rosemerryn playground.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The current level of service provides for rubbish bins to be installed in Council playgrounds and there is a budget to provide bins on a priority basis where they are not currently available. It would be cost prohibitive to provide toilet facilities at all playgrounds and the current level of service is to provide toilets in the larger reserves and parks and the high-use playgrounds where there are no other alternative facilities available. Toilets have been installed in some existing playgrounds such as Parekura Reserve (Rolleston), Prebbleton Community Park and others are planned for parks in Lincoln and Rolleston. Council will not be reinstating the half-pipe at Rosemerryn Reserve in the near future which was removed by the developer following noise complaints.		

Submitter Number:	744	Submitter:	Marie Stafford
Point Number	744.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:			
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	744.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces			

	that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	744.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Staff Recommendation	Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The rates costs need to be kept down as much as possible especially for single home owners , infirm and elderly It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
	Point Number 744.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.

Point Number	744.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	744.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	No not at this cost		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	744.8	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	I have heard that a substantial sum is paid for Māori blessings. I object to this, as I believe if a Christian leader was involved there wouldn't be a need for payment. We also don't know who she is praying to !		
Staff Recommendation	Council staff are not entirely clear what is meant by "Maori blessings". In many instances Council staff themselves use Karakia/ a series of words, commonly in Maori, to encourage a favorable outcome to important events or meetings. Sometimes the staff member may be Maori but commonly not. As far as responding, staff are aware there is never any exchange of money for such things. In some instances, such as the opening of Te Ara ?tea, Te Taumutu Runanga representatives have been invited to attend, given their		

leadership, practical support and involvement in the proceedings prior. This does not generally involve direct payment and may involve Runanga representatives speaking as part of the formalities. On occasion, cultural goods and / or services are provided by local Runanga representatives as part of the proceedings for large scale / important Council events, and in some instances a token gift of money has been gifted by Council, in recognition of the amount of time involved at the direct request of Council.

Submitter Number:	745	Submitter:	Geoffrey Dunbar
Point Number	745.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Public transport is a good idea. However, the root cause of the problem is the growth of the townships in Selwyn. What about putting efforts into slowing that down?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. The 2020 Greater Christchurch PT Futures Business Case Study considered the best approach for planning public transport within Greater Christchurch relating to the agreed growth allocations across the area, including Selwyn townships.		

Point Number	745.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	745.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	745.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	This is not the job of my district council.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and holds a view that Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.		

Submitter Number:	746	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	746.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	746.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

Staff Recommendation	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	746.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number	746.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.

Point Number	746.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	747	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	747.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	747.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	747.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	747.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	747.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	747.8	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Is there policies for the "other relevant groups" who can contribute to all stages council decision making other than Maori? What groups are these? Documents are not clear.		

Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern around the level of clarity regarding how specific groups will be engaged with. The proposed policy sets out Council's general approach to determining how and when it will engage with the communities it serves. Council's engagement approach will be determined based on the level of significance assessed using the assessment criteria outlined.		
Point Number	747.9	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	What specifically is the \$300,000 for mana whenua partnership spent on? Documents are not clear.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged, Selwyn District Council recognises the importance of responsible use of funds: The Council is committed to responsible use of ratepayer funds. Investing in relationships, and actively working with Iwi, is not just the right thing to do under Te Tiriti o Waitangi, it's also an efficient way to achieve positive outcomes for all Selwyn residents. Council recognises the importance of supporting Iwi participation. Council is committed to ensuring inclusivity and that ensuring everyone has an opportunity to benefit. Council considers this can lead to better outcomes for the whole community. For example, Iwi knowledge about local environments can be invaluable in planning and resource management projects. For Council to include Iwi contribution to count, by ensuring Iwi perspective has an opportunity to inform decision making on its committees, reflects our commitment to the Treaty and our shared responsibility to create a more equitable and respectful society.		
Point Number	747.10	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	Spending \$3.7M on the Rolleston office is a waste of rate payer money - they are relatively new buildings and already quite large - why does the entrance need improvement? I do not support this upgrade especially when other facilities in the district need work. Rolleston is not the only place in Selwyn!		
Staff Recommendation	While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Councils offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.		
Point Number	747.12	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development

Comments:	if funding from ratepayers is the only reason for a business to set up here there needs to be a question about how viable they are without funding. LTP document is very vague on this topic.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy without more information. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April 2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in Economic Development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling Economic Development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.

Submitter Number:	748	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	748.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Rates should not increase more than the rate of inflation. The enormous increase in total rates collected by SDC over the past decade should be sufficient to manage the needs of the district. SDC clearly has poor fiscal management and must cease all non essential activities and wasteful spending. Staff numbers must be dramatically		

Staff Recommendation	reduced. Vanity projects and cultural activities must cease. Go back to basics during a cost of living crisis. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	748.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Rates should not increase more than the rate of inflation. The enormous increase in total rates collected by SDC over the past decade should be sufficient to manage the needs of the district. SDC clearly has poor fiscal management and must cease all non essential activities and wasteful spending. Staff numbers must be dramatically

		reduced. Vanity projects and cultural activities must cease. Go back to basics during a cost of living crisis.	
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged.	
Point Number	748.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:		Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Rates should not increase more than the rate of inflation. The enormous increase in total rates collected by SDC over the past decade should be sufficient to manage the needs of the district. SDC clearly has poor fiscal management and must cease all non essential activities and wasteful spending. Staff numbers must be dramatically reduced. Vanity projects and cultural activities must cease. Go back to basics during a cost of living crisis.	
Staff Recommendation		It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Point Number	748.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Rates should not increase more than the rate of inflation. The enormous increase in total rates collected by SDC over the past decade should be sufficient to manage the needs of the district. SDC clearly has poor fiscal management and must cease all non essential activities and wasteful spending. Staff numbers must be dramatically reduced. Vanity projects and cultural activities must cease. Go back to basics during a cost of living crisis.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	748.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: . Comment: . Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Point Number	748.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Rates should not increase more than the rate of inflation. The enormous increase in total rates collected by SDC over the past decade should be sufficient to manage the needs of the district. SDC clearly has poor fiscal management and must cease all non essential activities and wasteful spending. Staff numbers must be dramatically reduced. Vanity projects and cultural activities must cease. Go back to basics during a cost of living crisis.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		
Point Number	748.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Repair existing asset, keep existing pool. Line it as necessary. This facility is highly valued and heavily used by the community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	749	Submitter:	Roger Sheat
Point Number	749.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

Concerned over proposed location of the community centre as not enough parking and the Leeston park is a defined area and the sports hub for the district and any ground lost to either parking or a new building footprint stops the capability to cater for growth of recreational use in the park.

A new community building is required in the town but should not be a glorified council library and work place. It should be for the community to use and allow functions to be run

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged. Parking has been taken into account in relation to this matter.

Point Number	749.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	749.4	Category	Activity > Compulsary organics collection
Comments:	Kerkside organic waste should not be compulsory as households are composting waste for reuse in the gardens .If ratepayers use the service then they will ask for it.		
Staff Recommendation	it is unfair on small section owners or flats to be charged for this Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. We do acknowledge that this is an additional unwanted burden for those who already compost their own food scraps and garden waste at home. This regulation is outside of Council's control. The Ministry for the Environment has issued a directive that households in all urban areas with a population of greater than 1,000 residents must have a food scraps/organic collection by 1 January 2027. Every Council in New Zealand is obligated under the Waste Minimisation Act to comply with this.		

Submitter Number:	750	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	750.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents.So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.

Project cost:

None

Estimated impact on rates:

To be determined

Funding:

To be determined

Comment:

Whilst I fully support adequate public transport I think that road safety investment is of higher priority, particularly for Lincoln residents. My rationale is:

1. At present for Lincoln there are adequate parking facilities for the limited number of commuters who use buses. We should note that the town parking areas are planned to be increased substantially with the town centre upgrades (all of which will be within a few minutes walk to the planned park-in-ride facility). The town centre upgrade, including the increased parking areas, traffic lights and safe pedestrian crossings are a higher priority that if completed as scheduled in the LTP would provide adequate capacity for any park and ride demand for at least the next 5 yrs.

2. The main roads from Lincoln are in poor and dangerous condition - Springs, Birches and Ellesmere. These roads all have a very poor surface and dangerous intersections - this has been raised with the council and on the community facebook page a number of times, yet both Springs and Birches Roads do not appear to have any dedicated investment in the LTP for upgrading these key commuter routes.

3. The consultation document makes it clear that there is no immediate and concrete commitment from the Greater Christchurch Partnership on establishment and funding of additional bus services. There is also no commitment from Waka Kotahi to co-fund. It makes sense to delay until the next LTP any investment in Park in ride until those agencies have provided concrete support. As in the above two points, Lincoln in particular has higher immediate priorities for the park in ride funding, and will have adequate parking if the town centre is upgraded to support any increase in demand or provision of bus services.

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. With Councils Preferred Option a Park N Ride at Lincoln opposite the Lincoln

Events Centre is planned for 2026/27 which also relies on the Meijer Drive Extension. This timed to coincide with a planned increase of direct bus services to the City by Environment Canterbury. Business and shop owners are concerned about the all-day parking around Gerald St by those using current bus services to the City. The proposed Park N Ride will assist in the upgrade of Gerald St by providing for this type of parking at a better location. The Councils and other organisations related to the Greater Christchurch Partnership have submitted aligned PT programs with the 2020 GCP PT Futures Business Case and 2024-34 Canterbury Regional Land Transport Plan to obtain co-funding from the National Land Transport Fund. This includes Environment Canterbury that provides PT services. The outcome of this will not be known for some months yet from the NZTA, as is usually the case at this time. Councils' current priority is the widening and safety upgrade of the Ellesmere Road arterial route from Lincoln and the Springs/Hamptons Road Roundabout to complete these arterial intersections safety upgrades.

Point Number	750.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	750.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
	Point Number 750.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Whilst I support investment in community recreation facilities, the LTP document doesn't provide enough evidence to prioritize over other urgent needs across the district. The LTP consultation document indicates that Malvern has a number of Community Centres and Halls (page 32) in comparison to other wards, so it is not clear in the information provided that these can't be be used or renovated / upgraded to meet the indoor court need. How does this differ from the existing facilities provided by the Darfield Recreation and Community Centre - where is the option to renovate / modify that facility?. Likewise does the high school have a hall that could be used, or co-invested to renovate - this would benefit both the school and the wider community.	

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	750.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	750.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	AS stated the District has invested substantially in upgrading the nearby Darfield pool - a number of major towns in the District		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	750.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	This should be driven by the Government and private sector. The existing economic development and business growth is high with sufficient momentum - the SDC have a number of urgent community and core SDC functions (e.g. roading, community facilities) that this funding should focused on.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected not to progress with the Economic Development Strategy and has noted Council does not have a role in economic development. As discussed at the Council briefing on March 27 followed by the session on 24 April		

2024, Council is involved in and has access to important levers in economic development. The Council has a role in facilitating others and enabling economic development to occur as prosperously as possible to benefit the whole community.			
Point Number	750.10	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	The SDC have a number of urgent community and core SDC functions (e.g. roading, community facilities) that this funding should focused on.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	750.11	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The information provided in page 54 on the changes to the significance and engagement policy is inadequate for anyone to be able to provide meaningful comment - where is the link to the draft policy? There is no information provided on what the actual proposed changes will be. This should be withdrawn from being included in the LTP until it has actually been provided to the community with a chance to read and provide feedback. I have the same concerns and comment on the changes to financial policies - how can you ask for our feedback when no information on the specific changes is provided? For example revising the capped rate uplift and revising the SDC rating structure and adding a provision for a annual CPI uplift appear to be major changes with potentially significant impact on ratepayers - but 1 sentence with no detail on the specific changes is not adequate information. As above, this should be withdrawn from being included in the LTP until it has actually been provided to the community with a chance to read and provide feedback.		

Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		
Point Number	750.12	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	1. I support strengthening partnerships with mana whenua.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	750.13	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	2. The options for removing chlorination in water supplies should have been put out to community consultation - this is a major issue for each community so ratepayers do have a right to have a say, particularly when the council is back tracking on previous public commitments / statements that chlorination was only a temporary measure. Each community should have the choice on what level of service they are willing to pay for - e.g. let a community vote on whether they want a township specific targeted rate increase to pay for chlorination removal.		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for community consultation on the removal of chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. This matter was included in the consultation document and Council will consider all submissions received. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	750.14	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roding
Comments:	3. I strongly support increased investment in maintenance and renewal of transport infrastructure, but am disappointed that the LTP consultation document provides very little information on such a significant issue for residents. The LTP should be upfront and clear		

	to ratepayers that these are the roads / intersections that will be improved through this LTP, particularly over the next 3 year period. The poor state of a number of high-use roads is one of the major concerns that are constantly raised, so I am somewhat gob-smacked why SDC has not come out in the LTP with a clear and well specified plan of action.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. A detailed plan of improvements has been provided on council's LTP consultation website.		
Point Number	750.15	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	4. I strongly support increased investment in the Lincoln town Centre upgrades. This has been committed to completion in a number of previous LTP's, but keeps getting bumped for prioritization of investment elsewhere (presumably Rolleston upgrades). Further delay and lack on investment in Lincoln is not acceptable.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	750.16	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Comment: 5. I do feel though that some investment in Lincoln could be better scheduled - particularly the Park'n'ride and Meijer Drive extension should be better thought through and should be delayed until later years (i.e. beyond the next 3 years). This would free up \$7.5M in the next 3 years that could be better used to improve community facilities and safety within the township, e.g. i) the Gerald Street and Birches Road intersection traffic lights could be brought forward to the next 3 years, rather than 2029/30; ii) Traffic lights should be installed in the centre of the village at Gerald / William / Maurice Street intersection. This is already a high use car and pedestrian intersection (more than West-Belt/Gerald) that will only increase substantially if the proposed large carparks are built behind the town centre and the Lincoln events Centre sports fields are extended. Traffic lights at Gerald / William / Maurice Street intersection would also mean a safer pedestrian crossing for the many school children who use the town center to cross Gerald Street (both pedestrian crossings could then also be removed outside the Grouse and the Cottage cafe); iii) Improving the road safety outside Lincoln Primary on Birches and North Belt is also a much higher		

	priority in the next 3 years than the Park and Ride and Meijer Drive extension; iv) I struggle to see the reason why Meijer Drive needs to be extended all the way through to Boundary Road - I fully support the planned extension of the sports grounds, but this doesn't need to have road straight through it, that without proper speed calming measures will most likely be ideal for boy-racer's as at night-time it will be a large empty area. This would also put more traffic pressure on the Springs / Boundary Rd intersection which is already a crash hotspot.		
Staff Recommendation	Referral needed		
Point Number	750.17	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	6. I strongly support the upgrade to Ellesmere Road, but argue that the Ellesmere / Lincoln-Tai Tapu intersection upgrade should be brought forward from 2027/28. This intersection is clearly getting more and more dangerous. It has been in poor condition for a number of years and has ever increasing traffic use. 7. I can't see any clear plans to upgrade both Springs and Birches Roads between Lincoln and Prebbleton. Both are increasingly dangerous, with very poor areas of road surface, intersection crashes and already high commuter use. I am gob-smacked that it appears SDC continues to ignore the many concerns raised by residents - but this seems a glaring hole in the LTP (I hope I am wrong here, but cannot see any mention in LTP documents)		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Upgrades to intersections are planned and will be completed when available funding is programmed. Safety considerations are always considered and prioritised according to the perceived risk.		
Point Number	750.18	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	8. The \$24 million indicated for the Arthurs Pass to the sea cycleway is beyond what ratepayers can afford and is not a priority, considering all of the other urgent issues in core infrastructure functions that we are struggling to pay for, even with the very high rate increases in coming years. The same could be argued for the \$8.5 million indicated for Te Waihora Lakeside trail. There are already a number of walking and cycling options available for residents across Selwyn and Christchurch areas, that are easy to access and have sufficient capacity and diversity of environments for		

		residents to enjoy (I have used them and there are still a number I haven't had time to explore yet).	
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	750.19	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	9. I strongly support increasing development contributions for community infrastructure. It is clear with the very large rate increases that the current costs of new residential and commercial expansion is too high and existing ratepayers are having to pick-up a substantial bill. This needs to be better balanced, existing ratepayers can not keep subsidising the community facility and infrastructure demands of more and more greenfield urban expansion.		
Staff Recommendation		Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
		The submission is acknowledged, and staff note the support for the introduction of the proposed development contribution for community infrastructure. A thorough and robust process is undertaken to calculate the development contribution amount that can be fairly and reasonably applied to the growth community for a project. The calculation is made in accordance with the Council's Development Contribution Policy and the legislation that underpins the policy as well as guidelines on administering the policy.	
Point Number	750.20	Category	Activity > Council's Office Improvements
Comments:	10. I do not support spending \$3.7M on upgrading the Rolleston SDC offices - there is no strong justification provided, and many other urgent community and infrastructure issues such as roading that need to be prioritised.		
Staff Recommendation		While staff numbers have increased placing pressure on the available office space and meeting rooms at the Council's offices the Council acknowledges the issues that this submission raises.	
Point Number	750.21	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	11. I support planning for improved community centres in Prebbleton and Rolleston.		
Staff Recommendation		The submission is acknowledged.	

Submitter Number:	751	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	751.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	751.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option		

Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	751.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option		
Staff Recommendation	Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	751.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.
Point Number	751.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	752	Submitter:	Maree Horlor
Point Number	752.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: I'm concerned that a National govt will not support public transport options in any way, so we may have to fund it ourselves. I'd prefer half funding with Waka K, but want the option to go ahead if that does not come thru in the next couple of years.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	752.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to		

	build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	752.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: SDC has done an awesome job in the last few years on public spaces. Your team has great ideas and I'm enjoying using the new spaces or just walking thru the new town centres. Keep up the great people-focussed planning!		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and thank you for the positive feedback.		
Point Number	752.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	752.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	752.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool

Comments:	Swimming locally is one of the real joys of summer. And for me that isn't in an enclosed large public pool. I understand that this is expensive, but I grieve every time I see a local pool or swimming hole go.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	752.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	S&E Policy - do what you need to, but don't rebrand groups, docs, or teams just to make things look pretty.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	752.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	I very strongly support any planning to minimise or mitigate climate change. It should be a core component of planning and decision making.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	752.11	Category	Activity > Strengthened Partnerships
Comments:	Please include mana whenua and iwi in decision making. Structured engagement increases the chance that we will make good decisions for all members of the community, and for the future people living in the area.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	752.12	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I liked the rural and cycling section and I'd use (and already use) local rural bike paths for exercise and to see the country.		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	752.13	Category	Activity > Low Nitrate Water Sources
Comments:	I think nitrates in water supplies are such a telling indicator of how we have decimated our local environment. Great to see you thinking about it.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	752.15	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > District Planning
Comments:	I agree with the goals of lifestyle, planned growth, and up not out.		
	Many thanks!		
Staff Recommendation	Acknowledged		
Point Number	752.16	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Consultation Document Feed
Comments:	Your document writere's did a fab job on the consultation doc. Page 20/21 is great. All text is clear to read. Important points are brought forward. Thanks for spending the money on good writing and graphic design.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission. Our internal communications team put this document together including the design and writing. This feedback will be shared with the Communcations Team.		

Submitter Number:	753	Submitter:	Maree and Stan Pycroft

Point Number	753.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	753.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased		

	construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	753.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: Support development to meet the future growth of Leeston. It will encourage growth having this facility and supports additional activities for youth and senior residents. It will never be any cheaper than now so let's get it done.
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3
Point Number	753.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.		
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91		
	Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	753.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	753.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	Providing jobs, healthcare and education to growing population is critical and support the development goals.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	753.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Digital Solutions
Comments:	We think it is critical to provide effective technologies to improve the quality of life within our communities. It will information access, enhance education and healthcare and grow business.		
Staff Recommendation	The cost attributed to Digital is to signal we are serious about implementing efficiency across our organisation using technology. Our objective is to make Council financially sustainable through automation of process whilst providing residents and partners with more efficient services. Council is currently developing a Digital Strategy to provide this direction and budgets for the next LTP.		
Point Number	753.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	The changes seem sensible and necessary.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	754	Submitter:	Lloyd Clausen
Point Number	754.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 Comment: This building is a Community Centre and Library not a Library with some meeting rooms.

A Community Centre is a place where the Community comes together for a multitude of reasons, including meetings, Weddings, funerals, birthdays, public functions recognizing people of the community, concerts, recitals, craft, active recreation, health and well-being activities, drama, Senior Citizens Day club etc. This facility must take into account this is fifty-year plus building. It needs to be able to house at least 150 people sitting down having a meal or 200 to 300 at a function, and a kitchen large enough to cater for such an event. The kitchen needs to be larger than a range, refrigerator, dishwasher, sink and two meters of bench. [(Community Halls have

	<i>been key to the quality of life and resilience of many early settler communities and still are in many rural communities and towns that make up Selwyn. Federated Farmers) Selwyn Community Centres, Halls and Community Libraries Network Plan (2020) - Global Leisure Group]</i>		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. The location of other local amenities and facilities have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	754.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		

Point Number	754.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	754.5	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2.		
Point Number	754.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	755	Submitter:	Jonathon Bray
Point Number	755.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: as someone who lives outside of this area i think it would be more beneficial to get shuttles or something similar from places like Leeston to these areas to encourage more public transport use (1 a day is not useful)		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.		

Point Number	755.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33		

2033/34 Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	755.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	755.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	755.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	756	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	756.3	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	757	Submitter:	Julie Pascoe
Point Number	757.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	757.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	757.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	757.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	757.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	757.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Waste Management
Comments:	Castle Hill Village		
	3. A garden waste disposal system for the Village .		
Staff Recommendation	Kia ora. Thank you for your submission on the LTP 2024-2034. There are no immediate plans to establish a permanent green waste drop-off site at Castle Hill as costs to residents of Castle Hill to provide this (in particular transport costs) is prohibitively expensive. Furthermore, an		

open top skip in a unstaffed facility would invite tipping of construction waste and other bulky waste - contaminating the green waste. Staff have suggested previously that Council would consider assisting the community association with a community hire of a garden chipper once or twice per annum.			
Point Number	757.11	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	I would like to see progression towards a Dark Sky area in the Castle Hill basin, either with a trial of a lights off initiative or dark sky compliant street lighting within Castle Hill Village.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter would like to see Selwyn progress towards Dark sky accreditation in the Castle Hill basin. Dark sky considerations will be part of the development of Action Plans associated with the Economic Development Strategy.		
Point Number	757.12	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Castle Hill Village 2. Implement the Castle Hill Village Reserves Management Plan, including funding removal of the remaining seed source/wildling Douglas Fir and Larch trees in the Village .		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The Castle Hill Reserve Management Plan is progressing to completion with a hearing to be held on 9 May 2024. Once completed an implementation programme will be developed. In anticipation of the plan being completed budgets have been provided in the draft LTP to undertake tree pest removal at Castle Hill.		
Point Number	757.13	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Castle Hill Village 2. Implement the Castle Hill Village Reserves Management Plan, including funding removal of the remaining seed source/wildling Douglas Fir and Larch trees in the Village .		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The Castle Hill Reserve Management Plan is progressing to completion with a hearing to be held on 9 May 2024. Once completed an implementation programme will be developed. In anticipation of the plan being completed budgets have been provided in the draft LTP to undertake tree pest removal at Castle Hill.		
Point Number	757.14	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	Castle Hill Village refers to disc golf on the reserve		
	5. A disc golf goal on the village reserve		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. The Castle Hill Reserve Management Plan is yet to be approved and once completed development actions can be considered for inclusion in budget programmes. This is likely to be an item for consideration in the next Annual Plan.		

Submitter Number:	758	Submitter:	Pauline Sewell
Point Number	758.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	758.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	758.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	758.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Absolutely. Long overdue on this side of Main South road		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	758.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.
Point Number	758.9
Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed.
Staff Recommendation	The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities. Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Point Number	758.10	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.		

Submitter Number:	759	Submitter:	Maureen Flattery
Point Number	759.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: Do not need sheltered bus stops. Maybe a smaller bus that goes from Leeston to Lincoln. There are plenty of buses that go to Lincoln from town . No need for the Leeston bus to go to town		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	759.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: What is Whata Rau? Most of us speak English. We do not want what Rolleston has. It is not a library. It's a very noisy cafe and meeting place		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		

Point Number	759.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: The rugby clubrooms could be used as a community hall		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. In reference to the Rugby club building, council does not currently own the building or land.		
Point Number	759.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: Get quotes - stop wasting rate payers money.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	759.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	759.6	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		
	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.		
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		
	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52		
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%		
Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: Do not need sheltered bus stops. Maybe a smaller bus that goes from Leeston to Lincoln, There are plenty of buses that go to Lincoln from town. No need for the Leeston bus to go to town. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	759.7	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed			

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. *The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.*

Project cost:

Year 1: \$3.05m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$10.46

Funding:

Rates: 100%

Comment:

Staff Recommendation

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number

759.8

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Staff Recommendation	Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: The rugby clubrooms could be used as a community hall. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. In reference to the Rugby club building, council does not currently own the building or land.
Point Number Comments:	759.9 Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment: Do not need sheltered bus stops. Maybe a smaller bus that goes from Leeston to Lincoln. There are plenty of buses that go to Lincoln from town. No need for the Leeston bus to go to town		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	759.10	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: The rugby clubrooms could be used as a community hall.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2. In reference to the Rugby club building, council does not currently own the building or land.		
Point Number	759.11	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: What is Whata Rau? Most of us speak English. We do not want what Rolleston has. It is not a library. It is a very noisy cafe and meeting place		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead,		

they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.			
Point Number	759.12	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment: Get quotes, stop wasting rate payers money		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	759.14	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Comment:
	The Leeston library is fine how it is, hardly anyone uses it anymore. The back room gets more people at times but not the library self.
	We already have enough cafes so we don't need a \$19 million library with a cafe
	The submission is acknowledged. The use of the Leeston Library and Service Centre has been taken into account in relation to this matter.

Submitter Number:	760	Submitter: Nigel Reid
Point Number	760.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:	
	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.	
	Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million	
	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52	
	Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%	

Staff Recommendation	Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	760.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m

		Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	760.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	760.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			

	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	760.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	760.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Infrastructure Property General

Comments:	Please do not construct a whole lot of new infrastructure and take for granted that rate payers can absorb rises that are so much above general inflation.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	760.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	Inflation is rampant. Has been as high as 7%. Yet proposed rate rises run at double that year on year. That is unsustainable for many household budgets. Please do what central Government is doing. Find ways to prune out costs to keep rate rises financially sustainable. I have had wage rises for years that are less than where inflation has been and when our big ticket expenditure like insurance and rates exceed this it annoys me greatly.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	761	Submitter:	Diane Woodward
Point Number	761.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	761.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
	Comment: Have no idea what Whatu Rau. Should have English spelling as well.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.		
Point Number	761.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: This is a want not a need		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	761.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	761.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Sheffield School and community should be given the \$290,000 and then be responsible for the ongoing upkeep of the Sheffield Pool. Sort out the pot holes on the shingle part of the Wards Road. I am tired of sending photos and nothing done. Given job number but never see or hear from anyone		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility		

and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.

The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool.

Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.

Submitter Number:	762	Submitter:	Micheal Beynon
Point Number	762.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	762.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants,		

	playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.		
	Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	762.4	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Save money by taking out the bureacracy and layers of process that don't need to be there. Would have wanted to hear or give feedback on all (HUB) around the lakes - their plan and how the Council is trying to help (eg now water systems etc.). They are a part of our history or should be included.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		

Submitter Number:	763	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	763.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account		

Staff Recommendation	development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts.
	Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.

Submitter Number:	764	Submitter: Alan Low
Point Number	764.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:		
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	764.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			
			Comment: What is this. Why can't we have a English title instead of this unknown name. Very hard to comment on something that is not known.
Staff Recommendation			The submission is acknowledged. Selwyn District Council considers that, when public buildings incorporate Maori names and cultural design elements, they are not merely symbolic gestures. Instead, they represent a tangible effort to honour the Treaty's obligations and foster a sense of belonging for all New Zealanders.
Point Number	764.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Leeston like Darfield is a regular rural town with a lot of outdoor areas. No need to create more. If not adequate for new residents should consider shifting to bigger towns. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
	Point Number 764.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None		

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None		
	Funding: None Comment: Darfield is a rural town. The outdoor sports facility is right for the majority of residents who live here for the outdoor areas. If new residents want indoors shift back to town.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	764.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	765	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	765.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development		

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	765.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	766	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	766.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development		

	contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	766.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	767	Submitter:	Brook Thorpe
Point Number	767.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: The youth in Lincoln have little to nothing at all to do, investing in a park will prevent minor crime caused by boredom
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	767.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we

	want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	767.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This		

	means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	767.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	767.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	767.8	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	my family and i with our 3 children have recently moved to Lincoln from Rolleston. What became obvious very quickly is lack of infrastructure in Lincoln. The schools are at capacity, the doctors are always hard to book into, the supermarket is always busy along with every other store. At the moment this seems to be manageable, but happens when the new proposed subdivisions go in? Where will families send their children for education? Where will we go for a GP? It seems as though Lincoln has been forgotten by the SDC.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	767.9	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	Lincoln desperately needs. - A town centre, we strongly support the proposed Lincoln new town centre. - More infrastructure ASAP before it to late		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	768	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	768.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	768.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

	Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	768.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account

		development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.	
		Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.	
		Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000	
		Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates	
		Funding: Rates: 100%	
		Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1	
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	768.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	768.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	768.7	Category	Activity > Maintenance and Renewals Funding Roothing
Comments:	Levi road is one of the only 2 feed in roads from the motoway. It desperately needs expansion to cope with the huge increase in traffic due to Rolleston population increase.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. Traffic lights and widening Levi Road (both programmed) should help here.		

Submitter Number:	769	Submitter:	Ashley Neale
Point Number	769.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million		

	Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	769.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to		

	meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	769.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	769.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	769.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	769.7	Category	Activity > Walking and cycling improvements
Comments:	I believe that the cycleway linking through the Selwyn district including extending to Arthur's Pass would promote the social, economic, environmental and cultural wellbeing of communities it serves.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	770	Submitter:	Kylie Leech

Point Number	770.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	770.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for		

Staff Recommendation	options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	770.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *

		Our budgeted option
		Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2	
Point Number	770.4	Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.	
Point Number	770.5	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	770.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The changes to the Darfield pool have not been for the best. With less space to swim when the bombing platform and slide are being used, our kids now dont want to go there.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	770.8	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Comment: This has absolutely no benefit to the larger malvern region, so why should the rest of us have to pay? Darfield desperately needs more public transport options. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. Environment Canterbury is responsible the 86 direct to city Metro service. The Park N Ride facilities will be sized and provided to enable use from the adjoining townships so residents can drive and park to access the PT services.		

Submitter Number:	771	Submitter:	Jo Mills
Point Number	771.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	771.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	771.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	771.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None			

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	771.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	771.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Return to Sheffield community to remain open		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Submitter Number:	772	Submitter:	Cass McGimpsey
Point Number	772.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: if you want people to use the bus into christchurch put newer electric buses on
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Environment Canterbury are intending to have a fully electric bus fleet in time.
Point Number	772.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next

	to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	772.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:* Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	772.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	772.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	772.8	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	support smaller local producers to get on the map		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	772.9	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades

Comments:	Where is the Lincoln township upgrade??		
	We need another supermarket to keep Up		
	with food demand, going to new world to see		
	empty shelves is definitely a sign they can not keep up with demand for the growing population		
Staff Recommendation	The Council will starting the upgrade of the Lincoln Town Centre and Gerald Street in stages starting in 2024 at the Liffey end and finishing at Springs Rd in 2030. This is estimated to cost \$45M and includes cycle lanes, power undergrounding, 4 sets of traffic signals and the replacement of the failed carriageway .		
Point Number	772.10	Category	Activity > Lincoln Town Centre Upgrades
Comments:	if SDC want to double the population of Lincoln by approving the subdivision that will do this then SDC need to invest in our township, we pay high rates amd only have an event centre and library. Where is our pool facility second supermarket etc		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	773	Submitter:	Kevin Mills
Point Number	773.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Staff Recommendation	Point Number 773.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Comments: Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are

Staff Recommendation	collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number	773.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	773.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	773.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	773.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Return to Sheffield Community to remain open		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified. The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool. Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		

Submitter Number:	774	Submitter:	Sharon Kirkwood
Point Number	774.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Public transport needed for the Malvern area, not just the east. Staff Recommendation It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service. Environment Canterbury is responsible the 86 direct Darfield to city Metro service. The Park N Ride facilities will be sized and provided to enable use from the adjoining townships so residents can drive and park to access the PT services.
Point Number Comments:	774.2 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development

	contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	774.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	774.4	Category	Questionnaire > Other comments
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	774.6	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield Pool is a vital community asset, as it provides a meeting space for families and is used by the school to promote water safety, a vital learning tool in helping to lower the drowning statistics in NZ. The community has proved that it supports and		

	helped run the pool in the past and can do so again if the council deeds it back to the community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.		
	The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool.		
	Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.		
Point Number	774.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The Sheffield Pool is a vital community asset, as it provides a meeting space for families and is used by the school to promote water safety, a vital learning tool in helping to lower the drowning statistics in NZ. The community has proved that it supports and helped run the pool in the past and can do so again if the council deeds it back to the community.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has suggested Council not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool, and instead transfer the facility and operations of the facility over to a community organisation of some kind, currently not identified.		
	The Long Term Plan consultation is essentially on the future ownership of Sheffield Memorial Pool by Selwyn District Council. The Council's proposal is to decommission the Pool on the basis that Council sees poor value in continuing to fund and operate the Pool given its design issues, low usage in comparison to other staffed facilities, costs (current and pending) and close proximity to a recently refurbished Council Pool.		

Council's interest is therefore to no longer own the Pool and to save the Council from further costs related to the Pool. The final staff response will consider Council's position regarding alternative options than decommissioning that still achieve Council's interest.

Submitter Number:	775	Submitter:	Jennifer McLaughlin
Point Number	775.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	775.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:			

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	775.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	775.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	775.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	775.7	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	Prebbleton is entitled to a centre which reflects the needs of this growing community. The village has an unique feel and we need to replace the hall but keep egos out of it. Produce the best functioning space while being very aware of the expense that would be passed onto the community.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26.		

A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.

Submitter Number:	776	Submitter:	Linda Martin
Point Number	776.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		

Point Number	776.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	776.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements

Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park.		
	Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000		
	Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	776.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		
	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None		

Estimated impact on rates (per year):	
None	
Funding:	
None Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	776.5
Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	777	Submitter:	Sue Ryan
Point Number	777.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

Staff Recommendation	Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding
Point Number	777.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Comments: Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

	Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	777.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	777.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	777.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	please can the planting of large broad specimen trees be planted on every available space along streets, in parks and reserves, along roadsides and help the climate and beautify the Selwyn area.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission to undertake more planting in urban areas. Work is already underway on reviewing the Tree and Vegetation Policy with a view to retaining and increasing tree cover. In addition to this work the Blue-Green Network Plan which forms part of the Future Selwyn work programme will be promoting tree cover targets in the urban setting. In anticipation of this work and also as a measure to reduce the impacts of climate change (more planting reduces heat in urban areas) an urban greening programme has been included in the draft LTP. Staff recommend that work continues on the development of policies and plans that are focused on retaining and increasing vegetation cover in urban environments and that support is given to the Urban Greening Programme included in the draft LTP (total \$775,400 over 10 years from 2024/25).		

Submitter Number:	778	Submitter:	Alex McLaughlin
Point Number	778.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	778.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed		

to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number 778.3

Category Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	778.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None			

	Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	778.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	778.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	Hugely surprised that a section hasn't been given to the Future Facility in Prebbleton. It is experiencing greater growth and it's hall is to be demolished by 2035. Not expecting \$ to be spent in the next 3 years - BUT - what is the plan after that? Be nice to see discussion on a No Frills/MultiPurpose building in the 5 yr plan so locals can start supporting it's planning/funding.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signalled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To		

enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28			
Point Number	778.9	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	The whole economic environment has changed. Rates everywhere are skyrocketing STOP SPENDING NOW on "vanity projects" that have nil return.		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	779	Submitter:	David Martin
Point Number	779.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	779.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		

Point Number	779.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Leeston has less than 50% of Prebbleton's population - why them and not Prebbleton?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	779.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

	Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
	Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: Darfield has less than 2/3 the population of Prebbleton. Why them not Prebbleton?		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	779.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	779.8	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	The provision of a community centre has been in the Long Term Plan since 2015 but is delayed in every subsequent plan. It would appear that Prebbleton is the forgotten jewel in Selwyn's crown. Apart from the Community Cottage on Blakes Road, there is no council facility which can accommodate a decent crowd. I object to the P4 photo representing Selwyn.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signaled for construction in 2025/26. A needs		

	assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		
Point Number	779.9	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	Too little information to make a significant comment. A load of weasel words.		
Staff Recommendation	Council acknowledges the submission and notes the concern with the level of information provided. Improvements regarding the presentation and provision of information will be considered for future consultations.		

Submitter Number:	780	Submitter:	Daniel Burdett
Point Number	780.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06		

Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	780.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	780.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	780.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	780.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	781	Submitter:	Luke Thomas
Point Number	781.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		
Point Number	781.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility
After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:
*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.

Project cost:

Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$53.14

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

**Staff
Recommendation**

Submission is acknowledged by Council. [

Point Number	781.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	781.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the		

	loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	781.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	782	Submitter:	Lieuwe Doubleday
Point Number	782.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment: The next few years could be difficult economically for many New Zealanders and given the burdens placed on Local Bodies by Government there will be plenty of upward pressure on rates. If the Government is not prepared to support transport options, then in the current climate holding off on spending would be prudent. You will have plenty of other initiatives placing demands on ratepayer dollars. I prefer to keep rates down or if they must increase significantly that there is relief for those who are really under pressure financially.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 1 - Our Budgeted Option using NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding		

Point Number	782.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: The current facilities have many advantages which in a sense are symbiotic. The school is in close proximity to the library which means the library is a safe place for students to go. Because it is handy it will be used more. Accessibility is an important consideration - use of the library by the younger generation may lead to a library user life. There are also benefits for those attending the medical centre next door. Partners of patients or family members can visit the library while their partners or family members are otherwise engaged. I find it hard to believe that engineers can't find a suitable cost effective approach to strengthening the building. As a Southbridge ratepayer I am concerned that the ideology of a 'hub' drives decisions to create monuments that future generations pay for and don't use. There are a number of halls in Selwyn in close		

	proximity (bearing in mind that living in Selwyn, one is used to travelling) which are underutilized (and you need to ask why that is the case) - we don't need more halls - we need to use the ones we already have. As a Southbridge resident I'd be loathe to pay for a hall in Leeston it doesn't need when there are number in close proximity. I'd much prefer SDC to look at its infrastructure and consider what role it has to play if the Alpine fault triggers. Has the Council prepared, protected important infrastructure. In the scheme of things, I can't see that a community in Leeston is warranted (certainly at present - in the current economic climate). Delay the decision and repair the current facilities.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Maintenance, repairs and strengthening options, and the location of other facilities, have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	782.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	782.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Often when a project is embarked upon the 'dream' is soon found to be too small. While I'm happy with the budgeted option (I don't live in the area) if the demand is there or is expected, it makes sense either to build in such a way that an extension is easily managed at a later date or to build the larger option.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	782.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	782.8	Category	Activity > Chlorination
Comments:	I am really annoyed at your inability to limit chlorination. Chlorination has affected a number of people and I believe you have done some harm for which those affected are not compensated. You have listed Southbridge as 10th in the order of those likely to succeed in an exception claim. I find that staggering. I still recall the testimony of a person at a council meeting who advised that Southbridge water was used as a benchmark for water testing across Australasia - it was that good. (I believe that person knew what he was talking about). If it is not that good now - what have you done. It would be great to see a publication of the evidence and have an independent review. You have definitely chosen the easy option (no credit to you at all).		
Staff Recommendation	The submitters preference for Council to remove chlorine from community drinking water supplies is acknowledged. Chlorination is a requirement of the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules that came into effect in November 2022. To move to intermittent chlorination for eligible schemes and obtain an exemption from Taumata Arowai requires infrastructure upgrades at significant cost. Additional monitoring and testing would also be required on an ongoing basis. The estimated cost to move to intermittent chlorination is \$82.6m over the LTP period. If implemented there will be an increased risk of community illness if there was a contamination event and chlorine may still need to be used intermittently. Staff recommendation is to reduce risk by continuing to chlorinate our community drinking water supplies.		
Point Number	782.9	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Economic Development
Comments:	As noted previously, I am concerned that Council have prepared well for a disaster. I see that as important. It's too late to cry about what might have been once the disaster has occurred.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged the submitter has selected to progress with the Economic Development Strategy, and Council will consider their feedback in strategy action planning.		
Point Number	782.10	Category	Questionnaire > Policy Changes > Policy Review - Other
Comments:	No concerns		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	783	Submitter:	Shannon Inns
Point Number	783.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83		

Staff Recommendation	Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: My daughter attends LHS and is a struggle to get her home from school as buses are always at capacity. It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council. The Ministry of Education is responsible for school bus services
Point Number	783.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25

	2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34		
	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	783.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

	Our budgeted option		
	Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	783.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: Don't forget about West Melton Courts, desperately need upgrading.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	783.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance

Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	783.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	West Melton needs new netball courts and clubrooms.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage. The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves		

Submitter Number:	784	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	784.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:
	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	784.2
Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.
	Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is</i>

<i>earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
Point Number	784.6	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	I feel Selwyn huts has been dealt with in a inhumane way . This needs to be rectified. These people gave been let down by mismanagement and it needs to be addressed. I would like to suggest , no wastewater system for them but for them to be paid out so they can carry on with life like every other person who has that right ! Its about the people after all .		
Staff Recommendation	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.		
Point Number	784.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Upper Selwyn Huts
Comments:	1. Historical value The Mayor says in the Long Term Plan that "we're focussed on looking after what we already have" - how about you look after us! An 1881 plan shows the reserve on which the huts would come to be established was in existence and by the 1890's at the latest there were huts established there, mainly for fishing and hunting. Given this pre-1900 date, the huts are an archaeological site under the		

Heritage Pouhere Taonga New Zealand Act. There is a long historic and cultural association with the huts and community over the three centuries of occupation. The Reserve is Crown Land, administered by the Selwyn District Council. In 2015 the purpose was changed (by the Department Conservation) from recreation reserve to Local Purpose – Hut Settlement. On 8 May 2019, the Council unanimously determined that ‘Hut licences and subsequent renewals are short term and ultimately for a finite period’ . At its 13 March 2024 meeting Council resolved to accept option 2 (of 4 options) and offered Residents a final 15 year term for occupation of their huts. We need to submit requesting this historical significance be recognised and for the Selwyn Huts to be listed as an historical site on the District Plan and for the term for us being here to be extended to protect this history.

2. District wide rates

to be put on DWR for Water and Sewer.

The reasons being :

(a) We will soon be on the same sewer system as Leeston who pay DWR and we have previously paid for our sewer ourselves and a subsequent upgrade, the requirement we were told for being put on DWR.

(b) We have already paid for the water upgrade.

(c) We should not be discriminated against and should be treated like everyone else in the district.

(d) We already pay DWR for Recreation Reserve Rate; General Purpose Rate; Library Charge; Community Centre Rate; Uniform Annual General Rate; Water Race (Amenity) Rate; Area Board; Swimming Pools; Canterbury Museum Levy; and Land Drainage. We should also be added to the DWR for Sewer and Water like everyone else.

(e) Towards25 LTP Document stated:

“The Council is proposing to introduce a new way of funding water and wastewater, community centres/halls and recreation reserves. These services are currently funded through targeted rates and the Council is proposing to meet the costs of these services by introducing standard district-wide rates. Underpinning this proposal is the view that Selwyn should be seen as one integrated district, rather than simply a series of detached townships. The Council acknowledges that where residents across the district receive a similar level of service for key infrastructure, the cost to residents should also be consistent. In the case of water and wastewater this proposal will also help keep these services affordable for smaller communities.”

This document also has USH specifically listed in the “Proposed district-wide rate for urban water compared with existing targeted rates” table.

Staff Recommendation	From this we conclude there was a clear intention to include USH in the DWR for sewer and water. This is even more relevant now we will soon be on the same sewer system as Leeston. (f) Buddle Findlay's Legal Opinion dated 04/03/2019 states "We note that the Council has recently tended to move to a model where the costs of provision of network infrastructure (such as waste water infrastructure) are spread across the district (ie under district wide targeted rates). It would go against the trend for the Council now to look to recover the greater costs of continuing to provide water services to the [Upper Selwyn] Huts solely from that community." (g) Council has already agreed to fund 70% of the sewer upgrade, indicating the district is prepared to pay towards USH sewer upgrade. There is no good reason why the Council couldn't find the full amount. This was proposed in 4.12.4 of the "Upper Sekwyn Huts Future Occupancy Strategy" report dated 04/03/2024. (h) The cost and operational charges for the pipeline continue to change so being on the DWR would give the community certainty. It would also mean that if people choose to leave the settlement early the cost will not increase for the remaining owners. (i) Additionally we also request that our reticulation system be replaced by SDC as they have been responsible for this since 1989 and have had ample time to replace this. Given the reasons above there is no reason why USH Can not be put on the DWR for Sewer and Water like everyone else. Regards, Selwyn Hut Owner
	Staff acknowledge this submission. Council has a separate and ongoing process outside of the Long-Term Plan which will inform a decision to be made by Council on 14 Aug 2024 regarding the future Deed of Licence to be offered to Upper Selwyn Hut owners. The new Deed of License will start on 1 Oct 2024 . Council is investing resources to establish a working group to assist owners with the implementation of the decision once it is made. Staff recommend that all LTP submissions relating to Selwyn Huts are taken into consideration as part of the ongoing work with Selwyn Huts.

Submitter Number:	785	Submitter: Pip Lodge
Point Number	785.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP

Staff Recommendation

Point Number

785.2

Category

Questionnaire > BD2 -
Waihora Whata Rau > BD
2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing

Staff Recommendation	Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan and using the current design and layout planned to meet the needs identified by the community. The facility would include a library, council service centre and community centre. Project cost: Year 1: \$16.01m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$44.22 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Our budgeted option Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [		
	Point Number	785.3	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD

2b - Leeston Park
Improvements

Comments:

Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage.

Project cost:

Years 1-15: \$9.49 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1-5: \$10.23

Years 6-10: \$6.05

Funding:

Rates: 71.4%

Development contributions: 28.6% *

Comment:

**Staff
Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3

Point Number

785.4

Category

Questionnaire > Matters
For Community Guidance

Comments:

Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool?
Yes **Question:** Do you support the continued development of an

Staff Recommendation	economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	786	Submitter: Cheyenne Webster
Point Number	786.1	Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:		
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	786.4	Category Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Support for rural people who can't drive to get to the places they need affordably.	
Staff Recommendation	Council will be investigating what further PT services could be provided to link the wider district townships together beyond what Environment Canterbury can fund and provide as a Metro service.	

Submitter Number:	787	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	787.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	787.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not		

Staff Recommendation	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements 787.3 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates

Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	787.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	787.6	Category	Activity > Infrastructure DC
Comments:	I think existing infrastructure should get a refresh like the park between Dryden Ave & McCauley St. Even if just the ugly metal stick figures are removed and the children's play area upgraded. It doesn't have to cost a lot of money. The tree near the park corner Dryden & Overbury Crescent was also taken out by a speeding car.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission in terms of upgrading the reserve at Dryden Ave. Budgets have been provided in the draft LTP to undertake a number of improvement works including re-grassing, cricket wicket, planting and renewal of some play equipment that will be at end of life during the 10-year period. Staff recommend that the budgets to undertake improvement and renewal works at the reserve in Dryden Ave. which form part of broader development and renewal programmes are retained to enable the works to proceed.		
Point Number	787.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Speed Management
Comments:	I think existing infrastructure should get a refresh like the park between Dryden Ave & McCauley St. And speeding cameras should		

	be set up for speeding cars & boy racers. Speed bumps for traffic calming should be introduced at strategic locations. If more police are needed to monitor speeding & night time racers it will keep the roads safe.
Staff Recommendation	The submission relates to aspects that are not the Council's responsibility. Speed cameras are managed by NZTA, Council is unable to install cameras, but have requested that the mobile camera be utilised in this location.

Submitter Number:	788	Submitter:	Juliette Gross
Point Number	788.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		

Point Number	788.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court. Project cost: Year 3: \$7.07 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$5.97 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$11.67 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Our budgeted option Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 2, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes one indoor court.		
Point Number	788.3	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			

Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.

Submitter Number:	789	Submitter: Emily Scott
Point Number	789.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:	
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP	
Point Number	789.2	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau

Comments:

Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces.

Project cost:

Year 1: 19.24m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$53.14

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:** having a local space for everyone in selwyn would be amazing and really help sports be here rather than having to go anywhere else for this.

**Staff
Recommendation**

The submission is acknowledged.

Point Number	789.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the upgrades detailed in the Leeston Park Master Plan over 15 years, including new pathways, carparks, toilets, park furniture, sports field lighting and irrigation, trees and plants, playground extension and upgrades, and park extension and signage. Project cost: Years 1-15: \$9.49 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1-5: \$10.23 Years 6-10: \$6.05 Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Comment: our playgrounds here are not exciting, risky and this would be great for our community		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 3		
Point Number	789.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.			
Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	789.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	790	Submitter:	Nick Hanrahan
Point Number	790.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	790.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i>		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments: Staff Recommendation	790.3 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1
Point Number	790.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility

Comments:			
Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	790.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:			
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	790.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	top wasting rate payers money rates need to be keep in line with inflation. You need to remember you work and are payed by the rate payers you are expected to be financially responsible stop waseful spending, start working more efficiently and look after your rate payers. MOST CANNOT AFFORD A 16% RATE RISE ITS TO MUCH!!!!		

Staff Recommendation

That the submission is acknowledged by Council.

The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.

Submitter Number:

791

Submitter: Details Withheld

Point Number

791.1

Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

Comments:

Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:

Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi.

Project cost:

Years 1–3: \$4.2 million

Years 4–10: \$11.6 million

Estimated impact on rates:

Years 1–3: \$7.06

Years 4–10: \$19.52

Funding:

Rates: 49%

NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51%

Our
budgeted
option

Comment:**Staff Recommendation**

It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP

Point Number	791.3	Category	Activity > Planning Further Community Facilities
Comments:	The Prebbleton Hall is scheduled for demolition and there has been no mention of it being rebuilt/replaced. The current hall, along with the war memorial, have a part to play in our community. The hall is well used by a number of groups each week and is also hired out for family gathering, events, and meetings. It appears that there is no plan for a community hall after the current building is demolished. Prebbleton is a growing village and has already grown at an exponential rate and the supporting infrastructure and facilities are not keeping up with an ever-increasing population.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. A new community centre for Prebbleton has been in considered in earlier Long-Term Plans and was previously signaled for construction in 2025/26. A needs assessment study was undertaken in 2023 which concluded that there was demand for a facility to service the community, and that it should provide a mix of social, community gathering and recreation spaces. The study further concluded that the facility needed to be located so it was easily accessed by the community. As there are uncertainties about the best site for the facility and the activities that it will cater for, further planning and community consultation is needed. To enable time for the necessary planning to happen, the project has been programmed for 2026/27 to 2027/28.		
Point Number	791.4	Category	Activity > New Public Transport Services
Comments:	Prebbleton also now has 2 retirement villages and the current bus services are restrictive. For example, there is no bus that comes through Prebbleton to Hornby, which is a regional bus hub. The Hub, Hornby is the closest shopping centre that provides a wide range of shops, goods and services. The only option is to catch the 81 bus, get off further down Springs Road, then catch another bus, which may involve a challenging walk for the elderly to the next bus stop to get to Hornby (plus waiting time for the 2nd bus), then on the way home, possibly carrying shopping the same process (ie catch 2 buses and a challenging walks) to get back home. At a time of government entities claiming being environmentally aware, this is not a good example.		
Staff Recommendation	Environment Canterbury provide PT Services. Council is advocating for additional services to Hornby for Prebbleton and Lincoln, but this may occur as part of the express bus connections sought to the proposed MRT hub planned at Hornby in the future.		

Submitter Number:	792	Submitter:	Andre Goldsmith
Point Number	792.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Comment: People need to start using the buses with a regular service this need to be tied in with park n ride.		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council		
Point Number	792.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would		

	have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.		
	Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01		
	Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% *		
	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: The Triangle is Daft use Lincoln Events as Template and Add Library		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	792.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark.		

Staff Recommendation	Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		
	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		
	Our budgeted option Comment: As our community grows we will need to extend It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Point Number	792.4	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:			
Staff Recommendation	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment:		
	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		

Submitter Number:	793	Submitter:	Brian Deans
Point Number	793.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride

	Comments: Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not

Staff Recommendation	always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build Whata Rau. Carry out necessary repairs to the existing Leeston Library & Service Centre building so that it can continue to be used for a limited time instead. <i>The existing building is earthquake prone and will likely need further significant upgrades to be used from 2035 onwards.</i> Project cost: Year 1: \$3.05m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$10.46 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council. [
Point Number Comments:	Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements 793.3 Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do nothing. Use the existing park facilities and remove or replace old and unsafe items in the park. Project cost: Year 1: \$143,000 Estimated impact on rates: Included in our current rates

	Funding: Rates: 100% Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 1		
Point Number	793.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	793.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		

Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	793.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Rates
Comments:	<i>sdc needs to stop spending money like water and stop wasting money on nice to have.the rate increases are a disgrace when inflation is 4.2% .</i>		
Staff Recommendation	That the submission is acknowledged by Council. The Council understands the financial pressures that ratepayers face and endeavours to keep rates increases to a minimum.		

Submitter Number:	794	Submitter:	Ariyah Missen
Point Number	794.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents.So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, without NZTA Waka Kotahi co-funding. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$14.41 Years 4–10: \$39.83 Funding: Rates: 100% Comment: Can't rely on a National Govt to fund this. Do it please.		

Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 2 - Fully funded by Council		
Point Number	794.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facility After consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced. Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29		

	2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34	Comment: Keep Maori names please	
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	794.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2		
Staff Recommendation			

Point Number	794.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Project cost: Year 3: \$11.28 million (minus \$1.10 million already included in the last Long-Term Plan. Total left to fund is \$10.18 million) Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$19.91 Funding: Rates: 57% Development contributions: 43% * Comment: The community is growing, infrastructure is important.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 3, build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield that includes two indoor courts. Our budgeted option.		
Point Number	794.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation	Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	794.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	Please more climate change initiatives. None of all of this will matter in 10 years if climate goals are not reached by then.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. Council is developing a Future Selwyn Strategy that looks beyond the 10-year horizon to consider how we can respond to these challenges. The Council is also developing an Emissions Plan and Sustainability Plan to identify actions into the future.		

Submitter Number:	795	Submitter:	Details Withheld
Point Number	795.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we:		

	Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP		
Point Number	795.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park as agreed in our 2021-31 Long-Term Plan, but with additional sports courts and/or bigger community meeting spaces. Project cost: Year 1: 19.24m		

Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$53.14 Funding: Rates: 80.5% Development contributions: 19.5% * 2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment: It would be appreciated if you call this facility Leeston Community Centre.			
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged.		
Point Number	795.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% *		

Our budgeted option			
Comment:			
It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2			
Staff Recommendation			
Point Number	795.4	Category	Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.		
Point Number	795.5	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? Yes Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the		

Staff Recommendation		Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? Yes Comment: Comment: Comment: Submission is acknowledged by Council.	
Point Number	795.7	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Parks and Reserves
Comments:	There doesn't seem to be any mention of the proposed reserve/playground at Dunsandel Estate subdivision. The subdivision is already full of young families who are desperately waiting for this development.		
Staff Recommendation	Staff support the submission because a budget has already been provided for this project in 2023/24. Play equipment will be purchased before the end of the financial year with installation to follow later in 2024. Staff recommend that the playground at Wayleggo Place in Dunsandel proceeds and is completed with the balance budget from 2023/24 carried forward to 2024/25.		

Submitter Number:	796	Submitter:	Tammy Whyte
Point Number	796.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:	Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Build three new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrade public transport infrastructure, but only if Council receives co-funding from NZTA Waka Kotahi. Project cost: Years 1–3: \$4.2 million Years 4–10: \$11.6 million		

	Estimated impact on rates: Years 1–3: \$7.06 Years 4–10: \$19.52 Funding: Rates: 49% NZTA Waka Kotahi: 51% Our budgeted option Comment:
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP
Point Number	796.4 Category Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Club and Recreation Facilities
Comments:	The West Melton Tennis & Netball Club and its members, currently around 300 members combined, would like to make a submission to the council for there to be a share Hub/Clubrooms built at the proposed new Tennis & Netball facilities based in West Melton. West Melton Tennis & Netball share the vision to provide an inclusive, nurturing & welcoming community focused environment to develop players of all ages, creating longevity for the clubs & community. What we are asking for is a hub to bring our community together to create a sustainable future of tennis & netball but also celebrate the history of our clubs achievement and memberships over the years with trophies and photos proudly displayed. The Hub/Clubrooms would require a suitable space to store the following. Tennis nets, tennis poles, tennis balls/trolleys, netball balls, gear bags, hoops & training equipment. The space would require a kitchenette suitable for making tea & coffee and afternoon tea which is provided to club members and visiting clubs. A toilet and two changing cubicles. A suitable space to hold club & team meetings. Having a hub for our community to come to connect and build on relationships creating happier, healthier people and stronger communities.
Staff Recommendation	Staff recommend that the application for a Council funded clubrooms for tennis and netball at West Melton Domain is declined and other options utilising spaces and facilities within the existing West Melton Community Centre are explored. The relocation of the Tennis and Netball courts next to the West Melton Recreation and Community Centre as part of the West

Melton Domain Master Plan allows further investigation into the utilisation of this existing facility for club use. Meeting rooms 2 and 3 of this facility allow for access to the east from the extended carpark and link closely with the location of the courts. These rooms are able to be accessed without entering the rest of the facility if required. This allows for the outcomes identified in the submission while being financially responsible and maximising existing facility use. Council staff would be keen to further engage with both clubs to further understand requirements for club use and storage.

The generally applied policy has been not to build club social facilities on reserves and council has made it's future preference for multi-use hubs clear, moving away from a proliferation of buildings on reserves.

Submitter Number:	797	Submitter:	Sandra Robertson for Ellesmere Promotions Trust
Organisation:	Ellesmere Promotions Trust		
Point Number	797.1	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1.		

Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design.

Project cost:

Year 1: 15.21m

Estimated impact on rates (per year):

\$42.01

Funding:

Rates: 80.5%

Development contributions: 19.5% *

2024/25

2025/26

2026/27

2027/28

2028/29

2029/30

2030/31

2031/32

2032/33

2033/34 **Comment:**

Ellesmere Promotions Trust wish to support Leeston Community Committee's Submission in favour of Option 4 (decision 2a) and Option 2 (decision 2b).

It is important that Leeston have a Town Plan to underpin future development within the town.

We strongly support putting the new Community Centre / Library back on its current site – particularly give the current building will need to be demolished at some point if the new build is done in another location. Putting the new building on this site would provide more of a civic centre for the township and separate those activities from the sports facilities. Having the civic centre at one end of the business area and the sports area at the other, with only a 5 minute walk between them, would help to keep the vibrancy of Leeston township alive. The current library site is a far safer site for the young and elderly in the area. There is a real health & safety issue with having young children and the elderly crossing to the suggested site on Leeston & Lake Road. This road is part of the Heavy Transport bypass, which a major health & safety issue for the young and elderly.

	<i>It is also our belief that building the new centre where the current Library is will have positive financial benefits. Being a quieter site, there will be less requirement for soundproofing of the building, and we are of the opinion there would be less remediation work to be done on this site. The infrastructure for the building is already in place.</i> <i>We would however wish to raise concerns about where the Doctor will relocate to in Leeston, given that the current Doctors Surgery is part of the current library complex. This is something the township are very concerned about and will need to be thought through.</i> <i>Ellesmere Promotions Trust would use it for small events, meetings, cultural events, health & wellness events, community engagement, cultural / arts events – depending on the final size of the Community Centre. The centre does need to be of a size to meet the needs of our growing community. We are trying to grow Event Tourism within Ellesmere and would like to support Council owned facilities wherever possible. However, these need to be in locations that have easy access for foot traffic – something we don't have currently.</i>		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Access issues, health and safety, and noise have been taken into account in relation to this issue.		
Point Number	797.2	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: <i>With regards to the Leeston Park development area, we do not see the need to spend the budgeted amount on the toilets in this area. The current toilets are perfectly adequate and serviceable. Of more value would be putting this money towards building a sports pavilion which would serve all the sports groups at Leeston Park and incorporate changing rooms and toilets. We don't see this being as urgent as getting our Community Centre and Library completed.</i>
	It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and that they do not see a priority for upgrading the existing toilet block.

Submitter Number:	798	Submitter: Lindsay Robertson
Point Number	798.1	Category Questionnaire > BD1 - Transport - Park and Ride
Comments:		
Question: You told us you want to see better access to public transport, particularly for our young and elderly residents. So we want to hear from you - should we: Delay building new Park and Ride facilities at Lincoln and Rolleston, and upgrading public transport infrastructure until the next Long-Term Plan when funding options might be more clear.		
Project cost: None		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates: To be determined Funding: To be determined Comment: Have a more user friendly timetable that links smaller communities to larger bus hubs. ie: Leeston to Rolleston It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Public Transport Option 3 - Delay and reconsider at next LTP. That is the intention to link to main PT hubs
Point Number	798.2 Category Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2a - Whata Rau
Comments:	Question: 2a. Whata Rau - new community facilityAfter consulting with Ellesmere residents during the last Long-Term Plan, we agreed to build a new library and community centre called Whata Rau, next to Leeston Park. This project would provide more community spaces that are needed in the area, and provide a solution for the existing Leeston library and service centre: the current facility is earthquake prone and needs significant repairs or to be replaced.Increased construction costs and land remediation issues mean the costs to build Whata Rau have increased. Given this new information we want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2, 3 and 4 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Build Whata Rau next to Leeston Park using a more standard design that can be easily repeated for other buildings. This building would have the same sized floor space as option 2 and include a cultural narrative in the design. Project cost: Year 1: 15.21m Estimated impact on rates (per year): \$42.01 Funding: Rates: 80.5%

	Development contributions: 19.5% *		
	2024/25 2025/26 2026/27 2027/28 2028/29 2029/30 2030/31 2031/32 2032/33 2033/34 Comment:		
	There a few concerns with this being next to Leeston Park : heavy vehicles passing, noise from heavy vehicles, danger for children and the elderly crossing at the Leeston and Lake Road/High Street intersection.		
	The new building needs to be built on the existing Library site to create a "Civic" end to the town.		
	I support the submission of the Leeston Community Committee.		
Staff Recommendation	The submission is acknowledged. Access, health and safety, and noise have been taken into account in relation to this matter.		
Point Number	798.3	Category	Questionnaire > BD2 - Waihora Whata Rau > BD 2b - Leeston Park Improvements
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we: *Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Carry out the landscape improvements to extend the playing fields; replace and upgrade the playground equipment, footpaths, toilet block, lighting and irrigation; and seal the carpark. Project cost: Years 1-10: \$3.4m		

Staff Recommendation	Estimated impact on rates : Included in current rates Funding: Rates: 71.4% Development contributions: 28.6% * Our budgeted option Comment: Perhaps a Pavillion type building be built so that all users of the park have access to it for changing rooms, club uses etc It is acknowledged that the Submitter has selected Leeston Park Option 2 and acknowledge support for multi-use facility/club rooms.
	Point Number 798.4 Category Questionnaire > BD3 - Malvern Rec and Sports Facility
Comments:	Question: We want to hear from you - should we:*Development contributions are collected as developments get underway. This means funds are not always available when a project starts. In the beginning, a project will be loan funded and when development contributions are paid to Council, they are used to help pay back the loan. Impact on rates for options 2 and 3 take into account development contributions. Development contributions do not apply to option 1. Do not build a community recreation and sports facility at Darfield. Project cost: None Estimated impact on rates (per year): None Funding: None Comment: The population of Darfield does not justify building a large facility as proposed
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected Option 1, do not build the community recreation and sports facility at Darfield.
Point Number	798.5 Category Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	

	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? No Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	798.7	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	The number of drownings in New Zealand is increasing. We need pools like this so our children can learn water safety		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		
Point Number	798.8	Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Climate Change & Sustainability
Comments:	The council should introduce a by-law "That all new house builds and large commercial and farm buildings have solar energy recovery systems installed at time of construction" This will show Selwyn District Council as a leader in this important initiative to help reduce the effects of climate change.		
Staff Recommendation	SDC's position is that climate change is occurring, and that it is resulting in significant effects both locally and globally. SDC is a signatory to the New Zealand Local Government Leaders' Climate Change Declaration 2017. This being our commitment to develop and implement plans to reduce emissions. The Activity Management Plans within the LTP each specifically identify how they are responding to Climate Change and emissions. While we cannot require solar panels through the Resource Management consenting process, council can explore sustainable solutions for residents through its Sustainability Plan		

Submitter Number:	799	Submitter:	Genna Bird
Point Number	799.1	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance
Comments:	Question: Do you support the closure of Sheffield Community Pool? No Question: Do you support the continued development of an economic development strategy? Yes Question: Do you support the Council investing in better technology solutions to improve our services to the community? No Comment: Comment: Comment:		
Staff Recommendation	Submission is acknowledged by Council.		
Point Number	799.2	Category	Questionnaire > Matters For Community Guidance > Sheffield Pool
Comments:	Please do not underestimate the importance of this crucial resource on the local school and the significance of the role it plays in the community's collective identity.		
Staff Recommendation	It is acknowledged that the submitter has selected to not close the Sheffield Memorial Pool.		

Report: Summary of Submissions by Submitter Number/Name

Submitter Number:	274	Submitter:	nicky snoyink for Forest & Bird
Organisation:	Forest & Bird		
Documents:	Long-Term Plan 2024-2034 ; F B Feedback on Selwyn District		
Point Number	274.1		
Category	Activity > Other Categories identified by Staff > Bio-diversity		
Comments:	Introduction Forest & Bird is New Zealand's leading independent conservation organisation and has played an important role in protecting and restoring New Zealand's natural environment and native species since 1923. Forest & Bird is independently funded by subscriptions, donations, and bequests. Its mission is to protect and preserve New Zealand's unique ecological values, indigenous flora and fauna, and natural habitats. Forest & Bird has 45 branches throughout Aotearoa New Zealand. Our North Canterbury, Ashburton and South Canterbury Branches have a long history of conservation in the Canterbury region. We have contributed—and continue to contribute—to nature protection and restoration in the Canterbury region as advocates through national, regional, and local planning processes; through our youth network; as an educator through our Kiwi Conservation Club; and in action through on-the-ground conservation activities within our communities. Forest & Bird takes a keen interest in the restoration and protection of the Selwyn District's indigenous biodiversity. We congratulate the Council on the recent establishment of the 2 Selwyn Biodiversity Strategy. The Selwyn Biodiversity Strategy is vital to implementation of the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity (NPS-IB). Submission This submission to the Long-Term Plan (LTP) is focussed primarily on the implementation of the Selwyn Biodiversity strategy and other programmes that could support its successful implementation, and thus help the council to comply with the NPS-IB. 1. Forest & Bird acknowledge the work of Selwyn's biodiversity team, Andy & Denise. We strongly support their work across the district and their valuable contribution to protecting and restoring indigenous biodiversity from a regional perspective also. We acknowledge that the Selwyn Biodiversity Strategy is a result of their good work. 2. Biosecurity is of increasing concern to Forest & Bird, which is likely to be exacerbated by the impact of climate change. Plant and animal pests are a risk to nature and productivity. While		

the Canterbury Regional Council (CRC) takes the lead on biosecurity, prevention and management is the role of everyone. The CRC Biosecurity Advisory Groups have been operating for three years and are a useful forum for sharing biosecurity information that impacts on biodiversity and on productivity. Territorial Authority representation on these groups is useful as a coordinated approach to biosecurity is vital to achieving good outcomes for biodiversity. 3. Having a biodiversity strategy is only the start, protecting and restoring indigenous biodiversity relies on implementation and buy-in from the community. This requires education, information, carrots and sticks. 4. To that end, Forest & Bird recommends that the Long-Term Plan 2024-34 include appropriate funding to: a. Increase the Selwyn Natural Environment Fund (SNEF) to ensure that it is able to meet the community demand and that it at least keeps pace with inflation over the life of the LTP. b. Implement the NPS-IB : i. The NPS-IB requires the Regional Council to develop a regional biodiversity strategy and sets out what must be included. The Canterbury Biodiversity Strategy (CBS) is being revitalised. The Selwyn Biodiversity Strategy could help inform the revitalised CBS. We recommend that LTP includes funding to resource continued participation of staff in the CBS revitalisation process and in ongoing participation of governance to ensure that the strategy goals are being achieved. ii. Resource participation of an SDC Councillor in the CRC Biodiversity Champions program c. Fund a district wide mapping process to establish the extent of converted land in the Selwyn District so the areas of indigenous biodiversity are understood and it is known what is to be maintained and restored; fund ground truthing of areas of indigenous biodiversity by a suitably qualified ecological expert 3 d. Adequately resource the councils environmental compliance, monitoring and enforcement team to be able to monitor the state of the districts indigenous e. Resource SDC participation in the CRC Biosecurity Advisory Groups f. Consider a district-wide rate for indigenous biodiversity protection and a targeted rate for biosecurity (pest plant and animal control) Conclusion Forest & Bird recommend including and increasing the funding for initiatives that will help the implementation of the Selwyn Biodiversity Strategy and the NPS-IB in the 2024- 2034 Long-Term Plan, that is enduring and at the very least keeps pace with inflation. We hope that our suggestions are helpful and that they will be reflected in the final Long-Term Plan. Thank you for the opportunity to submit. Nicky Snoyink Regional Manager Canterbury/West Coast Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Inc

**Staff
Recommendation**

Council staff agree that biosecurity issues provide a huge threat to indigenous biodiversity currently, and probably even more, so in the future. Weed and pest control partnerships are the key to managing the issues, as is having necessary funding available to active identified outcomes. TA staff involvement in the Biosecurity Advisory Groups will play a part of that.

Having suitable incentives and SDP rules are key to halting biodiversity loss and helping encourage improved management of indigenous biodiversity, and the SNEF fund is key to that, as are the proposed 'biodiversity partnerships', 'pest control' and 'biodiversity monitoring' budgets lines.

Council staff are involved in the revitalisation of the Canterbury Biodiversity Strategy and will continue to be given its significance to biodiversity across the region.

Biodiversity staff are currently framing up a Biodiversity Protection Programme that will include a mapping process to help establish associated baselines and allow, where necessary, DP rules to be followed and enforced – the proposed 'biodiversity monitoring' budgets line will help enable that process.

Biodiversity Staff support the notion of a district-wide rate for indigenous biodiversity protection and a targeted rate for biosecurity (pest plant and animal control) as partnerships and key projects require suitable funding to be available.